 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ppees.2019.125478 Accepted 24 July 2019 CLIMATE IS THE MAIN DRIVER OF CLONAL AND BUD BANK TRAITS IN ITALIAN FOREST UNDERSTORIES Stefano Chelli¹*, Gianluigi Ottaviani², Enrico Simonetti¹, Camilla Wellstein³, Roberto Canullo¹, Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti⁵, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I-39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	1	Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 40 (2019) 125478
 Accepted 24 July 2019 CLIMATE IS THE MAIN DRIVER OF CLONAL AND BUD BANK TRAITS IN ITALIAN FOREST UNDERSTORIES Stefano Chelli¹*, Gianluigi Ottaviani², Enrico Simonetti¹, Camilla Wellstein³, Roberto Canullo¹, Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti⁵, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. 	2	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2019.125478
4 5 CLIMATE IS THE MAIN DRIVER OF CLONAL AND BUD BANK TRAITS IN ITALIAN FOREST UNDERSTORIES 7 Stefano Chelli ¹ *, Gianluigi Ottaviani ² , Enrico Simonetti ¹ , Camilla Wellstein ³ , Roberto Canullo ¹ , 9 8 Stefano Carnicelli ⁴ , Anna Andreetta ⁴ , Nicola Puletti ⁵ , Sandor Bartha ^{6,7} , Marco Cervellini ^{1,8} , 10 11 Giandiego Campetella ¹ 12 School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management 13 13 Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. 14 ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech 15 15 Republic. 16 ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. 18 ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy 19 ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. 19 ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary 17 ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, 12 ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, 10 ⁹ Dioging author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it<	3	Accepted 24 July 2019
 CLIMATE IS THE MAIN DRIVER OF CLONAL AND BUD BANK TRAITS IN ITALIAN FOREST UNDERSTORIES Stefano Chelli¹*, Gianluigi Ottaviani², Enrico Simonetti¹, Camilla Wellstein³, Roberto Canullo¹, Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti⁵, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Universită 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁶ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. 	4	
 FOREST UNDERSTORIES Stefano Chelli¹*, Gianluigi Ottaviani², Enrico Simonetti¹, Camilla Wellstein³, Roberto Canullo¹, Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti⁵, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁶ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. 	5	CLIMATE IS THE MAIN DRIVER OF CLONAL AND BUD BANK TRAITS IN ITALIAN
 Stefano Chelli^{1*}, Gianluigi Ottaviani², Enrico Simonetti¹, Camilla Wellstein³, Roberto Canullo¹, Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti⁵, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. 	6	FOREST UNDERSTORIES
 Stefano Chelli^{1*}, Gianluigi Ottaviani², Enrico Simonetti¹, Camilla Wellstein³, Roberto Canullo¹, Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti³, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. 	7	
 9 Stefano Carnicelli⁴, Anna Andreetta⁴, Nicola Puletti⁵, Sandor Bartha^{6,7}, Marco Cervellini^{1,8}, 10 Giandiego Campetella¹ ¹¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management 11 Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech 16 Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 17 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	8	Stefano Chelli ¹ *, Gianluigi Ottaviani ² , Enrico Simonetti ¹ , Camilla Wellstein ³ , Roberto Canullo ¹ ,
10 Giandiego Campetella ¹ 11 1 12 ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management 13 Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. 14 ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech 15 Republic. 16 ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 17 39100 Bozen, Italy. 4 ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy 9 ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. 19 ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. 10 ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, 11 Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. 18 ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, 14 University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. 15 * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 17 14 18 Yenge Alegaa Alegaa Alegaa Alegaa Alegaa Alegaa Alegaa 19 1	9	Stefano Carnicelli ⁴ , Anna Andreetta ⁴ , Nicola Puletti ⁵ , Sandor Bartha ^{6,7} , Marco Cervellini ^{1,8} ,
 ¹¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management ¹² ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management ¹³ Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech ¹⁵ Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- ¹⁷ 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, ¹⁰ University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	10	Giandiego Campetella ¹
 ¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management ¹ Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	11	
 Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy. ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	12	¹ School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, Plant Diversity and Ecosystems Management
 ² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	13	Unit, University of Camerino, Via Pontoni 5, I-62032 Camerino, MC, Italy.
 Republic. ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- ³ 9100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	14	² The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, 135 Dukelská, CZ-37982, Třeboň, Czech
 ³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I- ³ 9100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	15	Republic.
 ¹⁷ 39100 Bozen, Italy. ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, ⁸ Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, ¹⁷ University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. ¹⁸ * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it ¹⁷ ²⁸ 	16	³ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, I-
 ⁴Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	17	39100 Bozen, Italy.
 ⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy. ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 	18	⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Piazzale Cascine 15, I-50144 Firenze, Italy.
 ⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 30 	19	⁵ CREA Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Piazza Nicolini 6, I-38100 Trento, Italy.
 ⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany, Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it a 	20	⁶ Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary.
 Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary. ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 8 9 30 31 	21	⁷ GINOP Sustainable Ecosystems Group, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, H-8237 Tihany,
 ⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 8 9 30 31 	22	Klebelsberg Kuno u. 3. Hungary.
 University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy. * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 28 29 30 31 	23	⁸ Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum,
 25 26 * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 27 28 29 30 31 	24	University of Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy.
 * Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it 28 29 30 31 	25	
27 28 29 30 31	26	* Corresponding author: stefano.chelli@unicam.it
28 29 30 31	27	
29 30 31	28	
30 31	29	
31	30	
	31	
32	32	
33	33	
34	34	
35	35	

- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39

40 ABSTRACT

41 The study of plant trait-environment links is rarely focused on traits that inform on space occupancy 42 and resprouting (both affecting plant persistence), especially in forest understories. Traits that can 43 effectively capture such key functions are associated with clonality and bud banks. We hypothesized 44 that: 1) climate is the main driver of clonal and bud bank traits, 2) traits related to space occupancy 45 (e.g., greater lateral spread) are more important in more mesic, richer soils forests, and 3) traits 46 related to resprouting ability (e.g., larger bud bank) are more important in more intensively and 47 recently managed forests. We addressed these hypotheses by analysing a unique dataset that is 48 statistically representative of Italian forests heterogeneity and includes three biogeographic regions 49 (Alpine, Continental, Mediterranean). We recorded data for sixteen climatic, soil and management 50 variables. We calculated community weighted mean (CWM) values of seven clonal and bud bank 51 traits for the forest understory vegetation. We used i) redundancy analysis to assess trait-52 environment relations, and ii) variance partitioning analyses to identifying the relative role of 53 different groups of abiotic variables on CWM variation of all traits combined together, as well as 54 clonal and bud bank traits taken separately. Climate alone had a pervasive effect in determining 55 patterns of clonal and bud bank traits in Italian forest understories, mainly related to the effects of 56 temperature extremes and seasonality. Unexpectedly, soil and management factors alone showed 57 marginal effects on clonal and bud bank traits. However, soil features influenced trait patterns when 58 joined with climate. Our results confirmed that, at the biogeographic scale, climate played a lion-59 share role in determining persistence-related traits of forest-floor plants. At the local-scale, other 60 interplaying factors (e.g., management, soil variables) may come into play in shaping patterns of the 61 studied plant traits. This study stressed the importance of examining functional trait patterns along 62 complex environmental gradients.

- 63
- 64
- Keywords: Clonality; Community weighted mean (CWM); Resprouting; Soil properties; Plantenvironment linkages; Trait-based ecology
- 67
- 68
- 69
- 70

- 71
- 72
- 73

74

75 **1. Introduction**

76 Understanding how vegetation responds to environmental variation is a longstanding, fundamental 77 goal in ecology (von Humboldt and Bonpland, 1807; Schimper, 1903). Trait-based approaches are 78 particularly suited to examine plant-environment relationships (Weiher et al., 1999; Violle et al., 79 2007). Functional traits are morphological, phenological or eco-physiological features informative 80 on specific functions affecting plant performance, and they can mediate plant responses to changing 81 environments (Weiher et al., 1999; Violle et al., 2007; Chelli et al., 2019). Evidences are 82 accumulating explaining the relationships between functional traits and the environment in plant 83 communities at broad spatial scales (e.g., Qian et al. 2017; Le Bagoussse-Pinguet et al., 2017; 84 Bruelheide et al., 2018; Vanneste et al., 2019). These studies showed that changing patterns of 85 communities' functional setting cannot be attributed to a single driver, but rather to a combination 86 of environmental factors (Simpson et al., 2016; Le Bagoussse-Pinguet et al., 2017). Among these 87 environmental forces, climate showed a pervasive role acting as primary macro filter on the 88 functional structure of communities across biogeographical scales (Swenson and Weiser, 2010; 89 Vanneste et al., 2019; Wieczynski et al., 2019; but see Bruelheide et al., 2018). Soil properties can 90 also largely contribute to explaining trait variation at the community level (Simpson et al., 2016; 91 Pinho et al., 2018). On the contrary, the effect of management on plant community traits along 92 broad biogeographical gradients is still unexplored (Borgy et al., 2017). Previous results indicate 93 that management is an important factor determining forests dynamics, especially at the local scale 94 (Campetella et al., 2011; Vanneste et al., 2019). 95 Thus far, the study of plant-environment linkages focused mainly on traits informative on resource 96 acquisition and use strategies. These traits are associated with 1) aboveground organs, e.g., leaf-97 height-seed scheme (Westoby, 1998), leaf and wood economics spectra Wright et al., 2004; Chave 98 et al., 2009), and 2) belowground resource acquisition strategies, investigating roots and 99 mycorrhizal associations (e.g., Freschet et al., 2017; Laliberté, 2017). Nevertheless, other key plant 100 functions related to different ecological dimensions, namely on-spot persistence, space occupancy,

- 101 and recovery after damage, remain largely neglected (Weiher et al., 1999; Klimešová et al., 2018;
- 102 Chelli et al., 2019). Traits that can effectively capture these understudied functions are those
- 103 associated with clonality (Klimešová et al., 2011, 2017) and bud bank (Klimešová and Klimeš,
- 104 2007; Pausas and Keeley, 2014).
- 105 Clonality increases plant capacity to explore the space surrounding the parent plant, and in highly

- 106 heterogeneous habitats it may give a competitive advantage (Oborny et al., 2000; Yu et al., 2008).
- 107 Ecologically, clonality is even more beneficial to plants when associated with bud banks (i.e., if the
- 108 clonal organ carries buds). This facilitates the development of adventitious roots and new shoots
- 109 from clonal spacers (e.g., rhizomes, stolons), and enables plants to resprout after disturbance
- 110 including frost and drought (Klimešová and Klimeš, 2007), grazing (VanderWeide and Hartnett,
- 111 2015), fire (Pausas et al., 2018), and logging (Canullo et al., 2011a). Therefore, being clonal in
- 112 conjunction with having a bud bank, may provide plants with effective strategies to cope with
- 113 changing environments, disturbances and management regimes.
- 114 Clonal and bud bank traits-environment relationships have not been consistently studied across
- species, growth forms, ecosystems, and biomes (Klimešová and Doležal, 2011; Wellstein and Kuss,
- 116 2011; Ye et al., 2014; Qian et al., 2017; Klimešová and Herben, 2015). For instance, along a
- 117 biogeographical gradient, Ye et al. (2014) found that clonal herbs, but non clonal woody species,
- 118 occurred more frequently in cold, dry or instable habitats (i.e., high temperature seasonality and
- 119 high precipitation seasonality). Also, most of the research dealing with clonal and bud bank traits
- 120 were carried out in temperate grasslands (e.g., Klimešová et al., 2014; Klimešová and Herben,
- 121 2015) and in fire-prone ecosystems (e.g., Pausas and Keeley, 2014; Pausas et al., 2018). Drivers of
- 122 clonal and bud bank traits patterns in forests and their understories in any biome remain greatly
- 123 unexplored. This is a relevant research gap, since forests are among the most widespread and
- 124 complex terrestrial ecosystems. The understory supports the vast majority of forest plant diversity
- and plays a vital role in forest ecosystem functioning (e.g., soil processes, nutrient cycling and litter
 decomposition Gilliam 2014: Landuvt et al., 2018).
- 127 Plants with short and persistently connected spacers are generally associated with drier and/or less
- 128 productive sites, while plants with long spacers and short-lived connections are often advantaged in
- 129 wetter and/or more productive sites (Halassy et al., 2005; Klimešová et al., 2011; Klimešová and
- 130 Herben, 2015). In relation to bud bank traits, belowground bud bank size tends to be smaller in dry
- 131 and hot habitats (Qian et al., 2017). Also, bud banks are generally strongly affected by disturbances,
- 132 especially in managed forests (Campetella et al., 2011; Canullo et al., 2011a), as bud banks can
- 133 assist overcoming severe damage (Herben et al., 2016).
- Here, we aim to (1) identify trait-environment relationships of seven clonal and bud bank traits of
- 135 plants in the forest understory, and (2) quantify the relative contributions of climate, soil,
- 136 management in determining the community mean values of plant traits of the forest understories.
- 137 Italy was selected as model region for the research because (a) the country covers large latitudinal
- 138 and climatic gradients that include three biogeographic regions, i.e., Alpine, Continental,
- 139 Mediterranean, (b) Italy hosts a high number of plant species and forest types, (c) the country is
- 140 characterized by a long history of human exploitation of resources, e.g., wood and timber supply,

- 141 involving different management practices (see also Chelli et al. 2019), and (d) there is a high
- 142 diversity of soil types due to the great variety of pedogenetic processes (Costantini et al., 2013).
- 143 Given the range in within-country factors described above, we expected that: (H1) climate is the
- 144 main driver of traits associated with clonality and resprouting (macro-scale filter); (H2) traits
- 145 related to space occupancy ability (e.g., larger lateral spread) are more important in mesic, rich-soil
- 146 forests (habitat-scale filter); (H3) traits related to resprouting ability (e.g., perennial and larger
- 147 belowground bud bank) are more relevant in more intensively and recently managed forests
- 148 (habitat-scale filter).
- 149

150 **2. Materials and methods**

151 **2.1. Study area and sampling design**

152 The study area covered forested regions of Italy, estimated to be around 9 million hectares, 153 distributed in Mediterranean, Continental and Alpine biogeographic regions. The sampling design 154 was systematic and probabilistic (WGFB, 2011) and was based on a grid superimposed onto the whole country with cells of 16 km x 16 km, with each corner of this grid being included as a sample 155 156 area if a forest larger than 1 ha was found there (after a field-check). This grid belongs to the 157 transnational network for monitoring the forest health status in Europe (ICP Forests: http://icp-158 forests.net/). For the entire country, the sampling strategy resulted in a dataset composed by 201 159 sampling areas (forest stands; Figure 1). In each forest stand, we sampled a 400 m² area within 160 which we recorded the plant species composition. We collected data on presence/absence and coverage (%) for all understory vascular plants in each sampling area. The field sampling was done 161 162 during spring-summer 2006 following standard protocols (Allegrini et al., 2009; Canullo et al., 163 2011b).

164

165 **2.2. Explanatory variables**

166 For each sampling area we recorded sixteen explanatory variables within three categories: climate, soil, forest structure, management (Table 1). We obtained climate variables from the global 167 168 WorldClim database (first version; Hijmans et al., 2005); among the available parameters, we 169 selected six variables, related to temperature and precipitation variability (temperature seasonality, 170 precipitation seasonality) and extremes (maximum temperature of the warmest month, minimum temperature of the coldest month, precipitation of the driest month, precipitation of the wettest 171 172 month). They were selected as they can influence both vegetative and regenerative functional traits (e.g., Ye et al., 2014; Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al., 2017). In each sampling area, we measured four 173 soil variables according to standard procedures (Andreetta et al., 2016; Table 1). The soil variables 174 175 chosen were indicative of soil nutrient status (topsoil available potassium (K)), nitrogen availability 176 (N/C; Rowe et al., 2011), regulation of nutrient availability (soil pH), and water holding capacity 177 (effective soil volume) and all of the have been shown to potentially influence plant traits (e.g., Chen et al., 2019). In addition, at each forest sampling site we measured six variables related to 178 forest management and structure (Table 1) – referred to management hereafter. Basal area $(m^2 ha)$ – 179 180 which is correlated with the total woody biomass, stand maturity and successional stage (Pinho et 181 al., 2018 and references therein), total vegetation cover (including overstory), and litter cover. These 182 three variables are recognized key biotic drivers determining microhabitat suitability to species, 183 especially for forest understory vegetation, as these parameters can largely contribute to 184 microclimatic buffering capacity (Kovács et al., 2017). We collected current land-use data related to 185 deadwood removal, and total released deadwood. In particular, deadwood removal is linked to 186 management practices aimed at avoiding the spreading of diseases, pests, or fires (Travaglini et al., 187 2007). Total released deadwood is widely considered a good proxy for disturbance intensity in 188 managed forests, due to linkages with stand management gradients (Schall and Ammer, 2013; 189 Puletti et al., 2017).

190

191 **2.3. Clonal and bud bank traits**

192 We collected seven binary (i.e., presence/absence) clonal and bud bank traits (Table 2) from existing

193 literature (Canullo et al., 2011a; Campetella et al., 2011) and available databases (CLOPLA3;

194 Klimešová et al., 2017). These traits capture functional axes that have received less attention

195 (Ottaviani et al., 2017; Klimešová et al., 2018), namely space occupancy (i.e., clonality, fast lateral

196 spread; Table 2), ability to recover after disturbance (i.e., clonal growth organ position

197 belowground, bud protection, large bud bank, perennial bud bank belowground; Table 2), capacity

198 to share resources among ramets (long-term connection; Table 2) – all affecting plant persistence.

199 We assigned clonal and bud bank traits to all the understory species contributing to reach relative

200 cumulative coverage of 80% in each sampling area (Pakeman and Quested, 2007). Clonal and bud

201 bank attributes were available for 75% of the species. Traits were then weighted according to

202 species coverage at plot scale so to obtain community weighted mean values (hereafter referred to

- as CWM, Garnier et al., 2004).
- 204

205 **2.4. Data analysis**

206 Explanatory variables selection

We carried out stepwise ordination in order to identify the most parsimonious set of single explanatory variables for all traits together, and clonal and bud bank traits separately (Økland and Eilertsen, 1994). Stepwise forward ordination is a procedure for selecting a subset of explanatory variables from the set of all variables available for a constrained ordination. The goal was to reduce 211 the number of explanatory variables in the analysis, while maximizing the variation explained by

- 212 predictors (Blanchet et al., 2008).
- 213

214 Redundancy Analysis (RDA) and Variance Partitioning

215 We performed Redundancy Analysis (RDA) to observe correlations between explanatory variables and traits, as CWM trait values were linearly related to environmental variables (Lepš and Šmilauer, 216 217 2003). RDA is a constrained Principle Components Analysis (PCA) so that the axes are linear 218 combinations of the environmental variables and is hence equivalent to a constrained multivariate 219 multiple regression. Finally, we used variance partitioning (Borcard et al., 1992) to identify the 220 contributions of different environmental groups (i.e., climate, soil, management) alone and in combination to explain trait variation (as adjusted R^2). The stepwise-selected categorical variables 221 (i.e., only deadwood removal) have been decomposed using PCA (Appendix S1). The first 222 223 component of the PCA has been included in the RDA and in the variance partitioning analyses as a 224 continuous variable.

225

We performed all the statistical analyses in R environment, version 3.2.2 (R Development Core Team, 2015) on incidence plots x traits matrix with community weighted mean data. The following R packages were used: *vegan* (functions *ordistep*, *varpart* and *rda*) for stepwise ordination, variance partitioning, and redundancy analysis; *stats* (function *prcomp*) for PCA (Borcard et al., 1992; Blanchet et al., 2008; Legendre and Legendre, 2012).

231

232 **3. Results**

233 **3.1. Selection of explanatory variables**

234 The stepwise selection of explanatory variables resulted in the selection of 8 out of 16 variables retained at $P \le 0.05$ (Appendix S2). Minimum temperature of the coldest month had a significant 235 236 effect on all traits and clonal traits, while temperature seasonality and maximum temperature of the warmest month influenced mainly bud bank traits. Precipitation of the wettest month exhibited a 237 238 significant relationship with all clonal and bud bank traits, and exerted a main effect on bud bank 239 traits. Only two soil variables contributed to the variation of traits: N/C and topsoil available K had 240 a marginal influence on all clonal and bud ban traits. Basal area and deadwood removal also were selected to explain trait variation. Deadwood removal in particular showed the highest percentage 241 242 of variance explained for all, clonal and bud bank traits (Appendix S2).

243

244 **3.2.** Relationship between CWM values and environmental variables

245 The RDA based on clonal and bud bank trait CWM values of Italian forest understories including

246 the variables identified by the stepwise selection was significant (P < 0.001; Fig. 2). The first axis accounted for 15.3% of the variability, and was primarily related to temperature variables and, to a 247 lesser degree, soil parameters. The second axis explained only 1.7% of the total variability and it 248 was primarily associated with precipitation and forest management. Along the first RDA axis, 249 negative values were related to forest stands characterized by higher temperature seasonality and 250 251 lower temperature of both the coldest and warmest month. They are mainly located in the Alpine 252 and Continental biogeographic regions of Central and Northern Italy on nutrient poor soils. Forests 253 in that grouping also were more mature (higher basal area) and the forest understory vegetation was 254 characterized by a higher percentage of clonal species with belowground clonal organs, long-term 255 connections among ramets, and higher resprouting abilities (large belowground bud bank; Fig. 2). 256 Positive values on the first RDA axis were forest stands mainly located in the Mediterranean biogeographic region. Forests in that area had warmer climatic conditions and occurred on richer 257 258 soils, with understory plants characterized by lower abilities to occupy space and resprout (Fig. 2). 259 Because the second RDA axis accounted for very little of the overall variation in the data, it is 260 difficult to draw conclusions regarding forest characteristics and clonal traits of the understory 261 vegetation but several features are worth mentioning. For example, negative values on the second 262 axis identified forest stands with higher precipitation in the wettest month and less intense 263 management, i.e., with no deadwood removal. These forests were characterized by understory 264 communities with fast lateral spread and perennial belowground bud bank (Fig. 2). Positive values 265 described plots having opposed environmental conditions (i.e., more intensively managed and with 266 lower precipitation in the wettest month), and distinguished by opposing trait patterns (i.e., slower 267 lateral spread, and short-lived belowground bud bank).

268

269 **3.3. Variance partitioning**

The amount of total variation explained by the three groups of variable-types (i.e., climate, soil, management parameters) was 14.8% for all traits, 16.0% for clonal traits and 14.3% for bud bank traits (Fig. 3a). Climate alone explained the largest proportion of the variation for all (9.9%), clonal (10.4%) and bud bank traits (10.9%), amounting approximately between 65% and 75% of standardized variation (Fig. 3b). Forest management and soil variables alone did not have strong relationships with clonal and bud bank traits (<1%, Fig. 3). The interaction between climate and soil variables explained a limited variation of the trait groups (between 2.5% and 3.0%).

277

278 4. Discussion

4.1. Climate as the main driver of clonal and bud bank traits in Italian forest understories

280 Consistent with our prediction (H1), climate alone played a major role in controlling the CWM

281 variation of most of the clonal and bud bank traits. Climate explained approximately between 65% 282 and 75% of standardized variation (Fig. 3b) and it was related to clonal and bud bank traits that described space occupancy and resprouting abilities (hence persistence process; Klimešová et al., 283 284 2018). Our results were consistent with other large-scale studies based on plant functional traits 285 informative on nutrient acquisition and use strategies (e.g., leaf economics traits; Laughlin et al., 286 2011). Temperature extremes, such as minimum temperature of the coldest month, had a pervasive 287 effect on clonal traits. This signal can be interpreted as these traits being strongly affected, and 288 filtered by temperature-related constraints, similarly to what revealed for other traits associated with 289 resource acquisition and use along elevation gradients (Milla and Reich, 2011; Read et al., 2014; 290 Ottaviani et al., 2019). Management and soil variables alone played a marginal role on trait patterns 291 - unexpected result, especially for bud bank traits which were linked to disturbance regimes 292 (Klimešová and Klimeš, 2007; Pausas and Keeley, 2014; VanderWeide and Hartnett, 2015).

293 Our study area spans three biogeographic regions and probably the large variability of climatic conditions across Italy may have contributed to climate being the key driver of trait patterns and 294 295 further explaining why the local effects of soil and management factors were less important. 296 However, soil features exerted a certain influence on traits when joined with climate (Le Bagousse-297 Pinguet et al., 2017). The results of this study indicate that key soil properties only had weak effects 298 on traits (especially for clonal traits). This result contrasts with findings from other regions, where 299 different functional traits were used, such as foliar economics traits (e.g., Bernard-Verdier et al., 300 2012; Ottaviani et al., 2016; Pinho et al., 2018). Different results between our study and others 301 could be explained by that fact that soil features may be better linked to acquisitive function and 302 traits (Zemunik et al., 2015; Simpson et al., 2016; Pinho et al., 2018). Also, clonal and bud bank 303 traits could be related to trade-offs between different plant functions, namely resource acquisition vs 304 persistence (Bellingham and Sparrow, 2000; Clarke and Knox, 2009; Klimešová et al., 2018) more than soil properties. Overall, our findings stress the need of including traits informative on different 305 functions (e.g., resource acquisition, space occupancy, resprouting after disturbance) when aiming 306 307 at disentangling plant-environment linkages comprehensively (Klimešová et al., 2018).

308

309 4.2. Trajectory of plant trait-environment links

Our findings that more mesic and colder forests hosted understory communities with higher clonal and resprouting abilities was in line with previous studies (Ye et al., 2014; Vojtkó et al., 2017), partially supporting H2. However, these forests were also poorer in soil nutrients, thus contrasting the second part of our hypothesis, in which we predicted higher importance of traits related to space occupancy ability in more mesic, richer soils forests. Drier, warmer forests plots were characterized by understory communities exhibiting both reduced abilities to occupy space and to resprout, 316 possibly due to tradeoffs between different functions, i.e., persistence vs acquisition (see Bellingham and Sparrow, 2000; Clarke and Knox, 2009; Klimešová et al., 2018). The results 317 suggest that in poorer environments, space occupancy and resprouting ability could be constrained 318 by limiting resources available to support plant growth. The evidence of prevailing clonal strategies 319 320 in cold forests contribute also to the open debate about the dominance of clonality in cold 321 environments as an effective strategy under constraining conditions (Klimešová and Doležal, 2011). 322 We found that less disturbed forests were distinguished by understory communities having 323 perennial belowground bud bank. This result was contrary to the prediction of greater importance 324 of traits related to bud bank-resprouting ability in more disturbed stands (H3). Bud banks are known 325 to act as a buffer against disturbance (see Klimešová and Herben, 2015, and references therein). Our 326 results may be related to the severity of disturbance as clonal plants may have not been able to build 327 sufficient storage of carbohydrates for resprouting when the disturbances are severe (Iwasa and 328 Kubo, 1997). This could be the case of recently coppiced forests in which stands were exposed to 329 more severe drought, frost, and soil erosion (Ciancio et al., 2006). These abiotic limitations and 330 processes can generate a cascade of detrimental effects on bulk density or porosity and depletion of 331 the soil organic matter and other nutrients (Rubio and Escudero, 2003). Under these circumstances, the prevalence of seed regeneration is more likely to occur than vegetative reproduction (Klimešová 332 and Herben, 2015), and such regeneration from seeds is usually negatively correlated with 333 resprouting capacity (Bellingham and Sparrow, 2000). Additionally, in less disturbed forests, 334 335 understories showed higher space occupancy ability (i.e., fast lateral spread). This increased mobility probably reflected an effective strategy responding to the higher spatio-temporal 336 337 patchiness of light in late successional forests (foraging ability, Sammul et al., 2004; Canullo et al., 338 2011a).

339

340 4.3. Conclusions and future directions

The degree to which trait variation was explained by environmental variables (14.3%-16.0%) in this 341 study was comparable to results of related studies in other forests (e.g., 9-31%, Vanneste et al., 342 343 2019). However, our research was based on a probabilistic sampling design (representative of the 344 entire set of Italian forest types), and not on selected gradients (e.g., see Vanneste et al., 2019). This 345 implied that we did not homogenize any of the environmental variables, so including a large environmental variability, that may be the main cause of the unexplained variance in the models. 346 347 Yet, this was also one of the strongholds of this study: results emerging from environmental gradients are considered key to further the understanding of species and trait assembly in plant 348 communities (e.g., von Humboldt and Bonpland, 1807; Schimper, 1903; Swenson and Weiser, 349 2010). At the biogeographic scale, climate confirmed its lion-share role in determining persistence-350

351 related traits, as revealed for acquisition traits (e.g., Laughlin et al., 2011; Wieczynski et al., 2019). 352 At the local-scale, other interplaying factors (e.g., management, soil variables) may come into play in shaping plant trait patterns. This evidence stresses the importance of implementing multiple-scale 353 354 trait-based approaches (Hulshof and Swenson, 2010; Mokany and Roxburgh, 2010). The plant trait-355 environment links reported in our study were essentially produced by species turnover and/or changes in species cover values, and not by intraspecific variation. Therefore, we call for future 356 357 studies to incorporate i) intraspecific (and, ideally, intra-clonal) trait variation, as it can play a 358 fundamental role in plant community responses to changing environments (e.g., Hulshof and 359 Swenson, 2010; Kichenin et al., 2013), and ii) traits capturing the widest possible functional 360 spectrum (Weiher et al., 1999; Klimešová et al., 2018). This way, a more realistic and 361 comprehensive understanding of community assembly and ecosystem functioning of forest 362 understories could be effectively achieved.

363

364 Acknowledgements

We thank the Handling Editor Prof. Jitka Klimešová and two anonymous referees for their valuable and constructive comments. This work was partially supported by the Thünen Institute (Hamburg) and the ICP Forests' Italian Focal Centre (CUFA, Comando per la Tutela della Biodiversità e dei Parchi - Ufficio Studi e Progetti, Roma). G.O. was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (14-36079G and 16-19245S). S.B. was supported by the GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00019 project.

371

372 Supplementary material

373 Appendix S1. PCA of the stepwise selected categorical variable "deadwood removal".

Appendix S2. Effects of each climate, soil, and management variables for all traits, clonal traits and
bud bank traits.

376

377 **REFERENCES**

- 378 Allegrini, M.C., Canullo, R., Campetella, G., 2009. ICP-Forests (International Co-operative
- 379 Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests): Quality
- 380 Assurance procedure in plant diversity monitoring. J. Environ. Monit. 11, 782–787.
- 381 Andreetta, A., Cecchini, G., Bonifacio, E., Comolli, R., Vingiani, S., Carnicelli, S., 2016. Tree or
- soil? Factors influencing humus form differentiation in Italian forests. Geoderma 264, 195–204.
- 383 Bellingham, P.J., Sparrow, A.D., 2000. Resprouting as a life history strategy in woody plant
- 384 communities. Oikos 89: 409–416.
- 385 Bernard-Verdier, M., Navas, M.-L., Vellend, M., Violle, C., Fayolle, A., Garnier, E., 2012.

- 386 Community assembly along a soil depth gradient: contrasting patterns of plant trait convergence
- and divergence in a Mediterranean rangeland. J. Ecol. 100, 1422–1433.
- 388 Blanchet, F.G., Legendre, P., Borcard, D., 2008. Forward selection of explanatory variables.
- 389 Ecology 89, 2623–2632.
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P., Drapeau, P., 1992. Partialling out the spatial component of ecological
 variation. Ecology 73, 1045–1055.
- Borgy, B., Violle, C., Choler, P., Denelle, P., Munoz, F., Kattge, J., ... Van Bodegom, P.M., 2017.
- Plant community structure and nitrogen inputs modulate the climate signal on leaf traits. Global
 Ecol. Biogeogr. 26, 1138–1152.
- Bruelheide, H., Dengler, J., Purschke, O., Lenoir, J., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Hennekens, S. M., ... &
 Kattge, J., 2018. Global trait–environment relationships of plant communities. Nature ecology &
 evolution 2, 1906.
- 398 Campetella, G., Botta-Dukat, Z., Wellstein, C., Canullo, R., Gatto, S., Chelli, S., Mucina, L. Bartha,
- 399 S., 2011. Patterns of plant trait–environment relationships along a forest succession
- 400 chronosequence. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 145, 38–48.
- 401 Canullo, R., Campetella, G., Mucina, L., Chelli, S., Wellstein, C., Bartha, S., 2011a. Patterns of
 402 clonal growth modes along a chronosequence of post-coppice forest regeneration in beech
- 403 forests of Central Italy. Folia Geobot. 46, 271–288.
- Canullo, R., Starlinger, F., Granke, O., Fischer, R., Aamlid, D., Neville, P., 2011b. Assessment of
 Ground Vegetation. Manual Part VII.1, 19 pp. UN\ECE ICP Forests Programme Coordinating
 Centre, Hamburg.
- 407 Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S.L., Swenson, N.G., Zanne, A.E., 2009. Towards a
 408 worldwide wood economics spectrum. Ecol. Lett. 12, 351–366.
- 409 Chelli, S., Marignani, M., Barni, E., Petraglia, A., Puglielli, G., Wellstein, C., ..., Cerabolini, B.E.L.,
- 410 2019. Plant-environment interactions through a functional traits perspective: a review of Italian
- 411 studies. Plant Biosyst. DOI: 10.1080/11263504.2018.1559250
- Chen, D., Ali, A., Yong, X.H., Lin, C.G., Niu, X.H., Cai, A.M., ... & Yu, F.H., 2019. A multi-species
 comparison of selective placement patterns of ramets in invasive alien and native clonal plants to
- 414 light, soil nutrient and water heterogeneity. Sci. Tot. Environ. 657, 1568–1577.
- 415 Ciancio, O., Corona, P., Lamonaca, A., Portoghesi, L., Travaglini, D., 2006. Conversion of clearcut
- 416 beech coppices into high forests with continuous cover: a case study in central Italy. For. Ecol.
 417 Manag. 224, 235–240.
- 418 Clarke, P.J., Knox, K.J.E., 2009. Trade-offs in resource allocation that favour resprouting affect the
- 419 competitive ability of woody seedlings in grassy communities. J. Ecol. 97, 1374–1382.
- 420 Costantini, E., Roberto, Barbetti, R., Fantappiè, M., L'Abate, G., Lorenzetti, R., Magini, S., 2013.

- 421 Pedodiversity. World soils book series. 105–178.
- Freschet, G.T., Roumet, C., Treseder, K., 2017. Sampling roots to capture plant and soil functions.
 Funct Ecol, 31, 1506–1518.
- Garnier, E., Cortez, J., Billès, G., Navas, M.L., Roumet, C., Debussche, M., Laurent, G., Blanchard,
 A., Aubry, D., Bellmann, A., Neill, C., 2004. Plant functional markers capture ecosystem
 properties during secondary succession. Ecology 85, 2630–2637.
- Gilliam, F.S., 2014. The herbaceous layer in forests of eastern North America. Oxford University
 Press, New York.
- Halassy, M., Campetella, G., Canullo, R., Mucina, L., 2005. Patterns of functional clonal traits and
 clonal growth modes in contrasting grasslands in the central Apennines, Italy. J. Veg. Sci. 16, 29–
 36.
- 432 Herben T., Chytry M., Klimešová J., 2016. A quest for species-level indicator values for
- 433 disturbance. J. Veg. Sci. 27, 628–636.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution
 interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 25, 1965–1978.
- Hulshof C.M., Swenson N.G., 2010. Variation in leaf functional trait values within and across
 individuals and species: an example from a Costa Rican dry forest. Funct. Ecol. 24, 217–23.
- 438 Iwasa, Y., Kubo, T., 1997. Optimal size of storage for recovery after unpredictable disturbances.
- 439 Evol. Ecol. 11, 41–65.
- Kichenin, E., Wardle, D.A., Peltzer, D.A., Morse, C.W., Freschet, G.T., 2013. Contrasting effects of
 plant inter and intraspecific variation on community level trait measures along an
- 442 environmental gradient. Funct. Ecol. 27, 1254–1261.
- 443 Klimešová, J., Klimeš, L., 2007. Bud banks and their role in vegetative regeneration–a literature
- review and proposal for simple classification and assessment. Perspect. Plant. Ecol. 8, 115–129.
- 445 Klimešová, J., Doležal, J., 2011. Are clonal plants more frequent in cold environments than
- 446 elsewhere? Plant Ecol. Div. 4, 373–378.
- 447 Klimešová, J., Doležal, J., Sammul, M., 2011. Evolutionary and organismic constraints on the
- relationship between spacer length and environmental conditions in clonal plants. Oikos 120,
 1110–1120.
- 450 Klimešová, J., Malíková, L., Rosenthal, J., Šmilauer, P., 2014. Potential bud bank responses to
- 451 apical meristem damage and environmental variables: matching or complementing axillary
 452 meristems? PloS one 9(2), e88093.
- Klimešová, J., Herben, T., 2015. Clonal and bud bank traits: patterns across temperate plant
 communities. J. Veg. Sci. 26, 243–253.
- 455 Klimešová, J., Danihelka, J., Chrtek, J., Bello, F., & Herben, T. (2017). CLO DPLA: a database of

- 456 clonal and bud □ bank traits of the Central European flora. Ecology 98, 1179–1179.
- Klimešová J, Martínková J, Ottaviani G., 2018. Belowground plant functional ecology: Towards an
 integrated perspective. Funct. Ecol. 32, 2115–2126.
- Kovács, B., Tinya, F., Ódor, P., 2017. Stand structural drivers of microclimate in mature temperate
 mixed forests. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 234–235, 11–21.
- 461 Laliberté, E., 2017. Below-ground frontiers in trait-based plant ecology. New Phytol. 213, 1597–
 462 1603.
- Landuyt, D., Perring, M. P., Seidl, R., Taubert, F., Verbeeck, H., & Verheyen, K., 2018. Modelling
 understorey dynamics in temperate forests under global change–Challenges and perspectives.
- 465 Perspect. Plant Ecol. 31, 44–54.
- Laughlin, D.C., Fule, P.Z., Huffman, D.W., Crouse, J., Laliberte, E., 2011. Climatic constraints on
 trait □ based forest assembly. J. Ecol. 99, 1489–1499.
- 468 Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., Gross, N., Maestre, F.T., Maire, V., de Bello, F., Fonseca, C.R., Kattge, J.,
- Valencia, E., Leps, J.. Liancourt, P., 2017. Testing the environmental filtering concept in global
 drylands. J. Ecol. 105, 1058–1069.
- 471 Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 2012. Numerical ecology, 3rd English edition. Elsevier Science BV,
 472 Amsterdam.
- 473 Lepš, J., Šmilauer, P., 2003. Multivariate analysis of ecological data using CANOCO. Cambridge
 474 university press.
- 475 Lohbeck, M., Poorter, L., Lebrija-Trejos, E., Martínez-Ramos, M., Meave, J.A., Paz, H., Pérez-
- García, E., Romero-Pérez, E., Tauro, A., Bongers, F., 2013. Successional changes in functional
 composition contrast for dry and wet tropical forest. Ecology 94, 1211–1216.
- 478 Milla, R., Reich, P.B., 2011. Multi-trait interactions, not phylogeny, fine-tune leaf size reduction
 479 with increasing altitude. Ann. Bot. 107, 455–465.
- 480 Mokany K., Roxburgh S.H., 2010. The importance of spatial scale for trait–abundance relations.
 481 Oikos 119, 1504–1514.
- 482 Oborny, B., Kun, Á., Czárán, T., Bokros, S., 2000. The effect of clonal integration on plant
 483 competition for mosaic habitat space. Ecology 81, 3291–3304.
- Økland, R.H., Eilertsen, O., 1994. Canonical correspondence analysis with variation partitioning:
 some comments and an application. J. Veg. Sci. 5, 117–126.
- 486 Ottaviani, G., Martínková, J., Herben, T., Pausas, J. G., Klimešová, J., 2017. On Plant Modularity
 487 Traits: Functions and Challenges. Trends Plant Sci. 22, 648–651.
- 488 Ottaviani, G., Marcantonio, M., Mucina, L. 2016. Soil depth shapes plant functional diversity in
- 489 granite outcrops vegetation of Southwestern Australia. Plant Ecol. Divers. 9, 263–276.
- 490 Ottaviani, G., Götzenberger, L., Bacaro, G., Chiarucci, A., de Bello, F., Marcantonio, M. 2019. A

- 491 multifaceted approach for beech forest conservation: environmental drivers of understory plant
- 492 diversity. Flora 256, 85–91.
- Pakeman, R.J., Quested, H.M., 2007. Sampling plant functional traits: what proportion of the
 species need to be measured? Appl. Veg. Sci. 10, 91–96.
- Pausas, J.G., Keeley, J.E., 2014. Evolutionary ecology of resprouting and seeding in fire □ prone
 ecosystems. New Phytol. 204, 55–65.
- Pausas, J.G., Lamont, B.B., Paula, S., Appezzato-da-Glória, B., Fidelis, A., 2018. Unearthing
 belowground bud banks in fire-prone ecosystems. New Phytol. doi: 10.1111/nph.14982
- 499 Pinho, B.X., Melo, F.P., Arroyo Rodríguez, V., Pierce, S., Lohbeck, M., Tabarelli, M., 2018.
- Soil□mediated filtering organizes tree assemblages in regenerating tropical forests. J. Ecol. 106,
 137–147.
- Puletti, N., Giannetti, F., Chirici, G., Canullo, R., 2017. Deadwood distribution in European forests.
 J. Maps 13, 733–736.
- Qian, J., Wang, Z., Klimešová, J., Lü, X., Kuang, W., Liu, Z., Han, X., 2017. Differences in belowground bud bank density and composition along a climatic gradient in the temperate steppe of
 northern China. Ann. Bot. 120, 755–764.
- R Core Team, 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>
- Read, Q.D., Moorhead, L.C., Swenson, N.G., Bailey, J.K., Sanders, N.J., Fox, C., 2014. Convergent
 effects of elevation on functional leaf traits within and among species. Funct. Ecol. 28, 37–45.
- Rowe, E.C., Emmett, B.A., Smart, S.M., Frogbrook, Z.L., 2011. A new net mineralizable nitrogen
 assay improves predictions of floristic composition. J. Veg. Sci. 22, 251–261.
- Rubio, A., Escudero, A., 2003. Clear-cut effects on chestnut forest soils under stressful conditions:
 lengthening of time-rotation. For. Ecol. Manag. 183, 195–204.
- Sammul, M., Kull, K., Niitla, T., Möls, T., 2004. A comparison of plant communities on the basis of
 their clonal patterns. Evol. Ecol. 18, 443–467.
- 517 Schall, P., Ammer, C., 2013. How to quantify forest management intensity in Central European
 518 forests. Eur. J. For. Res. 132, 379–396.
- 519 Schimper, A.F.W., 1903. Plant-geography upon a physiological basis. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.
- 520 Simpson, A.H., Richardson, S.J., Laughlin, D.C., 2016. Soil-climate interactions explain variation
- in foliar, stem, root and reproductive traits across temperate forests. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 25,
 964–978.
- Swenson, N.G., & Weiser, M.D., 2010. Plant geography upon the basis of functional traits: an
 example from eastern North American trees. Ecology 91, 2234–2241.
- 525 Travaglini, D., Barbati, A., Chirici, G., Lombardi, F., Marchetti, M., Corona, P., 2007. ForestBIOTA

- 526 data on deadwood monitoring in Europe. Plant Biosyst. 141, 222–230.
- VanderWeide, B.L., Hartnett, D.C., 2015. Belowground bud bank response to grazing under severe,
 short-term drought. Oecologia 178, 795–806.
- 529 Vanneste, T., Valdés, A., Verheyen, K., Perring, M. P., Bernhardt-Römermann, M., Andrieu, E., ... &
- 530 De Frenne, P., 2019. Functional trait variation of forest understorey plant communities across
- 531 Europe. Basic Appl Ecol 34, 1–14.
- Violle, C., Navas, M.L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., Garnier, E., 2007. Let the
 concept of trait be functional! Oikos 116, 882–892.
- von Humboldt, A., Bonpland, A., 1807. Essay on the Geography of Plants. Paris.
- 535 Vojtkó, A.E., Freitag, M., Bricca, A., Martello, F., Moreno, J., Küttim, C.M., Kun, K., de Bello, F.,
- Klimešová, J., Götzenberger, L., 2017. Clonal vs leaf-height-seed (LHS) traits: which are filtered
 more strongly across habitats? Folia Geobot. 52, 269–281.
- 538 Weiher, E., van der Werf, A., Thompson, K., Roderick, M., Garnier, E., Eriksson, O., 1999.
- 539 Challenging Theophrastus: a common core list of plant traits for functional ecology. J. Veg. Sci.540 10, 609–620.
- Wellstein, C., Kuss, P., 2011. Diversity and frequency of clonal traits along natural and land-use
 gradients in grasslands of the Swiss Alps. Folia Geobot. 46, 255–270.
- 543 Westoby, M., 1998. A Leaf-height-seed (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme. Plant Soil 199, 213–
 544 227.
- 545 WGFB, 2011. The BioSoil Forest Biodiversity field manual, version 1.0/1.1/1.1a for the field
- 546 assessment 2006-07. In: JRC Inst. for Environment and Sustainability (ed) Evaluation of
- 547 BioSoil Demonstration Project: Forest Biodiversity. Publications Office of the European Union,
- 548 Luxembourg, pp 81-102. doi:10.2788/84823
- 549 Wieczynski, D.J., Boyle, B., Buzzard, V., Duran, S.M., Henderson, A.N., Hulshof, C.M., Kerkhoff,
- A.J., McCarthy M.C., Michaletz, S.T., Swenson, N.G., Asner, G.P., Bentley, L.P., Enquist, B.J.,
- 551 Savage, V.M., 2019. Climate shapes and shifts functional biodiversity in forests worldwide.
- 552 PNAS 116, 587–592.
- Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M. Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., ..., Flexas, J., 2004.
 The worldwide leaf economic spectrum. Nature 428, 821–827.
- 555 Ye, D., Hu, Y., Song, M., Pan, X., Xie, X., Liu, G., Ye, X., Dong, M., 2014. Clonality-climate
- relationships along latitudinal gradient across China: adaptation of clonality to environments.
 PloS one, 9(4), e94009.
- Yu, F., Wang, N., He, W., Chu, Y., Dong, M., 2008. Adaptation of rhizome connections in drylands:
 increasing tolerance of clones to wind erosion. Ann. Bot. 102, 571–577.
- 560 Zemunik, G., Turner, B. L., Lambers, H., & Laliberté, E. (2015). Diversity of plant nutrient-

acquisition strategies increases during long-term ecosystem development. Nature Plants 1,

562 15050.

563

565 TABLES

Group	Variable	Abbreviation	Unit	Range	Notes
Climate	Temperature seasonality	T_season	CV (%)	51 - 75	Source: Hijmans et al. (2005), WorldClim
	Precipitation seasonality	P_season	CV (%)	7-64	Source: Hijmans et al. (2005), WorldClim
	Max temperature of the warmest month	max_T_warmest_m	°C	9.2 - 31.5	Source: Hijmans et al. (2005), WorldClim
	Min temperature of the coldest month	min_T_coldest_m	°C	-10.5 - 7.1	Source: Hijmans et al. (2005), WorldClim
	Precipitation of the wettest month	P_wettest_m	mm	65 – 155	Source: Hijmans et al. (2005), WorldClim
	Precipitation of the driest month	P_driest_m	mm	4 - 102	Source: Hijmans et al. (2005), WorldClim
Soil	Soil pH	pH		4 - 8.6	Source: Andreetta et al. (2016)
	N/C	N_C	Na	0.05 - 0.19	Source: Andreetta et al. (2016)
	Topsoil available K	Soil_aval_K	cmol+/K g	0.01 – 7	Unpublished data
	Effective soil volume	Soil_volume	cm	4.5 – 170	Good proxy of water holding capacity. Source: Andreetta et al. (2016)
Structure	Total vegetation cover	Tot_veg_cov	%	40 - 100	Biotic driver of vegetation.
and manageme	Litter cover	Litter_cover	%	2 - 100	Biotic driver of vegetation.
nt	Basal area	Basal_area	m²/ha	2.8-69	Related to the total woody biomass
	Current land-use	Current_landuse	Classes	4 categories	Unmanaged, managed >10yrs ago, managed within 10 yrs, unknown.
	Total released deadwood	Total_deadwood	m ³ /400m ²	0-15	Good proxy of disturbance intensity.
	Deadwood removal	Deadwood_removal	Classes	5 categories	Yes, partly, accumulation in piles, no, unknown.

Table 1. Description of the explanatory variables with units, ranges, main references and notes.

- **Table 2.** Plant clonal and bud bank traits, with acronyms used in the study, unit (binary,
- 569 presence/absence), and definitions.

Group	Plant trait	Abbreviation	Unit	Definition
	Clonality	Clonality	Yes/no	Ability to reproduce vegetative by means of clonal growth organs
	Clonal growth organ belowground	CGO_below	Yes/no	The clonal growth organ is positioned belowground.
	Long-term connections	Conn_long	Yes/no	Persistence of connections among ramets > 2 yrs
Clonal traits	Fast lateral spread	Spread_fast	Yes/no	Clonal lateral spreading > 0.25 m/yr
	Bud protection	Bud_protection	Yes/no	Buds protected by specialized scale leaves
Bud bank traits	Large bud bank	BB_large	Yes/no	Stem- and root-derived buds above- or belowground >10
	Perennial bud bank belowground	Perenn_BB_be low	Yes/no	Persistence of belowground bud bearing organs > 2 yrs

572 FIGURES

- 573 **Figure 1.** Map showing the location of the 201 plots in Italy (left panel). On the right, the most
- bir abundant types are represented: 1 alpine coniferous forest; 2 beech forest; 3 deciduous oak forest; 4
- 575 evergreen Mediterranean maquis.



- 578 Figure 2. Redundancy analysis diagram showing the CWM trait values for the Italian forest
- 579 understories constrained by the environmental variables identified by the stepwise selection
- procedure. Red labels indicate response variables (traits; see table 2 for abbreviations), whereas 580
- 581 blue labels and arrows report predictors (variables related to climate, soil, structure and
- management; see table 1 and 2 for abbreviations). 582



Figure 3. Variance partitioning (% of adjusted R²) explained by climate, soil, forest management and structure variable types (and their interactions) for the CWM of all traits, clonal and bud bank traits in the Italian forest understories. a) explained *vs* unexplained variance; b) focus on explained variance (standardized at 100%).

590 a)

