

1 THE DIRTY DOZEN: TAXONOMICAL AND TAPHONOMICAL OVERVIEW OF AN
2 UNIQUE ANKYLOSAURIAN (DINOSAURIA: ORNITHISCHIA) ASSEMBLAGE FROM
3 THE SANTONIAN IHARKÚT LOCALITY, HUNGARY

4

5 Attila Ósi^{1,2,*}, Gábor Botfalvai^{2,3}, Gáspár Albert⁴, Zsófia Hajdu⁵

6

7 ¹Eötvös University, Department of Paleontology, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Budapest 1117,
8 Hungary, hungaros@gmail.com

9 ²Hungarian Natural History Museum, Ludovika tér 2, Budapest, 1083, Hungary

10 ³ Eötvös University, Department of Physical and Applied Geology, Pázmány Péter sétány
11 1/C, Budapest 111

12 ⁴Eötvös University, Department of Cartography and Geoinformatics, Pázmány Péter sétány
13 1/A, Budapest 1117, Hungary

14 ⁵MTA–ELTE Lendület Dinosaur Research Group, Budapest, Hungary

15

16

17 *Corresponding author: Attila Ósi, +36 30 374 87 63, hungaros@gmail.com

18

19

20

21 **Key words:** *Hungarosaurus*; *Struthiosaurus*; mass death assemblage, bone mapping, Late
22 Cretaceous; Hungary.

23

24

25

26 **Acknowledgements**

27 We are grateful to Xabier Pereda-Suberbiola and James Kirkland for their constructive
28 comments that greatly improved the manuscript. We thank to R. Kalmár (Hungarian Natural
29 History Museum, Budapest) for technical assistance both on the field and in the collection.
30 We thank the 2001–2016 field crews for their assistance in the fieldwork. We are grateful to
31 the Bakony Bauxite Mines and to Geovolán Zrt. for their logistic help. Field and laboratory
32 work was supported by the Hungarian Natural History Museum, the National Geographic
33 Society (Grant Nos. 7228-02, 7508-03), the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA T-
34 38045, PD 73021, NF 84193). This project was supported by the National Research,
35 Development and Innovation Office (Grant no. NKFIH, 116665), Lendület Program of the
36 Hungarian Academy of Sciences (Grant no. 95102), the Jurassic Foundation, the Hungarian
37 Dinosaur Foundation, the ÚNKP-17-3 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry of
38 Human Capacities (Grant no. ELTE/12422/16) and the Sepkoski Grants for 2018 of
39 Paleontological Society International Research Program (PalSIRP).

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75

Abstract

Ankylosaurian fossils are usually standard elements of Cretaceous continental vertebrate localities, however bone-yielding horizons including more than one individual are extremely rare. Here we present a unique assemblage of 12 partial articulated or associated ankylosaurian skeletons and thousands of isolated bones and teeth discovered from the Santonian Iharkút vertebrate locality, western Hungary. Collected from an area of 600 m² and from a single bone bed, this material is one of the richest ankylosaurian accumulation worldwide. The 12 skeletons are not monospecific but, mostly based on the pelvic armor composition, six of them are from *Hungarosaurus*, two are referred to *Struthiosaurus* and four can be assigned to *Nodosauridae* indet. Sedimentological and taphonomical examinations revealed a single mass mortality event as the cause of the death and accumulation of these quadruped animals that are described here. The ankylosaur assemblage from Iharkút suggests at least a temporarily gregarious behavior of these animals and also shows that *Hungarosaurus* and *Struthiosaurus* might lived in the same moist habitat or at least preferred relatively close environments.

76

77 **1. Introduction**

78 Remains of ankylosaurian dinosaurs are relatively rare in Europe. Though their fossils
79 are known from sediments ranging from the Middle Jurassic to the uppermost Cretaceous,
80 specimens are in many cases fragmentary with poorly preserved cranial material (see Ósi
81 2015 for a review). Multiple articulated or associated specimens of a single genus are only
82 known in a few taxa: the Valanginian *Hylaeosaurus* based on two partial skeletons (Mantell
83 1833, 1841, Owen 1858; Pereda-Suberbiola 1993a; Carpenter 2001), the Barremian-early
84 Aptian *Polacanthus* based on three partial skeletons (Owen 1865; Hulke 1882; 1888; Blows
85 1982; 1987; 1996; 2015; Pereda-Suberbiola 1994; Naish and Martill 2001), the Albian
86 *Europelta* based on five skeletons (Kirkland et al. 2013; Luis Alcalá, pers. comm, 2014), and
87 the Santonian *Hungarosaurus* based on six associated skeletons (Ósi 2005; Ósi and Makádi
88 2009; Ósi 2015; in the paper). The Santonian to Maastrichtian ranged *Struthiosaurus* is
89 known by 10 different associated skeletons (Nopcsa 1929; Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton
90 2001, Garcia and Pereda-Suberbiola 2003; Ósi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017), but they came
91 from different tectonical units (Romania, Austria, Hungary, Spain) and the remains are poorly
92 overlapping with each other to prevent comparative work.

93 The aim of the present study is to give an overview of the Santonain ankylosaur material from
94 the Iharkút locality, western Hungary. Though the first five (Ósi 2005; Ósi and Makádi 2009)
95 and the 8th skeletons (Ósi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017) have been already published, along
96 with the six unpublished additional specimens they bear important features that helps for a
97 better understanding of European ankylosaur taxonomy and diversity and some aspects of
98 ankylosaur paleoecology as well.

99 We summarize the remains of 12 partial skeletons discovered by the excavations of the last 17
100 years, with descriptions of their skeletal components emphasizing the most important and

101 useful characters available on the specimens. We also discuss their taxonomical position and
102 taphonomic history.

103 **Institutional abbreviations:** MCNA, Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava/Arabako Natur
104 Zientzien Museoa, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain; MTM, Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum,
105 Budapest, Hungary; PIUW, Paläontologische Institut, Universität Wien, Vienna, Austria.

106

107 **2. Material and methods**

108 Besides turtle postcranial elements, ankylosaurian remains are the most frequent
109 fossils in the Iharkút continental vertebrate locality (Botfalvai et al. 2015). Two articulated
110 and ten associated partial skeletons represent the basis of this work. Except for the 1st, 3rd and
111 10th skeleton, all the associated or articulated remains possess the complete or fragmentary
112 synsacrum indicating that they certainly represent different ankylosaurian individuals.

113 Besides the 12 associated or articulated specimens discussed in this paper, hundreds of
114 isolated specimens including almost all parts of the skeleton have been discovered as well,
115 belonging to both *Hungarosaurus* and *Struthiosaurus* (Botfalvai and Ósi, 2017). The
116 associated material was collected in the Iharkút vertebrate locality between 2001 and 2016
117 and is housed in the Vertebrate Paleontological Collection of the Hungarian Natural History
118 Museum. Scaled bone maps were drawn from all the skeletons during the excavation
119 (Supplementary Data 1). From 2013 all vertebrate findings were usually surveyed with high-
120 precision geodetic instruments (Total Station in 2013 and RTK GPS later) yielding
121 approximately 700–1100 data records in each exploration season. Measurements are stored in
122 a geodatabase, and 2D/3D GIS tools were used to analyse the possible relations of the bones
123 (Albert et al. 2017; Albert et al. in review). Specimen or parts of them were collected using
124 either a plaster or a polyurethane foam jacket to keep the bones in their original position and
125 save them from damage during transportation. Skeletal elements were then prepared

126 mechanically in the labs of the Department of Paleontology of the Eötvös University and the
127 Hungarian Natural History Museum, and the bones were fixed by cyanoacrylic glue.
128 Ankylosaurian specimens are discussed in taxonomical order.

129

130 **3. Locality and geological setting**

131 The Iharkút vertebrate locality, discovered in Cretaceous beds of a recultivated bauxite
132 open-pit mine between Bakonyjákó and Németszánya villages in Bakony Mountains (western
133 Hungary), has provided a rich and diverse assemblage (>60.000 specimens) of Late
134 Cretaceous (Santonian) continental vertebrates (Fig. 1). The vertebrate material includes
135 remains of fish, amphibians, turtles, lizards, pterosaurs, crocodylians, non-avian and avian
136 dinosaurs, representing at least 32 different taxa (Ősi et al. 2012). All the vertebrate fossils
137 came from bone-yielding horizons of the Csehbánya Formation (Fig. 1a, d). The outcrops of
138 the formation at the locality are interpreted to have been deposited by an anastomosing fluvial
139 system in a topographically low-level, wet, alluvial plain environment controlled by a
140 dominantly humid, but seasonal climate (Botfalvai et al. 2016). Palynological studies of the
141 Iharkút section show that sedimentation took place during the *Oculopollis zaklinskaiae* -
142 *Brecolpites globosus* palynozones *Oculopollis-Triatriopollenites* subzone, which was
143 correlated to the CC16 Nannoplankton zone (Siegl-Farkas and Wagnreich 1996). This indicates
144 a Late Santonian age of the formation in Iharkút (Bodor and Baranyi 2012). Vertebrate
145 remains have been unearthed from multiple horizons in Iharkút, but the site SZ-6 is the only
146 one containing associated and articulated ankylosaur skeletal materials (Fig. 1b). The site SZ-
147 6 is an asymmetrical lenticular channel fill, underlain by a green claystone, and can be divided
148 into three sedimentary units.

149 **Unit 1** is a 10 to 60 cm thick basal breccia layer composed of grey-green sand,
150 siltstone, clayclasts, pebbles, plant debris and bones and it is the richest fossil-bearing horizon

151 in the locality (Fig. 1a, b). The poorly sorted sandstone breccia layer contains several
152 laminated siltstone/claystone horizons. The presence of smaller or larger ripped-up clayclasts
153 of flood plain origin, the larger-sized tree trunks, and pebbles indicate high-energy conditions
154 associated with rapid flooding events, resulting in efficient reworking of the material of the
155 interfluvial areas (Botfalvai et al. 2016). The high energy conditions, however, were by far not
156 constant during the deposition of Unit 1, because the deposition of the basal breccia was
157 interrupted several times by quiet-water sediments (laminated siltstone, claystone) deposited
158 from suspension in standing water following the flow events (Botfalvai et al. 2016).
159 Depositional area of Unit 1 of site SZ-6 acted as a trap where the current velocity suddenly
160 decreased and the poorly sorted sediments and different density (i.e. bones, plant and eggs)
161 and sized (microfossils and partial ankylosaur skeletons) fossils were accumulated altogether
162 at same time (Botfalvai et al. 2015; 2016; Prondvai et al. 2017; Segesdi et al. 2017). The
163 stacked series of Unit 1 (and thus most of the vertebrate fossils in the Iharkút assemblage) was
164 deposited in a relatively short time (e.g. single wet season) by successive flood events
165 (Botfalvai et al. 2016). Due to its rich fossil content, the Unit 1 was the main target of the
166 piecewise geodetic surveying. Between 2013 and 2017, the location of 4765 macroscopic
167 findings were measured in this unit.

168 **Unit 2** represents a 30-50 centimeter thick, grey, cemented sandstone bed showing a
169 poorly developed upward-fining trend (Fig. 1a, b). This Unit indicates a reduction in flow
170 velocity either when the channels were abandoned or possibly at the end of the flood events,
171 when finer sediment (fine- to medium-grained sand) could have been deposited over the basal
172 breccia layer.

173 **Unit 3** is a 30 to 50 cm thick, laminated, greyish, brownish siltstone layer which
174 contains plant debris, a few bones and two associated, incomplete ankylosaur skeletons (Ősi,

175 2005, Fig. 1a, c). The closing bed at site SZ-6 (Unit 3) refers to open lacustrine conditions
176 following the flood events (Botfalvai et al. 2016).

177 The depositional environment of site SZ-6 can be summarized as follows. The
178 underlying green claystone indicates that the area was a low-level floodplain and reflects the
179 depositional environment of a shallow lake or pond before the flow event. When the peak
180 flood discharge reached this depression, the sediment-charged flow heavily eroded the
181 underlying claystone bed. The peak discharge probably lasted for a few hours. Thereafter, in
182 the later stage of the flood, as discharge declined (probably a few hours after the peak
183 discharge) first coarser sediment deposited on the eroded surface of the claystone layer. The
184 shallow depression indicated by the geometry of the green claystone layer behaved as a
185 sediment trap and was filled by a poorly sorted mix of coarser- and finer-grained materials.
186 After the deposition of the basal breccia layers (Unit 1) flow velocity was reduced and
187 sandstone became the dominant sediment while the amount of clayclasts and bones decreased
188 (Unit 2). At site SZ-6 quiet open-lacustrine conditions established soon following the flood
189 events and the deposition of siltstone (Unit 3). Based on the above characters, site SZ-6 is
190 interpreted as one of the abandoned channels formed and filled up by ephemeral high density
191 flash flood events.

192 Except for the 9th skeletal material, all of the ankylosaurian skeletons were discovered
193 from the channel fill deposit of site SZ-6 indicating that most, if not all of the ankylosaur
194 carcasses was transported and deposited by the same flood event. The material of 9th skeleton
195 came from a greyish/bluish siltstone located between two hydromorf paleosol horizons. The
196 enclosing sediment indicates that the 9th incomplete skeleton was deposited in floodplain
197 environment and not in the channel. This bone-yielding siltstone bed is situated in the same
198 stratigraphic level as the channel fill deposit of site SZ-6 and shows a weak lateral connection

199 to the basal breccia layer of Unit 1 (Fig. 1c). This bone bearing horizon can be interpreted as a
200 floodplain area of the channel of site SZ-6 and probably includes coeval bone accumulations.

201

202 **4. Description and comparisons**

203 *4.1. Hungarosaurus tormai*

204

205 Dinosauria Owen, 1842

206 Ankylosauria Osborn, 1923

207 Nodosauridae Marsh, 1890

208 *Hungarosaurus* Ósi, 2005

209 *Hungarosaurus tormai* Ósi, 2005

210 *Revised diagnosis* (based on Ósi 2005; Ósi and Makádi 2009; Ósi et al. 2014a, Ósi and

211 Pereda-Suberbiola 2017). Armored dinosaur, estimated body length ca. 4–4.5 m. Differs from

212 all other known ankylosaurs in having a postorbital bearing a high and anterodorsal–

213 posteroventrally elongated crest; a thin, rod-like, dorsoventrally flat jugal; a large, inverted U-

214 shaped premaxillary notch that is as high dorsoventrally as wide mediolaterally; a forelimb–

215 hindlimb length ratio of 1.0 (humerus/femur = 0.92); and a centrally positioned, massive,

216 boomerang shaped osteoderm with two posteriorly projecting spikes.

217 *Hungarosaurus* is distinguished from the other European Late Cretaceous ankylosaur,

218 *Struthiosaurus*, by the following features: the dorsoventrally wide quadratojugal ornamented

219 by a large, rounded protuberance laterally; mandibular quadrate condyle being more robust

220 with rhomboidal articular surface; presence of interpterygoid vacuity; postacetabular process

221 comprising approximately 38% of the total ilium length; the elongated ‘neck’ region of the

222 basioccipital; height/width ratio and shape of the occipital condyle; the different flexure of the

223 medulla relative to the forebrain; humerus gracile, elongate with relatively small deltopectoral

224 crest and lack or has reduced muscle scars posteriorly; ulna quite elongate distally; pelvic
225 armor composed of at least partially non-keeled, polygonal osteoderms; medial plate on the
226 cervical half-ring with concave posterior edge; lateral plate on the cervical half-ring
227 anteroposteriorly longer than high and posteriorly projected.

228

229 4.1.1. 1st skeleton (Fig. 2)

230 *Reference No.:* MTM 2007.22.1

231 *Year of discovery:* 2001.

232 *Material:* anterior dorsal vertebra (Fig. 2a), cervical half-ring (Fig. 2a), two additional
233 osteoderms (Fig. 2c), three dorsal ribs (fig. 2b, d).

234 *Description and comparisons:* The most diagnostic element of this specimen is a complete
235 cervical half-ring, composed by a wide and S-shaped base and two plate-like crests (Fig. 2a).
236 The base of this element has a slightly concave and smooth ventral side and a crenelated
237 margin. The larger, medially positioned plate reminds the shape of a shark fin having a
238 convex anterior and concave posterior edge and a posterodorsally pointed apex. The smaller,
239 lateral plate is rather triangular with a lower dorsal apex and straight, anterodorsal and
240 posterodorsal edges. The two plates are not parallel with each other but make an angle of ca.
241 100°. The dorsal surface of the base between the two plates is only weakly ornamented and is
242 not a clear composition of smaller osteoderms as it can be observed in *Struthiosaurus* (e.g.
243 PIUW 2349/14, MTM VER 2016.507., MTM VER 2016.541., MTM VER 2016.577.). The
244 strongly posteriorly projected medial plate with concave posterior edge (Fig. 2a) markedly
245 differs from those of the triangular *Struthiosaurus* (e.g. PIUW 2349/13, MTM VER
246 2016.507., MTM VER 2016.577.), but identical with that medial plate of the cervical half-
247 rings of the holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai*, thus this specimen is referred to *H. tormai*.
248 The anterior dorsal vertebra with anteroposteriorly very short centrum and strongly widened

249 posterior articular surface is identical with those of holotype specimen (Ősi 2005:fig. 8A),
250 but, since this type of vertebra is unknown in *Struthiosaurus*, we cannot use it as a diagnostic
251 element of *Hungarosaurus*. Based on the diameter of ribs (Fig. 2b), and the size of the
252 cervical half-ring, this specimen is the largest of all the ankylosaur specimens from Iharkút.
253 *Remarks:* This specimen is the only one without an exact location, since it was found on the
254 refuse dump of the Iharkút bauxite open pit mine. The mining company, however, informed
255 us that that part of the refuse dump is the overburden of the bauxite lens Németsbánya III, in
256 the wall of which the 2nd and 3rd skeletons were discovered in the same year (2001). This
257 raises the possibility that the 1st skeleton, missing a sacrum, might have been part of any of
258 these. However, the 2nd and 3rd skeletons came from Unit 3 and not from Unit 2 (see below).
259 Concerning the other possibility that the 1st skeleton might have been part of the later
260 discovered, 4th to 12th skeletons is also unlikely, since in 2001 these specimens still were laid
261 a minimum of 50 meters eastwards deep in the hill (see Fig. 1).
262 *Stratigraphic position:* The exact stratigraphic position is unknown. The embedding matrix is
263 coarse grained sandstone with ripped up clay clasts. This type of litofacies is known from
264 several points of the Iharkút mine associated with the bottom of the channel fill deposits (e.g.
265 site SZ-1 and SZ-6; Botfalvai et al. 2015). The sedimentological (e.g. grain size, colour of the
266 sediment and presence of the clayclasts on the bottom of the sandstone block) and
267 taphonomical features (e.g. colour of the bones, *in-situ* breakage) of the 1st skeleton and the
268 embedding sediment indicate that this incomplete skeleton most probably belong to the
269 material of Unit 2 of site SZ-6.
270 *Taphonomic features:* Bones are not in original contact. They are not abraded and weathered,
271 the articulation surfaces of ribs are undamaged, but the distal ends were not found on the
272 field. The taphonomical features indicate that this part of the skeleton was not exposed to
273 mechanical stress or impact during the transportation, but suffered significant destruction after

274 accumulation. The bones are pressed to each other and *in situ* breakages were detectable in
275 this assemblage where there were no displacements along the cracks. The *in situ* breakage is
276 likely to have been caused by trampling in this case because not all of the discovered bones
277 were pressed (e.g. the vertebral body was not deformed), which would be expected during the
278 forceful sediment compaction. During mining the rest of the skeleton was removed, thus it is
279 unknown how much and what kind of parts of skeleton were preserved in the original
280 associated skeleton.

281

282 4.1.2. 2nd skeleton (holotype)

283 *Reference No.:* MTM 2007.26.1-2007.26.34. (Figs. 3-11).

284 *Year of discovery:* 2001.

285 *Material:* left and right premaxilla (Fig. 3a-d, e-g), right postorbital and jugal (Fig. 3n-o), ?left
286 prefrontal, lacrimal (Fig. 3p, q), and frontal (Fig. 3i-m), posterior part of the pterygoid (Fig.
287 4f, g), left and right quadrate (Fig. 3h-k), condylus occipitalis (Fig. 4d, e), 22 teeth (Fig. 4m-
288 q), one hyoid? bone, ?vomer (Fig. 4c), anterior end of left nasal (Fig. 4h), right fragmentary
289 mandible (Fig. 4i-l), 3 cervical (Fig. 5a-k), 6 dorsal (Fig. 5l-o, Fig. 6a-d), fragments of the
290 synsacrum (Fig. 6e-i), 10 caudal vertebrae (Fig. 6j-t), 9 cervical ribs (Fig. 4r-t), 38 complete
291 or fragmentary dorsal (Fig. 4u) and 6 sacral ribs, 5 chevrons (Fig. 4v, w), 2 tendons, left
292 complete (Fig. 7a-c) and right fragmentary scapulocoracoideum (Fig. 7d-e), left and right
293 fragmentary ilium (Fig. 7h-k), left ischium (Fig. 7f, g), right femur (Fig. 7l, m), right fibula
294 (Fig. 7n, o), phalanges and ungual phalanges (Fig. 7r-x), several dozens of osteoderms (Fig.
295 8-11): cervical half rings, dorsal scute-like osteoderms, rectangular pelvic armor fragments,
296 caudal osteoderms.

297 *Description and comparisons:* This is the most complete ankylosaur specimen discovered in
298 Iharkút so far and is the type material of *Hungarosaurus tormai*. Its initial description was

299 done by Ósi (2005), and the reidentification of some bones has been made by Ósi and Makádi
300 (2009).

301 Based on some later discovered specimens, the anterior end of the left nasal is preserved in
302 the holotype (Fig. 4h). It is a relatively thin bone (max. 4-5 mm thick) and its dorsal surface is
303 only slightly ornamented. Its medial margin is a straight edge with the anterior end receiving
304 the nasal process of the left premaxilla (Fig. 4h). Its anterolateral margin is the dorsal edge of
305 the external nares that is a relatively straight and posteriorly extended edge.

306 The armor of this skeleton (Fig. 8-11) among others consists of at least three bands of cervical
307 half-rings which elements differ from those of *Struthiosaurus*. As noted in case of the cervical
308 half-ring preserved in the 1st skeleton, the strongly posteriorly projected medial plate with
309 concave posterior edge (Fig. 8a-d, 9a, b, e, f) markedly differs from the triangular, dorsally
310 projected medial plate of *Struthiosaurus* (e.g. PIUW 2349/13, MTM VER 2016.507., MTM
311 VER 2016.577.). In addition, the lateral plate in *Hungarosaurus*, though much lower than the
312 medial one, is anteroposteriorly elongate and pointed posterodorsally (Fig. 8e, 9c), whereas
313 that of *Struthiosaurus* (e.g. PIUW 2349/14, MTM VER 2016.507., MCNA 6532-6533) is
314 higher than anteroposteriorly long, and triangular plate- or spike-like (Ósi and Rudolf 2017).
315 Ósi and Pereda-Suberbiola (2017) found evidence of a composite of polygonal pelvic armor
316 elements in *Hungarosaurus* that is markedly different from that of *Struthiosaurus*. These
317 elements are flat or only very slightly concave dorsally and has an interwoven texture
318 ventrally, being characteristic for non-keeled pelvic osteoderms in ankylosaurs. In the
319 holotype skeleton there is no evidence for the fusion of these polygonal osteoderms (Fig. 10h-
320 k) as that seen in the 9th skeleton, however, this might have been the result of taphonomical
321 biases (see below).

322 *Stratigraphic position:* This skeleton was discovered from a greyish-brownish siltstone layer
323 (Unit 3) which is appropriate for the closing bed of SZ-6 site.

324 *Taphonomic features:* Skeletal elements of the holotype skeleton were in a disarticulated but
325 associated position discovered from an area of 48 m². The skeleton is fragmentary (more than
326 the half of the skeletal parts was broken) and the intact joints of limbs are often incomplete
327 (see e.g. Fig. 7p, q). Abrasion was limited and the weathering features were not detectable on
328 the bone surfaces. The broken pieces of coherent elements (e.g. skull and mandibular
329 elements, synsacral elements, Fig. 6e-i) were situated close to each other in a delineated area
330 (see Supplementary data 1.). The frequency of bones decreases from SSW to NNE, the
331 maximum concentration of bones is in the SSW part of the SZ-2 site where dozens of bone
332 elements were accumulated on each other. The percentage of total skeletal completeness was
333 about 47% (see Supplementary Data 2). Based on the taphonomical investigations, the 2nd
334 ankylosaur skeleton was disarticulated by biological affect (e.g. trampling, decay,
335 predations/scavenging), and transportation was only slightly responsible for the disarticulation
336 and dispersion (Botfalvai et al. 2015). The resistant elements, such as the synsacrum or skull
337 can only be broken due to significant mechanical effects; however their dispersion was
338 limited (see Supplementary Data 1.). These two factors may prove that trampling was a
339 significant impact in the destruction of the 2nd ankylosaur skeleton, which is often the
340 destruction agent in the soft substrate of abandoned channel environment (Capaldo and Peters
341 1995). The enclosing sediment indicates reduced current velocities which made the deposition
342 of carcass possible. The carcass has been exposed to biological and mechanical agents (e.g.
343 trampling, scavenging), but this exposure was not long enough for weathering or the carcass
344 may have been covered (intermittently or continuously) with water, which saved the bone
345 surfaces against subaerial destructive processes. Furthermore the vertebrate scavengers may
346 removed bones or parts of the carcasses from the skeleton, which strongly reduced the
347 percentage of skeletal completeness as it was pointed out in other vertebrate specimens (Hill
348 1979; Cameron and Oxenham 2012). The skeletal material of this incomplete skeleton

349 represents different disarticulation sequences including both the earliest disarticulated
350 (cervical vertebrae, ribs, osteoderms) and most resistant elements (limbs and lumbar
351 vertebrae) together (according to Tooth 1965; Hill 1979; Hill and Behrensmeyer 1984;
352 Cambra-Moo and Buscalioni 2003; Cameron and Oxham 2012), which indicate that the
353 disarticulation of the skeleton began after the final deposition of the carcass and there is no
354 evidence for (long term) transportation of the skeletal elements from a primary accumulation
355 place to the final one.

356

357 4.1.3. 3rd skeleton

358 Reference No.: MTM 2007.24.1.-2007.24.10. (Fig. 12).

359 Year of discovery: 2001.

360 Material: two caudal vertebrae (Fig. 12a, b), proximal part of left ulna (Fig. 12h, i), right
361 fragmentary femur (Fig. 12j, k), one metapodium (Fig. 12l, m), limb bone fragments
362 including a possible distal end of humerus (Fig. 12e, g, n, o), five osteoderms including one
363 pelvic osteoderm (Fig. 12p, q), one proximal chevron (Fig. 12c, d), rib and unidentifiable
364 bone fragments.

365 *Description and comparisons:* Of the ulna only the proximal part is preserved (Fig. 12h, i), so
366 its total length and proportions are unknown to prevent taxonomic assignment. The only
367 diagnostic element of this skeleton is a polygonal unfused osteoderm suggested to be a pelvic
368 armor element (Fig. 12p, q). The dorsal surface is slightly convex, with a very weakly
369 developed bump in its central part. The ventral surface shows a slightly interwoven texture
370 similar to those of the pelvic elements of the 2nd and 9th skeletons (Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola
371 2017) or that of *Nodosaurus textilis* (Marsh, 1889: text-fig. 1). Its margin is receded to accept
372 the surrounding osteoderms. Since this type of pelvic osteoderms are unknown in specimens
373 of *Struthiosaurus*, and it resembles the flat, polygonal pelvic osteoderms of the holotype, here

374 we support the earlier assignment (Ősi 2005) to refer the 3rd ankylosaur skeleton to
375 *Hungarosaurus tormai*.
376 *Stratigraphic position:* Skeletal elements of MTM 2007.24 were discovered from the same
377 greyish-brownish siltstone layer (Unit 3) with plant fragments as that of the 2nd, holotype
378 skeleton, but is situated 20 cm higher up in this layer.
379 *Taphonomic features:* All of the limb bones were broken in the biostratonomic phase while
380 the compact structure bones (e.g. metapodial and caudal vertebrae) were complete. The
381 existing intact joints of the limbs were abraded (Fig. 12e, h, k), which may indicate
382 mechanical damage of short term transportation or scavenging. The plastic deformation was
383 detected on most elements (Fig. 12a, b) that resulted in a significant flattening of bones. This
384 incomplete skeleton contains highly fragmented limb bones, which are one of the most
385 resistant elements of the skeleton against disarticulation based on empirical observation
386 (Tooth 1965; Hill 1979; Hill and Behrensmeyer 1984; Cameron and Oxham 2012). On the
387 other hand the limb bones are one of the most popular food source for the scavengers due to
388 their relatively high marrow wet weights (Capaldo and Peters 1995). The low skeletal
389 completeness and the high fragmentation rate of the limb bone assemblage probably indicate
390 that the scavenger animals (e.g. crocodiles) played a major role in the disarticulation
391 processes of this skeleton.

392

393 4.1.4. 4th skeleton

394 *Reference No.:* MTM 2007.23.1.-2007.23.4; 2007.90.1., 2007.90.2. (Fig. 13).

395 *Year of discovery:* 2003.

396 *Material:* synsacrum with sacral rod and three sacral ribs (Fig. 13e, f), fragmentary left and
397 right ilia (Fig. 13g, h), left and right ischia (Fig. 13a, d), boomerang shaped, fused, central
398 osteoderm with two conical spikes (Fig. 13i-o), small oval osteoderm.

399 *Description and comparisons:* Though the synsacrum is known in almost all the ankylosaur
400 skeletons from Iharkút, it does not bear unambiguous diagnostic characters to distinguish
401 *Hungarosaurus* and *Struthiosaurus*. Some difference between the ischia of the two genera has
402 been documented (Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017). In *Struthiosaurus* (UM2 OLV-D50) the
403 ischium lacks a blunt, anteroposteriorly expanded, knob-like structure at its distal end that is
404 not present in *Hungarosaurus*.

405 The most peculiar element of this skeleton is a thick, boomerang shaped central osteoderm
406 with two conical spikes projecting slightly posteriorly (Ősi 2005, Fig. 13a-o). Fragments of
407 this osteoderm is also known in the 9th skeleton (see below), which can be certainly referred
408 to *Hungarosaurus* on the basis of the posteriorly projecting plates of the cervical half-rings.
409 This indicates that the 4th skeleton can be also referred to *Hungarosaurus* as it was suggested
410 by Ősi (2005). A conical spike, being almost identical both in size and shape with the spikes
411 from Iharkút and being probably a fragment of a larger symmetrical central osteoderm, is
412 known in the Muthmannsdorf (Austria) ankylosaurian material (PIUW 2349/15, Bunzel 1871;
413 Seeley 1881; see Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017 for comparison). The position of this
414 boomerang shaped osteoderm is still ambiguous. Since it was associated with pelvic elements,
415 as the only remains of the 4th skeleton, we suppose its position on the posterior pelvic or
416 anterior caudal region (Ősi and Makádi 2009).

417 *Stratigraphic position:* The skeletal elements were discovered in the Unit 1 layer of SZ-6 site.
418 The bone-yielding beds are composed of grey sand and clay clasts. A seven-meter-long
419 angiosperm tree trunk was found near the skeletal elements suggesting high density (energy)
420 flow depositional environment.

421 *Taphonomic features:* All of the skeletal elements were broken in the biostratonomic phase
422 (oblique fracture type), suggesting a significant biological or physical impact before the fossil
423 diagenetic phase of bones. Plastic deformation can be observed on the synsacrum and ilia, and

424 mechanical damage was not remarkable on the bones' surfaces. Pelvic girdle elements alike
425 were in an articulated position when they were deposited, because the disarticulation had
426 preceded the scattering.

427

428 4.1.5. 5th skeleton

429 *Reference No.:* MTM 2007.25.1.-2007.25.30. (Figs. 14-17).

430 *Year of discovery:* 2006.

431 *Material:* left mandible (Fig. 14b), right dentary (Fig. 14a), three dorsal vertebrae (Fig. 14c-
432 e), synsacrum with sacral ribs (Fig. 14j, k), 15 caudal vertebra (Fig. 14l-t), various complete
433 and fragmentary dorsal ribs (Fig. 14f), three fragmentary cervical ribs (Fig. 14g), four
434 chevrons (Fig. 14h, i), one paravertebral element (Fig. 14u), left scapulocoracoid (Fig. 15a),
435 right humerus (Fig. 15i, j), both ulnae (Fig. 15m-p), ?right radius (Fig. 15k, l), eight
436 metapodium (Fig. 16i-p), both ilia (Fig. 15c-f), right ischium (Fig. 15g, h), both femora (Fig.
437 16a-d), ?right tibia (Fig. 16g, h), left fibula (Fig. 16e, f), two phalanges (Fig. 16v, w), two
438 ungual phalanges (Fig. 16r, u), three cervical half-ring osteoderms (Fig. 16x-a', Fig. 17a-f), 60
439 oval, circular or lance-shaped dorsal osteoderms (Fig. 17g-r), and one crested caudal
440 osteoderm (Fig. 17s-u).

441 *Description and comparisons:* The most important elements of this skeleton was described
442 by Ósi and Makádi (2009), but a few notes can be added here. Diagnostic elements are the
443 fused, double-plated cervical half-rings (Fig. 16x-a') where both the medial and lateral plates
444 project posteriorly. Based on the mandibular features and those of the cervical half-rings this
445 skeleton can be referred to *Hungarosaurus*.

446 Dental wear analysis fulfilled on the *in situ* teeth of this specimen shows that a palinal jaw
447 movement completed the powerstroke of *Hungarosaurus* (Ósi et al. 2014b). Although the
448 teeth of *S. languedocensis* from France (Garcia and Pereda-Suberbiola 2003; Ósi et al. 2016)

449 and those referred to *S. austriacus* (Nopcsa 1929) also bear dental wear due to tooth-tooth
450 contact (precise shearing bite), scratch orientation is still unknown thus the direction of jaw
451 movement in *Struthiosaurus* is ambiguous.

452 *Stratigraphic position:* MTM 2007.25 was discovered from the strongly cemented grey
453 sandstone bed (Unit 2), which is the covering bed of the most important fossiliferous layer
454 (Unit 1).

455 *Taphonomic features:* The fifth skeleton is associated and the elements are not abraded or
456 weathered. The osteoderms and ribs were broken (in most cases, damage category cannot be
457 detected), but the limbs, vertebrae, mandibular and pelvic girdle elements are mostly
458 complete. The epiphyses of limb bones were complete and the dentaries with well preserved
459 teeth were found. The percentage of total skeletal completeness of this skeleton is about 21%
460 (see Supplementary Data 2). The enclosing sediment (coarse sandstone), composition (several
461 parts of the body are represented in the material) and taphonomical features of the 5th
462 ankylosaur skeletal material indicate that when the carcass was floated by currents into the
463 site, some soft tissue (ligaments, muscles) should have kept together the parts of the body
464 (this is also suggested by two articulated dorsal vertebrae, the only elements preserved in
465 articulated position). The fore- and hindlimbs, lumbar vertebrae and mandibles preserved
466 within the skeleton indicate that the disarticulation process had not really started until the
467 carcass stopped and became covered by sediment, because the limbs in terrestrial tetrapods
468 become easily detached from the girdle region in early stage of decomposition (see e.g. Tooth
469 1965; Dodson 1971; Cameron and Oxham 2012). When the transportation of the carcass
470 stopped, currents were still sufficiently enough to slightly move the parts of the body and
471 progressively dislocated some skeletal elements. The skull or its elements were not found in
472 the skeletal material due to the decay processes. Experimental data on vertebrate carcasses
473 shows that the skull is usually the first element being separated from the body by

474 transportation or decay and therefore it often deposited in a different place (Dodson 1971;
475 Capaldo and Peters 1995). Nevertheless, the mandibles were preserved with deposited
476 elements questioning an early disarticulation of skull. However, empirical data on
477 disarticulation sequences show that while the lower jaws are usually preserved close to the
478 other parts of the skeleton, after being disconnected, the skull is more easily moved away
479 from them by currents (Tooth 1965; Dodson 1971; Holz and Barberena 1994; Capaldo and
480 Peters 1995).

481

482 4.1.6. 9th skeleton

483 *Reference No.:* MTM PAL 2016.16.1. (Fig. 18-20).

484 *Year of discovery:* 2014.

485 *Material:* posterior half of right mandible (Fig. 18a, b), atlas (Fig. 18f, g), six fragmentary
486 dorsal vertebrae (Fig. 18h-l), one fragmentary neural arch, one fragmentary cervical rib (Fig.
487 18c), several fragmentary dorsal ribs (Fig. 18d, e), very fragmentary synsacrum, one
488 fragmentary caudal vertebra (Fig. 18m-p), left scapula with the glenoidal fragment of
489 coracoid (Fig. 19a, b), proximal and distal ends of left humerus (Fig. 19c, d), proximal end of
490 right humerus, proximal part of an ulna (Fig. 19e), one ischium (Fig. 19f, g), fragmentary
491 ilium, distal end of femur (Fig. 19h), proximal fragment of tibia, distal end of a metapodium
492 (Fig. 19i, j), two ungual phalanges, three fragmentary cervical half-rings (Fig. 20a), five blocks
493 of fused, polygonal pelvic osteoderms (Fig. 20h-k), fragments of the boomerang shaped
494 central osteoderm with conical spikes (Fig. 20l-n), circular, oval dorsal and/or pelvic
495 osteoderms (Fig. 20d-g), and one crested caudal osteoderm (Fig. 20o, p).

496 *Description and comparisons:* Among the cranial remains, only a posterior half of the right
497 mandible is preserved (Fig. 18a, b). The coronoid process is complete, showing the insertion
498 surfaces of the external adductor muscles. The prearticular and posterior parts of the splenial

499 are preserved but they were slightly compressed lateromedially. The posterior edge of the
500 splenial is slightly concave bordering anteromedially the mandibular adductor chamber. The
501 suture between the splenial and angular can be observed in medial view, that is perforated by
502 a one centimeter large, anteroposteriorly elongate foramen. The articular region is similar to
503 that of the holotype mandible of *Hungarosaurus*, but the glenoid is compressed
504 lateromedially. The retroarticular process is only a one centimeter long knob-like structure.
505 Laterally, the posterior end of the mandible is ornamented by an oval-shaped, well pitted
506 osteoderm that is completely fused to the angular-surangular block suggesting a subadult or
507 adult ontogenetic status of this specimen (Hill et al. 2003).

508 Of the axial column the vertebrae are fragmentary and show the same features as those seen in
509 the holotype specimen of *Hungarosaurus*. This skeleton, however, contains a nearly
510 complete, dorsoventrally slightly complete atlas (Fig. 18f, g) that was still unknown in any
511 ankylosaur from Iharkút. The centrum of the atlas is as long as wide and the neural arch and
512 the ribs are completely fused to the centrum. Whereas the end of the right rib is broken, the
513 left one is complete having a length of 7.3 cm. The hypapophysis cannot be observed due to
514 the compaction of the specimen. The anterior articulation surface is deeply concave. This atlas
515 is very similar to that of *Struthiosaurus transylvanicus* (Nopcsa 1929) both in size and in
516 general morphology.

517 From the synsacrum only the possibly three sacral vertebrae and ribs are preserved in very
518 poor condition (broken into hundreds of small pieces). The fragmentary left scapulocoracoid
519 (Fig. 19a, b) is preserved showing similar size and morphology to that of the holotype
520 specimen. The acromion process extends from the dorsal edge of the scapular blade and
521 projects anteroventrally toward the insertion surface for *M. supracoracoideus* anterior. In this
522 specimen, the very end of the acromion process is preserved showing a rugose articulation
523 surface. The scapula and coracoid are massively fused.

524 The left humerus is more complete than the right one, having the proximal and distal parts
525 preserved (Fig. 19c, d). The bicipital crest is more or less preserved. It has a straight medial
526 margin, and proximally it is not separated from the humeral head by a demarcation as in
527 *Struthiosaurus* (Ősi and Prondvai 2013). The proximal surface of the bicipital crest is
528 ornamented by a rugose insertion surface that seems to be more developed than in
529 *Struthiosaurus*. The posterior surface of the shaft and deltopectoral crest is not preserved.
530 Thus, it is unknown whether the muscle insertion areas documented here in *Struthiosaurus*
531 were present here or not. The distal end of the humerus is relatively wide lateromedially, but
532 this might be also the result of strong anteroposterior compression. Distally the lateral and
533 medial condyles are similar in size. The lateral condyle is bordered laterally by a massive,
534 rugose ectepicondyle whereas the medial condyle has also medially a shallow, anteriorly
535 grooved medial entepicondyle. Though the shaft of the humerus is unknown, based on its
536 proximal part, it much more resembles to the humerus of *Hungarosaurus* than that of
537 *Struthiosaurus*. A proximal fragment of one of the ulnae is preserved. Its humeral articulation
538 is missing, but most of the olecranon process is preserved. It is quite elongate proximodistally
539 being almost identical to that of the fifth skeleton (Ősi and Makádi 2009) suggesting a
540 similarly long ulna of the 9th skeleton.

541 From the pelvic elements, one straight ischium (Fig. 19f-g) and some poorly preserved
542 fragments of the ilium are preserved. The ischium is quite similar to that of the holotype, the
543 4th, 5th, and 6th skeletons in general morphology. Some slight difference in the anteroposterior
544 curvature of the ischial shaft can be observed that is partly due to diagenetic processes.

545 Among the femora, a distal end (Fig. 19h) is present that is strongly compressed
546 lateromedially. As usually seen in ankylosaurs (Vickaryous et al. 2004), including
547 *Struthiosaurus austriacus* as well (Seeley 1881), the distal condyles are massive and well
548 developed anteroposteriorly.

549 A significant part of the dermal armor is preserved in the 9th skeleton. Of the cervical-pectoral
550 region, fragmentary half-rings with posteriorly projected plates (Fig. 20a) are present, clearly
551 indicating the *Hungarosaurus* affinity. After the 4th skeleton, this 9th skeleton provides
552 evidence for the boomerang shaped central osteoderm with two posteriorly projecting spikes.
553 In this specimen one of the broken conical spikes (length ca. 17 cm, Fig. 20l, m) and an eight-
554 centimeter-long anterolateral fragment of the boomerang shaped base (Fig. 20n) are
555 preserved. The conical spike is anteroposteriorly compressed and has a strongly rugose
556 anterior surface of the bone. The basal fragment bears the anteromedial basal margin of one of
557 the conical spikes that should have been originally completely ossified with the basal element,
558 and has an anteromedially oriented crest along the anterolateral margin of the osteoderm (Fig.
559 20n).

560 Besides these dermal elements, the 9th skeleton consists of at least eight oval shaped,
561 fragmentary keeled osteoderms from the dorsal and/or the pelvic region and six larger and
562 many small fused blocks from the pelvic armor (Fig. 20h-k). These fused blocks are
563 composed of non-keeled, flat or slightly convex, polygonal elements that were partly or
564 completely fused above the pelvic and synsacrum (Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017).

565 Based on the morphology of the humerus and that of the cervical half-rings and pelvic
566 osteoderms, we follow Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola (2017) in assigning this specimen to
567 *Hungarosaurus*.

568 *Stratigraphic position:* Remains of the 9th skeleton were discovered from a greyish/bluish
569 siltstone located between two hydromorf paleosol horizons. This siltstone crops out in the
570 same stratigraphic level as the channel fill deposit of site SZ-6 and shows a weak lateral
571 connection to the basal breccia layer of Unit 1. Based on the field observations, we suggest
572 that this bone-bearing horizon can be interpreted as a floodplain deposit situated close to the
573 channel fill deposit of site SZ-6.

574 *Taphonomic features:* Skeletal elements of the 9th skeleton were in a disarticulated but
575 associated position, discovered from an area of 14 m² and the percentage of total skeletal
576 completeness was about 17% (see Table 1). The bones were not abraded or weathered but
577 most of them were broken before the bone fossilization (oblique and longitudinal breakages).
578 Most of the skeletal elements are cracked due to the sediment compaction (similar to that
579 described by Evans et al. 2015) and the broken end of limb bones frequently show the type of
580 the rotting bone modification (e.g. naturally excavated trabecular bone of Eberth, 2015). The
581 9th skeleton contains different skeletal elements from the earliest and the latest disarticulation
582 sequences (vertebrae, limb bones and mandibular fragments were present together, as in other
583 vertebrate examples: Cambra-Moo and Buscalioni 2003), indicating that the disarticulation
584 began after the final deposition of the carcass. The taphonomical history of this incomplete
585 skeleton is similar to that presented at 2nd and 5th skeletons where the percentage of skeletal
586 completeness were higher, and the enclosing sediment indicates reduction in flow velocity
587 compared to the other skeletal materials from the Unit 1 of site SZ-6.

588

589 4.1.7. Isolated material

590 *Year of discovery:* 2001-2017.

591 *Material and reference number:* basicranium with partial skull roof (MTM PAL 2013.23.1),
592 postorbital region (MTM V 2010.1.1), premaxilla–maxilla fragment (MTM V.2003.12); left
593 fragmentary postorbital (MTM 2007.28.1), boomerang shaped central osteoderm fragments
594 with conical spikes (MTM VER 2017.66, VER 2016.578, VER 2016.578).

595 *Description and comparisons:* Of the isolated cranial remains, a partial skull with the
596 basicranium and central part of the skull roof has been described by Ósi et al. (2014a) and the
597 premaxilla–maxilla fragment and left fragmentary postorbital were described by Ósi and
598 Makádi (2009). In addition, an orbital rim preserving the typical anteroposteriorly oriented

599 postorbital crest and the ventrally pointed jugal horn has been also referred to *Hungarosaurus*
600 *tormai* (Ősi et al. 2012).

601 Multiple fragments of the boomerang shaped central osteoderm with conical spikes have been
602 described by Ősi and Makádi (2009) and Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola (2017).

603

604 4.2. *Struthiosaurus* sp.

605 Nodosauridae Marsh, 1890

606 *Struthiosaurus* Bunzel, 1871

607

608 *Revised diagnosis.* Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton (2001) gave the last diagnosis of the genus
609 that is used and extended here. Armored dinosaur, estimated body length ca. 2.5–3 m. Differs
610 from all other known ankylosaurs in having relatively narrow, high occipital region, ventrally
611 projected basisphenoid; small, oval, almost symmetrical distal quadrate condyle; longer than
612 wide cervical vertebrae; ridge-like lesser trochanter of the femur; three cervical bands
613 (sagittally unfused half-rings) with two, fused, complex elements in each band. Cervical
614 armor elements built up by the fusion of: a high, triangular, posteriorly not bending lateral
615 plate with straight or slightly convex anteroposterior edge; a central base formed by two to
616 sixteen, circular to polygonal, sometimes pointed or crested osteoderms; and a medial,
617 dorsally or slightly posterodorsally oriented, short triangular plate or spike. Pelvic armour is
618 composed of oval-shaped, crested osteoderms that are surrounded by a fused matrix of small
619 polygonal osteoderms, and these oval, crested osteoderms are present centrally just above the
620 fused neural arch of the synsacral vertebral series as well (Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017).

621

622

623 Cf. *Struthiosaurus* sp.

624

625 4.2.1. 8th skeleton

626 Reference No.: MTM PAL 2013.59.1. (Fig. 21).

627 Year of discovery: 2013.

628 *Material*: two posterior dorsal vertebrae (Fig. 21a-d), a dorsal rib, left ilium with articulated
629 elements of a fragmentary synsacrum and sacral ribs (Fig. 21e-h), five articulated osteoderms
630 (Fig. 21e, g).

631 *Description and comparisons*: This partial hip region was described in detail by Ósi and
632 Pereda-Suberbiola (2017) and referred to as cf. *Struthiosaurus* sp. The most important and
633 diagnostic part of this specimen is the pelvic armor region that certainly differs from that of
634 *Hungarosaurus* in having at least four (but probably five) centrally positioned oval to
635 subcircular crested osteoderms positioned just above the neural arch of the sacral vertebrae.
636 The crest of the osteoderms is slightly higher posteriorly than anteriorly. In the 12th skeleton,
637 almost identical osteoderms were present at least lateral to the anterior central osteoderms and
638 they were fused together with small polygonal shaped osteoderms just above the last dorsal
639 ribs. In addition, these types of crested osteoderms are present in the Laño (Spain) ankylosaur
640 material (see Pereda-Suberbiola 1999) strengthening the view that both the 8th and the 12th
641 skeletons belong to *Struthiosaurus*.

642 *Stratigraphic position*: This partial skeleton was discovered from the basal breccia layer (Unit
643 1) of site SZ-6 from an area of 0.2 m². From this year (2013) the geodetic survey of the site
644 made it possible to create and maintain a 3D model of the bone-bearing layer (Unit 1) and to
645 analyse the spatial distribution of the bones. At the location of the 8th skeleton the Unit 1 thins
646 to 30–35 cm and the parts are positioned 17–31 cm from the base layer of the bone-bed.

647 *Taphonomic features*: The remains of the 8th nodosaurid skeleton include different bones of
648 the pelvic region and two additional bones (dorsal vertebra and rib) from the more distal parts

649 of the dorsal axial skeleton. The bones of hip region show articulate preservation where most
650 of the elements were retained in their original anatomical position, while the two dorsal
651 vertebrae and a rib were preserved disarticulated but in associated position. The taphonomic
652 history of this partial skeleton could have been similar to that of the 6th skeleton (both of them
653 were discovered from the same horizon and represent bones from the hip region in articulated
654 position) with the exception that in this case three additional bones were also preserved next
655 to the articulated skeletal parts. The last two free dorsal vertebrae and the left posterior dorsal
656 rib were preserved close to the anterior end of the sacral rod (almost in original anatomical
657 position, see Supplementary data 1) indicating that the disarticulation of the vertebrae and the
658 rib occurred after the deposition. *In situ* preservation of the central osteoderms on the sacral
659 rod also indicates that the partial skeleton was buried relatively shortly after the time of
660 deposition. The elements are distributed along a WNW-ESE axis (Fig. 22).

661

662 4.2.2. 12th skeleton

663 *Reference No.:* MTM VER. 2016.3567., MTM PAL 2018.5.1. (Fig. 23).

664

665 *Year of discovery:* 2016.

666 *Material:* a fragmentary sacral rod (Fig. 23b), one larger block of two posterior dorsal or
667 sacrodorsal ribs with a composite of articulated osteoderms (Ösi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017;
668 Fig. 23f), one larger (ca. 8 cm) piece of the right ilium (Fig. 23d) and a smaller piece of the
669 anterior part of the ilium with two rib fragments (Fig. 23i, j), 16 rib fragments (Fig. 23a, c), 2
670 large (9 cm × 10.5 cm) triangular, plate-like osteoderms, four pieces of fused block of
671 osteoderms (Fig. 23e), 40 small to medium sized (up to 12 cm) oval to circular, low keeled
672 osteoderms, and over 10 small (<3 cm) ossicles (Fig. 23g-h, k-v).

673 *Description and comparisons:* Based on rib size, preserved underneath the fused osteoderms
674 (Ösi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017), this partial skeleton belonged to a relatively small

675 individual (up to 2.5–3 m). Parts of the pelvic/sacral region are preserved and probably most
676 of the osteoderms also represent this region (Fig. 23d-f). However, they were only associated
677 elements, and thus, this hypothesis cannot be proved at the moment.

678 The sacral rod contains three very poorly preserved, fused posterior dorsal vertebrae and they
679 lack the whole neural arch (Fig. 23b). Of the ilium fragments the dorsal surface of the larger
680 piece (Fig. 23d) shows similar interwoven structure as those of many non-keeled pelvic
681 armor elements (Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017).

682 The two large, plate-like osteoderms are close to identical in morphology. They have a deeply
683 excavated, spongy ventral attachment surface and straight to slightly convex anterior and
684 posterior edges of the plates. The material contains a block of fused osteoderms (MTM VER.
685 2016.3567), which were preserved with two rib fragments ventral to them (Fig. 23f). It is
686 composed of two subcircular, crested osteoderms surrounded by fused, small polygonal
687 elements. This specimen was described by Ósi and Pereda-Suberbiola (2017) in detail, and,
688 based on osteoderm morphology and composition, it is referred to *Struthiosaurus*. Four
689 additional armor elements are preserved in this assemblage. One of them contains a larger,
690 keeled osteoderm that is fused on one side with two small flat osteoderms (Fig. 23e). The
691 keeled osteoderm is almost identical with those preserved in the 8th skeleton above the neural
692 spines. The three other fused blocks contains small, non-keeled, flat elements which most
693 probably were situated between the keeled elements.

694 Besides these fused elements, a great number of smaller osteoderms were found in a small, but
695 quite dense accumulation. These osteoderms represent two different morphotypes: the first is
696 the classical, oval-shaped osteoderm with a shallow, longitudinal keel positioned more or less
697 centrally (Fig. 23g, h, u, v); the second type is usually 2–4 cm large, circular or slightly
698 rhomboidal scute with only a very shallow, diagonal ridge (Fig. 23s, t).

699 *Stratigraphic position:* The skeletal elements were discovered from the basal breccia layer
700 (Unit 1) of the channel fill deposit at the site SZ-6. Though this specimen came from the basal
701 breccia layer, it was excavated from a tectonically separated block ca. 100 m southwards from
702 the main locality within the open-pit, preventing the geodetic measuring of the bones and
703 connection them to the main assemblage measured between 2013 and 2017.

704 *Taphonomic features:* The 12th skeleton shows relatively high percentage of skeletal
705 completeness (about 9%) because several part of the pelvic region including *in situ* pelvic
706 osteoderms are preserved in this material (see Table 1). The sacral region was broken in the
707 biostratonomic phase (oblique and longitudinal fracture type), suggesting a significant
708 biological or physical impact before the fossil-diagenetic phase of bones. All of the elements
709 of 12th skeleton represent a low food value due to their limited marrow content, which
710 probably suggest that the scavenger effect might have been significant during the
711 disarticulation. The scavengers preferably consume the bones with high marrow content (e.g.
712 limb bones) because these elements are the most nutritious parts of the prey, while most of the
713 marrow-poor elements remain intact (Capaldo and Peters, 1995). The predominance of
714 marrow-poor bones in the assemblage of the 12th skeleton suggests that the carcass suffered
715 scavenging by selective carnivores that removed the most nutritious part of the prey, leaving
716 behind mainly osteoderms and ribs as it was documented in other vertebrate accumulations
717 (e.g. Haynes 1980; Behrensmeyer 1991; Müller et al. 2015). Theropod teeth, or bitemarks as
718 direct evidence of scavenging were not found associated with the skeletal material.

719

720 4.2.3. Isolated material

721 *Year of discovery:* 2001-2017.

722 *Material and reference number:* right humerus (MTM PAL 2012.30.1), six cervical armor
723 elements (MTM VER 2016.507., VER 2016.541., VER 2016.577., VER 2016.579., VER
724 2016.580., VER 2016.580.), fused block of pelvic armor (MTM VER 2018.836.)

725 *Description and comparisons:* A right complete humerus has been described in detail by Ósi
726 and Prondvai (2013). Based on histology, this small sized (21.5 cm) specimen represents a
727 fully grown adult animal. It is markedly differs from the humerus of *Hungarosaurus* in
728 having a very short shaft, massive, laterally well expanded deltopectoral crest and a quite
729 divergent distal epiphysis.

730 Three complex cervical armor elements can be referred to *Struthiosaurus* (Ósi and Rudolf
731 2017). Though their detailed description is in progress (Rudolf, in prep.), their most important
732 character is that the lateral plate is triangular with straight or slightly convex distal edge and
733 the plate project laterally and not posterolaterally as those of *Hungarosaurus*.

734 A fused block of the pelvic armor is almost identical to that of the 12th skeleton. Two
735 subcircular crested osteoderms are fused together with small polygonal shaped osteoderms
736 surrounding them. The crest of the large osteoderms is slightly higher posteriorly than
737 anteriorly.

738

739 4.3. Nodosauridae indet.

740 4.3.1. 6th skeleton

741 *Reference No.:* MTM PAL 2013.58.1. (Fig. 24).

742 *Year of discovery:* 2012.

743 *Material:* complete hip region with sysnsacrum, articulated ilia, ischia, and right pubis, sacral
744 and dorsal ribs.

745 *Description and comparisons:* Based on ilium length, MTM PAL 2013.58.1. represents one of
746 the largest ankylosaur specimens from Iharkút being nearly equal in length to the fifth

747 skeleton of *Hungarosaurus*. The specimen is slightly compressed dorsoventrally and
748 lateromedially, thus the sacral rod is weakly rotated along its long axis, and slightly
749 compressed lateromedially (Fig. 24). The ischia were pushed laterally and medially close to
750 the ventral surface of the acetabular region (Fig. 24a). The distal end of the left ilium is
751 slightly rotated and deformed as well. The synsacrum is composed of six posterior dorsal, one
752 sacrodorsal, three sacral and one sacrocaudal vertebrae (so altogether 11 vertebrae) that are
753 massively fused to each other (Fig. 24a). The neural arch of the whole synsacrum is fused into
754 a massive block. The dorsal end of the neural spines are fused into a single elongate block as
755 well, and are slightly wider lateromedially than the rest of the spines (Fig. 24b).

756 Dorsal ribs are ankylosed with the posterior dorsal vertebrae (Fig. 24a) and laterally they
757 fused to the ventral side of the ilia. Hourglass shaped sacral ribs strongly connect the sacral
758 vertebrae to the acetabular region of the ilia. Ischia bend slightly anteriorly as seen in
759 specimens of *Hungarosaurus*. The acetabulum is deep and rounded bordered medially-
760 posteromedially by the ischium and anteromedially by the pubis. In this specimen only the
761 right pubis is preserved that is ca. 10 cm long, elongate and curved posteriorly. Due to
762 compression it is lying on the ventral surface of the right ilium just in the line of the first
763 sacral rib. Anteriorly, the lateral margin of the ilium slightly diverges laterally, whereas just
764 behind the level of the acetabulum it abruptly bends posteromedially with an angle of ca. 70°.

765 The posterior end of the ilium is proportionally slightly longer and more pointed
766 posteromedially than that of *Struthiosaurus languedocensis*.

767 To sum up, based on the shape of the ilium and its size, this specimen is slightly more similar
768 to *Hungarosaurus* than to *Struthiosaurus*. However, there is not enough diagnostic features at
769 present to certainly refer it to any genus.

770 *Stratigraphic position*: The MTM PAL 2013.58.1. is an articulated skeleton from the Iharkút
771 mine and was discovered from the basal breccia of SZ-6. This fossiliferous layer is composed

772 of grey sand and clay clasts with plant fragments and isolated bones. It was situated on the
773 boundary of the coarse sand and clay clasts horizons, 10 cm above the greenish clay layer.
774 *Taphonomic features:* Though slightly compressed dorsoventrally, the bones were undamaged
775 and most of the elements were retained in their original anatomical position. The greatest
776 width was 63 cm and the length was 71 cm of the articulated segment, and the orientation was
777 SSW to NNE, i.e. similar to that of the 2nd skeletal material. The original skeleton presumably
778 began to decompose by biological or physical effects after transportation and the parts of the
779 skeleton were separated to each other. The pelvic girdle may remain articulated far longer
780 than the other parts of the body because their elements were ossified and hardly connected by
781 ligaments and other connective tissues. It is plausible that these parts of the ankylosaur
782 skeleton might have been dispersed by water with different flow rates compared to the others
783 (Nasti 2005), because the hydraulic transport potential of articulated remains is often greater
784 than that of the disarticulated bones (Coard and Dennell 1995). After deposition it was not
785 exposed to be trampled and for scavenger effect so bones could remain together in their
786 original position.

787

788 4.3.2. 7th skeleton.

789 *Reference No.:* MTM PAL 2013.57.1. (Fig. 25).

790 *Year of discovery:* 2012

791 *Material:* dorsal rib fragments (Fig. 25c, d), fragmentary synsacrum with sacral ribs and
792 sacral rod (Fig. 25e, f), fragmentary anterior caudal vertebra (Fig. 25a), partial left and right
793 ilia (Fig. 25g-j), one ischium fragment, few complete (Fig. 25k, l) and fragmentary
794 osteoderms including some fused pelvic osteoderms.

795 *Description and comparisons:* Of this very poorly preserved skeleton most elements are non-
796 diagnostic. The caudal vertebra is compressed and only the centrum is preserved (Fig. 25a).

797 From the synsacrum, the sacral rod composed of four fused vertebrae and the two anterior
798 sacral vertebrae are preserved, but their dorsal surface with the neural arch is strongly eroded.
799 Among the sacral ribs, the medial chunk of the two first sacral ribs and one broken sacral rib
800 are preserved.

801 One large bone fragment might be a piece of a scapulocoracoid bearing a small surface with
802 rugose articular surface. Of the right ilium, most of the pre-acetabular part including the
803 anterior and anteromedial side of the acetabulum is preserved (Fig. 25g, h), but the medial
804 side with the sacral and posterior dorsal rib connections are missing. From the left ilium, only
805 one larger piece of the pre-acetabular segment is preserved (Fig. 25i, j).

806 Among the few armor elements, three oval shaped, longitudinally crested osteoderms are
807 present. In the largest one the crest is quite eroded. The second largest osteoderm is a
808 marginal element with posteriorly projecting, slightly laterally bending shallow crest (Fig.
809 25k, l).

810 The fourth preserved osteoderm is a triangular plated element that should have been from the
811 lateral side of the body. Two additional pieces of thin and flat elements do not bear any crest
812 or protuberance dorsally, and they might be from the medial part of the ilium. Their ventral
813 and dorsal surfaces are quite eroded and no interwoven texture, characteristic of non crested,
814 pelvic osteoderms (Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017), can be seen.

815 *Stratigraphic position:* MTM PAL 2013.57.1. is a partial skeleton discovered from the basal
816 breccia layer of SZ-6 site.

817 *Taphonomic features:* The remains of this associated skeleton show significant fragmentation
818 with fractures formed in the biostratonomic phase of bones. The bones were not abraded or
819 weathered. All of the bones were related with the pelvic region, thus this assemblage is
820 considered as a partial skeleton. The taphonomic history of this partial skeleton could have
821 been similar to that of the 4th skeleton, because both of them contains the similar pelvic-sacral

822 elements and they were discovered from the same horizon of the Iharkút mine (Unit 1 of site
823 SZ-6).
824
825 4.3.3. 10th skeleton
826 *Reference No.:* MTM PAL 2018.3.1. (Fig. 26).
827 *Year of discovery:* 2014.
828 *Material:* one distal caudal vertebra, four dorsal rib fragments (Fig. 26a, b), one fragmentary
829 tendon, one metapodium (Fig. 26c), and three dozens of osteoderms (Fig. 26d-r).
830 *Description and comparisons:* The caudal vertebra, the dorsal ribs and the metapodium have
831 the typical ankylosaurian morphology, but they do not bring any low-level taxonomical
832 information. Of the osteoderms, the classical oval to circular-shaped forms with a shallow or
833 more developed crest are the most frequent elements (Fig. 26d-r). Three of these are
834 longitudinally quite elongate reaching the length of 9–12 cm (Fig. 26j-l). One flat, pentagonal
835 osteoderm has an interwoven texture ventrally (Fig. 26m) as seen on the polygonal elements
836 of the 9th skeleton referred to *Hungarosaurus*. There is a block of two fused osteoderms,
837 composed of a larger and a smaller one, each of them having slightly convex dorsal surface
838 and polygonal to rounded shape. These osteoderms might suggest the *Hungarosaurus* affinity
839 but more material, i.e. larger, more complex blocks of the pelvic armor would be essential to
840 justify this hypothesis.
841 *Stratigraphic position:* The bones of the 10th skeletons were discovered from the lowermost
842 part of Unit 2 of site SZ-6 from an area of 5 m². The embedding sediment is medium grained
843 sandstones which is a transition horizon from the Unit 1 to the Unit 2. This bone-yielding
844 level is only a few centimeters thick horizon where several nodosaurid osteoderms and ribs
845 were discovered close to each other. The remains were subject of a piecewise geodesic survey

846 producing high resolution 3D data. The material is distributed in an elongated-shaped area
847 which longer axis strikes WNW-ESE (Fig. 22).

848 *Taphonomic features:* The material of the 10th skeleton contains nearly the same sized isolated
849 bones from different parts of the body raising the possibility that this material resulted from
850 the processes of hydraulic concentration and not necessarily represent bones from the same
851 individual. However, the dispersal potential of the disc- (e.g. osteoderms) and the rod-like
852 (e.g. ribs) bones is significantly different (Frostic and Reid 1983), which probably contradicts
853 the theory of hydraulic concentration in this specimen. Furthermore, the remains of the 10th
854 skeleton were situated close to each other in a very thin horizon and represent different shape,
855 density bones from the same taxon. The mentioned taphonomic features indicate that this
856 material represent bones from a single individual. However, based on the available anatomical
857 and taxonomical data (especially the lack of sacrum that is present in most of the other
858 skeletons), it cannot be excluded that this material is not part of another skeleton mentioned in
859 the text. Among the other unearthed skeletons, the 11th was found closest to the 10th skeleton
860 (Fig. 22). The 11th skeleton was discovered from almost the same horizon of the Unit 2 of site
861 SZ-6 and the bone mapping data and its analyses raise the possibility that the 10th and 11th
862 partial skeletons represent different body parts of the same carcass (see the analysis of the 11th
863 skeleton below).

864 To estimate the probability of the relation between the 10th skeleton and further isolated
865 Nodosauridae indet. remains, a geometric analysis was done (see Albert et al. 2017). For the
866 analysis the 3D stratigraphic model of the Unit 1 and the geodatabase of the findings were
867 used. The method searches for those findings that belong to the same category (in this case
868 they were all Nodosauridae) and located within a certain buffer distance from each other.

869 Using the maximum spatial distance that was calculated from the associated bone material of
870 the 10th skeleton, a query was done in the database to select those findings that belongs to the

871 Nodosauridae category and are positioned less than 40 cm from each other. Since the skeleton
872 is situated only a few centimeter above the border of Unit 1 and 2, the result of the query was
873 filtered for this horizon excluding those results that are positioned at the bottom level of Unit
874 1 (Fig. 22). The spatial connections forming a 3D network may give hints about those
875 findings that are discovered, but yet unidentified parts of the skeleton (isolated materials).
876 Most of these records are categorized as osteoderm fragments, but a few ribs, vertebrae and
877 tendons were also amongst them. Unfortunately these elements are not definitive enough to
878 use them for more precise taxonomic assignment. However, the density of the created
879 network of associated findings can also indicate taphonomic features. It was discovered that
880 the WNW-ESE striking elongated area of the 10th skeleton has a high network density in the
881 western and lower network density in the Eastern end of the area (see Fig. 22). It may refer to
882 the flow direction of the river from west to eastwards, which scattered the material
883 downstream.

884

885 4.3.4. 11th skeleton

886 *Reference No.:* MTM PAL 2018.4.1. (Fig. 27).

887 *Year of discovery:* 2016.

888 *Material:* synsacrum (Fig. 27a-b, h-i), sacral ribs, almost complete left (Fig. 27c) and
889 fragmentary right ilia (Fig. 27f-g), and osteoderm (Fig. 27d-e).

890 *Description and comparisons:* The synsacrum is composed of four or five fused posterior
891 dorsal, three sacral, and one sacrocaudal vertebra (Fig. 27a) and shows the same
892 morphological features as those described in the other skeletons. The left ilium preserves most
893 of the pre- and postacetabular parts with a lateral outline (Fig. 27c) similar to that of the 5th
894 and 6th skeleton. The acetabular region is poorly preserved. A single, high keeled osteoderm

895 was preserved associated with the pelvic-sacral elements which, based on its hollow base, was
896 part of the anterolateral caudal armor (Fig. 27d, e).

897 *Stratigraphic position:* The position of the 11th skeleton is nearly the same horizon as that of
898 the 10th mentioned above (lowermost part of Unit 2). It was discovered in an area covering
899 0.25 m². Due to the preliminary preservation processes, the parts of this skeleton were not
900 subjected to piecewise geodetic survey. The location was reconstructed on the map based on
901 photodocumentation (Fig. 22).

902 *Taphonomic features:* The specimen was accidentally unearthed by the excavator when
903 cleaning the overburden of the SZ-6 site, thus only a few information is available from the
904 original position of the bones (see Supplementary Data 1). All bones of the 11th skeleton were
905 related with the pelvic region, thus this material is considered as a partial skeleton. The bones
906 are well preserved and most of them are complete. The sacral ribs are almost complete and
907 fused to the sacral vertebrae while the ilium is disarticulated but show an associated position
908 with the sacral region. The ischium is absent from the material, which is an unexpected
909 phenomenon because all of the pelvic-sacral blocks discovered from Iharkút site contains at
910 least one fragmentary ischium. Based on the available taphonomical characters, the
911 taphonomical history of the 11th skeleton could have been similar to that of the 4th and 7th
912 skeletons mentioned in the text above.

913 The Nodosauridae indet. material was also subjected for spatial analysis in the vicinity of the
914 11th skeleton using the same parameters as in the case of the 10th one. Within sixty
915 centimeters, osteoderm and rib fragments were detected showing high network density that
916 refers to close association level. Furthermore, the direction, bearing the strike axis of the 10th
917 skeleton, points towards the location of the 11th skeleton and the perimeter of the 10th
918 skeleton's distribution area is only one meter from the location of the 11th skeleton. This also

919 emphasizes the possibility that the 10th and 11th skeletons are just slightly separated
920 associations of the same ankylosaur specimen (Fig. 22).

921

922 4.3.4. Isolated material

923 *Year of discovery:* 2001-2017

924 *Material and reference number:* The isolated material referred to *Nodosauridae* indet. is quite
925 abundant including hundreds of worn, unworn or broken teeth, some of them with root,
926 mandibular fragments, several fragmentary cervical, dorsal vertebrae, a few sacrum
927 fragments, dozens of caudal vertebrae from all part of the tail, hundreds of fragmentary to
928 almost complete cervical and dorsal ribs, one humerus, several fragmentary limb elements,
929 chevrons, many hundreds of complete or almost complete osteoderms and osteoderm
930 fragments representing all part of the armor.

931

932

933 **5. Discussion**

934 *5.1. Late Cretaceous ankylosaur diversity in Europe*

935 The 12 partial skeletons and the thousands of isolated ankylosaur elements discovered in the
936 Santonian beds of Iharkút, unambiguously make this locality one of the richest ankylosaur
937 sites worldwide and add significant information on the ankylosaur diversity of Europe.

938 Skeletal remains indicate the occurrence of at least two, clearly different taxa: the larger

939 *Hungarosaurus* that is, based on the number of skeletons, more frequent than the smaller

940 sized *Struthiosaurus*. In addition, thousands of mostly fragmentary ankylosaurian elements

941 are known as well, but most of them are too poorly preserved for a more precise taxonomic

942 assignment.

943 Besides the Iharkút material, an ankylosaurian tooth and an osteoderm are known from the
944 Ajka Coal Formation as well (Ősi et al. 2016). Although they cannot be identified at more
945 precise taxonomical level, according to palynological and nannoplankton studies (Sieg-
946 Farkas and Wagneich 1996; Bodrogi et al. 1998; Bodor and Baranyi 2012) the osteoderm is
947 from the *Oculopollis - Complexiopollis* palynozone. With this age this osteoderm represents
948 the oldest ankylosaur fossil in the area dating back the temporal range of the Bakony
949 ankylosaurs within two palynological zones (most probably early Santonian) relative to the
950 age of the Iharkút ankylosaurs (*Oculopollis-Triatriopollenites* subzone of the *Oculopollis*
951 *zaklinskaiae - Brecolpites globosus* palynozone).

952 Whereas *Hungarosaurus* has only been reported from the Santonian of Iharkút (Ősi 2005; Ősi
953 and Makádi 2009), *Struthiosaurus* appears to be more widespread in Europe. True
954 ankylosaurian diversity in Europe is, however, greatly masked by the lack of overlapping
955 diagnostic elements in many assemblages (Ősi 2015). Thus, except for a few elements
956 (Pereda-Suberbiola 1993a), all the Campanian-Maastrichtian ankylosaur material have been
957 referred to as *Struthiosaurus* (Bunzel 1871; Seeley 1881; Nopcsa 1915; 1929; Pereda-
958 Suberbiola 1992; 1993b; 1999; Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton 1994; 2001; Garcia and Pereda-
959 Suberbiola 2003; Company 2004; Ősi et al. 2014c; Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017). Study
960 and reconstruction of armor composition and cervical and pelvic armor morphology might
961 help to challenge this view in the future (Burns 2008; Arbour et al. 2014; Burns and Currie
962 2014; Ősi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017; Ősi and Rudolf 2017).

963 Cervical armour elements of *Hungarosaurus* markedly differ from those referred to
964 *Struthiosaurus* in having strongly posteriorly projecting lateral and medial plates (see e.g. Fig.
965 8-9). Cervical armour elements referred to *Struthiosaurus* are known from at least five
966 European sites. These bones are generally similar to each other, but some differences between
967 the base (flat or formed by the fusion of well developed polygonal or rounded osteoderms) or

968 the shape of the lateral plate can be recognized (Ősi and Rudolf 2017). This might be the
969 result of interspecific differences but for a firm conclusion the reconstruction of a more
970 complete cervical series is necessary. Nevertheless, the available record (two-two bands are
971 known in the Iharkút and Muthmannsdorf *Struthiosaurus* and only one-one in the western
972 European [Cruzy, France; Laño and Chera, Spain] assemblages) may suggest that the western
973 European *Struthiosaurus* had more than one species (besides *S. languedocensis* where
974 cervical armour is unknown). This would not be surprising since the stratigraphic range of
975 *Struthiosaurus* on the Ibero-Armorican landmass is ca. 15 My (Garcia and Pereda-Suberbiola
976 2003).

977 The Early Campanian Muthmannsdorf ankylosaurian material contains a large conical spike
978 (PIUW 2349/15, Bunzel 1871, Seeley 1881), an element that is also known in the 4th and 9th
979 skeletons referred to *Hungarosaurus* and from isolated specimens in Iharkút (see Ősi and
980 Pereda-Suberbiola 2017 for comparison). Though the Austrian specimen has been referred to
981 *Struthiosaurus austriacus* (Nopcsa 1929; Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton 2001), it cannot be
982 ruled out that it might belong to another ankylosaur (as it was proposed by Seeley 1881). In
983 the Hungarian material, this conical spike is part of a large, boomerang-shaped central
984 osteoderm. The Austrian spike was also part of a larger, obviously fused osteoderm, since it
985 has a massive, thick base with broken edges. In Iharkút this element was only identified
986 certainly in *Hungarosaurus*. The identical conical spikes in Iharkút, Hungary and
987 Muthmannsdorf, Austria suggest that this element in the Austrian assemblage might have not
988 belonged to *S. austriacus* but rather to an other, more robust taxon, as it was originally
989 proposed by Seeley (1881). A more complete *Struthiosaurus* material either from Austria or
990 Hungary certainly would solve this problem. Whatever is the case, the ankylosaurian
991 occurrences with different cervical armor elements morphology suggest that ankylosaur

992 diversity during the last 20 My of the Late Cretaceous European archipelago was more
993 diverse than previously thought.

994 The ankylosaur material from Iharkút adds some further information about the body length of
995 these quadruped herbivores. Based on the first five skeletons referred to *Hungarosaurus* this
996 taxon had a body length of 4-4.5 meters (Ósi and Makádi 2009). The new, 9th skeleton also
997 referred to *Hungarosaurus*, fits well with this estimation since the bones of this assemblage
998 are similar in size to that of largest specimens of the other five skeletons.

999 In case of *Struthiosaurus* a body length of 2.5-3 meters has been estimated by earlier workers
1000 (Pereda-Suberbiola and Galton 2001, Garcia and Pereda-Suberbiola 2003). The two
1001 specimens in the Iharkút assemblage (along with the fragmentary new material from
1002 Transylvania; Ósi et al. 2014c) well correlates with these estimates. Though histological
1003 studies still need to be done for establishing the ontogenetic status of the Hungarian
1004 *Struthiosaurus* specimens, the well-ossified sacral region, and the fused centrum and the
1005 neural arch of the associated dorsal vertebrae suggest a non-juvenile but at least subadult
1006 ontogenetic stage. This shows that *Struthiosaurus* in Iharkút could have an estimated total
1007 body length of ca. 3 meters, similar to its other European cousins, and that it was smaller than
1008 *Hungarosaurus*.

1009

1010 ***5.2. Genesis of the ankylosaur skeleton concentration***

1011 Based on the accurate taphonomical investigations of the Iharkút vertebrate remains
1012 (Botfalvai et al. 2015), we have previously demonstrated that the associated and articulated
1013 remains of nodosaurid ankylosaurs most probably represent a mass death assemblage, because
1014 1) the skeletal remains have almost uniform taphonomic features; 2) skeletons were found
1015 close to each other in a channel fill sequence and most of them were discovered from the
1016 same horizon (e.g. 2nd-4th, 6th-7th ankylosaur skeletons); 3) the material represents a

1017 monotaxic assemblage; 4) the closing layer shows rapid deposition (Botfalvai et al. 2016),
1018 which is a sedimentological criterion of a mass-killed assemblage (see the more detailed
1019 description in Botfalvai et al. 2015).

1020 These interpretations were based only on the first seven skeletons (1st-7th skeletons
1021 from Iharkút) available in 2012 for study by Botfalvai et al. (2015). After 2012, however, five
1022 additional, partial and incomplete articulated or associated skeletons (8th-12th) have been
1023 found in Iharkút, further strengthening the above mentioned mass death hypothesis giving
1024 new opportunities for additional taphonomical investigations.

1025 Except for the 9th skeleton, all of the newly discovered skeletons came from the same
1026 channel fill deposit (Unit 1-3) of site SZ-6 (see Table 1) as the previous ones, further
1027 supporting that a high density flash flood event played a major role in their concentration. The
1028 number of the skeletal parts in the channel fill deposit of site SZ-6 shows a negative
1029 correlation with the suspected flow energy, because the sediment association of Unit 1 reflects
1030 upper flow regime conditions containing more skeletal remains than Unit 2 and 3
1031 characterized by decreasing energy conditions (see Botfalvai et al. 2016).

1032 The Unit 1 of site SZ-6 includes the 4th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 12th incomplete or partial
1033 skeletons, which were transported by a high energy flash flood event. The accumulation of
1034 these skeletons began after the culmination of a high flood process, when current strength
1035 suddenly decreased and different density (i.e. bones, plant and eggs) and sized (microfossils
1036 and partial ankylosaur skeletons) fossils accumulated together at the same time (Botfalvai et
1037 al. 2015; Prondvai et al. 2017).

1038 Four additional incomplete skeletons (1st, 5th, 10th and 11th; see Table 1) were
1039 transported in somewhat lower energetic environment, compared to that of the skeletal
1040 material of Unit 1. The 10th and the 11th skeletons came from a transitional horizon from Unit
1041 1 to the Unit 2 (the lowermost part of Unit 2) suggesting a depositional mode similar to the

1042 skeletons discovered in Unit 1. The remains of the 5th skeleton were discovered from a typical
1043 sandstone bed of Unit 2 of site SZ-6 indicating reduction in flow velocity either when the
1044 channel was abandoned or possibly at the end of the flooding event (Botfalvai et al. 2016).
1045 Fossils of this skeleton have a higher percentage of skeletal completeness (about 21%)
1046 compared to the skeletal remains of Unit 1, containing a diverse skeletal representation from
1047 all parts of the body (see Supplementary Data 2).

1048 The closing bed at site SZ-6 (Unit 3) is a greyish-brownish siltstone layer representing
1049 open lacustrine conditions following the flood events (Botfalvai et al. 2016). This layer also
1050 contained two associated skeletons (2nd and 3rd skeletons), where the 2nd one is the most
1051 complete, holotype skeleton of *Hungarosaurus* (percentage of skeletal completeness is about
1052 47%) (Ösi 2005).

1053 The 9th skeleton was discovered from the floodplain sediments and not in the channel
1054 fill deposit as the other skeletons. However, based on the stratigraphical and sedimentological
1055 observations, we suggest that the depositional place of the 9th skeleton was situated along the
1056 same horizon as the channel fill of site Sz-6 (Fig. 1c), within a small area and thus the 9th
1057 skeleton probably represents the same deposition event that buried the other skeletons as well.
1058 The embedding siltstone-mudstone of the 9th skeleton indicates that the bones were not
1059 transported through a tractive flow, because the bones were not theoretically equivalent with
1060 the dominant sizes of quartz grains of enclosing sediment (e.g. Behrensmeyer 1975; Fiorillo
1061 et al. 2000; Müller et al. 2015; Botfalvai et al. 2017). The absence of hydraulic equivalence
1062 indicates that the material probably were transported as a whole carcass and the disarticulation
1063 processes were begun in the floodplain environment after the deposition. In addition, it cannot
1064 be excluded that the individual of the 9th skeleton died in unknown death event and was
1065 buried *in situ* in the floodplain environment prior to the deposition of other skeletons at
1066 Iharkút site. However, the same stratigraphical position, the similar preservation mode and

1067 disarticulation history perhaps reinforces the assumption that this carcass was also transported
1068 by the same event as the other skeletons and it was just drifted from the channel to the
1069 floodplain during the transportation.

1070 There is no unequivocal evidence that the deposition of Unit 2 and 3 skeletons were
1071 part of the same mass death event resulted in a unique skeletal concentration in Unit 1 (see
1072 above). However, the sedimentological features suggest a relatively short time passed
1073 between the accumulation of Unit 1 and 3 supported by the followings: 1) this kind of flood
1074 events are restricted in time, usually not exceeding a few hours indicating that a significant
1075 part of the channel fill was deposited under extremely short time (Botfalvai et al. 2016); 2) the
1076 lack of bioturbation (indicated by lamination of the siltstone) and soil formation, 3) the
1077 lenticular geometry, the absence of point bar accretion and the infrequent cross-bedding
1078 suggest a rapid vertical aggradation while the lateral accretion was moderate during the
1079 channel fill processes. Based on these sedimentological features, it is conceivable that all of
1080 the ankylosaur skeletons discovered from the site SZ-6 (Unit 1-3) represent a single mass
1081 death assemblage, the individuals of which might have died over a very brief time span due to
1082 the same event.

1083 The cause of death of the Iharkút ankylosaurs was probably drowning when their
1084 herds time to time attempted to cross the flooded river, because 1) the bonebed layer was
1085 deposited during a heavy flood event (Botfalvai et al. 2016); 2) their body structure might
1086 have been unfavourable for swimming across the flooded river; 3) the ankylosaur skeletal
1087 material from Iharkút site indicates that the animals were congregated in a group before their
1088 death and drowning is a frequent cause of death in terrestrial herding lifestyle animals
1089 (Weigelt 1989; Capaldo and Peters 1995; Ryan et al. 2001; Rogers and Kidwell 2007;
1090 Kinneer et al. 2016; Subalusky et al. 2017); 4) there are no evidences for possible other causes
1091 of death e.g. drought, disease, forest fire or miring (Botfalvai et al. 2015).

1092 After the death, the ankylosaur carcasses were transported by fluvial currents as
1093 floating bodies into the place of site Sz-6 where they were finally deposited. The mass
1094 deposited carcasses provide important resource pulses for scavengers and microbial activity,
1095 which can facilitate carcass decomposition and disarticulation (e.g. Kahlke and Gaudzinski
1096 2005; Subalusky et al. 2017). The relatively low percentages of skeletal completeness (see
1097 Table 1, Supplementary Data 2) in Iharkút indicate that the deposited carcasses were exposed
1098 to destruction processes (e.g. decay and scavenger) in the water, resulting in the
1099 disarticulation of the body and destroy of certain parts of the skeleton, as it was documented
1100 in other cases as well (e.g. Capaldo and Peters 1995; Davis and Briggs 1998; Brand et al.
1101 2003; Cameron and Oxenham 2012; Subalusky et al. 2017). Besides the decaying (microbial
1102 processes), the notable reduction of the skeletons might have been caused by vertebrate
1103 scavengers in the aquatic habitats of Iharkút. This hypothesis is suggested on the basis of
1104 2600 coprolites with high phosphorous content discovered from the same excavated area (ca.
1105 600 m²) of Unit 1 which are indicators of *quasi in situ* high scavenger activity around the
1106 accumulated carcasses (Segesdi et al. 2017). Fishes play a significant role in carcass
1107 decomposition in freshwater habits (Subalusky et al. 2017) and the presence of coiled and
1108 spiral coprolites in the Iharkút material (Segesdi et al. 2017) probably also indicate that the
1109 fishes (such as *Atractosteus*) could have played a significant role during the decomposition of
1110 these ankylosaur carcasses. The decomposition and disarticulation of the ankylosaur skeletons
1111 was *in situ* in the channel fill in a water logged environment. The partial skeletons from Unit
1112 1 and 2 were buried during the following flooding event that brought enough sediment to
1113 cover their disarticulated carcasses, while the 2nd and 3rd skeletons of Unit 3 were buried by
1114 quiet-water sediments indicative of deposition from suspension in close to standing water
1115 following the flood events.

1116 The existence of monospecific skeletal material in a layer deposited under high energy
1117 conditions raises the possibility that all or some of the ankylosaurs were killed by
1118 instantaneous events when they attempted to cross the flooded river. The carcasses of the
1119 drowned animals drifted downstream by flotation until the current velocity decreased (or
1120 water column become too shallow). The deposited carcasses were exposed to destruction
1121 processes (decay, trampling or scavenger) during which the parts of the body disarticulated
1122 and certain parts of the skeleton were destroyed or transported to the different places. The
1123 mass deposited carcasses represent an important source of food for the scavengers (macro-
1124 and microbial decomposition) which can cause a notable reduction of the skeleton. After the
1125 decomposition and disarticulation, the ankylosaur skeletons were buried *in-situ* in the channel
1126 fill deposit of site SZ-6 due to the following flooding event or covered by quiet-water
1127 sediments from suspension following the flood.

1128 Despite that the ankylosaur remains are relatively common fossils in Cretaceous coastal and
1129 floodplain sediments (Vickaryous et al. 2004; Arbour et al. 2016), bonebeds containing more
1130 than one individual are extremely rare (but see e.g. Kirkland 1998; Carpenter et al. 2001;
1131 Burns et al. 2011; Kinneer et al. 2016). Therefore, the discovery of twelve ankylosaurian
1132 individuals from a single, few hundred square meters large vertebrate site is unique in
1133 ankylosaurian dinosaurs. The association of these specimens at Iharkút locality may
1134 correspond to a natural aggregation during life (Botfalvai et al in prep.). Moreover, beside of
1135 the twelve associated and articulated skeletons, more than 2700 ankylosaur isolated elements
1136 were also collected from the site SZ-6, making this group one of the most abundant taxa (after
1137 turtles) in the Iharkút assemblage (Botfalvai et al. 2016). The extraordinary abundant
1138 ankylosaur material discovered from Iharkút vertebrate locality is unrivalled in the Cretaceous
1139 vertebrate record and might contradict the hypothesis that the adult ankylosaurs were solitary
1140 living animals (e.g. Vickaryous et al. 2004; Burns et al. 2011; Arbour and Mallon 2017).

1141

1142 **6. Conclusions**

1143 1) The ankylosaurian remains discovered from the Santonian of Iharkút represent one of the
1144 richest ankylosaurian assemblages worldwide. Excavated from an area of ca. 600 m² in a
1145 sedimentary sequence deposited during a relatively fast and single event, the 12 partial
1146 associated or articulated skeletons and thousands of isolated elements play a critical role in
1147 the understanding of European ankylosaur taxonomy and diversity but they also shed light on
1148 the paleoecological aspects of the group.

1149 2) Sedimentological and taphonomical investigations indicate the following succession
1150 of events led to the concentration of this unique nodosaurid assemblage: 1) aggregation of
1151 ankylosaur population as might be the result of their gregarious lifestyle; 2) mass mortality by
1152 a flash flood event perhaps when their herds time to time attempted to cross the flooded river;
1153 3) soft tissue decomposition by scavenger action and microbial activity after water
1154 transportation generated disarticulated but associated bone assemblages; 4) the disarticulated
1155 bones were dispersed more or less by low velocity water currents that modified the bone
1156 spectrum remaining at the site.

1157 3) The assemblage is not monospecific, but six of the 12 ankylosaur skeletons are
1158 assigned to *Hungarosaurus*, whereas two others belong to *Struthiosaurus* and four additional
1159 ones can only be referred to Nodosauridae indet. This suggests that *Hungarosaurus* and
1160 *Struthiosaurus* might lived in a same community closer to the site of deposition and preferred
1161 wetland habits such as fluvial system.

1162 4) The material presented here strengthens the previous hypothesis that cervical and
1163 pelvic armor elements can be a good tool for distinguishing different ankylosaur taxa in a
1164 single vertebrate assemblage. Assuming the available information on these armor elements

1165 from different sites of Europe we think that ankylosaur diversity during the last 20 My of the
1166 Late Cretaceous European archipelago was more diverse than previously thought.

1167

1168 **Conflict of Interest statement**

1169 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1170

1171 **References**

1172 Albert, G., Botfalvai, G., Ósi, A. (2017). Making high resolution 3D model of a bonebed from
1173 GPS data to assist taphonomical analyses – case study of the Santonian vertebrate site of
1174 Iharkút (Hungary). Vienna, Austria: 8th International Meeting on Taphonomy and
1175 Fossilization, Abstract Book, 36 pp.

1176 Albert, G., Botfalvai, G., Ósi, A. (in review). Mapping the past: GIS and intra site spatial
1177 analyses of fossil deposits in paleontological sites and their applications in taxonomy,
1178 taphonomy and paleoecology.

1179 Arbour, V. M., & Mallon, J. C. (2017). Unusual cranial and postcranial anatomy in the
1180 archetypal ankylosaur *Ankylosaurus magniventris*, *FACETS*, 2, 764-794.

1181 <https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2017-0063>

1182 Arbour, V. M., Burns, M. E., Bell, P. R., Currie, P. J. (2014). Epidermal and dermal
1183 integumentary structures of ankylosaurian dinosaurs. *Journal of Morphology*, 275, 39-50.

1184 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.20194>

1185 Arbour, V. M., Zanno, L. E., Gates, T. (2016). Ankylosaurian dinosaur palaeoenvironmental
1186 associations were influenced by extirpation, sea-level fluctuation, and geodispersal.

1187 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 449, 289-299.

1188 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.02.033>

- 1189 Badgley, C. (1986). Counting Individuals in Mammalian Fossil Assemblages from Fluvial
1190 Environments. *Palaios*, 1(3), 328-338. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3514695>
- 1191 Behrensmeyer, A. K. (1975). The taphonomy and paleoecology of Plio-Pleistocene vertebrate
1192 assemblages east of Lake Rudolf, Kenya. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative*
1193 *Zoology*, 146(10), 1-106.
- 1194 Behrensmeyer, A. K. (1991). Terrestrial vertebrate accumulations. In P. A. Allison, & D. E.
1195 G. Briggs (Eds.), *Taphonomy: releasing the data locked in the fossil record* (pp. 291-
1196 335). New York: Plenum Press.
- 1197 Blows, W. T. (1982). A preliminary account of a new specimen *Polacanthus foxi*
1198 (Ankylosauria, Reptilia) from the Wealden of the Isle of Wight. *Proceedings of the Isle of*
1199 *Wight Natural History and Archaeological Society*, 7(5), 303-306.
- 1200 Blows, W. T. (1987). The armoured dinosaur *Polacanthus foxi* from the Lower Cretaceous of
1201 the Isle of Wight. *Palaeontology*, 30(3), 557-580.
- 1202 Blows, W. T. (1996). A new species of *Polacanthus* (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the
1203 Lower Cretaceous of Sussex, England. *Geological Magazine*, 133(6), 671-682.
1204 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756800024535>
- 1205 Blows, W. T. (2015). British Polacanthid Dinosaurs – Observations on the History and
1206 Palaeontology of the UK Polacanthid Armoured Dinosaurs and their Relatives, Siri
1207 Scientific Press, 220 pp.
- 1208 Bodor, E., & Baranyi, V. (2012). Palynomorphs of the Normapolles group and related plant
1209 mesofossils from the Iharkút vertebrate site, Bakony Mountains (Hungary). *Central*
1210 *European Geology*, 55, 259-292. <https://doi.org/10.1556/CEuGeol.55.2012.3.3>
- 1211 Bodrogi, I., Fogarasi, A., Yazikova, E. A., Sztanó, O., Báldi-Beke, M. (1998). Upper
1212 Cretaceous of the Bakony Mts.(Hungary): sedimentology, biostratigraphy, correlation.
1213 *Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie*, 1(11/12), 1179-1194.

- 1214 Botfalvai, G., Csiki-Sava, Z., Grigorescu, D., Vasile, S. (2017). Taphonomical and
1215 palaeoecological investigation of the Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) Tuştea vertebrate
1216 assemblage (Romania; Haţeg Basin) - insights into a unique dinosaur nesting locality.
1217 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 468, 228-262.
1218 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.12.003>
- 1219 Botfalvai, G., Haas, J., Mindszenty, A., Ósi, A. (2016). Facies Architecture and
1220 Paleoenvironmental implications of the Upper Cretaceous (Santonian) Csehbánya
1221 Formation at the Iharkút vertebrate locality (Bakony Mountains, northwestern Hungary).
1222 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 441, 659-678.
1223 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.10.018>
- 1224 Botfalvai, G., Ósi, A. (2017). Ankylosaur mass death assemblage from the Late Cretaceous
1225 of Iharkut (Hungary) and its effect on an ancient river ecosystem. In: Zuschin, M.,
1226 Harzhauser, M. and Mayrhofer, S. (Eds.), 8th International Meeting on Taphonomy and
1227 Fossilization (Taphos 2017), Vienna, Austria, 14-17 September 2017, pp. 36-37.
- 1228 Botfalvai, G., Ósi, A., Mindszenty, A. (2015). Taphonomic and paleoecologic investigations
1229 of the Late Cretaceous (Santonian) Iharkút vertebrate assemblage (Bakony Mts,
1230 northwestern Hungary). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 417, 379-
1231 405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.09.032>
- 1232 Botfalvai, G., Prondvai, E., Ósi, A., in prep. Group living or solitary lifestyle? Determination
1233 of the social behaviour of ankylosaurs.
- 1234 Brand, L., Hussey, M., Taylor, J. (2003). Taphonomy of freshwater turtles: Decay and
1235 disarticulation in controlled experiments. *Journal of Taphonomy*, 1, 233–245.
- 1236 Bunzel, E. (1871). Die Reptilfauna der Gosauformation in der Neuen Welt bei Weiner-
1237 Neustadt. *Abhandlungen der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Geologischen Reichsanstalt*, 5, 1-
1238 18.

1239 Burns, M. E. (2008). Taxonomic utility of ankylosaur (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) osteoderms:
1240 *Glyptodontopelta mimus* FORD, 2000: a test case. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*,
1241 1102-1109. <https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634-28.4.1102>

1242 Burns, M. E., Currie, P. J., Sissons, R. L., Arbour, V. M. (2011). Juvenile specimens of
1243 *Pinacosaurus grangeri* Gilmore, 1933 (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the Late
1244 Cretaceous of China, with comments on the specific taxonomy of *Pinacosaurus*.
1245 *Cretaceous Research*, 32, 174–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2010.11.007>

1246 Burns, M. E., & Currie, P. J. (2014). External and internal structure of ankylosaur
1247 (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) osteoderms and their systematic relevance. *Journal of*
1248 *Vertebrate Paleontology*, 34, 835-851. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2014.840309>

1249 Cambra-Moo, O., & Buscalioni, A. D. (2003). Biostratigraphic Patterns in Archosaur Fossils:
1250 Influence of Morphological Organization on Dispersal. *Journal of Taphonomy*, 1(4), 247-
1251 275.

1252 Cameron, A. C., & Oxenham, M. (2012). Disarticulation sequences and scattering patterns in
1253 temperate southeastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 44(2), 197-
1254 211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00450618.2011.650206>

1255 Capaldo, S. D., & Peters, C. R. (1995). Skeletal Inventories from Wildebeest Drownings at
1256 Lakes Masek and Ndutu in the Serengeti Ecosystem of Tanzania. *Journal of*
1257 *Archaeological Science*, 22(3), 385-408. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1995.0039>

1258 Carpenter, K. (2001). Skull of the polacanthid ankylosaur *Hylaeosaurus armatus* Mantell,
1259 1833, from the Lower Cretaceous of England. In K. Carpenter (Ed.), *The Armored*
1260 *Dinosaurs* (pp. 169-172). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

1261 Carpenter, K., Kirkland, J. I., Burge, D., Bird, J. (2001). Disarticulated skull of a new
1262 primitive ankylosaurid from the Lower Cretaceous of eastern Utah. In K. Carpenter (Ed.),
1263 *The Armored Dinosaurs* (pp. 211-238). Bloomington: IndianaUniversity Press.

- 1264 Coard, R., & Dennell, R. W. (1995). Taphonomy of Some Articulated Skeletal Remains:
1265 Transport Potential in an Artificial Environment. *Journal of Archaeological Science*,
1266 22(3), 441-448. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1995.0043>
- 1267 Company, J. (2004). Vertebrados continentales del Cretácico Superior (Campaniense–
1268 Maastrichtiense) de Valencia. Tesis doctoral, Universidad de Valencia Spain, 410 pp.
1269 (Unpublished).
- 1270 Davis, P. G., & Briggs, D. E. G. (1998). The impact of decay and disarticulation on the
1271 preservation of fossil birds. *Palaios*, 13(1), 3-13. <https://doi.org/10.1043/0883->
1272 1351(1998)013<0003:TIODAD>2.0.CO;2
- 1273 Dodson, P. (1971). Sedimentology and taphonomy of the Oldman Formation (Campanian),
1274 Dinosaur Provincial Park, Alberta (Canada). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
1275 *Palaeoecology*, 10(1), 21-74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182\(71\)90044-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(71)90044-7)
- 1276 Eberth, D. A. (2015). Origins of dinosaur bonebeds in the Cretaceous of Alberta, Canada.
1277 *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 52(8), 655-681. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2014->
1278 0200
- 1279 Evans, D. C., Eberth, D. A., Ryan, M. J. (2015). Hadrosaurid (*Edmontosaurus*) bonebeds
1280 from the Horseshoe Canyon Formation (Horsethief Member) at Drumheller, Alberta,
1281 Canada: geology, preliminary taphonomy, and significance. *Canadian Journal of Earth*
1282 *Science*, 52(8), 642- 654. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2014-0184>
- 1283 Fiorillo, A. R., Padian, K., Musikasinthorn, C. (2000). Taphonomy and Depositional Setting
1284 of the Placerias Quarry (Chinle Formation: Late Triassic, Arizona). *Palaios*, 15(5), 373-
1285 386. [https://doi.org/10.1669/0883-1351\(2000\)015<0373:TADSOT>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1669/0883-1351(2000)015<0373:TADSOT>2.0.CO;2)
- 1286 Frostic, L., & Reid, I. (1983). Taphonomic significance of sub-aerial transport of vertebrate
1287 fossils on steep semi-arid slopes. *Lethaia*, 16(2), 157-164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502->
1288 3931.1983.tb01711.x

1289 Garcia, G., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2003). A new species of *Struthiosaurus* (Dinosauria:
1290 Ankylosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Villeveyrac (Southern France). *Journal of*
1291 *Vertebrate Paleontology*, 23, 156–165. [https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-](https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2003)23[156:ANSOSD]2.0.CO;2)
1292 4634(2003)23[156:ANSOSD]2.0.CO;2

1293 Haynes, G. (1980). Prey bones and predators: potential ecologic information from analysis of
1294 bone sites. *Ossa*, 7, 75-97.

1295 Hill, A. (1979). Disarticulation and scattering of mammal skeletons. *Paleobiology*, 5(3), 261-
1296 274. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0094837300006552>

1297 Hill, A., & Behrensmeyer A. K. (1984). Disarticulation patterns of some modern East African
1298 mammals. *Paleobiology*, 10(3), 366-376. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0094837300008332>

1299 Hill, R.V., Witmer, L. M., Norell, M. A. (2003). A newspecimen of *Pinacosaurus grangeri*
1300 (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia: ontogeny and
1301 phylogeny of ankylosaurs. *American Museum Novitates*, 3395, 1–29.
1302 [https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0082\(2003\)395<0001:ANSOPG>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0082(2003)395<0001:ANSOPG>2.0.CO;2)

1303 Holz, M., & Barberena, M. C. (1994). Taphonomy of the south Brazilian Triassic
1304 paleoherpetofauna: pattern of death, transport and burial. *Palaeogeography,*
1305 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 107(1-2), 179-197. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(94)90170-8)
1306 0182(94)90170-8

1307 Hulke, J. W. (1882). *Polacanthus foxii*, a large undescribed dinosaur from the Wealden
1308 Formation in the Isle of Wight. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*
1309 *London*, 172, 653-662. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstl.1881.0015>

1310 Hulke, J. W. (1888). Supplemental note on *Polacanthus foxii*, describing the dorsal shield and
1311 some parts of the endoskeleton, imperfectly known in 1881. *Philosophical Transactions*
1312 *of the Royal Society of London*, 178, 169-172.

- 1313 Kahlke, R. D., & Gaudzinski S. (2005). The blessing of a great flood: differentiation of
1314 mortality patterns in the large mammal record of the Lower Pleistocene fluvial site of
1315 Untermassfeld (Germany) and its relevance for the interpretation of faunal assemblages
1316 from archaeological sites. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 32(8), 1202-1222.
1317 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2005.03.004>
- 1318 Kinneer, B., Carpenter, K., Shaw, A. (2016). Redescription of *Gastonia burgei* (Dinosauria:
1319 Ankylosauria, Polacanthidae), and description of a new species. *Neues Jahrbuch für*
1320 *Geologie und Paläontologie - Abhandlungen*, 282(1), 37-80.
1321 <https://doi.org/10.1127/njgpa/2016/0605>
- 1322 Kirkland, J. I. (1998). A polacanthine ankylosaur (Ornithischia: Dinosauria) from the Early
1323 Cretaceous (Barremian) of eastern Utah. *New Mexico Museum of Natural History and*
1324 *Science Bulletin*, 14, 271-281.
- 1325 Kirkland, J. I., Alcalá, L., Loewen, M. A., Espílez, E., Mampei, L., Wiersma, J. P. (2013).
1326 The basal nodosaurid *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. from the Lower Cretaceous
1327 (Lower Albian) Escucha Formation of northeastern Spain. *PLoS One*, 8(12), 1-40.
1328 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080405>
- 1329 Mantell, G. A. (1833). *The Geology of the South-East of England*. London: Longman Ltd. 415
1330 pp. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.106921>
- 1331 Mantell, G. A. (1841). Memoir on a portion of the lower jaw of the *Iguanodon*, and on the
1332 remains of the *Hylaeosaurus* and other saurians, discovered in the strata of the Tilgate
1333 Forest, in Sussex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 131, 131-
1334 151.
- 1335 Marsh, O. C. (1889). Notice of gigantic horned Dinosauria from the Cretaceous. *American*
1336 *Journal of Science*, 39, 418–426. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.s3-38.224.173>

- 1337 Marsh, C. O. (1890). Additional characters of the Ceratopsidae with notice of new Cretaceous
1338 dinosaur. *American Journal of Science*, 39, 418–426.
- 1339 Müller, R. T., de Araújo-Júnior, H. I., Aires, A. S. S., Roberto-da-Silva, L., Dias-da-Silva, S.
1340 (2015). Biogenic control on the origin of a vertebrate monotypic accumulation from the
1341 Late Triassic of southern Brazil. *Geobios*, 48, 331-340.
1342 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geobios.2015.05.001>
- 1343 Naish, D., & Martill, D. M. (2001). Armoured dinosaurs: thyreophorans. In D. M. Martill, &
1344 D. Naish (Eds.), *Dinosaurs of the Isle of Wight* (pp. 147-184). London: Palaeontological
1345 Association.
- 1346 Nasti, A. (2005). Dragging and Scattering of Camelid Bones by Fluvial Action in the Real
1347 Grande Gorge, Province of Catamarca, Southern Argentinean Puna. *Journal of*
1348 *Taphonomy*, 3(4), 173-183.
- 1349 Nopcsa, F. (1915). Die Dinosaurier der Siebenbürgischen Landesteile Ungarns. *Mitteilungen*
1350 *aus dem Jahrbuch der königlichen Ungarischen Geologischen Reichsanstalt Budapest*,
1351 23(1), 1-24.
- 1352 Nopcsa, F. (1929). Dinosaurier reste aus Siebenbürgen. V. *Geologica Hungarica. Series*
1353 *Palaeontologica*, 4, 1-76.
- 1354 Osborn, H. F. (1923). Two Lower Cretaceous dinosaurs from Mongolia. *American Museum*
1355 *Novitates*, 95,110.
- 1356 Owen, R. (1842). Report on British fossil reptiles, part II. *Report of the British Association for*
1357 *the Advancement of Science*, 11, 60–204.
- 1358 Owen, R. (1858). Monograph on the fossil Reptilia of the Wealden and Purbeck Formations.
1359 – Part IV. Dinosauria (*Hylaeosaurus*). Wealden: The Palaeontological Society London,
1360 8-26 pp.

- 1361 Owen, R. (1865). The Reptilia of the Liassic formations, part III. *Monograph of the*
1362 *Palaeontographical Society*, 1-40 pp.
- 1363 Ósi, A. (2005). *Hungarosaurus tormai*, a new ankylosaur (Dinosauria) from the Upper
1364 Cretaceous of Hungary. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 25(2), 370-383.
- 1365 Ósi, A. (2015). The European ankylosaur record: a review. *Hantkeniana*, 10, 89-106.
- 1366 Ósi, A., & Makádi, L. (2009). New remains of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (Ankylosauria,
1367 Dinosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Hungary: skeletal reconstruction and body
1368 mass estimation. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, 83, 227–245.
- 1369 Ósi, A., & Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (2017). Notes on the pelvic armor of European ankylosaurs
1370 (Dinosauria: Ornithischia). *Cretaceous Research*, 75, 11-22.
1371 doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.03.007
- 1372 Ósi, A., & Prondvai E. (2013). Sympatry of two ankylosaurs (*Hungarosaurus* and cf.
1373 *Struthiosaurus*) in the Santonian of Hungary. *Cretaceous Research*, 75, 11-22.
1374 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2013.03.006>
- 1375 Ósi, A., & Rudolf, J. (2017). Systematics of Late Cretaceous European ankylosaurs: the
1376 importance of the dermal armour. Birmingham, UK: Abstract volume of the 65th
1377 Symposium on Vertebrate Palaeontology and Comparative Anatomy, 72 pp.
- 1378 Ósi, A., Barrett, P., Földes, T., Tokai, R. (2014b). Wear Pattern, Dental Function, and Jaw
1379 Mechanism in the Late Cretaceous Ankylosaur *Hungarosaurus*. *The Anatomical Record*,
1380 297, 1165-1180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.22910>
- 1381 Ósi, A., Bodor, E. R., Makádi, L., Rabi, M. (2016). Vertebrate remains from the Upper
1382 Cretaceous (Santonian) Ajka Coal Formation, western Hungary. *Cretaceous Research*,
1383 57, 228–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2015.04.014>
- 1384 Ósi, A., Rabi, M., Makádi, L., Szentesi Z., Botfalvai, G., Gulyás, P. (2012). The Late
1385 Cretaceous continental vertebrate fauna from Iharkút (Western Hungary): a review. In P.

1386 Godefroit (Ed.), *Bernissart Dinosaurs and Early Cretaceous Terrestrial Ecosystems*
1387 (532–569 pp). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

1388 Ósi, A., Pereda-Suberbiola, X., Földes, T. (2014a). Partial skull and endocranial cast of the
1389 ankylosaurian dinosaur *Hungarosaurus* from the Late Cretaceous of Hungary:
1390 implications for locomotion. *Palaeontologia Electronica*. [http://palaeo-
1392 electronica.org/content/pdfs/405.pdf](http://palaeo-
1391 electronica.org/content/pdfs/405.pdf).

1392 Ósi, A., Prondvai, E., Csiki-Sava, Z. (2014c). New ankylosaurian material from the Upper
1393 Cretaceous of Transylvania. *Annales de Paléontologie*, 100: 257-271.
1394 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annpal.2014.02.001>

1395 Ósi, A., Prondvai, E., Mallon, J., Bodor, E. R. (2016). Diversity and convergences in the
1396 evolution of feeding adaptations in ankylosaurs (Dinosauria: Ornithischia). *Historical
1397 Biology*, 29 (4), 539-570. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2016.1208194>

1398 Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (1992). A revised census of European Late Cretaceous nodosaurids
1399 (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria): last occurrence and possible extinction scenarios. *Terra
1400 Nova*, 4(6), 641-648. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1992.tb00613.x>

1401 Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (1993a). *Hylaeosaurus*, *Polacanthus*, and the systematics and
1402 stratigraphy of Wealden armoured dinosaurs. *Geological Magazine*, 130(6), 767-781.
1403 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756800023141>

1404 Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (1993b). Un dinosaure cuirassé (Ornithischia, Ankylosauria) dans le
1405 Crétacé supérieur de Laño (Bassin Basco-Cantabrique). *Paleontologia i Evolució*, 26–27,
1406 231–235.

1407 Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (1994). *Polacanthus* (Ornithischia, Ankylosauria), a transatlantic
1408 armoured dinosaur from the Early Cretaceous of Europe and North America.
1409 *Palaeontographica Abteilung A*, 232, 133-159.

- 1410 Pereda-Suberbiola, X. (1999). Ankylosaurian dinosaur remains from the Upper Cretaceous of
1411 Laño (Iberian Peninsula). *Estudios del Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava*, 14(1),
1412 273–288.
- 1413 Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Galton, P. M. (1994). A revision of the cranial features of the
1414 dinosaur *Struthiosaurus austriacus* BUNZEL (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the Late
1415 Cretaceous of Europe. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Palaontologie-*
1416 *Abhandlungen*, 191(3), 173-200.
- 1417 Pereda-Suberbiola, X., & Galton, P. M. (2001). Reappraisal of the nodosaurid
1418 ankylosaur *Struthiosaurus austriacus* Bunzel from the Upper Cretaceous Gosau Beds of
1419 Austria. In K. Carpenter (Ed.), *The Armored Dinosaurs* (pp. 173-210). Bloomington,
1420 Indiana: Indiana University Press.
- 1421 Prondvai, E., Botfalvai, G., Stein, K., Szentesi, Z., Ósi, A. (2017). Collection of the thinnest:
1422 A unique eggshell assemblage from the Late Cretaceous vertebrate locality of Iharkút
1423 (Hungary). *Central European Geology*, 60(1), 73-133.
1424 <https://doi.org/10.1556/24.60.2017.004>
- 1425 Rogers, R. R., & Kidwell, S. M. (2007). A conceptual framework for the genesis and analysis
1426 of vertebrate skeletal concentrations. In R. R. Rogers, D. A. Eberth, A. R. Fiorillo (Eds.),
1427 *Bonebeds: genesis, analysis, and paleobiological significance* (pp. 1-61). Chichago and
1428 London: The University of Chichago Press.
- 1429 Ryan, M. J., Russel, A. P., Eberth, D. A., Currie, P. J. (2001). The Taphonomy of
1430 a Centrosaurus (Ornithischia: Certopsidae) Bone Bed from the Dinosaur Park Formation
1431 (Upper Campanian), Alberta, Canada, with Comments on Cranial Ontogeny. *Palaios*,
1432 16(5), 482-506. [https://doi.org/10.1669/0883-1351\(2001\)016<0482:TTOACO>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1669/0883-1351(2001)016<0482:TTOACO>2.0.CO;2)

1433 Seeley, H. G. (1881). The reptile fauna of the Gosau Formation preserved in the Geological
1434 Museum of the University of Vienna. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of*
1435 *London*, 37(148), 620-707. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.JGS.1881.037.01-04.49>

1436 Segesdi, M., Botfalvai, G., Bodor, E. R., Ósi, A., Buczkó, K., Dallos, Zs., Tokai, R., Földes,
1437 T. (2017). First report on vertebrate coprolites from the Upper Cretaceous (Santonian)
1438 Csehbánya Formation of Iharkút, Hungary. *Cretaceous Research*, 74, 87-99.
1439 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.02.010>

1440 Siegel-Farkas, A., & Wagneich, M. (1996). Age and palaeoenvironment of the spherulite-
1441 bearing. Polány Marl Formation (Late Cretaceous, Hungary) on
1442 the basis of palynological and nannonplankton investigations. *Acta Biologica Szegediensis*,
1443 35, 23-36.

1444 Subalusky, A. L., Dutton, C. L., Rosi, E. J., Post, D. M. (2017). Annual mass drownings of
1445 the Serengeti wildebeest migration influence nutrient cycling and storage in the Mara
1446 River. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
1447 114(29), 7647–7652. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614778114>

1448 Tooth, H. (1965). Sequence of disarticulation in mammalian skeletons. *Rocky Mountain*
1449 *Geology*, 4(1), 37-39.

1450 Vickaryous, M. K., Maryanska, T., Weishampel, D. B. (2004). Ankylosauria. In D. B.
1451 Weishampel, P. Dodson, H. Osmólska (Eds.), *The Dinosauria*, Second Edition (pp. 363-
1452 392). Berkeley: University of California Press.

1453 Weigelt, J. (1989). *Recent Vertebrate carcass and their archaeological implications*. Chicago:
1454 University of Chicago Press, 1-198 pp.

1455
1456
1457

1458 **Figure captions**

1459 **Fig. 1.** Geological and geographical background of the skeletons. **a** schematic stratigraphic
1460 section of the site SZ-6 showing the main palaeoenvironments and lithofacies associations. **b**
1461 close up of the Unit 1 layers of site SZ-6. **c** the positions of the ankylosaur skeletons in the
1462 channel fill deposit. **d** position of the 12 ankylosaur skeletons discovered in the Iharkút
1463 vertebrate locality. Note that the position of the 1st skeleton is uncertain, but sedimentological
1464 date indicate that it came from Unit 2 of site SZ-6.

1465
1466 **Fig. 2.** The 1st ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.22.1) referred to *Hungarosaurus tormai* from
1467 the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** main block with an anterior dorsal vertebra (the centrum
1468 is covered by the plate-like osteoderm), a right? fused cervical half-ring, three fragmentary
1469 dorsal ribs and a rectangular osteoderm below one of the ribs. **b** two proximal dorsal rib
1470 fragments. **c** rectangular flat osteoderm. **d** distal dorsal rib fragment.

1471
1472 **Fig. 3.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1473 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** right premaxilla in lateral, **b** medial
1474 view. **c** left premaxilla in lateral, **d** medial view. **e** posteroventral, alveolar fragment of left
1475 premaxilla in ventral, **f** medial, **g** lateral view. **h** right quadrate in anterior, **i** posterior view. **j**
1476 left distal quadrate in posterior, **k** anterior view. **l** left? frontal in ventral, **m** dorsal view; **n**
1477 right postorbital and jugal in medial, **o** lateral view. **p** left ?prefrontal and ?lacrima in lateral,
1478 **q** medial view. **r** cranial fragment in medial, **s** lateral view.

1479
1480 **Fig. 4.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1481 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** cranial fragment in medial, **b** lateral
1482 view. **c** anterior part of the vomer. **d** occipital condyle in posterior, **e** dorsal view. **f** pterygoid

1483 in anteroventral, **g** posterodorsal view. **h** anterior part of left nasal in dorsal view. **i** right
1484 dentary in medial view. **j** post-dentary part of the right mandible in medial view. **k** post-
1485 dentary part of the right mandible in lateral view. **l** right dentary in lateral view. **m** dentary
1486 tooth in labial, **n** ?mesial, **o** lingual view. **p** complete tooth crown in ?lingual and ?labial view.
1487 **r** anterior cervical rib. **s-t** posterior cervical ribs. **u** dorsal rib in posterior view. **v** distally
1488 positioned chevron. **w** anteriorly positioned chevron.

1489

1490 **Fig. 5.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1491 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** ?8th cervical vertebra in anterior, **b**
1492 left lateral, **c** ventral view. **d** ?6th cervical vertebra in posterior, **e** left lateral, **f** ventral, **g** dorsal
1493 view. **h** 4th cervical vertebra in left lateral, **i** posterior, **j** ventral, **k** dorsal view. **l** anterior dorsal
1494 vertebra in posterior, **m** left lateral, **n** dorsal, **o** ventral view.

1495

1496 **Fig. 6.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1497 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** dorsal vertebra in left lateral, **b**
1498 anterior, **c** ventral, **d** dorsal view. **e** fragment from the sacrum and sacral ribs. **f** sacral rod
1499 (fused lumbar vertebrae) in left lateral view (contra Ósi 2005: Fig. 11C, D). **g-i**, sacral ribs in
1500 dorsal view. **j** anterior caudal vertebra in ventral, **k** posterior, **l** dorsal view. **m** middle caudal
1501 vertebra in left lateral, **n** anterior, **o** ventral, **p** dorsal view. **q** distal caudal vertebra in left
1502 lateral, **r** posterior, **s** ventral, **t** dorsal view.

1503

1504 **Fig. 7.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1505 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** right scapula in lateral view. **b**
1506 fragmentary right coracoid in ventral, **c** anterolateral view. **d** left scapulocoracoid in lateral, **e**
1507 medial view. **f** fragmentary ischium in lateral, **g** medial view. **h** Fragmentary left ilium in

1508 dorsal, **i** ventral view. **j-k** ilium fragments. **l** right femur in anterior, **m** posterior view. **n** right
1509 fibula in lateral, **o** medial view. **p-q** limb bone fragment. **r** ungual phalanx in dorsal, **s** ventral
1510 view. **t** ungual phalanx in dorsal, **u** ventral view. **v** second or third phalanx in dorsal, **w**
1511 proximal, **x** distal view.

1512

1513 **Fig. 8.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1514 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** plate of an anterior cervical half-ring
1515 in ?lateral, **b** ?medial view. **c** plate of an anterior cervical half-ring in ?lateral, **d** ?medial view.
1516 **e** lateral plate and the base of a cervical half-ring in ventral, **f** dorsal view. **g** small lateral plate
1517 and the base of a posterior cervical half-ring in ventral, **h** dorsal view. **i** fragmentary plate of
1518 an anterior cervical half-ring in ?lateral, **j** ?medial view.

1519

1520 **Fig. 9.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1521 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** complete ?left distal cervical half-ring
1522 in ?medial, **b** ?lateral view. **c** lateral plate and fragmentary base of a cervical half-ring in
1523 ventral, **d** dorsal view. **e** ?right distal cervical half-ring in ?lateral, **f** ?medial view. **g-h** oval
1524 shaped dorsal osteoderms in dorsal view. **i** oval shaped, massive, fused osteoderm from the
1525 ?pelvic region in dorsal view. **j** subcircular shallow keeled osteoderm in dorsal view.

1526

1527 **Fig. 10.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1528 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a-g** oval shaped, keeled, dorsal
1529 osteoderms in dorsal view. **h** polygonal pelvic osteoderm in dorsal, **i** ventral view. **j** polygonal
1530 pelvic osteoderm in dorsal, **k** ventral view. **l** oval shaped, keeled osteoderm in ventral, **m**
1531 dorsal view. **n** oval shaped, slightly keeled osteoderm in ventral, **o** dorsal view. **r** osteoderm
1532 from the ?dorsal region in ventral, **s** dorsal view. **t** osteoderm from the ?dorsal region in

1533 dorsal, **u** ventral view. **v** osteoderm from the ?dorsal region in dorsal, **w** ventral view. **x**
1534 osteoderm from the ?dorsal region in ?ventral, **y** ?dorsal view.

1535

1536 **Fig. 11.** The holotype of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (2nd ankylosaur skeleton, MTM 2007.26.1-
1537 2007.26.34.) from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** keeled, lateral osteoderm in ventral, **b**
1538 dorsal view. **c** keeled, rhomboidal, dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **d** ventral view. **e** keeled
1539 osteoderm in dorsal, **f** ventral view. **g** keeled, oval, dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **h** ventral view.
1540 **i** keeled, oval, dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **j** ventral view. **k** keeled, oval, dorsal osteoderm in
1541 dorsal, **l** ventral view. **m** keeled, oval, dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **n** ventral view. **o** Keeled,
1542 oval, small ?dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **p** ventral view. **q** crested, oval, lateral osteoderm in
1543 ?medial, **r** lateral view. **s** crested, oval, caudal osteoderm in ?ventral, **t** medial view.

1544

1545 **Fig. 12.** The 3rd ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.24.1.-2007.24.10.) referred to
1546 *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** proximal caudal vertebra in
1547 left lateral, **b** posterior view. **c** anterior chevron in posterior, **d** lateral view. **e** distal half of a
1548 ?humerus in ?lateral, **f** posterior, **g** anterior view. **h** proximal end of ulna in lateral, **l**, medial
1549 view. **j** fragmentary right femur in posterior, **k** anterior view. **l** metapodium in ?medial, **m**
1550 lateral view. **n** unidentified limb element (?radius) in ?lateral, **o** medial view. **p** fused pelvic
1551 osteoderm in ventral, **q** dorsal view.

1552

1553 **Fig. 13.** The 4th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.23.1.-2007.23.4; 2007.90.1., 2007.90.2.)
1554 referred to *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** left ischium in
1555 lateral, **b** anterior view. **c** right ischium in medial, **d** lateral view. **e** synsacrum in dorsal, **f**
1556 ventral view. **g** left fragmentary ilium in dorsal, **h** ventral view. **i** boomerang shaped
1557 symmetrical, centrally positioned dermal element with two posterodorsally projecting conical

1558 spikes (broken, see n, o) laterally on its dorsal side in anterior, **j** dorsal, **k** ventral, **l** left lateral,
1559 **m** right lateral view. **n** broken conical spike from the boomerang shaped symmetrical,
1560 centrally positioned element in ?lateral, **o** ?medial view.

1561

1562 **Fig. 14.** The 5th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.26.1-2007.26.34.) referred to
1563 *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** right dentary in lateral
1564 view. **b** left mandible in lateral view. **c** dorsal vertebra in lateral view. **d** dorsal vertebra in
1565 lateral, **e** ventral view. **f** dorsal rib in posterior view. **g** fragmentary cervical rib. **h** chevron in
1566 anterior, **i** lateral view. **j** synsacrum in ventral, **k** dorsal view. **l** proximal caudal vertebra in
1567 ?anterior, **m** ventral view. **n** middle caudal vertebra in posterior, **o** lateral, **o** ventral, **q** dorsal
1568 view. **r** distal caudal vertebra in anterior, **s** lateral, **t** ventral view. **u** paravertebral element with
1569 broken spines in dorsal view.

1570

1571 **Fig. 15.** The 5th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.26.1-2007.26.34.) referred to
1572 *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** left scapulocoracoid in
1573 lateral, **b** medial view. **c** left fragmentary ilium in dorsal, **d** ventral view. **e** right ilium with the
1574 associated left ischium in ventral, **f** dorsal view. **g** right ischium in lateral, **h** medial view. **i**
1575 right humerus in anterior, **j** posterior view. **k** ?right radius in lateral, **l** medial view. **m** right
1576 ulna in medial, **n** lateral view. **o** left fragmentary ulna in lateral, **p** medial view.

1577

1578 **Fig. 16.** The 5th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.26.1-2007.26.34.) referred to
1579 *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** right femur in posterior, **b**
1580 anterior view. **c** left femur in posterior, **d** anterior view. **e** left fibula in lateral, **f** medial view.
1581 **g** ?right tibia in posterior, **h** anterior view. **i-o** metapodium dorsal view. **p** metapodium in
1582 proximal, **q** lateral view. **r** ungual phalanx in dorsal, **s** ventral view. **t** ungual phalanx in

1583 dorsal, **u** ventral view. **v** phalanx in proximal, **w** dorsal view. **x** anterior cervical half-ring with
1584 two posteriorly projecting plates in dorsal, **y** posterior, **z** ventral view. **a'**, anterior cervical
1585 half-ring with two posteriorly projecting plates in ventral view.

1586

1587 **Fig. 17.** The 5th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM 2007.26.1-2007.26.34.) referred to
1588 *Hungarosaurus tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** posteriorly projecting plate
1589 of an anterior cervical half-ring in ?lateral, **b** dorsal, **c** ventral view. **d-e** fragmentary
1590 posteriorly projecting plate of an anterior cervical half-ring in lateral/medial, **f** anterior view. **g**
1591 subcircular osteoderm in dorsal, **h** ventral view. **i** subcircular osteoderm in dorsal, **j** ventral
1592 view. **k** oval dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **l** ventral view. **m** oval dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **n**
1593 ventral view. **o** oval dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **p** ventral view. **q** oval, elongate, dorsal
1594 osteoderm in dorsal, **r** ventral view. **s** caudal osteoderm with high crest in dorsal, **t** ventral, **u**
1595 lateral view.

1596

1597 **Fig. 18.** The 9th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2016.16.1.) referred to *Hungarosaurus*
1598 *tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** postdentary part of the right mandible in
1599 lateral, **b** medial view. **c** cervical rib fragment. **d-e** dorsal rib fragment. **f** atlas in ventral, **g**
1600 dorsal view. **h** dorsal vertebra in lateral, **i** ventral view. **j** dorsal vertebral centrum in ?anterior,
1601 **k** ventral, **l** lateral view. **m** middle caudal vertebral centrum in proximal, **n** dorsal, **o** lateral, **p**
1602 ventral view.

1603

1604 **Fig. 19.** The 9th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2016.16.1.) referred to *Hungarosaurus*
1605 *tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** left scapula with the glenoidal part of the
1606 coracoid in medial, **b** lateral view. **c** proximal and distal parts of left humerus in posterior, **d**

1607 anterior view. **e** proximal part of the an ulna. **f** fragmentary ischium in lateral, **g** medial view.
1608 **h** distal end of femur. **i-j** distal end of a metapodium.

1609

1610 **Fig. 20.** The 9th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2016.16.1.) referred to *Hungarosaurus*
1611 *tormai* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** fragmentary cervical half-ring in ventral
1612 view. **b** oval, shallow crested, dorsal or pelvic osteoderm in dorsal, **c** ventral view. **d** small,
1613 ?dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **e** ventral view. **f** small, ?dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **g** ventral
1614 view. **h** fused blocks of polygonal osteoderms with a dorsal rib fragment in dorsal, **i** ventral
1615 view. **j** fused blocks of polygonal osteoderms in ventral, **k** dorsal view. **l** broken conical spike
1616 from the boomerang shaped symmetrical, centrally positioned element in anterior, **m** posterior
1617 view. **n** anterior fragment from the base of the boomerang shaped symmetrical, centrally
1618 positioned element in dorsal view. **o-p** crested caudal osteoderm in lateral view.

1619

1620 **Fig. 21.** The 8th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2013.59.1.) referred to cf. *Struthiosaurus*
1621 from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** dorsal vertebra in left lateral, **b** posterior view. **c**
1622 dorsal vertebral centrum right lateral, **d** posterior view. **e** synsacrum with articulated, crested,
1623 central osteoderms in left lateral view. **f** left ilium in dorsal view. **g** synsacrum with
1624 articulated, crested, central osteoderms in dorsal, **h** ventral view. **i** left ilium in ventral view.

1625

1626 **Fig. 22.** Bone map with the position of the 8th (cf. *Struthiosaurus* sp.), 10th and 11th
1627 (Nodosauridae indet.) skeletons and other findings surveyed between 2013 and 2017 at the
1628 site SZ-6.

1629

1630 **Fig. 23.** The 12th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM VER. 2016.3567., MTM PAL 2018.5.1.)
1631 referred to cf. *Struthiosaurus* from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** proximal dorsal rib

1632 fragment. **b** anterior end of sacral rod. **c** dorsal rib fragment. **d** ilium fragment. **e** small block
1633 of fused pelvic osteoderms with one crested element. **e** block of fused pelvic osteoderms with
1634 one crested element (see Ósi and Pereda-Suberbiola 2017). **g** oval, crested dorsal osteoderm.
1635 **h** crested osteoderm. **i** small fused block of pelvic osteoderms with two small attached rib
1636 fragments in dorsal, **j** ventral view. **k-l** associated one crested high caudal and one crested
1637 pelvic osteoderm in lateral, **m** ventral view. **n** crested ?lateral osteoderm in ?ventral, **o** dorsal,
1638 **p** lateral view. **q** rectangular crested osteoderm in dorsal, **r** ventral view. **s** subcircular crested
1639 osteoderm in dorsal, **t** ventral view. **u** oval, crested osteoderm in dorsal, **v** ventral view.
1640

1641 **Fig. 24.** The 6th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2013.58.1.) referred to *Nodosauridae* indet.
1642 from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** pelvic region with synsacrum, sacral and dorsal
1643 ribs, both ilia, ischia and right pubis in ventral, **b** dorsal view.

1644
1645 **Fig. 25.** The 7th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2013.57.1.) referred to *Nodosauridae* indet.
1646 from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** caudal vertebra ?anterodorsal, **b** posterodorsal
1647 view. **c-d** rib fragments. **e** fragmentary synsacrum in ventral, **f** dorsal view. **g** right
1648 fragmentary ilium in dorsal, **h** ventral view. **i** left ilium fragment in dorsal, **j** ventral view. **k**
1649 crested lateral osteoderm in ventral, **l** dorsal view.

1650
1651 **Fig. 26.** The 10th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2018.3.1.) referred to *Nodosauridae* indet.
1652 from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** dorsal rib in lateral, **b** medial view. **c** metapodium
1653 in lateral view. **d** crested oval dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **e** ventral view. **f** crested oval dorsal
1654 osteoderm in dorsal, **g** ventral view. **h** crested subcircular dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **i** ventral
1655 view. **j** crested oval dorsal osteoderm in dorsal, **k** ventral view. **l** two crested oval dorsal

1656 osteoderms and a small osteoderm in dorsal, **m** ventral view. **n-o**, crested caudal osteoderm in
1657 lateroventral, **p**, lateral view. **q**, small oval dorsal osteoderm in ventral, **r**, dorsal view.

1658

1659 **Fig. 27.** The 11th ankylosaur skeleton (MTM PAL 2018.4.1.) referred to Nodosauridae indet.
1660 from the Santonian of Iharkút, Hungary. **a** partial synsacrum with a sacrocaudal vertebra and
1661 a fragment of the right ilium in ventral, **b** dorsal view. **c** left ilium in dorsal view. **d** crested
1662 ?caudal osteoderm in lateral, **e** ventral view. **f-g** ilium fragment. **h-i** fragment of the sacral rod.

1663

1664 **Table 1.** Summary of the taphonomical, sedimentological and taxonomical data of the
1665 ankylosaur skeletons discovered from the Iharkút locality. The calculations of skeletal
1666 completeness are present in the Supplementary Data 2.

1667

1668 **Supplementary Data 1.** Bone maps of the ankylosaur skeletons discovered in Iharkút,
1669 Hungary. From the 12th skeleton no bone map could have drawn due to technical and
1670 logistical problems.

1671

1672 **Supplementary Data 2.** Skeletal completeness data of the ankylosaur skeletons discovered
1673 from the Iharkút locality.

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680