# Augmentation of Vortex Cavitator Performance by the Use of Co-Directional Swirl of the Flux after Vortex Chamber

EVGENIY GENNADIEVICH IVANOV<sup>1,\*</sup>, BORIS IVANOVICH GORBUNOV<sup>2</sup>, Alexander Valentinovich Pasin<sup>3</sup>, Boris Alexandrovich Aryutov<sup>4</sup>, Alexei Ivanovich Novozhilov<sup>5</sup>

**Abstract.** *Background:* Acoustic cavitation is the creation and collapse of cavitation caverns in liquid in an acoustic field with a frequency of f = 1-3 kHz. The acoustic-cavitation processes manifest themselves during the collapse phase, with high pressure gradient continuum deformation, with a multiple transformation of energy forms. Liquid whistles are widely used to create an acoustic field of high power, but their efficiency only reaches 6–12%. We propose a liquid whistle in the form of a vortex cavitator (analogue of the Ranque vortex tube) with a rotating body in which a reduction in the input power is predicted.

*Objective:* Verification of feasibility of using a rotating body in a vortex cavitator with a rotation co-directional to the operational pump impeller.

*Method:* The method for identifying the feasibility of using a rotating body is to exclude body from the prototype and directly connect vortex chamber outlet with the pump inlet, which ensures the most complete preservation of co-directional vortex component of the flux entering the pump impeller.

*Results:* The results of experimental studies confirmed the validity of the hypothesis to a greater extent, since we achieved an increase in pressure at the outlet of the pump and a decrease in power at the drive relative to the original design.

*Conclusions:* The feasibility of designing the vortex cavitator body with rotation capability has been established, which will provide a reduction in input power of at least 30% by a rotation of the body, co-directional with the impeller.

Keywords: cavitator, vortex, body, rotation, pump

- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mobile Power Tools Operation and Agricultural Machinery, Engineering Faculty
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Applied Mechanics, Physics, and Higher Mathematics
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Mobile Power Tools Operation and Agricultural Machinery Nizhniy Novgorod State Agriculture Academy (FGBOU VO Nizhegorodskaya GSHA); 97, Gagarin prospect, Nizhniy Novgorod, 603107, Russia

1786-335X © 2019 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: ivanov.e.g@mail.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Metal Technology and Machine Repair

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanization of Stockbreeding and Electrification of Agriculture

# Introduction

Cavitation technologies are promising and highly efficient methods for processing both various kinds of substances in liquids and liquids themselves. They are based on acoustic cavitation physical principle, by which the source of acoustic disturbances creates a sound field in a liquid. By the vacuum phase of the sound wave the liquid on the nuclei breaks with the formation of caverns (Wu et al., 2018; Qiu et al., 2018) due to tensile stresses, while by the manometric phase these caverns collapse when the walls move in opposite directions at speeds of sound C = 1425 m/s. As a result, the elastic energy accumulated during the vacuum phase is compressed both in space and in time to ultrahigh densities, which ensures the formation of other forms of energy, secondary elastic waves, their interference, considerable deformation of the fluid, which is the basis for creating highly efficient technologies.

The ways the cavitation caverns form can be very diverse – from raising the temperature of the liquid to the boiling point, to lowering the pressure, both in the whole operational volume and in its individual points.

The most common are:

- hydrodynamic methods based on the redistribution of the energy components of the flow – velocity and pressure (tip-wall cavitation, flow separation during flow about the profile, local flow restriction in the nozzle or venturi pipe, etc.);
- rupture of the fluid continuity with mechanical elements cavitation on propellers and items, which the flux flows poorly around (Favrel et al., 2018). Particularly noteworthy are the devices of the vortex layer, in which a rotating magnetic field acts upon ferromagnetic needles placed in a fluid flux and forces it to rupture its continuity with their chaotic non-stationary and intensive rotation;
- the creation of rotational motion of the fluid with the formation of the central axial cavitation cavity;
- the use of non-stationary flows (at the time of acceleration and deceleration);
- rupture of the fluid continuity by creating powerful electric spark discharges in it;
- generation of cavitation in the flow through the perforated fencing (Vignjevic Rade [GB]);
  - the use of oscillatory processes, including:
  - laser cavitation using focused radiation of high-power pulsed lasers;
  - cavitation from powerful acoustic oscillations in liquid at low frequencies of 10–200 Hz with 10–20 mm caverns;
  - ultrasonic cavitation during the propagation of a sound wave, as well as through the use of standing waves.

Recently, conical cavitation devices (Fu Qiang et al., patents CN107719579 (A); Li Fuyuan et al., patents CN107310687 (A)), as well as active elements distributed on the peripheral surface (Jung Chul Min et al., patents US2013298819 (A1)) became especially popular for creating partial or super cavitation caverns. As it was demonstrated by the Logos environment modelling, the first mode – conical element outflow mode – stipulates the creation of periodic elastic high-frequency waves as the flow gradually deforms. However, the amplitude of these pressure fluctuations is negligible. In the second outflow mode, also both high-amplitude elastic oscillations occur, caused by periodic separation processes, and constantly living supercavitation caverns, happening by complete separation (Karn et al., 2016). To create acoustic-cavitation technologies, the initial stage of the second outflow mode is used, and the efficiency is limited by the fluid flow velocity, since in long linear sections the increased fluid velocities will cause extremely high hydraulic losses.

In the vortex chamber, the acoustic field appears due to the interaction of the input tangential flow with its own part, which made almost a full circle on the inner surface of its shell, therefore, a high velocity of fluid is required only at the flow elements interaction area. Thus, vortex chambers are more economical and effective devices for the formation of elastic perturbations.

Acoustic cavitation helps to create highly efficient technological processes: dispersion (Sivakumar et al., 2014), disinfection (Bagal, Gogate, 2014), coagulation, heating, mixing, chemical catalysis, biological objects effect, both



*Fig.* 1. The design of the vortex cavitator. 1. Vortex chamber with tangential inlet; 2. Resonator body; 3. Tuner straightener; 4. Return pipeline; 5. Power centrifugal pump; 6. The inlet of the transit flow; 7. Outlet of the transit flow

in passive external zones and in internal areas. Technological capabilities making possible the development of other highly efficient technologies also increase the relevance of this area, for example, the creation and application of magnetorheological fluids, as well as technologies based on changes of water properties with the effect of cavitation. However, existing devices (fluid whistles) only utilize 6–10% of the incoming power for creating output acoustic field; the rest is wasted.

It is advisable to use a cavitator containing a vortex chamber as a liquid whistle, along with and a cylindrical resonator body coaxial with it, one similar to the Ranke vortex tube, or Potapov's heat generator (Potapov, 1993). Elastic oscillations are created in the vortex chamber by operation on liquids, and the amplitude of these oscillations doubles in the resonator body due to the birth of a standing wave in it; this stipulates its higher efficiency compared to other cavitator designs (*Fig. 1*).

However, the doubling of the amplitude of elastic waves is achieved by the means of the high hydraulic resistance of the vortex flow motion in the body in this case. Consequently, this either reduces the vortex component of this flow (Ivanov, 2014) or uses the circumferential component of this flow, for example, in combination with the operational process of the feeding centrifugal pump, which produces movement of the working fluid in the cavitator.

It ensues from the basic equation of centrifugal machines that when the flow entering the pump swirls against the impeller rotation, the pressure generated by the impeller increases.

$$H_{\rm BCTD} = H_0 + (U_1 C_{1U} / g) \eta_{\rm T} k_{z'}$$
(1)

swirling it in the same direction with the impeller decreases the pressure

$$H_{\rm non} = H_0 + (U_1 C_{1U} / g) \eta_{\Gamma} k_{z'}$$
(2)

- $U_2$  circumferential velocity of impeller rotation on the external diameter, m/s;
- *U*<sub>1</sub> circumferential velocity of media rotation on the inlet diameter of the impeller, m/s;
- $C_{2U}$  projection of the absolute velocity of operational liquid by exiting from the impeller to the external direction, m/s;
- $C_{1U}$  projection of the absolute velocity of operational liquid by exiting from the impeller to the external direction, m/s;
- g gravitational acceleration, m/s<sup>2</sup>.

However, the total pressure, or the specific energy of the fluid in the latter case should equal the pressure of the pump operating in the absence of a preliminary swirl, that is, as in the axial input into the pump. This is taking



*Fig.* 2. Stand for assessing the effect of transverse swirling of the circulating flow on the energy performance of the pump and its graphical interpretation (the structural elements of the stand in terms of location and configuration correspond to the diagram shown in *Fig.* 1)

into account the addition of the energy of the preliminary flow rotation to the energy it got from the pump. This corresponds to the standard technical characteristics of the centrifugal pump.

As the preliminary flow swirl is equal to the rotational frequency of the impeller, the power consumption in the pump by co-directional swirl is significantly lower than that of the counter-swirl or its absence

$$N_{\rm non} = N_0 [1 - (C_{1U} U_1 / C_{2U} U_2)]^{1.5}.$$
 (3)

Therefore, in the case under consideration, it is advisable to install the vortex chamber with respect to the pump in such a way that it creates a flow swirl at the inlet of the pump impeller that is co-directional to the latter.

*Hypothesis:* The use of a pre-swirled flow after a vortex chamber at the inlet to a centrifugal pump in the same direction with the impeller rotation should save power consumption without reducing the pressure characteristics of the pump.

The results of a priori experimental studies confirm this assumption. So, a swirl, co-directional to the impeller, is preferable from the point of view of smaller energy intensity to the counter- one. It is true for all rotation frequencies of the impeller of the feed pump (*Fig. 2*).

This justifies the assumption (Ivanov et al., Patents RU2669442) that designing the body capable of rotation (*Fig. 3*) should provide a higher value of the rotary component  $rot_{rotation} > rot_{initial}$  by excluding friction between the rotating flow and the inner surface of the body. It will create an even greater effect, in terms of power consumption as in ratio of the net power to the one consumed, and in the pressure characteristics of the pump.

However, the conditions under which the above experiments were carried out implied that the flow swirl from the body is preserved solely by ex-



*Fig. 3.* Vortex cavitator with a rotating body and a stationary jacket-casing. 1. Swirl chamber with tangential entry; 2. Resonator case; 3. Tuner straightener; 4. Return pipeline; 5. Power centrifugal pump; 6. The inlet of the transit flow; 7. Outlet of the transit flow; 8. Body rotation supports; 9. Fixed jacket-casing; 10. Technological load; 11. Electric motor of the drive

cluding the rectifying device at its outlet. This is, however, clearly insufficient for making reliable conclusions on a long return pipeline, in the condition of its turning 180°.

Consequently, the feasibility of developing a vortex cavitator with a rotating body requires additional experimental justification.

*Objective of work:* Evaluation of the feasibility of using a rotating body in a vortex cavitator with rotation, co-directional to the pump impeller.

# Materials and methods

One of the advantages of a vortex cavitator with a rotating body is the preservation of the vortex component of the flow as it passes along the body and is then fed to the impeller blades.

However, such a vortex cavitator is complex and expensive, it requires a long time to accumulate resources, manufacture, and debug. Therefore, to study the feasibility of using it, an analogous equivalent was created in the form of a well-known cavitator (Potapov, 1993), but with a resonator case removed from the device. In this case, in one design, the vortex flow created in the vortex chamber enters through a shortened return pipeline into the impeller of the centrifugal pump (*Fig. 4*).



*Fig. 4.* The vortex cavitator with the excluded case is the energy analogue of the cavitator with a rotating case (the structural elements of the stand correspond to the diagram shown in *Fig. 5* in terms of location and configuration)



*Fig.* 5. The structure of the vortex cavitator with coaxial arrangement of the vortex chamber and the centrifugal pump. 1. Swirl chamber with tangential inlet; 2. Return pipeline; 3. Power centrifugal pump; 4. The inlet of the transit flow; 5. Outlet of the transit flow

In another design, it enters from the whirl chamber of the cavitator through a short pipe directly into the impeller of the centrifugal pump (*Fig. 5*).

Thus, hydraulic losses associated with removing a stationary body are avoided and the tangential component of the flow created in the vortex chamber is retained. This approach made it possible to assemble a functional analogue of the vortex cavitator from the available prototype with minimal costs and to get a conclusion on the feasibility of further work on the development of the concept of vortex cavitators with a rotating body in a short time.

The experimental stand with a cavitator analog functioned as follows:

- the internal operational space of the vortex cavitator was pre-filled with tap water and then the transit flow q<sub>tr</sub> was put through it;
- electric drive of the vane pump was switched on;
- as the acoustic-cavitation process was stabilizing, the water of the transit flow heated up and this served as a measure of the completeness of the cavitation processes and the effectiveness of the vortex cavitator (taking into account the power consumption).

Variable factors of the experiment are:

q - the amount of transit flow through the vortex cavitator was set by the valve, and was evaluated using the measuring tank and an electronic stopwatch; *f* - the frequency of the electric current to the electric motor of the drive, which determines the speed of rotation of the pump impeller, was set with the frequency converter "Vesper";

the vortex chamber swirl direction of the flow, entering the pump, determined by its installation mode, was adjusted by replacing the vortex chamber, and response functions were:

- $\Delta p$  pressure difference at the inlet and outlet of the feed pump [m] was measured by gauges of 1.5 accuracy class;
- *W* the power consumed by the asynchronous electric drive of the pump [kW] was measured by a three-phase electronic meter "Mercury";
- $\Delta t$  temperature difference at the entrance and exit of the transit flow [deg] was evaluated with a laser pyrometer and an alcohol thermometer with a measurement limit of up to 60°.

A feature of the structures considered, of both analogs and the model proposed, is the presence of a return pipeline, turning at an angle of 180°, in all of them. This configuration of the flow part implies the creation of two mated vortex longitudinal currents at its initial part by plugging the core of the axisymmetric flow into the peripheral inner part of the beginning of the turn, its subsequent spreading over the inner torus surface to smaller radii and further closing with multiple repetition of these cycles (*Fig. 6*).



*Fig. 6.* The mechanism of formation of two mated torus vortices in the outlet

Being superimposed on a spiral monovortex flow coming out of the vortex chamber, these currents deform it. For this reason, a non-stationary polyvortex structure appears at the pump inlet. It does not contribute to the manifestation of the fully-predictable interaction of the rotating blades with the co-directional input flow. This is especially relevant for the design proposed (*Fig. 3*), and for its energy equivalent with the excluded body (*Fig. 4*), since all measures to eliminate the two-vortex flow are equally fatal for a specially created monovortex one. The only measure to eliminate it is to bring the outlet of the vortex chamber as close as possible to the pump inlet (*Fig. 5*). In the proposed design (*Fig. 3*), this is achieved by the sequential connection of several cavitators.

Therefore, the second stage of research was also carried out on a physical model in which the central outlet of the vortex chamber is coaxially connected to the inlet of the centrifugal pump through a short cylindrical pipe, and the pressure pipe of the pump is connected to the inlet tangential pipe of the vortex chamber with torus pipeline (*Fig. 5*).

In such a connection of the vortex chamber with the pump, the monovortex flow emerging from it gets directly into the central neck of the impeller and interacts with its blades without being subjected to external influences.

## Results

The results of experimental studies of two builds of vortex cavitators:

- the classic full version "full" (*Fig.* 1);
- experimental build of the vortex cavitator with coaxial arrangement of the vortex chamber and centrifugal pump "exp" (*Fig. 5*); presented in *Table 1*.

f	τη	q	N	±δN		±δp	$\Delta t$	±δt	E term	η term	$\pm \delta \eta$ term
Hz	s	l/s	kW	kW	m	m	grad	grad	kW		
			Full								
	44	0.227	4.53	0.47	20.0	1.31	2.0	0.26	2.35	0.52	0.057
	54	0.204	4.40	0.57	18.0	1.12	2.4	0.36	2.05	0.47	0.063
	65	0.170	4.54	0.63	14.5	0.96	3.2	0.36	2.27	0.50	0.063
	78	0.141	4.49	0.23	11.8	0.92	4.0	0.44	2.36	0.52	0.085
	123	0.089	4.56	0.41	9.5	0.77	5.6	0.36	2.10	0.46	0.036
	147	0.075	4.48	0.21	7.8	0.77	9.8	0.36	3.07	0.68	0.044
42.5			Exp								
	37	0.270	3.60	0.63	34.5	1.31	2.3	0.26	2.60	0.72	0.057
	44	0.227	3.70	0.36	31.3	1.47	2.8	0.36	2.44	0.68	0.045
	41	0.244	3.66	0.13	31.0	1.52	2.5	0.44	2.55	0.71	0.063
	48	0.208	3.60	0.55	30.5	1.52	2.7	0.36	2.36	0.65	0.063
	61	0.164	3.61	0.60	28.5	1.31	3.5	0.36	2.40	0.67	0.077
	103	0.097	3.58	0.55	27.8	1.31	5.9	0.36	2.40	0.67	0.057
	420	0.024	3.54	0.38	27.0	1.47	18	0.62	1.67	0.47	0.057
			Full								
	44	0.227	5.07	0.57	18.0	0.96	3.3	0.26	2.90	0.57	0.057
	47	0.234	4.93	0.48	19.0	1.12	2.8	0.36	2.74	0.56	0.044
	62	0.177	5.04	0.55	14.8	0.77	4.0	0.36	2.97	0.59	0.072
	71	0.155	5.10	0.44	13.0	0.96	4.6	0.36	2.98	0.59	0.089
	116	0.095	4.99	0.38	11.5	0.96	6.0	0.45	2.38	0.47	0.063
	145	0.076	5.06	0.31	9.3	0.77	10.6	0.63	3.37	0.66	0.063
45			Exp								
	40	0.250	4.13	0.42	36.0	1.66	2.9	0.26	3.03	0.73	0.045
	44	0.227	4.15	0.35	35.2	1.66	3.3	0.26	3.06	0.74	0.057
	47	0.213	4.03	0.40	35.0	1.72	3.3	0.36	2.94	0.71	0.063
	58	0.172	3.98	0.38	33.5	1.47	4.0	0.36	2.89	0.70	0.044
	67	0.149	4.13	0.23	33.5	1.72	4.9	0.25	3.06	0.74	0.036
	167	0.060	4.10	0.26	31.0	1.58	10.5	0.36	2.63	0.64	0.096
	738	0.014	4.12	0.37	31.8	1.58	28.5	0.96	1.62	0.39	0.036

Table 1. Energy parameters of comparable vortex cavitators

f	тq	q	N	±δN	Δp	±δp	$\Delta t$	±δt	E term	η term	$\pm \delta \eta$ term
Hz	s	l/s	kW	kW	m	m	grad	grad	kW		
			Full								
	44	0.227	5.60	0.41	17.3	0.96	3.3	0.26	2.97	0.53	0.036
	48	0.229	5.53	0.26	17.3	0.96	3.0	0.36	2.88	0.51	0.077
	64	0.172	5.47	0.17	14.3	1.17	4.4	0.26	3.17	0.58	0.057
	78	0.141	5.58	0.54	11.0	0.96	5.0	0.45	2.95	0.53	0.077
	155	0.071	5.62	0.35	10.8	0.96	7.6	0.45	2.26	0.40	0.044
	171	0.064	5.59	0.19	8.0	0.77	13.2	0.36	3.56	0.63	0.072
47.5			Exp								
	39	0.256	4.78	0.49	41.5	1.58	3.4	0.26	3.65	0.76	0.063
	44	0.227	4.80	0.76	40.8	1.83	3.7	0.36	3.52	0.73	0.072
	48	0.208	4.83	0.40	39.3	1.73	4.0	0.36	3.49	0.73	0.057
	58	0.172	4.76	0.42	38.1	1.52	5.0	0.26	3.61	0.75	0.063
	70	0.143	4.82	0.22	37.8	1.64	6.2	0.36	3.71	0.77	0.057
	87	0.115	4.80	0.69	37.0	1.80	6.9	0.57	3.32	0.69	0.077
	159	0.063	4.81	0.28	35.2	1.58	11.6	0.44	3.05	0.64	0.063
			Full								
	44	0.227	6.53	0.48	19.5	1.31	3.5	0.36	3.46	0.53	0.057
	56	0.196	6.67	0.51	18.3	1.12	4.0	0.26	3.29	0.49	0.063
	70	0.157	6.53	0.30	15.5	1.18	5.4	0.57	3.55	0.54	0.044
	86	0.128	6.55	0.58	13.3	1.06	8.0	0.26	4.28	0.66	0.112
	138	0.080	6.65	0.25	11.8	0.96	9.0	0.36	3.00	0.46	0.077
	169	0.065	6.55	0.45	9.0	0.85	13.0	0.77	3.54	0.54	0.063
50			Exp								
	38	0.263	5.45	0.16	44.8	1.87	3.9	0.45	4.30	0.79	0.077
	44	0.227	5.47	0.19	44.1	1.67	4.4	0.36	4.05	0.74	0.057
	53	0.189	5.49	0.30	44.0	1.92	4.9	0.26	3.87	0.71	0.063
	55	0.182	5.51	0.16	41.8	1.72	5.6	0.26	4.26	0.78	0.044
	70	0.143	5.43	0.56	40.8	1.72	6.6	0.45	3.95	0.72	0.077
	88	0.114	5.47	0.15	40.2	1.69	8.1	0.26	3.85	0.70	0.118
	335	0.030	5.44	0.58	39.0	1.72	25.6	0.45	3.20	0.59	0.096

Table 1. (cont'd)

Table 1. (cont'd)

f	тq	q	Ν	±δN	Δp	±δp	$\Delta t$	±δt	E term	$\eta$ term	$\pm \delta \eta$ term
Hz	s	l/s	kW	kW	m	m	grad	grad	kW		
			Full								
	44	0.227	8.01	0.64	21.0	1.67	3.0	0.36	3.60	0.45	0.036
	58	0.190	7.87	0.53	18.2	1.52	4.0	0.25	3.18	0.40	0.096
	70	0.157	7.89	0.54	16.5	1.12	5.2	0.44	3.42	0.43	0.072
	85	0.129	7.93	0.49	14.0	1.18	6.0	0.26	3.25	0.41	0.126
	136	0.081	7.97	0.31	11.8	0.96	9.4	0.36	3.18	0.40	0.044
	161	0.068	8.04	0.51	9.8	0.85	13.0	0.77	3.72	0.46	0.117
	173	0.064	8.01	0.38	9.0	0.85	18.2	0.57	4.84	0.61	0.117
52.5			Exp								
	40	0.250	6.13	0.38	49.0	2.01	4.5	0.26	4.71	0.77	0.077
	44	0.227	6.27	0.51	48.5	1.66	5.0	0.44	4.63	0.74	0.112
	50	0.200	6.23	0.44	48.5	1.72	5.4	0.36	4.52	0.72	0.131
	54	0.185	6.26	0.35	46.8	1.82	6.0	0.63	4.65	0.74	0.092
	63	0.159	6.27	0.30	45.5	1.72	7.2	0.36	4.78	0.76	0.077
	79	0.127	6.40	0.28	45.3	1.88	8.7	0.36	4.61	0.72	0.112
	150	0.067	6.31	0.39	44.2	1.80	14.5	0.63	4.05	0.65	0.118
	300	0.033	6.32	0.25	43.5	1.88	31.7	0.96	4.42	0.71	0.057
			Full								
	44	0.227	8.67	0.51	23.5	1.64	2.5	0.26	3.55	0.41	0.026
	60	0.183	8.64	0.35	19.2	1.12	3.8	0.36	2.92	0.34	0.085
	70	0.157	8.80	0.26	16.5	1.18	4.8	0.45	3.16	0.36	0.096
	90	0.122	8.69	0.44	13.0	0.96	6.6	0.36	3.38	0.39	0.118
	126	0.087	8.77	0.51	11.5	0.96	9.6	0.45	3.51	0.41	0.072
	164	0.067	8.76	0.60	9.8	0.77	13.6	0.26	3.82	0.44	0.044
	193	0.057	8.78	0.63	9.0	0.77	19.2	0.26	4.58	0.53	0.154
55			Exp								
	43	0.233	7.07	0.53	54.0	2.07	5.6	0.26	5.45	0.77	0.077
	44	0.227	7.20	0.64	53.0	1.58	5.6	0.36	4.97	0.69	0.077
	49	0.204	7.22	0.35	53.0	1.58	6.2	0.44	5.30	0.74	0.112
	58	0.172	7.12	0.10	53.0	1.8	6.6	0.26	4.76	0.66	0.112
	64	0.156	7.18	0.36	50.0	2.02	7.8	0.26	5.10	0.71	0.077

f	тq	q	N	±δN	Δp	±δp	$\Delta t$	±δt	E term	$\eta$ term	±δη term
Hz	s	l/s	kW	kW	m	m	grad	grad	kW		
	84	0.119	7.28	0.40	50.0	2.01	10.6	0.36	5.28	0.73	0.073
	163	0.061	7.26	0.41	48.5	1.72	17.5	0.36	4.50	0.62	0.118
	356	0.028	7.23	0.22	48.0	1.72	36.8	0.73	4.33	0.60	0.057
			Full								
	44	0.227	9.60	0.42	24.1	1.62	4.0	0.36	4.12	0.43	0.036
	57	0.193	9.56	0.28	21.3	0.63	3.8	0.26	3.07	0.32	0.044
	70	0.157	9.47	0.52	18.8	1.31	5.8	0.26	3.82	0.40	0.096
	90	0.122	9.57	0.52	15.0	0.96	7.6	0.36	3.90	0.41	0.125
	158	0.070	9.63	0.60	12.8	1.58	13.4	0.26	3.91	0.41	0.072
	194	0.057	9.62	0.42	10.0	0.96	26.0	0.77	6.17	0.64	0.063
57.5			Exp								
	44	0.227	7.87	0.23	59.5	1.58	6.6	0.45	6.28	0.80	0.077
	50	0.200	7.83	0.19	58.0	2.38	7.0	0.36	5.86	0.75	0.096
	56	0.179	7.91	0.16	57.0	2.20	8.0	0.45	5.98	0.76	0.077
	143	0.070	7.85	0.25	55.8	2.44	30.0	0.96	9.66	0.93	0.077
	870	0.011	7.92	0.46	53.5	1.48	60.0	1.12	2.89	0.37	0.063

Table 1. (cont'd)

Processing the results of experiments demonstrated that the difference in pressure at the pump inlet and outlet (or the main component of the pump pressure) for the "vortex chamber – pump" design is several times higher than the initial design at low flow rates and on large q in a smaller proportion (60%) (*Fig. 7*).

The measurement error of transit flow in the above relations is the sum of the errors in measuring the volume of incoming fluid and the time interval for filling this volume. The absolute value of the error in the volume of liquid in the measuring tank is

$$\Delta w = \Delta h \cdot S,\tag{4}$$

where *S* is the area of the base of the measuring cylinder (it is constant),  $\Delta h$  is the error in measuring the height of a cylindrical volume filled with liquid. Its value is assumed to be  $\Delta h = 2$  mm, which, at a height of h = 252 mm, will determine the relative error w = 0.8%.

The error in measuring time interval during which the measuring tank is filled is determined by the degree of the psychological reaction of the experimenter on the basis of a priori procedures and is  $\Delta t = 0.5$  s. This value makes



*Fig.* 7. The relation of the pressure difference at the pump to the transit flow rate for the classical build (full) of the vortex cavitator and its proposed version with a rotating case (exp) for the current frequency f = 57.5 Hz, the measurement error does not exceed 5%

it possible to determine the value of relative error by the shortest filling time  $t_{\min}$  = 37 s as equal to  $\eta_t$  = 0.5/37 = 1.35%. Consequently, the largest total value of the relative error will be 2.2%, that is, it does not exceed 5%.



*Fig. 8.* The relation of the pressure difference at the pump to the frequency of the drive electric current for the classic build (full) of the vortex cavitator and its proposed analog (exp) with a rotating body (q = 0.227 l/s)

To estimate the reliability of differences in the function  $\Delta p = f(q)$  of the builds compared, one can use the method of the least mean difference. If *X* is a random variable equal to the difference between the experimental and initial values for the characteristics, that is,  $X = F_{exp} - F_{full}$ , then its average value  $m = (x_1 + ... + x_n)/n$  will be positive. For the functions  $\Delta p = f(q)$ , it will be m = 41.2, which means that the points  $(q, \Delta p)$  for the compared variants belong to different sets.

This extends also to the impeller rotation frequency factor, and for the most part of the interval [42.5; 57.5] and is also 60% (*Fig. 8*).

To assess the individuality of each of the compared builds in this case, the confidence intervals are determined by the well-known technique for tripling n = 3

$$X \pm (t_v / \sqrt{n}) S \tag{5}$$

at significance levels  $\gamma = 0.95$  ( $t_{\gamma} = 0.315$ ) of each of the compared relations by the means of sequential calculation of dispersion *D*, effective dispersion  $S^2 = [n/(n-1)]D$  and the confidence interval (5).

At the same time, the increase in the pressure difference at the pump by the impeller rotation frequency factor exceeds the pattern corresponding to the similarity theory (dashed line in *Fig. 8*), therefore, in this case there is an additional process of energy conversion. One of the reasons for the excess pressure in the experimental build as compared to the build with a complete set with stationary case is the drive's induction motor slip reduction due to the decrease of torque on the shaft from the co-directionally pre-swirled inlet flow. This increases the frequency of rotation of the drive shaft, and hence



*Fig.* 9. The power consumption related to the frequency of the current of the electric drive for the compared builds of vortex cavitators (q = 0.227 l/s)

the impeller of the vane pump, which ultimately leads to an increase in the pressure difference at the pump, and hence the pump head itself.

The input power for the proposed build of the vortex cavitator with the direct connection of the vortex chamber with the throat of the centrifugal pump suction inlet greatly benefits compared to the original build in terms of savings (Fig. 9).

However, the power reduction in this case reaches only 30% compared to 60% for the pressure difference (*Fig. 8*), which is caused by the need for extra power use to create an additional excess pressure difference at the pump.

In addition, in this case, the proportions of the power increase are underestimated by the similarity theory in terms of the rotational speed argument. This further confirms that in this case there is a power saving. Moreover, in all cases considered, the amount of power does not depend on the transit flow.

Water heating in the vortex cavitator, as a measure of the cavitation processes flow completeness, occurs more efficiently on the the vortex cavitator with a rotating case simulating analog (with a coaxial pump and vortex chamber), since in this case the excess pressure at the outlet of the pump



*Fig.* 10. Calorific capability related to the frequency of the current of the electric drive for the compared builds of vortex cavitators and evaluation of its difference ( $q_{tr} = 0.2272 \text{ l/s}$ )



*Fig.* 11. Calorific efficiency related to the frequency of the current of the electric drive for the compared builds of vortex cavitators and evaluation of its difference ( $q_{tr} = 0.2272 \text{ l/s}$ )

considered above converts the device to a higher energy level. This excess in calorific capability  $\Delta t$  takes place at almost all rotational frequencies (*Fig.* 10).

As a result of the above circumstances, the thermal efficiency also has a higher significance, since an increased pump pressure causes a higher circulating flow velocity, and therefore a greater amplitude of sound oscillations (*Fig. 11*). As a result, the dimensions of cavitation caverns increase, the level of elastic energy accumulated in them increases, the energy density by the collapse of these cavitation caverns increases significantly, and all processes associated with collapse are significantly activated.

For the cases of *Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 10* and *Fig.11* this indicates that the experimental values are not less than the initial ones, which indicates clear advantages of the experimental build.

For the case corresponding to *Fig. 9, m* is negative, indicating a lower power consumption of the experimental build.

In *Fig. 10* and *Fig. 11* the lower part, relative estimates of the compared values differences by the frequency of the alternating current of the electric motor are given, expressed as a percentage.

# Discussion

The co-directional swirl of the circulation flow from the vortex chamber to the suction zone of a centrifugal pump allows to adapt the operation of a centrifugal pump to the specifics of the vortex chamber functioning, resulting in a significant 30% (or rather, proportional to the vortex component) reduced power consumption of the unit.

This is also true for a build with a rotating body, and, in this case, the preliminary twist can be adjusted, either to increase (by decelerating the case) or decrease, by means of an additional drive for forced rotation of the case (Ivanov et al., Patents RU2669442). We expect that this will further increase the cost-effectiveness and efficiency of the vortex cavitator workflow. In this case, a slight additional power cost can enhance the effect discussed, that is, it is possible to obtain even greater efficiency of the vortex cavitator operation.

This fact remained unknown until now and has not been used, therefore, it has a novelty. On October 11, 2018, the Federal Institute of Industrial Property of the Russian Federation awarded it an invention certificate No. 2 669 442 "Vortex Cavitator" (Ivanov et al., Patents RU2669442).

Vortex chambers or liquid whistles have been studied significantly since these devices are also used as basis for designing vortex cavitators (Akhmetov et al., 2016; Akhmetov et al., 2018), as vortex chamber sediment extractors (Athar, 2018), and as part of separating devices – hydrocyclones, etc. In addition, by operation on gas, vortex chambers are capable of creating two different temperature vortex flows inside themselves - hot peripheral and cooled central (Matsuo et al., 2015a). Since the devices under consideration have been in operation for several decades, many works have been devoted to them, especially in the 1960-80s. As the cavitation technologies develop, new works keep appearing, both on the subject of current structure in them (Matsuno et al., 2015b) and on their separating ability. However, the mechanism of creating sound waves in vortex chambers is not sufficiently studied in the published works, and those existing do not present a unanimous opinion on the process of creating acoustic cavitation, and the structure of currents itself. For example, Rafiee, Sadeghiazad (2016) indicates that the central return Rossby flow in the vortex tube has a counter swirl to the peripheral vortex flow, and this is not true.

Recent works also do not present results on the use of tangential swirling at the inlet to the vane pump (in cases such swirling takes place) of centrifugal pumps for the creation of circulation flows (Jang Jeong Cheol et al., patents KR101869827 (B1)), as well as on their use as supplying ones (Blad, patents WO2018166975 (A1)).

Moreover, due to the reduction of torque on the impeller shaft, the favorable combination of functions of the vortex chamber and the centrifugal pump extends to the third link of the unit – an asynchronous electric motor. In this case, a reduced load on the impeller blades causes a decrease in slip of the constantly rotating magnetic field of the stator of the electric motor and its rotor, as a result of which the rotor speed starts to approach the idle speed, i.e. to go up from the value at nominal load. This increases the impeller's rotation frequency, which explains the increase of pressure parameters of the centrifugal pump above the nominal.

Thus, reducing the momentum on the impeller caused by co-directional preliminary twisting in the vortex chamber reduces power consumption on the one hand, and increases the rotational speed of the induction motor shaft by reduction of its magnetic field slip and, consequently, the one of the centrifugal pump impeller. Increasing the impeller speed increases the pressure characteristics of the centrifugal pump (and hence the pressure difference on the pump inlet and outlet), which requires a part of the saved power, so its reduction is less observable.

The growth of the units' pressure parameters leads to an increase of 20–45% in the completeness of the cavitation processes flow (*Fig. 11*), which indicates the feasibility of the build considered.

Reducing energy consumption while improving the technological performance of acoustic-cavitation processes will expand the boundaries of the application of such technologies, will speed known processes with liquid media already known, and make possible the occurrence of many previously impossible.

It is remarkable that the proposed design version of the vortex cavitator with the necessary modifications is a technical novelty.

The operating modes of the centrifugal pump  $Q_{\text{centrifugal}}$  must be considered because its most effective value matches to only a certain narrow range  $\Delta Q_{\text{opt}}$  corresponding to the highest values of the pump efficiency function at  $Q_{\text{centrifugal}}$  ( $\eta = f(Q_{cr})$ ). For this reason, experiments included various frequencies of the electric current f = 40-60 Hz (or impeller rotation  $n \approx 35-55$  1/s), which ensured the displacement of the pump operating point by the criterion of relative flow and allowed to capture the optimal mode  $Q_{\text{opt}}$  of the pump with all combinations of variable factors.

This work experimentally confirms the calculated reduction in power consumption and no decrease in the pressure characteristics of a centrifugal pump with co-directional swirl of the inlet flow, as well as augmentation of this effect with increasing intensity of the swirling.

The results showed the validity of the proposed hypothesis and the feasibility of further work on the creation of a vortex cavitator with a rotating body.

### Conclusions

Analysis results of experiments established the feasibility of designing the vortex cavitator with rotating body, which will provide power saving of at

least 30% by its co-directional rotation with the impeller, as well as a 55% increase in pressure at the pump outlet. The latter will improve the quality and efficiency of the vortex cavitator operation.

We observed the effect of co-directional pre-swirl at the centrifugal pump inlet on the drive induction motor operation, causing a decrease in the slip in it and an increase in the rotational speed of its rotor, thanks to the latter. This increases in the pressure characteristics of a centrifugal pump more (by 2–3 m) than can be achieved by co-directional pre-swirl alone.

The growth of the pressure difference at the inlet and outlet of the centrifugal pump (pressure parameters) in combination with other factors produces an increase of 20–40% of the device's cavitation capabilities and its efficiency.

The study demonstrates a potential for achieving even higher vortex cavitator performance by the forced rotation of its body.

The study demonstrates a method for increasing the efficiency of the centrifugal pump operation using the energy of the pre-swirl by co-directional swirl of the input flow.

Since the use of cavitation technologies increases productivity and quality of many technological processes as compared to the conventional ones, by improving the functional parameters of the vortex cavitator the efficiency of such processes can be further enhanced and the scope of application widened, which will in turn determine the further development of these technologies.

The promising development vector for devices of the considered physical action principle is established.

#### References

- Akhmetov, D. G., Akhmetov, T. D. (2016) Flow structure in a vortex chamber. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics* 57(5): 879–887.
- Akhmetov, D. G., Akhmetov, T. D., Pavlov, V. A. (2018) Flow Structure in a Ranque-Hilsch Vortex Tube. *Doklady Physics* 63(6): 235–238.
- Athar, M., Srotriya, S. (2018) Velocity Distribution in Vortex Chamber at High Water Abstraction Ratio. *Hydrologic Modeling*. Water Science and Technology Library, Vol. 81. Springer, Singapore, pp. 459–473.
- Bagal, M. V., Gogate, P. R. (2014) Wastewater treatment using hybrid treatment schemes based on cavitation and Fenton chemistry: A review. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 21(1): 1–14.
- Blad, Th. Pump assembly, patents WO2018166975 (A1), 2018-9-20, F04D1/00; F04D13/06; F04D15/00; F04D29/42; F04D29/48; F24D3/10.
- Favrel, A., Gomes, J., Junior, P., Landry, Ch., Müller, A., Yamaishi, K., Avellan, F. (2018) Dynamic modal analysis during reduced scale model tests of hydraulic turbines for hydro-acoustic characterization of cavitation flows. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 117: 81–96.

- Fu Qiang, Li Mengyuan, Zhu Rongsheng, Liu Gang, Wang Xiuli, Design method of conical cavitation device, patents CN107719579 (A) – 2018-02-23; B63B9/00.
- Ivanov, E. G. (2014) Increasing the efficiency of the vortex cavitator with axial input of transit flow. All-Russian scientific and technical conference (with international participants). Hydraulic machines, hydraulic drives, and hydraulic pneumatics. Present condition and perspectives of development. In: Proceedings of the 8th All-Russian Scientific and Technical Conference (with international participants). Saint-Petersburg, June 10–11, 2014, p. 246.
- Ivanov, E. G., Ugarov, V. S., Gordeev, B. A., Kokorin, N. V., Ivanov, A. E. Vortex cavitator. Patents RU2669442, 2018-10-11, Bul. 29, F24V99/00.
- Jang Jeong Cheol, Lee Kyoung Joo, Yang Hyun Sung, Pump for Circulating Water, patents KR101869827 (B1) — 2018-06-21, F04D29/24; F04D29/42; F04D29/66; F24D3/02.
- Potapov U. S. Heat generator and device for heating liquids. Patents RU2045715, 1993-04-26, F25B29/00.
- Jung Chul Min, Kim Chan Ki, Park Warn Gyu, Cavitation Device of Under Water Moving Body and Under Water Moving Body Having the Same, patents US2013298819 (A1) – 2013-11-14, B63B1/36; B63G8/00.
- Karn, A., Arndt, R. E. A., Hong, J. (2016) An experimental investigation into supercavity closure mechanisms. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 789: 259–284.
- Li Fuyuan, Li Guozhong, Ma Zengshuai, Zhao Hailong, Resistance self-adaptive variable structural cavitator, patents CN107310687 (A) 2017-11-03, B63B1/38.
- Matsuno, Y., Fukushima, Y., Matsuo, Sh., Hashimoto, T., Setoguchi, T., Kim, H. D. (2015) Investigation on temperature separation and flow behavior in fortex chamber. *Journal of Thermal Science* 24(2): 149–154.
- Matsuo, Sh., Matsuno, Y., Fukushima, Y., Mamun, M., Hashimoto, T., Setoguchi, T., Kim, H. D. (2015) Experimental Study on Temperature Separation in Vortex Chamber. *Procedia Engineering* 105: 464–471.
- Qiu, S., Ma, X., Huang, B., Li, D., Wang, G., Zhang, M. (2018) Numerical simulation of single bubble dynamics under acoustic standing waves. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 49: 196–205.
- Rafiee, S. E., Sadeghiazad, M. M. (2016) Three-dimensional CFD simulation of fluid flow inside a vortex tube on basis of an experimental model – The optimization of vortex chamber radius. *International Journal of Heat and Technology* 34(2): 236–244. DOI: 10.18280/ijht.340212.
- Sivakumar, M., Tang, S. Y., Tan, Kh. W. (2014) Cavitation technology A greener processing technique for the generation of pharmaceutical nanoemulsions. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry* 21(6): 2069–2083.
- Vignjevic Rade [GB]. Cavitation Generation, patents WO2015001315 (A2) 2015-01-08, B65B1/24.
- Wu, P., Bai, L., Lin, W., Wang, X. (2018) Mechanism and dynamics of hydrodynamic-acoustic cavitation (HAC). Ultrasonics Sonochemistry 49: 89–96.