C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada Vol. 25, (2), 2003 pp. 47-54

A NEW CONSTRUCTION OF SALEM POLYNOMIALS

PIROSKA LAKATOS

Presented by Vlastimil Dlab, FRSC

ABSTRACT. An earlier result of the author on the zeros of reciprocal polynomials is applied to give a new construction of Salem numbers.

RÉSUMÉ. Cet article applique un résultat précédent de l'auteur sur les zéros des polynomes réciproques pour répondre à la question de la construction des nouveaux nombres Salem.

1. **Definitions and preliminary results.** A monic reciprocal polynomial with integer coefficients having exactly one zero (of multiplicity 1) outside the unit circle is called a *Salem polynomial* [2].

A Salem number is a real algebraic integer $\alpha > 1$ of degree ≥ 4 , all of whose conjugates, apart from α and α^{-1} , lie on the unit circle.

Here we give a new construction of Salem polynomials and therefore (in case of their real zeros are positive) a construction of Salem numbers. This is based on the following result of the author [4].

All zeros of the (real reciprocal) polynomial

(1)
$$u_m(z) = u_{(m,l,\mathbf{a})}(z) = l(z^m + z^{m-1} + \dots + z + 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor} a_k(z^{m-k} + z^k)$$

of degree m where $l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \neq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}$ are on the unit circle if

(2)
$$|l| \ge 2\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} |a_k|$$

Write $v_m(z) := z^m + z^{m-1} + \cdots + z + 1$. It has been proved in [2] that for any sequence of positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s, s \ge 3$, the polynomial

(3)
$$g(z) = (z+1) \prod_{i=1}^{s} v_{m_i}(z) - z \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left(v_{m_k-1}(z) \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{s} v_{m_i}(z) \right)$$

is either cyclotomic or Salem.

The polynomial (3) is cyclotomic only if s = 3 and $(m_1 + 1)^{-1} + (m_2 + 1)^{-1} + (m_3 + 1)^{-1} \ge 1$ or s = 4 and $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = 1$.

Received by the editors on January 27, 2003.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 12D10; secondary: 11R06, 35C15.

 $Keywords: \ semi-reciprocal \ polynomials, \ Chebyshev \ transform, \ Salem \ number.$

 $[\]bigodot$ Royal Society of Canada 2003.

To determine the location of zeros of reciprocal polynomials we apply Chebyshev transformation. This transformation projects the unit circle on the real interval [-2, 2].

A polynomial p of the form $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} a_j z^j$ $(z \in \mathbb{C})$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}, a_0, \ldots, a_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_j = a_{2n-j}$ $(j = 0, \ldots, n-1)$ is called a *real semi-reciprocal polynomial* of degree at most 2n. If $a_{2n} \neq 0$ we call p a *real reciprocal polynomial* of degree 2n. Denote by \mathcal{R}_{2n} the set of all real semi-reciprocal polynomials of degree at most 2n.

If $p \in \mathcal{R}_{2n}$, $p \neq o$ (o = the zero polynomial), then there is an integer k, $0 \le k \le n$, such that $a_{2n} = a_{2n-1} = \cdots = a_{n+k+1} = 0 = a_{n-k-1} = \cdots = a_0$ but $a_{n+k} = a_{n-k} \neq 0$ hence

(4)
$$p(z) = z^n \Big[a_{n+k} \Big(z^k + \frac{1}{z^k} \Big) + \dots + a_{n+1} \Big(z + \frac{1}{z} \Big) + a_n \Big].$$

Let T_j be the *j*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, and C_j be the *j*-th normalized Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind defined by $C_0(x) = T_0(x)$, $C_j(x) = 2T_j(\frac{x}{2}) \text{ for } j > 0.$

With $z + \frac{1}{z} = x$, we have $z^j + \frac{1}{z^j} = C_j(x)$ (j = 1, 2, ...), and hence by (4) (5)

$$p(z) = z^n \sum_{j=0}^k a_{n+j} C_j(x) = a_{n+k} z^n \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha_j) = a_{n+k} z^{n-k} \prod_{j=1}^k (z^2 - \alpha_j z + 1),$$

where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ (j = 1, ..., k) are the zeros of the polynomial $\sum_{j=0}^k a_{n+j}T_j(x)$. The *Chebyshev transform* of a non-zero polynomial $p \in \mathcal{R}_{2n}$ having the fac-

torization (5) is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}p(x) = a_{n+k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (x - \alpha_j),$$

while for the zero polynomial p we put $\mathcal{T}p(x) = 0$.

It is clear that \mathcal{T} maps \mathcal{R}_{2n} into the set \mathcal{P}_n of all polynomials of degree $\leq n$ with real coefficients. In fact, we have the following:

PROPOSITION 1. The Chebyshev transform \mathcal{T} is an isomorphism between the (real) vector spaces \mathcal{R}_{2n} and \mathcal{P}_n .

Our basic tool is the following lemma (cf. [2]).

Let f(z) be a monic integral reciprocal polynomial and let $\overline{f}(z)$ Lemma 1. be defined by

$$\bar{f}(z) = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if the degree of } f \text{ is } 2n, \\ f(z)(z+1) & \text{if the degree of } f \text{ is } 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

Then f(z) is a Salem polynomial if and only if the Chebyshev transform $\mathcal{T}\bar{f}(x)$ of $\overline{f}(z)$ has n-1 zeros in the interval [-2,2].

2. The construction. Write $\tilde{v}_{2n}(z) = \frac{v_{2n+1}(z)}{z+1}$. Similarly, if $u_m(z)$ is a reciprocal polynomial of the form (1) and m = 2n+1, we write $\tilde{u}_{2n}(z) = \frac{u_{2n+1}(z)}{z+1}$.

Lemma 2.

- (i) The values of the polynomial $\mathcal{T}u_{2n}(x)$ alternate sign at the zeros of $\mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n}(x)$.
- (ii) The values of the polynomial $\mathcal{T}\tilde{u}_{2n-2}(x)$ alternate sign at the zeros of $\mathcal{T}v_{2n}(x)$.

PROOF. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n}(x) = U_n(\frac{x}{2}) = \prod_{j=0}^n (x-\beta_j)$ and $\mathcal{T}v_{2n}(x) = U_n(\frac{x}{2}) + U_{n-1}(\frac{x}{2}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x-\gamma_j)$ where $\beta_j = 2\cos\frac{2j\pi}{2n+2}$, $\gamma_j = 2\cos\frac{2j\pi}{2n+1}$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n and U_n is the *n*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind (for the details see [4]).

In [4], we found that

(6)
$$\mathcal{T}u_{2n}(x) = l \left[U_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) + U_{n-1} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^n 2a_k T_{n-k} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right),$$

(7)
$$T \tilde{u}_{2n-2}(x) = lU_{n-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \left[U_{n-k-1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - U_{n-k-2}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right].$$

Substituting the corresponding zeros into (6), (7) we have, after some calculations, that

(8)
$$\mathcal{T}u_{2n}(\beta_j) = 2\left(\frac{l}{2}(-1)^{j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos\frac{2j(n-k)\pi}{2n+2}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{T}\tilde{u}_{2n-2}(\gamma_j) = 2\frac{\frac{l}{2}(-1)^{j+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos\frac{2j(2n-2k-1)\pi}{2(2n+1)}}{2\cos\frac{j\pi}{2n+1}}.$$

By the condition (2), we get that $\operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{T} \tilde{u}_{2n-2}(\gamma_j) = \operatorname{sgn} l \operatorname{sgn} (-1)^{j+1}$ and $\operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{T} u_{2n}(\beta_j) = \operatorname{sgn} l \operatorname{sgn} (-1)^{j+1}$ proving Lemma 2.

THEOREM 1. Let m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s and l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_s be arbitrary positive integers and for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$; $m_i \geq 2$ let $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{i[\frac{m_i}{2}]})$ be vectors with non-negative integer components such that

(9)
$$l_i \ge \sigma_i \quad where \quad \sigma_i = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m_i}{2} \right\rfloor} a_{ik}$$

holds while for $m_i = 1$ let $\mathbf{a_i}$ be the zero vector. Further let

$$u_{m_i}(z) := u_{(m_i, l_i, \mathbf{a}_i)}(z) = l_i(z^{m_i} + z^{m_i - 1} + \dots + z + 1)$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m_i}{2} \rfloor} a_{ik}(z^{m_i-k}+z^k) \quad \text{if } m_i \ge 2$$
$$u_{m_i}(z) := u_{(m_i,l_i,\mathbf{a}_i)}(z) = l_i(z+1) \quad \text{if } m_i = 1.$$

Define the polynomials

(10)
$$f(z) = f_{(m_1,\dots,m_s),(l_1,\dots,l_s),(\mathbf{a}_1,\dots,\mathbf{a}_s)}(z)$$
$$= (z+1)\prod_{i=1}^s v_{m_i}(z) - z\sum_{k=1}^s \left(u_{m_k-1}(z)\prod_{i=1,i\neq k}^s v_{m_i}(z)\right)$$

where

$$\begin{split} u_{m_i-1}(z) &:= u_{(m_i-1,l_i,\mathbf{a}_i)}(z) \quad \text{if } m_i \geq 2 \\ u_{m_i-1}(z) &:= l_i \quad \text{if } m_i = 1. \end{split}$$

Then f(x) is a Salem polynomial unless one of the following conditions hold, in which case the polynomial is cyclotomic:

- (a) $s = 1, l_1 = 1 \text{ or } l_1 = 2 \text{ and } m_1 \ge 1$
- (b) $s = 2, l_1 = l_2 = 1, m_1 \ge 1 \text{ and } m_2 \ge 1$ $s = 2, l_1 = 2 \text{ or } l_1 = 3, l_2 = 1 \text{ and } m_1 = m_2 = 1$ $s = 2, l_1 = 2, l_2 = 1 \text{ and } m_1 = 1, m_2 = 2$ $s = 2, l_1 = l_2 = 2 \text{ and } m_1 = m_2 = 1$
- (c) s = 3, $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 1$ and $(m_1 + 1)^{-1} + (m_2 + 1)^{-1} + (m_3 + 1)^{-1} \ge 1$ s = 3, $l_1 = 2$, $l_2 = l_3 = 1$ and $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$
- (d) s = 4, $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4 = 1$ and $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = 1$.

PROOF. We may assume that m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s are arranged such that all odd m_i 's are listed first, *i.e.*, $m_i = 2n_i + 1$, $n_i \ge 0$ for $1 \le i \le r$ and $m_i = 2n_i$, $n_i \ge 1$ if $r + 1 \le i \le s$ for some $0 \le r \le s$. Taking out the factor z + 1 corresponding to the zero -1 of f(z), we have

$$f(z) = (z+1)^{r+1} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{v}_{2n_i} \prod_{i=r+1}^{s} v_{2n_i}$$
$$- z(z+1)^{r-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(u_{2n_k} \prod_{i=1,i\neq k}^{r} \tilde{v}_{2n_i} \prod_{i=r+1}^{s} v_{2n_i} \right)$$
$$- z(z+1)^{r+1} \sum_{k=r+1}^{s} \left(\tilde{u}_{2n_k-2} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{v}_{2n_i} \prod_{i=r+1,i\neq k}^{s} v_{2n_i} \right)$$
$$= (z+1)^{r-1} \left((z+1)^2 f_1(z) - zf_2(z) - z(z+1)^2 f_3(z) \right)$$

with suitable polynomials f_1, f_2, f_3 .

Write
$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i$$
 then the degree of f is $N = \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i + 1 = 2d + r + 1$. Let

$$\int \frac{\mathcal{T}f(x)}{(x+2)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}} = (x+2)\mathcal{T}f_1(x) - \mathcal{T}f_2(x) - (x+2)\mathcal{T}f_3(x) \quad \text{if } r \text{ is odd}$$

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x+2)^2}{\frac{\mathcal{T}f(x)}{(x+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} = (x+2)\mathcal{T}f_1(x) - \mathcal{T}f_2(x) - (x+2)\mathcal{T}f_3(x) & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 1 we can rewrite F as

$$F(x) = (x+2)\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_{i}}\prod_{i=r+1}^{s} \mathcal{T}v_{2n_{i}} - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(\mathcal{T}u_{2n_{k}}\prod_{i=1,i\neq k}^{r} \mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_{i}}\prod_{i=r+1}^{s} \mathcal{T}v_{2n_{i}}\right)$$
$$- (x+2)\sum_{k=r+1}^{s} \left(\mathcal{T}\tilde{u}_{2n_{k}-2}\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_{i}}\prod_{i=r+1,i\neq k}^{s} \mathcal{T}v_{2n_{i}}\right).$$

By Lemma 1 we have to show that $\mathcal{T}\bar{f}$ has $[\frac{N+1}{2}]-1$ zeros in [-2, 2], or that F has $[\frac{N+1}{2}]-1-[\frac{r}{2}]=d$ zeros in the interval [-2, +2] apart from the exceptional cases (a)–(d) when each of the d+1 zeros of F are in [-2, +2].

To determine the number of zeros of $\mathcal{T}f$ we use:

LEMMA 3. Let Φ_i , Ψ_i , h_i (i = 1, 2, ..., s) and h_0 be polynomials with real coefficients such that $h_0, h_1, ..., h_s$ are positive on the interval (a, b], $h_0(a) = 0$ and for each i = 1, 2, ..., s

- (A) all zeros of Φ_i are single, and $\Phi_i(b) > 0$,
- (B) Ψ_i alternates sign at the zeros of Φ_i , and Ψ_i is positive at the largest zero of Φ_i ,
- (C) for each i = 1, 2, ..., s such that $h_i(a) \neq 0$ and $\Psi_i(a) \neq 0$; Ψ_i alternates sign on $\{a\} \cup \{\text{zeros of } \Phi_i\}$, and if Φ_i has no zeros on (a, b], then $\Psi_i > 0$.

Let M be the number of zeros of the product $\Phi_1 \cdots \Phi_s$ on (a, b), counted with multiplicity. Then the function

$$\Phi = h_0 \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Phi_i - \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left(h_k \Psi_k \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{s} \Phi_i \right)$$

has M zeros in [a, b].

Lemma 3 can be proved following the arguments of Proposition 4.1, Corollaries 1, 2 of [2], pp. 246–249. Condition (7) of Corollary 1 was changed to (C) to accomodate our situation, this again causes just a small deviation from the arguments of [2].

In the sequel let $\Phi = F$, $h_0 = x + 2$,

$$\Phi_i = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_i} & h_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \Psi_i = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}u_{2n_i} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le r \\ \mathcal{T}v_{2n_i} & \Pi + 1 \le i \le s. \end{cases}$$

The number of zeros (counted with multiplicity) of the product $\Phi_1 \cdots \Phi_s$ is easily seen to be $\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i = d$. All these zeros are in (-2, 2), denote by α_d the largest one.

Choose [a, b] to be $[-2, \alpha_d + \epsilon]$ where $0 < \epsilon < 2 - \alpha_d$. We show that the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied. Obviously h_i are positive on $(-2, \alpha_d + \epsilon]$ and $h_0(-2) = 0$.

(A) All zeros of $\mathcal{T}v_{2n_i}$ and $\mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_i}$ in $(-2, \alpha_d + \epsilon)$ are single. Since $\mathcal{T}v_{2n_i}(2) = U_{n_i}(1) + U_{n_i-1}(1) = 2n_i + 1 > 0$, $\mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_i}(2) = U_{n_i}(1) = n_i + 1 > 0$ and $\mathcal{T}v_{2n_i}$, $\mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_i}$ have no zeros in $[\alpha_d + \epsilon, 2]$ they are positive at the point $\alpha_d + \epsilon$.

(B) is ensured by Lemma 2, and also by Lemma 2 we have $\operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{T} u_{2n_i} = 1 > 0$ at the largest zero of $\mathcal{T} \tilde{v}_{2n_i}$ and $\operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{T} \tilde{u}_{2n_i-2} = 1 > 0$ at the largest zero of $\mathcal{T} v_{2n_i}$.

To show that (C) is also satisfied we remark first that $\Psi_i(-2) = \mathcal{T}u_{2n_i}(-2) = 0$ $(1 \le i \le r)$ if and only if x + 2 is a factor of it, *i.e.*, if and only if -1 is a double zero of u_{2n_i} , and this holds if only if (see [4, Theorem 1]) (11)

$$l_i = 2\sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{ik}$$
 and $\operatorname{sgn}(-1)^{k+1} = 1$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n_i$ for which $a_{ik} \neq 0$.

Next, we remark that $h_i(-2) \neq 0$ and $\Psi_i(-2) \neq 0$ holds if only if $1 \leq i \leq r$ and (11) is not satisfied.

Let us fix a subscript i with this property.

CASE 1. $\Phi_i = \mathcal{T}\tilde{v}_{2n_i}$ has no zeros on $(-2, \alpha_d + \epsilon]$. This holds if and only if $m_i = 1, n_i = 0$. Then we have $\Psi_i = \mathcal{T}u_{2n_i} = l_i > 0$ as required by (C).

CASE 2. $m_i > 1$. By 2. Ψ_i alternates sign at the zeros of Φ_i . By Lemma 1 the smallest zero of Φ_i is $\beta_{n_i} = 2 \cos \frac{2n_i \pi}{2n_i + 2}$. To show that (C) holds in this case it is enough to prove that

(12)
$$\operatorname{sgn} \Psi_i(-2) \neq \operatorname{sgn} \Psi_i(\beta_{n_i}).$$

From (8) sgn $\Psi_i(\beta_{n_i})$ = sgn $\mathcal{T}u_{2n_i}(\beta_{n_i}) = (-1)^{n_i+1}$, and by (6)

$$\Psi_i(-2) = \mathcal{T}u_{2n_i}(-2) = l_i[U_{n_i}(-1) + U_{n_i-1}(-1)] + \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} 2a_{ik}T_{n_i-k}(-1)$$
$$= l_i(-1)^{n_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} 2a_{ik}(-1)^{n_i-k} = (-1)^{n_i} \left(l_i + 2\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} a_{ik}(-1)^k\right)$$

and the expression in the last parenthesis is positive by $l_i > 0$ and by the assumption that (11) does not hold, proving that (12) is satisfied.

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we have proved that F has d zeros in the interval $[-2, \alpha_d]$. The polynomial F is of degree d+1 its d+1-th zero can only be outside this interval.

The exceptional cases of the statement are the cases, when F has d+1 zeros in the interval [-2, 2], *i.e.*, when $F(2) \ge 0$ since sgn $F(\alpha_d) = -1$. A simple calculation shows that

$$F(2) = 4 \prod_{i=1}^{r} (n_i + 1) \prod_{i=r+1}^{s} (2n_i + 1) \left[1 - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(2n_k + 1)l_k + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} a_{ik}}{4(n_k + 1)} - \sum_{k=r+1}^{s} \frac{n_k l_k + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} a_{ik}}{2n_k + 1} \right].$$

The first sum in the bracket is $\geq \sum_{k=1}^{r} l_k/4$ the second $\geq \sum_{k=r+1}^{s} l_k/3$. Using this, one can prove that if $s \geq 4$ then $F(2) \geq 0$ implies that $l_1 = \cdots = l_s = 1$. However, $s \geq 5$ and $l_1 = \cdots = l_s = 1$ is not possible since then two sums are at least s/4 > 1 making $F(2) \geq 0$ impossible. This is how we get the exceptional case (d). Similarly, if s = 3 then $F(2) \geq 0$ implies $l_k \leq 2$ (k = 1, 2, 3), if s = 2 then $F(2) \geq 0$ implies that $l_k \leq 3$ (k = 1, 2), finally if s = 1 then $F(2) \geq 0$ implies that $l_1 \leq 4$. For a given s (= 3, 2, 1) we list the possible values of l_1, \ldots, l_s and using the condition $F(2) \geq 0$ we can select the suitable cases (a)–(c).

REMARK 1. We remark that the cases $s \ge 3$ and $l_k = 1$ (k = 1, ..., s) are known for example from [5]. In this way our result gives a new method for the description of trees with maximum eigenvalue ≤ 2 .

REMARK 2. The sets defined in [5], [3] and [6] are proper subsets of the set defined by polynomials of Theorem 1. For example, the Salem number \simeq 1.673324849 defined by the polynomial

$$z^{14} - z^{12} - z^{11} - z^{10} - z^9 - 2z^8 - 3z^7 - 2z^6 - z^5 - z^3 - z^2 + 1$$

= $(z+1)v_1(z)v_{12}(z) - z(2v_{11}(z) + z^5 + z^6)(z+1) - zv_{12}(z)$

which is of the form (9) of Theorem 1, is not in the previously known sets. This holds since previous sets were defined by help of graphs. Due to the discrete nature of graphs there are "gaps" in these sets. The Salem number above is in such a gap.

REMARK 3. In some cases the polynomials of the form (9) are Salem polynomials even if u_{m_i-1} do not satisfy condition (8) but their zeros are on the unit circle. For example let s = 1, $m_1 = 16$, $l_1 = 2$ and

$$u_{15}(z) = 2v_{15}(z) + z^5 + z^6 + z^9 + z^{10};$$
 $f_1(z) = (z+1)v_{16}(z) - zu_{15}(z).$

Then $f_1(z) = (z+1)\Phi_4(z)\Phi_{12}(z)g_1(z)$ where $g_1(z)$ is the Salem polynomial of the smallest known Salem number.

This holds since the Chebyshev transform of $f_1(z)/(z+1)$ has 7 zeros on the interval [-2, 2]. The polynomial g_1 is an irreducible factor of many Salem polynomials of the form

(13)
$$(z+1)v_{m-1}(z) - z(2v_{m-2}(z) + z^i + z^j + z^{m-2-i} + z^{m-2-j}),$$

for example if m = 20, i = 3, j = 6; m = 21, i = 2, j = 9; m = 25, i = 1, j = 10. Also, the majority of the small Salem numbers listed in parameter set for the Salem polynomials of the 8 smallest Salem numbers

#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
m	17	19	17	16	15	19	13	25
i	5	3	3	3	3	1	4	0
j	6	5	5	6	5	7	5	8.

It may be of interest to show that the construction defined in Theorem 1 yields all small Salem numbers.

Acknowledgment The main part of the research was done during the visit of the author to Carleton University in Ottawa as NATO Science Fellow. The hospitality of Carleton University is gratefully acknowledged. The author would like to thank Vlastimil Dlab for stimulating discussions and for useful comments.

References

- 1. D. W. Boyd, Small Salem numbers. Duke Math. J. 44(1977), 315-328.
- J. W. Cannon and P. Wagreich, Growth function of surface groups. Math. Ann. 293 (1992), 239-257.
- P. Lakatos, Salem numbers, PV numbers and spectral radii of Coxeter transformations. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 23(2001), 71–77.
- 4. _____, On zeros of reciprocal polynomials. Publ. Math. Debrecen 61(2002), 645-661.
- J. F. McKee, P. Rowlinson and C. J. Smyth, Salem numbers and Pisot numbers from stars. In: Number theory in progress, Vol. 1 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, 309–319.
- 6. C. J. Smyth, Salem numbers of negative trace. Math. Comp. 69(2000), 827-838.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Debrecen University 4010 Debrecen, pf. 12 Hungary email: lapi@math.klte.hu