Clinical importance of aspirin and clopidogrel resistance
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Abstract
Aspirin and clopidogrel are important components of medical therapy for patients with acute coronary syndromes, for those who received coronary artery stents and in the secondary prevention of ischaemic stroke. Despite their use, a significant number of patients experience recurrent adverse ischaemic events. Individual variability of platelet aggregation in response to these antiplatelet agents may be an explanation for some of these recurrent events, and small trials have linked "aspirin and/or clopidogrel resistance", as measured by platelet function tests, to adverse events. We systematically reviewed all available evidence on the prevalence of aspirin/clopidogrel resistance, their possible risk factors and their association with clinical outcomes. We also identified articles showing possible treatments. After analyzing the data on different laboratory methods, we found that aspirin/clopidogrel resistance seems to be associated with poor clinical outcomes and there is currently no standardized or widely accepted definition of clopidogrel resistance. Therefore, we conclude that specific treatment recommendations are not established for patients who exhibit high platelet reactivity during aspirin/clopidogrel therapy or who have poor platelet inhibition by clopidogrel.
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INTRODUCTION
Platelets adhere to sites of vascular injury. Atherosclerotic lesions are associated with impaired endothelial function and hence are susceptible to platelet and leukocyte adhesion. Indeed, patients with atherosclerosis have enhanced baseline platelet activation, which is reflected by corresponding increases in urinary thromboxane (TX) metabolite excretion." It should be noted, however, that endothelial disruption is not a prerequisite for
platelet adhesion. Initially, platelets tether to the vessel wall via membrane integrins and selectins. Subsequent rolling and firm adhesion have been demonstrated by intravital microscopy in experimental models of microvascular injury. Shear stress augments adhesion receptor engagement and platelet activation (so-called “outside-in” signaling). This in turn triggers release or generation of soluble platelet activators such as TX, adenosine diphosphate (ADP), and thrombin. A layer of activated platelets forms and attracts other platelets and leukocytes. This is followed by either stable thrombus formation or rapid resolution.

Activated platelets release inflammatory and mitogenic proteins that promote leukocyte chemotraction, vascular inflammation and further modify the endothelial phenotype. Indeed, there is growing evidence that platelet adhesion is involved in the earliest development of atherosclerotic lesions. On activation, the most densely expressed platelet, integrin \( \Pi b \Pi 3 \) [glycoprotein (GP) \( \Pi b / \Pi 3a \)], undergoes conformational change, binds soluble fibrinogen and von Willebrand factor and facilitates platelet aggregate formation. Notably, GP \( \Pi b / \Pi 3a \) gradually loses its binding capacity when platelets are stimulated by ADP alone. However, more potent agonists, such as thrombin, induce persistent fibrinogen binding. The cycle of initiation, propagation, and perpetuation of platelet activation creates the platelet mass that forms a nidus for coagulation. Fibrin generation and release of secondary platelet agonists propagate this process. Secondary agonists continuously activate integrins and importantly may be required to prevent disassembly of the early platelet aggregate. Six soluble ADP, TXA2, soluble CD40 ligand, and the product of growth arrest specific gene 6 are prominent in these paracrine signaling pathways.

Oral antiplatelet drugs are a cornerstone of modern pharmacotherapy in cardiovascular atherothrombotic diseases. The efficacy of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA, aspirin) and clopidogrel in decreasing the risk of adverse events in vascular disease patients has been well established in the past 20 years. Despite chronic oral antiplatelet therapy, a number of atherothrombotic events continue to occur. In recent years, a number of reports in the literature have shown possible relationships between residual platelet activity, as measured with a variety of laboratory tests, and clinical outcomes, raising the possibility that “resistance” to oral antiplatelet drugs may underlie many such adverse events. The aim of our review was to collect articles showing the definition, detection, risk factors and clinical consequences of aspirin and clopidogrel resistance.

The effect of aspirin is mediated by the irreversible inactivation of cyclooxygenase (COX-1), leading to the prevention of thromboxane A2 generation from arachidonic acid. Following oral administration, aspirin is effective as an antiplatelet agent within 60 min. COX-1 is rapidly resynthesized by nucleated cells, such as endothelial cells, and therefore the effect of aspirin on nucleated cells lasts only for a relatively short time. In contrast, the effect of aspirin on platelets (nucleate cells) lasts for the life of the platelets (7-10 d) (Figure 1).

Clopidogrel, an ADP-receptor antagonist, is a prodrug requiring oxidation by the hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP450) to generate an active metabolite. Only a small proportion of clopidogrel undergoes metabolism by CYP450; it is mostly hydrolyzed by esterases to an inactive carboxylic acid derivative that accounts for 85% of clopidogrel-related circulating compounds. CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 are the enzymes responsible for the oxidation of the thiophene ring of clopidogrel to 2-Oxo-clopidogrel, which is further oxidized, resulting in the opening of the thiophene ring and the formation of both a carboxyl and a thiol group. The latter forms a disulfide bridge with the two extracellular cysteine residues located on the ADP P2Y12 receptor expressed on the platelet surface and causes an irreversible blockade of ADP binding for the platelet's life span. Inhibition of platelet function is consistent with time-dependent, cumulative inhibition of platelet aggregation on repeated daily dosing and with slow recovery of platelet function on drug withdrawal (Figure 1).

**LABORATORY ANTIPLATELET RESISTANCE**

**The definition of resistance**

An exact definition of “resistance” to antiplatelet therapy on the basis of physiology does not exist. However, there is a significant prevalence of variable responses to dual antiplatelet regimens similar to different responses to anti-hypertensive therapy or statin therapy. Therefore, it is imperative to understand this variable response or hyporesponsiveness to aspirin and clopidogrel in some patients. A clear definition of this response should be established and, based on this, one may then be able to categorize patients as responders, nonresponders, or resistant and thus manage their therapeutic regimen accordingly.

**Laboratory detection**

**Thromboxane A2 production:** Serum thromboxane B2 (TxB2) reflects the total capacity of platelets to synthesize TxA2, which is the most specific test to measure the pharmacological effects of aspirin.

The urinary levels of TxB2 metabolites, 11-dehydro-TXB2, represent a time-integrated index of TxA2 biosynthesis in vivo. Because it is not formed in the kidney, detection of this TxA2 metabolite in the urine reflects systemic TxA2 formation, although about 30% of the urinary metabolite derives from extra-platelet sources. Therefore, the method is not highly specific for monitoring the effects of aspirin on platelet COX-1.

**Optical aggregometry:** The historical “gold standard” is turbidometric platelet aggregometry, which measures platelet coaggregation in platelet-rich plasma. Samples are exposed to an agonist, such as collagen, epinephrine, ADP or arachidonic acid, and the increase in light transmittance resulting from platelet-platelet aggregation
Ultega RPFA-ASA: The Ultega RPFA-ASA (Accumetrics, San Diego, CA, USA) is a simple, rapid, point-of-care method that has several other advantages: required sample volumes are small, it uses whole blood and no pipetting is required. If aspirin/clopidogrel produces the expected antiplatelet effect, fibrinogen-coated beads will not agglutinate and light transmission will not increase.

Thromboelastogram platelet mapping system: The thromboelastogram platelet mapping system measures platelet contribution to clot strength. It is a point-of-care method that uses whole blood to assess platelet clot formation and clot-lysis data. It is able to monitor all 3 classes of antiplatelet therapies. However, it requires pipetting and has undergone only limited study.

Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation: Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) phosphorylation measures activation-dependent platelet signaling. Its advantages include small required sample volumes, the use of whole blood, stability (allowing samples to be shipped to a remote laboratory) and dependency on the P2Y12 receptor, which is the site of action for clopidogrel. Its disadvantages are that it requires complex sample preparation, flow cytometry and experienced technicians.

Activation-dependent changes on the platelet surface: Other methods assess activation-dependent changes on the platelet surface. These tests include measurement of levels of platelet surface P-selectin, activated GP IIb/IIIa and leukocyte-platelet aggregation. Their advantages include the small sample volumes required and the use of whole blood; disadvantages include complex sample preparation, the requirement for flow cytometry and experienced operators and lack of commercial availability.

Comparism of methods

In a study by Lordkipanidze et al., 201 patients with stable coronary artery disease receiving daily aspirin therapy (≥ 80 mg) were recruited. They found that platelet function tests (light transmission aggregometry, whole blood aggregometry, PFA-100 system, VerifyNow/Aspirin and urinary 11-dehydro-TxB2 concentrations) were not equally effective in measuring aspirin's antiplatelet effect and correlated poorly amongst themselves. Their results have been confirmed by other studies.

On the other hand, a recent study based on healthy volunteers found high concordance (> 90%) between the examined assays (light transmission aggregometry, PFA-100, VerifyNow, and urinary 11-dehydro-TxB2).

The assessment of platelet function inhibition by clopidogrel is also highly test-specific. Lordkipanidze et al. examined 116 patients with stable coronary artery disease requiring diagnostic angiography. Agreement between assays (light transmission aggregometry (ADP 5 and 20 mmol/L as the agonist), whole-blood aggregometry (ADP 5 and 20 mmol/L), PFA-100 (Collagen-ADP cartridge) and VerifyNow P2Y12) to identify patients with insufficient inhibition of platelet aggregation by clopidogrel.

Platelet function analyzer-100 system: The platelet function analyzer (PFA-100) measures in vitro the cessation of high-shear blood flow by the platelet plug. It is a simple, rapid, point-of-care, whole blood method that requires low sample volumes and no sample preparation. Its advantages are that it is dependent on the Von Willebrand factor and hematocrit levels and that it requires pipetting.

Kotzias et al. indicated that the current PFA-100 cartridges are not sufficiently sensitive to detect clopidogrel-induced platelet inhibition in stroke patients. A recent consensus paper concluded that this method is not recommended for monitoring of thienopyridines. On the other hand, Marcucci et al. showed that this method (combined with optical aggregometry) can be useful in the detection of residual platelet activity, which was associated with worsening cardiovascular outcomes in 36 consecutive adult patients admitted to hospital, including 200 patients on dual antiplatelet agents (group A) and 167 on dual antiplatelet agents plus GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors (group B), with a diagnosis of ST-segment elevation acute MI.

Impedance aggregometry: Impedance aggregometry measures the change in electrical impedance between two electrodes when platelets are aggregated by an agonist. The method is similar to light or optical aggregometry except that it can be done in whole blood, thus obviating the need for preparation of a platelet suspension. Impedance aggregometry can also be done in thrombocytopenic patients.
was also low. Their result was in concordance with other studies\(^{19,28}\).

The broad use of statins, angiotensin receptor blockers and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors may be, in part, responsible for the lack of agreement\(^{19}\). Our previous results showed the effect of different cardiovascular drugs on the laboratory efficacy of aspirin and clopidogrel\(^{27,28}\).

**How to define antplatelet resistance?**

Based on the recent position paper of the Working Group on antiplatelet drugs resistance appointed by the Section of Cardiovascular Interventions of the Polish Cardiac Society, endorsed by the Working Group on Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology, the term ‘laboratory resistance’ to oral antplatelet agents should be reserved for situations when the expected effect from an oral antiplatelet drug cannot be obtained due to changes in the target enzyme or receptor (pharmacodynamic ‘resistance’). Such situations can be ascertained with a good approximation *in vitro*.

For the assessment of ASA-specific effects, the proposed test is the use of aggregation induced by arachidonic acid and of TXB\(_2\) concentrations in serum (or in the supernatant after aggregation). For further evaluation, the *in vitro* addition of ASA can be performed before aggregation or the preparation of serum to exclude pharmacokinetic ‘resistance’.

For the assessment of a clopidogrel-specific effect, the proposed test is aggregation induced with ADP or VASP phosphorylation. For further evaluations, the *in vitro* addition of the active metabolite of the P2Y\(_{12}\) receptor antagonist can be performed before such tests to exclude pharmacokinetic ‘resistance’.

In the case of abnormal results from non-specific tests, one should only use the term ‘elevated platelet reactivity despite treatment’. To detect the reason for this, more specific tests for a given drug should be used\(^{29}\). On the other hand, no specific method or agonist dose was mentioned in the detection of this phenomenon\(^{19}\).

**CLINICAL IMPORTANCE OF ANTIPLATELET RESISTANCE**

**Aspirin resistance**

Despite lacking a definition of resistance and the association of platelet function tests, aspirin resistance seems to be associated with worsening clinical outcome. Based on a recent meta-analysis, the prevalence of laboratory aspirin resistance ranged from 5% to 65%. In the 12 studies eligible for pooling, comprising 1813 patients, the mean prevalence of laboratory aspirin resistance was 27%. The pooled odds ratio of all cardiovascular outcomes was 3.8 (95% CI: 2.3-6.1) for laboratory aspirin resistance. This systematic review and meta-analysis showed that patients biochemically identified as having laboratory aspirin resistance were more likely to also have “clinical resistance” to aspirin because they exhibited significantly higher risks of recurrent cardiovascular events compared with patients who were identified as (laboratory) aspirin sensitive\(^{30}\). (Figure 2). This result was confirmed by another meta-analysis considering 20 studies totalling 2930 patients with cardiovascular disease. Overall, 810 patients (28%) were classified as aspirin resistant. A cardiovascular related event occurred in 41% of patients (OR 3.85, 95% CI: 3.08-4.80), death in 5.7% (OR 5.99, 95% CI: 2.28-15.72) and an acute coronary syndrome in 39.4% (OR 4.06, 95% CI: 2.96-5.56). Therefore, patients who were resistant to aspirin were at a greater risk of clinically important cardiovascular morbidity long term compared to patients who were sensitive to aspirin. This result was confirmed by other studies\(^{30,31}\). Interestingly, aspirin resistant patients did not benefit from other antiplatelet treatment\(^{31}\).

**Clopidogrel resistance**

We found only one meta-analysis focusing on clopidogrel resistance\(^{12,29}\). The authors identified 25 eligible studies that included a total of 3688 patients. Mean prevalence of clopidogrel nonresponsiveness was 21% (95% CI: 17%-25%) and was inversely correlated with time between clopidogrel loading and determination of nonresponsiveness and loading dose. The pooled odds ratio of cardiovascular outcomes was 8.0 (95% CI: 3.4-19.0). Therefore, laboratory clopidogrel nonresponsiveness could be found in approximately 1 in 5 patients undergoing PCI. Patients who were *ex vivo* labeled nonresponsive were likely to be also “clinically nonresponsive”, as they exhibited increased risks of worsened cardiovascular outcomes (Figure 3). Their results indicated that use of a 600-mg clopidogrel loading dose would reduce these risks, which needed to be confirmed in large prospective studies\(^{30}\).

Very recently a comparison of platelet function tests in predicting clinical outcomes in patients undergoing coronary stent implantation was published to evaluate the capability of multiple platelet function tests to predict clinical outcomes. It was a prospective, observational, single-center cohort study of 1069 consecutive patients taking clopidogrel undergoing elective coronary stent implantation between December 2005 and December 2007. On-treatment platelet reactivity was measured in parallel by light transmittance aggregometry, VerifyNow P2Y12 and Plateletworks assays and the IMPACT-R and PFA-100 system (with the Dade PFA collagen/ADP cartridge and Innovance PFA P2Y). Cut-off values for high on-treatment platelet reactivity were established by receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. Of the platelet function tests assessed, only light transmittance aggregometry, VerifyNow, and Plateletworks were significantly associated with the primary end point. However, the predictive accuracy of these tests was only modest. None of the tests provided accurate prognostic information to identify low-risk patients at higher risk of bleeding following stent implantation\(^{31}\).

**RISK FACTORS OF ANTIPLATELET RESISTANCE**

**Aspirin resistance**

Based on a number of large trials and meta-analyses,
### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subcategory</th>
<th>Patients with cardiovascular events/ patients with LAR</th>
<th>Patients with cardiovascular events/ patients without LAR</th>
<th>OR (random), 95% CI</th>
<th>OR (random), 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Composite outcome of clinical ischemic events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grotmeyer et al., 1993 (180)</td>
<td>24/90</td>
<td>5/114</td>
<td>14.53 (5.16-40.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchanan et al., 2000 (289)</td>
<td>15/158</td>
<td>9/131</td>
<td>1.42 (0.93-2.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al., 2002 (71)</td>
<td>9/25</td>
<td>11/46</td>
<td>1.79 (0.62-5.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gu et al., 2003 (238)</td>
<td>0/14</td>
<td>30/309</td>
<td>2.86 (0.88-9.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotter et al., 2004 (73)</td>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>3/52</td>
<td>6.53 (1.46-29.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamukçu et al., 2006 (105)</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>10/85</td>
<td>6.14 (2.04-18.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stegajlov et al., 2006 (103)</td>
<td>50/57</td>
<td>21/46</td>
<td>8.50 (3.12-22.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of events</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 17.28$ ($P = 0.008$), $I^2 = 65.3%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.17$ ($P &lt; 0.001$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 (Re)occlusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subcategory</th>
<th>Patients with cardiovascular events/ patients with LAR</th>
<th>Patients with cardiovascular events/ patients without LAR</th>
<th>OR (random), 95% CI</th>
<th>OR (random), 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mueller et al., 1997 (100)</td>
<td>8/65</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>10.50 (0.59-187.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler et al., 2002 (52)</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>13/47</td>
<td>0.23 (0.01-4.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poston et al., 2006 (225)</td>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>12/203</td>
<td>3.54 (1.03-12.11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2.43 (0.41-14.29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of events</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 3.71$ ($P = 0.16$), $I^2 = 46.1%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.98$ ($P = 0.33$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Myocardial infarction after PCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subcategory</th>
<th>Patients with cardiovascular events/ patients with LAR</th>
<th>Patients with cardiovascular events/ patients without LAR</th>
<th>OR (random), 95% CI</th>
<th>OR (random), 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al., 2004 (151)</td>
<td>15/29</td>
<td>30/122</td>
<td>3.29 (1.42-7.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lev et al., 2006 (150)</td>
<td>7/18</td>
<td>23/126</td>
<td>2.85 (1.00-8.14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>3.11 (1.62-5.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of events</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.04$ ($P = 0.84$), $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.40$ ($P = 0.007$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (95% CI) 497 1316 3.78 (2.34-6.11)

Test for heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 21.74$ ($P = 0.003$), $I^2 = 49.4\%$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.43$ ($P < 0.001$)

---

Figure 2: The clinical importance of aspirin resistance

Low doses of aspirin (75 to 150 mg/d) are comparatively safe and sufficient to inhibit platelet COX-1 and are as effective in preventing vascular events as higher aspirin doses (500 to 1500 mg/d). In some patients, the failure to suppress platelet COX-1 may be due to an inadequate dosage and reduced bioavailability of aspirin. In some cases, this may well relate to poor patient adherence (compliance), concurrent administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g., ibuprofen and indomethacin) and COX-2 inhibitors (which may compete with aspirin for platelet COX-1) or even a reduced absorption (or increased metabolism) of aspirin. Such concerns have been highlighted in a recent meta-analysis of 6 studies focusing either on nonadherence or premature discontinuation of aspirin in over 50,000 patients at high risk of coronary artery disease, where a 3-fold increased risk of cardiac events (OR 3.14, 95% CI: 1.75-5.61, P = 0.0001) was related to nonadherence or the unjustified withdrawal of aspirin.

Age, weight and intake of proton pump inhibitors may also reduce the bioavailability of low-dose aspirin, mainly due to increased inactivation of ASA by gastrointestinal mucosal esterases and reduced absorption of active ASA. Although low-dose aspirin may potentially be a cause of apparent aspirin resistance through reduced absorption, the use of higher doses of aspirin seems unjustifiable and is outweighed by an increased risk of gastrointestinal bleeding. However, in conditions accompanied by increased platelet turnover (e.g., acute coronary syndromes, coronary artery bypass grafting and other surgical procedures, acute or chronic infection and inflammation), a temporary increase in aspirin dose seems reasonable, albeit unproven. Circumstantial evidence for this claim is available as aspirin resistance (as defined by PFA-100) and is twice as common in acute coronary syndromes complicated by pneumonia compared with those cases without infectious complications (90% vs 46%). In addition, there appears to be an independent association between CRP and aspirin resistance in these patients. Thus, in conditions that are associated with both infection and inflammation, nonplatelet sources of TxA2 production (e.g., monocytes, macrophages and endothelial cells)
and up-regulation of the COX-2 enzyme coupled with increased levels of F2-isoprostanes may lead to uncontrolled thromboxane synthesis. Such COX-1-independent mechanisms are especially relevant to patients with diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking and heart failure; all of which are associated with augmented lipid peroxidation of arachidonic acid and consequent overproduction of isoprostanes. Figure 3.

In our recent work, 599 patients with chronic cardiovascular diseases (355 men, mean age 64 ± 11 years; 244 women, mean age 63 ± 10 years) who were taking aspirin 100-325 mg/d were examined. Compared with aspirin-resistant patients, patients who demonstrated effective aspirin inhibition had a significantly lower plasma fibrinogen level (3.3 g/L vs 3.8 g/L, P < 0.05) and significantly lower RBC aggregation values (24.3 vs 28.2, P < 0.01). In addition, significantly more patients with effective aspirin inhibition were hypertensive (80% vs 62%, P < 0.05). Patients who had effective platelet aggregation were significantly more likely to be taking beta-adrenoceptor antagonists (75% vs 55%, P < 0.05) and ACE inhibitors (70% vs 50%, P < 0.05), whereas patients with ineffective platelet aggregation were significantly more likely to be taking HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) (52% vs 38%, P < 0.05). Use of statins remained an independent predictor of aspirin resistance even after adjustment for risk factors and medication use (OR 5.92, 95% CI: 1.83-16.9, P < 0.001). The importance of impaired hemorheological parameters in the development of aspirin resistance was confirmed by another study conducted by our workgroup and was also confirmed by independent studies. One potential explanation is when plasma fibrinogen levels increase red blood cells adhere and release ADP, which is a potential agonist of platelet aggregation. On the other hand, the aggregated red blood cells migrate in the center of blood flow, displacing other cells (platelets) in small vessels, so they can easily contact the endothelium. Furthermore, platelets from aspirin-resistant patients appeared to be more sensitive and activable by ADP. This hypersensitivity could provide a possible explanation for the so-called aspirin resistance, and this could justify therapeutic improvement with alternative antiplatelet agents.

Individual differences in the rate of platelet activation and reactivity markedly influence normal hemostasis and the pathological outcome of thrombosis. Such individual variability is largely determined by environmental and genetic factors. These are known to either hamper platelets’ responses to agonists, and thereby mimic the pharmacological modulation of platelet function, or mask the therapy effect and sensitize platelets. We recently reviewed the possible role of different polymorphisms in the development of aspirin resistance, which may affect the efficacy of antiplatelet therapy. Variation in the way patients respond to aspirin may, in part, reflect heterogeneity in COX-1, COX-2, GP I b α, GP I a II a, GP II b III a, UGT1A6*2, P2Y(1), and P2Y(12) genotypes. On the other hand, very recently within 31 studies, 50 polymorphisms in 11 genes were investigated in 2834 subjects. The PLA1/A2 polymorphism in the GP IIIa platelet receptor was the most frequently investigated, with 19 studies in 1389 subjects. The PLA1/A2 variant was significantly associated with aspirin resistance when measured in healthy subjects (OR 2.36, 95% CI: 1.24-4.49, P = 0.009). Combining genetic data from all studies (comprising both healthy subjects and those with cardiovascular disease) reduced the observed effect size (OR 1.14, 95% CI: 0.84-1.54, P = 0.40). Moreover, the observed effect of a PLA1/A2 genotype varied depending on the methodology used for determining aspirin sensitivity/resistance. No significant association was found with aspirin resistance in four other investigated polymorphisms in the COX-1, GP I a II, P2Y1 or P2Y12 genes. The lack of association among aspirin resistance and different gene haplotypes was confirmed by recently published studies.

**Clopidogrel resistance**

Clopidogrel is a prodrug that is metabolized by CYP450 into an active metabolite, which irreversibly inhibits binding of ADP to the P2Y12 receptor on the platelet. Increased body mass index, hemoglobin A1c, C-peptide levels, and von Willebrand factor were significant factors of clopidogrel resistance. Matetzky et al reported that smokers were more likely to be responders. Gurbel et al.
reported that patients with longer stents were more likely to be resistant to clopidogrel; however, Angiolillo et al. did not find a correlation between stent length and non-responsiveness. Levi et al. found that 50% of their aspirin-resistant study participants were also resistant to clopidogrel. In their study, patients with dual drug resistance were more likely women (67.7% vs 26.9%, P = 0.02) with an elevated body mass index (33.8 ± 7.9 kg/m² vs 27.9 ± 5 kg/m², P = 0.03) than those with dual drug sensitivity. In our previous study, 157 patients with chronic cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (83 males, mean age 61 ± 11 years, 74 females, 63 ± 13 years) taking 75 mg clopidogrel daily (not combined with aspirin) were included. Compared with clopidogrel-resistant patients (35 patients (22.9%)), patients who demonstrated effective clopidogrel inhibition had a significantly lower body mass index (26.1 kg/m² vs 28.8 kg/m², P < 0.05). Patients with ineffective platelet aggregation were significantly more likely to be taking benzodiazepines (25% vs 10%) and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (28% vs 12%, P < 0.05). After an adjustment to the risk factors and medications BMI (OR 2.62, 95% CI: 1.71-3.6, P < 0.01), benzodiazepines (OR 5.83, 95% CI: 2.53-7.1, P < 0.05) and SSRIs (OR 5.22, 95% CI: 2.46-6.83, P < 0.05) remained independently associated with clopidogrel resistance.

Concurrent medication use may interfere with the ability of clopidogrel to decrease platelet reactivity. Gurbel et al. reported that high doses of calcium-channel blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors possibly contribute to a decreased response to clopidogrel. Studies that have evaluated clopidogrel resistance and stents have not been uniformly reproducible either. Atorvastatin is the most frequently studied statin in clopidogrel trials. Lai et al. showed that atorvastatin promoted clopidogrel resistance at 10 mg, 20 mg and 40 mg (P = 0.027, P = 0.002 and P = 0.001, respectively). On the other hand, Mitsios et al. reported that daily doses of 10 mg of atorvastatin did not result in a decreased clopidogrel response over a 5-wk period. In the same study, clopidogrel significantly attenuated platelet aggregation in 3 different concentrations of ADP in the presence of no statin, atorvastatin, or pravastatin (P < 0.01, P < 0.01, and P < 0.02 at 2 µmol, 5 µmol, and 10 µmol of ADP, respectively). Also, Müller et al. reported that antiplatelet activity was not reduced in patients who were given a 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel and 1 of these statins: atorvastatin, fluvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin or cerivastatin. There is some evidence supporting a possible pharmacokinetic interaction between statins and the anti-platelet drug clopidogrel. In particular, it has been suggested that this interaction is more likely with lipophilic statins, which share the same CYP450 metabolizing isoenzyme (Table 1). However, discordance between ex vivo data, which points in favour of an interaction, and the majority of clinical studies, which failed to detect a clinically relevant effect, has to be acknowledged.

Lau et al. reiterated the contribution of CYP3A4 activity to the phenomenon of clopidogrel resistance. A significant inverse correlation was observed between platelet aggregation and CYP3A4 activity as measured by the erythromycin breath test in healthy volunteers. The investigators also demonstrated that by enhancing CYP3A4 activity with rifampin in 10 healthy volunteers, 3 initial non-responders (platelet inhibition < 10%) and one low responder (platelet inhibition between 10% to 29%) to clopidogrel exhibited enhanced platelet inhibition that met the definition of a clopidogrel responder (platelet inhibition > 30%). This was in concordance with our results and later articles.

Proton pump inhibitors are among the competitive inhibitors of CYP450 2C19, the other major isoenzyme
involved in the activation of clopidogrel. In a prospective, randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study involving patients undergoing elective coronary artery stenting who received clopidogrel, co-administration of the proton pump inhibitor omeprazole was associated with decreased CYP450 2C19-dependent inhibition of platelet aggregation (i.e., a decreased platelet inhibitory effect of clopidogrel)[15, 16]. Jurlink et al.[17], using a population-based nested case-control study design, reported on their investigation of the potential association of a CYP450 2C19-dependent drug-drug interaction between clopidogrel and proton pump inhibitors and the risk of readmission to hospital because of myocardial infarction among patients 66 years or older who received clopidogrel therapy following hospital discharge after acute myocardial infarction. Patients who experienced reinfection within 90 days after discharge were more likely than event-free patients in the control group to have received concomitant therapy with clopidogrel and proton pump inhibitors. The authors estimated that, compared with no treatment, CYP450 2C19-inhibiting proton pump inhibitors were collectively associated with a 40% relative increase in the risk of recurrent myocardial infarction. An exception was the proton pump inhibitor pantoprazole, which did not show the above associations[18, 19]. On the other hand, recent trials and meta-analysis could not confirm their findings[20, 21]. At this point, concomitant therapy with a CYP450 2C19-inhibiting proton pump inhibitor and clopidogrel should be administered when there is a sound clinical indication. For example, patients taking clopidogrel and warfarin therapy who require a proton pump inhibitor may need to avoid pantoprazole, since warfarin is metabolized primarily by CYP450 2C9. Alternatively, treatment strategies may be considered that use drugs not dependent on the CYP450 2C19 isoenzyme, such as pantoprazole and H2-receptor antagonists[22, 23].

Variation in the way patients respond to clopidogrel may in part reflect heterogeneity in GP IIb/IIIa, P2Y1, P2Y12, CYP2C9, CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 genotypes[24, 25] (Figure 4). The very recently conducted FAST-MI study (French Registry of Acute ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction study) and the TRITON-TIMI 38 study (Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38) demonstrated a greater than 3-fold increase in the risk of adverse cardiovascular events among patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention who were homozygous or heterozygous for any of the CYP2C19 alleles known to result in a nonfunctional protein (CYP2C19*3, *4 and *5), as compared with patients who had the wild-type CYP2C19*1 allele[26, 27].

TREATMENT OF ANTIPLATELET RESISTANCE

Possible treatment of aspirin resistance

There are only few studies examining the possible treatment of aspirin resistance[28-30]. Epidemiological studies suggest that Mediterranean diets are associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular disease. It has been proposed that resveratrol is one of the most important dietary constituents involved in vasculoprotection. Stef et al.[31] in an in vitro study including 50 high-risk cardiac patients showed that resveratrol effectively inhibited collagen- and epinephrine-induced aggregation of platelets from aspirin resistant patients, which may contribute to its cardioprotective effects in this population.
In the last decade, numerous studies have revealed a central role for NAD[P]H oxidases in cardiovascular pathophysiology. Importantly, there is increasing evidence that NAD[P]H oxidase(s) play an important role in platelet aggregation. In another in vitro study, Steff et al. also showed that inhibition of NAD[P]H oxidase effectively suppressed collagen and epinephrine-induced aggregation of platelets from aspirin-resistant patients, which may represent a novel pharmacological target for cardioprotection in high-risk cardiac patients.

Aprotinin, a drug effective in limiting blood loss in patients undergoing surgery, was first approved in the United States in 1993 for use in high-risk patients needing coronary artery surgery. Aprotinin is the only drug proven to reduce saphenous vein graft failure, but aspirin resistance (ASA-R) frequently occurs after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting (OPCAB). Poston et al. proposed that thrombin production during OPCAB stimulates this acquired ASA-R. They found that ASA-R is a common post-OPCAB event whose frequency may be reduced by intraoperative use of aprotinin, possibly via TF and thrombin suppression. Improved perioperative PT/AT function after OPCAB may also inadvertently enhance the clinical relevance of these potential antithrombotic effects.

A previous in vitro study showed the association between increased platelet response to ADP and aspirin resistance. Eikelboom et al. raised the possibility that the clinical benefits of adding clopidogrel to aspirin may be greatest in patients whose platelets are least inhibited by aspirin. In another study, the addition of clopidogrel to aspirin provided greater inhibition of platelets and could overcome aspirin resistance. Pamukcu et al. found an association between aspirin resistance and poor clinical outcome in ACS patients and also showed that the prevalence of major acute cardiac events in patients who were on clopidogrel treatment for 12 mo. Poor clinical outcomes were significantly lower compared to those who were on a clopidogrel treatment for the first 6 mo. In another study, aspirin resistance was also associated with worsening clinical outcomes, but the poor outcomes increased just after cessation of clopidogrel therapy. On the other hand, in their meta-analysis, Krasopoulos et al. showed that concomitant therapy with clopidogrel or tirofiban (an inhibitor of platelet GP IIb/IIIa), or both, provided no benefit to those patients identified as aspirin resistant. Further studies are needed to clarify their findings.

Very recently, Tirnaisz et al. suggested a possible effect of atorvastatin therapy on aspirin resistance and it was confirmed by another study.

Biondi-Zoccai et al. undertook a systematic review to appraise the hazards inherent to aspirin withdrawal or non-compliance in subjects at risk for or with CAD. They concluded that non-compliance or withdrawal of aspirin treatment has ominous prognostic implication in subjects with or at moderate-to-high risk for CAD.

**Possible treatment of clopidogrel resistance**

The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions guidelines state that "in patients in whom stent thrombosis may be catastrophic or lethal", platelet aggregation studies may be considered and the dose of clopidogrel increased to 150 mg/d if less than 50% inhibition of platelet aggregation is demonstrated. This is a Class IIb, level C recommendation, indicating that there is disagreement over whether the intervention is considered beneficial, and that the recommendation reflects only consensus opinion, not data from randomized clinical trials. Finally, the method to assess platelet inhibition is not described. We collected articles examining the possible association between laboratory and clinical clopidogrel resistance based on different platelet function assays (Tables 2-4).

Bonello et al. concluded from a prospective, randomized, multicenter study that clopidogrel resistance was defined as a VASP index of more than 50% after a 600-mg loading dose. Patients with clopidogrel resistance undergoing coronary stenting were randomized to a control group or to the VASP-guided group, in which patients received additional bolus clopidogrel to decrease the VASP index below 50%. A total of 162 patients were included. The control (n = 84) and VASP-guided groups (n = 78) had similar demographic, clinical and biological characteristics. In the VASP-guided group, dose adjustment was efficient in 67 patients (86%) and VASP index was significantly decreased (from 69.3 ± 10 to 37.6 ± 13.8, P < 0.001). Eight major adverse cardiac events (5%) were recorded during the 1-mo follow-up, with a significantly lower rate in the VASP-guided group compared with the control group (9% vs 10%, P = 0.007). There was no difference in the rate of major and minor bleeding (5% vs 4%, P = 1). This was the first study to suggest that adjusting the clopidogrel loading dose according to platelet monitoring using the VASP index is safe and may significantly improve the clinical outcome after PCI in patients with clopidogrel resistance despite a first 600 mg loading dose.

A total of 119 patients undergoing PCI were blindly randomized in a 2:1 fashion to receive clopidogrel loading 600 mg on the table immediately before PCI and 75 mg 2 times per day for 1 mo (high-dose group) vs standard dosing (300 mg loading and 75 mg/d; low-dose group). Platelet aggregation was measured using light transmission aggregometry at baseline, 4 h and 30 d. The composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction and target vessel revascularization was studied at 30 d in addition to major and minor bleeding. Baseline characteristics and baseline platelet aggregation were similar in the 2 groups. Percent inhibitions of platelet activity were 41% and 27% in the high-dose group vs 19% and 10% in the low-dose group at 4 h and 30 d (P = 0.046 and 0.047, respectively). Composite clinical end points were 10.3% in the high-dose group and 23.8% in the low-dose group (P = 0.04). No difference was noted in major or minor bleeding. In conclusion, a higher loading and maintenance dose of clopidogrel in patients undergoing PCI resulted in super-
Table 2: Clinical studies based on optical aggregometry

| Study            | Method                  | Patient population | Dosage | Adjunct antiplatelet therapy | No. of patients (clopidogrel sensitive/clopidogrel resistant) | Outcome measures                                      | Result                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Geidl et al.     | Optical aggregometry    | PCI 600 mg         | No                 | 363 (34/22)                   | Cardiovascular event within a 3-mo follow-up                | Low responder had a significantly higher risk of major cardiovascular events (22.7% vs 5.5%, OR 4.9, 95% CI: 1.66-14.96, P = 0.004) |
| Buonomici et al. | Optical aggregometry    | PCI Loading dose of clopidogrel followed by 75 mg daily | 100 mg aspirin         | 105 (90/13)                  | Stent thrombosis during a 6-mo follow-up                    | The predictors of stent thrombosis were nonresponsiveness to clopidogrel (HR 3.08, 95% CI: 1.32-7.16, P = 0.009) |
| Miller et al.    | Optical aggregometry    | PCI 600 mg         | 75 mg daily        | 100 mg aspirin                | Presence of stent thrombosis                               | Their data showed that 5 patients who developed a stent thrombosis were non-responders |
| Wenaweser et al. | Optical aggregometry    | PCI 300 mg         | 75 mg daily        | 82 (60/21)                   | Angina class                                                | Combined ASA and clopidogrel resistance was more prevalent in patients with stent thrombosis (52%) compared with controls (58%, P = NS) and volunteers (11%, P < 0.05) |
| Soller et al.    | Optical aggregometry    | PCI 450 mg         | 325 mg aspirin     | 72 (divided into two groups based on angina classification)   | In multivariate analysis, higher angina class was independently associated with lower inhibition of platelet aggregation (P = 0.018) |
| Buonomici et al. | Optical aggregometry    | PCI 600 mg         | 75 mg daily        | 804 (699/105)                | Stent thrombosis                                           | The incidence of stent thrombosis was 8.6% in non-responders and 2.3% in responders (P < 0.001) |

ASA: Acetylsalicylic acid; GP: Glycoprotein; NS: Not significant.

Table 3: Clinical studies based on optical aggregometry combined with another method

| Study            | Method                  | Patient population | Dosage | Adjunct antiplatelet therapy | No. of patients (clopidogrel sensitive/clopidogrel resistant) | Outcome measures                                      | Result                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Lev et al.       | Optical aggregometry, RPA | Elective PCI 300 mg clopidogrel followed by 75 mg daily | No     | 150 (114/36)                  | Markers of myocardiac dysfunction                            | Myocardiac occurred more frequently in clopidogrel-resistant vs clopidogrel-sensitive patients (32% vs 17.3%, P = 0.06) |
| Bliden et al.    | Optical aggregometry, TEG | PCI Previous PCI 75 mg daily, 300-600 mg loading dose followed by 75 mg daily | 325 mg aspirin         | 100                          | Cardiovascular event/ revascularisation                    | Patients receiving chronic clopidogrel therapy who exhibit high on-treatment ADP-induced platelet aggregation are at increased risk for postprocedural ischemic events |
| Gurbel et al.    | Optical aggregometry, TEG | PCI 300-600 mg loading dose followed by 75 mg daily | 325 mg aspirin         | 192 (154 patients without and 38 patients with ischemic events) | Cardiovascular outcome/ revascularisation                 | Posttreatment ADP-induced aggregation by LTA (63% ± 12% vs 56% ± 15%, P = 0.02) was significantly higher in patients with events (n = 38) |
| Materjaky et al. | Optical aggregometry, core and platelet analyzer | PCI 300 mg clopidogrel followed by 75 mg daily | 300 mg aspirin         | 60 (patients were stratified into 4 quartiles)              | Cardiovascular event                                      | Whereas 40% of patients in the first quartile sustained a recurrent cardiovascular event, only 1 patient (6.7%) in the second quartile and none in the third and fourth quartiles suffered a cardiovascular event (P = 0.008) |

ADP: Adenosine diphosphate.

or platelet inhibition and decreased cardiovascular events without increasing bleeding complications.

On the other hand, the use of a 150 mg maintenance dose of clopidogrel in patients with type 2 diabetes with < 50% platelet inhibition was associated with enhanced antiplatelet effects, however, the antiplatelet effects achieved were nonuniform, and a considerable number of patients persisted with inadequate platelet inhibition [11].

[11]
Table 4: Clinical studies based on optical aggregometry combined with activation-dependent changes on the platelet surface with or without vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Adjunct antiplatelet therapy</th>
<th>No. of patients (clopidogrel sensitive/clopidogrel resistant)</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonello et al.</td>
<td>VASP phosphorylation</td>
<td>PCI 300 mg loading dose</td>
<td>100 mg aspirin</td>
<td>144 patients were divided into quintiles according to PRI</td>
<td>Cardiovascular events</td>
<td>Patients in quintile 1 of VASP analysis had a significantly lower risk of MACE as compared with those among the four higher quintiles (0 ≤ 21, P &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>followed by 75 mg daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presence of stent thrombosis</td>
<td>VASP phosphorylation analysis may be useful for the detection of coronary SAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barragan et al.</td>
<td>VASP phosphorylation</td>
<td>PCI Ticlopidine or aspirin</td>
<td>250 mg aspirin</td>
<td>36 (20 healthy volunteers and 16 stented patients)</td>
<td>Presence of stent thrombosis</td>
<td>Lack of nonresponse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senebraun et al.</td>
<td>Optical aggregation</td>
<td>AACS or ischemic stroke</td>
<td>75 mg</td>
<td>81-325 mg aspirin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerbel et al.</td>
<td>Optical aggregometry,</td>
<td>PCI 300-600 mg loading dose</td>
<td>No information</td>
<td>120 (20 patients with stent thrombosis and 120 patients without stent thrombosis)</td>
<td>Sient thrombosis</td>
<td>The SAT patients had significantly higher mean platelet reactivity than those without SAT by all measurements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP IIb/IIIa receptor,</td>
<td>followed by 75 mg daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VASP phosphorylation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuiset et al.</td>
<td>Optical aggregometry,</td>
<td>NSTEMI followed by PCI</td>
<td>160 mg aspirin</td>
<td>106 (94 patients without and 12 with cardiovascular event)</td>
<td>Cardiovascular event</td>
<td>Low responders to dual antiplatelet therapy had increased risk of recurrent CV events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-selectin</td>
<td>300-600 mg loading dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>followed by 75 mg daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuiset et al.</td>
<td>Optical aggregometry,</td>
<td>NSTEMI followed by PCI</td>
<td>160 mg aspirin</td>
<td>392 (146 patients with 300 mg loading dose clopidogrel and 300 patients with 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel)</td>
<td>Cardiovascular event</td>
<td>The ADP-induced platelet aggregation and expression of P-selectin were significantly lower in patients receiving 600 mg than in those receiving 300 mg. During the 1-mo follow-up, 18 CV events (12%) occurred in the 300-mg group vs 7 (5%) in the 600-mg group (P = 0.02); this difference was not affected by adjustment for conventional CV risk factors (P = 0.035)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-selectin</td>
<td>300-600 mg loading dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>followed by 75 mg daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VASP: Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein; GP: Glycoprotein; ADP: Adenosine diphosphate.

Ticlopidine could be an alternative agent in the treatment of clopidogrel resistance as previous studies have suggested. A recent case report presented three patients with acute stent thrombosis showing biological non-responsiveness to clopidogrel, despite overdosing to 150 mg/d and a sufficient duration of the treatment. Platelet P2Y12 inhibition was finally obtained with a standard regimen of ticlopidine. The effects of possible poor compliance would appear limited because each patient was his/her own control and was under surveillance in hospital. This replacement should of course be subject to hematological monitoring in order to avoid any serious neutropenia.

Wolak et al. studied 1519 consecutive patients who underwent 2020 stent implantations and were discharged on dual antiplatelet regimen of either aspirin and ticlopidine or aspirin and clooidogrel given for up to 4 wk. Thrombotic stent occlusion (TSO) was defined as ST elevation myocardial infarction in the stented artery territory associated with angiographic demonstration of complete stent occlusion. Mortality follow up was obtained for all patients by linkage to the Population Register. Follow up duration was 12 mo. TSO occurred in 37 stents at a median of 29 d post procedure. Of these cases, six occurred in the ticlopidine group (0.7%) and 31 in the clopidogrel group (2.8%, P < 0.01). The median time to TSO was 34 d and 28 d in ticlopidine and clopidogrel treated patients, respectively (P < 0.01). After controlling for multiple demographic, clinical and angiographic variables clopidogrel (or ticlopidine) treatment remained the sole predictor of TSO (OR 5.4, 95% CI: 1.2-24.1, P = 0.028). Of even more concern, clopidogrel treatment was associated with an increased risk of 1 year mortality (OR 1.8, 95% CI: 1.2-2.8).

Newer drugs may overcome the limitations of current antiplatelet drugs. Prasugrel is a third-generation thienopyridine that is not as dependent as clopidogrel on biotransformation to an active metabolite. In preclinical studies, it was shown to have greater potency and achieve more rapid platelet inhibition than clopidogrel when given orally. The JUMBO-TIMI trial found prasugrel to have a comparable safety profile to clopidogrel. However, the recent TRITON-TIMI 38 trial found that prasugrel reduced ischemic events in an ACS population undergoing PCI, at the cost of increased major bleeding. Those assigned to clopidogrel received a 300 mg loading dose immediately before or...
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during PCI, whereas 600 mg is now more commonly used clinically as it may be more effective. Although this raised the question of dose equivalence, platelet function analysis in PRINCIPLE-TIMI 44 has shown that the dose of prasugrel used in TRITON leads to greater platelet inhibition than clopidogrel at the higher loading and maintenance doses. Subgroup analysis of TRITON suggested prasugrel may have the greatest benefit over clopidogrel in the highest-risk patients, such as those with diabetes.

Based on very recent trials, among persons treated with clopidogrel, carriers of a reduced-function CYP2C19 allele had significantly lower levels of the active metabolite of clopidogrel, diminished platelet inhibition and a higher rate of major adverse cardiovascular events, including stent thrombosis, than did noncarriers. On the other hand, common functional CYP genetic variants do not affect active drug metabolite levels, inhibition of platelet aggregation, or clinical cardiovascular event rates in persons treated with prasugrel. These pharmacogenetic findings are in contrast to observations with clopidogrel, which may explain, in part, the different pharmacological and clinical responses to the two medications.

Ticagrelor is an oral, reversible, direct-acting inhibitor of the ADP receptor P2Y12 that has a more rapid onset and more pronounced platelet inhibition than clopidogrel. In patients who have an acute coronary syndrome with or without ST-segment elevation, treatment with ticagrelor as compared with clopidogrel significantly reduced the rate of death from vascular causes, myocardial infarction or stroke without an increase in the rate of overall major bleeding but with an increase in the rate of nonprocedure-related bleeding.

In a very recent trial, ticagrelor therapy overcame nonresponsiveness to clopidogrel, and its antiplatelet effect is the same in responders and nonresponders. Nearly all clopidogrel nonresponders and responders treated with ticagrelor had platelet reactivity below the cut off points associated with ischemic risk.

CONCLUSION
We previously reviewed the possible clinical importance of aspirin and clopidogrel resistance in some aspects. The current review is an updated article of the topic containing the possible risk factors of this phenomenon including the clinical consequences of clopidogrel resistance.

In its broadest sense, resistance refers to the continued occurrence of ischemic events despite adequate antiplatelet therapy and compliance. The lack of a standard definition of resistance, as well as the lack of a standard diagnostic modality, has hampered the field in identifying and treating this clinical entity. Attempts have been made to develop a more meaningful definition with the goal of correlating laboratory tests with clinical outcomes, but there is no current definition that unifies the biochemical and clinical expression of failed treatment.

On the other hand, despite the presence of statistical heterogeneity among studies, likely reflecting methodological differences, almost all included studies have suggested a positive association between the risk of cardiovascular events and laboratory antiplatelet nonresponsiveness.

The optimal treatment of resistance is also unclear. These results suggest that a new era of individualized antiplatelet therapy may arise with routine measurements of platelet activity in the same way that cholesterol, blood pressure and blood sugar are followed, thus improving care for millions of people.
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