

Application of a topographic pedosequence in the Villány Hills for terroir characterization

SZABOLCS CZIGÁNY¹, TIBOR JÓZSEF NOVÁK², ERVIN PIRKHOFFER¹ GÁBOR NAGY¹, DÉNES LÓCZY¹, JÓZSEF DEZSÓ¹ SZABOLCS ÁKOS FÁBIÁN¹, MARCIN ŚWITONIAK³ and PRZEMYSŁAW CHARZYŃSKI³

Abstract

Terroir refers to the geographical origin of wines. The landscape factors (topography, parent rock, soil, microbial life, climate, natural vegetation) are coupled with cultural factors (cultivation history and technology, cultivars and rootstock) and all together define a terroir. The physical factors can be well visualized by a slope profile developed into a pedosequence showing the regular configuration of the relevant physical factors for a wine district. In the present study the generalized topographic pedosequence (or catena) and GIS spatial model of the Villány Hills, a historical wine producing region, serves for the spatial representation and characterization of terroir types. A survey of properties of Cabernet Franc grape juice allowed the comparison of 10 vineyards in the Villány Wine District, Southwest Hungary. Five grape juice properties (FAN, NH₃, YAN, density and glucose + fructose content) have been found to have a moderate linear relationship ($0.5 < r^2 < 0.7$) with the Huglin Index (HI) and aspect. Aspect, when determined on the basis of angular distance from South (180°), showed a strong correlation ($r^2 > 0.7$) with FAN, NH₃, YAN, sugar and density and moderate correlation with primary amino nitrogen (PAN). HI showed a correlation with three nitrogen related parameters FAN, NH₃, YAN, density and glucose + fructose content. Elevation and slope, however, did not correlate with any of the chemical properties.

Keywords: pedosequence, GIS, terroir, soils, grape juice properties, Huglin Index

Received: February 2020; Accepted May 2020.

Introduction

The concept of terroir is widely used to explain the unique quality of agricultural products, first of all, of wines. Terroir refers to the geographical origin of wine, the particular interaction of ecosystem factors, including local rocks, topography, climate, soil and others (BIANCOTTI, A. 2003; VAUDOUR, E. *et al.* 2005; GLADSTONES, J. 2011; FRAGA, H. *et al.* 2014). The biophysical factors are combined with cultural elements (cultivation history, cultivars and rootstocks, viticultural and oenological techniques etc.) to produce

a wine of individual character (SEGUIN, G. 1986; UNWIN T. 2012). The usefulness of the terroir concept has been recently supported by GIS tools (BALLA, D.Z. *et al.* 2019) whereas its applicability is also confirmed by its rapid spreading from Europe (e.g. FALCETTI, M. 1994; WILSON, J. 1998) to all other continents where grapes are grown (JACKSON, D. and LOMBARD, P. 1993; e.g. in Canada: HAYNES, S.J. 2000; in South Africa: WOOLDRIDGE, J. 2000; in Australia: HALLIDAY, J. 2007). The terroir may be an elusive term sometimes but it provides a very stable background to grapes and wine production. JACKSON, D.

¹ Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Pécs, H-7624 Pécs, Ifjúság útja 6. Hungary. E-mails: czigany@gamma.ttk.pte.hu, pirkhoff@gamma.ttk.pte.hu, gnagy@gamma.ttk.pte.hu, loczyd@gamma.ttk.pte.hu, dejozsi@gamma.ttk.pte.hu, smafu@gamma.ttk.pte.hu

² Department of Landscape Protection and Environmental Geography, University of Debrecen, H-4000 Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1. Hungary. E-mail: novak.tibor@science.unideb.hu

³ Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, ul. Gagarina 11, 87-100 Toruń, Poland. E-mails: swit@umk.pl, pecha@umk.pl

and LOMBARD, P. (1993) underline that it is mainly the concept of terroir that explains how the appellations of the French wine districts could maintain their quality over centuries. In those districts a huge collective knowledge has accumulated on the interactions between the biophysical environment and the practices applied in vitiviculture (VVC) which is recognizable in the quality of wine (OIV 2008). The terroir concept also extends to the landscape transformation caused by grapevine cultivation, its literary and fine arts reflections and is used in wine marketing strategies (VAUDOUR, E. 2001; JORDÁN, GY. *et al.* 2005; SZILASSI, P. *et al.* 2006).

Although it is impossible to define the ideal climate (temperature, rainfall amount and regime or solar radiation) and the best possible soil for vine growing and wine production, all these complex factors have to be considered in their interactions when terroir is described and assessed (VAN LEEUWEN, C. and SEGUIN, G. 2006; VAN LEEUWEN 2010; FERRETTI, C.G. 2019). The complex interactions explain why the terroir is a typically holistic concept (MALHEIRO, A.C. *et al.* 2010; FRAGA, H. *et al.* 2018).

Topography (slope conditions) is a fundamental component of the terroir as it influences the distribution of parent rock outcrops, some physical and chemical properties of soils and slope deposits, microclimate and natural vegetation (FRAGA, H. *et al.* 2014). Elevation, slope angle and aspect are equally influential (JONES, G.V. 2004). Even a 100 m difference in elevation between the top and bottom of the slope may reflect variation within the terroir of the same vineyard plot. Slope inclination and aspect impact on radiation balance, soil erosion, drainage and management (ZSÓFI, Zs. *et al.* 2011). Where steep slopes require terracing (ŠMID HRIBAR, M. *et al.* 2017), the artificial slope form leads to a fundamental transformation of the terroir.

Among soil parent materials, limestone (the rock building the Villány Hills) has a good nutrient supply to grapes, good drainage but retains moisture under dry weather conditions. There is a single negative effect of carbonates: they cause iron deficiency in

grapes (TAGLIAVINI, M. and ROMBOLÀ, A.D. 2001). Calcareous soils support excellent blends like Aube in Champagne, Chablis in Burgundy, Pouilly and Sancerre in the Loire Valley and Côtes du Rhône in the Lower Rhône Valley (WILSON, J. 1998).

Physical and chemical soil properties influence grapevine growth and eventually wine quality (MACKENZIE, D.E. and CHRISTY, A.G. 2005; QI, Y.B. *et al.* 2019). Good wines are produced on a wide range of soils (WANG, R. *et al.* 2015; WARMLING, M.T. *et al.* 2018) and soil texture may vary from skeletal soils to those with 60 per cent clay (SEGUIN, G. 1986). Grape juice properties are clearly correlated with plant-available trace elements (Ca, Sr, Ba, Pb and Si) in the soil (MACKENZIE, D.E. and CHRISTY, A.G. 2005). If water supply and nitrogen availability are limited, vine vigour, berry weight and yield decline, while sugar content, anthocyanin and tannin concentrations increase in berries (MATTHEWS, M. and ANDERSON, M. 1988, 1989; CHONÉ, X. *et al.* 2001; HILBERT, G. *et al.* 2003). These 'deficiencies' in soil properties are beneficial to grape quality potential for red wine making. On the other hand, insufficient soil depth and soil compaction hinder moisture storage, root growth and aeration (JACKSON, D.I. and LOMBARD, P.B. 1993). In the Villány Hills the loess mantle compensates for shallow soil depth, but low soil water storage capacity is a risk factor in a region under Mediterranean influence, manifested in increasing heat and water stress (TARDAGUILA, J. *et al.* 2011). The microbiome in vineyards interacts with the host vine stock, there is a symbiotic relationship between soil and the microbes, which release nutrients from the soil, fix nitrogen, mitigate environmental stresses (drought or toxic contaminants) (GILBERT, J.A. *et al.* 2014). Each wine district (or even terroir) has its own microbial communities which indirectly influence grapes and wine quality (BARATA, A. *et al.* 2012).

Topographic and soil variations among terroir units can be best demonstrated on topographic pedosequences. Supplemented with the visualization of GIS data the catena is suitable to indicate microclimate, therefore pre-

senting soils as one of the major components of the terroir (FRAGA, H. *et al.* 2014). When soils are considered as integral parts of terroir, then specific terroir units can be more closely related to viticultural data, as well as must properties (VAUDOUR, E. 2002, 2003; DELOIRE, A. *et al.* 2005; BRAMLEY, R. and HAMILTON, R. 2007).

Climatic factors limit the geographical distribution of grapevine growing and wine vigour and the distribution of white and red wines are also related to topographic, soil and climatic conditions (FRAGA, H. *et al.* 2013). The Winkler Index defines the climatic conditions suitable for grapevine cultivation classifying the climate of wine-producing regions based on heat summation or growing degree-days (WINKLER, A.J. 1974). Its modified version, the Huglin Index (HUGLIN, P. 1986), is based on the temperature sum over the temperature threshold of 10 °C for all days from beginning of April to end of September. The Plant Cell Density Index (PCD) is the ratio of reflected infrared (NIR) to red light (R) ($PCD = NIR/R$) gives a surrogate measure of vine vigour (HALL, A. *et al.* 2002).

Plant protection measures are also site property dependent. Topography influences the occurrence of and damage by some fungi. Interpreting abiotic site factors, new advisory platforms give guidance and end-user information for phytosanitary decision-making including predictions of infection risks for key pathogens identified by satellites and terrestrial radar systems and precisely located by GPS (see e.g. GABEL, B. 2019).

In landscape ecology, the consequences of land use changes are also studied along catenas and for individual terroirs (JORDÁN, GY. *et al.* 2005; LÓCZY, D. and NYÍZSALOVSKI, R. 2005; SZILASSI, P. *et al.* 2006; NOVÁK, T.J. *et al.* 2014).

The paper attempts to prove that the toposequence concept is a correct methodological approach to spatial modelling of the terroir. A soil catena was first explicitly described by MILNE, G. (1935) and his colleagues in East Africa in the 1930s (BORDEN, R.W. *et al.* 2020). The catena became widely adopted in and beyond soil science. Now it is used by ecologists, geomorphologists and hydrologists amongst

others. In a modern interpretation the catena indicates spatial patterns of soil and vegetation consistently located in specific topographic positions and is used synonymous with 'toposequence' (BASKAN, O. *et al.* 2016). The simplicity, appeal and longevity of the catena concept (RADWANSKI, S.A. and OLLIER, C.D. 1959; OLLIER, C.D. *et al.* 1969) makes it suitable for the integration of interdisciplinary research in geomorphology, soil science, hydrology, environmental history and other disciplines related to landscape studies.

Recently, several terroirs have been identified in the Villány Wine District: Jammertal, Csillag-völgy (*Sterntal*), Remete (*Einsiedler*), Ördög-árok (*Teufelsgraben*), Kopár. The differences between their natural potentials for grapes cultivation largely depend on their position on the toposequence.

The objectives of the present study were to interpret a typical pedosequence revealing a regular geographical pattern of environmental factors (slope parameters, parent material, soils, microclimate, natural vegetation etc.) for the characterization of the terroir. The Villány Hills, selected for investigation, is a well-defined wine district with a relatively simple geology and geomorphology. Therefore, a single typical topo-pedosequence is able to represent the configuration of geographical terroir factors (SWITONIAK, M. *et al.* 2017; CZIGÁNY, SZ. *et al.* 2018).

Although a single parameter of grape juice or wine cannot comprehensively characterize a terroir, we attempt to reveal variations in nutrition properties of grapes from different vineyards of the Villány Wine District. In 2018 and 2019 the local producers of Cabernet Franc, a variety getting increasingly popular in the region, agreed to harvest grapes at the same date and to use the same technology in wine making. The objective of the present paper is to compare the impact of the physical environment on wines from 10 plots in different locations and to draw correlations between the topographic parameters of vineyards and the nutritional properties of their produces. The paper is *not* aimed at establishing a ranking among the studied terroirs.

Study areas

Location

The Villány Wine District extends over various altitudinal regions of the Villány Hills, SW-Hungary (Figure 1), stretching ca. 30 km in an east-western direction from the village of Hegyszentszárd to the small town of Villány (Figure 2).

Lithology and topography

The Villány Hills form the southernmost hill range in Hungary. The hills are predominantly built up of Triassic, Jurassic and Cretaceous limestones and dolomites, covered by Pleistocene loess at lower elevations (Lovász, Gy. and WEIN, Gy. 1974; Lovász, Gy. 1977). In summits

of the eastern and western ends limestone and dolomite commonly outcrop (WEIN, Gy. 1967). The range constitutes of uplifted and imbricated horsts. The sedimentary rocks that form the bulk of the range were thrust on each other in a thrust fault style forming blocks or 'shingles' (DEZSŐ, J. et al. 2004; SEBE, K. 2017). The blocks are bordered by fault lines that dip to the West (Lovász, Gy. 1977). The blocks are tilted to the West or Northwest, in the case of the Csarnóta block to the South and in the Szársomlyó block to the North. Additional Mesozoic horsts and outcrops are found in the southern foreground of the range including the Siklós Castle Hill, the Beremend Hill and the Kistapolca Hill (CZIGÁNY, Sz. 1997). The summit regions are covered by shallow loess-like sediments and soils in a discontinuous fashion, while limestone caverns are filled in by Pliocene red clay (Lovász, Gy. 1973).




Fig. 1. Location of the Villány Wine District (no 15) in Hungary. Wine districts: 1 = Sopron; 2 = Pannonhalma; 3 = Neszemély; 4 = Mór; 5 = Etyek-Buda; 6 = Somló; 7 = Zala; 8 = Balaton Highland; 9 = Badacsony; 10 = Balatonfüred-Csopak; 11 = Balatonboglár; 12 = Tolna; 13 = Szekszárd; 14 = Pécs; 15 = Villány; 16 = Hajós-Baja; 17 = Csongrád; 18 = Kunság; 19 = Mátra; 20 = Eger; 21 = Bükk; 22 = Tokaj




Fig. 2. Map of the Villány Wine District with locations of the studied vineyards, soil profiles and meteorological stations. Soil profiles: 1 = Melegmál; 2 = Városhi-hegy-dűlő; 3 = Zuhánya-dűlő; 4 = Kopasz Hill, Csarnóta; 5 = Fekete-hegy, Vylyan vineyard; 6 = Ördögárok; 7 = Göntér; 8 = Kopár. Meteorological stations: HSzM = Hegyszentmárton; T = Túroly; CsD = Csukma-dűlő; VH = Városhi-hegy; NT = Nagytótfalu; G = Göntér; VY = Vylyan winery; FM = Fáni-major (not used for meteorological analysis); NK = Nagyarsány-Konkoly; VJ = Villány-Jammertal (Background: OpenStreet Map/ArcGIS, 5-meter DEM)

The highest point of the westernmost block is 268 m (Kopasz Hill). Here the limestone is extensively found on the surface and exposed in the Csarnóta Limestone Quarry. The average height of the block to the East (Csukma block) is around 340 m with Tenkes Hill (408 m), which is the second highest peak in the entire range. The summit elevation then decreases to about 240 m in the central, lowest part of the range (Város Hill block) North of the town of Siklós. Here, in this block, the consolidated bedrocks (limestones and dolomites) are only exposed in road cuts, gullies and ravines. To the East the range again gains height (Fekete Hill, 358 m). The highest point of the hills is Szársomlyó (442 m). The Ördögszántás ('Devil's ploughfield') is a lapiés field carved on the faces of the north-dipping limestone strata on the southern slopes of Szársomlyó Hill. Here loess only covers the northern and the southern foothills (LOVÁSZ, Gy. and WEIN, Gy. 1974; CZIGÁNY, Sz. 1998).

northern slopes. The loess-covered northern slopes and summit regions are dominated by silver lime (*Tilia tomentosa*), hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*), pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur*), Turkey oak (*Quercus cerris*) and locally by beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). The natural vegetation on the southern slopes is a xerothermic wooded grassland on karst spotted with sparse rocky grasslands (BORHIDI, A. and DÉNES, A. 1997). A typical Mediterranean karstic steppe is found on the limestone surface of the southern slopes of the Szársomlyó Hill, with downy oak (*Quercus pubescens*), South European flowering/manna ash (*Fraxinus ornus*) and invasive tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*). The loess-covered southern hillslopes are used as vineyards (TENGLER, T. 1997). The dirt roads leading to the vineyards have developed into sunken lanes which built alluvial fans of loess deposits at the base of slope (CZIGÁNY, Sz. 1997; CZIGÁNY, Sz. and NAGYVÁRADI, L. 2000).

Climate

Vegetation and land use

There is a pronounced mesoclimatic and vegetational contrast between the southern and

The region is located in the semi-humid temperate zone with hot summers (LOVÁSZ, Gy. 1977; КОТТЕК, M. et al. 2006), ustic soil moisture and mesic temperature regimes according to

the USDA's Soil Taxonomy. Mediterranean and arid continental influences are also present. Mean annual temperature is 10.8 °C (for 1971–2000, recently 12.0 to 13.2 °C) and average temperature of the coldest month (January) is -0.5 °C, while the warmest month is July with mean temperature of 22.5 °C. The average annual precipitation total is around 680 mm in the region. The 30-year average value is 661 mm for the town of Siklós, 684 mm for Nagytótfalu, 694 mm for Villány, and 701 mm for the town of Harkány (1971–2000 data, Hungarian Meteorological Service, OMSz). Based on the 1981 to 2010 meteorological record, February is the driest (32 mm), while the highest precipitation (83 mm) is recorded in June (Bötkös, T. 2006).

Methods

Soil sampling

Four representative soil profiles were excavated along the southern slopes of the Villány Hills from the ridge to the southern foothill position and further four profiles were used for verification. Profiles were manually excavated to a depth of about 120 cm or to the depth of the parent material. Profile locations were selected according to slope position, parent material and land use. Soil profiles were described and classified: master and diagnostic horizons were determined according to the WRB (World Reference Base for Soil Resources; Guidelines for soil description by FAO 2006; IUSS Working Group 2015;). Munsell color, field moisture conditions and soil structure of each horizon were determined in the field.

Disturbed soil samples were then taken from the centre of each horizon and were analysed in the laboratories of University of Pécs and University of Debrecen for particle size distribution. Particle size distribution was determined using a MasterSizer 3000 (Malvern Inc. Malvern, United Kingdom) particle size analyser, and combined wet sieving (2.0–0.2 mm fractions) and the pipette method (<0.2 mm fractions) (PANSU, M. and GATHEYROU, J. 2006).

Spatial visualization of climate data

Climate data were obtained from 9 meteorological stations, maintained by the Tenkes Wine Region Management Corporation (see Figure 2). Sensors of the stations were manufactured by the Boreas Ltd. (Érd, Hungary). Weather data included air temperature, precipitation, insolation, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction. Only the year 2013 was devoid of hiatus, hence it was selected for the calculation of the Huglin Index (HI), used for the evaluation of climatic influences on terroir properties. Eventually, HI is a method for classifying the climate of wine growing regions based on heat summation of growing degree-days (HUGLIN, P. 1986). The index assumes that growth of the grape plant begins when daily mean temperature reaches 10 °C in the spring and was calculated for the days when the 5-day moving average of daily mean temperatures reached a minimum of 10 °C (growing season) with the following equation:

$$HI = \frac{T_{min} + T_{max} - 20}{2}, \quad (1)$$

where T_{min} and T_{max} are the daily minimum and maximum temperatures during the growing season, respectively.

All point weather data were then interpolated and weighted according to the 2013 raster based insolation GIS database of the area. Correlation between the incoming solar radiation of the nine weather stations and HI was calculated by fitting a linear trend line on the corresponding data in a form of $y = ax + b$. (The actual equation is $y = 0.0033x - 1,813.2$.) Derived temperature data were further weighted as a function of vertical elevation gradient at a rate of 0.65 °C decrease of temperature for each 100 m elevation increment. All raster calculations were done in ArcGIS Pro software environment.

Vitivinicultural data and statistical analyses

Ten vineyards were selected for verification purposes of the terroir-catena approach model (see Figure 2). Tartaric acid, malic acid, pH,

primary amino nitrogen (PAN), free amino nitrogen (FAN), NH_3 content, yeast assimilable nitrogen (YAN), density, °Brix and sugar content (glucose + fructose) of Cabernet Franc grape juice, obtained from vintners, were used for model verification. Correlation coefficients (r^2) using linear relationships were then determined between the vitivincultural (VVC) properties and the factors influencing terroir properties (HI, elevation, slope inclination and aspect). Anova statistics and cluster analysis were run using PAST 2.0 software for the must properties of each vineyard.

Results and discussion

Soil genesis and systematic position

The investigated soil profiles exhibit a high diversity of soils. The pedosequence represents

a typical series of soils starting from the summit, covered by loess, overlying the weathering products of limestone. From the steepest slope sections the loess cover has been eroded or has not even accumulated. Therefore, the (weathering residue of) limestone outcrops. These are mostly protected areas, preserving the native vegetation cover, with farming activities precluded (Figure 3, Table 1).

Profile 1 (45°52'45.19"N, 18°18'57.67"E) was excavated in the Meleg-mál vineyard, located in a relatively gently sloping summit position covered by loess deposits (soil parent material). The upper section and the most convex segment of the slope is covered by *Endocalcaric Cambisol* (Siltic, Ochric) (IUSS Working Group 2015) (Figure 3, a; Table 1, Profile 1). The texture in the entire soil profile is typical of soils formed on loess deposits, i.e. mainly silty loam.

Profile 2 (45°53'06.2"N, 18°13'53.7"E) was excavated South of the village of Csarnóta, East




Fig. 3. Representation of the four profiles used for catena characterization (upper photos): Meleg-mál (a); Kopasz Hill, Csarnóta (b); Városi-hegy vineyard (c); Zuhánya vineyard (d); and the four profiles used for verification (lower photos): Ördög-árok (e); Göntér (f); Fekete-hegy, Vylyan winery (g); Kopár (h)

Table 1. Description of the eight studied soil profiles

Name of the profile	Horizon	Depth, cm	Percentage share fraction, %			Diagnostic soil type (WRB) and coordinates	Textural class
			sand	silt	clay		
1. Meleg-mál	Ah	0–10	11.3	79.9	8.8	Endocalcaric Cambisol (Siltic, Ochric) 45 52'45.19"N, 18 18'57.67"E	SL
	Bw	10–40	10.7	64.8	24.5		SL
	BC	40–65	11.1	71.4	17.5		SL
	C	65–(80)	11.5	80.0	8.5		SL
2. Csarnóta	Ah	0–15	28.6	66.3	5.1	Somereन्द्रzic Leptosol (Humic, Siltic) 45 52'45.19"N, 18 18'57.67"E	SL
	ABw	15–28	33.7	61.4	4.9		SL
	CR	42–65	33.9	61.1	5.0		SL
3. Városi-hegy	Ap	0–20	33.1	63.0	3.9	Haplic Luvisol (Aric, Cutanic, Humic, Pantosiltic, Bathycalcic) 45 52'23.6"N, 18 19'11.2"E	SL
	Ah	20–40	46.0	51.1	2.9		SL
	Ah2	40–55	32.0	64.2	3.8		SL
	Bt	55–165	16.7	66.2	4.1		SL
	Bw	165–200	23.8	71.6	4.6		L
	C	200–(220)	24.4	71.2	4.4		SL
	Ap	0–20	11.4	83.7	4.9		SL
4. Zuhánya	Ah	20–60	21.0	75.0	4.0	Calcaric Luvisol (Aric, Cutanic, Humic, Pantosiltic) 45 52'05.6" N, 18 18'34.4" E	SL
	Bt	60–140	17.7	77.1	5.2		SL
	C	140–	24.4	71.2	4.4		SL
	A(h)	0–20	13.7	57.8	28.5		SCL
5. Ördög-átrok	Bw	20–50	12.2	58.6	29.2	Cambic Calcisol (Epiloamic, Endosiltic, Humic) 45 51'52" N, 18 25'27"E	SCL
	Ck1	50–70	20.3	59.8	19.9		SL
	Ck2	70–190	19.4	60.6	19.9		SL
	Ap	0–20	13.7	57.5	28.8		SCL
6. Vylyan	Bt	20–55	11.1	59.0	29.9	Endocalcaric Luvisol (Aric, Ochric, Cutanic, Anoloamic, Endosiltic) 45 52'23.32"N, 18 23'12.36"E	SCL
	Ab	55–75	11.2	59.0	29.8		SCL
	Ck	75–150	12.5	61.0	26.5		SCL
	Ck	0–7(20)	21.0	57.4	21.6		SL
7. Göntér	A/Cbk	20–35	36.2	47.6	16.2	Endoskeletal Calcisol (Anosiltic, Endoloamic, Ochric) 45 51'41.61"N, 18 19'33.57"E	L
	Ck2	35–55	17.6	59.8	22.6		SL
	C/Rk	55–85	33.5	44.4	22.1		L
	R	85–	–	–	–		–
8. Kopár	Apk	0–15	13.5	56.0	30.5	Cambic Calcisol (Epiloamic, Endosiltic, Aric, Anohypocalcic, Epiochric) 45 51'8.48"N, 18 25'19.36"E	SCL
	A/Ck	15–55	13.0	56.2	30.8		SCL
	Ck	55–190	12.8	62.4	24.8		SL

of Kopasz Hill, on a karstic surface with limestone blocks on surface, at the edge of a quarry, with the surface above having an inclination of 3° at an elevation of 191 m (Figure 3, b; Table 1, Profile 2). Due to the shallow topsoil, the soil is classified as *Somerirendzic Leptosol* (Humic, Siltic). Particle size distribution is dominated by silt and partly by clays, classified as silt loam. Highest clay contents are observed in the B_w and BC horizons. Profile 2 represents a soil developed on limestone outcrops (qualifier *Rendzic*) and its clayey weathering products, containing sand and silt fraction with silty loam texture throughout. The most important feature of the profiles is the presence of coarse fragments in the subsoil and the shallow, carbonate-rich, humic surface epipedon. Soil depth in the vicinity of the profile is highly variable, but generally less than 55 cm.

Profile 3 (45°52'23.6"N; 18°19'11.2"E) was excavated in the Városi-hegy in a midslope position with SSE aspect and an inclination of 5° at an elevation of 154 m (Figure 3, c; Table 1, Profile 3). In terms of land use this had been a vineyard until 2001, when it was left fallow. The soil is classified as Haplic Luvisol (Aric, Cutanic, Humic, Pantosiltic, Protocalcic) with silt loam texture. Clay accumulation characterizes the profile below the depth of 40 cm. It is a *Haplic Luvisol* (Aric, Cutanic, Humic, Pantosiltic, Bathycalcic) developed predominantly on colluvic material and reworked loess-paleosol deposits. The profile was excavated in an abandoned vineyard where cultivation ceased in 2002. The profile indicates a certain degree of leaching and clay translocation, texture is dominated by the silt fraction (Pantosiltic). Since this part of the Villány Hills has been cultivated for the longest time, redeposited sediments accumulated by both natural slope processes and viticulture practiced since Roman times (Aric).

Profile 4 (45°52'05.6"N; 18°18'34.4"E) was excavated in an actively cultivated vineyard on a very gentle slope inclination of 3° at an elevation of 124 m in foothill position (Figure 3, d; Table 1, Profile 4). Classified as a *Calcaric Luvisol* (Aric, Cutanic, Humic, Pantosiltic) similar to Profile 3, it represents a relatively young soil

developed as a consequence of *colluvic* accumulation (supplementary qualifier *Colluvic*), transported from upslope by erosion since the area was arable land in the past (Aric) (LOVÁSZ, Gy. 1977; TENGLER, T. 1997; CZIGÁNY, Sz. 1998). The texture of slope deposits is mainly silt (*Pantosiltic*). The *colluvic material* has a humic character in the entire profile, probably due to the erosion of topsoil further upslope.

Two profiles out of the four soil profiles used for verification purposes are located in foothill position: Kopár and Ördög-árok. Yet they have a relatively shallow soil of about 50 cm, underlain by loess deposits (see Table 1). For the Kopár, the actual topsoil had a depth of only 5 to 15 cm. The soil profile in the Vylyan vineyard (Table 1, Profile 6) is in mid-slope position with a soil depth of 55 cm and with a buried topsoil between 55 and 75 cm. Oddly, the Göntér profile (Table 1, Profile 7), despite its plateau (summit) position exposed a heavily ploughed and relatively shallow soil with limestone boulders already occurring at a depth of 55 cm.

Characterization of the pedosequence

The typical pedosequence of the Villány Hills (Figure 4) was generated by the parent materials (limestone, loess and colluvium), topography and subsequently modified by agricultural activities and natural erosional processes. With the exception of Profile 1, the properties of all analysed profiles, were strongly influenced by human-induced erosion. (Profile 1 is a fairly natural profile on a very gentle slope of the plateau. Erosional processes did not remove the loess cover completely, only inhibited deeper soil development and organic carbon accumulation. Profile 2 is located in the erosional section of the investigated slope. The shallow soils here are discontinuous and scattered, altering with rock outcrops without any soil cover. Formerly, Profile 2 may have also been covered by loess, but we may also deduce that dust deposition itself was not possible here due




Fig. 4. Typical topographic pedosequence of the southern slopes of the Villány Hills (by CZIGÁNY, Sz. and NOVÁK, T.J.)

to steep slopes, and soils have always developed on weathering products of limestone (Leptosols). Nevertheless, the presence of former and existing Cambic B_w or even Argic B_t horizons is also possible in the case of thicker weathered material, which could be eroded later as a consequence of human influence (deforestation, grazing etc.). Today Profile 2 is heavily eroded: the shallow topsoil may have been truncated. Intense denudation processes must have occurred here in the past, probably due to land use (quarrying, vineyards), but also for natural reasons (steep slope, lack of dense forest cover). However, lately, over the past decades, no tillage has been practiced.

Soils developed on redeposited colluvial deposits dominate the middle and lower sections of slopes (profiles 3 and 4). Currently, slope processes have been restrained by grass vegetation and no-till viticulture, which also leads to organic matter enrichment. In Profile 4 humus accumulation was detected in the pedon – probably due to manuring and mineral fertilization.

The impact of erosion, horizontal translocation and re-deposition according to slope po-

sition is reflected in the systematic sequence of the described soils. Profile 1 has been markedly eroded and truncated. Therefore, profile development is poor and it was classified as a Cambisol. Profile 2 with shallow Humic and Calcic horizons, but a significant amount of coarse limestone fragments, was classified as Leptosol. Profiles 3 and 4 are both colluvial soils classified as Luvisols. Marked human impact is clearly visible in Profiles 2, 3 and 4, as their upper sections have been eroded, redeposited and transformed into material with coarse granular structure.

Spatial pattern of climate data

The weighted and interpolated map of the HI indicated the marked insolation and temperature variations as a function of slope aspect and elevation. Although elevation differences are limited and relief is subdued in the Villány Hills, topography still has a profound impact on temperature distribution.

Due to the globally observed increasing temperatures heat indices have regularly ex-

ceeded the preferred range of the commonly grown grape varieties of the Villány region over the past years. That was especially true for the relatively warm year of 2013. HIs of 2013 commonly exceeded 21 °C-day on the southern slopes of the Villány Hills. These are markedly higher than the preference of the commonly grown grape varieties of the Villány region (Figure 5).

Mean HIs ranged between 1,749 and 2,060 degree-hours for the studied 10 vineyards (Table 2). The lowest value was found for the Várerdő vineyard located in the north-eastern foreground of the Szársomlyó Hill. The highest value was found in the Kopár vineyard in the south-eastern foothslopes of the Szársomlyó. Vineyards in plateau positions, despite their somewhat higher altitude, still had high HIs

around 2,000 degree-hours. Csicsó-hegy was the only exception among the vineyards in plateau positions, with a mean HI of 1,896.

Spatial distribution of topographic parameters

Aspect played an important role on the selection of the studied vineyards. Aspect shows a great variability among the studied vineyards. The Várerdő vineyard had the theoretically less favoured WNW average aspect, with a range from SE to NNW (Table 3). The Mandolás, Makár, Hársos, Csicsó-hegy and Kopár vineyards face almost exactly to the South, however, the first four of them essentially found in plateau position with relatively gentle slopes.

In the Villány Hills the elevations of vine-




Fig. 5. Spatial distribution of the Huglin Index in the studied area

Table 2. Spatial statistics of the Huglin Index for the ten studied vineyards

Vineyard	Mean	STD	Median
Hársos	1,983.83	84.87	1,987
Várerdő	1,749.81	60.97	1,716
Fekete-hegy	1,966.20	103.22	1,978
Kopár	2,060.50	51.34	2,093
Csillag-völgy	1,959.49	83.00	1,963
Ördög-árok	1,943.83	158.58	1,994
Imre-völgy	1,949.16	100.49	1,953
Mandolás	2,003.55	72.41	2,009
Makár	2,005.02	65.85	1,998
Csicsó-hegy	1,900.54	100.87	1,896

yards range from 100 to 279 m (Table 4). Higher elevations have a lower chance of frost damage in cold winters. In two consecutive winters of 1985–1987 frost severely affected large areas at the foothills. Similarly, lower elevations, due to higher relative humidity values, have a larger potential for fungal diseases. Elevation ranges are up to 132 m. Summit vineyards and Kopár have the lowest range. Kopár is located lowest (122 m) and Csicsó-hegy is the highest (234 m).

Table 3. Spatial statistics of aspect for the ten studied vineyards

Vineyard	Min, °	Max, °	Range, °	Mode, °	Mean, °	Abs 180-mean, °
Hársos	2.29	297.76	295.47	177.96	177.95	2.05
Várerdő	212.47	341.57	129.09	284.18	297.24	117.24
Fekete-hegy	175.24	316.91	141.67	191.00	192.02	12.02
Kopár	147.99	209.05	61.06	176.67	180.61	0.61
Csillag-völgy	0.00	357.09	357.09	176.18	177.40	2.60
Ördög-árok	7.13	325.01	317.88	154.71	164.85	15.15
Imre-völgy	50.71	206.03	155.32	175.84	175.81	4.19
Mandolás	132.34	247.89	115.55	176.68	173.33	6.67
Makár	120.43	225.00	104.57	175.55	171.12	8.88
Csicsó-hegy	0.00	345.96	345.96	197.07	195.18	15.18

Table 4. Spatial statistics of elevation for the ten studied vineyards

Vineyard	Min, m	Max, m	Range, m	Mean, m	STD	Median, m
Hársos	147	235	88	195.76	24.29	200
Várerdő	130	223	93	168.21	22.81	167
Fekete-hegy	141	268	127	185.54	27.73	181
Kopár	100	162	62	121.59	14.61	121
Csillag-völgy	141	273	132	197.25	40.15	187
Ördög-árok	149	279	130	215.71	34.36	217
Imre-völgy	110	213	103	155.70	30.81	148
Mandolás	136	200	64	159.08	15.27	156
Makár	123	200	77	148.64	15.46	144
Csicsó-hegy	200	250	50	233.57	12.01	235

Generally, mean slope inclination remained below 10° for 9 of the studied vineyards, with the exception of Ördög-árok, where mean slope inclination reached 11.54° (Table 5). Vineyards in plateau positions generally had a mean slope inclination of less than 6°, hence they are preferred for viticulture.

Spatial correlations among terroir factors and must properties

The current study revealed the effect of HI, elevation, slope, aspect and soil on grape juice properties for 10 selected vineyards in the Villány Hills (Table 6). Elevation and slope did not show correlation with any of the VVC parameters. HI and aspect had a moderate linear relationship with 5 VVC parameters with r^2 ranging between 0.5045 and 0.6954. HI showed a correlation with four nitrogen related parameters (FAN, NH_3 , YAN), density and glucose + fructose content, while aspect

showed moderate correlation with PAN. Aspect, when determined on the basis of angular distance from South (180°) showed a strong correlation ($r^2 > 0.7$) with FAN, NH_3 , YAN, sugar content (fructose + glucose) and density.

Based on cluster analysis of all studied parameters (terroir and VVC parameters), three vineyard clusters were identified (Figure 6). The Várerdő vineyard with dominantly NW, NNW (297°) facing slopes forms an outlier. The second cluster included the Csillag-völgy, Imre-völgy, Ördög-árok and Csicsó-hegy. In this latter cluster vineyards are characterized by relatively high relief and large topographical differences, with medium HIs. The third cluster, encompassing the Fekete-hegy, Hársos, Makár, Mandolás and Kopár vineyards included areas with the relatively low relief and high indices. In this cluster Fekete-hegy is located on gentle slopes, Kopár in a foothill position while the remaining three are positioned on flat summits in the central section of the range.

Table 5. Spatial statistics of slope for the ten studied vineyards

Vineyard	Min, °	Max, °	Range, °	Mean, °	STD
Hársos	0.45	10.42	9.97	4.86	2.00
Várerőd	1.23	21.95	20.72	7.88	4.16
Fekete-hegy	1.23	15.72	14.49	5.80	3.02
Kopár	1.47	21.96	20.49	7.77	3.77
Csillag-völgy	1.03	14.01	12.98	5.29	2.23
Ördög-árok	1.01	22.16	21.15	11.54	4.82
Imre-völgy	1.62	15.12	13.50	5.75	2.67
Mandolás	1.72	10.51	8.80	5.52	2.17
Makár	1.87	15.62	13.75	6.02	3.16
Csicsó-hegy	0.81	13.10	12.29	4.97	2.13

Table 6. Coefficients of correlation (r^2) of various VVC parameters with HI, elevation, slope inclination and aspect for the ten studied vineyards

Parameters	HI	Elevation	Slope	Aspect
Tartaric acid	0.1664	0.2183	0.0984	0.0339
pH	0.1341	0.2182	0.1105	0.0152
Malic acid	0.2657	0.0187	0.1122	0.4867
Primary amino nitrogen (PAN)	0.3671	0.0107	0.0670	0.5766
Free amino nitrogen (FAN)	0.5045	0.0099	0.0232	0.8030
NH ₃	0.5865	0.0573	0.0604	0.7957
Yeast assimilable nitrogen (YAN)	0.5715	0.0288	0.0036	0.8718
Density	0.5841	0.0263	0.0225	0.8809
Bx	0.2389	0.0449	0.1262	0.4092
Glucose + fructose	0.5609	0.0061	0.0016	0.8547

Note: *Moderate* and *strong* linear relationships.




Fig. 6. Cluster analysis of the studied vineyards based on all studied parameters

Conclusions


The complexity of a terroir calls for a holistic approach to grabbing its essence. Former literature has pointed out significant spatial differences in pedological and topographical properties within single vineyards, with a subsequent spatial variability on the growth and development of the vine shoots, berries as well as grape quality (e.g. CHENG, G. *et al.* 2014; BALLA, D.Z. *et al.* 2019). Although the topography and morphology of the Villány Hills are relatively simple, in accordance with the findings of former works (e.g. OUGH, C.S. and KRIEL, A. 1985; UBALDE, J.M. *et al.* 2010; PETROVIC, G. *et al.* 2019), our results revealed a relationship among topographical properties (elevation, aspect and slope), soil variability and selected chemical properties of the grape juice. Hence, alongside the spatial patterns of management techniques (COLLER, E. *et al.* 2019), the infinite combina-

tions of biophysical factors generate a great diversity of terroirs in the area. Explaining the distribution of rocks, slopes, soils, water availability, microclimate and natural vegetation, in our opinion, the topographic pedosequence is an equally complex concept, which is capable of reflecting many of the essential properties of a terroir.

Nonetheless, functional relationship has only partially been found between the various abiotic and chemical properties. The probable reason for this is the complex influence of abiotic factors on must quality, and, in general, on the physiology of the grape. Therefore, the terroir cannot be broken down into a series of individual indicators. Favouring relatively gentle slopes and considerable soil depths, the majority of the studied vineyards are found in either foothill or plateau positions. This heterogeneous topographical distribution, is found to be at least partially reflected in VVC chemical properties, including FAN, NH_3 , YAN, sugar content and density. Soils of the Villány Hills, found on plateaus and foothill positions tend to have deeper root systems and grow on a soils of higher organic matter content, as organic matter either remain non-transported (plateaus) or is transported to the gentle slopes of foothill positions (KENDERESSY, P. and LIESKOSKÝ, J. 2014; KIRCHHOFF, M. et al. 2014). Equivocally with the results of TARDAGUILA, J. et al. (2011), soils in the southern slopes of the Villány Hills, mixed with colluvial sediments in foothill positions tend to have higher clay contents therefore likely have a higher cation exchange capacity and more plant available moisture contents.

Further upslope, however, above the zone of colluvial materials, organic matter and clay contents tend to decrease, reaching the lowest values in the zone of inflection. Nonetheless, vertical variation of this sort is likely occur in soils developing along a toposequence, where the prevailing soil forming factor is topography, discussed in details by e.g. MEINERT, L. and BUSACCA, A. (2002), REPE, B. et al. (2017) and VRŠČAJ, B. et al. (2017). This type of spatial pattern

of soil qualities generates more fertile soils with clay-loamy textures and higher water holding and supplying capacities at foot-slope positions whereas fertility and mean moisture contents decrease with increasing elevations (BUSACCA, A. and MEINERT, L. 2003). However, in correspondence with the findings of WILKINS, D. and BUSACCA, A. (2017) obtained under similar climatic and topographic conditions to those of the Villány Hills, we also concluded that local meso- and microclimate, and in general geodiversity (STEPSNIK, U. et al. 2017) may significantly influence the locality-specific terroir properties and wine quality through the spatial pattern of soil properties.

Acknowledgement: The authors are grateful to Ignác RUPPERT (Cellarius Commercial House Ltd., Pécs) for providing viticultural data and to the National Office for Research, Development and Innovation (NKFIH) for financial support within the Programme Excellence in Higher Education Institutions 2019, Topic II. 3. (“Innovation for sustainable life and environment”). Tibor József Novák is grateful for funding provided by the János Bolyai Scholarship. 

REFERENCES

- BALLA, D.Z., KOZICS, A., MESTER, T., MIKITA, T., INCZE, J., NOVÁK, T. and ZICHAR, M. 2019. A GIS tool to express soil naturalness grades and geovisualization of results on Tokaj Nagy-Hill. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica* 16. (6): 191–205. Doi: 10.12700/aph.16.6.2019.6.12
- BARATA, A., MALFEITO-FERREIRA, M. and LOUREIRO, V. 2012. The microbial ecology of wine grape berries. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 153. (3): 243–259. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.11.025
- BASKAN, O., DENGIZ, O. and GUNTURK, A. 2016. Effects of toposequence and land use-land cover on the spatial distribution of soil properties. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 75. 448–458. Doi: 10.1007/s12665-016-5301-6
- BIANCOTTI, A. 2003. Physical geography’s contribution to studying terroir. In *Spaces, Environments and Landscapes of Terroirs*. Eds.: BIANCOTTI, A., PAMBIANCHINI, G. and PIOLETTI, A.M., Milano, BEM, Stefano Bianchi, 11–16.
- BORDEN, R.W., BAILLIE, I.C. and HALLETT, S.H. 2020. The East African contribution to the formalisation of the soil catena concept. *Catena* 185. Article 104264. Doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2019.104291

- BORHIDI, A. and DÉNES, A. 1997. *The rock sward communities of the Mecsek and Villány Mts.* Studia Phytologica Jubilaria, Pécs, University of Pécs, 45–66.
- BÖTKÖS, T. 2006. Precipitation trends in Pécs. In *Pollution and Water Resources*. Ed.: HALASY-KUN, G., New York, Columbia University Seminars XXXVI. 171–178.
- BRAMLEY, R. and HAMILTON, R. 2007. Terroir and precision viticulture: are they compatible? *OENO One* 41. (1): 1–8. Doi: 10.20870/oenone.2007.41.1.855
- BUSACCA, A. and MEINERT, L. 2003. Wine and geology – The terroir of Washington State. In *GSA Field Guide 4: Western Cordillera and Adjacent Areas*, Ed.: SWANSON, T.W., Boulder, CO, USA, Geological Society of America, 69–85. Doi: 10.1130/0-8137-0004-3.69.
- CHENG, G., HE, Y.N., YUE, T.X., WANG, J. and ZHANG, ZH.W. 2014. Effects of climatic conditions and soil properties on Cabernet Sauvignon berry growth and anthocyanin profiles. *Molecules* 19. (9): 13683–13703. Doi: 10.3390/molecules190913683
- CHONÉ, X., VAN LEEUWEN, C., CHÉRY, P. and RIBÉREAU-GAYON, P. 2001. Terroir influence on water status and nitrogen status of non irrigated Cabernet-Sauvignon (*Vitis vinifera*): vegetative development, must and wine composition. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 22. (1): 8–15. Doi: 10.21548/22-1-2159
- COLLER, E., CESTARO, A., ZANZOTTI, R., BERTOLDI, D., PINDO, M., LARGER, S., ALBANESE, D., MESCALCHIN, E. and DONATI, C. 2019. Microbiome of vineyard soils is shaped by geography and management. *Microbiome* 7. 140–155. Doi: 10.1186/s40168-019-0758-7.
- CZIGÁNY, SZ. 1997. Analysis of the loess profile in Beremend, SW Hungary. *Földrajzi Értesítő / Hungarian Geographical Bulletin* 46. (1–2): 97–103. (in Hungarian with English abstract)
- CZIGÁNY, SZ. 1998. Contribution to the denudation processes of the southern slopes of Villány Hills during Late Pleistocene and Holocene. *Földrajzi Értesítő / Hungarian Geographical Bulletin* 47. (2): 123–129. (in Hungarian with English abstract)
- CZIGÁNY, SZ. and NAGYVÁRADI, L. 2000. A természeti és társadalmi tényezők kölcsönhatása a Villányi borvidéken löszkémiái vizsgálatok alapján (Interactions between the physical and social factors in the Villány wine region based on chemical analyses of loess). In *Területfejlesztés – regionális kutatások*. Eds.: LOVÁSZ, Gy. and SZABÓ, G., Pécs, PTE TTK Földrajzi Intézet, 63–72. (in Hungarian)
- CZIGÁNY, SZ., FÁBIÁN, SZ.Á., NAGY, G. and NOVÁK, T.J. 2018. Soils of the southern slopes of the Villány Hills, SW Hungary. In *Soil Sequences Atlas II*. Eds.: ŚWITONIAK, M. and CHARZYŃSKI, P., Toruń, Poland, Machina Druku, 187–200.
- DEZSŐ, J., SEBE, K. and HORVÁTH, G. 2004. *Villányi-hegység útikalauz* (A guide to the Villány Hills). Pécs, JPTE Barlangkutató. (in Hungarian with English summaries)
- DELOIRE, A., VAUDOUR, E., CAREY, V.A., BONNARDOT, V. and VAN LEEUWEN, C. 2005. Grapevine responses to terroir: A global approach. *Journal International des Sciences de la Vigne et du Vin* 39. (4): 149–162. Doi: 10.20870/oenone.2005.39.4.888
- FALCETTI, M. 1994. Le terroir. Qu'est-ce qu'un terroir? Pourquoi l'étudier? Pourquoi l'enseigner? *Bulletin O.I.V.* 67. 246–275.
- FERRETTI, C.G. 2019. Relationship between the geology, soil assessment, and terroir of Gewürtztraminer vineyards: A case study in the Dolomites of northern Italy. *Catena* 179. 74–84. Doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2019.03.044
- FRAGA, H., MALHEIRO, A.C., MOUTINHO-PEREIRA, J. and SANTOS, J.A. 2013. Future scenarios for viticultural zoning in Europe: ensemble projections and uncertainties. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 57. 909–925. Doi: 10.1007/s00484-012-0617-8
- FRAGA, H., MALHEIRO, A.C., MOUTINHO-PEREIRA, J., CARDOSO, R.M., SOARES, P.M., CANCELA, J.J., PINTO, J.G. and SANTOS, J.A. 2014. Integrated analysis of climate, soil, topography and vegetative growth in Iberian viticultural regions. *PLoS One* 9. (9): e108078. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0108078
- FRAGA, H., COSTA, R. and SANTOS, J. 2018. *Modelling the Terroir of the Douro Demarcated Region, Portugal*. E3S Web of Conferences 50: 02009. XII Congresso Internacional Terroir. Doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/20185002009
- GABEL, B. 2019. *New concept of vine grape protection – knowledge-based approach & high tech*. 42nd World Congress of Vine and Wine, 15–19 July 2019 Geneva, Switzerland. BIO Web Conferences 15: 01020. Doi: 10.1051/bioconf/20191501020
- GILBERT, J.A., VAN DER LELIE, D. and ZARRAONAINDIA, I. 2014. Microbial terroir for wine grapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111. 5–6. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1320471110
- GLADSTONES, J. 2011. *Wine, Terroir and Climate Change*. Mile End (South Australia), Wakefield Press.
- Guidelines for soil description 2006. Rome, FAO.
- HALL, A., LAMB, D.W., HOLZAPFEL, B. and LOUIS, J. 2002. Optical remote sensing applications in viticulture – a review. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research* 8. (1): 36–47. Doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2002.tb00209.x
- HALLIDAY, J. 2007. *Wine Atlas of Australia*. Oakland, CA, University of California Press.
- HAYNES, S.J. 2000. A geological foundation for terroirs and potential sub-appellations of Niagara Peninsula wines, Ontario, Canada. *Geology and wine series 2. Geoscience Canada* 27. 67–87.
- HILBERT, G., SOYER, J.-P., MOLOT, C., GIRAUDON, J., MILIN, S. and GAUDILLÈRE, J.-P. 2003. Effects of nitrogen supply on must quality and anthocyanin accumulation in berries of cv. Merlot. *Vitis* 42. 69–76.
- HUGLIN, P. 1986. *Biologie et écologie de la vigne*. Paris, Lavoisier.

- IUSS Working Group 2015. *World Reference Base (WRB) for soil resources 2014. Update 2015. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps*. World Soil Resources Report No 106. Rome, FAO.
- JACKSON, D. and LOMBARD, P. 1993. Environmental and management practices affecting grape composition and wine quality – a review. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 44. 409–430.
- JONES, G.V., SNEAD, N. and NELSON, P. 2004. Geology and wine 8. Modeling viticultural landscapes: A GIS analysis of the terroir potential in the Umpqua Valley of Oregon. *Geoscience Canada* 31. 167–178.
- JORDÁN, GY., VAN ROMPAEY, A., SZILASSI, P., CSILLAG, G., MANNAERTS, C. and WOLDAI, T. 2005. Historical land use changes and their impact on sediment fluxes in the Balaton basin (Hungary). *Agriculture, Ecosystem & Environment* 108. (2): 119–133. Doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2005.01.013
- KENDERESSY, P. and LIESKOSKÝ, J. 2014. Impact of the soil erosion on soil properties along a slope catena – Case study of Horný Ohaj vineyards, Slovakia. *Carpathian Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences* 9. (2): 143–152.
- KIRCHHOFF, M., RODRIGO-COMINO, J., SEEGER, M. and RIES, J.B. 2017. Soil erosion in sloping vineyards under conventional and organic land use managements (Saar-Mosel Valley, Germany). *Cuadernos de Investigación Geográfica* 43. (1): 119–140. Doi: doi.org/10.18172/cig.3161
- KOTTEK, M., GRIESER, J., BECK, C., RUDOLF, B. and RUBEL, F. 2006. World map of Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift* 15. (3): 259–263. Doi: 10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130
- LOVÁSZ, GY. 1973. Geomorphological development of the Villány Mountains. *Studia Geomorphologica Carpato Balcanica* 7. 1–7.
- LOVÁSZ, GY. 1977. *Baranya megye természetföldrajza* (Physical geography of Baranya County). Pécs, Baranya Megyei Levéltár. (in Hungarian)
- LOVÁSZ, GY. and WEIN, GY. 1974. *A Dél-Dunántúl természetföldrajza* (Physical geography of the Southern Transdanubia). Pécs, Baranya Megyei Levéltár. (in Hungarian)
- LÓCZY, D. and NYIZSALOVSKÍ, R. 2005. Borvidékek földhasználat-változásainak tájökölógiai értékelése (Landscape ecological assessment of land use changes in the wine regions of Hungary). *Tájökölógiai Lapok* 3. 243–252. (in Hungarian)
- MACKENZIE, D.E. and CHRISTY, A.G. 2005. The role of soil chemistry in wine grape quality and sustainable soil management in vineyards. *Water Science and Technology* 51. 27–37. Doi: 10.2166/wst.2005.0004
- MALHEIRO, A.C., SANTOS, J.A., FRAGA, H. and PINTO, J.G. 2010. Climate change scenarios applied to viticultural zoning in Europe. *Climate Research* 43. 163–177. Doi: 10.3354/cr00918
- MATTHEWS, M. and ANDERSON, M. 1988. Fruit ripening in *Vitis vinifera* L.: responses to seasonal water deficits. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 39. 313–320.
- MATTHEWS, M. and ANDERSON, M. 1989. Reproductive development in grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.): responses to seasonal water deficit. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 40. 52–60.
- MEINERT, L. and BUSACCA, A. 2002. Geology and wine 6. Terroir of the Red Mountain Appellation, Central Washington State, U.S.A. *Geoscience Canada* 29. 149–168.
- MILNE, G. 1935. *Some suggested units of classification and mapping particularly for East African soils*. Bodenkundliche Forschung, Supplement to the Proceedings of the International Union of Soil Science IV. 183–198.
- NOVÁK, T.J., INCZE, J., SPOHN, M., GLINA, B. and GIANI, L. 2014. Soil and vegetation transformation in abandoned vineyards of the Tokaj Nagy-Hill. *Catena* 123. 88–98. Doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2014.07.017
- OIV 2008. *Proposition of a definition of a vitivincultural terroir*. Paris, Organisation International de la Vigne et du Vin.
- OLLIER, C.D., WEBSTER, R., LAWRENCE, C.J. and BECKETT, P.H.T. 1969. *Terrain classification and data storage. Land Systems of Uganda*. MEXE Report 959. Christchurch, U.K., MEXE.
- OUGH, C.S. and KRIEL, A. 1985. Ammonia concentrations of musts of different grape cultivars and vineyards in the Stellenbosch Area. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 6. (1): 7–11. Doi: 10.21548/6-1-2353
- PANSU, M. and GATHEYROU, J. 2006. *Handbook of Soil Analysis*. Berlin–Heidelberg, Springer Verlag, 35–42. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-31211-6
- PETROVIC, G., ALEXANDRE-TUDO, J.-L. and BUICA, A. 2019. Unravelling the complexities of wine: A big data approach to yeast assimilable nitrogen using InfraRed spectroscopy and chemometrics. *OENO One* 2. 107–127. Doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2019.53.2.2371
- QI, Y.B., WANG, R., QIN, Q.R. and SUN, Q. 2019. Soil affected the variations in grape and wine properties along the eastern foot of Helan Mountain, China. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B. – Soil & Plant Science* 69. (6): 494–502. Doi: 10.1080/09064710.2019.1611914
- RADWANSKI, S.A. and OLLIER, C.D. 1959. A study of an East African catena. *Journal of Soil Science* 10. 149–168. Available at http://www.wossac.com/search/wossac_detail.cfm?ID=25140
- REPE, B. and SIMONČIČ, P. and VRŠČAJ, B. 2017. Factors of soil formation. In *The Soils of Slovenia*. Eds.: VRŠČAJ, B., REPE, B. and SIMONČIČ, P., Dordrecht, Springer, 19–60. Doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-8585-3_3.
- SEBE, K. 2017. Structural evolution of the Mecsek–Villány area (SW Hungary) during post-rift

- phase and basin inversion. In *Abstracts book. 7th International Workshop: Neogene of Central and South-Eastern Europe*. Velika, Croatia, 28–31 May 2017. Eds.: HORVAT, M. and WACHA, L., Zagreb, Croatian Geological Survey, 60–61.
- SEGUIN, G. 1986. 'Terroirs' and pedology of wine growing. *Experientia* 42. (8): 861–873. Doi: 10.1007/BF01941763
- ŠMID HRIBAR, M., GERŠIČ, M., PIPAN, P., REPOLUSK, P., TIRAN, J., TOPOLE, M. and CIGLIČ, R. 2017. Cultivated terraces in Slovenian landscapes. *Acta geographica Slovenica* 57. (2): 83–97. Doi: 10.3986/AGS.4597
- STEPIŠNIK, U., ILC KLUN, M. and REPE, B. 2017. Assessment of educational potential of geodiversity on example of Cerknica Polje, Slovenia. *Dela* 47 (1): 5–39. Doi: 10.4312/dela.47.1.5-39.
- ŠWITONIAK, M., CHARZYŃSKI, P., NOVÁK, T.J., ZALEWSKA, K. and BEDNAREK, R. 2014. Forested hilly landscape of Bükkalja Foothill (Hungary). In *Soil Sequences Atlas*. Eds.: ŠWITONIAK, M. and CHARZYŃSKI, P., Toruń, Nicolaus Copernicus University Press, 169–181.
- SZILASSI, P., JORDÁN, Gy., ROMPAEY, A. and CSILLAG, G. 2006. Impacts of historical land use changes on erosion and agricultural soil properties in the Káli Basin at Lake Balaton, Hungary. *Catena* 68. 96–108. Doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2006.03.010
- TAGLIAVINI, M. and ROMBOLÀ, A.D. 2001. Iron deficiency and chlorosis in orchard and vineyard ecosystems. *European Journal of Agronomy* 15. (2): 71–92. Doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(01) 00125-3
- TARDAGUILA, J., BALUJA, J., ARPON, L., BALDA, P. and OLIVEIRA, M. 2011. Variations of soil properties affect the vegetative growth and yield components of "Tempranillo" grapevines. *Precision Agriculture* 12. (5): 762–773. Doi: 10.1007/s11119-011-9219-4
- TENGLER, T. 1997. *A természeti környezet antropogén változásai Villány térségében* (Antropogenic changes in the physical environment around the town of Villány). Publications from the Department of Physical Geography 4. Pécs, University of Pécs, 1–15. (in Hungarian)
- UBALDE, J.M., SORT, X., ZAYAS, A. and POCH, R.M. 2010. Effects of soil and climatic conditions on grape ripening and wine quality of Cabernet Sauvignon. *Journal of Wine Research* 21. (1): 1–17. Doi: 10.1080/09571264.2010.495851
- UNWIN, T. 2012. Terroir: At the heart of geography. In *The Geography of Wine: Regions, Terroir and Techniques*. Ed.: DOUGHERTY, P.H., Dordrecht, Springer Science & Business Media, 37–48.
- VAN LEEUWEN, C. and SEGUIN, G. 2006. The concept of terroir in viticulture. *Journal of Wine Research* 17. 1–10. Doi: 10.1080/09571260600633135
- VAN LEEUWEN, C. 2010. Terroir: the effect of the physical environment on vine growth, grape ripening and wine sensory attributes. In *Managing Wine Quality. Volume 1: Viticulture and Wine Quality*. Ed.: REYNOLDS, A., Oxford, Woodhead Publishing Ltd., 273–315. Doi: 10.1533/981845699284.3.273.
- VAUDOUR, E. 2001. Diversité des notions de terroir. Pour un concept de terroir opérationnel. *Revue des Oenologues* 101. 39–41.
- VAUDOUR, E. 2002. The quality of grapes and wine in relation to geography: Notions of terroir at various scales. *Journal of Wine Research* 13: 117–141. Doi: 10.1080/0957126022000017981
- VAUDOUR, E. 2003. *Les terroirs viticoles. Définitions, caractérisation, protection*. Paris, Dunod.
- VAUDOUR, E., MORLAT, R., VAN LEEUWEN, C. and DOLEDEC, A.F. 2005. Terroirs viticoles et sols. In *Sols et Environnement*. Eds.: GIRARD, M.C., WALTER, C., RÉMY, J.C. and BERTHELIN, J., Paris, Dunod, 105–126.
- VRŠČAJ, B., REPE, B. and SIMONČIČ, P. 2017. Soil degradation. In *The Soils of Slovenia*. Eds.: VRŠČAJ, B., REPE, B. and SIMONČIČ, P., Dordrecht, Springer, 171–198. Doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-8585-3_8.
- WANG, R., SUN, Q. and CHANG, Q.R. 2015. Soil types effect on grape and wine composition in Helan Mountain area of Ningxia. *PlosOne* 10. (2): e0116690. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116690
- WARMLING, M.T., ALBUQUERQUE, J.A., WARMLING, M.I., RUFATO, L. and ANDOGNINI, J. 2018. Effect of soil classes and climatic conditions on the productive characteristics and composition of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura* 40. (6): 1–14. Doi: 10.1590/0100-29452018035.
- WEIN, Gy. 1967. A Délkelet-Dunántúl szerkezetföldtana (Structural geology of the Southeastern Transdanubian region). *Földtani Közlemények* 91. 372–395. (in Hungarian)
- WILKINS, D. and BUSACCA, A. 2017. *Insights into terroir factors from a dense network of vineyard soil and weather stations, Sunnyslope District, Idaho*. Conference Paper. GSA Annual Meeting, Seattle, WA, USA. Doi: 10.1130/abs/2017AM-303829.
- WILSON, J. 1998. *Terroir, the role of geology, climate and culture in the making of French wines*. San Francisco, CA, University Press of California.
- WINKLER, A.J., COOK, J.A., KIEWER, W.M. and LIDER, L.A. 1974. *General Viticulture*. Second Revised Edition. Berkeley–Los Angeles, University of California Press.
- WOOLDRIDGE, J. 2000. Geology: A central aspect of terroir. *Wineland* 12. 87–90.
- ZSÓFI, Zs., TÓTH, E., RUSJAN, D. and BÁLO, B. 2011. Terroir aspects of grape quality in a cool climate wine region: Relationship between water deficit, vegetative growth and berry sugar concentration. *Scientia Horticulturae* 127. (4): 494–499. Doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.11. 014.

