

NEW FEATURES OF THE FIRST EIGENVALUE ON NEGATIVELY CURVED SPACES

ALEXANDRU KRISTÁLY

ABSTRACT. The paper is devoted to the study of fine properties of the first eigenvalue on negatively curved spaces. First, depending on the parity of the space dimension, we provide asymptotically sharp harmonic-type expansions of the first eigenvalue for large geodesic balls in the model n -dimensional hyperbolic space, complementing the results of Borisov and Freitas (2017), Hurtado, Markvorsen and Palmer (2016) and Savo (2008); in odd dimensions, such eigenvalues appear as roots of an inductively constructed transcendental equation. We then give a synthetic proof of Cheng's sharp eigenvalue comparison theorem in metric measure spaces satisfying a Bishop-Gromov-type volume monotonicity hypothesis. As a byproduct, we provide an example of simply connected, non-compact Finsler manifold with constant negative flag curvature whose first eigenvalue is zero; this result is in a sharp contrast with its celebrated Riemannian counterpart due to McKean (1970). Our proofs are based on specific properties of the Gaussian hypergeometric function combined with intrinsic aspects of the negatively curved smooth/non-smooth spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The goal of this paper is to establish new geometric properties encoded into the first eigenvalue on negatively curved (smooth or non-smooth) spaces. In order to have a general geometric setting, we consider a (quasi)metric measure space (M, d, μ) with a Borel measure μ , and let $\text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$ be the space of Lipschitz functions with compact support on an open set $\Omega \subseteq M$. For $u \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$, let

$$|\nabla u|_d(x) := \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{u(y) - u(x)}{d(x, y)}, \quad x \in \Omega; \quad (1.1)$$

note that $x \mapsto |\nabla u|_d(x)$ is Borel measurable on Ω and we may consider the *fundamental frequency* for (Ω, d, μ) defined by

$$\lambda_{1,d,\mu}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|_d^2 d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} u^2 d\mu}. \quad (1.2)$$

In particular, (1.2) corresponds to the *first Dirichlet eigenvalue* of an open set $\Omega \subseteq M$ for the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_g$ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) endowed with its canonical measure (when μ is the canonical measure, we shall write $\lambda_{1,d}(\Omega)$ instead of $\lambda_{1,d,\mu}(\Omega)$). A similar statement also holds on Finsler manifolds with the Finsler-Laplace operator, see Ge and Shen [13], Ohta and Sturm [29].

On the one hand, when (M, g) is a complete, simply connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature bounded above by $-\kappa^2$ ($\kappa > 0$), McKean [25] proved in his celebrated paper that

$$\lambda_{1,d_g}(M) \geq \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2; \quad (1.3)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35P15; Secondary: 58C40, 58B20.

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalue; negative curvature; asymptotics; comparison; Gaussian hypergeometric function.

Research supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the K_18 funding scheme, Project No. 127926.

here, d_g denotes the distance function on (M, g) . Moreover, in the n -dimensional hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n, g_h)$ of constant curvature $-\kappa^2$, the first eigenvalue has the limiting property

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) = \lambda_{1,d_h}(\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2, \quad (1.4)$$

see Chavel [6, p. 46] and Cheng and Yang [10], where B_r^κ and d_h denote a geodesic ball of radius $r > 0$ and the hyperbolic distance on $\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$, respectively.

On the other hand, a consequence of the eigenvalue comparison theorem of Cheng [8] states that the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$ has the greatest bottom of spectrum among all Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below by $-(n-1)\kappa^2$, i.e.,

$$\lambda_{1,d_g}(M) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2. \quad (1.5)$$

In the past half-century, McKean's and Cheng's results have become a continuing source of inspiration concerning the first eigenvalue problem on curved spaces; without seeking completeness, we recall the works of Carroll and Ratzkin [5], Chavel [6], Freitas, Mao and Salavessa [11], Gage [12], Hurtado, Markvorsen and Palmer [16], Li and Wang [20, 21], Lott [22], Mao [24], Pinsky [31, 32] and Yau [42], where various estimates and rigidity results concerning the equality in (1.5) are established.

In view of (1.4) and (1.5), a considerable interest has been attracted to estimate the first eigenvalue of geodesic balls of $(\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n, g_h)$ by means of elementary expressions. The most classical result states that for every $n \geq 2$ one has

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \sim \frac{j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^2}{r^2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \kappa^2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.6)$$

see Chavel [6, p. 318], where $j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function of first kind $J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$.

In a recent result of Borisov and Freitas [4, Theorem 3.3] the following two-sided estimate can be found for $\kappa = 1$ (when we use the notation B_r instead of B_r^κ) and arbitrary $r > 0$:

$$\frac{j_{0,1}^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2(r)} + 1 \right] \leq \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) \leq \frac{j_{0,1}^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{3}, \quad n = 2, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^2}{r^2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{6} &\leq \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) \leq \frac{j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^2}{r^2} \\ &+ \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4} \left[\frac{1}{\sinh^2(r)} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right], \quad n \geq 3. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Since $j_{\frac{1}{2},1} = \pi$, the estimates (1.8) spectacularly give in 3-dimension the relation $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) = 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2}$ for every $r > 0$. We notice that the two-sided estimates (1.7) and (1.8) are asymptotically sharp for *small* radii, i.e., the latter relations imply (1.6) at once. However, apart from the case $n = 3$, the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) are *not* asymptotically sharp whenever $r \rightarrow \infty$, see (1.4); only the lower bound in (1.7) and the upper bound in (1.8) behave properly, having their limit $\frac{(n-1)^2}{4}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Another estimate of $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ – comparable to (1.7) and (1.8) – which behaves accurately for $r > 0$ *large* is provided by Savo [34, Theorem 5.6 (i)] (see also Artamoshin [1]), stating that for every $r > 0$:

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2} - \frac{4\pi^2}{(n-1)r^3} \leq \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2} + \frac{C}{r^3},$$

where

$$C = \frac{\pi^2(n^2 - 1)}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^2}{\sinh^2(s)} ds.$$

In particular, one clearly has that

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-3}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

Our first main result gives not only a more precise asymptotic behavior than (1.9) for *large* radii $r > 0$ (see also Cheng [8, p. 294] and Borisov and Freitas [4]) but also provides a generic iterative method to compute/estimate $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ with respect to the space dimension (applicable mainly in the odd-dimensional case). In order to state our result, we introduce the auxiliary functions

$$S_1(\gamma, x) = \frac{\sin(\gamma x)}{\gamma \sinh(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad S_k(\gamma, x) = \frac{\frac{\partial S_{k-1}}{\partial x}(\gamma, x)}{\sinh(x)}, \quad k \geq 2, \quad \gamma, x > 0.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\kappa, r > 0$.*

(i) (Odd-dimensional case) *If $n = 2l + 1$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$), then*

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2 + \alpha^2,$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(\kappa, r, n)$ is the smallest positive solution to the transcendental equation $S_l(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}, \kappa r) = 0$; in addition, for every $l \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \sim \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{l-1} \right) \right]^2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) (Even-dimensional case) *If $n = 2l$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$), then*

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \sim \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \kappa^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2} \left[1 + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2l-3} - \ln 2 \right) \right]^2 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $n = 2$, the interior parenthesis reads as $-\ln 2$.

Remark 1.1. (i) In the particular case when $n = 3$ (and $\kappa, r > 0$ are fixed), the smallest positive solution to the transcendental equation $S_1(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}, \kappa r) = 0$ is precisely $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{r}$; thus, $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) = \kappa^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2}$ for every $r > 0$. This result (for $n = 3$) coincides with the one of Borisov and Freitas [4] and Savo [34, Theorem 5.6 (ii)], where variational Hadamard-type formula and fine analysis on differential forms have been employed, respectively. When $n \neq 3$, the above expressions provide the first four terms in the expansion of $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ for large $r > 0$. Moreover, due to the alternating harmonic series $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots = \ln 2$, we have $\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{l-1} \right) \sim 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2l-3} - \ln 2 \right)$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, when the dimension is large enough (no matter on its parity), the lower-order terms in Theorem 1.1 (i) and (ii) have similar asymptotic behavior.

(ii) The transcendental equation $S_l(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}, \kappa r) = 0$ in the odd-dimensional case $n = 2l + 1$ can be used to establish the asymptotically sharp form of $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ not only for large $r > 0$, but also when $r \rightarrow 0$, see (1.6); we exemplify this approach in dimension $n = 5$, see Remark 3.2 (i).

(iii) The proof of Theorem 1.1 – which is splitted according to the parity of the space dimension – is based on a careful analysis of the Gaussian hypergeometric function whose first zero (with respect to certain parameter) is exactly the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$, see Section 3.

Closely related to Theorem 1.1 – where the Gaussian hypergeometric function appears as an extremal function on $B_r^\kappa \subset \mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$ for $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ – we establish a Cheng-type comparison result on metric measure spaces. To be more precise, let (M, d, μ) be a (quasi)metric measure space with a strictly positive Borel measure μ , and $x_0 \in M$, $\kappa > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n \geq 2$) be fixed. We assume first that small metric spheres in M with center x_0 are comparable with their Euclidean counterparts; namely, we require the *local density* assumption

$$(\mathbf{D})_{x_0}^n : \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_\rho^\mu(x_0)}{n\omega_n\rho^{n-1}} = 1,$$

where

$$A_\rho^\mu(x_0) := \frac{d}{d\rho} \mu(B_\rho(x_0)) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_{\rho+\delta}(x_0) \setminus B_\rho(x_0))}{\delta} \quad (1.10)$$

denotes the induced μ -area of the metric sphere $\partial B_\rho(x_0)$. Here, $B_\rho(x_0) = \{y \in M : d(x_0, y) < \rho\}$, and ω_n is the volume of the n -dimensional Euclidean unit ball. Moreover, we introduce the following *Bishop-Gromov-type volume monotonicity* hypothesis on the measure μ :

$$(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n,\kappa} : \text{the function } \rho \mapsto \frac{A_\rho^\mu(x_0)}{\sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho)} \text{ is non-increasing on } (0, \infty).$$

For further use, V_ρ^κ stands for the hyperbolic volume of the ball $B_\rho^\kappa \subset \mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$.

A sharp non-smooth eigenvalue comparison principle of Cheng [8], see also Hurtado, Markvorsen and Palmer [16, Theorem E]), reads as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, d, μ) be a proper (quasi)metric measure space, and assume the hypotheses $(\mathbf{D})_{x_0}^n$ and $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n,\kappa}$ hold for some $x_0 \in M$, $\kappa > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n \geq 2$). If $r > 0$ is fixed, then*

$$\lambda_{1,d,\mu}(B_r(x_0)) \leq \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa). \quad (1.11)$$

Moreover, if equality holds in (1.11) then $\mu(B_\rho(x_0)) = V_\rho^\kappa$ for every $0 < \rho < r$.

Remark 1.2. (i) We notice that Cheng's original technique for proving (1.5) – where smooth objects are explored as Jacobi vector fields and further properties of the exponential map on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below – cannot be applied in the non-smooth framework of Theorem 1.2. However, it turns out that a contradiction argument combined with fine properties of the Gaussian hypergeometric function and the Bishop-Gromov-type volume monotonicity hypothesis provide an elegant proof of Theorem 1.2, see Section 4. In addition, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.11), a similar inequality as (1.5) can be deduced on metric measure spaces satisfying $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n,\kappa}$ for some $x_0 \in M$.

(ii) We notice that condition $(\mathbf{BG})_x^{n,\kappa}$ trivially holds on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$ for every $x \in \mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$; indeed, the function appearing in the hypothesis is constant. Furthermore, if a metric measure space (M, d, μ) satisfies the curvature-dimension condition $\text{CD}(-(n-1)\kappa^2, n)$ of Lott-Sturm-Villani for some $\kappa > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the generalized Bishop-Gromov comparison principle states the validity of $(\mathbf{BG})_x^{n,\kappa}$ for every $x \in M$, see Lott and Villani [23] and Sturm [38]. In this way, $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n,\kappa}$ is a kind of Ricci lower bounded condition rather than a negatively curved restriction. However, as we already pointed out, the main tool of the proof is based on arguments coming from the model space $\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$. We notice that there are metric measure spaces verifying $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n,\kappa}$ and failing $\text{CD}(-(n-1)\kappa^2, n)$ for every $\kappa > 0$, see e.g. the proof of Theorem 1.3 below. Another example is the Heisenberg group $(\mathbb{H}^m, d_{CC}, \mathcal{L}^{2m+1})$ which verifies $(\mathbf{BG})_x^{n,\kappa}$ for the homogeneous dimension $n = 2m + 2$ of \mathbb{H}^m and every $\kappa > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{H}^m$, and failing $\text{CD}(K, N)$ for any choice of $K, N \in \mathbb{R}$, see Juillet [17].

(iii) Theorem 1.2 can be applied to state various Cheng-type comparison results on Riemannian/Finsler manifolds with (weighted) Ricci curvature bounded below. Indeed, under certain assumptions on the measure μ on an n -dimensional Finsler manifold (M, F) , the lower bound for the weighted

Ricci curvature is equivalent to the condition $\text{CD}(-(n-1)\kappa^2, n)$ for some $\kappa > 0$, see Ohta [27]. Moreover, when μ is the Busemann-Hausdorff measure, the local density assumption $(\mathbf{D})_{x_0}^n$ holds for every $x_0 \in M$, see Shen [36], and Kristály and Ohta [18]. The equality in (1.11) implies certain (radial) curvature rigidity and isometry between $B_r(x_0)$ and B_r^κ , see Cheng [8, Theorem 1.1]. The above-sketched consequences of Theorem 1.2 complement in several aspects the results concerning the first eigenvalue problem on compact Riemannian/Finsler manifolds developed by Ge and Shen [13], Lott [22], Shen, Yuan and Zhao [37], Wang and Xia [40], and Wu and Xin [41].

An unexpected byproduct of Theorem 1.2 is the following result in the Finsler setting, which is in a sharp contrast with the Riemannian McKean's lower estimate (1.3).

Theorem 1.3. *For every integer $n \geq 2$ there is a non-compact, forward complete, simply connected n -dimensional Finsler manifold (M, F, μ) endowed with its Busemann-Hausdorff measure μ and having constant negative flag curvature such that*

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(M) = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

where d_F is the induced distance function on (M, F) .

Remark 1.3. One of the simplest Finsler structures fulfilling the thesis of Theorem 1.3 is provided by the n -dimensional Euclidean open unit ball B^n ($n \geq 2$) endowed with the Funk metric F and its Busemann-Hausdorff measure μ , see Section 5. We note that (B^n, F, μ) is a non-reversible Finsler manifold with constant flag curvature $-\frac{1}{4}$, having also negative weighted N -Ricci curvature for every $N \in [n, \infty]$. Beside the direct consequence of Theorem 1.2, we present two further independent proofs for (1.12).

In Section 2 we recall those notations and results which are indispensable in our study, as basic properties of the hyperbolic spaces and Gaussian hypergeometric function, a useful change-of-variable formula on metric measure spaces, and some elements from Finsler geometry. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Hyperbolic spaces. Let $\kappa > 0$. For the n -dimensional hyperbolic space we use the Poincaré ball model $\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ endowed with the Riemannian metric

$$g_h(x) = (g_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = p_\kappa^2(x)\delta_{ij},$$

where $p_\kappa(x) = \frac{2}{\kappa(1-|x|^2)}$. $(\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n, g_h)$ is a Cartan-Hadamard manifold with constant sectional curvature $-\kappa^2$; the canonical volume form, hyperbolic gradient and hyperbolic Laplacian operator are

$$dv_{g_h}(x) = p_\kappa^n(x)dx, \quad \nabla_{g_h} u = \frac{\nabla u}{p_\kappa^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{g_h} u = p_\kappa^{-n} \text{div}(p_\kappa^{n-2} \nabla u), \quad (2.1)$$

respectively, where ∇ and div denote the Euclidean gradient and divergence operator in \mathbb{R}^n . The hyperbolic distance is denoted by d_h ; the distance between the origin and $x \in \mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$ is given by

$$d_h(0, x) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left(\frac{1 + |x|}{1 - |x|} \right).$$

The volume of the geodesic ball $B_r^\kappa = \{x \in \mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n : d_h(0, x) < r\}$ is

$$V_r^\kappa = n\omega_n \int_0^r \left(\frac{\sinh(\kappa\rho)}{\kappa} \right)^{n-1} d\rho.$$

When $\kappa = 1$, we simply use the notation B_r and \mathbb{H}^n instead of B_r^κ and $\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n$, respectively.

2.2. Gaussian hypergeometric function. For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ ($c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$) we recall the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by

$$\mathbf{F}(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

on the disc $|z| < 1$ and extended by analytic continuation elsewhere, where $(a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)}$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol; the corresponding differential equation to $z \mapsto \mathbf{F}(a, b; c; z)$ is

$$z(1-z)w''(z) + (c - (a+b+1)z)w'(z) - abw(z) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

see e.g. Olver, Lozier, Boisvert and Clark [30, §15.10]. We also recall the differentiation formula

$$\frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{F}(a, b; c; z) = \frac{ab}{c} \mathbf{F}(a+1, b+1; c+1; z), \quad (2.3)$$

see [30, §15.5].

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $C > 0$ be fixed, and consider the second-order ordinary differential equation

$$\left(\frac{\rho^{n-1}}{(1-\rho^2)^{n-2}} f'(\rho) \right)' + C \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{(1-\rho^2)^n} f(\rho) = 0, \quad \rho \in [0, 1], \quad (2.4)$$

subject to the boundary condition $f(0) = 1$. The following result will be crucial in our investigations.

Proposition 2.1. *The differential equation (2.4) is oscillatory (i.e., its solutions have an infinite number of zeros) if and only if $C > (n-1)^2$.*

Proof. First, we transform (2.4) into certain oscillation-preserving equivalent forms which will be useful in the proof. Let $t = \frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho^2}$ and consider the function $w(t) = f(\rho)$; then (2.4) is transformed into

$$(p(t)w'(t))' + q(t)w(t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $p(t) = 4(t(t+1))^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $q(t) = C(t(t+1))^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. Expanding (2.5), we equivalently obtain

$$t(t+1)w''(t) + n \left(t + \frac{1}{2} \right) w'(t) + \frac{C}{4} w(t) = 0, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

The trivial change of variables $t = -z$ in (2.6) leads to a differential equation of the form (2.2). Therefore, the non-singular solution of (2.6) (since $w(0) = 1$) is given by

$$w(t) = \mathbf{F} \left(\frac{n-1 + \sqrt{(n-1)^2 - C}}{2}, \frac{n-1 - \sqrt{(n-1)^2 - C}}{2}; \frac{n}{2}; -t \right), \quad t > 0,$$

thus

$$f(\rho) = \mathbf{F} \left(\frac{n-1 + \sqrt{(n-1)^2 - C}}{2}, \frac{n-1 - \sqrt{(n-1)^2 - C}}{2}; \frac{n}{2}; \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2 - 1} \right), \quad \rho \in [0, 1], \quad (2.7)$$

represents the solution of (2.4) with $f(0) = 1$. We now distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1: $C > (n-1)^2$. Since $\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(t)} dt < \infty$ for every $\alpha > 0$, we may apply Sugie, Kita and Yamaoka [39, Theorem 3.1] (see also Hille [15]), i.e., if

$$p(t)q(t) \left(\int_t^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d\tau \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for } t \gg 1,$$

then (2.5) is oscillatory. The latter requirement trivially holds since $C > (n-1)^2$; thus (2.4) is also oscillatory.

Case 2: $C \leq (n-1)^2$. By (2.7) and the connection formula (15.10.11) of [30], one has for every $\rho \in [0, 1)$ that

$$f(\rho) = (1 - \rho^2)^{\frac{n-1+\sqrt{(n-1)^2-C}}{2}} \mathbf{F} \left(\frac{n-1+\sqrt{(n-1)^2-C}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{(n-1)^2-C}}{2}; \frac{n}{2}; \rho^2 \right) > 0,$$

thus (2.4) is non-oscillatory. \square

2.3. Change-of-variables formula. Let (M, d, μ) be a (quasi)metric measure space, i.e., (M, d) is a complete separable (quasi)metric space and μ is a locally finite measure on M endowed with its Borel σ -algebra. We assume that the measure μ on M is strictly positive, i.e., $\text{supp}[\mu] = M$. Let $B_\rho(x) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) < \rho\}$.

A useful change-of-variables formula on (M, d, μ) reads as follows.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $r > 0$ and $f : (0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-increasing function such that $f(r) = 0$, (M, d, μ) be a (quasi)metric measure space, and assume the hypothesis $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n, \kappa}$ holds for some $x_0 \in M$, $\kappa > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n \geq 2$). Then*

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} f(d(x_0, x)) d\mu(x) = \int_0^r \mathbf{A}_\rho^\mu(x_0) f(\rho) d\rho.$$

Proof. By hypothesis $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n, \kappa}$ and Gromov's monotonicity result, see e.g. Cheeger, Gromov and Taylor [7, p. 42], it follows that $\rho \mapsto \frac{\mu(B_\rho(x_0))}{V_\rho^\kappa}$ is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$; in particular, $\rho \mapsto \mu(B_\rho(x_0))$ is differentiable a.e. on $[0, \infty)$. Let $l_0 = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} f(\rho)$. By the layer cake representation and the facts that $f : (0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-increasing and $f(r) = 0$, an integration by parts provides

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f(d(x_0, x)) d\mu(x) &= \int_0^{l_0} \mu(\{x \in B_r(x_0) : f(d(x_0, x)) > t\}) dt \\ &= \int_r^0 \mu(B_\rho(x_0)) f'(\rho) d\rho \quad [\text{change of variables } t = f(\rho)] \\ &= \int_0^r \frac{d}{d\rho} \mu(B_\rho(x_0)) f(\rho) d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

as we intended to prove. \square

2.4. Finsler manifolds. Let M be a connected n -dimensional smooth manifold and $TM = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$ be its tangent bundle. The pair (M, F) is called a *Finsler manifold* if the continuous function $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies the conditions:

- (a) $F \in C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\})$;
- (b) $F(x, ty) = tF(x, y)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $(x, y) \in TM$;
- (c) $g_v = [g_{ij}(v)] := [\frac{1}{2}F^2(x, y)]_{y^i y^j}$ is positive definite for all $v = (x, y) \in TM \setminus \{0\}$,

see Bao, Chern and Shen [3]. If $F(x, ty) = |t|F(x, y)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x, y) \in TM$, then (M, F) is called reversible. If $g_{ij}(x) = g_{ij}(x, y)$ is independent of y then $(M, F) = (M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold.

For every $(x, \alpha) \in T^*M$, the *co-metric* (or, polar transform) of F is defined by

$$F^*(x, \alpha) = \sup_{v \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\alpha(v)}{F(x, v)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Unlike the Levi-Civita connection in the Riemannian case, there is no unique natural connection in the Finsler geometry. Among these connections on the pull-back bundle π^*TM , we choose a torsion free and almost metric-compatible linear connection on π^*TM , the so-called *Chern connection*, see Bao, Chern and Shen [3, Theorem 2.4.1]. The Chern connection induces on π^*TM the *curvature tensor* R . The Finsler manifold is *forward* (resp. *backward*) *complete* if every geodesic segment $\sigma : [0, a] \rightarrow M$ can be extended to $[0, \infty)$ (resp. $(-\infty, 0]$).

Let $\sigma : [0, r] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve. The value $L_F(\sigma) = \int_0^r F(\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}(t)) dt$ denotes the *integral length* of σ . For $x_1, x_2 \in M$, denote by $\Lambda(x_1, x_2)$ the set of all piecewise C^∞ curves $\sigma : [0, r] \rightarrow M$ such that $\sigma(0) = x_1$ and $\sigma(r) = x_2$. Define the *distance function* $d_F : M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$d_F(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\sigma \in \Lambda(x_1, x_2)} L_F(\sigma). \quad (2.9)$$

If $u \in C^1(M)$, on account of (1.1) we have

$$|\nabla u|_{d_F}(x) = F^*(x, Du(x)), \quad x \in M. \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, if $x_0 \in M$ is fixed, then we have the *eikonal equation*

$$F^*(x, Dd_F(x_0, x)) = 1 \text{ for a.e. } x \in M. \quad (2.11)$$

Let $\{\partial/\partial x^i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be a local basis for the tangent bundle TM , and $\{dx^i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be its dual basis for T^*M . Consider $\tilde{B}_x(1) = \{y = (y^i) : F(x, y^i \partial/\partial x^i) < 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The *Busemann-Hausdorff volume form* is defined by

$$dv_F(x) = \sigma_F(x) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\sigma_F(x) = \frac{\omega_n}{|\tilde{B}_x(1)|}$.

The *Legendre transform* $J^* : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ associates to each element $\xi \in T_x^*M$ the unique maximizer on T_xM of the map $y \mapsto \xi(y) - \frac{1}{2}F(x, y)^2$. The *gradient* of u is defined by $\nabla_F u(x) = J^*(x, Du(x))$. The *Finsler-Laplace operator* is given by

$$\Delta_F u = \operatorname{div}_F(\nabla_F u),$$

where $\operatorname{div}_F(X) = \frac{1}{\sigma_F} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(\sigma_F X^i)$ for some vector field X on M , and σ_F comes from (2.12).

Let $u, v \in T_xM$ be two non-collinear vectors and $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{span}\{u, v\} \subset T_xM$. By means of the curvature tensor R , the *flag curvature* associated with the flag $\{\mathcal{S}, v\}$ is

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{S}; v) = \frac{g_v(R(U, V)V, U)}{g_v(V, V)g_v(U, U) - g_v^2(U, V)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $U = (v; u), V = (v; v) \in \pi^*TM$. If (M, F) is Riemannian, the flag curvature reduces to the sectional curvature which depends only on \mathcal{S} .

Take $v \in T_xM$ with $F(x, v) = 1$ and let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with $e_n = v$ be an orthonormal basis of (T_xM, g_v) for g_v . Let $\mathcal{S}_i = \operatorname{span}\{e_i, v\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then the *Ricci curvature* of v is defined by $\operatorname{Ric}(v) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{S}_i; v)$.

Let μ be a positive smooth measure on (M, F) . Given $v \in T_xM \setminus \{0\}$, let $\sigma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be the geodesic with $\dot{\sigma}(0) = v$ and decompose μ along σ as $\mu = e^{-\psi} \operatorname{vol}_{\dot{\sigma}}$, where $\operatorname{vol}_{\dot{\sigma}}$ denotes the volume form of the Riemannian structure $g_{\dot{\sigma}}$. For $N \in [n, \infty]$, the *weighted N -Ricci curvature* Ric_N is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ric}_N(v) := \operatorname{Ric}(v) + (\psi \circ \sigma)''(0) - \frac{(\psi \circ \sigma)'(0)^2}{N - n}, \quad (2.14)$$

where the third term is understood as 0 if $N = \infty$ or if $N = n$ with $(\psi \circ \sigma)'(0) = 0$, and as $-\infty$ if $N = n$ with $(\psi \circ \sigma)'(0) \neq 0$, see Ohta [27]. The quantity $S(v) = (\psi \circ \sigma)'(0)$ denotes the *S-curvature*

at $v = \dot{\sigma}(0)$. In particular, if μ is the Busemann-Hausdorff measure from (2.12), then the S -curvature vanishes on any Berwald space (including e.g. Riemannian manifolds), see Shen [36].

It was shown by Ohta [27, Theorem 1.2] that $\text{Ric}_N \geq K$ is equivalent to the famous curvature-dimension condition $\text{CD}(K, N)$ on (M, d_F, μ) of Lott and Villani [23] and Sturm [38]; for completeness, we recall its definition.

Let us consider the distortion coefficients

$$\tau_s^{K,N}(\theta) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } K\theta^2 \geq (N-1)\pi^2; \\ s^{\frac{1}{N}} \left(\sin \left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{N-1}} s\theta \right) / \sin \left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{N-1}} \theta \right) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{N}}, & \text{if } 0 < K\theta^2 < (N-1)\pi^2; \\ s, & \text{if } K\theta^2 = 0; \\ s^{\frac{1}{N}} \left(\sinh \left(\sqrt{-\frac{K}{N-1}} s\theta \right) / \sinh \left(\sqrt{-\frac{K}{N-1}} \theta \right) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{N}}, & \text{if } K\theta^2 < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$. Let (M, d, \mathbf{m}) be a metric measure space, $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 1$ be fixed, $\mathcal{P}_2(M, d)$ be the usual Wasserstein space, and $\text{Ent}_{N'}(\cdot | \mathbf{m}) : \mathcal{P}_2(M, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Rényi entropy functional given by

$$\text{Ent}_{N'}(\mu | \mathbf{m}) = - \int_M \rho^{1-\frac{1}{N'}} \mathbf{m},$$

where ρ is the density function of μ w.r.t. \mathbf{m} , and $N' \geq N$. The metric measure space (M, d, \mathbf{m}) satisfies the *curvature-dimension condition* $\text{CD}(K, N)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 1$ if and only if for every $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(M, d)$ there exists an optimal coupling q of $\mu_0 = \rho_0 \mathbf{m}$ and $\mu_1 = \rho_1 \mathbf{m}$ and a geodesic $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(M, d)$ joining μ_0 and μ_1 such that for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and $N' \geq N$,

$$\text{Ent}_{N'}(\Gamma(s) | \mathbf{m}) \leq - \int_{M \times M} \left[\tau_{1-s}^{K,N'}(d(x_0, x_1)) \rho_0(x_0)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} + \tau_s^{K,N'}(d(x_0, x_1)) \rho_1(x_1)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} \right] dq(x_0, x_1).$$

Besides the papers of Lott and Villani [23], Sturm [38], we refer the reader to Ohta [27] (for the Finsler setting) and Juillet [17] (for Heisenberg groups), respectively.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By a density reason we have for every $r > 0$ that

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(B_r^\kappa) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{B_r^\kappa} |\nabla_{g_h} u|_{g_h}^2 dv_{g_h}}{\int_{B_r^\kappa} u^2 dv_{g_h}},$$

where $H_0^1(B_r^\kappa)$ is the usual Sobolev space over the Riemannian manifold (B_r^κ, g_h) , see Hebey [14]. Standard arguments from calculus of variations – based on the compactness of the embedding $H_0^1(B_r^\kappa) \hookrightarrow L^2(B_r^\kappa)$ and the convexity (thus, the sequentially weakly lower semicontinuity) of $u \mapsto \int_{B_r^\kappa} |\nabla_{g_h} u|_{g_h}^2 dv_{g_h}$ on $H_0^1(B_r^\kappa)$, – imply the existence of a minimizer for $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$. The minimizer for $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ can be assumed to be positive; moreover, a convexity reason shows that it is unique up to constant multiplication. Let $w^* : B_r^\kappa \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the positive minimizer for $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$. Moreover, one can deduce by a Pólya-Szegő-type inequality on $(\mathbb{H}_{-\kappa^2}^n, g_h)$ (see Baernstein [2]) that w^* is radially symmetric. In particular, by standard regularity and Euler-Lagrange equation, if $w^*(x) = f(|x|)$ with $f : [0, \tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2})) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ smooth enough, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\rho^{n-1}}{(1-\rho^2)^{n-2}} f'(\rho) \right)' + \frac{4\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)}{\kappa^2} \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{(1-\rho^2)^n} f(\rho) = 0, \quad \rho \in \left[0, \tanh\left(\frac{\kappa r}{2}\right) \right), \quad (3.1)$$

subject to the boundary condition $f(\tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2})) = 0$; the latter relation comes from the fact that w^* vanishes on ∂B_r^κ . Since $\tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2}) < 1$, in order to fulfill the boundary condition, we need to guarantee the oscillatory behaviour of (3.1). Due to Proposition 2.1, the latter statement is equivalent to $\frac{4\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)}{\kappa^2} > (n-1)^2$, which perfectly agrees with McKean's estimate (1.3). Let

$$\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa := \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)}{\kappa^2} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}} > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By (2.7), it turns out that the solution of (3.1) can be written into the form

$$f(\rho) = \mathbf{F}\left(\frac{n-1}{2} + i\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa, \frac{n-1}{2} - i\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa; \frac{n}{2}; \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2-1}\right), \quad \rho \in \left[0, \tanh\left(\frac{\kappa r}{2}\right)\right]. \quad (3.3)$$

The boundary condition $f(\tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2})) = 0$ implies that $\rho = \tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2})$ is the first positive zero of (3.1); therefore, the value of $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$ is obtained as the smallest positive solution of

$$\mathbf{F}\left(\frac{n-1}{2} + i\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa, \frac{n-1}{2} - i\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa; \frac{n}{2}; -\sinh^2\left(\frac{\kappa r}{2}\right)\right) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa$ is given in (3.2). Having the (theoretical) value of $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$, it turns out that

$$w^*(x) = f(|x|) = f\left(\tanh\left(\frac{\kappa d_h(0,x)}{2}\right)\right), \quad x \in B_r^\kappa. \quad (3.5)$$

By construction, $f(0) = 1$ and $f(\rho) > 0$ for every $\rho \in [0, \tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2})]$; moreover, a simple monotonicity reasoning based on (3.1) shows that $\rho \mapsto f(\rho)$ is decreasing on $[0, \tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2})]$.

Remark 3.1. With the above notations, the form of the hyperbolic Laplacian in (2.1) shows that equation (3.1) corresponds precisely to the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{g_h} w^* = \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) w^* & \text{in } B_r^\kappa, \\ w^* = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r^\kappa. \end{cases}$$

In the rest of this section we consider $\kappa = 1$, the general case easily following by a scaling argument; we will use the notation $\alpha_{n,r}$ instead of $\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa$.

We now prove Theorem 1.1 by splitting our argument according to the parity of dimension.

3.1. Odd-dimensional case. This part is also divided into two sub-cases.

3.1.1. The case $n = 3$. First of all, we claim that for every $\gamma > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$, one has the identity

$$\mathbf{F}\left(1 + i\gamma, 1 - i\gamma; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2-1}\right) = \frac{1-\rho^2}{2\gamma\rho} \sin(2\gamma \tanh^{-1}(\rho)). \quad (3.6)$$

To verify (3.6), we look for the solution of (2.4) in the form $f(\rho) = c_0 \frac{1-\rho^2}{\rho} s(\rho)$ for some $c_0 > 0$ whenever $n = 3$ and $C = 4(\gamma^2 + 1) > 4$. Thus, a simple computation transforms (2.4) into the equation

$$\rho s''(\rho) - \frac{2\rho^2}{1-\rho^2} s'(\rho) + 4\gamma^2 \frac{\rho}{(1-\rho^2)^2} s(\rho) = 0, \quad \rho \in (0, 1),$$

with the boundary condition $s(0) = 0$. Now, if $\rho = \tanh(t)$ and $s(\rho) = w(t)$, the latter equation is transformed into

$$w''(t) + 4\gamma^2 w(t) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

with the boundary condition $w(0) = 0$; thus $w(t) = \sin(2\gamma t)$. Now, relation (3.6) follows by (2.7) and the fact that $f(0) = 1$, thus $c_0 = \frac{1}{2\gamma}$.

Let us choose $\gamma := \alpha_{3,r} = \sqrt{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) - 1}$ and $\rho := \tanh(\frac{r}{2})$ in (3.6). Due to (3.4), the value $\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r)$ is precisely the smallest positive solution of $\sin(r\sqrt{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) - 1}) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) = 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{r^2}.$$

3.1.2. *The case $n = 2l + 1 \geq 5$.* The identity (3.6) can be equivalently written into the form

$$\mathbf{F}\left(1 + i\gamma, 1 - i\gamma; \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) = \frac{\sin(\gamma x)}{\gamma \sinh(x)}, \quad \gamma, x > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

For every $\gamma, x > 0$, let us introduce the functions

$$S_1(\gamma, x) = \frac{\sin(\gamma x)}{\gamma \sinh(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad S_k(\gamma, x) = \frac{\frac{\partial S_{k-1}}{\partial x}(\gamma, x)}{\sinh(x)}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

By applying inductively the differentiation formula (2.3), we obtain for every $\gamma, x > 0$ and integer $k \geq 1$ that

$$\mathbf{F}\left(k + i\gamma, k - i\gamma; \frac{2k+1}{2}; -\sinh^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (j^2 + \gamma^2)} S_k(\gamma, x), \quad (3.8)$$

where by convention the denominator at the right hand side is 1 for $k = 1$. According to (3.2), (3.4) and (3.8), for $n = 2l + 1$, we have

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + \alpha^2, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(r, l)$ is the smallest positive solution to the transcendental equation $S_l(\alpha, r) = 0$. Although no explicit solution α can be provided to the latter equation, one can prove first that

$$\alpha \sim \frac{\pi}{r} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Before to do this, let us observe that by the estimate (1.8) and (3.9), one has $0 < \alpha r \leq j_{l-\frac{1}{2},1}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$; thus, we may assume that $\alpha r \rightarrow \Phi$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\Phi \in (0, j_{l-\frac{1}{2},1}]$. In particular, $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We are going to prove that $\Phi = \pi$, which completes (3.10).

As a model situation, let us consider some lower-dimensional cases. When $n = 5$ (thus $l = 2$), the equation $S_2(\alpha, r) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\alpha \cos(\alpha r) \tanh(r) - \sin(\alpha r) = 0; \quad (3.11)$$

taking the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\sin(\Phi) = 0$, i.e., $\Phi = \pi$, due to the minimality property of $\Phi > 0$. When $n = 7$ (thus $l = 3$), the equation $S_3(\alpha, r) = 0$ is equivalent to $3\alpha \cos(\alpha r) \tanh(r) + \sin(\alpha r)[(\alpha^2 + 1) \tanh^2(r) - 3] = 0$. A similar limiting reasoning as above gives $\sin(\Phi) = 0$, thus $\Phi = \pi$.

In higher-dimensional cases the equation $S_l(\alpha, r) = 0$ becomes more and more involved. In order to handle this generic case, let us observe that $\sinh(r) \sim e^r/2$ and $\cosh(r) \sim e^r/2$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and for every smooth function $\Psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one has a stability property of differentiation with respect to approximation of hyperbolic functions, i.e.,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\Psi(x)}{\sinh(x)} \right|_{x=r} \sim 2(\Psi'(r) - \Psi(r))e^{-r} = 2 \left. \frac{d}{dx} (\Psi(x)e^{-x}) \right|_{x=r} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Accordingly, in order to establish the asymptotic behavior of α with respect to r when $r \rightarrow \infty$, we may consider instead of $S_l(\alpha, r) = 0$ the approximation equation $\tilde{S}_l(\alpha, r) = 0$, where

$$\tilde{S}_1(\gamma, x) = \sin(\gamma x)e^{-x} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}_k(\gamma, x) = \frac{\partial \tilde{S}_{k-1}}{\partial x}(\gamma, x)e^{-x}, \quad k \geq 2, \quad \gamma, x > 0.$$

By induction, one can easily prove that

$$\tilde{S}_k(\gamma, x) = (P_k(\gamma) \cos(\gamma x) + Q_k(\gamma) \sin(\gamma x))e^{-kx}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \gamma, x > 0,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} P_{k+1}(\gamma) &= \gamma Q_k(\gamma) - kP_k(\gamma), \\ Q_{k+1}(\gamma) &= -\gamma P_k(\gamma) - kQ_k(\gamma), \end{cases} \quad k \geq 1, \quad \gamma \geq 0, \quad (3.12)$$

and $P_1 \equiv 0$, $Q_1 \equiv 1$. We observe that $P_k(0) = 0 \neq Q_k(0)$ for every $k \geq 1$. Now, by limiting in $\tilde{S}_l(\alpha, r) = 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and taking into account that $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, it turns out that $\sin(\Phi) = 0$, i.e., $\Phi = \pi$, which concludes the proof of (3.10).

Let $n = 2l + 1$ with $l \geq 2$. We prove that

$$\alpha \sim \frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{c_l}{r^2} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.13)$$

for some $c_l \in \mathbb{R}$ which will be determined in the sequel. Plugging the latter form of α into the approximation equation $\tilde{S}_l(\alpha, r) = 0$, one has approximately that

$$P_l\left(\frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{c_l}{r^2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{c_l}{r}\right) + Q_l\left(\frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{c_l}{r^2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{c_l}{r}\right) = 0.$$

Multiplying the latter relation by $r > 0$, letting $z = 1/r$ and taking the limit when $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $c_l = -\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_l(\pi z)}{zQ_l(\pi z)}$. Since $P_l(0) = 0 \neq Q_l(0)$, the Taylor expansion of P_l and Q_l gives that $c_l = -\frac{P'_l(0)}{Q_l(0)}\pi$. By the second relation of (3.12), we directly obtain $Q_l(0) = (-1)^{l-1}(l-1)!$, while from the first relation we deduce the recurrence $P'_{k+1}(0) = Q_k(0) - kP'_k(0)$, $k \geq 1$. A simple reasoning implies that $P'_l(0) = (-1)^l(l-1)!(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{l-1})$. Consequently, (3.13) follows since

$$c_l = \pi \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{l-1}\right), \quad l \geq 2.$$

3.2. Even-dimensional case. Up to some technical differences, the structure of the proof is the same as in the odd-dimensional case. First of all, one has for every $\gamma, x > 0$ that

$$\mathbf{F}\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma, \frac{1}{2} - i\gamma; 1; -\sinh^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) = \mathbf{P}_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma}(\cosh(x)), \quad (3.14)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma}$ denotes the spherical Legendre function, see Robin [33], Zhurina and Karmazina [43]. For every $\gamma, x > 0$, we consider the functions

$$\bar{S}_1(\gamma, x) = \mathbf{P}_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma}(\cosh(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S}_k(\gamma, x) = \frac{\partial \bar{S}_{k-1}(\gamma, x)}{\partial x}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

By the differentiation formula (2.3) and (3.14) we have for every $\gamma, x > 0$ and integer $k \geq 1$ that

$$\mathbf{F}\left(\frac{2k-1}{2} + i\gamma, \frac{2k-1}{2} - i\gamma; k; -\sinh^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{(2j-1)^2}{4} + \gamma^2\right)} \bar{S}_k(\gamma, x), \quad (3.15)$$

where by convention the denominator at the right hand side is 1 for $k = 1$.

Let $n = 2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to (3.4) and (3.15), we have

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + \alpha^2, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(r, l)$ is the smallest positive solution to the equation $\bar{S}_l(\alpha, r) = 0$. As in the odd-dimensional case, we may assume that $\alpha r \rightarrow \Phi$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\Phi > 0$; we are going to prove first that

$$\alpha \sim \frac{\pi}{r} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.17)$$

By the integral representation of $\mathbf{P}_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma}$ (see Robin [33]) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_1(\gamma, x) &= \mathbf{P}_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma}(\cosh(x)) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \coth(\gamma\pi) \int_x^\infty \frac{\sin(\gamma z)}{\sqrt{\cosh(z) - \cosh(x)}} dz \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \coth(\gamma\pi) \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(\gamma(x+y))}{\sqrt{\cosh(x+y) - \cosh(x)}} dy \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \coth(\gamma\pi) \left[\cos(\gamma x) \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(\gamma y)}{\sqrt{\cosh(x+y) - \cosh(x)}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin(\gamma x) \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(\gamma y)}{\sqrt{\cosh(x+y) - \cosh(x)}} dy \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\cosh(x+y) \sim \frac{e^{x+y}}{2}$ and $\cosh(x) \sim \frac{e^x}{2}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, it turns out that

$$\bar{S}_1(\gamma, x) \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \coth(\gamma\pi) [p_1(\gamma) \cos(\gamma x) + q_1(\gamma) \sin(\gamma x)] e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$p_1(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(\gamma y)}{\sqrt{e^y - 1}} dy \quad \text{and} \quad q_1(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(\gamma y)}{\sqrt{e^y - 1}} dy,$$

see also Robin [33, p. 55]. Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} p_1(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} q_1(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^y - 1}} dy = \pi. \quad (3.19)$$

By the stability property of differentiation with respect to the approximation of hyperbolic functions, the solution $\alpha > 0$ of $\bar{S}_l(\alpha, r) = 0$ will be approximated by the smallest positive root α of the equation $S_l^\#(\alpha, r) = 0$, where

$$S_1^\#(\gamma, x) = [p_1(\gamma) \cos(\gamma x) + q_1(\gamma) \sin(\gamma x)] e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad S_k^\#(\gamma, x) = \frac{\partial S_{k-1}^\#}{\partial x}(\gamma, x) e^{-x},$$

for every $k \geq 2$, $\gamma, x > 0$. Accordingly, $S_l^\#(\alpha, r) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$p_l(\alpha) \cos(\alpha r) + q_l(\alpha) \sin(\alpha r) = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} p_{k+1}(\gamma) &= \gamma q_k(\gamma) + (\frac{1}{2} - k)p_k(\gamma), \\ q_{k+1}(\gamma) &= -\gamma p_k(\gamma) + (\frac{1}{2} - k)q_k(\gamma), \end{cases} \quad k \geq 1, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (3.21)$$

In particular, relations (3.21) and (3.19) imply that

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} p_k(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} q_k(\gamma) = (-1)^{k-1} \pi \frac{(2k-3)!!}{2^{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (3.22)$$

Taking the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$ (thus $\alpha \rightarrow 0$) in (3.20), the latter limits give that $\sin(\Phi) = 0$, i.e., $\Phi = \pi$, which concludes the proof of (3.17).

We now determine $c_l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha \sim \frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{c_l}{r^2} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.23)$$

By (3.20) one has approximately $p_l \left(\frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{c_l}{r^2} \right) \cos \left(\frac{c_l}{r} \right) + q_l \left(\frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{c_l}{r^2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{c_l}{r} \right) = 0$, thus

$$c_l = -\pi \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_l(z)}{z q_l(z)} = -\pi \frac{p'_l(0)}{\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} q_l(z)}.$$

The first relation of (3.21) implies the recurrence relation $p'_{k+1}(0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} q_k(z) - \frac{1}{2} p'_k(0)$, $k \geq 1$, with

$$p'_1(0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{y}{\sqrt{e^y - 1}} dy = 2\pi \ln 2.$$

Consequently, by (3.19) and (3.22), one has $c_1 = -2\pi \ln 2$, and

$$c_l = 2\pi \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2l-3} - \ln 2 \right), \quad l \geq 2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. (i) Let $n = 5$ (thus $l = 2$). The transcendental equation $S_2(\alpha, r) = 0$ is equivalent to (3.11). If $r \rightarrow 0$, a similar reasoning as above shows that $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha \sim \frac{\Phi}{r}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ for some $\Phi > 0$. Thus, taking the limit in $\alpha r \cos(\alpha r) \frac{\tanh(r)}{r} - \sin(\alpha r) = 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, it yields $\Phi \cos(\Phi) - \sin(\Phi) = 0$, which is equivalent to $J_{\frac{3}{2}}(\Phi) = 0$. Since $\Phi > 0$ is minimal with the latter property, it follows that $\Phi = j_{\frac{3}{2},1}$. Now, for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\alpha^2 \sim \frac{\Phi^2}{r^2} + c_0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Again by (3.11) and using the fact that $\tan(\Phi) = \Phi$, a Taylor expansion implies that $c_0 = -\frac{2}{3}$. Thus, (3.9) provides

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r) = 4 + \alpha^2 \sim 4 + \frac{\Phi^2}{r^2} + c_0 = \frac{j_{\frac{3}{2},1}^2}{r^2} + \frac{10}{3} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

which is exactly (1.6) for $n = 5$. A similar argument applies in higher odd-dimensions as well.

(ii) On the right hand side of relations (3.13) and (3.23) the exponent 2 cannot be replaced by any other number $s \in \mathbb{R}$; if $s < 2$ then $c_l = 0$, while if $s > 2$ then $|c_l| = \infty$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let $\kappa, r > 0$ and the integer $n \geq 2$ be fixed. By the proof of Theorem 1.1 we recall that

$$\int_{B_r^\kappa} |\nabla_{g_h} w^*|_{g_h}^2 dv_{g_h} = \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \int_{B_r^\kappa} (w^*)^2 dv_{g_h},$$

where w^* is from (3.5). By the latter relation and the differentiation formula (2.3) one has the identity

$$\int_{B_r^\kappa} R_{n+2}^2(d_h(0, x)) \sinh^2(\kappa d_h(0, x)) dv_{g_h}(x) = \frac{\kappa^2 n^2}{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)} \int_{B_r^\kappa} R_n^2(d_h(0, x)) dv_{g_h}(x), \quad (4.1)$$

the function $R_\theta : [0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being defined by

$$R_\theta(\rho) = \mathbf{F} \left(\frac{\theta - 1}{2} + i\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa, \frac{\theta - 1}{2} - i\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa; \frac{\theta}{2}; -\sinh^2 \left(\frac{\kappa \rho}{2} \right) \right), \quad \theta, \rho > 0,$$

where $\alpha_{n,r}^\kappa$ is given in (3.2).

In the sequel, we summarize those properties of R_θ which will play crucial roles in our proof.

Proposition 4.1. *The following properties hold:*

- (i) $\rho \mapsto R_n(\rho)$ is positive and decreasing on $[0, r)$ with $R_n(0) = 1$ and $R_n(r) = 0$;
- (ii) $\rho \mapsto R_{n+2}(\rho)$ is positive on $[0, r]$;
- (iii) $\rho \mapsto \frac{R_n(\rho)}{R_{n+2}(\rho) \sinh(\kappa\rho)}$ is decreasing on $(0, r]$.

Proof. (i) We notice that $R_n(\rho) = f(\tanh(\kappa\rho/2))$, where f is from (3.3). Thus $R_n(0) = 1$ and since $\rho = r$ is the first positive solution to the equation $R_n(\rho) = 0$, see (3.3) and (3.4), the function $\rho \mapsto R_n(\rho)$ is positive on $[0, r)$. Moreover, by (3.1) one can easily see that f is decreasing on $[0, \tanh(\frac{\kappa r}{2}))$, so is R_n on $[0, r)$.

(ii) $R_{n+2}(0) = 1$ and the differentiation formula (2.3) yields

$$R_{n+2}(\rho) = -\frac{\kappa n}{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \sinh(\kappa\rho)} R_n'(\rho) > 0, \quad \rho \in (0, r].$$

(iii) By the continued fraction representation (15.7.5) of [30], it turns out that

$$\frac{R_n(\rho)}{R_{n+2}(\rho) \sinh(\kappa\rho)} = T(\coth(\kappa\rho)),$$

where

$$T(t) = x_0 t - \frac{y_1}{x_1 t - \frac{y_2}{x_2 t - \frac{y_3}{\ddots}}}, \quad t > 0,$$

with $x_l = \frac{n+2l}{2}$ and $y_l = \frac{1}{4} \left(l^2 + l(n-1) + \frac{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)}{\kappa^2} \right)$, $l \geq 0$. Since T is increasing and \coth decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, the proof is complete. \square

Due to Propositions 2.2 and 4.1/(i), it follows that

$$\int_{B_r^\kappa} R_n^2(d_h(0, x)) dv_{g_h}(x) = \int_0^r R_n^2(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) d\rho. \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, since $\rho \mapsto R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^2(\kappa\rho)$ is a BV -function, we can represent it as the difference of two decreasing functions q^1 and q^2 . Thus, one can apply Proposition 2.2 for the functions $\rho \mapsto q^i(\rho) - q^i(r)$ ($i = 1, 2$), obtaining that

$$\int_{B_r^\kappa} R_{n+2}^2(d_h(0, x)) \sinh^2(\kappa d_h(0, x)) dv_{g_h}(x) = \int_0^r R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^{n+1}(\kappa\rho) d\rho.$$

Accordingly, by (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain the identity

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \int_0^r R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^{n+1}(\kappa\rho) d\rho = \kappa^2 n^2 \int_0^r R_n^2(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) d\rho. \quad (4.3)$$

4.1. Proof of (1.11). Assume the contrary of (1.11), i.e., $\lambda_{1,d,\mu}(B_r(x_0)) > \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$. Taking $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, one has

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|_d^2 d\mu > (\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) + \delta_0) \int_{B_r(x_0)} u^2 d\mu, \quad \forall u \in \text{Lip}_0(B_r(x_0)). \quad (4.4)$$

Let

$$w(x) = f\left(\tanh\left(\frac{\kappa d(x_0, x)}{2}\right)\right) \equiv R_n(d(x_0, x)), \quad x \in B_r(x_0), \quad (4.5)$$

where f is from (3.3). Due to (3.4), one has $w(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \partial B_r(x_0)$. Moreover, by using elementary truncations, one can construct a sequence of nonnegative functions $w_k \in \text{Lip}_0(B_r(x_0))$

such that $\{w_k\}_k$ and $\{|\nabla w_k|_d\}_k$ converge pointwisely to w and $|\nabla w|_d$ in $B_r(x_0)$, respectively, and by the properness of (M, d) (i.e., every bounded and closed subset of M is compact), the support of both w_k and $|\nabla w_k|_d$ is the compact set $\overline{B_{r-\frac{1}{k}}(x_0)}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying (4.4) for w_k , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that w verifies

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla w|_d^2 d\mu \geq (\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) + \delta_0) \int_{B_r(x_0)} w^2 d\mu > \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \int_{B_r(x_0)} w^2 d\mu. \quad (4.6)$$

Relation (4.5), the non-smooth chain rule and the eikonal inequality $|\nabla d(x_0, \cdot)|_d(x) \leq 1$ for every $x \in M \setminus \{x_0\}$ imply that

$$|\nabla w|_d(x) = |R'_n(d(x_0, x))| \cdot |\nabla d(x_0, \cdot)|_d(x) \leq R_{n+2}(d(x_0, x)) \frac{\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \sinh(\kappa d(x_0, x))}{\kappa n}.$$

Therefore, a similar reasoning as in (4.1)-(4.3), Proposition 2.2 and (4.6) give that

$$\lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \int_0^r R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^2(\kappa \rho) A_\rho^\mu(x_0) d\rho > \kappa^2 n^2 \int_0^r R_n^2(\rho) A_\rho^\mu(x_0) d\rho. \quad (4.7)$$

For further use, let $\Psi : (0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous function defined by

$$\Psi(\rho) = 1 - \frac{\kappa^{n-1}}{n\omega_n} \frac{A_\rho^\mu(x_0)}{\sinh^{n-1}(\kappa \rho)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Hypothesis $(\mathbf{BG})_{x_0}^{n,\kappa}$ implies that Ψ is non-decreasing on $(0, r)$. Moreover, by the local density assumption $(\mathbf{D})_{x_0}^n$ it turns out that $\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \Psi(\rho) = 0$, thus Ψ is non-negative; accordingly, one has

$$A_\rho^\mu(x_0) \leq \frac{n\omega_n}{\kappa^{n-1}} \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa \rho), \quad \rho > 0.$$

In particular, the latter inequality shows that the terms in (4.7) are well defined.

For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the function $H : [0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$H(\rho) := \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^2(\kappa \rho) - \kappa^2 n^2 R_n^2(\rho).$$

With this notation the identity (4.3) and inequality (4.7) can be rewritten into the forms

$$\int_0^r H(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa \rho) d\rho = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^r H(\rho) A_\rho^\mu(x_0) d\rho > 0, \quad (4.9)$$

respectively. Since

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_n^2(\rho)}{R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^2(\kappa \rho)} = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{R_n^2(\rho)}{R_{n+2}^2(\rho) \sinh^2(\kappa \rho)} = 0,$$

Proposition 4.1/(iii) implies that the equation $H(\rho) = 0$ has a unique solution in $(0, r)$; let us denote by $\rho_0 \in (0, r)$ this element. The above arguments also show that

$$H(\rho) < 0, \quad \forall \rho \in [0, \rho_0) \quad \text{and} \quad H(\rho) > 0, \quad \forall \rho \in (\rho_0, r]. \quad (4.10)$$

Since $A_\rho^\mu(x_0) = \frac{n\omega_n}{\kappa^{n-1}} (1 - \Psi(\rho)) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa \rho)$, see (4.8), the two relations of (4.9) imply

$$\int_0^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa \rho) H(\rho) d\rho < 0. \quad (4.11)$$

By relations (4.11), (4.10), (4.9) and the monotonicity of Ψ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &> \int_0^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho = \int_0^{\rho_0} \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho + \int_{\rho_0}^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&\geq \Psi(\rho_0) \int_0^{\rho_0} \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho + \int_{\rho_0}^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&= -\Psi(\rho_0) \int_{\rho_0}^r \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho + \int_{\rho_0}^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&= \int_{\rho_0}^r [-\Psi(\rho_0) + \Psi(\rho)] \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, which implies the validity of the inequality (1.11).

4.2. Equality in (1.11). Assume we have equality in (1.11), i.e.,

$$\lambda_{1,d,\mu}(B_r(x_0)) = \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa).$$

In particular, the latter relation implies that

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla w|_d^2 d\mu \geq \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa) \int_{B_r(x_0)} w^2 d\mu,$$

where w is defined in (4.5). By a similar reasoning as in the previous part we arrive (instead of (4.11)) to the inequality

$$\int_0^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \leq 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Accordingly, a similar estimate as above – based on (4.12) – implies that

$$0 \geq \int_{\rho_0}^r [-\Psi(\rho_0) + \Psi(\rho)] \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \geq 0,$$

thus $\Psi(\rho) = \Psi(\rho_0)$ for every $\rho \in (\rho_0, r)$. Having this relation, we similarly have by (4.10) and the monotonicity of Ψ that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int_0^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho = \int_0^{\rho_0} \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho + \int_{\rho_0}^r \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&= \int_0^{\rho_0} \Psi(\rho) \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho + \Psi(\rho_0) \int_{\rho_0}^r \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&= \int_0^{\rho_0} [\Psi(\rho) - \Psi(\rho_0)] \sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho) H(\rho) d\rho \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\Psi(\rho) = \Psi(\rho_0)$ for every $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$. Summing up, we have $\Psi(\rho) = \Psi(\rho_0)$ for every $\rho \in (0, r)$. Since $\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \Psi(\rho) = 0$, it follows that $\Psi \equiv 0$ on $(0, r)$. By (4.8) it turns out that

$$\mu(B_\rho(x_0)) = \int_0^\rho A_t^\mu(x_0) dt = n\omega_n \int_0^\rho \left(\frac{\sinh(\kappa t)}{\kappa} \right)^{n-1} dt = V_\rho^\kappa, \quad \rho \in (0, r),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

For simplicity, let $M := B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ be the n -dimensional Euclidean unit ball, $n \geq 2$, and consider the *Funk metric* $F : B^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2)}}{1 - |x|^2} + \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{1 - |x|^2}, \quad x \in B^n, \quad y \in T_x B^n = \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.1)$$

Hereafter, $|\cdot|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the n -dimensional Euclidean norm and inner product. The pair (B^n, F) is a non-reversible Finsler manifold which falls into the class of Randers spaces, see Cheng and Shen [9] and Shen [35]. The co-metric of F is

$$F^*(x, y) = |y| - \langle x, y \rangle, \quad (x, y) \in B^n \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.2)$$

The distance function associated to F is

$$d_F(x_1, x_2) = \ln \frac{\sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|^2 - (|x_1|^2|x_2|^2 - \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle^2)} - \langle x_1, x_2 - x_1 \rangle}{\sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|^2 - (|x_1|^2|x_2|^2 - \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle^2)} - \langle x_2, x_2 - x_1 \rangle}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in B^n,$$

see Shen [35, p.141 and p.4]; in particular,

$$d_F(0, x) = -\ln(1 - |x|) \quad \text{and} \quad d_F(x, 0) = \ln(1 + |x|), \quad x \in B^n,$$

thus (B^n, d_F) is a quasimetric space. The Busemann-Hausdorff measure μ on (B^n, F) is given by

$$dv_F(x) = dx,$$

see Shen [35, Example 2.2.4]. The Finsler manifold (B^n, F, μ) is forward (but not backward) complete and it has constant negative flag curvature $\mathbf{K} = -\frac{1}{4}$, see Shen [35, Example 9.2.1]. Concerning the weighted Ricci curvature, it follows that (B^n, F, μ) has constant negative weighted Ricci curvature, namely, $\text{Ric}_N(v) = -\frac{n-1}{4} - \frac{(n+1)^2}{4(N-n)}$ for $N \in (n, \infty)$ and $\text{Ric}_\infty(v) = -\frac{n-1}{4}$. Furthermore, since the S -curvature is non-zero, given by $S(v) = \frac{n+1}{2}F(v)$, see Shen [35, Example 7.3.3], it follows by (2.14) that $\text{Ric}_n(v) = -\infty$ for every $v \in T_x B^n \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, (B^n, F, μ) does not satisfy the $\text{CD}(-(n-1)\kappa^2, n)$ condition for any $\kappa > 0$.

5.1. First proof of Theorem 1.3 (via Theorem 1.2). We observe that

$$\mu(B_\rho(0)) = \int_{B_\rho(0)} dv_F(x) = \int_{|x| < 1-e^{-\rho}} dx = \omega_n(1 - e^{-\rho})^n, \quad \rho > 0,$$

therefore,

$$A_\rho^\mu(0) = n\omega_n(1 - e^{-\rho})^{n-1}e^{-\rho}, \quad \rho > 0.$$

Thus, it is easy to prove that $(\mathbf{D})_0^n$ holds and the function $\rho \mapsto \frac{A_\rho^\mu(0)}{\sinh^{n-1}(\kappa\rho)}$ ($\rho > 0$) is decreasing for every $\kappa > 0$; thus $(\mathbf{BG})_0^{n,\kappa}$ holds for every $\kappa > 0$. By applying Theorem 1.2, it follows that

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B_r(0)) \leq \lambda_{1,d_h}(B_r^\kappa)$$

for every $r, \kappa > 0$. Taking $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ in the latter inequality, Savo's estimate (1.9) (or Theorem 1.1) yields that

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B^n) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B_r(0)) \leq 0,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

5.2. Second proof of Theorem 1.3 (direct estimate of the fundamental frequency). By (2.10) and definition (1.2), it turns out that

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B^n) = \inf_{u \in H_{0,F}^1(B^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{B^n} F^{*2}(x, Du(x)) dv_F(x)}{\int_{B^n} u^2(x) dv_F(x)}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $H_{0,F}^1(B^n)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(B^n)$ with respect to the (positively homogeneous) norm

$$\|u\|_F = \left(\int_{B^n} F^{*2}(x, Du(x)) dv_F(x) + \int_{B^n} u^2(x) dv_F(x) \right)^{1/2}, \quad (5.4)$$

see Ge and Shen [13], Ohta and Sturm [29].

For every $\alpha > 0$, let

$$u_\alpha(x) := -e^{-\alpha d_F(0,x)} = -(1 - |x|)^\alpha, \quad x \in B^n. \quad (5.5)$$

By (2.11) or by a direct computation we have $F^*(x, Du_\alpha(x)) = \alpha(1 - |x|)^\alpha$, thus

$$\int_{B^n} F^{*2}(x, Du_\alpha(x)) dv_F(x) = \alpha^2 \int_{B^n} (1 - |x|)^{2\alpha} dx = \alpha^2 n \omega_n \mathbf{B}(2\alpha + 1, n),$$

where \mathbf{B} denotes the Beta function. In a similar way, one has

$$\int_{B^n} u_\alpha^2(x) dv_F(x) = n \omega_n \mathbf{B}(2\alpha + 1, n).$$

Accordingly, $u_\alpha \in H_{0,F}^1(B^n)$ for every $\alpha > 0$; thus, the functions u_α can be used as test functions in (5.3), obtaining that

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B^n) \leq \inf_{\alpha > 0} \frac{\int_{B^n} F^{*2}(x, Du_\alpha(x)) dv_F(x)}{\int_{B^n} u_\alpha^2(x) dv_F(x)} = \inf_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^2 = 0,$$

which ends the proof.

5.3. Third proof of Theorem 1.3 (via the Finsler-Laplace operator). Finally, we provide a moral explanation of the fact that $\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B^n) = 0$ by using directly the Finsler-Laplace operator. More precisely, for every $0 < \rho < 1$, we consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_F w = \lambda_\rho w & \text{in } B_\rho^n, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_\rho^n, \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

where $B_\rho^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < \rho\}$ and $\lambda_\rho > 0$. Having in our mind the shape of the function in (5.5), we look for the eigenfunction in (5.6) in the form $w(x) = f(|x|)$ for some $f : [0, \rho] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ enough smooth, verifying also $f \leq 0$ and $f' \geq 0$ on $(0, \rho)$. If such a function $w := w_\rho$ is not zero, we clearly have that

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B_\rho^n) \leq \lambda_\rho. \quad (5.7)$$

One has

$$Dw(x) = f'(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

Moreover, due to (5.2), the Legendre transform is given by

$$J^*(x, y) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{2} F^{*2}(x, y) \right) = (|y| - \langle x, y \rangle) \left(\frac{y}{|y|} - x \right);$$

thus,

$$\nabla_F w(x) = J^*(x, Dw(x)) = f'(|x|)(1 - |x|)^2 \frac{x}{|x|}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

Accordingly, since $\sigma_F(x) = 1$ (due to $dv_F(x) = dx$), it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_F w(x) &= \operatorname{div}_F(\nabla_F w(x)) = \operatorname{div}(\nabla_F w(x)) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds}(f'(s)(1 - s)^2)|_{s=|x|} + f'(|x|)(1 - |x|)^2 \frac{n-1}{|x|}, \quad x \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The latter computations together with (5.6) give the second order ODE

$$(f'(s)(1 - s)^2)' + f'(s)(1 - s)^2 \frac{n-1}{s} + \lambda_\rho f(s) = 0, \quad s \in (0, \rho), \quad (5.8)$$

subject to the boundary condition $f(\rho) = 0$. Standard ODE arguments show that the non-singular solution at the origin for (5.8) is given by means of the Gaussian hypergeometric function as

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= -(1 - s)^{-\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\lambda_\rho}}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{F}\left(\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n^2-4\lambda_\rho} - \sqrt{1-4\lambda_\rho}}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{n^2-4\lambda_\rho} + \sqrt{1-4\lambda_\rho}}{2}; n-1; s\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in (0, \rho)$ whenever $\lambda_\rho < \frac{1}{4}$. The condition $f(\rho) = 0$ implies that $\lambda_\rho > 0$ is the smallest solution of

$$\mathbf{F}\left(\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n^2-4\lambda_\rho} - \sqrt{1-4\lambda_\rho}}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{n^2-4\lambda_\rho} + \sqrt{1-4\lambda_\rho}}{2}; n-1; \rho\right) = 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{F}(n-1, -1; n-1; 1) = 0$, a continuity argument shows that $\lambda_\rho \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, by (5.7) we have

$$\lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B^n) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \lambda_{1,d_F,\mu}(B_\rho^n) \leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \lambda_\rho = 0,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 5.1. (i) The symmetrization of the Funk distance d_F is the *Klein distance* on B^n , given by

$$d_K(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} (d_F(x_1, x_2) + d_F(x_2, x_1)), \quad x_1, x_2 \in B^n,$$

while its corresponding Klein metric on B^n is

$$F_K(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2)}}{1 - |x|^2}, \quad x \in B^n, \quad y \in T_x B^n = \mathbb{R}^n,$$

see Cheng and Shen [9] and Shen [35]. The Klein volume form is $dv_K(x) = (1 - |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} dx$. Clearly, (B^n, F_K) is a complete Riemannian manifold with constant negative sectional curvature -1 , see [35, p. 16]. According to (1.4), we have

$$\lambda_{1,d_K}(B^n) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}. \quad (5.9)$$

If we mimic the argument from §5.2 on the Klein model (B^n, F_K) for functions of the form

$$w_\gamma(x) = e^{-\gamma d_K(0,x)}, \quad x \in B^n,$$

we should assume that $\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}$. In fact, w_γ belongs to $H_{0,F_K}^1(B^n)$ if and only if $\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}$; indeed, the integrals

$$\int_{B^n} w_\gamma^2(x) dv_K(x) = \int_{B^n} \left(\frac{1-|x|}{1+|x|} \right)^\gamma (1-|x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} dx = n\omega_n \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\gamma-\frac{n+1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\gamma-\frac{n+1}{2}} t^{n-1} dt$$

and

$$\int_{B^n} F_K^{*2}(x, Dw_\gamma(x)) dv_K(x) = \gamma^2 \int_{B^n} w_\gamma^2(x) dv_K(x)$$

are convergent if and only if $\gamma - \frac{n+1}{2} > 1$. The above computations show that

$$\lambda_{1,d_K}(B^n) \leq \inf_{\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\int_{B^n} F_K^{*2}(x, Dw_\gamma(x)) dv_K(x)}{\int_{B^n} w_\gamma^2(x) dv_K(x)} = \inf_{\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}} \gamma^2 = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4},$$

which together with McKean's lower estimate (1.3) provides a perfect concordance with (5.9).

(ii) We notice that $H_{0,F_K}^1(B^n)$ is the usual Sobolev space over the Riemannian Klein model (B^n, F_K) , see e.g. Hebey [14]. However, the set $H_{0,F}^1(B^n)$ over the non-reversible Finslerian Funk model (B^n, F) endowed with the norm (5.4) and its natural Busemann-Hausdorff measure is not even a vector space, see Kristály and Rudas [19]. Indeed, although $u_\alpha \in H_{0,F}^1(B^n)$ for every $\alpha > 0$, it turns out that $-u_\alpha \notin H_{0,F}^1(B^n)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, since

$$\int_{B^n} F^{*2}(x, -Du_\alpha(x)) dv_F(x) = \alpha^2 \int_{B^n} (1+|x|)^2 (1-|x|)^{2\alpha-2} dx = +\infty.$$

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Denis Borisov and Pedro Freitas for the conversations concerning their paper [4]. He also thanks the anonymous Referees for their valuable comments as well as Árpád Baricz, Csaba Farkas, Mihai Mihăilescu and Tibor Pogány for their help in special functions and eigenvalue problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Artamoshin, Lower bounds for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian for domains in hyperbolic space. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 160 (2016), no. 2, 191–208.
- [2] A. Baernstein II, A unified approach to symmetrization. In: Alvino, A. et al.: (eds.) *Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type*. Symposia Mathematica, vol. 35, pp. 4791. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
- [3] D. Bao, S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, *Introduction to Riemann–Finsler Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 200, Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [4] D. Borisov, P. Freitas, The spectrum of geodesic balls on spherically symmetric manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 25 (2017), no. 3, 507–544.
- [5] T. Carroll, J. Ratzkin, Monotonicity of the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian on manifolds of non-positive curvature. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 65 (2016), no. 1, 353–376.
- [6] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*. Pure and Applied Mathematics 115. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [7] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov, M. Taylor, Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* 17 (1982), no. 1, 15–53.
- [8] S.-Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications. *Math. Z.* 143 (1975), 289–297.
- [9] X. Cheng, Z. Shen, *Finsler geometry. An approach via Randers spaces*. Science Press Beijing, Beijing; Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [10] Q. M. Cheng, H. Yang, Estimates for eigenvalues on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Equations* 247 (2009), no. 8, 2270–2281.

- [11] P. Freitas, J. Mao, I. Salavessa, Spherical symmetrization and the first eigenvalue of geodesic disks on manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 51 (2014), no. 3-4, 701–724.
- [12] M. Gage, Upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 29 (1980), 897–912.
- [13] Y. Ge, Z. Shen, Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of metric measure manifolds. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 82 (2001), 725–746.
- [14] E. Hebey, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 5. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [15] E. Hille, Non-oscillation theorems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 64 (1948), 234–252.
- [16] A. Hurtado, S. Markvorsen, V. Palmer, Estimates of the first Dirichlet eigenvalue from exit time moment spectra. *Math. Ann.* 365 (2016), no. 3-4, 1603–1632.
- [17] N. Juillet, Geometric inequalities and generalized Ricci bounds in the Heisenberg group. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2009, no. 13, 2347–2373.
- [18] A. Kristály, S. Ohta, Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality on metric measure spaces with applications, *Math. Ann.* 357 (2013), no. 2, 711–726.
- [19] A. Kristály, I. Rudas, Elliptic problems on the ball endowed with Funk-type metrics. *Nonlinear Anal.* 119 (2015), 199–208.
- [20] P. Li, J. Wang, Complete manifolds with positive spectrum. *J. Differential Geom.* 58 (2001), no. 3, 501–534.
- [21] P. Li, J. Wang, Complete manifolds with positive spectrum. II. *J. Differential Geom.* 62 (2002), no. 1, 143–162.
- [22] J. Lott, Eigenvalue estimates and differential form Laplacians on Alexandrov spaces. *Math. Ann.* 371 (2018), no. 3-4, 1737–1767.
- [23] J. Lott, C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric measure spaces via optimal transport. *Ann. of Math.* 169 (3) (2009), 903–991.
- [24] J. Mao, Eigenvalue inequalities for the p -Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold and estimates for the heat kernel. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 101 (2014), no. 3, 372–393.
- [25] H. P. McKean, An upper bound to the spectrum of Δ on a manifold of negative curvature. *J. Differential Geom.* 4 (1970), 359–366.
- [26] S. Ohta, On the measure contraction property of metric measure spaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 82 (2007), no. 4, 805–828.
- [27] S. Ohta, Finsler interpolation inequalities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 36 (2009), 211–249.
- [28] S. Ohta, Weighted Ricci curvature estimates for Hilbert and Funk geometries. *Pacific J. Math.* 265 (2013), no. 1, 185–197.
- [29] S. Ohta, K.-T. Sturm, Heat flow on Finsler manifolds. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 62 (2009), no. 10, 1386–1433.
- [30] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark (eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [31] M. A. Pinsky, The spectrum of the Laplacian on a manifold of negative curvature. I. *J. Differential Geom.* 13 (1978), 87–91.
- [32] M. A. Pinsky, Spectrum of the Laplacian on a manifold of negative curvature. II. *J. Differential Geom.* 14 (1979), no. 4, 609–620.
- [33] L. Robin, Fonctions sphériques de Legendre et fonctions sphéroïdales. Tome III. Collection Technique et Scientifique du C. N. E. T. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1959.
- [34] A. Savo, On the lowest eigenvalue of the Hodge Laplacian on compact, negatively curved domains. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 35 (2008), 39–62.
- [35] Z. Shen, Lectures on Finsler geometry. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2001.
- [36] Z. Shen, Volume comparison and its applications in Riemann-Finsler geometry. *Adv. Math.* 128 (1997), 306–328.
- [37] Z. Shen, X. Yuan, W. Zhao, Lower bounds for eigenvalues of Finsler manifolds. June 2018, preprint. Link: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.05644.pdf>
- [38] K.-T. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II. *Acta Math.* 196 (1) (2006) 133–177.
- [39] J. Sugie, K. Kita, N. Yamaoka, Oscillation constant of second-order non-linear self-adjoint differential equations. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 181 (2002), no. 3, 309–337.
- [40] G. Wang, C. Xia, A sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue on Finsler manifolds. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 30 (6) (2013), 983–996.
- [41] B. Y. Wu, Y. L. Xin, Comparison theorems in Finsler geometry and their applications. *Math. Ann.* 337 (2007), no. 1, 177–196.

- [42] S. T. Yau, Isoperimetric constant and the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifold. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 4 série t.8 (1975), 487–507.
- [43] M. I. Zhurina, L. Karmazina, Tables and formulae for the spherical functions $\mathbf{P}_{-1/2+i\tau}^m(z)$. Translated by E. L. Albasiny Pergamon Press, Oxford-New York-Paris, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, 400591 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA & INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, ÓBUDA UNIVERSITY, 1034 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: alex.kristaly@econ.ubbcluj.ro; kristaly.alexandru@nik.uni-obuda.hu