



COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR BAZILEVIČ FUNCTIONS OF BI-PRESTARLIKE FUNCTIONS

ABBAS KAREEM WANAS

Received 22 December, 2019

Abstract. In the present article, we introduce and study two certain classes of holomorphic prestarlike and bi-univalent functions associated with Bazilevič function. We determinate upper bounds for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions belonging to these classes. Further we point out certain special cases for our results.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45; 30C50

Keywords: holomorphic function, bi-univalent function, coefficient estimates, prestarlike function, Bazilevič function

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} indicate the collection of all holomorphic functions in the unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ that have the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \tag{1.1}$$

We also denote by S the sub-collection of the set \mathcal{A} containing of functions in U satisfying (1.1) which are univalent in U .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \delta, \quad (z \in U).$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

the "Hadamard product" of f and g is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad (z \in U).$$

Ruscheweyh [8] defined and considered the family of "prestarlike functions" of order δ , which are the function f such that $f * I_\delta$ is a starlike function of order δ , where

$$I_\delta(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\delta)}}, \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1, z \in U).$$

The function I_δ can be written in the form:

$$I_\delta(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \varphi_k(\delta) z^k,$$

where

$$\varphi_k(\delta) = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^k (i - 2\delta)}{(k-1)!}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

We note that $\varphi_k(\delta)$ is a decreasing function in δ and satisfies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k(\delta) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } \delta < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & \text{if } \delta = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } \delta > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Singh [9] (also see Kim and Srivastava [4]) introduced and studied the family of Bazilevič functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^{1-\gamma} f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in U, \gamma \geq 0).$$

According to the Koebe one-quarter theorem (see [3]) "every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} which satisfies $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, ($z \in U$) and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$, ($|w| < r_0(f)$, $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$)", where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in U , we say that f bi-univalent function in U . We indicate by Σ the family of bi-univalent functions in U given by (1.1). In fact, Srivastava et al. [18] have actually revived the study of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions in recent years. Some examples of functions in the family Σ are

$$\frac{z}{1-z}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad -\log(1-z)$$

with the corresponding inverse functions

$$\frac{w}{1+w}, \quad \frac{e^{2w}-1}{e^{2w}+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^w-1}{e^w},$$

respectively. Other common examples of functions is not a member of Σ are

$$z - \frac{z^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{1-z^2}.$$

Recently, many authors introduced various subfamilies of the bi-univalent functions family Σ and investigated upper bounds for the first two coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) (see, for example [1, 5, 10–17, 19–24]).

We require the following lemma that will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 1 ([3]). *If $h \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathcal{P} is the family of all functions h holomorphic in U for which*

$$\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) > 0, \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \cdots, \quad (z \in U).$$

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE FUNCTIONS FAMILY $\Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$

Definition 1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is called in the family $\Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$ if it fulfils the conditions:

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma} (f * I_\delta)'(z)}{((f * I_\delta)(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma} (f * I_\delta)'(z)}{((f * I_\delta)(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) \right] \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma} (g * I_\delta)'(w)}{((g * I_\delta)(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma} (g * I_\delta)'(w)}{((g * I_\delta)(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) \right] \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (w \in U), \quad (2.2)$$

$$(0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \delta < 1),$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

Remark 1. It should be remarked that the family $\Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$ is a generalization of well-known families consider earlier. These families are:

- (1) For $\lambda = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, the family $\Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$ reduce to the family $P_\Sigma(\alpha, \gamma)$ which was introduced by Prema and Keerthi [7];
- (2) For $\lambda = 1$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, the family $\Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$ reduce to the family $S_\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ which was given by Brannan and Taha [2];
- (3) For $\lambda = \gamma = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, the family $\Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$ reduce to the family $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\alpha$ which was investigated by Srivastava et al. [18].

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \Omega_\Sigma(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$) be given by (1.1). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha\lambda}{\sqrt{\alpha\lambda(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(2\gamma(1-\delta)+1) + \Upsilon(\alpha, \lambda)(\gamma+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} \left[\frac{\alpha\lambda}{(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\gamma+2)(3-2\delta)} \right],$$

where

$$\Upsilon(\alpha, \lambda) = 2\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha)(\lambda+1)^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. It follows from conditions (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma}(f * I_\delta)'(z)}{((f * I_\delta)(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma}(f * I_\delta)'(z)}{((f * I_\delta)(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) = [p(z)]^\alpha \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma}(g * I_\delta)'(w)}{((g * I_\delta)(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma}(g * I_\delta)'(w)}{((g * I_\delta)(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) = [q(w)]^\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

where $g = f^{-1}$ and p, q in \mathcal{P} have the following series representations:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_1w + q_2w^2 + q_3w^3 + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (2.4) and (2.5) yields

$$\frac{(\gamma+1)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)}{\lambda} a_2 = \alpha p_1, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}{2\lambda} a_3 \\ & + \frac{[\lambda(\gamma+2)(\gamma-1)(\lambda+1) + (\gamma+1)^2(1-\lambda)](1-\delta)^2}{\lambda^2} a_2^2 = \alpha p_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p_1^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$- \frac{(\gamma+1)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)}{\lambda} a_2 = \alpha q_1 \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}{2\lambda} (2a_2^2 - a_3) \\ & + \frac{[\lambda(\gamma+2)(\gamma-1)(\lambda+1) + (\gamma+1)^2(1-\lambda)](1-\delta)^2}{\lambda^2} a_2^2 = \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In view of (2.8) and (2.10), we conclude that

$$p_1 = -q_1 \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\frac{2(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}{\lambda^2} a_2^2 = \alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2). \tag{2.13}$$

Also, by using (2.9) and (2.11), together with (2.13), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}{\lambda} + \frac{2[\lambda(\gamma+2)(\gamma-1)(\lambda+1) + (\gamma+1)^2(1-\lambda)](1-\delta)^2}{\lambda^2} \right) a_2^2 \\ &= \alpha(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} (p_1^2 + q_1^2) = \alpha(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{(\alpha-1)(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}{\alpha\lambda^2} a_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further computations show that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^2\lambda^2(p_2 + q_2)}{\alpha\lambda(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(2\gamma(1-\delta) + 1) + \Upsilon(\alpha, \lambda)(\gamma+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\Upsilon(\alpha, \lambda)$ is given by (2.3).

By taking the absolute value of (2.14) and applying Lemma 1 for the coefficients p_2 and q_2 , we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha\lambda}{\sqrt{\alpha\lambda(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(2\gamma(1-\delta) + 1) + \Upsilon(\alpha, \lambda)(\gamma+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}}.$$

To determinate the bound on $|a_3|$, by subtracting (2.11) from (2.9), we get

$$\frac{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}{\lambda} (a_3 - a_2^2) = \alpha(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} (p_1^2 - q_1^2). \tag{2.15}$$

Now, substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.13) into (2.15) and using (2.12), we deduce that

$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha^2\lambda^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{2(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)^2(1-\delta)^2} + \frac{\alpha\lambda(p_2 - q_2)}{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Taking the absolute value of (2.16) and applying Lemma 1 once again for the coefficients p_1, p_2, q_1 and q_2 , it follows that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} \left[\frac{\alpha\lambda}{(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\gamma+2)(3-2\delta)} \right],$$

□

Remark 2. In Theorem 1, if we choose

- 1) $\lambda = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the results which was given by Prema and Keerthi [7, Theorem 2.2];
- 2) $\lambda = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the results obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [6, Corollary 6];

- (3) $\lambda = \gamma = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, then we obtain the results obtained by Srivastava et al. [18, Theorem 1].

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE FUNCTIONS FAMILY $\Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$

Definition 2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is called in the family $\Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$ if it fulfills the conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma} (f * I_{\delta})'(z)}{((f * I_{\delta})(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma} (f * I_{\delta})'(z)}{((f * I_{\delta})(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) \right\} > \beta, \quad (z \in U) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma} (g * I_{\delta})'(w)}{((g * I_{\delta})(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma} (g * I_{\delta})'(w)}{((g * I_{\delta})(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) \right\} > \beta, \quad (w \in U), \quad (3.2)$$

$$(0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \delta < 1),$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

Remark 3. It should be remarked that the family $\Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$ is a generalization of well-known families consider earlier. These families are:

- (1) For $\lambda = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, the family $\Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$ reduce to the family $P_{\Sigma}(\beta, \gamma)$ which was introduced by Prema and Keerthi [7];
- (2) For $\lambda = 1$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, the family $\Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$ reduce to the family $S_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$ which was given by Brannan and Taha [2];
- (3) For $\lambda = \gamma = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, the family $\Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$ reduce to the family $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$ which was investigated by Srivastava et al. [18].

Theorem 2. Let $f \in \Omega_{\Sigma}^*(\lambda, \gamma, \delta; \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$) be given by (1.1). Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{1-\beta}}{\sqrt{\lambda(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(2\gamma(1-\delta)+1)+2(1-\lambda)(\gamma+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\lambda(1-\beta)}{(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} \left[\frac{\lambda(1-\beta)}{(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\gamma+2)(3-2\delta)} \right].$$

Proof. In the light of the conditions (3.1) and (3.2), there are $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma} (f * I_{\delta})'(z)}{((f * I_{\delta})(z))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{z^{1-\gamma} (f * I_{\delta})'(z)}{((f * I_{\delta})(z))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma}(g * I_\delta)'(w)}{((g * I_\delta)(w))^{1-\gamma}} + \left(\frac{w^{1-\gamma}(g * I_\delta)'(w)}{((g * I_\delta)(w))^{1-\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right) = \beta + (1 - \beta)q(w), \tag{3.4}$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ have the forms (2.6) and (2.7), respectively. Comparing the corresponding coefficients in (3.3) and (3.4) yields

$$\frac{(\gamma + 1)(\lambda + 1)(1 - \delta)}{\lambda} a_2 = (1 - \beta)p_1, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\gamma + 2)(\lambda + 1)(1 - \delta)(3 - 2\delta)}{2\lambda} a_3 \\ & + \frac{\left[\lambda(\gamma + 2)(\gamma - 1)(\lambda + 1) + (\gamma + 1)^2(1 - \lambda) \right] (1 - \delta)^2}{\lambda^2} a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)p_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$- \frac{(\gamma + 1)(\lambda + 1)(1 - \delta)}{\lambda} a_2 = (1 - \beta)q_1 \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\gamma + 2)(\lambda + 1)(1 - \delta)(3 - 2\delta)}{2\lambda} (2a_2^2 - a_3) \\ & + \frac{\left[\lambda(\gamma + 2)(\gamma - 1)(\lambda + 1) + (\gamma + 1)^2(1 - \lambda) \right] (1 - \delta)^2}{\lambda^2} a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)q_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we get

$$p_1 = -q_1 \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\frac{2(\gamma + 1)^2(\lambda + 1)^2(1 - \delta)^2}{\lambda^2} a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2). \tag{3.10}$$

Adding (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{(\gamma + 2)(\lambda + 1)(1 - \delta)(3 - 2\delta)}{\lambda} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2 \left[\lambda(\gamma + 2)(\gamma - 1)(\lambda + 1) + (\gamma + 1)^2(1 - \lambda) \right] (1 - \delta)^2}{\lambda^2} \right) a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)(p_2 + q_2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, we find that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\lambda^2(1 - \beta)(p_2 + q_2)}{\lambda(\gamma + 2)(\lambda + 1)(1 - \delta)(2\gamma(1 - \delta) + 1) + 2(1 - \lambda)(\gamma + 1)^2(1 - \delta)^2}.$$

By applying Lemma 1 for the coefficients p_2 and q_2 , we deduce that

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{1-\beta}}{\sqrt{\lambda(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(2\gamma(1-\delta)+1)+2(1-\lambda)(\gamma+1)^2(1-\delta)^2}}.$$

To determinate the bound on $|a_3|$, by subtracting (3.8) from (3.6), we get

$$\frac{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}{\lambda}(a_3 - a_2^2) = (1-\beta)(p_2 - q_2),$$

or equivalently

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{\lambda(1-\beta)(p_2 - q_2)}{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}. \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting the value of a_2^2 from (3.10) into (3.12), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{\lambda^2(1-\beta)^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{2(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)^2(1-\delta)^2} + \frac{\lambda(1-\beta)(p_2 - q_2)}{(\gamma+2)(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)(3-2\delta)}.$$

By applying Lemma 1 once again for the coefficients p_1 , p_2 , q_1 and q_2 , we deduce that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\lambda(1-\beta)}{(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} \left[\frac{\lambda(1-\beta)}{(\gamma+1)^2(\lambda+1)(1-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\gamma+2)(3-2\delta)} \right].$$

□

Remark 4. In Theorem 2, if we choose

- (1) $\lambda = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the results which was given by Prema and Keerthi [7, Theorem 3.2];
- (2) $\lambda = 1$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, then we have the results obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [6, Corollary 7];
- (3) $\lambda = \gamma = 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, then we obtain the results obtained by Srivastava et al. [18, Theorem 2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the referee(s) for their helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. A. Adegani, S. Bulut, and A. A. Zireh, "Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions," *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, vol. 55, pp. 405–413, 2018, doi: [10.4134/BKMS.b170051](https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b170051).
- [2] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, "On some classes of bi-univalent functions," *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, vol. 31, pp. 70–77, 1986.
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*. New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo: Springer Verlag, 1983.

- [4] Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, "The Hardy space of a certain subclass of Bazilevič functions," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 183, pp. 1201–1207, 2006, doi: [10.1016/j.amc.2006.06.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.06.044).
- [5] N. Magesh and S. Bulut, "Chebyshev polynomial coefficient estimates for a class of analytic bi-univalent functions related to pseudo-starlike functions," *Afr. Mat.*, vol. 29, pp. 203–209, 2018, doi: [10.1007/s13370-017-0535-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-017-0535-3).
- [6] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N. Magesh, and V. Prameela, "Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of bi-univalent function," *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–3, 2013, doi: [10.1155/2013/573017](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/573017).
- [7] S. Prema and B. S. Keerthi, "Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of analytic function," *J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 4, pp. 22–27, 2013.
- [8] S. Ruscheweyh, "Linear operators between classes of prestarlike functions," *Comment. Math. Helv.*, vol. 52, pp. 497–509, 1977, doi: [10.1007/BF02567382](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02567382).
- [9] R. Singh, "On Bazilevič functions," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 38, pp. 261–271, 1973.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, "Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis," *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A: Sci.*, vol. 44, pp. 327–344, 2020, doi: [10.1007/s40995-019-00815-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-019-00815-0).
- [11] H. M. Srivastava and D. Bansal, "Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions," *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.*, vol. 23, pp. 242–246, 2015, doi: [10.1016/j.joems.2014.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2014.04.002).
- [12] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Caglar, and N. Yagmur, "Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions," *Filomat*, vol. 27, pp. 831–842, 2013, doi: [10.2298/FIL1305831S](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1305831S).
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, Ş. Altınkaya, and S. Yalçın, "Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials," *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.*, vol. 43, pp. 1873–1879, 2019, doi: [10.1007/s40995-018-0647-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-018-0647-0).
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, S. S. Eker, and R. M. Ali, "Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions," *Filomat*, vol. 29, pp. 1839–1845, 2015, doi: [10.2298/FIL1508839S](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1508839S).
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. S. Eker, S. G. Hamidi, and J. M. Jahangiri, "Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator," *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, vol. 44, pp. 149–157, 2018, doi: [10.1007/s41980-018-0011-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-018-0011-3).
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, and F. Ghanim, "Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions," *Africa Mat.*, vol. 28, pp. 693–706, 2017, doi: [10.1007/s13370-016-0478-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-016-0478-0).
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, and F. Ghanim, "Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions of the Ma–Minda type," *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Natur. Ser. A Mat. (RACSAM)*, vol. 112, pp. 1157–1168, 2018, doi: [10.1007/S13398-017-0416-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/S13398-017-0416-5).
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, and P. Gochhayat, "Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions," *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 23, pp. 1188–1192, 2010, doi: [10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009).
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, A. Motamednezhad, and E. A. Adegan, "Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by using differential subordination and a certain fractional derivative operator," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, pp. 1–12, 2020, doi: [10.3390/math8020172](https://doi.org/10.3390/math8020172).
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, and K. Vijaya, "Coefficient estimates for some families of bi-Bazilevič functions of the Ma–Minda type involving the Hohlov operator," *J. Class. Anal.*, vol. 2, pp. 167–181, 2013, doi: [10.7153/jca-02-14](https://doi.org/10.7153/jca-02-14).
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, F. M. Sakar, and H. O. Güney, "Some general coefficient estimates for a new class of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination," *Filomat*, vol. 32, pp. 1313–1322, 2018, doi: [10.2298/FIL1804313S](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1804313S).
- [22] H. M. Srivastava and A. K. Wanas, "Initial Maclaurin coefficient bounds for new subclasses of analytic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination," *Kyungpook Math. J.*, vol. 59, pp. 493–503, 2019, doi: [10.5666/KMJ.2019.59.3.493](https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2019.59.3.493).

- [23] A. K. Wanas and A. L. Alina, “Applications of Horadam polynomials on Bazilevič bi-univalent function satisfying subordinate conditions,” *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series*, vol. 1294, pp. 1–6, 2019, doi: [10.1088/1742-6596/1294/3/032003](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1294/3/032003).
- [24] P. Zaprawa, “On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions,” *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, vol. 21, pp. 169–178, 2014, doi: [10.36045/bbms/1394544302](https://doi.org/10.36045/bbms/1394544302).

Author’s address

Abbas Kareem Wanas

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

E-mail address: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq