- 1 This manuscript contextually corresponds with the following paper:
- 2
- 3 Ónodi, G., Kertész, M., Lengyel, A., Pándi, I., Somay, L., Szitár, K., and Kröel-Dulay, Gy. (2021) The effects of
- 4 woody plant encroachment and wildfire on plant species richness and composition: temporal changes in a forest-
- 5 steppe mosaic. Appl Veg Sci. 2021; 24:e12546. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12546

- 7 Availability of the original paper and the electronic supplementary material:
- 8 <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/avsc.12546</u>

9

- 11 The effects of woody plant encroachment and wildfire on plant species richness and composition: temporal 12 changes in a forest-steppe mosaic
- 13 Running title: Shrub encroachment and wildfire

- 15 Gábor Ónodi^{1*}, Miklós Kertész¹, Attila Lengyel¹, Ildikó Pándi², László Somay¹, Katalin Szitár¹, and György Kröel-Dulay¹
- 16
- 17
- 18 ¹Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Ecology and Botany,
- 19 Alkotmány 2-4, H-2163 Vácrátót, Hungary
- 20 ² Szent István University Gödöllő Botanical Garden,
- 21 Páter Károly 1, H-2100 Gödöllő, Hungary
- 22
- 23 * Correspondence: Gábor Ónodi
- 24 E-Mail: onodi.gabor@okologia.mta.hu
- 25 ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4308-7997
- 26
- 27 E-Mail addresses of the further authors:
- 28 kertesz.miklos@okologia.mta.hu
- 29 lengyel.attila@okologia.mta.hu
- pandi.ildiko@gmail.com 30
- 31 somay.laszlo@okologia.mta.hu
- 32 szitar.katalin@okologia.mta.hu
- 33 kroel-dulay.gyorgy@okologia.mta.hu
- 34
- 35 Funding information
- This study was implemented with support from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation 36
- Office (NKFIH project no. K 112576, K 129068, PD 123997, PD 128385, GINOP 2.3.3-15-2016-00019), and the 37
- 38 János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (BO/00276/15/8; Gy. Kröel-Dulay).

- 40 Abstract
- 41

42 Questions

Woody plant encroachment and wildfire may both have major effects on species richness and composition, yet studies that assess these two factors in combination are rare. We asked the following specific questions. (1) How did juniper cover change over a decade in the study area? (2) What are the effects of juniper encroachment and

- 46 subsequent wildfire on plant species richness, and (3) on vegetation composition?
- 47
- 48 Location
- 49 Sand forest-steppe in Kiskunság, Central Hungary.
- 50
- 51 Methods

52 We studied a juniper encroached grassland hit by a wildfire. We assessed changes in plant species richness and 53 composition in burnt and unburnt grassland and juniper plots for eleven years following the wildfire. Yearly 54 vegetation sampling was performed in permanent quadrats of 1 m² and 25 m² using visual cover estimation.

55 Temporal changes of juniper cover, and species richness were evaluated with generalized linear mixed-effects

- 56 models. Compositional changes were analysed by non-metric multidimensional scaling and fidelity analysis.
- 57
- 58 Results

59 At the beginning of the study, the presence of individual juniper shrubs increased species richness, and did not 60 alter species composition. However, microsites covered by junipers were extremely species poor. Juniper growth 61 during the study period caused sharp decline in species richness at the edge of juniper shrubs and a shift in species 62 composition compared to grassland plots. Wildfire increased species richness both in grassland and juniper plots.

- It caused only transient compositional responses in grasslands, but converted juniper habitats back to grassland
 state.
- 65
- 66 Conclusions

We conclude that neither moderate juniper encroachment nor wildfire have negative effects on plant species
richness in the studied ecosystem. However, as juniper thickening may cause species loss and devastating fires,
conservation management should prevent the development of dense juniper stands.

- 70
- 71
- 72 Keywords

forest-steppe, juniper, habitat management, post-fire recovery, semi-arid grassland, species composition, species
 richness, wildfire, woody plant encroachment

- 75
- 76
- 77

78 1. Introduction

79 Woody plant encroachment (WPE) causes transition of grassland and savanna ecosystems into woodlands in many 80 parts of the world (García et al. 2014; Soliveres et al. 2014a). The most common way of this process is the spread 81 of native woody plant species into adjacent grassy communities (Nackley et al. 2017). There are several causes of 82 WPE including the effects of changing climate and land use. Increasing concentration of atmospheric CO₂ 83 (D'Odorico et al. 2012), within-year shifts in soil moisture regime (Gremer et al. 2018), rising temperature (Huang 84 et al. 2018), fire-suppression due to over-grazing (Gibbens et al. 2005), and the cessation of grazing or mowing 85 (Debussche et al. 1999; Anthelme et al. 2007) have all been linked to WPE. Spread of woody species initiates 86 structural and functional changes such as increasing productivity in grassland ecosystems (Nackley et al. 2017), 87 but ecological consequences are not well known (Soliveres et al. 2014a). Increasing woody cover is expected to 88 lead to higher extent of wildfires in temperate shrublands (Moritz et al. 2012) that may move the encroached 89 ecosystems back to the grassland state (D'Odorico et al. 2012). However, grasslands have markedly different 90 species composition and dynamics compared to neighbouring woody patches (Kertész et al. 2017). Therefore, 91 studies that assess both WPE and wildfires in encroached ecosystems are necessary to understand properties of 92 woody invasion in grassland communities, its complex ecological consequences, and the possibilities of grassland 93 regeneration (Knapp et al. 2008).

94 WPE due to fire suppression affects species richness negatively in most North American grasslands (Ratajczak et 95 al. 2012) in the course of both desertification (Báez & Collins 2008) and forest expansion (Briggs et al. 2002). On 96 the contrary, forest regeneration after cessation of grazing in semi-arid and sub-humid areas does not always cause 97 loss in species richness (Maestre et al. 2009). In this case, woody vegetation was decreased by former land use, 98 and WPE may have neutral or positive effects on species richness depending on the state of the regeneration 99 process after abandonment (Eldridge et al. 2011). Besides direction, the degree of WPE also has very different 100 effects on plant species richness. Positive effects are expected up to intermediate degree (50% shrub cover) of encroachment (Soliveres et al. 2014b), as it is the case in savanna ecosystems in Ethiopia (Belay et al. 2013), 101 102 where richness increased with shrub density. Variable WPE effects on species richness emphasize that studies 103 should take account of former land use as well as species identity and the degree of woody plant expansion.

104 Wildfires act as immediate disturbance that affect plant species composition. They drastically decrease woody 105 cover in the short term (Bond & Keeley 2005), but can also lead to invasion of bushes or trees in the longer term 106 (Franzese et al. 2009). Fire severity has increased recently in ecosystems where frequency of wildfires was reduced 107 by anthropogenic fire suppression and thus fuel accumulation was enhanced (Slingsby et al. 2020). Increasing fire 108 frequences are expected during the 21st century at mid to high latitudes, depending on the productivity in grassland 109 and savanna communities (Moritz et al. 2012; Fusco et al. 2019). Juniper encroachment changes carbon cycle and 110 increases productivity in US grasslands (Wang et al. 2018), thus it moves the grassland into a more fire-prone 111 state. When these ecosystems are dominated by fire-sensitive species such as the non-resprouter Ashe or common 112 junipers (Juniperus ashei, Juniperus communis) (Fuhlendorf et al. 1997; Marozas et al. 2007), wildfire may cause 113 marked changes in plant species composition due to complete dieback of formerly abundant woody species and 114 immediate decrease of shaded microhabitats (Ónodi et al. 2014; Kertész et al. 2017).

115 Wildfires often maintain high species richness in fire-adapted grassland and savanna ecosystems (Uys et al. 2004; Bond & Parr 2010; Slingsby et al. 2020). However, effects of wildfire events vary among communities depending 116 117 on climate and plant species composition (Abella 2009). In prairie vegetation, effect size of wildfires increases with productivity (Knops 2006, Peterson & Reich 2008). Consequently, effects of WPE and wildfire may interact 118 119 with each other, as the degree of encroachment decreases after fire, and the strength of wildfire effect depends on 120 the amount of accumulated biomass, mainly on woody cover. Therefore, studying WPE and wildfire in combination may provide a better understanding of woody cover effects on vegetation structure and diversity. 121 However, joint studies on WPE and wildfire effects are rare (but see Ansley & Castellano 2006; Ravi et al. 2009; 122 123 Srinivasan 2011; Lipoma et al. 2016), and few studies to date have been conducted on long-term wildfire effects 124 both in the presence and absence of native WPE (but see Ratajczak et al. 2017).

WPE can have the strongest impact on communities developed on sandy, nutrient-poor soils (Case & Staver 2017). In sandy region of the Great Hungarian Plain, common juniper (*Juniperus communis*) is one of the dominant woody species of semiarid juniper-poplar forest-steppe habitats. Negative effects of juniper thickening were reported in studies conducted in North America (Briggs et al. 2002; Van Auken 2009), and even in the case of common juniper in Europe (Rejmánek & Rosén 1988). By contrast, juniper shrubland is generally considered a habitat type of high conservation value in the European Union (Natura 2000 network code: 91N0). Indeed, 131 common juniper is often the target of conservation efforts in the region (Simon 1971), yet it is unclear whether it

132 boosts or threatens species richness in this ecosystem. This question is increasingly important from a conservation 133 perspective, because afforestation by black pine (Pinus nigra), decreased grazing pressure, and juniper 134 encroachment increased fire severity during the last decades leading to marked changes in species composition 135 (Ónodi et al. 2014; Kertész et al. 2017). All these issues underline the need for a better understanding of the role

- 136 of juniper encroachment and subsequent wildfire in this ecosystem.
- 137 The objective of this study was to assess changes in plant species richness and composition in burnt and unburnt 138 grassland and juniper plots over a decade following a wildfire. We asked the following specific questions:
- 139 1. What was the extent of juniper encroachment during the study period?
- 140 2. What are the effects of juniper encroachment and subsequent wildfire on plant species richness?
- 3. What are the effects of juniper encroachment and subsequent wildfire on vegetation composition? 141
- 142
- 143
- 144 2. Methods

145

146 2.1. Study area and site description

147 The study site is located in the Kéleshalom Nature Reserve (46°22' N, 19°20' E) in the southern part of the 148 Kiskunság National Park, Hungary. The climate of the study area is continental with sub-Mediterranean influence 149 (Zólyomi et al. 1997). Mean annual temperature is 10.4 °C, with a minimum of -1.9 °C in January and a maximum 150 of 21.1 °C in July, while mean annual precipitation is 505 mm with a maximum in June (72.6 mm) (1961-1990; 151 Kovács-Láng et al. 2000). The soil is nutrient poor Calcaric Arenosol (FAO-ISRIC-ISSS 1998) developed on 152 Pleistocene calcareous sand deposit of the Danube River. The sand content of the soil is over 90% and humus 153 content is below 1% (Szitár et al. 2014).

154 The study area is covered by Pannonian sand forest-steppe vegetation mosaics embedded into a matrix of arable 155 lands and plantation forests (Molnár et al. 2012). This semi-natural forest-steppe has a high nature conservation 156 value due to its richness in endemic and rare species with restricted distribution. The vegetation mosaic is 157 composed of open and closed sand grassland and juniper-poplar stands. Open sand grassland (Festucetum 158 vaginatae, Natura 2000 category: 6260) is a Pannonian endemic community evolved under semi-arid conditions 159 on coarse-textured calcareous sand soil (Kovács-Láng et al. 2000), and is co-dominated by perennial bunchgrasses 160 Festuca vaginata and Stipa pennata subsp. sabulosa (for a list of characteristic species see Csecserits et al. 2011). 161 Woody patches of the forest-steppe habitat complex are co-dominated by Populus alba, Juniperus communis, and 162 Crataegus monogyna (Junipero-Populetum albae, Natura 2000 category: 91N0). Juniper encroachment started 163 during the 19th century after a change in the grazing system from grey cattle to sheep (Biró et al. 2013). The native 164 J. communis is an endozoochorous species, its seeds are usually spread by birds. Hereinafter, juniper encroachment 165 means growth of juniper shrub individuals at the expense of grasslands.

166 At the end of July 2007, a wildfire went through 1000 ha of forest plantations and protected forest-steppe habitats 167 in the area of Kiskunhalas, Kunfehértó, and Kéleshalom, south-central Hungary. The wildfire partially destroyed 168 the study site, which is 63.4 ha in size. Common juniper does not resprout after fire as described in other studies 169 (Ónodi et al. 2014; Kertész et al. 2017). Mosaic of burnt and unburnt grasslands and woody patches provided a natural experiment to study the effects of WPE, wildfire, as well as WPE and subsequent wildfire on plant species 170 171 richness and composition.

172

173 2.2. Sampling design and data collection

174 In July 2008, one year after the wildfire, we established 18 blocks both in burnt and unburnt stands (36 blocks in

175 total) for studying vegetation changes within the study site (Figure S1 in Appendix S1). Individual juniper 176 specimens (18 burnt, i.e. killed, and 18 unburnt) were chosen in spatially mixed arrangement. Distance between

177 adjacent blocks was a few tens of meters with an average of 45 meters.

- 178 Within each block, vegetation sampling was performed in two different spatial scales of permanent quadrats
- 179 (Figure 1). We established two 5 m \times 5 m plots, a few meters from each other. One of them was centred on a
- 180 juniper specimen (burnt or unburnt) and the other was placed on a grassland patch without juniper. Hereinafter we 181 refer to these positions of sampling quadrats as Juniper plot, and Grassland plot, respectively. Juniper plots
- included the whole juniper specimen, and also some grasslands around the juniper (Figure 1). Unburnt Grassland
- 183 plots served as controls, where neither wildfire nor WPE took place. Unburnt Juniper plots and burnt Grassland
- 184 plots allowed us to assess the separate effects of WPE and wildfire, respectively. Finally, burnt Juniper plots, when
- 185 compared to unburnt Grassland plots, shows the effects of juniper encroachment (WPE) and subsequent wildfire.
- 186 Microplots of $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ were placed in the very centre of the Juniper and Grassland plots, and are referred to here
- 187 as Central-Juniper microplot and Central-Grassland microplot (Figure 1), based on their position within the block.
- 188 Within the Juniper plots, we established two additional microplots $(1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m})$ at the northern and southern edges
- 189 of each juniper shrub (Northern-edge microplot and Southern-edge microplot) in order to cover the unique species
- 190 composition of the four seemingly different microhabitats within each block (Erdős et al. 2019). These microplots
- 191 were located to have approximately 30% juniper cover at the start of the study in 2008. Burnt junipers had no canopy in 2008, but unburnt branches made it possible to place these microplots in a way similar to that at unburnt
- 193 junipers.
- 194 Between 2008 and 2018, the presence and visually estimated percentage cover of each vascular plant species were
- recorded in the permanent plots in each year (except for 2014). We sampled the plots twice a year to account for the different phenology of species. All species were sampled in early July, while we resampled summer annuals
- 190 the different phenology of species. An species were sampled in early July, while we resampled summer annuals (annuals that germinate in spring grow mostly in the summer) in early October. Summer and fall recordings were
- combined, and a single maximum cover value was obtained for each species per plot per year. Nomenclature of
- 199 plant species follows the online database of The Plant List (2010).
- 200
- 201 2.3. Statistical analyses
- All calculations were performed in the R statistical environment (version 3.6.2., R Core Team 2019).
- 203 We assessed the temporal changes of juniper cover in unburnt Juniper plots, and in unburnt Central-Juniper, 204 Northern-edge, and Southern-edge microplots by applying two separate generalized linear mixed-effects models 205 assuming beta distribution with logit link function (glmmTMB package, Brooks et al. 2017). In the model for 206 unburnt Juniper plots, we used the cover of juniper as the dependent variable, time as a continuous explanatory 207 variable expressed in years, while block was used as a random factor. In the model for unburnt microplots, position 208 was included as fixed factor, while microplot ID within block was used as nested random effect (Zuur et al. 2009). 209 To conform the dependent variable to the beta distribution, we transformed juniper cover percentages using the 210 following equation (Smithson, & Verkuilen, 2006):
- **211** y' = [y/100*(N-1) + 1/2]/N,
- where y is percentage cover of juniper, and N is the sample size. As a result, the transformed cover values ranged on (0; 1) interval. For pairwise differences in the temporal change of juniper cover in the microplots, we used Z tests.
- We tested the main and interactive effect of wildfire, plot position within block, and time (sampling years as a continuous variable) on plant species richness by using all sampling quadrats. Juniper was not counted to species richness, as it served as selection criteria for plot and microplot types. We analysed total species richness, because the number of non-native species were negligible in both burnt and unburnt plots. We applied two generalized linear mixed-effect models with Poisson distribution (*lme4* package, Bates et al. 2014) for plots and microplots separately. Block and plot ID nested within block were used as random effects for the analyses of plots and
- 221 microplots, respectively.
- For species richness analyses, the full models were subjected to backward variable selection by AIC (Akaike 1978). To allow comparison of the nested models, we applied maximum likelihood (ML) fitting, and the final models were then refitted using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method, and were evaluated by Wald
- tests. For species richness data in the microplots, we compared the least squares means of factor levels of quadrat
- position and wildfire using post-hoc contrasts (*Ismeans* package, Lenth 2016). For this purpose, we tested the

pairwise differences in temporal change between the unburnt grassland (as control) and the other seven groups of
 microplots according to combinations of factor levels of wildfire and position.

We analysed the species compositional differences between the Juniper and Grassland plots and the first and last year of the sampling period (2008 and 2018) in burnt and unburnt stands. Non-metric multidimensional scaling using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index was implemented to visualize compositional changes among the plots using convex hulls. For this analysis, we used the metaMDS and the ordihull functions (*vegan* package, Oksanen et al. 2019). Differences in species composition between factor levels (burnt vs. unburnt, grassland vs. juniper encroached, and 2008 vs. 2018) were tested with multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson

- 235 2001) using the adonis function (999 random permutations) of the *vegan* package. To determine compositional
- overlap between groups, a post-hoc multilevel pairwise analysis was performed (*pairwiseAdonis* package with *p*-
- value adjustment, Arbizu 2017). To determine which species prefer Juniper vs. Grassland plots, we calculated
 fidelity values based on species abundance data using the phi coefficient (Chytrý et al. 2002).
- 239
- 240
- 241 3. Results
- 242
- 243 3.1. Juniper cover
- 244In the 5 m \times 5 m Juniper plots, the cover of *J. communis* increased through time (Figure 2a, Table 1). Mean cover245values were 43.8% (SD: \pm 18.6%) in 2008 and 61.0% (\pm 20.4%) in 2018.
- In the 1 m × 1 m microplots, temporal changes depended on quadrat position (Figure 2b, Table 1). Juniperus cover decreased through time in Central-Juniper microplots, while increased at the edges of the shrubs, more in Southernedge than in Northern-edge microplots (Z = -3.19, P = 0.001). By the end of the study, juniper cover in the edge microplots reached the values characteristic of Central-Juniper microplots where mean cover was 80.6% (\pm 15.4%).
- 251
- **252** 3.2. Species richness

In the 5 m \times 5 m plots, species richness was higher in burnt (22.4; SD: \pm 4.11) compared to unburnt (20.3 \pm 4.19),

and in Juniper (22.9 ± 4.41) compared to Grassland plots (19.8 ± 3.50) , while we found a slight decreasing trend over time (Figure 3a,b, Table 2).

In the 1 m × 1 m microplots, we found different temporal trends of species richness depending on combinations of wildfire and position (Figure 3c,d, Table 2). Richness increased more both in burnt Central-Juniper microplots (Z = 7.462, P < 0.001) and in burnt Southern-edge microplots (Z = 2.808, P = 0.005) compared to the unburnt grasslands. Species richness decreased considerably through time in unburnt edge microplots (Northern-edge Z =-5.104, P < 0.001, Southern-edge Z = -5.633, P < 0.001) compared to the unburnt grasslands. Unburnt Central-Juniper microplots had the lowest species richness throughout the study period.

- 262
- 263 3.3. Species composition

We found significant compositional differences (Figure 4) in 25 out of 28 pairwise comparisons between groups of the eight wildfire * position * time combinations. In 2008 (Figure 4a), the difference between unburnt Grassland and unburnt Juniper plots was not significant (F = 3.57, $P_{adj} = 0.084$). However, this difference became significant after ten years of WPE in 2018 (F = 9.10, $P_{adj} = 0.028$; Figure 4b). Temporal changes of composition between 2008 and 2018 were non-significant only in the unburnt Grassland group (F = 2.87, $P_{adj} = 0.252$). In 2018 (Figure 4b), the composition of the burnt Juniper group did not differ from the burnt Grassland group (F = 2.08, $P_{adj} =$ 0.700), in contrast to the beginning of the study.

In unburnt blocks, the number of species showing positive fidelity towards Juniper plots (having a live juniper
shrub in the middle) increased (5 species in 2008 and 10 species in 2018), while the number of species associated

with Grassland plots decreased through time (10 species in 2008 and 4 species in 2018; Table S1 in Appendix S2).

In burnt blocks, the number of species associated with Juniper plots (having a dead juniper shrub in the middle)
did not change (10 species in both years), while the number of species associated with Grassland plots decreased
through time (7 species in 2008 and 3 species in 2018; Table S1 in Appendix S2).

- 277
- 278
- 279 4. Discussion
- 280
- 281 4.1. Changes in juniper cover

We detected a gradual juniper encroachment during the study period: in unburnt Juniper plots, juniper cover exceeded 60% on avareage, while both in Northern-edge, and in Southern-edge microplots, cover values increased to 80% by the end of the study. This degree of encroachment shifted the edge microplots into shrub-dominated microhabitats, similar to Cenral-Juniper microplots. In the meantime, juniper cover in the Central-Juniper microplots showed a slight decrease, most likely related to an aging and opening up of dense juniper canopies. No juniper reestablishment took place in any of the sampling plots.

- 288
- 289 4.2. Effects of juniper encroachment and wildfire on species richness
- 290 4.2.1. Juniper encroachment effects on species richness

291 In the 5 m \times 5 m plots, we found a small but significant increase in species richness in Juniper plots compared to 292 Grassland plots irrespective of wildfire and time. This is especially remarkable, given that juniper occupies about 293 half of the study plots and has a dramatic negative effect on species richness in those overgrown microsites. The 294 positive juniper effect on species richness is consistent with juniper invasion studies in North America, where 295 native juniper thickening in grasslands decreased native species richness only after a threshold of shrub cover was 296 reached (Taft & Kron 2014). At the same time, most studies dealing with juniper encroachment emphasize the 297 negative effects at high juniper cover (Rejmánek & Rosén 1988; Briggs et al. 2002; Van Auken 2009). Our study 298 was conducted in a moderately encroached forest-steppe with individual juniper shrubs that grew through time. 299 Similar to our results, Maestre et al. (2009) found more vascular plant species in the shrub-dominated than in 300 uninvaded plots in a regenerating Mediterranean woodland. In agreement with the findings of Koyama et al. 301 (2015), our results also suggest that juniper shrubs increase microhabitat diversity and thus provide favourable 302 conditions for different species. According to Soliveres et al. (2014a) species richness peaks at intermediate WPE in arid climatic conditions, and this might be the case in more humid ecosystems as well (Tölgyesi et al. 2018). 303 304 Our results show an example of positive WPE effects in species richness at an intermediate level of juniper 305 encroachment in a semi-arid forest-steppe.

306 In unburnt microplots, juniper encroachment had a clear negative effect on species richness. We documented a 307 gradual decrease in richness in unburnt Northern-edge and Southern-edge microplots through time, while the 308 lowest richness values were observed in unburnt Central-Juniper microplots throughout the study period. We 309 suggest that the main reason for the decrease in species richness is the competitive effect of juniper shrubs, namely 310 physical space occupation and shading. Studies conducted on juniper encroachment in North America have 311 provided evidence that species richness increases with distance from trunk (Briggs et al. 2002; Linneman & Palmer 312 2006; Els et al. 2010), and shade tolerant species preferred the northern plots (Linneman & Palmer 2006), while 313 C4 species preferred more sunny conditions (Gehring & Bragg 1992). In this present study, we documented the 314 transformation of unburnt edge microplots into woody habitats irrespective of their relative position due to growth 315 of juniper shrubs. Our results reveal that besides facilitation found in shrub scale, competition by woody species (Scholes & Archer 1997) may also affect the same grassland patches and exclude many species within a decade. 316 In line with Yoshihara et al. (2010), we emphasize that the detection of positive or negative effects of woody cover 317 318 on plant species richness may depend on the spatial scale used in a given study.

- 319
- **320** 4.2.2. Wildfire effects on species richness

- 321 Wildfire had positive effects on species richness in the 5 m \times 5 m plots irrespective of the presence of juniper. At
- finer scale $(1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m})$, effects were positive or neutral depending on the position of the microplots relative to the juniper shrub. Different positions also represented a likely increase in wildfire intensity from Central-Grassland
- 323 Jumper sinut. Different positions also represented a fixery increase in whithe intensity non central-orasiand 324 through edge up to Central Juniper microplots associated with an increasing amount of fuel. Low intensity fire in
- 325 grasslands may explain why the temporal trend of species richness did not differ between burnt and unburnt
- 326 Grassland microplots where most of the perennial species can resprout after wildfire (Knops 2006; Ónodi et al.
- 327 2008). By contrast, an increase of species richness through time was found in burnt Southern-edge and Central-
- 328 Juniper microplots. In the latter case, the number of plant species started from extremely low values characteristic
- 329 of the unburnt centres of juniper shrubs and increased steeply during the first three years until it reached the value 330 typical of grasslands. Positive effects of the studied wildfire found in our study are in line with other studies
- 331 conducted in fire-adapted ecosystems where wildfires maintain species rich communities (Slingsby et al. 2020).
- 332 Indeed, controlled fires of low severity are sometimes applied to increase biodiversity in juniper encroached
- **333** landscapes (Twidwell et al. 2013).
- Post-fire recovery depends on legacies of persisting plant tissues or seeds, and propagules arriving from the surrounding habitats (Abella 2009). We suggest that both of these pathways of plant recolonisation played considerable role in the higher richness in burnt plots compared to unburnt Grassland plots by the first year after the wildfire. Lipoma et al. (2016) found regeneration from persisting plant organs to be the most important factor during early stage of recovery. However, regeneration from newly arriving propagules must have been the dominant pathway in the Central-Juniper microplots in our study, where soil had burnt deeply, and richness was likely very low before wildfire.
- 340 likely very low before wildfire.
- 341
- 342 4.3. Compositional changes during juniper encroachment and after wildfire

343 Compositional dissimilarity between unburnt Grassland and unburnt Juniper plots was not significant in the first 344 year of our study when Juniper shrubs covered only 40% of the study plots. However, eleven years of further 345 juniper thickening (growth of juniper specimens) led to different plant species composition of these woody and 346 grassland habitats in the unburnt landscape. Our results support considerable and long lasting effects of juniper 347 encroachment on species composition during the transformation of grasslands into woodlands (Gehring & Bragg 348 1992; Linneman & Palmer 2006; Ganguli et al. 2008). Furthermore, native shrub invasion have been reported to 349 induce substantial compositional changes in several ecosystems, such as in South-Western Australian heathlands 350 (Shackelford et al. 2015), in South American savanna wetlands (Barbosa da Silva et al. 2016), in Indian montane 351 grasslands (Srinivasan 2011), and also in European dry grasslands (Maestre et al. 2009; Görzen et al. 2019). We 352 also showed that the expansion of juniper patches led to an increase in the number of plant species associated with 353 Juniper plots.

- 354 Wildfire had an immediate effect on plant species composition, thus burnt Grassland and burnt Juniper plots 355 differed from unburnt plots and from each other already one year after the wildfire. However, both burnt and 356 unburnt grassland plotswere similar in composition after a decade of significant temporal changes. Similar to our results, Knops et al. (2006) found that burning itself has only short-term impacts on vegetation composition in 357 358 grassland ecosystem of low productivity. Furthermore, we emphasize that the majority of species associated with burnt plots (either to Juniper or to Grassland plots; Table S1 in Appendix S2) are characteristic species of the 359 360 studied natural habitat (Csecserits et al. 2011). After eleven years of grassland regeneration, many grassland 361 specialist species established also in burnt Juniper plots resulting in a decreased number of species associated with 362 burnt Grassland plots.
- 363 Overall, our results indicate that shrub encroachment influenced vegetation composition more than wildfire (see 364 also Görzen et al. 2019). The grassland recovery in burnt juniper plots following the wildfire was surprisingly fast 365 and took place within the timeframe of our study. The fact that former presence of solitaire juniper shrubs did not 366 affect vegetation composition eleven years after the wildfire shows the high regeneration potential of the studied 367 grasslands. This regeneration potential is considered a feature of the sand grasslands of the region (Erdős et al. 368 2015). In contrast, Gehring and Bragg (1992) concluded that regeneration of prairie ecosystem in Eastern Nebraska 369 is unlikely even after removal of juniper shrubs. Likewise, Abella (2009) found many studies in a literature review 370 where only little convergence occurred between composition of burnt and unburnt sites at decadal time scales, and 371 post-fire regeneriation depended on the sprouting abilities of the woody species. Therefore, besides high

regeneration potential of the studied open sand grasslands, their fast recovery is most likely related to the inability

- of common juniper to resprout, which allows grassland recolonization in formerly encroached patches.
- 374
- **375** 4.4. Management implications

376 We found that sand grasslands regenerate fast after wildfire, not only in former grassland patches (Ónodi et al. 377 2008; Ónodi et al. 2014), but also in formerly encroached patches. However, our present study also showed that 378 no management intervention is needed as long as juniper cover is low, which enhanced plant species richness. In 379 fact, moderate juniper cover has also been found to increase the stability of grasslands due to reduced drought 380 sensitivity in the studied forest-steppe ecosystem (Ónodi et al. 2014; Kertész et al. 2017). Furthermore, juniper 381 patches were shown to be an important element of the forest-steppe vegetation mosaic of high habitat heterogeneity 382 and conservation value (Erdős et al. 2018). In many cases, poplar species also appear in this vegetation mosaic 383 further increasing habitat heterogeneity.

384 On the other hand, in cases when juniper becomes dense, and thus increases both fire danger and the extent of 385 species poor habitat patches, a management intervention may be needed. Although prescribed burning has been 386 found to be an effective conservaton management tool against WPE (D'Odorico et al. 2012), it may be difficult to 387 apply in the study region, because dense juniper stands are embedded in a complex landscape that contain 388 settlements and very flammable pine plantations. Alternatively, mechanical shrub removal has been reported to be an appropriate management tool (Lett & Knapp 2005). However, we emphasize that mechanical thinning have to 389 390 be applied with care, because the studied ecosystem is sensitive to surface perturbation, which may facilitate the 391 spread of invasive species (Szitár et al. 2014).

Overall, our results demonstrate that a low or intermadiate level of juniper encroachment does not have negative diversity effects and that the development of dense stands should be avoided, but a careful cost-beneft analysis and feasibility analysis would be needed to decide if prescribed burning, mechanical shrub removal, or a mixture of the two could best help to reach this target state.

- 396
- **397** 4.5. Conclusions

398 Both moderate level of juniper encroachment and wildfire were found to increase species richness in the studied 399 forest-steppe community. At the same time, high juniper density negatively affected richness in the understory 400 vegetation. Long-term observations provided further evidence for the negative effects of juniper on species 401 richness at the edges of the shrubs. By contrast, we found fast increase in species richness after wildfire killed the 402 junipers. In grassland sites, wildfire caused only transient change in species composition, but in burnt juniper plots 403 it shifted vegetation composition towards the grassland state, while juniper thickening resulted in a considerable 404 shift in composition. Collectively, these results suggest that neither moderate juniper encroachment nor wildfire 405 have negative effects on plant species richness in the studied ecosystem, but in order to avoid species loss and 406 devastating fires conservation management should prevent high juniper density.

- 407
- 408
- 409 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Piroska Kucs and János Garadnai, for their help in vegetation sampling, to Bernadett
 Kolonics for lab assistance, and to the Kiskunság National Park for supporting our research.

- 412
- 413 Author contributions
- 414 G.K-D. conceived the study. All authors contributed to data collection. K.S., A.L. and G.Ó. performed statistical
- analyses. G.Ó. led the writing of the manuscript, with major input from K.S., M.K., A.L and G.K-D. All authors
 discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.
- 417

- 418 Data availability statement
- 419 The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article (Appendix
- 420 S3).

422 References

- Abella, S.R. 2009. Post-fire plant recovery in the Mojave and Sonoran Deserts of western North America. *Journal of Arid Environments* 73: 699–707.
- Akaike, H. 1978. On Newer Statistical Approaches to Parameter Estimation and Structure Determination. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* 11: 1877–1884.
- Anderson, M.J. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology* 26:
 32–46.
- Ansley, R.J., & Castellano, M.J. 2006. Strategies for Savanna Restoration in the Southern Great Plains: Effects of
 Fire and Herbicides. *Restoration Ecology* 14: 420–428.
- Anthelme, F., Villaret, J.C., & Brun, J.J. 2007. Shrub encroachment in the Alps gives rise to the convergence of
 sub-alpine communities on a regional scale. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 18: 355–362.
- 434 Arbizu, M. 2017. Pairwiseadonis: Pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis. *R package version* 0.0.1.:
 435 Available online at: https://github.com/pmartinezarbizu/pairwiseAdonis.
- Báez, S., & Collins, S.L. 2008. Shrub Invasion Decreases Diversity and Alters Community Stability in Northern
 Chihuahuan Desert Plant Communities. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2332.
- Barbosa da Silva, F., Arieira, J., Parolin, P., Nunes da Cunha, C., Junk, W., & Marrs, R. 2016. Shrub encroachment
 influences herbaceous communities in flooded grasslands of a neotropical savanna wetland. *Applied Vegetation Science* 19: 391–400.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. 2014. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67(1): 1–48.
- Belay, T.A., Totland, Ø., & Moe, S.R. 2013. Ecosystem responses to woody plant encroachment in a semiarid
 savanna rangeland. *Plant Ecology* 214: 1211–1222.
- Biró, M., Szitár, K., Horváth, F., Bagi, I., & Molnár, Zs. 2013. Detection of long-term landscape changes and trajectories in a Pannonian sand region: comparing land-cover and habitat-based approaches at two spatial scales. *Community Ecology* 14: 219–230.
- Bond, W.J., & Keeley, J.E. 2005. Fire as a global 'herbivore': the ecology and evolution of flammable ecosystems.
 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 20: 387–394.
- Bond, W.J., & Parr, C.L. 2010. Beyond the forest edge: Ecology, diversity and conservation of the grassy biomes.
 Biological Conservation 143: 2395–2404.
- Briggs, J.M., Hoch, G.A., & Johnson, L.C. 2002. Assessing the Rate, Mechanisms, and Consequences of the
 Conversion of Tallgrass Prairie to Juniperus virginiana Forest. *Ecosystems* 5: 578–586.
- Brooks, M.E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K.J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C.W., Nielsen, A., Skaug, H.J.,
 Machler, M., et al. 2017. glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated
 generalized linear mixed modeling. *The R journal* 9: 378–400.
- 457 Case, M.F., & Staver, A.C. 2017. Fire prevents woody encroachment only at higher-than-historical frequencies in
 458 a South African savanna. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 54: 955–962.
- Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J., & Botta-Dukát, Z. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90.
- 461 Csecserits, A., Czúcz, B., Halassy, M., Kröel-Dulay, G., Rédei, T., Szabó, R., Szitár, K., & Török, K. 2011.
 462 Regeneration of sandy old-fields in the forest steppe region of Hungary. *Plant Biosystems* 145: 715–729.

- 463 D'Odorico, P., Okin, G.S., & Bestelmeyer, B.T. 2012. A synthetic review of feedbacks and drivers of shrub
 464 encroachment in arid grasslands. *Ecohydrology* 5: 520–530.
- 465 Debussche, M., Lepart, J., & Dervieux, A. 1999. Mediterranean landscape changes: evidence from old postcards.
 466 *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 8: 3–15.
- Eldridge, D.J., Bowker, M.A., Maestre, F.T., Roger, E., Reynolds, J.F., & Whitford, W.G. 2011. Impacts of shrub
 encroachment on ecosystem structure and functioning: towards a global synthesis. *Ecology Letters* 14:
 709–722.
- Els, P.V., Will, R.E., Palmer, M.W., & Hickman, K.R. 2010. Changes in forest understory associated with
 Juniperus encroachment in Oklahoma, USA. *Applied Vegetation Science* 13: 356–368.
- 472 Erdős, L., Kröel-Dulay, G., Bátori, Z., Kovács, B., Németh, C., Kiss, P.J., & Tölgyesi, C. 2018. Habitat
 473 heterogeneity as a key to high conservation value in forest-grassland mosaics. *Biological Conservation*474 226: 72–80.
- 475 Erdős, L., Krstonošić, D., Kiss, P.J., Bátori, Z., Tölgyesi, C., & Škvorc, Ž. 2019. Plant composition and diversity
 476 at edges in a semi-natural forest–grassland mosaic. *Plant Ecology* 220: 279–292.
- 477 Erdős, L., Tölgyesi, C., Cseh, V., Tolnay, D., Cserhalmi, D., Körmöczi, L., Gellény, K., & Bátori, Z. 2015.
 478 Vegetation history, recent dynamics and future prospects of a Hungarian sandy forest-steppe reserve: 479 forest-grassland relations, tree species composition and size-class distribution. *Community Ecology* 16: 480 95–105.
- FAO-ISRIC-ISSS. 1998. World reference base for soil resources. World Soil Resources Report 84. FAO, Rome:
 155 pp.
- Franzese, J., Ghermandi, L., & Donaldo, B. 2009. Post-fire shrub recruitment in a semi-arid grassland: the role of
 microsites. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 251–259.
- Fuhlendorf, S.D., Smeins, F.E., & Taylor, C.A. 1997. Browsing and Tree Size Influences on Ashe Juniper
 Understory. *Journal of Range Management* 50: 507–512.
- Fusco, E.J., Finn, J.T., Balch, J.K., Nagy, R.C., & Bradley, B.A. 2019. Invasive grasses increase fire occurrence
 and frequency across US ecoregions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116: 23594–
 23599.
- 490 Ganguli, A.C., Engle, D.M., Mayer, P.M., & Hellgren, E.C. 2008. Plant community diversity and composition
 491 provide little resistance to Juniperus encroachment. *Botany* 86: 1416–1426.
- 492 García, C., Moracho, E., Díaz-Delgado, R., & Jordano, P. 2014. Long-term expansion of juniper populations in managed landscapes: patterns in space and time. *Journal of Ecology* 102: 1562–1571.
- 494 Gehring, J.L., & Bragg, T.B. 1992. Changes in Prairie Vegetation under Eastern Red Cedar (Juniperus virginiana
 495 L.) in an Eastern Nebraska Bluestem Prairie. *The American Midland Naturalist* 128: 209–217.
- Gibbens, R.P., McNeely, R.P., Havstad, K.M., Beck, R.F., & Nolen, B. 2005. Vegetation changes in the Jornada
 Basin from 1858 to 1998. *Journal of Arid Environments* 61: 651–668.
- 498 Görzen, E., Borisova, K., Fenesi, A., Ruprecht, E., & Donath, T.W. 2019. Effects of woody species encroachment
 499 and fire on vegetation and the soil seed bank in dry grasslands of Transylvania. *Applied Vegetation* 500 Science 22: 409–422.
- Gremer, J.R., Andrews, C., Norris, J.R., Thomas, L.P., Munson, S.M., Duniway, M.C., & Bradford, J.B. 2018.
 Increasing temperature seasonality may overwhelm shifts in soil moisture to favor shrub over grass dominance in Colorado Plateau drylands. *Oecologia* 188: 1195–1207.
- Huang, H., Zinnert, J.C., Wood, L.K., Young, D.R., & D'Odorico, P. 2018. Non-linear shift from grassland to
 shrubland in temperate barrier islands. *Ecology* 99: 1671–1681.

- Kertész, M., Aszalós, R., Lengyel, A., & Ónodi, G. 2017. Synergistic effects of the components of global change: Increased vegetation dynamics in open, forest-steppe grasslands driven by wildfires and year-to-year
 precipitation differences. *PLOS ONE* 12: e0188260.
- Knapp, A.K., McCarron, J.K., Silletti, A.M., Hoch, G.A., Heisler, J.C., Lett, M.S., et al. 2008. Ecological
 Consequences of the Replacement of Native Grassland by Juniperus virginiana and Other Woody Plants.
 In Auken, O.W.V. (ed.), *Western North American Juniperus Communities*, pp. 156–169. Ecological
 Studies. Springer New York.
- 513 Knops, J.M.H. 2006. Fire does not alter vegetation in infertile prairie. *Oecologia* 150: 477–483.
- Kovács-Láng, E., Kröel-Dulay, Gy., Kertész, M., Fekete, G., Mika, J., Dobi-Wantuch, I., et al. 2000. Changes in
 the composition of sand grasslands along a climatic gradient in Hungary and implications for climate
 change. *Phytocoenologia* 30: 385-408.
- Koyama, A., Sasaki, T., Jamsran, U., & Okuro, T. 2015. Shrub cover regulates population dynamics of herbaceous
 plants at individual shrub scale on the Mongolian steppe. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 26: 441–451.
- 519 Lenth, R.V. 2016. Least-Squares Means: The R Package Ismeans. *Journal of Statistical Software* 69(1): 1-33.
- Lett, M.S., & Knapp, A.K. 2005. Woody Plant Encroachment and Removal in Mesic Grassland: Production and
 Composition Responses of Herbaceous Vegetation. *The American Midland Naturalist* 153: 217–231.
- Linneman, J., & Palmer, M. 2006. The effect of Juniperus virginiana on plant species composition in an Oklahoma
 grassland. *Community Ecology* 7: 235–244.
- Lipoma, M.L., Gurvich, D.E., Urcelay, C., & Díaz, S. 2016. Plant community resilience in the face of fire:
 experimental evidence from a semi-arid shrubland. *Austral Ecology* 41: 501–511.
- Maestre, F.T., Bowker, M.A., Puche, M.D., Belén Hinojosa, M., Martínez, I., García-Palacios, P., et al. 2009.
 Shrub encroachment can reverse desertification in semi-arid Mediterranean grasslands. *Ecology Letters* 12: 930–941.
- Marozas, V., Racinskas, J., & Bartkevicius, E. 2007. Dynamics of ground vegetation after surface fires in hemiboreal Pinus sylvestris forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 250: 47–55.
- Molnár, Zs., Biró, M., Bartha, S., & Fekete, G. 2012. Past Trends, Present State and Future Prospects of Hungarian
 Forest-Steppes. In Werger, M.J.A. & Staalduinen, M.A. (eds.), *Eurasian Steppes. Ecological Problems and Livelihoods in a Changing World*, pp. 209–252. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Moritz, M.A., Parisien, M.-A., Batllori, E., Krawchuk, M.A., Van Dorn, J., Ganz, D.J., & Hayhoe, K. 2012.
 Climate change and disruptions to global fire activity. *Ecosphere* 3: 1-22.
- 536 Nackley, L.L., West, A.G., Skowno, A.L., & Bond, W.J. 2017. The Nebulous Ecology of Native Invasions. *Trends* 537 *in Ecology & Evolution* 32: 814–824.
- 538 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendley, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., et al. 2019. vegan: Community
 539 Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.
- Ónodi, G., Altbäcker, V., Aszalós, R., Botta-Dukát, Z., Hahn, I., & Kertész, M. 2014. Long-term weather
 sensitivity of open sand grasslands of the Kiskunság Sand Ridge forest-steppe mosaic after wildfires.
 Community Ecology 15: 121–129.
- Ónodi, G., Kertész, M., Botta-Dukat, Z., & Altbäcker, V. 2008. Grazing Effects on Vegetation Composition and
 on the Spread of Fire on Open Sand Grasslands. *Arid Land Research & Management* 22: 273–285.
- Peterson, D.W., & Reich, P.B. 2008. Fire frequency and tree canopy structure influence plant species diversity in
 a forest-grassland ecotone. *Plant Ecology* 194: 5–16.

- 547 R Core Team. 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
 548 Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- Ratajczak, Z., D'Odorico, P., Nippert Jesse, B., Collins, S.L., Brunsell, N.A., Sujith, S., & Matlack, G. 2017.
 Changes in spatial variance during a grassland to shrubland state transition. *Journal of Ecology* 105: 750– 760.
- Ratajczak, Z., Nippert, J.B., & Collins, S.L. 2012. Woody encroachment decreases diversity across North
 American grasslands and savannas. *Ecology* 93: 697–703.
- Ravi, S., D'Odorico, P., Wang, L., White, C.S., Okin, G.S., Macko, S.A., & Collins, S.L. 2009. Post-Fire Resource
 Redistribution in Desert Grasslands: A Possible Negative Feedback on Land Degradation. *Ecosystems* 12: 434–444.
- Rejmánek, M., & Rosén, E. 1988. The effects of colonizing shrubs (Juniperus communis and Potentilla fruticosa)
 on species richness in the grasslands of Stora Alvaret, Oeland (Sweden). Acta phytogeographica suecica
 76: 67–72.
- Scholes, R.J., & Archer, S.R. 1997. Tree-Grass Interactions in Savannas. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 28: 517–544.
- Shackelford, N., Renton, M., Perring, M.P., Brooks, K., & Hobbs, R.J. 2015. Biodiversity change in heathland and
 its relationships with shifting local fire regimes and native species expansion. *Journal of Plant Ecology* 8: 17–29.
- Simon, T. 1971. The nature reserve and IBP Samling area of Csévharaszt (Hungarian). *Állattani Közlemények* 58: 105–111.
- Slingsby, J.A., Moncrieff, G.R., Rogers, A.J., & February, E.C. 2020. Altered ignition catchments threaten a hyper diverse fire-dependent ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* 26: 616-628.
- Smithson, M., & Verkuilen, J. 2006. A better lemon squeezer? Maximum-likelihood regression with beta distributed dependent variables. *Psychological methods* 11: 54–71.
- Soliveres, S., Maestre, F.T., Eldridge, D.J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Quero, J.L., Bowker, M.A., & Gallardo, A.
 2014a. Plant diversity and ecosystem multifunctionality peak at intermediate levels of woody cover in global drylands. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 23: 1408–1416.
- Soliveres, S., Eldridge, D.J., & Wilson, S. 2014b. Do changes in grazing pressure and the degree of shrub
 encroachment alter the effects of individual shrubs on understorey plant communities and soil function?
 Functional Ecology 28: 530–537.
- 577 Srinivasan, M.P. 2011. Exotic shrub invasion in a montane grassland: the role of fire as a potential restoration tool.
 578 *Biological Invasions* 14: 1009–1028.
- 579 Szitár, K., Ónodi, G., Somay, L., Pándi, I., Kucs, P., & Kröel-Dulay, G. 2014. Recovery of inland sand dune grasslands following the removal of alien pine plantation. *Biological Conservation* 171: 52–60.
- Taft, J.B., & Kron, Z.P. 2014. Evidence of Species and Functional Group Attrition in Shrub-encroached Prairie:
 Implications for Restoration. *The American Midland Naturalist* 172: 252–265.
- 583 The Plant List. 2010. Version 1. Published on the Internet; http://www.theplantlist.org/ (accessed 1st January).
- Tölgyesi, C., Bátori, Z., Gallé, R., Urák, I., & Hartel, T. 2018. Shrub Encroachment Under the Trees Diversifies
 the Herb Layer in a Romanian Silvopastoral System. *Rangeland Ecology & Management* 71: 571–577.
- Twidwell, D., Rogers, W.E., Fuhlendorf, S.D., Wonkka, C.L., Engle, D.M., Weir, J.R., Kreuter, U.P., & Taylor,
 C.A. 2013. The rising Great Plains fire campaign: citizens' response to woody plant encroachment.
 Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 11: 64–71.

- 589 Uys, R.G., Bond, W.J., & Everson, T.M. 2004. The effect of different fire regimes on plant diversity in southern
 590 African grasslands. *Biological Conservation* 118: 489–499.
- Van Auken, O.W. 2009. Causes and consequences of woody plant encroachment into western North American
 grasslands. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90: 2931–2942.
- Wang, J., Xiao, X., Zhang, Y., Qin, Y., Doughty, R.B., Wu, X., Bajgain, R., & Du, L. 2018. Enhanced gross primary production and evapotranspiration in juniper-encroached grasslands. *Global Change Biology* 24: 5655–5667.
- Yoshihara, Y., Sasaki, T., Okuro, T., Undarmaa, J., & Takeuchi, K. 2010. Cross-spatial-scale patterns in the facilitative effect of shrubs and potential for restoration of desert steppe. *Ecological Engineering* 36: 1719–1724.
- Zólyomi, B., Kéri, M., & Horváth, F. 1997. Spatial and temporal changes in the frequency of climatic year types
 in the Carpathian Basin. *Coenoses* 12: 33-41.
- Zuur, A., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A.A., & Smith, G.M. 2009. *Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R.* Springer, New York.

604 Tables and legends

605 Table 1

Results of generalised linear mixed models of *J. communis* cover in the unburnt Juniper plots, and in the unburnt microplots

Explanatory variables	DF	Chi-square	Р
$5 \text{ m} \times 5 \text{ m plots}$			
time	1	94.55	< 0.001
1 m × 1 m microplots			
position	2	265.40	< 0.001
time	1	24.71	< 0.001
position * time	2	169.26	< 0.001

 \overline{DF} shows degrees of freedom, Chi-square and P are the test statistic and the probability of type III error of the Wald test

610

611 Table 2

Results of generalized linear mixed models after backward variable selection of total plant species richness in thesampling plots

Explanatory variables	DF	Chi-square	P
$5 \text{ m} \times 5 \text{ m plots}$			
wildfire	1	9.75	0.002
position	1	58.26	< 0.001
time	1	6.07	0.014
wildfire * position	1	2.83	0.093
wildfire * time	1	2.21	0.137
position * time	1	3.11	0.078
$1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m microplots}$			
wildfire	1	80.55	< 0.001
position	3	327.16	< 0.001
time	1	91.94	< 0.001
wildfire * position	3	515.72	< 0.001
wildfire * time	1	96.08	< 0.001
position * time	3	58.76	< 0.001
wildfire * position * time	3	39.01	< 0.001

614 *DF* shows degrees of freedom, Chi-square and *P* are the test statistic and probability of type III error. In the case

615 of 5 m \times 5 m plots, model selection excluded the three-way interaction of explanatory variables

- Figures and legends





621 patches. The edge of burnt or living juniper shrub is marked within the Juniper plot. 18 burnt and 18 unburnt blocks

622 of the same design were set up and monitored for the study



Figure 2 Changes in the canopy cover of J. communis (a) in the unburnt Juniper plots, and (b) in the unburnt microplots









Figure 4 Ordination diagram of Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (final stress = 0.294) of 5 m × 5 m plots
(Bray-Curtis dissimilarity). For better visualization, the results of the analysis are presented in two subplots: (a)
plots in 2008, and (b) plots in 2018. Convex hulls show groups of fire * position combinations

- 637 Supporting Information
- 638
- Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of thearticle.

- 642 Appendix S1 Map of the study area
- 643 Appendix S2 List of diagnostic plant species
- 644 Appendix S3 Original data tables