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sympathise with M. Uzanne's rejoicings over his child, nor is he too encomiastic of it. It has really come to supply a want, and has already gone far toward supplying it. Tho chiof suggestion we have to mako is that in all things matters of decided and permanent interest should for the future have the pas of mere literature of the moment. We think that perhaps hitherto a little too much space has been allowed to ephemeral things, such as the novels and bookmaking work of tho day. There are plenty of other places whore this sort of ware can be noticed, and there are not plenty of other places where the matter proper to Lee Livre can find room. In the second placo, tho editor should be very careful to give his reviews to reviowers who know their subjects. There is in this very number a proposition which, though it expresses the general opinion of unliterary littérateurs in France, is astounding in a periodical avowedly devoted to literature. The reviewer of M. Jonon des Longrais' recont edition of the Chanson d'Acquin not only says tbat that chanson is "peut-étre le plus ancion monument do notre littérature de langue fran-çaise"-which is, begring his pardon, simply absurd-but adds that, having beon copied in the fifteenth century, "il a l'avantage d'etre it peu pres lisible." No one with the least first-hand knowledge of Old French, which, in its oldest form, is little more difficult to a fairly read student of the modorn tongue than Chaucer to Englishmen, could possibly have said this. It is, of courso, difficnlt for an oditor to be omniscient, but he can at least select assistants who are not likely to "lot him in," as the familiar phrase has it. Tho numbor, it should be observed, contains a pleasant article, "Mos Livres," by M. Jules Claretie, some more of tho useful and interesting papers on "La Reliure Illustrée," and a capital account of Fragonard's illustrations of Is Fontaino's Conles with an etching of one of them. In the modern portion, the best thing is an excellent review of M. Drumont's instalinent of the long-buriod Saint-Simon papers from the capable pen of M. Lugéne Asse.
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## CORRESPONDENCE.

ENDOGAMY AND POLYGAMY AMONG TIIE ARABS. Buda-Pest: June, 1880.
The histornco-social quostions of endogamy and exogamy, like the tracos of polyandry among the more recently civilised races, have of late, in their relation to the various civilised and barbarous nations of the earth, become the subject of keen enquiry and thorough investigation. In England, especially, many works of great penotration and significance have appeared upon these questions. In the last part of the -Tournal of I'hilology (published in London by Mossrs. Macmillan), vol.ix., p. 87, Mr. Robertson Smith, taking the opportunity afforded by his cssay on " Animal Worship and Animal Tribes among the Arabs," in which he mentions the traces of totemism discovered by him in the ancient literature of the Arabs and the Hebrews, and starting from the hypothesis of an intimate connexion existing between totomism, iuheritance through the mother, exogamy, and polyandry, oudeavours to adduco several data on oxogamy and polyandry among tho ancient Arabians. As this subject possesses great interest as bearing on the history of civilisation among the pre-Mohammedan Arabs, permit me to make use of your columns by exteading as far as possible the data collocted by Mr. W. R. Smith.

1. Exogamy. The celebrated poot of the Mu'allakît, Amr. b. Kolthum, in an intoresting record of his wisdom, namely, his testament to his childron, gives tho following advice to the latter:-"Do not marry in your own family, for domostic enmity arises therofrom " (Kitâb al-aghinî, ecl. Bnlikk, ix. 18j̄). There is an important passage in Juuharî's Arabic Dictionary, s.v. Sg-̀, " By ghulim dîwl is meant a feeble, meagre youth.
In hadith it is said: Iggoi y ly:ر"il, i.e., "Marry among strangers; thus you will not have feeble posterity." This view coincides with the opinion of tho ancient Arabs that the childron of ondogamous marriages aro weakly and lean. To this class also belongs the proverb of AlMeydînî, ii., p. 2500: Al-nazâ'i lî al-Kara'ib, i.e., " (Marry) the distant, marry not the near" (in relutiouship). So also, the poet in praising a hero says: "H0 is a hero not horne by the cousin (of his father), ho is not weakly ; for tho scod of relations brings forth feoble fruit.'
Ibn ' $\Lambda$ bd Rabbihi's Kitab al-'Tkd al fartd, iii. p. 290. On tho othor hand, tho Arabic history of the Persian king Ardeshîr tells us that, among other maxims of morality, he gavo the following advice to his lawyers, secroturios, commanding officers, and hurbandmen: "You may marry among your near relations, for the sympathy of kinship is kept alive theroby" (Commentaire historique sur le poeme d'Iln 'Abdun, od. Dozy, pp. 27, 29). This passage throws some light on the information givon by the Arabic geographor, Al Mukaddesi (od. de Goojo, p. 368), according to whom a systom of compulsory ondogamy had oxisted
in Jurjun. To return to the Arabs, it is known that, in spite of the opinions in favour of exogamy mentioned above, the preferance for marriage with a cousin was dominant among thom. Tho bcloved one is called, indeed, evon whon sho stands in no position of relationship to her lover, "bint amm" (cousin), and the futher-in-law, although not the uncle of his son-in-law, is called " "amm" (uncle). What the considerations wero that gave importance to this endogarnic tendency in aucient times wo discover from the Kitib alaghtnî, viii. 113, in the courso of a narrativo which is excoodingly instructivo, but from which, for the sake of brevity, I only quote tho decisive passage. Keys b. Dharih, of the stack of Kinthit, falls in love with Isabna, a boautiful maiden of the race of Koditit. When ho inploros his father for pormission to marry his beloved one, the father makes this objection ' That Dharîh was indeed a rich and wealthy man, and did not wish his son to take the side of a stranger."
2. Polyandry. An important evidenco of polyandry among the heathen Arabs is found in a passago of Al-Buchitrî's Collected T'raditions, ed. Krehl, iii., p. 427 (c. lxvii., No. 36). The easy manner in which the women separate from their husbands soems to be a romnant of polyandry; and it may be regarded as a refinoment of polyandry that the husbands, instead of presenting themselves at the same timo, succeed each other. With referenco to this, it is very interesting to observe that the wife repudiates the husband. Ono of tho most interesting notices of this kind is a story which wo find alludod to in a proverb of Al-Moydinî, "Quickor than the marriage of Umm Charijil" -a proverb referring to a woman who had more than forty husbands, bolonging to more than twonty tribes, in succossion. Umm Chatrijit was not the only Arab woman of this kind, for in the original narrative other women of similar behaviour are mentioned. (See Al-Meydîtnî, ed. Bnlâk, i., p. 300; Al-Mubarıad's Kitmil, ed. Wright, i., p. 264.)
I. Golizinelt.

MENIIITS AND DOLMENS IN THE DISTRICT OF órranto.
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On May 8 you published in tho Acadeary a short account which I sont of somo mogalithic remains in the district of Otranto, gathered from a communication by Prof. Cosimo de Giorgi to the IRassegna Scttimanale. In that he refors to a former publication of his on tho samo subject which I had not seen. I concluded from one passaga that there wore in Lecee somo structures, described in the formor publication, alliod to the muraghi of Sardinia describod by Canon Spano and othors, which surprised mo. Since that Signor de Giorgi has kindly sont mo the publication roferrod to. I was mistakon in supposing that tho structures alluded to are ruruyhi, and wish to correct my error; they are dolmens, aud he uses the Broton torm, from which I suppose thoro is no acknowledged Italian term for these monuments. In this publication ho deacribes and givos the moasures of some pictre fitte (menhirs) and of one dolmon. Of the menhire, those of Iargo Trico and of Jargo S. Autonio are describod in his later publication. Tho measures given in the two publications do not exactly agreo.

Width. Thick- IIeight.
Largo Trice
Largo S. Pietro.
Largo S. Antonio
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They are here comect tion. Moreover, the second mentir has not the

