A generalization of Strassen's theorem on preordered semirings

Péter Vrana

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract Given a commutative semiring with a compatible preorder satisfying a version of the Archimedean property, the asymptotic spectrum, as introduced by Strassen (J. reine angew. Math. 1988), is an essentially unique compact Hausdorff space together with a map from the semiring to the ring of continuous functions. Strassen's theorem characterizes an asymptotic relaxation of the preorder that asymptotically compares large powers of the elements up to a subexponential factor as the pointwise partial order of the corresponding functions, realizing the asymptotic spectrum as the space of monotone semiring homomorphisms to the nonnegative real numbers. Such preordered semirings have found applications in complexity theory and information theory.

We prove a generalization of this theorem to preordered semirings that satisfy a weaker polynomial growth condition. This weaker hypothesis does not ensure in itself that nonnegative real-valued monotone homomorphisms characterize the (appropriate modification of the) asymptotic preorder. We find a sufficient condition as well as an equivalent condition for this to hold. Under these conditions the asymptotic spectrum is a locally compact Hausdorff space satisfying a similar universal property as in Strassen's work.

Keywords preordered semiring \cdot asymptotic preorder \cdot spectrum

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 06F25 · 16Y60

Acknowledgements I thank Tobias Fritz for providing useful feedback. This work was supported by the ÚNKP-19-4 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. I acknowledge support from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH) within the Quantum Technology National Excellence Program (Project Nr. 2017-1.2.1-NKP-2017-00001) and via the research grants K124152, KH129601.

P. Vrana

Institute of Mathematics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Egry József u. 1., Budapest, 1111 Hungary

MTA-BME Lendület Quantum Information Theory Research Group E-mail: vranap@math.bme.hu ORCID: 0000-0003-0770-0432

1 Introduction

Motivated by the study of asymptotic tensor rank and more generally relative bilinear complexity, in [16] Strassen developed the theory of asymptotic spectra of preordered semirings (see also [17, Chapter 2] for a recent exposition). In this context, the spectrum of a (commutative, unital) semiring S with respect to a preorder \preccurlyeq is the set $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ of \preccurlyeq -monotone homomorphisms $S \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, i.e. maps f satisfying f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), f(xy) = f(x)f(y), f(1) = 1 and $x \preccurlyeq y \implies$ $f(x) \leq f(y)$. Strassen introduces the asymptotic preorder \preceq as $x \succeq y$ iff there is a sublinear nonnegative integer sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all n the inequality $2^{k_n}x^n \succcurlyeq y^n$ holds (see Section 2 for precise definitions). Every element $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ satisfies $x \succeq y \implies f(x) \geq f(y)$. The key insight, and the content of [16, Corollary 2.6.], is that under an additional boundedness assumption the converse also holds in the sense that $(\forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \geq f(y)) \implies x \succeq y$ (see the precise statement below).

In the main application in [16] the role of S is played by the set of (equivalence classes of) tensors over a fixed field and of fixed order but arbitrary finite dimension. The operations are the direct sum and the tensor (Kronecker) product of tensors and the preorder is given by tensor restriction (alternatively: degeneration). Asymptotic tensor rank can be characterized in terms of the resulting asymptotic restriction preorder, and as a consequence also in terms of the asymptotic spectrum.

More recently, the theory of asymptotic spectra has been applied to a range of other problems as well. In [18] Zuiddam introduced the asymptotic spectrum of graphs and found a dual characterization of the Shannon capacity [15]. Continuing this line of research, Li and Zuiddam studied the quantum Shannon capacity (defined as the regularization of the quantum independence number [12]) and entanglement-assisted quantum capacity [3] of graphs as well as the entanglementassisted quantum capacity of noncommutative graphs [5] via the asymptotic spectra of suitable semirings of graphs and noncommutative graphs [11]. In the context of quantum information theory, tensors in Hilbert spaces represent entangled pure states, and the relevant preorder is given by local operations and classical communication (see e.g. [2]). This again gives rise to a preordered semiring [10] which refines the tensor restriction preorder and which also fits into Strassen's framework. In this application, the asymptotic spectrum provides a characterization of converse error exponents for asymptotic entantanglement transformations, a vast generalization of the results of [9].

At the same time, it became clear that the boundedness condition in Strassen's theorem is too restrictive for some purposes. For example, it does not hold in the semiring of noncommutative graphs where one could hope for a characterization of zero-error capacities similarly to [18]. To motivate a relaxation of this condition, note first that the definition of the asymptotic preorder does not make use of the additive structure and in the applications one is mainly interested in the ordered commutative monoid $S \setminus \{0\}$ with multiplication and the preorder. Such objects have been studied in [6] as a mathematical model for resource theories [1]. In this context, asymptotic properties such as the asymptotic preorder above and more generally, rate formulas are of central interest. [6, Theorem 8.24.] implies that if an ordered commutative monoid has an element u such that for every x there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u^k \geq x$ and $u^k x \geq 1$, then $u^{o(n)} x^n \geq y^n$ for all large n iff for all monotone

homomorphisms f into the semigroup $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (with multiplication and the usual order) the inequality $f(x) \geq f(y)$ holds. At this point the relation to Strassen's result should be clear: if the monoid in question is the multiplicative monoid of a preordered semiring and the above condition is satisfied with u = 2, then one can restrict to monotones that preserve both operations. One may wonder if u = 2is necessary for this stronger conclusion to hold, but simple examples show that without this assumption the set of monotone semiring homomorphisms can be too small to characterize the asymptotic preorder. In this spirit, Tobias Fritz proved a generalization of Strassen's theorem [8], listing conditions that are equivalent to $\forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \geq f(y)$ and generalize the asymptotic preorder in different ways, emphasizing also the similarity to Positivstellensätze.

Our main result is Theorem 2 below, a sufficient condition under which the spectrum does characterize the asymptotic preorder as above and which generalizes Strassen's condition. Before stating our main theorem, let us formulate Strassen's result in a way that eases comparison. We say that an element $u \in S$ is power universal if $u \geq 1$ and for every $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u^k x \geq 1$ and $u^k \geq x$ [8]. If S contains a power universal element then S is said to be of polynomial growth. The asymptotic preorder is defined as $x \succeq y$ iff there is a sublinear nonnegative integer sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all n the inequality $u^{k_n} x^n \geq y^n$ holds. Note that the asymptotic preorder does not depend on the choice of the power universal element (see Lemma 1 below). With these definitions Strassen's theorem on asymptotic spectra can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1 (Strassen [16, Corollary 2.6.], Zuiddam [17, Theorem 2.12]) Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring such that the canonical map $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow S$ is an order embedding, and suppose that u = 2 is power universal. Then for every $x, y \in S$ we have

$$x \succeq y \iff \forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y). \tag{1}$$

 $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is a nonempty compact Hausdorff space.

Our main result is the following (for $s \in S$, $ev_s : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ denotes the evaluation map $f \mapsto f(s)$).

Theorem 2 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth such that the canonical map $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow S$ is an order embedding, and let $M \subseteq S$ and S_0 be the subsemiring generated by M. Suppose that

(M1) for all $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ there exist $m \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq nms$ and $ms \preccurlyeq n$

(M2) for all $m \in M$ such that $\operatorname{ev}_m : \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is bounded there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \preccurlyeq n$.

Then for every $x, y \in S$ we have

$$x \succeq y \iff \forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y).$$
 (2)

 $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is a nonempty locally compact Hausdorff space and if u is power universal then $\operatorname{ev}_u : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is proper.

This result is a generalization of Theorem 1: if u = 2 is power universal then one can choose $M = \{1\}$ for which both conditions are easily verified, whereas the topological part follows from the fact that ev_u is a constant map in this case.

As a concrete example, we briefly describe a preordered semiring to which our theorem applies but does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. This application will be more fully explored and generalized in the context of quantum information theory in a separate paper [13]. The elements of this semiring are pairs of measures on finite sets (p,q), up to bijections almost everywhere. Equivalently, one may consider pairs of equal-dimensional vectors of nonnegative real numbers. The operations are is induced by the disjoint union and the tensor product, while the preorder is the relative submajorization [14], defined as $(p,q) \ge (p',q')$ if there is a substochastic matrix T such that $Tp \ge p'$ and $Tq \le q'$ entrywise.

It may happen that (M1) is not satisfied by any subset M of S but can be satisfied after localizing at a suitable multiplicative set T. We will see that localization does not affect the asymptotic preorder or the spectrum, which leads to the following corollary, a somewhat more flexible version of our main result:

Corollary 1 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth such that $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow S$ is an order embedding. Let $M \subseteq S$ and $T \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ be a multiplicative set containing 1, and let S_0 be the subsemiring generated by $M \cup T$. Suppose that

- (M1') for all $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ there exist $m \in M$, $t_1, t_2 \in T$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_2 \preccurlyeq nmt_1s$ and $mt_1s \preccurlyeq nt_2$
- (M2') for all $m \in M$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$ such that $\operatorname{ev}_m \frac{\operatorname{ev}_{t_1}}{\operatorname{ev}_{t_2}} : \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is bounded there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mt_1 \preccurlyeq nt_2$.

Then for every $x, y \in S$ we have

$$x \succeq y \iff \forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y). \tag{3}$$

Verifying (M2') may require a fairly detailed knowledge of $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$, therefore in practice it may be desirable to choose M and T in such a way that S_0 is as simple as possible (subject to the conditions above). We note however that having a complete classification of the elements of $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$ is not a prerequisite for verifying (M2').

In particular, choosing $M = \{1\}$ and $T = S \setminus \{0\}$ (effectively repacing S with its semifield of fractions) guarantees (M1') and leads to a nontrivial equivalent condition for the characterization property (3).

Corollary 2 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth such that $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow S$ is an order embedding. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) for every $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\frac{\operatorname{ev}_y}{\operatorname{ev}_x} : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is bounded there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx \succeq y$;
- (ii) for every $x, y \in S$ we have $x \succeq y \iff \forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y)$.

Under the conditions of Theorem 1, the asymptotic spectrum has a certain uniqueness (or minimality) property [16, Corollary 2.7.]. Before stating the version that is true in the more general setting of Theorem 2, note first that the map $s \mapsto \text{ev}_s$ is a semiring homomorphism into $C(\Delta(S,\preccurlyeq))$, the space of continuous functions on $\Delta(S,\preccurlyeq)$, monotone with respect to the pointwise partial order. Given a preordered semiring (S,\preccurlyeq) of polynomial growth, let us call a pair (X, Φ) an *abstract asymptotic spectrum* for (S,\preccurlyeq) if X is a locally compact topological space, $\Phi: S \to C(X)$ a semiring homomorphism such that $\Phi(S)$ separates the points of X, the image of every power universal element is a proper map, and $\forall x, y \in S :$ $x \succeq y \iff \Phi(x) \ge \Phi(y)$. **Proposition 1** Let (X, Φ) be an abstract asymptotic spectrum for (S, \preccurlyeq) . Then there is a unique homeomorphism $h: X \to \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ such that $\forall s \in S : \Phi(s) = \operatorname{ev}_s \circ h$.

The proofs are organized as follows. Section 2 studies basic properties of preordered semirings, including the asymptotic preorder and its relation to localization. In Section 3 we define the spectrum as a topological space and study the continuous maps between spectra induced by monotone homomorphisms between preordered semirings. Section 4 contains the proof of our main result, Theorem 2, and of Proposition 1.

Related work. After finishing this work we learned that in an independent work [7] Fritz has proved a different generalization of Strassen's theorem.

2 Asymptotic preorder

By a semiring we mean a set equipped with binary operations + and \cdot that are commutative and associative and have neutral elements 0 and 1 such that $0 \cdot a = a$ and a(b+c) = ab+ac for any elements a, b, c. A semiring homomorphism $\varphi : S_1 \to S_2$ is a map satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$, $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ for $a, b \in S_1$.

Definition 1 A preordered semiring is a pair (S, \preccurlyeq) where S is a semiring, \preccurlyeq is a transitive and reflexive relation on S such that $0 \preccurlyeq 1$ and when $a, b, c \in S$ satisfy $a \preccurlyeq b$ then $a + c \preccurlyeq b + c$ and $ac \preccurlyeq bc$.

Let (S_1, \preccurlyeq_1) and (S_2, \preccurlyeq_2) be preordered semirings. A semiring homomorphism $\varphi: S_1 \to S_2$ is monotone if $a, b \in S_1$, $a \preccurlyeq_1 b$ implies $\varphi(a) \preccurlyeq_2 \varphi(b)$.

Definition 2 ([8, Definition 2.8.]) Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring. An element $u \in S$ is power universal if $u \succeq 1$ and for every $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \preccurlyeq u^k$ and $u^k x \succeq 1$.

If such an element exists then S is said to be of polynomial growth.

It is clear that any element larger than a power universal one is also power universal. More generally, if $u' \geq 1$ and $u \leq (u')^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then u' is also power universal.

With the help of a power universal element we can define a generalization of the asymptotic preorder [16, (2.7)] as follows.

Definition 3 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring and $u \in S$ a power universal element. The asymptotic preorder \preceq_u is defined as $x \succeq_u y$ iff there is a sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{k_n}{n} = 0 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : u^{k_n} x^n \succcurlyeq y^n.$$
(5)

Since $u \geq 1$, we may assume whenever convenient that $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nondecreasing. By multiplying the inequalities we may replace k_n with a subadditive sequence, or even require the inequality in (5) only for infinitely many n.

The asymptotic preorder is defined in terms of a power universal element. However, changing to a different power universal element does not affect the resulting preorder, as the following lemma shows. **Lemma 1** If u_1 and u_2 are power universal elements of S then $\preceq u_1 = \preceq u_2$.

Proof By symmetry it is enough to prove $\preceq u_1 \supseteq \preceq u_2$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_2 \preccurlyeq u_1^k$. Suppose that $x \succeq u_2 y$. This means there is a sublinear sequence $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that (5) holds with u_2 . Then we have $u_1^{kk_n} x^n \succcurlyeq u_2^{k_n} x^n \succcurlyeq y^n$ for all n and $kk_n/n \to 0$, therefore $x \succeq u_1 y$.

By Lemma 1 the asymptotic preorder is determined by the preordered semiring of polynomial growth even without specifying a power universal element. For this reason we will drop the subscript from the notation and write \preceq for the asymptotic preorder.

The following pair of lemmas show basic properties of the asymptotic preorder. Some of these are analogous to those in [17, Lemma 2.3., Lemma 2.4.], with nearly identical proofs.

Lemma 2 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring and u a power universal element. Then

- $(i) \preccurlyeq \subseteq \precsim.$
- (ii) (S, \precsim) is a preordered semiring.

u

- (iii) u is power universal with respect to the asymptotic preorder.
- (iv) Suppose that $x, y \in S$ and there is an $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $sx \succeq sy$. Then $x \succeq y$.
- (v) Let $x, y, s, t \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and suppose that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality $sx^n \succeq ty^n$ holds. Then $x \succeq y$.

Proof (i): If $x \geq y$ then (5) is satisfied with $k_n = 0$. (ii): Reflexivity and $0 \preceq 1$ follows from (i). To prove transitivity let $x \succeq y$ and $y \succeq z$. Choose sublinear sequences $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(l_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $u^{k_n} x^n \geq y^n$ and $u^{l_n} y^n \geq z^n$ for all n. Then $n \mapsto k_n + l_n$ is also sublinear and $u^{k_n+l_n} x^n \geq u^{l_n} y^n \geq z^n$, therefore $x \succeq z$. We prove compatibility with the operations. Let $x \succeq y$ and $z \in S$, and choose $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sublinear and nondecreasing such that $u^{k_n} x^n \geq y^n$ for all n. Then also $u^{k_n} (xz)^n \geq (yz)^n$ for all n, therefore $xz \succeq yz$. For the sum we use

$$\begin{aligned} z^{k_n}(x+z)^n &= u^{k_n} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} x^m z^{n-m} \\ & \succcurlyeq \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} u^{k_m} x^m z^{n-m} \\ & \succcurlyeq \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} y^m z^{n-m} \\ &= (y+z)^n, \end{aligned}$$
(6)

therefore $x + z \gtrsim y + z$. (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i). (iv): Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $u^k s \geq 1$ and $u^k \geq s$. By induction, $sx^n \geq sx^{n-1}y \geq \ldots \geq sy^n$, and therefore $u^k x^n \geq y^n$ for all n. This implies $x \geq y$. (v): Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $u^k t \geq 1$ and $u^l \geq s$. Then $u^{k+l}x^n \geq u^k sx^n \geq u^k ty^n \geq y^n$ for all n, therefore (5) is satisfied with $k_n = k + l$.

By (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2 we can iterate the construction and form the asymptotic preorder \preceq that compares large powers via \preceq . However, the following lemma tells us that this does not give anything new.

Lemma 3 $\preccurlyeq = \preceq$.

Proof We have $\preceq \subseteq \preceq by$ (i) of Lemma 2. Let $x \succeq y$ and choose $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sublinear such that $u^{k_n} x^n \succeq y^n$ for all n. Let $(l_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that for all n $\lim_{m \to \infty} l_{n,m}/m = 0$ and for all n, m

$$u^{l_{n,m}+mk_n}x^{nm} = u^{l_{n,m}}(u^{k_n}x^n)^m \succcurlyeq (y^n)^m = y^{nm}$$
(7)

holds. Choose a sequence $n \mapsto m_n$ such that $l_{n,m_n} \leq m_n$ (e.g. let m_n be the first index such that $l_{n,m_n} \leq m_n$). Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{l_{n,m_n} + m_n k_n}{nm_n} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{k_n}{n} = 0,$$
(8)

therefore $x \succeq y$.

Our next goal is to understand how the asymptotic preorder interacts with localization. Let $T \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ be a multiplicative subset (i.e. $t_1, t_2 \in T \implies t_1 t_2 \in T$) containing 1. The localization of S at T, denoted $T^{-1}S$ is the semiring $S \times T$ modulo the equivalence relation $(s_1, t_1) \sim (s_2, t_2)$ iff there exists $r \in T$ such that $rs_1t_2 = rs_2t_1$, equipped with the operations $(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) = (s_1t_2 + s_2t_1, t_1t_2)$, $(s_1, t_1) \cdot (s_2, t_2) = (s_1s_2, t_1t_2)$. We denote the equivalence class of (s, t) by $\frac{s}{t}$. There is a canonical homomorphism $S \to T^{-1}S$ that sends s to $\frac{s}{1}$. The additive and multiplicative neutral elements are $\frac{0}{1}$ and $\frac{1}{1}$.

Lemma 4 Let $s_1, s_2, s'_1, s'_2 \in S$ and $t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2 \in T$ such that $\frac{s_1}{t_1} = \frac{s'_1}{t'_1}$ and $\frac{s_2}{t_2} = \frac{s'_2}{t'_2}$. Then $\exists r \in T : rs_1t_2 \succcurlyeq rs_2t_1$ iff $\exists r' \in T : r's'_1t'_2 \succcurlyeq r's'_2t'_1$.

Proof The roles of the primed and unprimed elements are symmetric, therefore it is enough to show that $rs_1t_2 \geq rs_2t_1$ with $r \in T$ implies $\exists r' \in T : r's'_1t'_2 \geq r's'_2t'_1$. Let $q_1, q_2 \in T$ such that $q_1s_1t'_1 = q_1s'_1t_1$ and $q_2s_2t'_2 = q_2s'_2t_2$. Let $r' = q_1q_2t_1t_2r$. Then

$$r's'_{1}t'_{2} = (q_{1}q_{2}t_{1}t_{2}r)s'_{1}t'_{2}$$

$$= q_{2}t_{2}rt'_{2}(q_{1}s'_{1}t_{1}) = q_{2}t_{2}rt'_{2}(q_{1}s_{1}t'_{1})$$

$$= q_{1}t'_{1}q_{2}t'_{2}(rs_{1}t_{2}) \succcurlyeq q_{1}t'_{1}q_{2}t'_{2}(rs_{2}t_{1})$$

$$= rt_{1}q_{1}t'_{1}(q_{2}s_{2}t'_{2}) = rt_{1}q_{1}t'_{1}(q_{2}s'_{2}t_{2})$$

$$= (q_{1}q_{2}t_{1}t_{2}r)s'_{2}t'_{1} = r's'_{2}t'_{1}.$$
(9)

According to Lemma 4 we can define a relation \preccurlyeq on $T^{-1}S$ as

$$\frac{s_1}{t_1} \succcurlyeq \frac{s_2}{t_2} \iff \exists r \in T : rs_1 t_2 \succcurlyeq rs_2 t_1.$$
(10)

Lemma 5

(i) $(T^{-1}S, \preccurlyeq)$ is a preordered semiring.

(ii) The canonical homomorphism $s \mapsto \frac{s}{1}$ is monotone.

(iii) If $u \in S$ is power universal then $\frac{u}{1}$ is power universal in $T^{-1}S$.

Proof (i): For $\frac{s}{t} \in T^{-1}S$ we have $st \succeq st$, therefore $\frac{s}{t} \succeq \frac{s}{t}$, i.e. \preccurlyeq is reflexive. Suppose that $\frac{s_1}{t_1} \succeq \frac{s_2}{t_2}$ and $\frac{s_2}{t_2} \succeq \frac{s_3}{t_3}$. This means $rs_1t_2 \succeq rs_2t_1$ and $qs_2t_3 \succeq qs_3t_2$ for some $r, q \in T$. Therefore

$$(qrt_2)s_1t_3 = q(rs_1t_2)t_3 \succcurlyeq q(rs_2t_1)t_3 = rt_1(qs_2t_3) \succcurlyeq qrs_3t_1t_2 = (qrt_2)s_3t_1, \quad (11)$$

which implies $\frac{s_1}{t_1} \geq \frac{s_3}{t_3}$, i.e. \preccurlyeq is transitive. We have $\frac{0}{1} \preccurlyeq \frac{1}{1}$ since $0 \preccurlyeq 1$. We prove compatibility with the operations. Suppose that $\frac{s_1}{t_1} \succeq \frac{s_2}{t_2}$ and let $\frac{s'}{t'} \in T^{-1}S$. This means $rs_1t_2 \geq rs_2t_1$ for some $r \in T$. Then $r(s_1t'+s't_1)t_2 \geq r(s_2t'+s't_2)t_1$, therefore

$$\frac{s_1}{t_1} + \frac{s'}{t'} = \frac{s_1t' + s't_1}{t_1t'} \succcurlyeq \frac{s_2t' + s't_2}{t_2t'} = \frac{s_2}{t_2} + \frac{s'}{t'},\tag{12}$$

and $rs_1t_2s't' \succeq rs_2t_1s't'$, therefore

$$\frac{s_1}{t_1}\frac{s'}{t'} = \frac{s_1s'}{t_1t'} \succcurlyeq \frac{s_2s'}{t_2t'} = \frac{s_2}{t_2}\frac{s'}{t'}.$$
(13)

(ii): If $x, y \in S$ and $x \succeq y$ then $\frac{x}{1} \succeq \frac{y}{1}$ since (10) is satisfied for r = 1. Let $u \in S$ be power universal, $x = \frac{s}{t} \in T^{-1}S \setminus \{\frac{0}{1}\}$. Choose k_s, k_t such that the inequalities $s \preccurlyeq u^{k_s}, u^{k_s}s \succcurlyeq 1, t \preccurlyeq u^{k_t}, u^{k_t}t \succcurlyeq 1$ hold. Then $s \preccurlyeq u^{k_s} \preccurlyeq u^{k_s+k_t}t$, therefore

$$\frac{s}{t} \preccurlyeq \left(\frac{u}{1}\right)^{k_s + k_t},\tag{14}$$

and $t \leq u^{k_t} \leq u^{k_s+k_t}s$, therefore

$$\frac{1}{1} \preccurlyeq \left(\frac{u}{1}\right)^{k_s + k_t} \frac{s}{t}.$$
(15)

It should be noted that even though we use the same symbol for the preorder on Sand that induced on $T^{-1}S$, it is in general not true that $x \succcurlyeq y$ iff $\frac{x}{1} \succcurlyeq \frac{y}{1}$. When S is of polynomial growth, we denote by \succeq the asymptotic preorder on $T^{-1}S$. Note that this could mean two different relations, depending on whether we form the asymptotic preorder on the localization or consider the preorder induced on $T^{-1}S$ by the asymptotic preorder on S. However, these two relations turn out to be the same. If $rs_1t_2 \succeq rs_2t_1$ then $r^n u^{o(n)} s_1^n t_2^n \succeq r^n s_2^n t_1^n$ for all n, i.e.

$$\left(\frac{u}{1}\right)^{o(n)} \left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\right)^n \succcurlyeq \left(\frac{s_2}{t_2}\right)^n \tag{16}$$

Conversely, if (16) holds, then there is a sequence $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in T such that $r_n u^{o(n)} (s_1 t_2)^n \geq$ $r_n(s_2t_1)^n$, which implies $s_1t_2 \succeq s_2t_3$ by (iv) of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3. A similar argument in the following lemma shows that the asymptotic preorder is essentially the same on S and on its image in $T^{-1}S$.

Lemma 6 For $x, y \in S$ we have $x \succeq y$ iff $\frac{x}{1} \succeq \frac{y}{1}$.

Proof Suppose that $x \succeq y$, i.e. $u^{k_n} x^n \succeq y^n$ for some sublinear sequence k_n and all *n*. This implies $\left(\frac{u}{1}\right)^{k_n} \left(\frac{x}{1}\right)^n \succcurlyeq \left(\frac{y}{1}\right)^n$, therefore $\frac{x}{1} \succeq \frac{y}{1}$. Suppose that $\frac{x}{1} \succeq \frac{y}{1}$. This means there is a sublinear sequence k_n and elements

 $r_n \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$r_n u^{k_n} x^n \succcurlyeq r_n y^n \tag{17}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (iv) of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 this implies $x \succeq y$.

According to Lemma 6, we may safely identify x with $\frac{x}{1}$ for the purposes of studying the asymptotic preorder, even though the canonical homomorphism $S \to T^{-1}S$ is in general not injective.

We conclude this section with a construction that enlarges a preorder by forcing an ordering on certain pairs of elements.

Definition 4 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring and $R \subseteq S \times S$ a relation. We define the relation \preccurlyeq_R on S as $a \preccurlyeq_R b$ iff there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_n, x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in S$ such that

$$\forall i \in [n] : (x_i, y_i) \in R \tag{18}$$

and

$$a + s_1 y_1 + \dots + s_n y_n \preccurlyeq b + s_1 x_1 + \dots + s_n x_n. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 7

 $\begin{array}{l} (i) \ \preccurlyeq \subseteq \preccurlyeq_R \\ (ii) \ R \subseteq \preccurlyeq_R \\ (iii) \ (S, \preccurlyeq_R) \ is \ a \ preordered \ semiring. \\ (iv) \ If \ R_1, R_2 \subseteq S \times S \ then \ \preccurlyeq_{R_1 \cup R_2} = (\preccurlyeq_{R_1})_{R_2}. \\ (v) \ \preccurlyeq_R = \bigcup_{\substack{R' \subseteq R \\ |R'| < \infty}} \preccurlyeq_{R'}. \end{array}$

Proof (i): If $a \preccurlyeq b$ then we may choose n = 0 in the definition, therefore $a \preccurlyeq_R b$. (ii): If $(x, y) \in R$ then we can choose $n = 1, s_1 = 1, x_1 = x, y_1 = y$ so that (19) becomes $x+y \preccurlyeq y+x$, therefore $x \preccurlyeq_R y$. (iii): By (i) the relation \preccurlyeq_R is reflexive. We prove transitivity. Let $a \preccurlyeq_R b$ and $b \preccurlyeq_R c$. Then there are $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n, z_1, \ldots, z_m, w_1, \ldots, w_m \in S$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_n, t_1, \ldots, t_m \in S$ such that $(x_i, y_i) \in R$ and $(z_i, w_i) \in R$ for all i in [n], respectively [m], and

$$a + s_1 y_1 + \dots + s_n y_n \preccurlyeq b + s_1 x_1 + \dots + s_n x_n \tag{20}$$

$$b + t_1 w_1 + \dots + t_n w_m \preccurlyeq c + t_1 z_1 + \dots + t_n z_m. \tag{21}$$

After adding $t_1w_1 + \cdots + t_nw_m$ to both sides of the first inequality and $s_1x_1 + \cdots + s_nx_n$ to both sides of the second one we can chain the inequalities and conclude $a \preccurlyeq_R c$.

The compatibility with addition and multiplication can be seen directly by adding c to (respectively multiplying by c) both sides of (19). (iv): Expanding the definition, we see that $a(\preccurlyeq_{R_1})_{R_2}b$ means that there are natural numbers n, n' and elements $s_1, \ldots, s_n, x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n S$ and $s'_1, \ldots, s'_{n'}, x'_1, \ldots, x'_{n'}, y'_1, \ldots, y'_{n'} \in S$ such that for all i $(x_i, y_i) \in R_1$ and $(x'_i, y'_i) \in R_2$ and

$$(a+s_1y_1+\dots+s_ny_n)+s'_1y'_1+\dots+s'_{n'}y'_{n'} \preccurlyeq (b+s_1x_1+\dots+s_nx_n)+s'_1x'_1+\dots+s'_{n'}x'_{n'},$$
(22)

which is clearly equivalent to $a \preccurlyeq_{R_1 \cup R_2}$. (v) follows from the fact that (19) involves only finitely many pairs $(x_i, y_i) \in R$.

3 Spectrum

In this section we define and study the spectrum of a preordered semiring, i.e. the set of monotone semiring homomorphisms with the topology generated by the evaluation maps. We begin with properties related to compactness, and show that semirings of polynomial growth have locally compact spectra. Then we show that neither replacing the preorder with its asymptotic preorder nor localization affects the spectrum. Finally we prove that the inclusion of a certain type of subsemiring induces a surjective map on the spectra.

Definition 5 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring. The spectrum $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is the set of monotone semiring homomorphisms $S \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ equipped with the initial topology with respect to the family of evaluation maps $\operatorname{ev}_s : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ $(s \in S)$. For a subset $X \subseteq S$ we define $\operatorname{ev}_X : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^X$ as $f \mapsto (f(x))_{x \in X}$. Elements of the spectrum will be referred to as spectral points.

Let (S_1, \preccurlyeq_1) and (S_2, \preccurlyeq_2) be preordered semirings and let $\varphi : S_1 \to S_2$ be a monotone homomorphism. We define the map $\Delta(\varphi) : \Delta(S_2, \preccurlyeq_2) \to \Delta(S_1, \preccurlyeq_1)$ as $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$.

Lemma 8 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring.

- (i) ev_S is a closed embedding. In particular, $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is Tychonoff.
- (ii) Suppose that S is generated by a single element u. Then ev_u is proper and $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is locally compact.

Let (S_1, \preccurlyeq_1) and (S_2, \preccurlyeq_2) be preordered semirings and $\varphi : S_1 \to S_2$ a monotone semiring homomorphism.

- (iii) $\Delta(\varphi)$ is continuous.
- (iv) If for every $x_2 \in S_2$ there is an $x_1 \in S_1$ such that $x_2 \preccurlyeq_2 \varphi(x_1)$ then $\Delta(\varphi)$ is proper.

Proof (i): The evaluation map ev_S clearly separates the points of $\Delta(S,\preccurlyeq)$, thus gives an embedding into the Tychonoff space $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^S$. The property of being a monotone semiring homomorphism is preserved by pointwise limits, therefore the embedding is closed. (ii): every element of S can be written as a (not necessarily unique) polynomial in u with coefficients from \mathbb{N} and $\operatorname{ev}_{p(u)} = p(\operatorname{ev}_u)$. This means that ev_u already separates the points and its continuity is equivalent to the continuity of all evaluation maps. Therefore $\operatorname{ev}_u : \Delta(S,\preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a closed embedding. This implies that ev_u is proper and $\Delta(S,\preccurlyeq)$ is locally compact. (iii): $\{\operatorname{ev}_s^{-1}(U) | s \in S_1, U \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \text{ open}\}$ is a subbasis for the topology of $\Delta(S_1, \preccurlyeq_1)$. The preimage of such a set under $\Delta(\varphi)$ is $\operatorname{ev}_{\varphi(s)}^{-1}(U)$, which is open. (iv): Let $C_1 \subseteq$ $\Delta(S_1, \preccurlyeq_1)$ be compact and let $C_2 = \Delta(\varphi)^{-1}(C_1) \subseteq \Delta(S_2, \preccurlyeq_2)$. C_1 is a compact subset of a Hausdorff space, therefore closed, which implies that C_2 is also closed. For all $x_2 \in S_2$ let

$$B_{x_2} = \inf_{\substack{x_1 \in S_1 \\ x_2 \preceq \wp(x_1)}} \sup_{f \in C_1} f(x_1).$$
(23)

The infimum is by assumption over a nonempty set and the supremum is of a continuous function (ev_{x_1}) over a compact set, therefore B_{x_2} is finite. If $f \in C_2$ then $f \circ \varphi \in C_1$ so $f(x_2) \in [0, B_{x_2}]$. Thus ev_{S_2} embeds C_2 as a closed subset of the compact space $\prod_{x_2 \in S_2} [0, B_{x_2}]$.

Proposition 2 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth and suppose that $u \in S$ is power universal. Then $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is locally compact and $ev_u : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is proper.

Proof Let S_0 be the subsemiring generated by u and $i: S_0 \to S$ the inclusion. By (ii) and (iv) of Lemma 8, $\Delta(i): \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \to \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$ is a proper map into a locally compact space $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$, thus $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is also locally compact.

 $\operatorname{ev}_u^S = \operatorname{ev}_u^{S_0} \circ \Delta(i)$ (note that u may be regarded as an element of both semirings and the domain of the evaluation map is the spectrum of the semiring indicated in the superscript) is a composition of proper maps, therefore also proper.

Lemma 9 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth. Let $j : (S, \preccurlyeq) \rightarrow (S, \preccurlyeq)$ be the monotone homomorphism with underlying homomorphism the identity. Then $\Delta(j) : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \rightarrow \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof $\Delta(j)$ is injective since for $f \in \Delta(S, \preceq)$ we have $\Delta(j)(f)(x) = f(j(x)) = f(x)$ for all $x \in S$. To see that $\Delta(j)$ is surjective we show that every $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is also monotone under \preceq . Suppose that $x \succeq y$. Let $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in Definition 3, i.e. $k_n/n \to 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $u^{k_n} x^n \ge y^n$. Then for every $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ we have

$$f(u)^{k_n} f(x)^n \ge f(y)^n. \tag{24}$$

After taking roots and letting n go to infinity we get $f(x) \ge f(y)$.

Thus $\Delta(j)$ is a bijection and can be used to identify the two spectra as sets. Under this identification the evaluation maps are the same in both cases, and so are the topologies they generate. Therefore $\Delta(j)$ is a homeomorphism.

Lemma 10 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth and $T \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$ a multiplicative set containing 1. Let $j: S \mapsto T^{-1}S$ be the canonical map $x \mapsto \frac{x}{1}$. Then $\Delta(j): \Delta(T^{-1}S, \preccurlyeq) \to \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof We prove that $\Delta(j)$ is injective. Let $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 \in \Delta(T^{-1}S, \preccurlyeq)$ be different. Then there are $s \in S$ and $t \in T$ such that $\tilde{f}_1(\frac{s}{t}) \neq \tilde{f}_2(\frac{s}{t})$. Since $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{t}{1}\frac{1}{t}$ we have $\tilde{f}_1(\frac{t}{1}) \neq 0$ and $\tilde{f}_2(\frac{t}{1}) \neq 0$. If $\tilde{f}_1(\frac{t}{1}) \neq \tilde{f}_2(\frac{t}{1})$ then $\Delta(j)(\tilde{f}_1)$ and $\Delta(j)(\tilde{f}_2)$ are different at t. Otherwise we have

$$\tilde{f}_1\left(\frac{s}{1}\right) = \tilde{f}_1\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)\tilde{f}_1\left(\frac{t}{1}\right) \neq \tilde{f}_2\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)\tilde{f}_2\left(\frac{t}{1}\right) = \tilde{f}_2\left(\frac{s}{1}\right),\tag{25}$$

and therefore $\Delta(j)(\tilde{f}_1)$ and $\Delta(j)(\tilde{f}_2)$ are different at s.

We prove that $\Delta(j)$ is surjective. Let $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$. Let $u \in S$ be power universal. For $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq u^k s$. Applying f to both sides we get $1 \leq f(u)^k f(s)$, which implies f(s) > 0. If $\frac{s_1}{t_1} = \frac{s_2}{t_2}$ then by definition $rs_1t_2 = rs_2t_1$ for some $r \in T$, therefore $f(r)f(s_1)f(t_2) = f(r)f(s_2)f(t_1)$, i.e. $f(s_1)f(t_2) = f(s_2)f(t_1)$. This means that the equality

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) = \frac{f(s)}{f(t)} \tag{26}$$

gives a well-defined function on $T^{-1}S$. We claim that $\tilde{f} \in \Delta(T^{-1}S, \preccurlyeq)$ and $\Delta(j)(\tilde{f}) = f$. For $\frac{s_1}{t_1}, \frac{s_2}{t_2} \in T^{-1}S$ we have

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1} + \frac{s_2}{t_2}\right) = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1t_2 + s_2t_1}{t_1t_2}\right) = \frac{f(s_1t_2 + s_2t_1)}{f(t_1t_2)} = \frac{f(s_1)}{f(t_1)} + \frac{f(s_2)}{f(t_2)} = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\right) + \tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\right)$$
(27)

and

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\cdot\frac{s_2}{t_2}\right) = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1s_2}{t_1t_2}\right) = \frac{f(s_1s_2)}{f(t_1t_2)} = \frac{f(s_1)}{f(t_1)}\frac{f(s_2)}{f(t_2)} = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\right)\tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\right).$$
(28)

Let $\frac{s_1}{t_1} \geq \frac{s_2}{t_2}$. This means there exists $r \in T$ such that $rs_1t_2 \geq rs_2t_1$. Since f is monotone and f(r) > 0, this implies

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_1}{t_1}\right) = \frac{f(s_1)}{f(t_1)} \ge \frac{f(s_2)}{f(t_2)} = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{s_2}{t_2}\right).$$

$$\tag{29}$$

If $x \in S$ then clearly Clearly $\tilde{f}(j(x)) = \tilde{f}(\frac{x}{1}) = f(x)$, thus \tilde{f} extends f. In particular, $\tilde{f}(\frac{0}{1}) = 0$ and $\tilde{f}(\frac{1}{1}) = 1$.

Thus we may identify the two spectra via $\Delta(j)$ as sets. Since for $t \in T$ the map ev_t vanishes nowhere, the topology generated by the maps $(ev_s)_{s \in S}$ and $\left(\frac{\operatorname{ev}_s}{\operatorname{ev}_t}\right)_{s\in S,t\in T}$ is the same, therefore $\Delta(j)$ is a homeomorphism.

Our goal in the following is to relate the spectral points of a semiring to those of a subsemiring. We will make use of a relaxed preorder whose restriction to the subsemiring is total.

Definition 6 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring, $S_0 \leq S$ a subsemiring and $f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$. We define the relation $\preccurlyeq_f := \preccurlyeq_{R_f}$ (see Definition 4) where R_f is the relation

$$R_f = \{(x, y) \in S_0 \times S_0 | f(x) \le f(y)\} \subseteq S \times S.$$
(30)

Since $\preccurlyeq \subseteq \preccurlyeq_f$ by Lemma 7, we may identify $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq_f)$ with a subset of $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$.

Lemma 11 Let (S,\preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring, $S_0 \leq S$ a subsemiring and $f \in$ $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$. Let $i: S_0 \hookrightarrow S$ be the inclusion. Then $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq_f) = \Delta(i)^{-1}(f)$.

Proof Let $\tilde{f} \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq_f)$ and $x \in S_0$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lfloor f(nx) \rfloor \leq f(nx) \leq f(nx)$ [f(nx)], therefore by (ii) of Lemma 7 also

$$\lfloor f(nx) \rfloor \preccurlyeq_f nx \preccurlyeq_f \lceil f(nx) \rceil. \tag{31}$$

Apply \tilde{f} to both sides, divide by n and let $n \to \infty$ to get

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lfloor f(nx) \rfloor}{n} \le \tilde{f}(x) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lceil f(nx) \rceil}{n} = f(x).$$
(32)

Therefore \tilde{f} agrees with f on S_0 , which means $\tilde{f} \in \Delta(i)^{-1}(f)$. Let $\tilde{f} \in \Delta(i)^{-1}(f)$. Then \tilde{f} is a semiring homomorphism and we need to show that it is monotone with respect to \preccurlyeq_f . Let $a \preccurlyeq_f b$. This means there are $x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_n \in S_0$ and $s_1,\ldots,s_n \in S$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall j \in [n] : f(x_j) \leq f(y_j)$ and

$$a + s_1 y_1 + \dots + s_n y_n \preccurlyeq b + s_1 x_1 + \dots + s_n x_n. \tag{33}$$

Apply \tilde{f} to both sides and rearrange as

$$\tilde{f}(s_1)\left(\tilde{f}(y_1) - \tilde{f}(x_1)\right) + \dots + \tilde{f}(s_n)\left(\tilde{f}(y_n) - \tilde{f}(x_n)\right) \le \tilde{f}(b) - \tilde{f}(a).$$
(34)

Since

$$\tilde{f}(y_j) - \tilde{f}(x_j) = f(y_j) - f(x_j) \ge 0$$
(35)

for all j, this implies $\tilde{f}(b) \geq \tilde{f}(a)$, i.e. \tilde{f} is monotone with respect to \preccurlyeq_f .

Lemma 12 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring and $S_0 \leq S$ a subsemiring such that $\forall s \in S \setminus \{0\} \exists r, q \in S_0$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq rs \preccurlyeq q$. Let $R \subseteq S_0 \times S_0 \subseteq S \times S$ be an arbitrary relation. Then

$$\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0}) = \left\{ f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0}) \middle| \forall (x, y) \in R : f(x) \le f(y) \right\}.$$
(36)

We emphasize that $\preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0}$ is in general not the same preorder as $(\preccurlyeq|_{S_0})_R$, since the latter would only allow elements $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S_0$ in Definition 4.

Proof First note that the condition $\forall s \in S \setminus \{0\} \exists r, q \in S_0$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq rs \preccurlyeq q$ implies that every $f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0})$ and $s \in S_0 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $f(s) \neq 0$. To see this choose $r \in S_0$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq rs$ and apply f to both sides.

We prove $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0}) \subseteq \{f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0}) | \forall (x, y) \in R : f(x) \leq f(y)\}$. The preorders satisfy $\preccurlyeq \subseteq \preccurlyeq_R$, therefore $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0}) \subseteq \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0})$. Let $f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0})$ and $(x, y) \in R$. (ii) of Lemma 7 implies that $x \preccurlyeq_R y$, therefore $f(x) \leq f(y)$. We prove $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0}) \supseteq \{f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0}) | \forall (x, y) \in R : f(x) \leq f(y)\}$. By (v)

We prove $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_R|_{S_0}) \supseteq \{f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0}) | \forall (x, y) \in R : f(x) \leq f(y) \}$. By (v) of Lemma 7 it is enough to prove the statement for $|R| < \infty$. We prove by induction on |R|. If $R = \emptyset$ then $\preccurlyeq_R = \preccurlyeq$ and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise choose $(x, y) \in R$ and let $R_1 = R \setminus \{(x, y)\}$ and $R_2 = \{(x, y)\}$. Suppose that

$$f \in \left\{ f' \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq|_{S_0}) \middle| \forall (x', y') \in R : f'(x') \le f'(y') \right\}$$

= $\left\{ f' \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_{R_1}|_{S_0}) \middle| f'(x) \le f'(y) \right\}$ (37)

Let $a, b \in S_0$ such that $a \preccurlyeq_R b$. This means

$$a + sy \preccurlyeq_{R_1} b + sx \tag{38}$$

for some $s \in S$ ((iv) of Lemma 7). If sx = 0 then apply f to both sides to get $f(a) \leq f(a) + f(sy) \leq f(b)$. Otherwise $s \neq 0$ and $x \neq 0$, so in particular f(x) > 0. We prove

$$a\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} x^{m} y^{n-m}\right) + sy^{n+1} \preccurlyeq_{R_1} b\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} x^{m} y^{n-m}\right) + sx^{n+1}$$
(39)

by induction on n. The n = 0 base case is (38). Suppose (39) holds with n - 1 instead of n. Then

$$a\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} x^{m} y^{n-m}\right) + sy^{n+1} = ax^{n} + y \left[a\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} x^{m} y^{n-1-m}\right) + sy^{n}\right]$$

$$\preccurlyeq_{R_{1}} ax^{n} + y \left[b\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} x^{m} y^{n-1-m}\right) + sx^{n}\right]$$

$$= x^{n}(a+sy) + b\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} x^{m} y^{n-m}\right)$$

$$\preccurlyeq_{R_{1}} x^{n}(b+sx) + b\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} x^{m} y^{n-m}\right)$$

$$= b\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} x^{m} y^{n-m}\right) + sx^{n+1},$$

(40)

where the first inequality uses the induction hypothesis and the second one uses (38).

Let $r, q \in S_0$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq rs \preccurlyeq q$. Then

$$ra\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} x^m y^{n-m}\right) + y^{n+1} \preccurlyeq rb\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} x^m y^{n-m}\right) + qx^{n+1}.$$
 (41)

Apply f to both sides and rearrange to get

$$f(r)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} f(x)^{m} f(y)^{n-m}\right) (f(a) - f(b)) \le f(q) f(x)^{n+1} - f(y)^{n+1}$$
(42)

Divide by the coefficient of f(a) - f(b) (nonzero since $x \neq 0$ and $r \neq 0$). Then we use $f(x) \leq f(y)$ and $f(q) \geq 1$ to get

$$f(a) - f(b) \leq \frac{f(q)f(x)^{n+1} - f(y)^{n+1}}{f(r)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} f(x)^m f(y)^{n-m}\right)} \\ \leq \frac{(f(q) - 1)f(x)^{n+1}}{f(r)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} f(x)^m f(y)^{n-m}\right)} \\ \leq \frac{(f(q) - 1)f(x)^{n+1}}{f(r)(n+1)f(x)^n} \\ = \frac{(f(q) - 1)f(x)}{f(r)} \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

$$(43)$$

This inequality is true for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore $f(a) \leq f(b)$.

The following proposition shows that spectral points of a subsemiring containing a power universal element can be extended to spectral points of the large semiring. Later we will use this result to relate the condition appearing in (M2) to boundednes of the evaluation function on $\Delta(S)$, but it appears to be also of independent interest.

Proposition 3 Let (S, \preccurlyeq) be a preordered semiring of polynomial growth and $S_0 \leq S$ a subsemiring satisfying $\forall s \in S \setminus \{0\} \exists r, q \in S_0$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq rs \preccurlyeq q$. Let $i : S_0 \hookrightarrow S$ be the inclusion. Then $\Delta(i)$ is surjective.

Proof We can assume that the inclusion $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow S$ is an order embedding (otherwise both spectra are empty).

By Lemma 11 it is enough to show that for every $f \in \Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$ the set $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq_f)$ is nonempty. Let $u \in S$ be power universal. By the assumption on S_0 there is an $u' \in S_0$ such that $u' \succeq u$, and any such u' is also power universal in S. By (ii) of Lemma 7,

$$u' \preccurlyeq_f 2^{\lceil \log_2 f(u') \rceil},\tag{44}$$

therefore 2 is also power universal for (S, \preccurlyeq_f) .

Lemma 12 implies that $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq_f |_{S_0}) = \{f\}$. In particular, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $n \preccurlyeq_f m$ iff $n \leq m$. Therefore we can apply Theorem 1 to the preordered semiring (S, \preccurlyeq_f) and conclude $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq_f) \neq \emptyset$.

4 Proof of main result and uniqueness

Now we have all the technical tools to prove Theorem 2. In the setting of that theorem, we introduce the following notations:

$$S_{+} = \{ s \in S | \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : ns \succeq 1 \} \cup \{ 0 \}$$

$$\tag{45}$$

$$S_{-} = \{ s \in S | \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : n \succeq s \}$$

$$\tag{46}$$

 $S_{b} = S_{+} \cap S_{-}.$ (40) $S_{b} = S_{+} \cap S_{-}.$ (47)

We will see that all three are subsemirings, and the definition of S_b ensures that it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Given a pair of elements in S, we can multiply both with the same element of M to get elements of S_- , at least one of them in S_b . Here Strassen's theorem ensures that the collection of monotone homomorphisms characterize the asymptotic preorder. An essential part of the proof is to show that most spectral points of S_b admit an extension to S. Informally, an extension exists unless it would evaluate to ∞ on the power universal element. More precisely, by assumption (M1) we can form an "inverse up to bounded elements" \bar{u} of u, and $f \in \Delta(S_b, \preccurlyeq)$ extends to S iff $f(1 + \bar{u}) > 1$.

Lemma 13

- (i) S_+ , S_- and S_b are subsemirings of S.
- (ii) Let $i: S_b \to S_-$ denote the inclusion. Then $\Delta(i): \Delta(S_-, \preccurlyeq) \to \Delta(S_b, \preccurlyeq)$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof (i): 0 and 1 are clearly contained both in S_+ and S_- . Let $s_1, s_2 \in S_+$. This means that there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1s_1 \succeq 1$ and $n_2s_2 \succeq 1$. Then $n_1(s_1 + s_2) \succeq n_1s_1 \succeq 1$ and $n_1n_2(s_1s_2) \succeq 1 \cdot 1 = 1$, therefore $s_1 + s_2 \in S_+$ and $s_1s_2 \in S_+$. Let $s_1, s_2 \in S_-$. This means that there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 \succeq s_1$ and $n_2 \succeq s_2$. Then $n_1 + n_2 \succeq s_1 + s_2$ and $n_1n_1 \succeq s_1s_2$, therefore $s_1 + s_2 \in S_-$ and $s_1s_2 \in S_-$. $S_b = S_+ \cap S_-$ is the intersection of subsemirings, therefore it is also a subsemiring.

(ii): We prove that $\Delta(i)$ is injective. Let $\tilde{f} \in \Delta(S_-, \preccurlyeq)$. If $x \in S_-$ then $1+x \in S_b$ and therefore $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(1+x) - 1 = \Delta(i)(\tilde{f})(1+x) - 1$, so \tilde{f} can be reconstructed from its restriction $\Delta(i)(\tilde{f})$.

We prove that $\Delta(i)$ is surjective. Let $f \in \Delta(S_b, \preccurlyeq)$ and let $\hat{f} : S_- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $\tilde{f}(x) = f(1+x) - 1$. Since $1 \preccurlyeq 1+x$, we have $\tilde{f}(x) \ge f(1) - 1 = 0$. We show that \tilde{f} is a monotone semiring homomorphism and $\Delta(i)(\tilde{f}) = f$. Clearly $\tilde{f}(0) = f(1+0) - 1 = 1 - 1 = 1$ and $\tilde{f}(1) = f(1+1) - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$.

We prove additivity.

$$\tilde{f}(x+y) = f(1+x+y) - 1$$

= $f(1+x+1+y) - 1 - 1$
= $f(1+x) - 1 + f(1+y) - 1$
= $\tilde{f}(x) + \tilde{f}(y)$ (48)

We prove multiplicativity.

$$\tilde{f}(xy) = f(1+xy) - 1
= f(1+x+1+y+1+xy) - f(1+x) - f(1+y) - 1
= f(1+x+y+xy) - f(1+x) - f(1+y) + 1
= f((1+x)(1+y)) - f(1+x) - f(1+y) + 1
= (f(1+x) - 1)(f(1+y) - 1)
= \tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(y)$$
(49)

We prove that \tilde{f} is monotone. Let $x, y \in S_{-}$ and suppose that $x \preccurlyeq y$. Then $1 + x \preccurlyeq 1 + y$, therefore

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(1+x) \le f(1+y) = \tilde{f}(y).$$
 (50)

If $x \in S_b$ then $\tilde{f}(x) = f(1+x) - 1 = f(1) + f(x) - 1 = f(x)$, so $\Delta(i)(\tilde{f}) = f$. Finally, from the equality $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(1+x) - 1$ we see that pointwise convergence in $\Delta(S_-, \preccurlyeq)$ is equivalent to pointwise convergence of the restrictions to S_b .

Lemma 14 Let u be power universal in S and suppose that there is a $\overline{u} \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u\overline{u} \in S_b$. Then $\overline{u} \in S_-$ and for any $f \in \Delta(S_-, \preccurlyeq)$ the following are equivalent:

(i) f has an extension $\tilde{f}: S \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\tilde{f} \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ (ii) $\forall x \in S_{-} \setminus \{0\}: f(x) \neq 0$. (iii) $f(\bar{u}) \neq 0$

When an extension exists, it is unique.

Proof Since $1 \leq u$ and $u\bar{u} \in S_b$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{u} \leq u\bar{u} \leq n$, therefore $\bar{u} \in S_-$.

(i) \implies (ii): Let $x \in S_{-} \setminus \{0\}$ and choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq u^{k}x$. Then $1 \leq \tilde{f}(u^{k}x) = \tilde{f}(u)^{k}f(x)$, therefore $f(x) \neq 0$.

(ii) \implies (iii): We have seen that $\bar{u} \in S_{-}$. It is also nonzero since there is an n such that $1 \leq nu\bar{u}$.

(iii) \implies (i): Let $x \in S$. There is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \preccurlyeq u^k$, and therefore $\bar{u}^k x \preccurlyeq (u\bar{u})^k$, which implies $\bar{u}^k x \in S_-$. From this we see that if an extension \tilde{f} exists, it must satisfy $\tilde{f}(x) = f(\bar{u})^{-k} f(\bar{u}^k x)$, which proves uniqueness. We prove that this expression is well defined. If $\bar{u}^{k_1} x \in S_-$ and $\bar{u}^{k_2} x \in S_-$ with $k_1 < k_2$ then

$$f(\bar{u})^{-k_2}f(\bar{u}^{k_2}x) = f(\bar{u})^{-k_2}f(\bar{u}^{k_2-k_1}\bar{u}^{k_1}x)$$

= $f(\bar{u})^{-k_2}f(\bar{u}^{k_2-k_1})f(\bar{u}^{k_1}x) = f(\bar{u})^{-k_1}f(\bar{u}^{k_1}x).$ (51)

 \tilde{f} extends f since for $x \in S_{-}$ one can take k = 0 above.

We show that \tilde{f} is monotone. Let $x_1, x_2 \in S, x_1 \preccurlyeq x_2$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{u}^k x_1 \in S_-$ and $\bar{u}^k x_2 \in S_-$. Then $\bar{u}^k x_1 \preccurlyeq \bar{u}^k x_2$, therefore

$$\tilde{f}(x_1) = f(\bar{u})^{-k} f(\bar{u}^k x_1) \le f(\bar{u})^{-k} f(\bar{u}^k x_2) = \tilde{f}(x_2).$$
(52)

We show that \tilde{f} is multiplicative. Let $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and choose $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{u}^{k_1}x_1 \in S_-$ and $\bar{u}^{k_2}x_2 \in S_-$. Then $\bar{u}^{k_1+k_2}x_1x_2 \in S_-$ and

$$\tilde{f}(x_1x_2) = f(\bar{u})^{-k_1+k_2} f(\bar{u}^{k_1+k_2}x_1x_2) = f(\bar{u})^{-k_1} f(\bar{u}^{k_1}x) f(\bar{u})^{-k_2} f(\bar{u}^{k_2}x) = \tilde{f}(x_1)\tilde{f}(x_2).$$
(53)

We show that \tilde{f} is additive. Let $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and choose $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{u}^{k_1}x_1 \in S_-$ and $\bar{u}^{k_2}x_2 \in S_-$. Then $\bar{u}^{k_1+k_2}(x_1+x_2) \in S_-$ and

$$\tilde{f}(x_1 + x_2) = f(\bar{u})^{-k_1 + k_2} f(\bar{u}^{k_1 + k_2}(x_1 + x_2))$$

= $f(\bar{u})^{-k_1 + k_2} \left(f(\bar{u}^{k_1 + k_2}x_1) + f(\bar{u}^{k_1 + k_2}x_2) \right) = \tilde{f}(x_1) + \tilde{f}(x_2).$ (54)

According to Lemma 13 and Lemma 14 we may make the identification $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \subseteq \Delta(S_{-}, \preccurlyeq) = \Delta(S_{b}, \preccurlyeq)$.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 2) First we show that $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) = \emptyset$. Then by Proposition 3, $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$ is also empty. Choose $m \in M$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \preccurlyeq n_1 m \bar{u}$ and $m \bar{u} \preccurlyeq n_1$ (possible by (M1)), and $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u \bar{u} \preccurlyeq n_2$. Assumption (M2) implies that there is an $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \preccurlyeq n_3$. Therefore $u \preccurlyeq n_1 m \bar{u} u \preccurlyeq n_1 n_2 n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. But then we are in the setting of Theorem 1, which implies $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

The implication $x \succeq y \implies \forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y)$ follows from Lemma 9. For the other direction, suppose that $x, y \in S$ satisfy $\forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y)$. There is nothing to prove if y = 0. If x = 0, then $0 = f(x) \ge f(y)$ for any $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$, so y = 0. Therefore we may assume that $x \ne 0$ and $y \ne 0$.

Let $m_1 \in M$ be such that $m_1 y \in S_b \setminus \{0\}$ and let $m_2 \in M$ be such that $m_2 m_1 x \in S_b \setminus \{0\}$. Then for all $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ we have

$$f(m_2) = \frac{f(m_2 m_1 x)}{f(m_1)f(x)} \le \frac{f(m_2 m_1 x)}{f(m_1)f(y)} = \frac{f(m_2 m_1 x)}{f(m_1 y)}.$$
(55)

The numerator of the right hand side is bounded from above and the denominator is bounded away from 0, therefore ev_{m_2} is bounded on $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$. By Proposition 3 it is also bounded on $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$, and thus by assumption (M2) we have $m_2 \in S_-$.

Let $t = m_1 m_2$ so that $tx \in S_b$ and $ty \in S_-$ (see (i) of Lemma 13). Let u be power universal and $\bar{u} \in M$ such that $u\bar{u} \in S_b \setminus \{0\}$ (possible by (M1)). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \succeq \bar{u}$ (Lemma 14) and let

$$\delta = \min_{f \in \Delta(S_b, \preccurlyeq)} f(tx).$$
(56)

For every $f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ we have

$$f((k+1)\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(tx)^n) \ge f(\bar{u})\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil f(tx)^n + 1$$

$$\ge f(\bar{u})\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil f(ty)^n + 1$$

$$= f(\bar{u}\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(ty)^n + 1),$$
(57)

whereas for every $f \in \Delta(S_b, \preccurlyeq) \setminus \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ we have $f(\bar{u}) = 0$ and therefore

$$f((k+1)\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(tx)^n) \ge 1 = f(\bar{u})\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil f(ty)^n + 1$$

= $f(\bar{u}\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(ty)^n + 1).$ (58)

We apply Theorem 1 to S_b and infer

$$(k+1)\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(tx)^n \succeq \bar{u}\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(ty)^n + 1 \succcurlyeq \bar{u}\lceil \delta^{-n}\rceil(ty)^n,$$
(59)

The factors $\lceil \delta^{-n} \rceil$ can be cancelled by (iv) of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3. Thus we have $(k+1)(tx)^n \succeq \overline{u}(ty)^n$ for all n, which implies $tx \succeq ty$ by (v) of Lemma 2.

Finally, we cancel the factors t using (iv) of Lemma 2 once more and conclude $x \succeq y$.

 $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is locally compact and ev_u is proper on $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ by Proposition 2.

Proof (Proof of Corollary 1) Consider the localization $T^{-1}S$ with its asymptotic preorder (see Lemma 6). Choose $M' = \left\{\frac{mt_1}{t_2} | m \in M, t_1, t_2 \in T\right\}$. Then the semiring generated by M' is $\left\{\frac{s}{t} | s \in S_0, t \in T\right\} = T^{-1}S_0$. We use Theorem 2 with the subset $M' \subseteq T^{-1}S$.

If $\frac{s}{t} \in T^{-1}S \setminus \{0\}$ (with $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and $t \in T$) then let $m \in M$, $t_1, t_2 \in T$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_2 \preccurlyeq nmt_1s$ and $mt_1s \preccurlyeq nt_2$ as in the condition (M1'). Then $1 \preccurlyeq n \frac{mt_1t}{t_2} \frac{s}{t}$ and $\frac{mt_1t}{t_2} \frac{s}{t} \preccurlyeq n$, therefore (M1) is satisfied by M'. Let $\frac{mt_1}{t_2} \in M'$ (with $m \in M$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$) such that $ev_{\frac{mt_1}{t_2}}$ is bounded on

Let $\frac{mt_1}{t_2} \in M'$ (with $m \in M$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$) such that $\operatorname{ev}_{\frac{mt_1}{t_2}}$ is bounded on $\Delta(T^{-1}S_0, \preceq)$. Then $\operatorname{ev}_m \frac{\operatorname{ev}_{t_1}}{\operatorname{ev}_{t_2}}$ is bounded on $\Delta(S_0, \preccurlyeq)$ (see Lemma 9 and Lemma 10), so by (M2') we have $mt_1 \preccurlyeq nt_2$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\frac{mt_1}{t_2} \preceq n$, therefore (M2) is satisfied.

Using Lemma 6 and $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) = \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) = \Delta(T^{-1}S, \preccurlyeq)$ (again by Lemma 9 and Lemma 10), for $x, y \in S$ we conclude

$$x \succeq y \iff \frac{x}{1} \succeq \frac{y}{1} \iff \forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(x) \ge f(y).$$
 (60)

Proof (Proof of Corollary 2) (i) \implies (ii): We apply Corollary 1 to the preordered semiring (S, \precsim) with $M = \{1\}$ and $T = S \setminus \{0\}$. Clearly, for $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ we can choose $m = 1, t_1 = 1, t_2 = s$ and n = 1, so that the inequalities in (M1') become $s \preccurlyeq s$. The subsemiring generated by $M \cup T$ is $S_0 = S$, therefore (i) is the same as (M2'), noting that $\Delta(S, \precsim) = \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$. Therefore (ii) holds by Corollary 1.

(ii) \Longrightarrow (i): Let $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\frac{\text{ev}_y}{\text{ev}_x} : \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is bounded. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be an upper bound. Then $\forall f \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) : f(y) \leq nf(x) = f(nx)$, therefore by (ii) we have $y \preceq nx$.

Proof (Proof of Proposition 1) We prove existence. Define the map $h(x): S \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $h(x)(s) = \Phi(s)(x)$. Then $h(x) \in \Delta(S, \preceq) = \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$, $\Phi(s) = \operatorname{ev}_s \circ h$ and the map h is injective (since $\Phi(S)$ separates points) and continuous (because $\forall s \in S : \Phi(s)$ is continuous). Let u be power universal and consider the set

$$A = \left\{ a \frac{\operatorname{ev}_s}{\operatorname{ev}_u^{k+1}} - b \frac{\operatorname{ev}_t}{\operatorname{ev}_u^{k+1}} \middle| a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}, k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \exists s, t \in S, s \preccurlyeq nu^k, t \preccurlyeq nu^k \right\}$$
(61)

Then $A \subseteq C_0(\Delta(S, \preceq))$ is a subalgebra that separates points (if $f_1(u) \neq f_2(u)$ then $\frac{1}{\mathrm{ev}_u} \in A$ separates them, otherwise if $f_1(s) \neq f_2(s)$ then $\frac{\mathrm{ev}_s}{\mathrm{ev}_u^{k+1}} \in A$ for some k does) and vanishes nowhere (e.g. $\frac{1}{\mathrm{ev}_u} \in A$ is nowhere zero), so by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem for locally compact spaces [4, Theorem A.10.2] it is dense in $C_0(\Delta(S, \preceq))$.

Suppose that h is not surjective and let $f_0 \in \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq) \setminus h(X)$. Let $0 < \epsilon < f_0(u)$. By continuity, $(ev_u)^{-1}((f_0(u) - \epsilon, f_0(u) + \epsilon))$ is an open set containing f_0 . Since $\Phi(u)$ is proper, the subset $h(\Phi(u)^{-1}([f_0(u) - \epsilon, f_0(u) + \epsilon])) \subseteq \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ is compact in a Hausdorff space and therefore closed, and does not contain f_0 . The set

$$U = (ev_u)^{-1}((f_0(u) - \epsilon, f_0(u) + \epsilon)) \setminus h(\Phi(u)^{-1}([f_0(u) - \epsilon, f_0(u) + \epsilon])).$$
(62)

is open, disjoint from h(X), and contains f_0 . By Urysohn's lemma for locally compact Hausdorff spaces [4, Lemma A.8.1], there is a function $g \in C_0(\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq))$ that is 1 at f_0 and 0 outside U, i.e. vanishes on h(X).

By the density of A, there are exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $s, t \in S$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \preccurlyeq nu^k, t \preccurlyeq nu^k$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{f(s)}{f(u)^{k+1}} - \frac{f(t)}{f(u)^{k+1}} \right) < \frac{1}{4} \qquad \text{for all } f \in h(X)$$
(63)

$$\frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{f_0(s)}{f_0(u)^{k+1}} - \frac{f_0(t)}{f_0(u)^{k+1}} \right) > \frac{3}{4}.$$
(64)

 \mathbf{SO}

$$f(s) - f(t + Nu^{k+1}) < -\frac{N}{2}f(u)^{k+1}$$
 for all $f \in h(X)$ (65)

$$f_0(s) - f_0(t + Nu^{k+1}) > \frac{N}{2} f_0(u)^{k+1}$$
(66)

This means that $\Phi(t + Nu^{k+1}) \ge \Phi(s)$, so by assumption $t + Nu^{k+1} \succeq s$. On the other hand, $f_0(t + Nu^{k+1}) < f_0(s)$, a contradiction.

We prove uniqueness. Let $h_1, h_2 : X \to \Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$ be two homeomorphisms satisfying $\forall s \in S : \Phi(s) = \operatorname{ev}_s \circ h_1 = \operatorname{ev}_s \circ h_2$. This means that for every $x \in X$ and $s \in S$ the equality $h_1(x)(s) = h_2(x)(s)$ holds. Since S separates the points of $\Delta(S, \preccurlyeq)$, this implies $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$ for all $x \in X$, i.e. $h_1 = h_2$.

5 Data availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- Chitambar, E., Gour, G.: Quantum resource theories. Reviews of Modern Physics 91(2), 025001 (2019). DOI 10.1103/RevModPhys.91.025001
- Chitambar, É., Leung, D., Mančinska, L., Ozols, M., Winter, A.: Everything you always wanted to know about LOCC (but were afraid to ask). Communications in Mathematical Physics 328(1), 303–326 (2014). DOI 10.1007/s00220-014-1953-9
- Cubitt, T.S., Leung, D., Matthews, W., Winter, A.: Improving zero-error classical communication with entanglement. Physical Review Letters 104(23), 230503 (2010). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.230503
- Deitmar, A., Echterhoff, S.: Principles of harmonic analysis. Springer (2009). DOI 10.1007/978-0-387-85469-4
- Duan, R., Severini, S., Winter, A.: Zero-error communication via quantum channels, noncommutative graphs, and a quantum Lovász number. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 59(2), 1164–1174 (2012). DOI 10.1109/TIT.2012.2221677
- 6. Fritz, T.: Resource convertibility and ordered commutative monoids. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science **27**(6), 850–938 (2017). DOI 10.1017/S0960129515000444
- 7. Fritz, T.: A local-global principle for preordered semirings and abstract Positivstellensätze (2020)
- Fritz, T.: A generalization of Strassens Positivstellensatz. Communications in Algebra 49(2), 482–499 (2021). DOI 10.1080/00927872.2020.1803344
- Hayashi, M., Koashi, M., Matsumoto, K., Morikoshi, F., Winter, A.: Error exponents for entanglement concentration. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 36(2), 527 (2002). DOI 10.1088/0305-4470/36/2/316

- 10. Jensen, A.K., Vrana, P.: The asymptotic spectrum of LOCC transformations. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 66(1), 155-166 (2019). DOI 10.1109/TIT.2019.2927555
- 11. Li, Y., Zuiddam, J.: Quantum asymptotic spectra of graphs and non-commutative graphs, and quantum shannon capacities. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 67(1), 416-432 (2020). DOI 10.1109/TIT.2020.3032686
- 12. Mančinska, L., Roberson, D.E.: Quantum homomorphisms. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 118, 228–267 (2016). DOI 10.1016/j.jctb.2015.12.009
 Perry, C., Vrana, P., Werner, A.H.: The semiring of dichotomies and asymptotic relative
- submajorization (2020)
- 14. Renes, J.M.: Relative submajorization and its use in quantum resource theories. Journal of Mathematical Physics 57(12), 122202 (2016). DOI 10.1063/1.4972295
- 15.Shannon, C.: The zero error capacity of a noisy channel. IRE Transactions on Information Theory 2(3), 8-19 (1956). DOI 10.1109/TIT.1956.1056798
- 16. Strassen, V.: The asymptotic spectrum of tensors. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 384, 102-152 (1988). DOI 10.1515/crll.1988.384.102
- 17. Zuiddam, J.: Algebraic complexity, asymptotic spectra and entanglement polytopes. Ph.D. thesis, Institute for Logic, Language and Computation (2018). URL https://hdl.handle.net/11245.1/9a8030e9-f708-4c95-9d50-f2a5919e75ed
- 18. Zuiddam, J.: The asymptotic spectrum of graphs and the Shannon capacity. Combinatorica (2019). DOI 10.1007/s00493-019-3992-5