



ISSN 0974-0953

Current Conservation

**Quantifying the
Compromise**

Eviction for Conservation

Aversion to Relocation

**No Roads,
Only Directions**

Issue no - 2.3
Jul 2008

Editors

Ankila Hiremath

Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment, Bangalore, India

Kartik Shanker

Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India & Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment, Bangalore, India

Design Editor

Saravanakumar
Ecotone, India

Ecotone

www.ecotone.co.in

Editorial Board

Javier Arce-Nazario, University of California at Berkeley, USA

Rohan Arthur, Nature Conservation Foundation, India

Bram Buscher, Vrije Universiteit, Netherlands

Brian Child, University of Florida, USA

Vikram Dayal, Institute of Economic Growth, India

Jose Alexandre Diniz-Filho, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Brazil

Brendan Godley, University of Exeter, UK

Ferenc Jordan, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary

John Mathew, Harvard University, USA

Harini Nagendra, Indiana University, USA & Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment, India

Fred Nelson, Maliasili Initiatives Ltd, Tanzania

Wallace J. Nichols, California Academy of Sciences, USA

Meera Anna Oommen, Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment, India

Samraat Pawar, University of Texas at Austin, USA

Suhel Quader, National Centre for Biological Sciences, India

Madhu Rao, Wildlife Conservation Society, Singapore

Ana Rodrigues, University of Cambridge, UK

Jane Southworth, University of Florida, USA

Managing Editor

Hetal Hariya, Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment, Bangalore, India

Copyright

All articles in Current Conservation, unless otherwise noted, are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 License. The full text of this license is available at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>

Attribution 2.5

You are free:

- to Share — to copy, distribute and transmit the work
- to Remix — to adapt the work

Under the following conditions:

Attribution — You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

- For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.
- Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.
- Nothing in this license impairs or restricts the author's moral rights.

Current Conservation online is available at www.currentconservation.org

Cover Photo: FÖMI

Typical aerial view of the Bereg plain, Hungary.
Forest patches are clearly identifiable in the mosaic-like landscape.

Current Conservation

Issue no - 2.3
Jul 2008



Quantifying the Compromise: Developing the Road Map and Protecting the Forest Network
Ferenc Jordán, Tibor Magura, Béla Tóthmérész, Vera Vasas, Viktor Kődöböcz 4

Ranchers and Jaguars
Fred Nelson 5

Displacement and Relocation from Protected Areas: Towards a Biological and Historical Synthesis
Mahesh Rangarajan and Ghazala Shahabuddin 6

Eviction for Conservation: A Global Review
Dan Brockington & Jim Igoe 7

Land Restitution and Protected Areas in South Africa
Jane Carruthers 9

Aversion to Relocation: A Myth?
Rucha Ghate and Kim Beazley 10

Rough Time in Paradise: Claims, Blames and Memory Making Around Some Protected Areas in Kenya
Lotte Hughes 12

The Sundarbans: Whose World Heritage Site?
Annu Jalais 13

Displacement and Relocation from Protected Areas: International Law Perspectives on Rights, Risks, and Resistance
Doreen Lustig and Benedict Kingsbury 14

Displacement and Relocation Redux: Stories from Southeast Asia
Pamela McElwee 15

No Roads, Only Directions
K. Redford and S. Sanderson 17

Shifting Livelihood Options and Changing Attitudes of Communities in the Garo Hills, Western Meghalaya
Karthik Teegalapalli 18

REVIEW:
Brian Walker and David Salt. Resilience Thinking: Sustaining Ecosystems and People in a Changing World. Island Press (2006)
Reviewed by Fred Nelson —
Integrative Thinking for a Changing Planet 21

Quantifying the Compromise

Developing the Road Map and Protecting the Forest Network

Ferenc Jordán, Tibor Magura, Béla Tóthmérész, Vera Vasas, Viktor Ködöböcz

Conservation policy is necessarily imperfect, as it always targets a compromise between the contrasting needs of nature and society. A frequent source of conflict is how to develop a traffic network while trying to minimise its negative effects on the connectivity of natural habitats. Roads must be frequently considered as impenetrable barriers for many species, while migration is important for these species, if not the only remaining key to their survival. Both the traffic system and the landscape inhabited by various species may be regarded and analysed as large-scale units. Network analysis provides various tools for providing quantitative, measurable compromises. It helps in setting conservation priorities objectively by ranking each forest patch according to a measure of importance.

The reason why connectivity is essential for many species is that isolated populations face a number of dangerous effects, including genetic and demographic mechanisms, that could possibly lead to extinction.

Connectivity can guard against extinction due to genetic or demographic causes by ensuring the possibility of migration, and thus, gene flow. After all, this is the major mechanism counteracting the loss of diversity—first at the level of the genes, then at the level of species.

In a recent study, the expected effects of a planned highway were assessed from the viewpoint of how the connectivity of a forest habitat network will be reduced. The highway would connect Hungary and Ukraine, forming a strategically important transport route in the European system. The forest network was evaluated based on ground beetles, typical and representative members of forest communities.



Photo: Béla Tallósi

Carabus intricatus, inhabiting closed canopy deciduous forests of hills and mountains. In the Bereg plain, it lives only in a few forest fragments.

The present structure of the forest network was characterised and the importance of forest patches in maintaining connectivity was quantified by network analysis. Then, the authors compared the effects of the three planned tracks on forest connectivity and suggested a fourth, less deteriorating solution.

Of course, many viewpoints must be considered when a traffic network is designed. Legal, logistic, and financial analyses are unavoidable. Yet, protect-

ing nature calls for one more aspect: studying the landscape ecological effects of highways. Network analysis offers a tool for comparing different solutions objectively, from a large-scale perspective, in a quantifiable way. It can only be hoped that decision-makers will also consider this very biological aspect.

Originally published as:

Jordán, F., T. Magura, B. Tóthmérész, V. Vasas and V. Ködöböcz. 2007. Carabids (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in a forest patchwork: a connectivity analysis of the Bereg Plain landscape graph. *Landscape Ecology* 22: 1527-1539.

Ferenc Jordán is a biologist, researcher at Microsoft Research - University of Trento, Centre for Computational and Systems Biology, Trento, Italy (jordan@cosbi.eu).

Tibor Magura is a field biologist at the Hortobágy National Park Directorate, and leader of the Carabidology Research Group at the University of Debrecen, Hungary (magura@hnp.hu).

Béla Tóthmérész is a Professor of Quantitative Ecology at the Ecological Institute, University of Debrecen, Hungary (tothmerb@gmail.com).

Vera Vasas is PhD student at the Department of Plant Taxonomy and Ecology, ELTE University, Budapest, Hungary (vvasas@yahoo.com).

Viktor Ködöböcz is regional programme manager of the Hungarian National Biomonitoring System at the Hortobágy National Park Directorate, Hungary (viktor@www.hnp.hu).