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#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to make a first step to prove the conjecture, namely, that not every non-negative information function coincides with the Shannon's one on the algebraic elements of the closed unit interval.


## 1. Introduction

The characterization of the Shannon entropy, based upon its recursive and symmetric properties is strongly connected with the so-called fundamental equation of information, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)+(1-x) f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)=f(y)+(1-y) f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and (1.1) holds for all $x, y \in[0,1[, x+y \leq 1$.
The solutions of (1.1) satisfying $f(0)=f(1)$ and $f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1$ are the information functions. The basic monography Aczél and Daróczy [1] contains several results on these functions, like, if $f$ is non-negative and bounded, then $f=S$, where

$$
S(x)=-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x), \quad x \in[0,1],
$$

( $0 \log _{2} 0$ is defined by 0 ). (See also Daróczy-Kátai [2]). A related result is
Theorem 1. (Daróczy-Maksa [3]). If $f$ is a non-negative information function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq S(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover, there exists a non-negative information function different from $S$.

[^0]The proof of the second part of this theorem is based upon the existence of a non-identically zero real derivation $d: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is additive, that is

$$
d(x+y)=d(x)+d(y) \quad(x, y \in \mathbb{R})
$$

and satisfies the equation

$$
d(x y)=x d(y)+y d(x), \quad(x, y \in \mathbb{R})
$$

and different from 0 at some point. (See for example Kuczma [4]).
A computation shows that the function

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}S(x)+\frac{d(x)^{2}}{x(1-x)} & \text { if } x \in] 0,1[  \tag{1.3}\\ 0 & \text { if } x \in\{0,1\}\end{cases}
$$

is a non-negative information function and different from $S$ if $d$ is a real derivation different from 0. (See Daróczy-Maksa [3]).

After this result some other natural questions arose, namely, the characterization of the non-negative information functions and (or at least) their Shannon kernel $\{x \in[0,1]: f(x)=S(x)\}$ where $f$ is a fixed non-negative information function. (See Lawrence-Mess-Zorzitto [6], Maksa [7] and Lawrence [5].)

It is known that the real derivations are vanishing over the field of algebraic numbers (se Kuczma [4]), hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\alpha)=S(\alpha) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $f$ is given by (1.3). It is noted that (1.4) holds for all non-negative information functions $f$ and for all rational $\alpha \in[0,1]$. (See Daróczy-Kátai [2].)

Our conjecture is that there are non-negative information functions that are different from the Shannon's one at some algebraic element of $[0,1]$. In the next section we prove a partial result in this direction.

## 2. Results

The base of our investigations is the following theorem.
Theorem 2. A function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative information function, if and only if, there exists an additive function $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $a(1)=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)-(1-x) a\left(\log _{2}(1-x)\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { if } \quad x \in\right] 0,1[ \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}-x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)-(1-x) a\left(\log _{2}(1-x)\right) & \text { if } x \in] 0,1[  \tag{2.2}\\ 0 & \text { if } x \in\{0,1\}\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore $f=S$ holds, if and only if, there is a real derivation $d: I R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x)=x+2^{x} d\left(2^{-x}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first part of the theorem is an easy consequence of Theorem 1 of Daróczy-Maksa [3]. To prove the second part, first suppose that the non-negative information function $f$ coincides with $S$ on $[0,1]$. Therefore, by the definition of $S$ and by (2.2), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)-(1-x) a\left(\log _{2}(1-x)\right)=-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in] 0,1[$ where $a$ is an additive function that exists by the first part of the theorem. Define the function $\varphi:] 0,+\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=-x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)+x \log _{2} x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x y)=x \varphi(y)+y \varphi(x) \quad \text { if } \quad x>0, y>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, because of (2.4),

$$
\varphi(x)+\varphi(1-x)=0 \quad \text { if } \quad 0<x<1
$$

This implies that

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{x}{x+y}\right)+\varphi\left(\frac{y}{x+y}\right)=0
$$

for all $x>0, y>0$ whence, applying (2.6), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =x \varphi\left(\frac{1}{x+y}\right)+\frac{1}{x+y} \varphi(x)+y \varphi\left(\frac{1}{x+y}\right)+\frac{1}{x+y} \varphi(y) \\
& =(x+y) \varphi\left(\frac{1}{x+y}\right)+\frac{1}{x+y}(\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)) \\
& =\varphi(1)-\frac{1}{x+y}(\varphi(x+y)-\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi(1)=0$, we dotain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x+y)=\varphi(x)+\varphi(y) \quad \text { if } \quad x>0, y>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ define the function $d: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
d(x)=\varphi(u)-\varphi(v)
$$

where $u>0, v>0$ and $x=u-v$. Equation (2.7) garantees that the definition of $d$ is correct, $d$ is additive, and moreover, by (2.6) and (2.7), $d$ is a real derivation that is an extension of $\varphi$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, by (2.5),

$$
d(x)=-x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)+x \log _{2} x \quad \text { if } \quad x>0
$$

whence we obtain (2.3) replecing $x$ by $2^{-x}$.
Finally, if $d$ is an arbitrary real derivation then the function a defined by (2.3) is additive, $a(1)=1$ and the function $f$ given in $(2.2)$ coincides with $S$ on $[0,1]$.

Since every real derivation vanishes at all algebraic points (see, for example Kuczma [4]), in order to prove our conjecture, by (2.3), we have to construct an additive function $a$ for which $a(1)=1, a\left(\log _{2} \beta\right) \neq \log _{2} \beta$ for some positive algebraic number $\beta$ and (2.1) holds for all $x \in] 0,1[$.

Instead of this we can proof the following weaker result only.
Theorem 3. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a real algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $n>1$. If $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ (the ring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ) is a unique factorization domain then there exists an additive $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $a(1)=1$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)-(1-x) a\left(\log _{2}(1-x)\right) \geq S(x) \quad \text { if } \quad x \in\right] 0,1[\cap \mathbb{Q}[\alpha] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\log _{2} \beta\right) \neq \log _{2} \beta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive algebraic number $\beta$.
Proof. Let $U$ be the unitgroup of $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ generating by a set of fundamental units $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}\right\}$ and $P=\left\{\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{s}, \ldots\right\}$ be the set of primes in $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$. Since the group of the roots of unity is $\{-1,1\}$, only, we may assume that

$$
0<\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 ; \quad 0<\pi_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

and every non-zero element $x$ of $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ can uniquely be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x= \pm\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}^{k_{i}}\right)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_{j}^{\ell_{j}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponents are (rational) integers and $\ell_{j} \geq 0, j=1,2, \ldots$ The set $P$ is multiplicatively independent, hence the set $\left\{\log _{2} \pi: \pi \in P\right\}$ is linearly independent (over $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Therefore there is a Hamel basis $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}$ for which $1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\log _{2} \pi \in \mathcal{H}$ if $\pi \in P$.

Let $\pi_{1} \in P$ be fixed. We may assume that $\pi_{1} \neq 2$. Define the function $a_{0}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by $a_{0}\left(\log _{2} \pi_{1}\right)=\log _{2} \frac{\pi_{1}}{2}, \quad a_{0}(h)=h$ if $h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad h \neq \log _{2} \pi_{1}$, and let $a$ be the additive extension of $a_{0}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. It is obvious that $a(1)=1$ and (2.9) is satisfied by $\beta=\pi_{1}$. To prove (2.8) first suppose that the exponent of $\pi_{1}$ is positive in the decomposition (2.10) of $x \in] 0,1\left[\cap \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]\right.$. Then the exponent of $\pi_{1}$ in the decomposition of $(1-x)$ is zero. Of course, the same is true also for $(1-x)$ instead of $x$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\log _{2}(1-x)\right)=\log _{2}(1-x) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\log _{2} x\right)=\log _{2} x \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in] 0,1[\cap \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$. Supposing (2.11) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -x a\left(\log _{2} x\right)-(1-x) a\left(\log _{2}(1-x)\right) \\
& =-x a\left(\log _{2} \frac{x}{\pi_{1}^{\ell_{1}}}+\log _{2} \pi_{1}^{\ell_{1}}\right)-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x) \\
& =-x a\left(\log _{2} \frac{x}{\pi_{1}^{\ell_{1}}}\right)-x a\left(\log _{2} \pi_{1}^{\ell_{1}}\right)-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x) \\
& =-x \log _{2} \frac{x}{\pi_{1}^{\ell_{1}}}-x \ell_{1} a\left(\log _{2} \pi_{1}\right)-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x) \\
& =-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x)+x \ell_{1}\left[\log _{2} \pi_{1}-a\left(\log _{2} \pi_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x)+x \ell_{1}\left[\log _{2} \pi_{1}-\log _{2} \frac{\pi_{1}}{2}\right] \\
& >-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x)=S(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.8) holds. In case (2.12) the proof is similar. Finally, if the exponent of $\pi_{1}$ is zero in the decompositions of both $x$ and $(1-x)$ then, of course, the equality is valid in (2.8).

Remark. According to the classical approximation result of Dirichlet the set $D=\{x \in] 0,1\left[\cap \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]: \ell_{1}>0\right.$ in (2.10) $\}$ is dense in $[0,1]$. Thus the strict inequality holds on the dense set $D$ in (2.8).
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