

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 23 (2022), No. 1, pp. 51–60 HU e-ISSN 1787-2413 DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2022.2467

ON *e***-CONVEXITY**

MOHAMMAD HOSSEIN ALIZADEH AND JUDIT MAKÓ

Received 5 December, 2019

Abstract. In this paper, we examine a generalized convexity type inequality, called e-convexity.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B22, 39B12.

Keywords: approximate convexity, strengthening of convexity, Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper denote by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{Z}$, and \mathbb{N} the sets of real numbers, non-negative real numbers, integers, and positive integers, respectively, and denote *I* by a nonempty subinterval of \mathbb{R} .

The stability theory of convexity started with the paper [2] of Hyers and Ulam who defined the ε -convex functions: If *D* is a convex subset of a real linear space *X* and ε is a nonnegative number, then a function $f : D \to R$ is called ε -convex, if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in D$, $t \in [0, 1]$. The basic result obtained by Hyers and Ulam states that if the underlying space X is finite dimension then f can be written as f = g + h, where g is a convex function and h is a bounded function whose supremum norm is not larger than $k_n \varepsilon$, where the positive constant k_n depends only on the dimension of the underlying space X.

In [7], Páles introduced a more general notion than ε -convexity. Let ε , δ be non-negative constants. A function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is called (ε, δ) -convex, if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \delta + \varepsilon t(1-t)||x-y||$$

for every $x, y \in D$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. The main results of the paper [7] obtain a complete characterization of (ε, δ) -convexity, if $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open real interval by showing that these functions are of the form f = g + h + l, where g is convex, h is bounded with $|h| \leq \delta/2$ and l is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz modulus Lip $(l) \leq \varepsilon$.

In [1], Alizadeh and Roohi introduced a general convexity notion, the so-called σ -convexity, namely let $\sigma : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative function. We say that a function

© 2022 Miskolc University Press

 $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is σ -convex, if

 $f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + t(1-t)\min(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) ||x - y||$

for all $x, y \in D$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

In this paper the relations between the σ -monotonicity and σ -convexity were investigated. Moreover, some results on the sum and difference of two σ -monotone operator was considered. In this paper, we would like to generalize the notion of σ -convexity and we would like to consider the basic properties of this generalized convexity. Namely, we will characterize *e*-convexity in the real case, give a kind of strengthening of *e*-convexity, give Bernstein–Doetcsh type result, search relations between Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities and *e*-convexity.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let *X* be a linear space and *D* be a nonempty convex subset of *X*, moreover let $e: D \times D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a nonnegative, symmetric error function. We say that $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *e-convex*, if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + t(1-t)e(x,y) \qquad (t \in [0,1], x, y \in D).$$
(2.1)

If the above inequality stands for a $t \in [0, 1]$, we say that the function f is (t, e)-convex.

Remark 1. The e-convexity reduces to

- 1) convexity if e(x, y) = 0, for all $x, y \in D$;
- 2) ε -convexity if $e(x, y) = \varepsilon ||x y||$ for all $x, y \in D$ and for a fixed $\varepsilon \ge 0$;
- 3) paraconvexity if $e(x, y) = C ||x y||^2$ for all $x, y \in D$ and for a fixed $C \in \mathbb{R}$;
- 4) α(·)-paraconvexity if e(x, y) = Cα(||x y||), for all x, y ∈ D, where C > 0 and α is a nondecreasing function mapping the interval [0,+∞[into the interval [0,∞[. (see [6])
- 5) σ -convexity, if $e(x, y) = \min(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) ||x y||$, if X is a normed space, and $\sigma: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative function.

In the following theorem, we would like to give a strengthening type result. This result is similar as in [3].

Theorem 1. If the function f is e-convex on D, then the following e-convexity type inequality also holds,

$$f(tx+(1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + t(1-t)e(x,y) + \frac{t-r}{s-r} \cdot \frac{s-t}{s-r} \left(e(sx+(1-s)y, rx+(1-r)y) - (s-r)^2 e(x,y) \right)$$
(2.2)

for all $x, y \in D$, and $0 \le r \le t \le s \le 1$.

Proof. Write, in (2.1), *x* by sx + (1 - s)y and *y* by rx + (1 - r)y, we can get that f((ts + (1 - t)r)x + (1 - (ts + (1 - t)r))y)

$$\begin{split} &= f\left(t(sx+(1-s)y)+(1-t)(rx+(1-r)y)\right) \\ &\leq tf(sx+(1-s)y)+(1-t)f(rx+(1-r)y) \\ &\quad +t(1-t)e(sx+(1-s)y,rx+(1-r)y) \\ &\leq t\left(sf(x)+(1-s)f(y)+s(1-s)e(x,y)\right) \\ &\quad +(1-t)\left(rf(x)+(1-r)f(y)+r(1-r)e(x,y)\right) \\ &\quad +t(1-t)e(sx+(1-s)y,rx+(1-r)y) \\ &\leq (ts+(1-t)r)f(x)+(1-(ts+(1-t)r))f(y) \\ &\quad +ts(1-s)e(x,y)+(1-t)r(1-r)e(x,y) \\ &\quad +t(1-t)e(sx+(1-s)y,rx+(1-r)y). \end{split}$$

Let u = ts + (1-t)r, then $t = \frac{u-r}{s-r}$ and from the previous inequality we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} f(ux+(1-u)y) &\leq uf(x)+(1-u)f(y)+u(1-u)e(x,y) \\ &\quad + \big(ts(1-s)+(1-t)r(1-r)-u(1-u)\big)e(x,y) \\ &\quad + t(1-t)e(sx+(1-s)y,rx+(1-r)y). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the previous substitution, we have (2.2), which proves the statement. \Box

Remark 2. According to the previous theorem, we may assume that the plus error term is nonnegative, namely

$$e(sx + (1 - s)y, rx + (1 - r)y) - (s - r)^2 e(x, y) \ge 0$$
 for all $0 \le r \le s \le 1$.

If not, we can strengthen our error term with the *e*-type function in (2.2). This means that the error *e* has the property of superquadratic. For example, in the case of normed space, if $e(x,y) = ||x-y||^p$, where p > 0. We can get $p \le 2$.

Theorem 2. The e-convexity of the function $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ is equivalent with the following property: For all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in D$, $t_i \ge 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}f(x_{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{t_{i}t_{j}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{k}\right)^{2}} \cdot e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{k}\right)x_{i} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} (t_{k}x_{k}), \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} t_{k}\right)x_{i+1} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} (t_{k}x_{k})\right).$$
(2.3)

Proof. Assume that f is *e*-convex on D. We will show (2.3) by induction. If n = 2, we have the *e*-convexity of f. Let us assume that (2.3) satisfies for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let's consider the case n + 1. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in I$ and $t_i \ge 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 1$. If

 $t_{n+1} = 1$, the statement is true. If it is not, then $1 - t_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i$. Then using the inductive assumption, and some simple computation, finally the *e*-convexity of *f*, we can get that,

$$\begin{split} &f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j x_j\right) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_j}{1-t_{n+1}} \left((1-t_{n+1})x_j + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_j}{1-t_{n+1}} f\left((1-t_{n+1})x_j + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_i}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{t_k}{1-t_{n+1}}\right)^2} e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{t_k}{1-t_{n+1}}\right) \left((1-t_{n+1})x_i + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=j+1}^n \frac{t_i}{1-t_{n+1}} \left((1-t_{n+1})x_k + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \right) \\ &\left(\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{t_i}{1-t_{n+1}}\right) \left((1-t_{n+1})x_{i+1} + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) + \sum_{k=j+1}^n \frac{t_k}{1-t_{n+1}} \left((1-t_{n+1})x_k + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_j}{1-t_{n+1}} f\left((1-t_{n+1})x_j + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{t_i t_j}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)^2} e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_i + \sum_{k=j+1}^n (t_k x_k) + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}, \left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_{i+1} + \sum_{k=j+1}^n (t_k x_k) + t_{n+1}x_{n+1}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_j}{1-t_{n+1}} \left((1-t_{n+1})f(x_j) + t_{n+1}f(x_{n+1}) + t_{n+1}(1-t_{n+1})e(x_j, x_{n+1})\right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{t_i t_j}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)^2} e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_i + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} (t_k x_k), \left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_{i+1} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} (t_k x_k)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j t_{n+1} e(x_j, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{t_i t_j}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)^2} e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_i + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} (t_k x_k), \left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_{i+1} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} (t_k x_k)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n t_j t_{n+1} e(x_j, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_i t_j}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)^2} e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_i + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} (t_k x_k), \left(\sum_{k=1}^j t_k\right)x_{i+1} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1} (t_k x_k)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j f(x_j) \\ &=$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\frac{t_it_j}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j}t_k\right)^2}e\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j}t_k\right)x_i+\sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1}(t_kx_k),\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j}t_k\right)x_{i+1}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{n+1}(t_kx_k)\right),$$

which proves the statement. The substitution n = 2 give that the implication (iii) \rightarrow (i) also holds.

Theorem 3. Let *I* be an open interval in \mathbb{R} , then $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is e-convex on *I*, if and only if for all x < u < y from *I*,

$$\frac{f(u) - f(x)}{u - x} \le \frac{f(y) - f(u)}{y - u} + \frac{e(x, y)}{y - x}$$
(2.4)

holds.

Proof. Assume that f is e-convex on I, then substituting tx + (1-t)y by u, x < u < y in (2.1), we can get that $t = \frac{y-u}{y-x}$ and

$$f(u) \leq \frac{y-u}{y-x}f(x) + \frac{u-x}{y-x}f(y) + \frac{y-u}{y-x} \cdot \frac{u-y}{y-x}e(x,y).$$

Rearranging the above inequality we can get (2.4).

The implication (ii) \rightarrow (i) is also a simple calculation. Namely with the substitution u = tx + (1-t)y we have the *e*-convexity of *f*.

Corollary 1. If $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and e-convex on I, then

$$f(x) - f(y) \ge f'(y)(x - y) - e(x, y) \qquad (x, y \in I).$$
(2.5)

Proof. Taking the limit $y \rightarrow u$ in (2.4), we have (2.5).

Corollary 2. If $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and e-convex, then

$$f'(x) - f'(y)(x - y) \ge -2e(x, y)$$
 $(x, y \in I).$ (2.6)

Proof. Let $x, y \in I$, then using (2.5) and applying the substitution x by y and y by x, and adding the two inequalities, we have (2.6).

Proposition 1. Let I = [a,b]. If $e: I \times I \to [0,\infty[$ is upper semicontinuous and f is *e-convex*, then f is continuous.

Proof. Assume that x_0 in I and (x_n) is a sequence in $]x_0, b]$, converging to x_0 . Then,

$$x_n = \lambda_n b + (1 - \lambda_n) x_0$$
 with $\lambda_n \to 0$.

On the other hand $x_n \in [a, x_0[$. Thus there exists $\lambda'_n \in [0, 1]$, such that

$$x_0 = \lambda'_n a + (1 - \lambda'_n) x_n$$
 with $\lambda'_n \to 0$.

Since *f* is *e*-convex, we have that

$$f(x_n) \leq \lambda_n f(b) + (1 - \lambda_n) f(x_0) + \lambda_n (1 - \lambda_n) e(b, \lambda_n b + (1 - \lambda_n) x_0).$$

Therefore, by taking the lim sup in the above inequality we have that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) \le f(x_0)$$

However,

$$f(x_0) \leq \lambda'_n f(a) + (1 - \lambda'_n) f(x_n) + \lambda'_n (1 - \lambda'_n) e(a, \lambda'_n a + (1 - \lambda_n) x_n).$$

Taking the liminf, we have that

$$f(x_0) \le \liminf f(x_n).$$

Remark 3. Assume that $0 \in I$ and $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is *e*-convex. If $f(0) \leq 0$ and e(x,0) = 0 for all $x \in I$, then f is super-additive on $I \cap [0, \infty)$. Indeed, by the *e*-convexity of f, we have

$$f(tx) = f(tx + (1-t)0) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(0) + t(1-t)e(x,0) \le tf(x).$$

On the other hand, for all $x, y \in I$

$$f(x) + f(y) = f\left((x+y)\frac{x}{x+y}\right) + f\left((x+y)\frac{y}{x+y}\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{x}{x+y}f(x+y) + \frac{y}{x+y}f(x+y) = f(x+y)$$

In what follows, we find connections between a lower Hermite–Hadamard type inequality and *e*-convexity. We will need the definition of hemi-property. The function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ has a *hemi-property*, if for all $x, y \in D$ the map

$$t \to f(tx + (1-t)y)$$
 $t \in [0,1]$ (2.7)

has got that property. For example $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ is hemi-bounded, if for all $x, y \in D$ the function defined by (2.7) is bounded.

Now, we recall a theorem of [5].

Theorem 4. Let D be a convex set of a linear space X. Let \mathscr{A} be a sigma algebra containing the Borel subsets of [0,1] and μ be a probability measure on the measure space $([0,1],\mathscr{A})$ such that the support of μ is not a singleton. Denote

$$S(\mu) := \mu([0,\mu_1]) \int_{]\mu_1,1]} t d\mu(t) - \mu(]\mu_1,1] \int_{[0,\mu_1]} t d\mu(t).$$
(2.8)

Assume that $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is an hemi- μ -integrable solution of the functional inequality

$$f((1-t)x+ty) \le (1-t)f(x) + tf(y) + e_{x,y}(t) \quad ((x,y) \in D^2, t \in [0,1]),$$
(2.9)

where, for all $(x, y) \in D^{2*}$, $e_{x,y} : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

$$I(x,y) := \int_{[\mu_1,1]} \int_{[0,\mu_1]} (t''-t') e_{(1-t')x+t'y,(1-t'')x+t''y} \left(\frac{\mu_1-t'}{t''-t'}\right) d\mu(t') d\mu(t'')$$
(2.10)

exists in $[-\infty,\infty]$ for all $(x,y) \in D^{2*}$. Then, for all $(x,y) \in D^{2*}$, the function f also satisfies the lower Hermite–Hadamard type inequality

$$f((1-\mu_1)x+\mu_1y) \le \int_{[0,1]} f((1-t)x+ty)d\mu(t) + E(x,y) \quad ((x,y) \in D^2), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$E(x,y) := \frac{I(x,y)}{S(\mu)} \qquad ((x,y) \in D^{2*}).$$
(2.12)

The following result gives a lower Hermite–Hadamard type inequality for *e*-convex functions and it is a simple connection of the previous theorem.

Corollary 3. Let D be a convex set of a linear space X. Let \mathscr{A} be a sigma algebra containing the Borel subsets of [0,1] and μ be a probability measure on the measure space $([0,1],\mathscr{A})$ such that the support of μ is not a singleton. Let $S(\mu)$ defined by (2.8). Assume that $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is an hemi- μ -integrable solution of the e-convexity type inequality (2.1), moreover let I(x,y) - defined by (2.10) - exist in $[-\infty,\infty]$, for all $(x,y) \in D^2$. Then f satisfies the lower Hermite–Hadamard type inequality, (2.11), where E is defined by (2.12).

Now, we apply this corollary for Lebesgue integral.

Corollary 4. Let D be a convex set of a linear space X. Assume that $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is an hemi-Lebesgue-integrable solution of the e-convexity inequality (2.1). Then f satisfies the following lower Hermite–Hadamard type inequality,

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \int_0^1 f\left((1-t)x+ty\right)dt + 4I(x,y) \quad ((x,y) \in D^2),$$
(2.13)

where

$$I(x,y) := \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - t')(t'' - \frac{1}{2})}{t'' - t'} e((1 - t')x + t'y, (1 - t'')x + t''y)dt'dt''.$$

Proof. Denote by λ the Lebesgue measure on [0,1]. Then $\lambda_1 = \int_0^1 t dt = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand,

$$S(\lambda) := \lambda([0,\lambda_1]) \int_{[\lambda_1,1]} t dt - \lambda(]\lambda_1,1] \int_{[0,\lambda_1]} t dt$$
$$= \lambda([0,\frac{1}{2}]) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} t dt - \lambda(]\frac{1}{2},1] \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t dt = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now, we recall a result from [4].

Theorem 5. Let μ be a Borel probability measure on [0, 1], denote $\mu_1 := \int_{[0,1]} t d\mu(t)$ and assume that the support of μ is not a singleton, i.e., $\mu \neq \delta_{\mu_1}$. Assume that, for all $(x,y) \in D^2$, $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ is an upper hemicontinuous solution of the functional inequality (2.13), where $E : D^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that, for all $(x,y) \in D^2$, $e_{x,y} : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous function with $e_{x,y}(0) = e_{x,y}(1) = 0$ satisfying the following system of inequalities:

$$e_{x,y}(s) \geq \begin{cases} \int e_{x,y}\left(\frac{st}{\mu_{1}}\right)d\mu(t) + E\left(x,\left(1-\frac{s}{\mu_{1}}\right)x + \frac{s}{\mu_{1}}y\right) & (s \in [0,\mu_{1}]), \\ \int e_{x,y}\left(1-\frac{(1-s)(1-t)}{1-\mu_{1}}\right)d\mu(t) + E\left(\frac{1-s}{1-\mu_{1}}x + (1-\frac{1-s}{1-\mu_{1}})y,y\right) & (s \in [\mu_{1},1]). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.14)$$

Then, for all $(x, y) \in D^2$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, the function f also satisfies the approximate convexity inequality (2.9).

The following proposition states that from Hermite–Hadamard type inequality, we can get *e*-convexity.

Corollary 5. Let μ be a Borel probability measure on [0, 1], denote $\mu_1 := \int_{[0,1]} t d\mu(t)$, $\mu_2 = \int_{[0,1]} t^2 d\mu(t)$ and assume that the support of μ is not a singleton, i.e., $\mu \neq \delta_{\mu_1}$. Assume that, for all $(x, y) \in D^2$, $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ is an upper hemicontinuous solution of the functional inequality (2.13), where $E : D^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that, for all $(x, y) \in D^2$, $e : D \times D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semicontinuous function with satisfying the following system of inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} s^{2}(\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}^{2}}-1)e_{x,y}(s) \geq E\left(x,(1-\frac{s}{\mu_{1}})x+\frac{s}{\mu_{1}}y\right) & (s\in[0,\mu_{1}]),\\ (1-s)^{2}\left(\frac{1-2\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{(1-\mu_{1})^{2}}\right)e(x,y) \geq E\left(\frac{1-s}{1-\mu_{1}}x+(1-\frac{1-s}{1-\mu_{1}})y,y\right) & (s\in[\mu_{1},1]). \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

Then, the function f is e-convex on D.

Proof. Define for $x, y \in D$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the function $e_{x,y}$ by the following formulae:

$$e_{x,y}(t) = t(1-t)e(x,y)$$

Simple calculations shows that (2.14) reduces (2.15). Using the previous theorem, we have the *e*-convexity of f.

Corollary 6. Let $d: D \times D \to [0, \infty]$ be a symmetric function. Assume that $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a hemi-continuous solution of the functional inequality,

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \int_0^1 f(tx+(1-t)y)dt + d(x,y), \qquad (x,y \in D)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{12}s^2e(x,y) \ge d(x,(1-s)x+sy) \qquad (s \in [0,1], x, y \in D).$$
(2.16)

Then f is e-convex.

Proof. In this case, μ is the Lebesgue measure, which is denoted by λ . $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{3}$. Using the symmetry of the function *d* it is also easy to see that (2.15) reduces (2.16). Applying the previous corollary, we can get the *e*-convexity of *f*.

The following proposition states a Bernstein–Doetsch type theorem for e-convexity.

Corollary 7. Let X is normed space and D is a nonempty, open and convex subset of X. Let $d: D \times D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a symmetric function. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous solution of the following functional inequality,

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2} + d(x,y)$$

Assume that $e: D \times D \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ is symmetric and it satisfies the following functional inequality,

$$\frac{s^2}{4}e(x,y) \ge d(x,(1-s)x+sy) \qquad s \in [0,1], s \in [0,1].$$

Then f is e-convex.

Proof. Let μ be the Dirac-measure which concentrated to $\frac{1}{2}$. Then, from Corollary 6, we can get the statement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The article was carried out as part of the EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00011 "Younger and Renewing University – Innovative Knowledge City – institutional development of the University of Miskolc aiming at intelligent specialisation" project implemented in the framework of the Szechenyi 2020 program. The realization of this project is supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

REFERENCES

- M. H. Alizadeh and M. Roohi, "Some results on pre-monotone operators," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 2085–2097, 2017.
- [2] D. Hyers and S. Ulam, "Approximately convex functions." Proc. Am. Math. Soc., vol. 3, pp. 821– 828, 1952, doi: 10.2307/2032186.
- [3] J. Makó and Zs. Páles, "Strengthening of strong and approximate convexity." Acta Math. Hung., vol. 132, no. 1-2, pp. 78–91, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s10474-010-0056-0.
- [4] J. Makó and Zs. Páles, "Korovkin type theorems and approximate Hermite-Hadamard inequalities." J. Approx. Theory, vol. 164, no. 8, pp. 1111–1142, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jat.2012.05.010.
- [5] J. Makó and Zs. Páles, "Approximate Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for approximately convex functions." *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 507–526, 2013, doi: 10.7153/mia-16-37.
- [6] S. Rolewicz, "Paraconvex analysis," Control and Cybernetics, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 951–965, 2005.
- [7] Zs. Páles, "On approximately convex functions." Proc. Am. Math. Soc., vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 243–252, 2003, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06552-8.

MOHAMMAD HOSSEIN ALIZADEH AND JUDIT MAKÓ

Authors' addresses

Mohammad Hossein Alizadeh

(**Corresponding author**) Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran

E-mail address: m.alizadeh@iasbs.ac.ir

Judit Makó

University of Miskolc, Institute of Mathematics, Miskolc-Egyetemváros, H-3515 Miskolc, Hungary *E-mail address:* matjudit@uni-miskolc.hu