

AN ANNOTATED AND UPDATED CHECKLIST OF THE HUNGARIAN DENDROFLORA

D. BARTHA

*Institute of Botany and Nature Conservation, University of Sopron
H-9400 Sopron, Bajcsy-Zsilinszky u. 4, Hungary, E-mail: bartha.denes@uni-sopron.hu*

(Received: 11 February 2021; Accepted: 29 July 2021)

The checklist includes tree, shrub, dwarf shrub, woody liana and epiphyte species that occur or have occurred in Hungary except the settlements and other intensively utilised objects. 437 dendrotaxa were included and evaluated in this list. This means 281 species, 22 subspecies, 128 nothospecies and 6 nothosubspecies. Based on the indigenat, 260 native, 92 alien and 9 cryptogenic dendrotaxa live in Hungary, furthermore 54 cultivated dendrotaxa and 22 dendrotaxa with questionable occurrence. Analysing the invasive status of alien species, 19 invasive or being in the early stages of invasion, 12 naturalised and 61 casual dendrotaxa can be distinguished. According to residence time status, the number of archaeophytes is 16 and that of neophytes is 76. Of the 260 native dendrotaxa, 9 were extinct or presumably extinct. 44 dendrotaxa are considered to be proven endemic, and there are 8 subendemic. Of the 134 nothotaxa on the list, 14 are artificial and 120 are of natural origin.

Key words: alien plants, dendrotaxa, Hungary, hybrids, native plants, nomenclature, species list

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of species lists, flora lists, or according to current terminology standard lists and checklists, is to provide an account of the members of the flora of a given area, taking into account new knowledge of nomenclature, taxonomy, biogeography and ecology to utilise in basic and applied research, education, and practice (e.g. forestry, agriculture, nature conservation). This study undertakes to process the dendroflora of Hungary in such an approach.

In the last quarter of a century, checklists discussing the vascular flora have already been made in the surrounding countries of Hungary and in the neighbouring countries of Central and Southern Europe, such as: Albania (Barina *et al.* 2018), Austria (Gilli *et al.* 2019), Croatia (Nikolić 1994, 1997, 2000), Czech republic (Danihelka *et al.* 2012, Pyšek *et al.* 2012), Germany (Buttler and Hand 2008, Buttler *et al.* 2018, Wisskirchen and Haeupler 1998), Greece (Dimopoulos *et al.* 2013), Italy (Bartolucci *et al.* 2018, Galasso *et al.* 2018), Poland (Mirek *et al.* 2002), Romania (Oprea 2005), Serbia (Niketić and Tomović 2018), Slovakia (Marhold and Hindák 1998), Slovenia (Trpin and Vreš 1995), Switzerland (Juillerat *et al.* 2017), Ukraine (Mosyakin and Fedorowchuk 1999).

The first checklist in Hungary in the current sense, processing vascular flora and covering the entire territory of the country, was compiled by Rezső Soó (Soó 1980), which is based on his detailed, multi-volume work on the processing of Hungarian flora (Soó 1964–1973). An abbreviated and improved version of this was later published by Szaniszló Priszter (Priszter 1985). A list of taxa supplemented with several attributes (e.g. floristic, coenological, ecological, nature conservation) was published a decade later by Horváth *et al.* (1995). After the turn of the millennium, Róbert Vidéki and Viktor Virók prepared a checklist (Vidéki and Virók 2004) to help the Hungarian flora mapping and the compilation of the plant identification book, but their publication was unfortunately not used in the compilation of the mentioned works. A list of taxa for archaeophytes (Terpó *et al.* 1999) and neophytes (Balogh *et al.* 2004) was also prepared based on a set of criteria.

The checklist containing only dendrotaxa was first published in Hungary a good quarter of a century ago (Bartha 1992–93), which was later followed by improved versions (Bartha 1999*a, b*). The list of adventive taxa of dendroflora has been published in several updated studies (Bartha 1999*c*, 2000, Bartha and Csiszár 2004). A special checklist containing only *Rosa* taxa was published by Kerényi-Nagy (2010). A recent list-like compilation was made of some degree endangered, and of invasive or potentially invasive alien tree and shrub species (Bartha 2019, 2020). The purpose of this list of dendrotaxa is to appear as an updated version of the previous lists compiled in a similar way, to draw attention to the changes, and to provide an incentive effect on the potential compilers of the long-missing list of vascular plant species in Hungary.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Range of dendrotaxa and study area: The list includes tree, shrub, dwarf shrub, woody liana and epiphyte species that occur or have occurred in Hungary, but do not include semi-shrubs. The list does not take into account the woody plant species planted in Hungarian settlements (urban areas) or they only established there, due to their large number and the temporality of establishment (these can be studied in Bartha's (2020) work), and also the intensively utilised objects of the urban suburbs (e.g. Christmas tree plantations, seed orchards, castle parks, arboreta, motorways).

Systematic and taxonomy: The checklist lists dendrotaxa in alphabetical order, and the valid family names are given in each genus based on modern molecular genetic knowledge, established by the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group (APG IV. 2016, Stevens 2001 onwards, WCSP 2021) for angiosperms and Christenhusz *et al.* (2011) for gymnosperms. Within genera, the list includes species aggregates in the original interpretation of Manton (1958), species, specioids in the interpretation of Jirásek (1964), and subspecies. The delimitation of the

latter – which has caused many misunderstandings so far – was based on Goovaerts' conception (WCSP 2021): "Distribution range separate (so that non-overlapping rings can be drawn round them on a map) or nearly so, gene flow absent or very restricted between the infraspecific populations and differing in characters that are significant for taxonomic species differentiation within the genus." The previously used s. l. (*sensu lato*) and s. str. (*sensu stricto*) taxon conceptions were discarded due to their difficult interpretation, and the hierarchical species aggregate and species or species and subspecies categories were used instead. In addition, the list includes hybrid nothospecies aggregate, hybrid species (nothospecies), and hybrid subspecies (nothosubspecies). Interpretation of nothotaxa is based on the work of Stace *et al.* (2016).

Nomenclature: The scientific names were given on the basis of international databases (Euro + Med 2006 onwards, ILDIS 2010 onwards, IPNI 2004 onwards, TPL 2013 onwards, POWO 2021), and in case of any discrepancies, individual consideration and decision was made. Application of nomenclature rules were applied to natural taxa according to ICN (= International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi, and Plants) (Turland *et al.* 2018, Shenzhen Code; Wiersema *et al.* 2018+ continuously updated, Appendices I–VII), for cultivated taxa according to the ICNCP (= International Code of Nomenclature for Cultivated Plants) (Brickel *et al.* 2016). Abbreviations of author names follow Brummit and Powell (1992) as incorporated in and further developed by the IPNI (IPNI 2004 onwards).

Valid taxon names are printed in bold italics, except for family names, species aggregate, nothospecies aggregate, and auctor names. Family names and auctor names are published in normal letters, species and nothospecies aggregate names in italics, not bold letters. If there is a new name combination for the taxon, or the name is validated at a different rank than in the original protologue, the basionym is always given. In the case of synonym names only the more frequently used in Hungary were indicated, a complete list of them is impossible and meaningless due to space limitations. In the case of hybrids, the hybrid parents are also listed in alphabetical order. Basionym, synonym, and hybrid parent names are in italics, not in bold.

The nomenclature notations and abbreviations used and their resolution are as follows: agg. = *aggregatus* (aggregate, a group of closely related species within a genus); auct. = *auctorum* (of author(s), of various authors but not the original one); bas. = *basionymon* (basionym); comb. nov. = *combinatio nova* (new combination); cv. = *cultivarietas* (cultivar, a cultivated variety); em. = *emendatus* (amended), *emendavit* (an by); et = et (and, &); et al. = et alii (and others); ex = from; excl. = *exclusus* (excluded); f. = *forma* (form); gen. fem. cons. = *genus femininum conservandum* (feminine gender to be conserved); gen. masc. cons. = *genus masculinum conservandum* (masculine gender to be conserved); hort. = *hortorum* (invalid horticultural name); in = in (to connect

the names of two persons); incl. = inclusus (included); microsp., microspp. = microspecies (a unit of a species aggregate); nom. cons. = nomen conservandum (conserved name); nom. cons. prop. = nomen conservandum propositum (a name proposed for conservation); nom. illeg. = nomen illegitimum (illegitimate name); nom. inval. = nomen invalidum (name invalid for some other reason); nom. nov. = nomen novum (replacement name); nom. nud. = nomen nudum (name invalid since without description); nom. rej. = nomen rejiciendum (rejected name); nom. rej. prop. = nomen rejiciendum propositum (a name proposed for rejection); nom. utique rej. = nomen utique rejiciendum (suppressed name); non = not; nothosubsp. = nothosubspecies (nothosubspecies); ortho. = versio orthographiam (orthographic variant, spelling variant); orth. cons. = orthographia conservanda (orthography conserved); p. p. = pro parte (partly, in part); s. l. = sensu lato (in a broad sense); s. n. = sine nomine (without name); sp. = species (species); s. str. = sensu stricto (in a narrow sense); sensu [author] = according to [author]; subsp. = subspecies (subspecies); stat. nov. = status novus (name at new rank); syn. = synonymon (synonym); var. = varietas (variety); vel = or.

Life forms: Life forms are given based on detailed categories developed by Bartha (1999d) based on the Raunkiaer system, but only the main groups are reported here, which are: T = tree, S = shrub, DS = dwarf shrub, L = liana, E = epiphyte. Transitional types (T-S, S-L) are possible.

Status: Status is given based on several criteria, for example groups according to indigenat, invasion status, residence time status, cultivation, endemity, origin of hybrid taxa are indicated.

a. *Indigenat (origin) and invasion status:* The categories and definitions follow the work of Lambdon *et al.* (2008), which are slightly modified as follows: N = native plants (indigenous) are taxa that have originated in a given area without human involvement or that have arrived there without intentional or unintentional intervention of humans from an area in which they are native. The definition excludes products of hybridisation involving alien taxa since human involvement in this case includes the introduction of an alien parent. A = alien plants (exotic, introduced, non-native, non-indigenous) are taxa in a given area whose presence there is due to intentional or unintentional human involvement, or which have arrived there without the help of people from an area in which they are alien. Taxa can be alien to any definable area, e.g. continents, islands, bio- or ecoregions, or any political entity (e.g., countries, states, provinces). Within this category, the following can be distinguished: Cas = casual alien plants are taxa that may reproduce occasionally outside cultivation in an area, but that eventually die out because they do not form self-replacing populations, and rely on repeated introductions for their persistence. Nat = naturalised alien plants are taxa that sustain self-replacing populations for a period of time long enough to experience extreme climatic events in the

area, and reproduce without direct intervention by people (or in spite of human intervention) by recruitment from seed or vegetative parts capable of independent growth. Inv = invasive alien plants are a subset of naturalised plants that produce reproductive offspring, often in very large numbers, at considerable distances from the parent plants and thus have the potential to spread over a large area. The definition is not bound to a type of habitat, hence a species may be invasive in natural or human-made habitats.

In addition to the above two main categories (N and A), Carlton's (1996) interpretation also distinguishes the following category: C = cryptogenic plants are those in which it cannot be with certainty decided whether they are native or alien to a region.

The indigenate is determined by Bartha *et al.* (2015), taking into account the special literature on the taxon, the invasion status was granted according to Bartha (2020).

b. *Residence time status*: The categories and definitions follow the work of Lambdon *et al.* (2008), which are slightly modified as follows: Arch = archaeophytes are alien species introduced to the region during the period since the beginning of Neolithic agriculture and the end of Medieval (discovery of Americas, approximately the year 1500 AD). Neo = neophytes are alien species introduced to the region after the year 1500 AD. Residence time status was determined on the basis of Gyulai (2001) and the literature on taxa.

c. *Other categories*: Cult = cultivated plants are taxa or nothotaxa that, regardless of their indigenat and origin, are propagated and maintained by humans for some purpose. Hung.? = taxa and nothotaxa have been given this category whose occurrence in Hungary is uncertain and needs to be confirmed.

It should be emphasised that the above categories may be combined for individual taxa and nothotaxa. Some important combinations are interpreted as follows: N / Nat or Cas = native in one part of Hungary and naturalised or casual in another (e.g. *Fraxinus ornus*, *Alnus incana*); N / Cult = native in Hungary and also cultivated at the same time (e.g. *Prunus avium*); N / Cult / Cas = native and cultivated in Hungary, but escaped from cultivation and casual in appearance outside the native area (e.g. *Taxus baccata*); Cult / Inv or Nat or Cas = cultivated in Hungary, escaped from cultivation and became invasive, naturalised or casual (e.g. *Acer negundo*, *Juglans regia*, *Platycladus orientalis*); Cult / Arch or Neo = only cultivated archaeophyte or neophyte in Hungary, no escape can be experienced (e.g. *Prunus persica*, *Taxodium distichum*).

d. *Endemity*: In its own interpretation, End = endemic ("Hungarian endemic") is a taxon that occurs or has occurred only within the area of Hungary, and SubE = subendemic is a taxon that occurs or has occurred in Hungary and in a neighbouring country (Austria, Slovakia, Ukraine, Romania, Serbia, Croatia or Slovenia), regardless of its area size. The definition of endemity is based on the work of Bartha (2019).

e. *Origin of hybrid taxa:* Ntl = natural (spontaneous) hybrid is a taxon whose development human has no direct, at most only indirect role, Art = artificial (anthropogenic) hybrid is a taxon whose development human has direct role. The origin of hybrid taxa was determined based on the literature reported for nothotaxa.

Symbols: ? = questionable (e.g. taxonomically doubtful, records from Hungary need confirmation, doubtful status), † = extinct or possibly extinct, × = hybrid formula.

Literature: The literature on the genera discusses the current interpretation and division of the genus, the literature on the lower taxa of the genera deals with taxonomic and nomenclatural problems, its occurrence in Hungary, and the status of the taxon. For the most part, only the relevant literature of the last quarter of a century has been considered.

RESULTS

The current list of dendroflora in Hungary, the indicators assigned to the taxa and the literature are given in Appendix 1. A total of 437 dendrotaxa (species and subspecies, nothospecies and nothosubspecies) were included and evaluated in this list. This means 281 species with no or only one subspecies living in Hungary (including the autonyme subspecies), 4 species (*Acer tataricum*, *Daphne cneorum*, *Prunus mahaleb*, *Ulmus minor*) with 2–2 subspecies living in Hungary and 4 more species (*Cornus sanguinea*, *Crataegus monogyna*, *Quercus robur*, *Viscum album*) whose 3–3 subspecies live in Hungary. Number of subspecies analysed is 22. For hybrid taxa (nothotaxa), there are 128 nothospecies where there are no nothosubspecies or only one nothosubspecies, for another 1–1 nothospecies (*Crataegus ×subsphaerica*, *C. ×media*) 2 and 3 nothosubspecies were listed. Number of nothosubspecies analysed is 6. There is one another species (*Vitis vinifera*) within which 2 subspecies and 1 nothosubspecies have been added to the list. In addition, 6 species aggregate (*Crataegus rhipidophylla* agg., *Prunus domestica* agg., *Pyrus communis* agg., *Quercus petraea* agg., *Q. pubescens* agg., *Vaccinium oxycoccus* agg.) and 2 nothospecies aggregate (*Crataegus ×macrocarpa* agg., *C. ×subsphaerica* agg.) have also been set up for better placement and interpretation of certain critical taxa. The microspecies included here were evaluated by species rank.

Based on the indigenat, 260 native, 92 alien and 9 cryptogenic dendrotaxa live in Hungary, furthermore 54 cultivated dendrotaxa and 22 dendrotaxa with questionable occurrence. Their distribution based on taxonomic ranks (species, subspecies, nothospecies, nothosubspecies) is shown in Table 1. Analysing the invasive status of alien species, 19 invasive or being in the early stages of invasion, 12 naturalised and 61 casual dendrotaxa can be distinguished.

Table 1

Distribution of taxa and nothotaxa of dendroflora in Hungary according to their status (invasion status: Inv = invasive, Nat = naturalised, Cas = casual; residence time status: Arch = archaeophyte, Neo = neophyte; Hung.? = records from Hungary need confirmation; endemity: End = endemic, SubE = subendemic, ? = questionable)

| Taxonomic rank | Indigenat | | | | | | Cultivated only | Hung.? only | To- tal | Endemity | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----|--------------------|----------------|------------|----------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Native | Alien | | | Residence time status | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Inv | Nat | Cas | Arch | Neo | | | | | | | | |
| Taxa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - species | 174 | 19 | 9 | 38 | 6 | 60 | 66 | 9 | 33 | 282 | 38 | | | |
| - subspecies | 14 | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 22 | 5 | | | |
| <i>Subtotal</i> | 188 | 19 | 9 | 40 | 7 | 61 | 68 | 9 | 36 | 304 | 38 | | | |
| Nothotaxa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - nothospecies | 67 | | 3 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 23 | 18 | 19 | 127 | 5 | | | |
| - nothosubspecies | 5 | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | 6 | 2 | | | |
| <i>Subtotal</i> | 72 | | 3 | 21 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 18 | 19 | 133 | 5 | | | |
| Total | 260 | 19 | 12 | 61 | 16 | 76 | 92 | 9 | 54 | 22 | 437 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 43 | 8 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 2 | | | |

According to residence time status, the number of archaeophytes is 16 and that of neophytes is 76. It should be noted that Terpó *et al.* (1999) report only two archaeophytes (*Prunus cerasifera*, *Lycium barbarum*) from Hungary, the latter, moreover, erroneously (see Priszter 2004). The differentiation of invasion status and residence time status based on taxonomic ranks is shown in Table 1, the distinction according to life-form groups is shown in Table 2, and the comparison based on their relationship with each other is shown in Table 3. There are 210 dendrotaxa that are cultivated in Hungary. Of these, 54 taxa occur only in cultivation, the classification of which by taxonomic rank can be studied in Table 1, and their classification by lifeform in Table 2. Of the alien cultivated dendrotaxa, 17 were invasive, 9 naturalised, 41 casual, 9 archaeophytes and 58 neophytes. 7 cryptogenic and 15 native dendrotaxa are also found among the culti-

Table 2

Distribution of dendroflora members in Hungary according to their lifeform and status (life form: T = tree, S = shrub, DS = dwarf shrub, L = liana, E = epiphyte, T-S and S-L = transitional types; Invasion status: Inv = invasive, Nat = naturalised, Cas = casual; Hung.? = records from Hungary need confirmation)

| Life form | Indigenat | | | | Cultivated only | Hung.? | Total | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-------|-----|-----|-----------------|--------|-------|-----|--|--|
| | Native | Alien | | | | | | | | |
| | | Inv | Nat | Cas | | | | | | |
| T | 113 | 10 | 6 | 36 | 7 | 44 | 220 | | | |
| T-S | 10 | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | 19 | | | |
| S | 118 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 156 | | |
| S-L | 2 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| DS | 10 | | 2 | | | 1 | 13 | | | |
| E | 4 | | | | | | 4 | | | |
| L | 4 | 3 | | 11 | | 4 | 24 | | | |
| Total | 261 | 19 | 12 | 61 | 9 | 54 | 22 | 438 | | |

Table 3

Distribution of alien members of the Hungarian dendroflora by invasion status and residence time status

| Residence time status | Invasion status | | | Total |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| | Invasive | Naturalised | Casual | |
| Archaeophyte | — | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| Archaeophyte? | — | — | 2 | 2 |
| Neophyte | 19 | 9 | 48 | 76 |
| Total | 19 | 12 | 61 | 92 |

Table 4

Distribution of endemic and subendemic members of the Hungarian dendroflora

| Endemity | Native | Native† | Cryptogenic |
|---------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| Endemic | 43 | 1 | — |
| Endemic? | — | — | 2 |
| Endemic? or subendemic? | 2 | — | — |
| Subendemic | 8 | — | — |
| Subendemic? | 1 | — | — |
| Not endemic or subendemic | 197 | 8 | 7 |
| Total | 251 | 9 | 9 |

vated taxa. 22 nothotaxa are cultivated in Hungary, the distribution of the parents indigenat, and invasive and residence time status in the case of alien parents are shown in Table 5.

Of the 260 native dendrotaxa, 9 were extinct (*Andromeda polifolia* subsp. *polifolia*, *Ostrya carpinifolia*, *Ribes petraeum*, *Rosa glauca*, *R. stylosa*, *Salix myrsinifolia* subsp. *myrsinifolia* – the latter's planted stand elsewhere has become established) or presumably extinct (s. n. [*Alnus incana* subsp. *incana* × *A. alnobetula* subsp. *alnobetula*], *A. ×pseudoglutinosa*, *Rosa ×budensis*). 44 dendrotaxa are considered to be proven endemic (of which *Sorbus* s. l. includes 5 species of *Aria*, 34 species of *Karpatiosorbus*, and from the genus *Rosa* the *Rosa ×barthae*, *R. ×borhidiana*, *R. ×budensis*, *R. ×pomazensis*, *R. ×victoria-hungarorum*), in the case of 2 cryptogenic dendrotaxa (*Acer acuminatilobum*, *Pyrus magyarica*) the endemity is questionable, 2 dendrotaxa (*Aria zolyomii*, *Hedlundia buenkensis*) has not yet been proved to be endemic or subendemic, and there are 8 subendemic (*Aria javorkana*, *A. subdanubialis*, *Crataegus ×degenii*, *C. nigra*, *Rosa ×braunii*, *R. facsarii*, *R. kmetiana*, *R. zalana*) and 1 questionable subendemic (*Hed-*

Table 5

Distribution of nothotaxa of the Hungarian dendroflora according to different categories (N = native, Arch = archaeophyte, Neo = neophyte, Hung.? = records from Hungary need confirmation)

| | Status of hybrids | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | Native | N × N | N × Arch | N × Neo | Alien | Cryptogenic | |
| Origin of hybrids | | | | | | | |
| – natural | 88 | 8 | 14 | 1 | – | 5 | 4 120 |
| – artificial | 1 | 1 | 2 | – | 2 | 7 | 1 14 |
| Invasion status | | | | | | | |
| – invasive | – | – | – | – | – | – | – 3 |
| – naturalised | – | – | 2 | – | 1 | – | – 21 |
| – casual | – | – | 6 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 22 |
| Cultivated | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 19 |
| Hung.? | 13 | 1 | 2 | – | – | 1 | 1 19 |

lundia hazslinszkyana) taxa. It should be noted that the *Aria thaiszii* (Soó) Senikov et Kurttó described from Hungary – in contrast to the previous data Mikoláš *et al.* (2017) – is not found in Hungary, only in Slovakia. The distribution of native and cryptogenic dendrotaxa by endemic categories is shown in Table 4, and the distribution by taxonomic categories is shown in Table 1.

Of the 134 nothotaxa on the list, 14 are artificial and 120 are of natural origin. Nothotaxa where all parents are native are considered native, their number is 89. Non-native are nothotaxa where at least one of the parents is an alien, their number is 40. In 5 cases, the status of the parents could not be clearly determined, and the occurrence of 19 nothotaxa in Hungary has yet to be proven. The indigenat of the parents, and invasive and residence time status in the case of alien parents are shown in Table 5.

*

Acknowledgements – This project was supported by Institute of Botany and Nature Conservation, University of Sopron, Hungary.

REFERENCES

- Adams, R. P., Arnold, M. A., King, A. R., Denny, G. C. and Creech, D. (2012): Taxodium (Cupressaceae): one, two or three species? Evidence from DNA sequences and terpenoids. – *Phytologia* **94**: 159–168.
- Ahrendt, L. W. A. (1961): Berberis and Mahonia. – *J. Linn. Soc. London (Botany)* **57**(369): 1–410.
- Andrés-Hernández, A. R., Terrazas, T., Salazar, G. and Ochoterena, H. (2014): Phylogenetic analysis based on structural and combined analysis of *Rhus* s.s. (Anacardiaceae). – *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **176**(4): 452–468. <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12222>
- APG IV. (2016): An update of the angiosperm phylogeny group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. – *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **181**: 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2009.00996.x>.
- Ardenghi, N. M. G., Banfi, E. and Galasso, G. (2015): A taxonomic survey of the genus *Vitis* L. (Vitaceae) in Italy, part II: the ‘Euro-American’ hybrids. – *Phytotaxa* **224**(3): 163–198. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.224.3.2>
- Ardenghi, N. M. G., Galasso, G., Banfi, E., Zoccola, A., Foggi, B. and Lastrucci, L. (2014): A taxonomic survey of the genus *Vitis* L. (Vitaceae) in Italy, with special reference to Elba Island (Tuscan Archipelago). – *Phytotaxa* **166**(3): 232–246. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.166.3.1>
- Ashburner, K. and McAllister, H. A. (2013): *The genus Betula: a taxonomic revision of birches*. – Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 431 pp.
- Auvray, G. and Malécot, V. (2013): A revision of *Cytisus* sections Alburnoides, Spar-topsis and Verzinum (Genisteae, Fabaceae). – *Edinb. J. Bot.* **70**(1): 71–120. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960428612000352>
- Babos, K. and Bertin, P. (1998): Is common walnut (*Juglans regia*) native to Hungary? – *Acta Bot. Hung.* **41**(1–4): 11–16.

- Bailey, V. L. (1962): Revision of the genus *Ptelea* (Rutaceae). – *Brittonia* **14**(1): 1–45. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2805316>
- Balogh, L. (2012): *Illatos nyáriorgona (Buddleja davidii Franchet)*. – In: Csiszár, Á. (ed.): Inváziós növényfajok Magyarországon. [Invasive alien plant species in Hungary.] Nyugat-magyarországi Egyetem Kiadó, Sopron, pp. 189–193.
- Balogh, L., Dancza, I. and Király, G. (2004): *A magyarországi neofitonok időszerű jegyzéke, és besorolásuk inváziós szempontból*. [Timely list of neophytes in Hungary and their classification from the point of view of invasion.] – In: Mihály, B. and Botta-Dukát, Z. (eds): Biológiai inváziók Magyarországon. Özönnövények. [Biological invasions in Hungary. Invasive plant species.] A KvVM tanulmánykötetei 9, TermészetBúvár Alapítvány Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 61–92.
- Barabits, E. (2007): A *Sorbus bakonyensis* (Jáv.) Kárp. taxonómiai revízia – új berkenye fajok a magyar flórában. [New apomictic taxa of *Sorbus* from the Bakony Mountains.] – *Tilia* **13**: 5–48.
- Baráth, Z. (1956): Hazai *Euonymus*ainkról. [The *Euonymus* taxa in Hungary.] – *Bot. Közlem.* **46**: 235–249.
- Barina, Z. and Király, G. (2014): Taxonomic re-evaluation of the enigmatic *Pyrus magyarica* (Rosaceae). – *Phytotaxa* **167**(1): 133–136. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.167.1.10>
- Barina, Z., Somogyi, G., Pifkó, D. and Rakaj, M. (2018): Checklist of vascular plants of Albania. – *Phytotaxa* **378**: 1–339. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.378.1.1>
- Bartha, D. (1992–93): A magyarországi dendroflóra tagjainak florisztikai, cönológiai, ökológiai és természetvédelmi mutatói. [Floristical, cenological, ecological and nature conservation indexes of the Hungarian dendroflora.] – *Erd. Faip. Tud. Közlem.* **38–39**: 13–32.
- Bartha, D. (1996): Der Speierling (*Sorbus domestica* L.) in Ungarn. – *Corminaria* **6**: 5–6.
- Bartha, D. (1999a): Annotated checklist of the Hungarian dendrotaxa. – *Tilia* **7**(Suppl.): 31–44.
- Bartha, D. (1999b): Floristical, cenological, ecological and nature conservation indexes of the Hungarian dendrotaxa. – *Tilia* **7**(Suppl.): 45–64.
- Bartha, D. (1999c): Adventive dendrotaxa of Hungary. – *Tilia* **7**(Suppl.): 81–90.
- Bartha, D. (1999d): Bewertung der Dendroflora Europas auf Grund der Lebensformen. – *Tilia* **7**(Suppl.): 3–30.
- Bartha, D. (1999e): Tőzegrozmaring (*Andromeda polifolia* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 87–88.
- Bartha, D. (1999f): Komlógyertyán (*Ostrya carpinifolia* Scop.). – *Tilia* **7**: 43–47.
- Bartha, D. (1999g): Feketedő fűz (*Salix nigricans* Sm.). – *Tilia* **7**: 60–62.
- Bartha, D. (1999h): Csípkés gyöngyvessző (*Spiraea crenata* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 163–165.
- Bartha, D. (2000): A magyarországi dendroflóra adventív taxonjai. [Adventive taxa of the Hungarian dendroflora.] – *Tilia* **9**: 232–240.
- Bartha, D. (2004): A Magyarországon előforduló nyár (*Populus* L.) taxonok határozókulcsa és rövid jellemzése. [Short description and key to the poplar taxa (*Populus* L.) existing in Hungary.] – *Flora Pann.* **2**(2): 85–101.
- Bartha, D. (2005): Ist die Graupappel eine eigene Art? Taxonomische Untersuchungen an den Populationen der Weisspappel (*Populus alba* L.). – *Allg. Forst Zeitschr. / Der Wald* **60**(5): 252–254.
- Bartha, D. (2007): A *Cerasus Miller* nemzetség nothotaxonjai Magyarországon. [Nothotaxa of *Cerasus Miller* in Hungary.] – *Tilia* **13**: 49–75.
- Bartha, D. (2011): Bastarde zwischen Kirschenarten (*Cerasus* Mill.; Rosaceae) in Mitteleuropa. – *Mitt. Deutsch. Dendrol. Gesell.* **96**: 57–65.

- Bartha, D. (2015): Eine wenig bekannte Esche: die Ungarische Esche *Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl. subsp. *danubialis* Pouzar. Teil I. – *Mitt. Deutsch. Dendrol. Gesell.* **100**: 197–204.
- Bartha, D. (2016): Eine wenig bekannte Esche: die Ungarische Esche *Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl. subsp. *danubialis* Pouzar. Teil II. – *Mitt. Deutsch. Dendrol. Gesell.* **101**: 55–68.
- Bartha, D. (2019): *Vörös Lista. Magyarország veszélyeztetett fa- és cserjefajai. / Red List. Threatened tree and shrub species of Hungary.* – Soproni Egyetem Kiadó / University of Sopron Press, Sopron, 59 pp.
- Bartha, D. (2020): *Fekete Lista. Magyarország inváziós fa- és cserjefajai. / Black List. Invasive tree and shrub species of Hungary.* * *Szürke Lista. Magyarország potenciálisan inváziós fa- és cserjefajai. / Grey List. Potentially invasive tree and shrub species of Hungary.* – Soproni Egyetem Kiadó / University of Sopron Press, Sopron, 84 pp.
- Bartha, D. and Böhm, É. I. (2010): Vastaggallyú körte és rokonai (*Pyrus nivalis* Jacq. agg.). – *Tilia* **15**: 158–190.
- Bartha, D. and Csizsár, Á. (2004): Adventive Taxa in der ungarischen Dendroflora. – *Mitt. Deutsch. Dendrol. Gesell.* **89**: 149–162.
- Bartha, D. and Csizsár, Á. (2008a): Common hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis* L.). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 95–102.
- Bartha, D. and Csizsár, Á. (2008b): Russian olive (*Elaeagnus angustifolia* L.). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 85–93.
- Bartha, D. and Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2010a): Fekete galagonya (*Crataegus nigra* Waldst. et Kit.). – *Tilia* **15**: 54–74.
- Bartha, D. and Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2010b): A magyar vagy fekete galagonya (*Crataegus nigra* Waldst. et Kit.) infraspecifikus taxonómiaja és aktuális elterjedése. [Infraspecific taxonomy and current distribution of the Hungarian or Black Hawthorn (*Crataegus nigra* Waldst. et Kit.).] – Magyar Biológiai Társaság XXVIII. Vándorgyűlés előadásainak összefoglalói, Budapest, pp. 117–122.
- Bartha, D. and Király, G. (1999): Bérci ribiszke (*Ribes petraeum* Wulf.). – *Tilia* **7**: 152–153.
- Bartha, D. and Markovics, T. (2010): Havasi éger (*Alnus viridis* (Chaix) DC.). – *Tilia* **15**: 7–20.
- Bartha, D. and Raisz, Á. (2004): Untersuchungen zur Variabilität von Blattmerkmalen innerhalb der Krone bei *Fagus sylvatica* und *Fagus orientalis*. – *Beitr. Forstwirtsch. und Landschaftsökol.* **38**(4): 169–178.
- Bartha, D., Csizsár, Á. and Zsigmond, V. (2008): Black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 63–76.
- Bartha, D., Kerényi-Nagy, V. and Molnár, Cs. (2011): Acer acuminatilobum J. Papp (1958) – Matra-ancient-maple. – *Maple Soc. Newslet.* **21**(2): 5–9.
- Bartha, D., Kevey, B. and Tiborcz, V. (2012): Current and 20th century distributions of *Vitis sylvestris* in Hungary. – *Folia Oecol.* **39**(2): 99–106.
- Bartha, D., Király, G., Schmidt, D., Tiborcz, V., Barina, Z., Csiky, J., Jakab, G., Lesku, B., Schmotzer, A., Vidéki, R., Vojtkó, A. and Zólyomi, Sz. (2015): *Magyarország edényes növényfajainak elterjedési atlasza / Distribution atlas of vascular plants of Hungary.* – Nyugat-magyarországi Egyetem Kiadó / University of West Hungary Press, Sopron, 329 pp.
- Bartha, D., Vidéki, R. and Máté, A. (2004): A csipkés gyöngyvessző (*Spiraea crenata* L.) magyarországi előfordulása. [The occurrence of crenated spirea (*Spiraea crenata* L.) in Hungary.] – *Flora Pann.* **2**(2): 119–127.

- Bartolucci, F. and Galasso, G. (2019): Nomenclatural novelties. *Ulmus minor* L. subsp. *canescens* Bartolucci & Galasso, subsp. nov. – *Italian Botanist* 7: 138–139. <https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.7.36148>
- Bartolucci, F., Peruzzi, L., Galasso, G., Albano, A., Alessandrini, A., Ardenghi, N. M. G., Astuti, G., Bacchetta, G., Ballelli, S., Banfi, E., Barberis, G., Bernardo, L., Bouvet, D., Bovio, M., Cecchi, L., Di Pietro, R., Domina, G., Fascati, S., Fenu, G., Festi, F., Foggi, B., Gallo, L., Gottschlich, G., Gubellini, L., Iamonico, D., Iberite, M., Jiménez-Mejías, P., Lattanzi, E., Marchetti, D., Martinetto, E., Masin, R. R., Medagli, P., Passalacqua, N. G., Peccenini, S., Pennesi, R., Pierini, B., Poldini, L., Prosser, F., Raimondo, F. M., Roma-Marzio, F., Rosati, L., Santangelo, A., Scoppola, A., Scortegagna, S., Selvaggi, A., Selvi, F., Soldano, A., Stinca, A., Wagensommer, R. P., Wilhalm, T. and Conti, F. (2018): An updated checklist of the vascular flora native to Italy. – *Plant Biosyst.* 152(2): 179–303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1419996>
- Batiz, E. (2000): A körte (*Pyrus*) nemzettség morfológiai és növényföldrajzi feldolgozása. [Morphological and phytogeographical processing of the genus *Pyrus*.] – *Tilia* 9: 163–216.
- Bauer, N. (2015): *Sorbus udvardyana* Somlyay & Sennikov a Balaton-felvidék keleti dolomitterületén. [*Sorbus udvardyana* Somlyay & Sennikov in the eastern part of the Balaton Uplands.] – *Kitaibelia* 20(1): 55–58. <https://doi.org/10.17542/kit.20.55>
- Becker, H. (2000): European mistletoe – taxonomy, host trees, parts used, physiology. – In: Bussing, A. (ed.): Mistletoe: The Genus *Viscum*. Harwood Academic Publishers, pp. 31–41.
- Belyaeva, I. V. (2009): Nomenclature of *Salix fragilis* L. and a new species, *S. euxina* (Salicaceae). – *Taxon* 58: 1344–1348. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.584021>
- Belyaeva, I. V., Epantchintseva, O. V., Govaerts, R. H. A., McGinn, K., Hunnex, J. and Kuzovkina, Y. A. (2018): The application of scientific names to plants in cultivation: *Salix vitellina* L. and related taxa (Salicaceae). – *Skwortsoria* 4: 42–70.
- Bényei-Himmer, M., Tóth, E. Gy., Lengyel, Sz., Pintér, I., Bisztray, Gy. D. and Höhn, M. (2017): *Hedera crebrescens* (Araliaceae) a newly identified diploid taxon and triploid ivies from Hungary. – *Studia bot. hung.* 48(2): 225–252. <https://doi.org/10.17110/StudBot.2017.48.2.225>
- Bodonczi, L. and Havas, M. (1999): Füles fűz (*Salix aurita* L.). – *Tilia* 7: 63–68.
- Boom, B. K. (1957): *Populus canadensis* Moench versus *P. euramericana* Guinier. – *Acta Bot. Neerl.* 6(1): 54–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1957.tb00572.x>
- Borovics, A., Kézdy, P. and Szmorad, F. (1999): Magyar tölgy (*Quercus frainetto* Ten.). – *Tilia* 7: 48–54.
- Bőhm, É. I. (2007): Fokozottan védett növényfajunk, a magyar vadkörte (*Pyrus magyarica* Terpó), valamint alakkörének kutatása Magyarországon. [Research of our highly protected plant species, *Pyrus magyarica* Terpó and its forms in Hungary.] – *Tilia* 13: 95–104.
- Bőhm, É. I. (2010): Magyar vadkörte (*Pyrus magyarica* Terpó). – *Tilia* 15: 149–157.
- Bölöni, J. (1999a): Madárbirs fajok (*Cotoneaster* spp.). – *Tilia* 7: 193–232.
- Bölöni, J. (1999b): Bokros koronafürt (*Coronilla emereus* L.). – *Tilia* 7: 254–260.
- Bölöni, J. and Horváth, A. (1999): Törpe mandula (*Amygdalus nana* L.). – *Tilia* 7: 243–253.
- Bölöni, J. and Nagy, J. (1999): Szirti gyöngyvessző (*Spiraea media* Fr. Schm.). – *Tilia* 7: 170–181.
- Börcsök, Z. (2004): Vezérfonal a Magyarországon előforduló szilek (*Ulmus* spp.) meghatározásához. [Guide to the identification of elm species existing in Hungary.] – *Flora Pann.* 2(2): 141–152.

- Brickel, C. D., Alexander, C., Cubey, J. J. David, J. C., Hoffman, M. H. A., Leslie, A. C., Malécot, V. and Xiaobai, Jin (eds) (2016): International code of nomenclature for cultivated plants, 9th edition. – *Scripta Horticult.* **18**: 1–190.
- Browicz, K. (1963): The genus *Colutea* L. A monograph. – *Monogr. Bot.* **14**: 1–136.
- Brummit, R. K. and Powell, C. E. (1992): *Authors of plant names. A list of authors of scientific names of plants, with recommended standard form of their names including abbreviations.* – Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 732 pp.
- Brunet, J., Zalapa, J. E., Pecori, F. and Santini, A. (2013): Hybridization and introgression between the exotic Siberian elm, *Ulmus pumila*, and the native Field elm, *U. minor*, in Italy. – *Biol. Invasions* **15**: 2717–2730. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0486-z>
- Buttler, K. P. and Hand, R. (2008): Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Deutschlands. – *Kochia* **1**: 1–107.
- Buttler, K. P., May, R. and Metzing, D. (2018): *Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Deutschlands. Floresynopse und Synonyme.* – BfN-Schriften 519, Bonn-Bad Godesberg, 286 pp. <https://doi.org/10.19217/skr519>
- Carlton, J. T. (1996): Biological invasions and cryptogenic species. – *Ecology* **77**(6): 1653–1655. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2265767>
- Chen, J. Y. (2008): A taxonomic revision of *Syringa* L. (Oleaceae). – *Cathaya* **17–18**: 1–170. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1002.2008.06177>
- Christenhusz, M. J. M., Reveal, J. L., Farjon, A., Gardner, M. F., Mill, R. R. and Chase, M. W. (2011): A new classification and linear sequence of extant gymnosperms. – *Phytotaxa* **19**: 55–70. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.19.1.3>
- Christensen, K. I. and Jonsell, B. (2005): Proposal to conserve the name *Salix fragilis* with a conserved type (Salicaceae). – *Taxon* **54**: 555–556. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25065400>
- Czarna, A., Nowińska, R. and Gawrońska, B. (2013): *Malus ×oxysepala* (*M. domestica* Borkh. × *M. sylvestris* Mill.) – a new spontaneous apple hybrid. – *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.* **82**(2): 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2013.016>
- Csapody, I. (2007): Óshonos-e a szelídgesztenye (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) hazánkban és Közép-, ill. Dél-Európában? [Is the sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) native to Hungary and in Central and Southern Europe?] – *Tilia* **13**: 105–116.
- Csecserits, A. and Rédei, T. (2006): *Arany ribiszke* (*Ribes aureum* Pursh). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Mihály, B. (eds): *Biológiai inváziók Magyarországon. Özönnövények II. [Biological invasions in Hungary. Invasive plant species II.]* A KvVM tanulmánykötetei 10, TermészetBúvár Alapítvány Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 27–35.
- Csiszár, Á. and Bartha, D. (2008): *Green ash* (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica* Marsh.). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): *The most important invasive plants in Hungary*. Institute of Ecology and Botany, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 161–166.
- Danihelka, J., Chrtek, Jr., J. and Kaplan, Z. (2012): Checklist of vascular plants of the Czech Republic. – *Preslia* **84**: 647–811.
- Di Pietro, R., Viscosi, V., Peruzzi, L. and Fortini, P. (2012): A review of the application of the name *Quercus dalechampii*. – *Taxon* **61**: 1311–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.616012>
- Dickoré, W. B. and Kasperek, G. (2010): Species of *Cotoneaster* (Rosaceae, Maloideae) indigenous to, naturalising or commonly cultivated in Central Europe. – *Willdenowia* **40**: 13–45. <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.40.40102>
- Dimopoulos, P., Raus, T., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, T., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. and Tzanoudakis, D. (2013): *Vascular plants of Greece. An annotated checklist.* Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Berlin & Hellenic Botanical Society, Athens. – *Englera* **31**: 1–372.
- Dobay, P. (1999): *Csikófark* (*Ephedra distachya* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 7–15.

- Domokos, J. (1941): Mégegyszer: terem-e Cotoneaster integerrima az Ősmátrában? [Prevalence of *Cotoneaster integerrima* in Central Hungary.] – *A m. kir. Kert. Akad. Közlem.* **7:** 47–51.
- Euro+Med (2006 onwards): *Euro+Med PlantBase – the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity.* <http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/> (accessed January to December 2020).
- Facsar, G. (1981): A *Rosa gallica* L. magyarországi populációi. [Populations of *Rosa gallica* L. in Hungary.] – *Publ. Univ. Horticult.* **45:** 121–129.
- Facsar, G. (1982): Az elliptikus levelű rózsa (*Rosa elliptica* Tausch) Magyarországon. [Rose of ellipsoideal leaves (*Rosa elliptica* Tausch) in Hungary.] – *Publ. Univ. Horticult.* **46:** 161–173.
- Facsar, G. (1986): A zalai rózsa (*Rosa zalana* Wiesb.) Magyarországon. [The rose of Zala (*Rosa zalana* Wiesb.) in Hungary.] – *Publ. Univ. Horticult.* **50:** 215–226.
- Facsar, G. and Udvárdy, L. (2008): *Adventive grapevine species (Vitis-hybrids).* – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 47–54.
- Farjon, A. (2001): *World checklist and bibliography of conifers*, ed. 2. – The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 309 pp.
- Ferrer-Gallego, P. P., Ferrer-Gallego, R., Laguna, E. and Pipia, I. (2019): Proposal to conserve the name *Vitis sylvestris* C. C. Gmel. (Vitaceae) against *V. sylvestris* W. Bartram. – *Taxon* **68**(2): 409–410. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12043>
- Forster, E. and Bölöni, J. (1999): Szirti fanyarka (*Amelanchier ovalis* Medik.). – *Tilia* **7:** 233–242.
- Gaál, Gy. (1999): Keleti gyertyán (*Carpinus orientalis* Mill.). – *Tilia* **7:** 37–42.
- Gadó, Gy. P. (1999): Homoktővis (*Hippophaë rhamnoides* L.). – *Tilia* **7:** 269–276.
- Galasso, G., Conti, F., Peruzzi, L., Ardenghi, N. M. G., Banfi, E., Celesti-Grapow, L., Albano, A., Alessandrini, A., Bacchetta, G., Ballelli, S. et al. (2018): An updated checklist of the vascular flora alien to Italy. – *Plant Biosyst.* **152**(3): 556–592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1441197>
- Geerinck, D. (1979): *Platanus × hispanica* Mill. ex Münchh. cv. *Acerifolia*, nom correct du platane hybride ou à feuilles d’érable. – *Bull. Jard. Bot. Nat. Belgique* **49:** 160–161. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3667825>
- Gelderken, D. M., Jong, P. C. and Oterdoom, H. J. (1994): *Maples of the World.* – Timber Press, Portland & London, 458 pp.
- Gencsi, Z. (1999): Babérfűz (*Salix pentandra* L.). – *Tilia* **7:** 55–59.
- Gil, L., Fuentes-Utrilla, P., Soto, A., Cervera, M. T. and Collada, C. . (2004): English elm is a 2,000-year-old Roman clone. – *Nature* **431:** 1053. <https://doi.org/10.1038/4311053a>
- Gilli, Ch., Gutermann, W., Billensteiner, A. and Niklfeld, H. (2019): *Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Österreichs.* Version 1.0. – <https://plantbiogeography.univie.ac.at/research/annotated-checklists/>
- Govaerts, R. and Frodin, D. G. (1998): *World checklist and bibliography of Fagales (Betulaceae, Corylaceae, Fagaceae and Ticodendraceae).* – The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 456 pp.
- Green, A. F., Ramsey, T. S. and Ramsey, J. (2011): Phylogeny and biogeography of Ivies (*Hedera* spp., Araliaceae), a polyploid complex of woody vines. – *Syst. Bot.* **36:** 1114–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1600/036364411X605100>
- Green, P. S. (1964): Registration of cultivar names in *Ulmus*. – *Arnoldia* **24**(6–8): 41–80.
- Grimm, G. W. and Denk, T. (2010): The reticulate origin of modern plane trees (*Platanus*, *Platanaceae*): a nuclear marker puzzle. – *Taxon* **59:** 134–147. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.591014>
- Gutermann, W. (2011): Notulae nomenclaturales 41–45. – *Phytton* (Horn) **51**(1): 95–102.
- Gyulai, F. (2001): *Archeobotanika [Archaeobotany].* – Jószöveg Műhely Kiadó, Budapest, 221 pp.

- Gyulai, F. and Gyulai, G. (2009): *Középkori szőlőkultúra és előzményei (magok és tanulságok).* [Medieval viticulture and its antecedens (seeds and lessons learned).] – In: “ad vinum di-
serti...”. Pannonhalmi Bencés Főapátság Levéltára & Magyar Bortörténeti Társaság,
Budapest, pp. 27–40.
- Hegedűs, Á., Kozma, P. and Németh, M. (1966): *A szőlő Vitis vinifera L.* Magyarország
Kultúrflórája IV/1. – Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 325 pp.
- Holub, J. (1971): *Fallopia Adans.* 1763 instead of *Bilderdykia Dum.* 1827. – *Folia Geobot.*
Phytotax. 6(2): 171–177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02851760>
- Horváth, F., Dobolyi, Z. K., Morschhauser, T., Lókös, L., Karas, L. and Szerdahelyi, T.
(1995): *Flóra adatbázis 1.2. Taxon-lista és attribútum-állomány.* [Flora database 1.2. Taxon
list and attribute file.] – MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézet, Vácrátót, 268 pp.
- Hulják, P. (1999a): Vörös áfonya (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L.). – *Tilia* 7: 78–86.
- Hulják, P. (1999b): Fekete lonc (*Lonicera nigra* L.). – *Tilia* 7: 277–280.
- Hulják, P. and Kökény, I. (1999): Fűzlevelű gyöngyvessző (*Spiraea salicifolia* L.). – *Tilia* 7:
166–169.
- Hulják, P. and Vojtkó, A. (2010): Havasi iszalag (*Clematis alpina* (L.) Mill.). – *Tilia* 15: 43–53.
- ILDIS (2010 onwards): *International Legume Database and Information Service.* – <http://www.ildis.org/> (accessed May to December 2020).
- IPNI (2004 onwards): *International Plant Names Index.* – The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew,
Harvard University Herbaria & Libraries and Australian National Botanic Gardens.
Published on the Internet. <http://www.ipni.org> (accessed January to December 2020).
- Jávorka, S. and Maliga, P. (eds) (1969): *A gesztenye Castanea sativa Mill.* Magyarország
kultúrflórája VII/16. – Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 123 pp.
- Jeszzenszky, Á. (ed.) (1972): *Az eperfa Morus alba L.* Magyarország kultúrflórája VII/11. –
Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 103 pp.
- Jirásek, V. (1964): Specioïd und subspecioïd. – *Taxon* 13(7): 226–232. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1216691>
- Juhász, M. (2008): *Black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.).* – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh,
L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and
Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 77–84.
- Juillerat, P., Bäumler, B., Bornand, C., Gygax, A., Jutzi, M., Möhl, A., Nyffeler, R., Sager, L.,
Santiago, H. and Eggenberg S. (2017): Checklist 2017 der Gefäßpflanzenflora der Schweiz
/ de la flore vasculaire de la Suisse / della flora vascolare della Svizzera. – Info Flora: Daten-
und Informationszentrum der Schweizer Flora / Centre de données et d’informations
sur la flore de Suisse / Centro dei dati e d’informazioni sulla flora della Svizzera, 380
pp. <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-165877>
- Kakiuchi, N., Mikage, M., Ickert-Bond, S., Maier-Stolte, M. and Freitag, H. (2011): A mo-
lecular phylogenetic study of the *Ephedra distachya* / *E. sinica* complex in Eurasia.
– *Willdenowia* 41: 203–215. <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.41.41201>
- Kárpáti, Z. (1932): *Rhamnus cathartica* × *saxatilis* (Vorläufige Mitteilung). – *Ann. Sabar.:*
Folia Mus. 1: 15.
- Kárpáti, Z. (1934): Egy új *Rhamnus*-hybrid. [A new *Rhamnus* hybrid.] – *Index Horti Bot.*
Univ. Budapest. 2: 1–10.
- Kárpáti, Z. (1949): Hazai *Cornus*-aink vadontermő és kertészeti változatai. [Wild and horti-
cultural taxa of *Cornus* species in Hungary.] – *Agrártud. Egy. Kert- és Szőlőgazdaságtud.*
Kar. Közlem. 13: 114–126.
- Kárpáti, Z. (1952): A vesszős fagyal (*Ligustrum vulgare* L.) vadontermő és kerti alakjai.
[Wild and garden forms of *Ligustrum vulgare* L.] – *Kert. Kut. Int. Évk.* 1: 103–114.

- Kárpáti, Z. (1958): Die Variabilität der Manna-Esche (*Fraxinus ornus* L.). – *Acta Bot. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **4**: 93–112.
- Kárpáti, Z. (1967): Taxonomische Betrachtungen am Genus *Prunus*. – *Feddes Repert.* **75**(1–2): 47–53. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.19670750103>
- Kárpáti, Z. (1970): Eine kritisch-taxonomische Übersicht der in Europa wildwachsenden Eschen-Arten und deren Unterarten. – *Feddes Repert.* **81**(1–5): 171–186. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.19700810114>
- Keller, J. (1999): Babérboroszlán (*Daphne laureola* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 97–110.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2010): A Történelmi Magyarország vadon termő és kultúrreliktum rózsáinak lista. [The checklist of the Historical Hungary's wild and cultur-relict roses.] – In: Penksza, K., Surányi, D., and Urbányi, B. (eds): Magyar Biológiai Társaság XXVIII. vándorgyűlés előadásainak összefoglalói. Magyar Biológiai Társaság, Budapest, pp. 65–73.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2010a): Piros áltérnésű ritka galagonya fajok (*Crataegus* spp.). [Rare hawthorn species (*Crataegus* spp.) with red fruit.] – *Tilia* **15**: 75–111. <https://doi.org/10.2478/aslh-2014-0002>
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2010b): Ritka rózsafajok és hibridek (*Rosa* spp.). [Rare rose species and hybrids (*Rosa* spp.).] – *Tilia* **15**: 191–270.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2011a): A szentendrei rózsa (*Rosa sancti-andreae*) nevezéktani és taxonómiai problémái. [Nomenclatural and taxonomical problems related to the *Rosa sancti-andreae*.] – *Kanitzia* **18**: 13–28.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2011b): A zágrábi rózsa (*Rosa zagrabiensis* Vukotinovics et H. Braun) és a szentendrei rózsa (*Rosa sancti-andreae* Degen et Trautm.) taxonómiai helyzete és védelme. [The taxonomy status and protection of *Rosa zagrabiensis* Vukotinovics et H. Braun and *Rosa sancti-andreae* Degen et Trautm.] – In: Penksza, K. (ed.): VII. Kárpát-medencei Biológiai Szimpózium. Magyar Biológiai Társaság, Budapest, pp. 79–84.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2012a): A Történelmi Magyarország területén élő őshonos, idegenhonos és kultúr-reliktum rózsák kismonográfiája. [A small monograph of autochton, allochton and cultur-relict roses of Historical Hungary.] – Nyugat-magyarországi Egyetem Kiadó, Sopron, 430 pp.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2012b): A szentendrei rózsa (*Rosa ciliato-petala* Besser) nevezéktani, taxonómiai és növényföldrajzi helyzete. [Taxonomy, phytogeography and nomenclature of the Szentendre-Rose (*Rosa ciliato-petala* Besser).] – In: Kézdy, P. (ed.): Természetvédelem és kutatás a budai Sas-hegyen. [Nature conservation and research in Mt Sas-hegy.] *Rosalia* **8**: 281–292.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2012c): Miért nem lehet kultúr-reliktum a szentendrei rózsa (*Rosa ciliato-petala* Besser)? [Why can not the *Rosa ciliato-petala* Besser a cultur-relict?] – *Kanitzia* **19**: 59–70.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2014): Nomenclature, taxonomy and distribution of *Crataegus lindmaniae*. – *Acta Bot. Hung.* **56**(3–4): 331–341. <https://doi.org/10.1556/ABot.56.2014.3–4.9>
- Kerényi-Nagy, V. (2015): A Kárpát-Pannón és Illír régió vadon termő galagonyáinak monográfiája. [A monograph of hawthorns of Carpat-Pannon and Illyr regions.] – Szent István Egyetem Mezőgazdaság- és Környezettudományi Kar, Gödöllő, 323 pp.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V., Baranec, T. and Bartha, D. (2011): A Lindman-galagonya (*Crataegus lindmaniae* Hrab.-Uhr.) és a szálkás egybibés galagonya (*Crataegus curviseptala* Lindm.) Magyarországon. – In: Penksza, K. (ed.): VII. Kárpát-medencei Biológiai Szimpózium. Magyar Biológiai Társaság, Budapest, pp. 91–96.
- Kerényi-Nagy, V., Deák, T., Kósa, G. and Bartha, D. (2014): Genetic Studies of Selected “Black-Fruit” Hawthorns: *Crataegus nigra* Waldst. et Kit., *C. pentagyna*

- Waldst. et Kit. and C. chlorosarca Maxim. – *Acta Silv. Lign. Hung.* **10**(1): 23–29. <https://doi.org/10.2478/aslh-2014-0002>
- Kerényi-Nagy, V., Nagy, V. A. and Vers, J. (2011): *Adatok a soktűskés rózsa (Rosa polyantha (Borbás) H. Braun) elterjedéséhez és taxonómijához. [Datas to the Rosa polyantha (Borbás) H. Braun] localities and taxonomy.]* – In: Penksza, K. (ed.): VII. Kárpát-medencei Biológiai Szimpózium. Magyar Biológiai Társaság, Budapest, pp. 145–152.
- Kevey, B. and Bartha, D. (2010a): Jerikói lonc (*Lonicera caprifolium* L.). – *Tilia* **15**: 112–138.
- Kevey, B. and Bartha, D. (2010b): Szúrócs csodabogyó (*Ruscus aculeatus* L.). – *Tilia* **15**: 271–301.
- Kevey, B. and Bartha, D. (2010c): Ligeti szőlő (*Vitis sylvestris* Gmel.). – *Tilia* **15**: 342–369.
- Kézdy, P. (1999): Lisztesfonákú berkenyék (*Sorbus* spp.). – *Tilia* **7**: 182–192.
- Kézdy, P. and Tímár, G. (1999): Henye boroszlán (*Daphne cneorum* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 111–125.
- Király, G. (1999a): Köszméte (*Ribes uva-crispa* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 126–131.
- Király, G. (1999b): Vörös ribiszke (*Ribes rubrum* L. agg.). – *Tilia* **7**: 132–143.
- Király, G. (2016): Taxonomical and chorological notes 3. (31) *Carpinus orientalis* Mill. (Betulaceae). – *Studiabot.hung.* **47**(2): 348–350. <https://doi.org/10.17110/StudBot.2016.47.2.345>
- Király, G. and Bölöni, J. (2004): A feketedő fűz (*Salix myrsinifolia* Salisb.) újrafelfedezése Magyarországon. [Rediscovery of *Salix myrsinifolia* Salisb. in Hungary.] – *Flora Pann.* **2**(2): 103–117.
- Király, G. and Kevey, B. (1999a): Hamvas éger (*Alnus incana* (L.) Moench). – *Tilia* **7**: 24–36.
- Király, G. and Kevey, B. (1999b): Fekete ribiszke (*Ribes nigrum* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 154–162.
- Király, G. and Király, A. (2010): Molyhos nyír (*Betula pubescens* Ehrh.). – *Tilia* **15**: 21–42.
- Király, G. and Király, A. (2018): Adatok és kiegészítések a magyar flóra ismeretéhez III. [Chorological, ecological and taxonomic notes on the vascular flora of Hungary III.] – *Bot. Közlem.* **105**(1): 27–96. [spec. *Myricaria* pp. 49–52.] <https://doi.org/10.17716/BotKozlem.2018.105.1.27>
- Király, G., Bölöni, J., Hulják, P. and Vojtkó, A. (1999): Havasi ribiszke (*Ribes alpinum* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 144–151.
- Korda, M. (2010a): Csermelyciprus (*Myricaria germanica* (L.) Desv.). – *Tilia* **15**: 139–148.
- Korda, M. (2010b): Parti fűz (*Salix elaeagnos* Scop.) – *Tilia* **15**: 302–315.
- Korda, M. (2012): Ecetszömörce (*Rhus typhina* L.). – In: Csizsár, Á. (ed.): Inváziós növényfajok Magyarországon. [Invasive alien plant species in Hungary.] Nyugat-magyarországi Egyetem Kiadó, Sopron, pp. 139–143.
- Korda, M. (2014): Újabb adat a magyar adventív flóra ismeretéhez: az *Acer opalus* Mill. subsp. *obtusatum* (Waldst. et Kit. ex Willd.) Gams 1925 Magyarországon. [New data on the Hungarian adventive flora: *Acer opalus* Mill. subsp. *obtusatum* (Waldst. et Kit. ex Willd.) Gams 1925 in Hungary.] – *Kitaibelia* **19**(2): 229–238.
- Kučera, P. (2018): New name for Central European oak formerly labelled as *Quercus dalechampii*. – *Biologia* **73**: 313–317. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-018-0048-z>
- Kuzovkina, Y. A. (2015): Checklist for cultivars of *Salix* L. (willow), 1st version. – International *Salix* Cultivar Registration Authority, FAO-International Poplar Commission, 163 pp. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.50.11.1608>
- Lambdon, P. W., Pyšek, P., Basnou, C., Hejda, M., Arianoutsou, M., Essl, F., Jarošík, V., Pergl, J., Winter, M., Anastasiu, P., Andriopoulos, P., Bazos, I., Brundu, G., Celestini-Grapow, L., Chassot, P., Delipetrou, P., Josefsson, M., Kark, S., Klotz, S., Kokkoris, Y., Kühn, I., Marchante, H., Perglová, I., Pino, J., Vilà, M., Zikos, A., Roy, D. and Hulme, P. E. (2008): Alien flora of Europe: species diversity, temporal trends, geographical patterns and research needs. – *Preslia* **80**: 101–149.
- Lavin, M. and Sousa, M. (1995): Phylogenetic systematics and biogeography of the tribe Robinieae (Leguminosae). – *Syst. Bot. Monogr.* **45**: 1–165. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25027850>

- Lelkes, A. (1999): Lónyelvű csodabogyó (*Ruscus hypoglossum* L.). – *Tilia* 7: 281–285.
- Lepší, M., Lepší, P., Koutecký, P., Bílá, J. and Vít, P. (2015): Taxonomic revision of *Sorbus* subgenus *Aria* occurring in the Czech Republic. – *Preslia* 87: 109–162.
- Lewis, G., Schrire, B., Mackinder, B. and Lock, M. (eds) (2005): *Legumes of the World*. – Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 577 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960428606190198>
- Lim, G. S., Carvalho, M., Stuber, S. M., Gunner, S. T. and Reveal, J. L. (2013): A reconsideration of the typification of Rafinesque generic names allied to *Salix* L. – *Rhodora* 115: 170–190. <https://doi.org/10.3119/12-11>
- Manos, P. S. and Stone, D. E. (2001): Evolution, phylogeny, and systematics of the Juglandaceae. – *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 88(2): 231–239.
- Manton, I. (1958): The concept of aggregate species. – *Uppsala Univ. Årsskr.* 1958/6: 104–112.
- Marhold, K. and Hindák, F. (eds) (1998): *Zoznam nižších a vyšších rastlín Slovenska*. [Checklist of non-vascular and vascular plants of Slovakia.] – VEDA, Bratislava, 687 pp.
- Mátyás, V. (1970a): Taxa nova *Quercum Hungariae*. – *Acta Bot. Hung.* 16: 329–361.
- Mátyás, V. (1970b): Einführung in die Kenntnis der Eichenarten Ungarns. [Contributions to the knowledge of oaks in Hungary.] – *Erd. Kut.* 66(2): 61–68.
- Mátyás, V. (1970c): A cser alakváltozatossága Magyarországon. [The form variability of Turkey oak in Hungary.] – *Erd. Kut.* 66(1): 179–211.
- Mátyás, V. (1971a): A magyarországi kocsánytalan tölgyfajok alakkörének kritikai elemzése. [A critical analysis of intraspecific taxa of sessile oak in Hungary.] – *Erd. Kut.* 67(1): 43–96.
- Mátyás, V. (1971b): Short taxonomic review of the oaks of Hungary. – *Erd. Kut.* 67(2): 55–68.
- Mátyás, V. (1972): A szlavón tölgy (*Quercus robur* ssp. *slavonica* (Gáy.) Máty.) erdészeti jelentősége Magyarországon. [Significance of the Slavonian oak (*Quercus robur* ssp. *slavonica* (Gáy.) Máty.) in forestry in Hungary.] – *Erd. Kut.* 68(1): 63–77.
- Mátyás, V. (1973a): Magyarország kocsányos tölgyeinek alakjai. [Pedunculate oak forms in Hungary.] – *Erd. Kut.* 69(1): 223–249.
- Mátyás, V. (1973b): The Italian pubescent oak (*Quercus virgiliiana* Ten. 1836) in the Carpathian basin and its outer fringes. – *Erd. Kut.* 69(2): 47–91.
- Mátyás, V. (1975): Magyarország molyhos tölgyei. [Pubescent oaks of Hungary.] – *Erd. Kut.* 71(1): 125–147.
- McAllister, H. A. and Marshall, R. H. (2017): *Hedera: the complete guide*. – The Royal Horticultural Society, London, 401 pp.
- McVaugh, R. (1951): A revision of the North American black cherries (*Prunus serotina* Ehrh., and relatives). – *Brittonia* 7(4): 279–315. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2804698>
- Mikoláš, V., Sennikov, A., Beech, E. and Rivers, M. C. (2017): *Sorbus thaiszii*. – The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T95414735A95414738.
- Mirek, Z., Piękoś-Mirkowa, H., Zająć, A. and Zająć, M. (2002): *Flowering plants and pteridophytes of Poland. A checklist*. – In: Mirek, Z. (ed.): *Biodiversity of Poland* 1. W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, 442 pp.
- Molnár, V. A., Löki, V., Máté, A., Molnár, A., Takács, A., Nagy, T., Lovas-Kiss, Á., Sramkó, G. and Tökölyi, J. (2017): The occurrence of *Spiraea crenata* and other rare steppe plants in Pannonian graveyards. – *Biologia* 72: 500–509. <https://doi.org/10.1515/biolog-2017-0060>
- Mosyakin, S. L. and Fedoronchuk, M. M. (1999): *Vascular plants of Ukraine. A nomenclatural checklist*. – National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine M. G. Khodolny Institute of Botany, Kiev, 345 pp. <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2985.0409>
- Nagy, J. Gy., Zsinka, B., Verebélyi, V., Zorkóczy, O. K. and Tyler, T. (2017): A *Vaccinium microcarpum* (Turcz. ex Rupr.) Schmalh. Magyarországon. [Vaccinium mi-

- crocarpum (Turcz. ex Rupr.) Schmalh. in Hungary.] – *Kitaibelia* **22**(1): 71–76. <https://doi.org/10.17542/kit.22.71>
- Nagy, L. (1999): Kövi benge (Rhamnus saxatilis Jacq.). – *Tilia* **7**: 261–268.
- Nelson, E. C. (1980): What is the correct name for the Dunkeld Hybrid Larch (*Larix decidua* × *L. leptolepis*)? – *Irish Forestry* **37**: 112–118.
- Németh, Cs. (2006): Hibrid eredetű, bennszülött Sorbus taxonok elterjedése a Vértesben és környékén. [Distribution of hybridogenous, endemic Sorbus taxa in Vértes Mountains, Central Hungary.] – *Flora Pann.* **4**: 17–33.
- Németh, Cs. (2007): Új berkenye kisfaj a magyar flórában: Sorbus tobani Németh. [Sorbus tobani Németh, a new hybridogenous species of the genus Sorbus L. emend. Cr. from the Bakony Mountains, Hungary.] – *Flora Pann.* **5**: 175–186.
- Németh, Cs. (2009): Új berkenye (Sorbus) kisfajok a Vértesből. [Three new Sorbus microspecies from the Vértes Mountains (W-Hungary).] – *Kitaibelia* **14**(1): 89–103.
- Németh, Cs. (2010): Taxonomic revision, typification and validation of Sorbus (Rosaceae) taxa in the herbarium Carpato-Pannonicum in Budapest I. – *Acta Bot. Hung.* **52**(3–4): 377–397. <https://doi.org/10.1556/ABot.52.2010.3-4.14>
- Németh, Cs. (2012): Two new Sorbus (Rosaceae) species from the Bakony Mts, Hungary. – *Acta Bot. Hung.* **54**(1–2): 131–144. <https://doi.org/10.1556/ABot.54.2012.1-2.15>
- Németh, Cs. (2013): Hibrid eredetű, bennszülött Sorbus aria s. l. × Sorbus torminalis taxonok (nothosubgenus Tormaria, S. latifolia agg.) elterjedése a Bakonyban. [Distribution of hybridogenous endemic Sorbus aria s. l. × Sorbus torminalis taxa (nothosubgenus Tormaria, S. latifolia agg.) in the Bakony Mts, Hungary.] – *Kitaibelia* **18**(1–2): 89–104.
- Németh, Cs. (2015a): Sorbus pelsoensis (Sorbus subgenus Tormaria), a new species from the surroundings of Lake Balaton, Hungary. – *Studia bot. hung.* **46**(1): 49–60. <https://doi.org/10.17110/StudBot.2015.46.1.49>
- Németh, Cs. (2015b): Taxonomical revision of Sorbus pseudosemiincisa (Rosaceae), a stenoendemic whitebeam from the Vértes Mts (Hungary), with the description of a new species, Sorbus pyricarpa. – *Studia bot. hung.* **46**(2): 157–174. <https://doi.org/10.17110/StudBot.2015.46.2.157>
- Németh, Cs., Barabits, E. and Bílá, J. (2016): New Sorbus subg. Tormaria (S. latifolia agg.) species from southwestern part of the Transdanubian Mountain Range (Keszthely Mts, Hungary). – *Studia bot. hung.* **47**(2): 297–318. <https://doi.org/10.17110/StudBot.2016.47.2.297>
- Niketić, M. and Tomović, G. (2018): *An annotated checklist of vascular flora of Serbia* 1. – Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Belgrade, pp. 101–180. <https://doi.org/10.5937/bnhmb1811101N>
- Nikolić, T. (ed.) (1994, 1997, 2000): Flora Croatica. Index Flora Croaticae, Pars 1–3. – *Nat. Croat.* **3**(Suppl. 2): 1–116, **6**(Suppl. 1): 1–232, **9**(Suppl. 1): 1–324.
- Oprea, A. (2005): *Lista critică a plantelor vasculare din România*. – Univ. "Alexandru Ioan Cuza", Iași, 668 pp.
- Pennington, R. T., Stirton, C. H. and Schrire, B. D. (2005): Tribe Sophoreae. – In: Lewis, G., Schrire, B. D., Mackinder, B. and Lock, M. (eds): *Legumes of the World*. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp. 227–249.
- Pénzes, A. (1941): Egy új Lycium-fajról (Lycium diószegii Pénzes nova spec.). – *Borbásia* **3**(8–10): 136–139.
- Pénzes, A. (1950): Kökény-szilva (Prunus) tanulmányok. (Prunus sect. Prunophora). [Study on the genus *Prunus*.] – *Agrártud. Egy. Kert. Kar. Közlem.* **13**: 66–74.
- Pénzes, A. (1958): Data to the ecology and taxonomy of the *Cotinus* genus. – *Acta Bot. Sinica* **7**(3): 165–169.

- Pigott, C. D., Sell, P. (1995): Nomenclature of the European species of *Tilia*. I. *Tilia europaea* L. – *Kew Bull.* **50**: 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4114618>
- Pilotti, M., Brunetti, A., Tizzani, L. and Marani, O. (2009): *Platanus acerifolia* genotypes surviving in inoculation with *Ceratocystis platani* (the agent of canker stain): first screening and molecular characterization. – *Euphytica* **169**: 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-9884-9>
- POWO (2021): *Plants of the World Online*. – Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Published on the Internet; <http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/> (accessed January to December 2020).
- Priszter, Sz. (1985): *Catalogus cormophytorum Hungariae*. – In: Priszter, Sz. (ed.): Synopsis systematico-geobotanica florae vegetationisque Hungariae VII. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 120–254.
- Priszter, Sz. (2004): A lícium (*Lycium barbarum* L., syn.: *L. halimifolium* Mill.) magyarországi története. [History of spiny boxthorn in Hungary.] – *Kitaibelia* **9**(1): 25–30.
- Priszter, Sz. (ed) (1990): *A húos som Cornus mas* L. Magyarország kultúrflórája VII/16. – Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 114 pp.
- Priszter, Sz. and Borhidi, A. (1967): A Mecseki flórájárás (Sopianicum) flórájához I. [To the flora of the Mecsek (Sopianicum, S-Hungary).] – *Bot. Közlem.* **54**(3): 149–164. (*Ruscus* spec. pp. 156–157.
- Pyšek, P., Danihelka, J., Sádlo, J., Chrtěk, J. Jr., Chytrý, M., Jarošík, V., Kaplan, Z., Krahulec, F., Moravcová, L., Pergl, J., Štajerová, K. and Tichý, L. (2012): Catalogue of alien plants of the Czech Republic (2nd edition): checklist update, taxonomic diversity and invasion patterns. – *Preslia* **84**: 155–255.
- Qian, G.-Z., Liu, L.-F. and Geng-Guo Tang, G.-G. (2010): Proposal to conserve the name *Malus domestica* against *M. pumila*, *M. communis*, *M. frutescens*, and *Pyrus dioica* (Rosaceae). – *Taxon* **59**(2): 650–652. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.592038>
- Qin, X. K. (2009): A new system of *Ligustrum* (Oleaceae). – *Acta Bot. Yunnan.* **31**: 97–116. <https://doi.org/10.3724%20SP.J.1143.2009.08131>
- Richens, R. H. (1977): New designations in *Ulmus minor* Mill. – *Taxon* **26**(5/6): 583–584.
- Robinson, J. P., Harris, S. A. and Juniper, B. E. (2001): Taxonomy of the genus *Malus* Mill. (Rosaceae) with emphasis on the cultivated apple, *Malus domestica* Borkh. – *Plant Syst. Evol.* **226**(1–2): 35–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s006060170072>
- Schmidt, P. A. (2017): Wild species and hybrids of *Crataegus* L. (Rosaceae) in W-, N- and Middle Europe. – *Belg. Dendrol. Belg.* pp. 67–82.
- Sennikov, A. N. and Kurtto, A. (2017): A phylogenetic checklist of *Sorbus* s. l. (Rosaceae) in Europe. – *Mem. Soc. Fauna Flora Fennica* **93**: 1–78.
- Sennikov, A. N. and Somlyay, L. (2011): Atlas Flora Europaea Notes 17: Typification of *Cotoneaster tomentosus* (Rosaceae) and its synonyms. – *Taxon* **60**(2): 579–584. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.602026>
- Skvortsov, A. K. (2010): Taxonomical synopsis of the genus *Populus* L. in East Europe, North and Central Asia. – *Byull. Glavn. Bot. Sada* **196**: 62–73.
- Somlyay, L. and Sennikov, A. N. (2014): Atlas Flora Europaea Notes 23. The typification – revised taxonomic circumscription of *Sorbus bakonyensis* (Rosaceae), with a description of *Sorbus udvardyana*, a new apomictic species endemic to Hungary. – *Phytotaxa* **164**(4): 265–275. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.164.4.5>
- Somlyay, L. and Sennikov, A. N. (2015): Atlas Flora Europaea Notes 24. Taxonomic interpretation – typification of *Sorbus pannonica* (Rosaceae), a presumed intermediate between *S. aria* – *S. graeca* from Hungary. – *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* **52**(3–4): 274–287. <https://doi.org/10.5735/085.052.0322>

- Somlyay, L. and Sennikov, A. N. (2016): Atlas Flora Europaea Notes 25. Taxonomic circumscription – nomenclature of *Sorbus danubialis* (Rosaceae). – *Nord. J. Bot.* **34**: 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.00914>
- Somlyay, L., Lisztes-Szabó, Zs. and Sennikov, A. N. (2016a): Atlas Flora Europaea Notes 28. Disentangling the taxonomic circumscription of *Sorbus subdanubialis* (Rosaceae). – *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* **53**: 345–360. <https://doi.org/10.5735/085.053.0607>
- Somlyay, L., Lisztes-Szabó, Zs. and Sennikov, A. N. (2016b): Atlas Flora Europaea Notes 29. Two new species of *Sorbus* (Rosaceae) endemic to Hungary, previously confused with *S. subdanubialis*. – *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* **53**: 361–372. <https://doi.org/10.5735/085.053.0608>
- Somlyay, L., Lisztes-Szabó, Zs., Vojtkó, A. and Sennikov, A. N. (2017): Atlas Flora Europaea notes 31. *Sorbus javorkana* (Rosaceae), a redescribed apomictic species from the Gömör-Torna (Gemer-Turňa) Karst in Hungary – Slovakia. – *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* **54**: 229–237. <https://doi.org/10.5735/085.054.0605>
- Soó, R. (1933): Referate. – *Bot. Centralbl.* **23**: 119–120.
- Soó, R. (1964–1973): *Synopsis systematico-geobotanica floriae vegetationisque Hungariae I–V*. – Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 589 pp., 655 pp., 506 pp., 614 pp., 724 pp.
- Soó, R. (1980): *Conspectus floriae vegetationisque Hungariae*. – In: Soó, R.: *Synopsis systematico-geobotanica floriae vegetationisque Hungariae VI*. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 261–524.
- Soó, R. and Simon, T. (1960): Bemerkungen über Südosteuropäischen *Fraxinus*- und *Dianthus*-Arten. – *Acta Bot. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **6**(1–2): 143–153.
- Sousa, S. M. and Rudd, V. E. (1993): Revision del genero *Styphnolobium*. – *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* **80**: 270–283. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2399827>
- Stace, C. A., Preston, C. D. and Pearman, D. A. (2016): *Hybrid flora of the British Isles*. – Botanical Society of Britain and Ireland, Bristol, 501 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12455>
- Stevens, P. F. (2001 onwards): *Angiosperm Phylogeny Website*. – Version 11, 2011 [and more or less continuously updated since]. <http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb> (accessed January to December 2020).
- Surányi, D. (2014): A birs elterjedése Magyarországon. [Dissemination of quince in Hungary.] – *Tájékol. Lapok* **12**(1): 221–243.
- Surányi, D. (ed.) (2011): *A sárgabarack Armeniaca vulgaris Lam.* Magyarország kultúrflórája II/9. – Szent István Egyetemi Kiadó, Gödöllő, 303 pp.
- Surányi, D. (ed.) (2019): *A házi szilva Prunus domestica L. és rokonfajai*. Magyarország kultúrflórája II/13. – Szent István Egyetemi Kiadó, Gödöllő, 416 pp.
- Szász, S. (2006): *Vadszőlőfajok (Parthenocissus spp.)*. – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Mihály, B. (eds): *Biológiai inváziók Magyarországon. Özönörvények II. [Biological invasions in Hungary. Invasive plant species II.]* A KvVM tanulmánykönyvtető 10, TermészetBúvár Alapítvány Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 131–142.
- Szigetvári, Cs. and Tóth, T. (2008): *False indigo (Amorpha fruticosa L.)*. – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): *The most important invasive plants in Hungary*. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 55–61.
- Szmorad, F. (2010): Fürtös bodza (*Sambucus racemosa* L.). – *Tilia* **15**: 316–341.
- Szmorad, F. and Barabás, S. (1999): Tőzegáfonya (*Vaccinium oxycoccus* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 69–77.
- Tavaud, M., Zanetto, A., David, J. L., Laigret, F. and Dirlewanger, E. (2004): Genetic relationships between diploid and allotetraploid cherry species (*Prunus avium*, *Prunus × gondouinii* and *Prunus cerasus*). – *Heredity* **93**: 631–638.
- Terpó, A. (1962a): A *Ribes vulgare* Lam. magyarországi előfordulásáról. [On the occurrence of *Ribes vulgare* Lam. in Hungary.] – *Kert. Szől. Főisk. Évk.* **26**: 123–143.

- Terpó, A. (1962b): Adatok a hazai vadontermő Vitis-ek ismeretéhez. [Data for the knowledge of the wild *Vitis* taxa in Hungary.] – *Kert. Szől. Főisk. Évk.* **26**: 147–161.
- Terpó, A. (1968): A sajmeggy (*Cerasus mahaleb* (L.) Mill.) taxonómiai problémái és a gyakorlat. [Taxonomic problems of *Cerasus mahaleb* (L.) Mill. and the practice.] – *Szőlő- és Gyümölcsstermesztés* **4**: 103–131.
- Terpó, A. (1988): *A pannóniai területek természletes előfordulású szőlő (Vitis) populációinak eredete, taxonómiaja és gyakorlati jelentősége*. [Origin, taxonomy and practical significance of naturally occurring grape (*Vitis*) populations in the Pannonian areas.] – MTA Doktori Ertekezés tézisei [HAS Doctoral Theses], Budapest, 22 pp.
- Terpó, A. (1992): *Pyrus* taxa in Hungary and their practical importance. – *Thaiszia* **2**: 41–57.
- Terpó, A. and Grúsz, E. (1976): A Magyarországon termesztett *Mahonia* állomány rendszer-tani vizsgálata. [Systematic study of the *Mahonia* taxa grown in Hungary.] – *Publ. Univ. Horticult. Industr. Aliment.* **40**: 333–350.
- Terpó, A., Zajac, M. and Zajac, A. (1999): Provisional list of Hungarian archaeophytes. – *Thaiszia* **9**: 41–47.
- Teski, A., Kerényi-Nagy, V., Penksza, K., Penksza, P. and Wichmann, B. (2017): *A Rosa × belgradensis Pancic származásának igazolása morfometriai módszerrel*. [Verification of the origin of *Rosa × belgradensis Pancic* by morphometric method.] – In: Kerényi-Nagy, V. et al. (eds): II. Rózsa- és galagonyakutatás a Kárpát-medencében. Konferencia-kötet. [2nd Rose- and hawthorn research in Carpathian Basin. Proceedings-book.] Szent István Egyetem Egyetemi Kiadó, Gödöllő, pp. 282–283.
- Tímár, G. (1999a): Tiszafa (*Taxus baccata* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 16–23.
- Tímár, G. (1999b): Farkasboroszlán (*Daphne mezereum* L.). – *Tilia* **7**: 89–96.
- TPL (2013 onwards): *The Plant List. A working list of all plant species*. – Published on the Internet; <http://www.theplantlist.org> (accessed January to December 2020).
- Trpin, D. and Vreš, B. (1995): *Register flore Slovenije. Praprotnice in cvetnice*. [Register of the flora of Slovenia. Ferns and vascular plants.] – Znanstvenoraziskovalni center SAZU, Ljubljana, 143 pp.
- Turland, N. J., Wiersema, J. H., Barrie, F. R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D. L., Herendeen, P. S., Knapp, S., Kusber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T. W., McNeill, J., Monro, A. M., Prado, J., Price, M. J. and Smith, G. F. (eds) (2018): *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017*. – Regnum Vegetabile 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical Books. <https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018>.
- Turland, N. J., Wiersema, J. H., Monro, A. M., Deng, Y.-F. and Zhang, L. (2017): XIX International Botanical Congress: Report of Congress action on nomenclature proposals. – *Taxon* **66**(5): 1234–1245. <https://doi.org/10.12705/665.16>
- Tuzson, J. (1911): A *Daphne* géniusz Cneorum subsectiójáról. [De subsectione "Cneorum" generis *Daphnes*.] – *Bot. Közlem.* **10**: 135–152.
- Udvárdy, L. (2008a): *Boxelder* (*Acer negundo* L.). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 115–120.
- Udvárdy, L. (2008b): *Tree of heaven* (*Ailanthus altissima* (Mill.) Swingle). – In: Botta-Dukát, Z. and Balogh, L. (eds): The most important invasive plants in Hungary. Institute of Ecology and Botany. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, pp. 121–127.
- Udvárdy, L. and Bényeiné Himmer, M. (1999): Irish ivy (*Hedera hibernica* (Kirchner) Bean) as a woody evergreen weed. – *Publ. Univ. Horticult. Industr. Aliment.* **59**: 161–164.
- Ufimov, R. A. and Dickinson, T. A. (2020): Infrageneric nomenclature adjustments in *Cra-taeagus* L. (Maleae, Rosaceae). – *Phytologia* **102**(3): 177–199.

- Varga, I., Poczai, P., Tiborcz, V., Aranyi, R. N., Baltazár, T., Bartha, D., Pejchal, M. and Hyvönen, J. (2014): Changes in the distribution of the European mistletoe (*Viscum album*) in Hungary. – *Folia Geobot.* **49**: 559–577. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12224-014-9193-5>
- Vidéki, R. and Virók, V. (eds) (2004): *Magyarország edényes flórájának katalógusa*. [Catalog of the vascular flora of Hungary.] – Saját kiadás [Own edition], Sopron–Aggtelek, 185 pp.
- Vigouroux, A., Besnard, G., Sossey-Alaoui, K., Tersac, M. and Berville, A. (1997): Hybrid origin of *Platanus acerifolia* confirmed and the one of *P. densicoma* revealed with genetic markers: consequences. – *Acta Bot. Gallica* **144**(2): 243–251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.1997.10515772>
- Wallander, E. (2008): Systematics of *Fraxinus* (Oleaceae) and evolution of Dioecy. – *Plant Syst. Evol.* **273**: 25–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-008-0005-3>
- Wannan, B. S. (2006): Analysis of generic relationships in Anacardiaceae. – *Blumea* **51**: 165–195. <https://doi.org/10.3767/000651906X6224277>
- WCSP (2021): *World checklist of selected plant families*. – Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Published on the Internet; <http://wcsp.science.kew.org/> (accessed January to December 2020).
- Wiersema, J. H., Turland, N. J., Barrie, F. R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D. L., Herendeen, P. S., Knapp, S., Kubber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T. W., McNeill, J., Monroe, A. M., Prado, J., Price, M. J. and Smith, G. F. (eds) (2018+ continuously updated): *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017: Appendices I–VII*. – <http://naturalhistory2.si.edu/botany/codes-proposals/> [accessed January to December 2020]
- Wisskirchen, R. and Haeupler, H. (1998): *Standardliste der Farn- und Blütenpflanzen Deutschlands*. – Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart, 765 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.19991100520>
- Yang, W. J., Li, L. Q. and Xie, L. (2009): A revision of *Clematis* sect. *Atragene* (Ranunculaceae). – *J. Syst. Evol.* **47**: 552–580. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-6831.2009.00057.x>
- Zagyvai, G. (2012): *Közönséges orgona* (*Syringa vulgaris* L.). – In: Csiszár, Á. (ed.): *Inváziós növényfajok Magyarországon*. [Invasive alien plant species in Hungary.] Nyugat-magyarországi Egyetem Kiadó, Sopron, pp. 201–205.

Open Access statement. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited, a link to the CC License is provided, and changes – if any – are indicated. (SID_1)

Appendix 1. Checklist of dendro taxa of Hungary (life form (LF): T = tree, S = shrub, DS = dwarf shrub, L = liana, E = epiphyte, T-S and S-L = transitional types; indigenat: N = native, A = alien, C = cryptogenic; invasion status: Inv = invasive, Nat = naturalised, Cas = casatal; Residence time status: Arch = archaeophyte, Neo = neophyte, Cult = cultivated; Hung. ? = records from Hungary need confirmation; endemity: End = endemic, SubE = subendemic; origin of hybrids: Ntl = natural, Art = artificial; symbols: ? = questionable, † = extinct or possibly extinct, × = hybrid formula; nomenclatural abbreviations see in the text)

| Taxon | Basonymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|----|------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Abies</i> Mill. (Pinaceae) | | | | Farijan (2001) |
| <i>Abies alba</i> Mill. | Syn.: <i>A. pectinata</i> (Lam.) DC. nom. illeg. [!] | T | C / Cult / Cas | |
| <i>Abies cephalonica</i> Loudon | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Abies nordmanniana</i> (Steven) Spach | | | | |
| subsp. <i>nordmanniana</i> | Bas.: <i>Pinus nordmanniana</i> Steven / | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Gelderen <i>et al.</i> (1994) |
| | Syn.: <i>A. leioclada</i> Steven ex Gordon | | | |
| <i>Acer</i> L. (Sapindaceae) | | | | |
| ? <i>Acer acuminatum</i> J. Papp [?] | | T | C / End? | |
| <i>Acer campestre</i> L. ³ | Syn.: <i>A. bedoei</i> Borbás (Ortho.: <i>A. bedői</i> Borbás) | T | N | Bartha <i>et al.</i> (2011) |
| <i>Acer negundo</i> L. subsp. <i>negundo</i> | Syn.: <i>A. fraxinifolium</i> Nutt., <i>Negundo aceroides</i> Moench | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Udvardy (2008a) |
| <i>Acer opalus</i> Mill. subsp. <i>obtusatum</i> (Waldst. et Kit. ex Willd.) Gams | Bas.: <i>A. obtusatum</i> Waldst. et Kit. ex Willd. / Syn.: <i>A. aethnense</i> hort. ex K. Koch, <i>A. neapolitanum</i> Ten. | T | Cas / Neo | Korda (2014) |
| <i>Acer platanoides</i> L. subsp. <i>platanoides</i> | | T | N / Cult / Cas | |
| <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L. | Syn.: <i>A. dasycarpum</i> Ehrh. | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | |
| <i>Acer saccharinum</i> L. | | T | | |
| <i>Acer tataricum</i> L. | | | | |
| subsp. <i>tataricum</i> | | | N / Nat | |
| subsp. <i>gimnala</i> (Maxim.) Wesm. | Bas.: <i>A. gimnala</i> Maxim. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Aesculus</i> L. (Sapindaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L. | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Aesculus ×carnea</i> Zeyh. | Syn.: <i>Ae. ×rubicunda</i> Loisel. / <i>Ae. hippocastanum</i> L. × <i>Ae. pavia</i> L. | T | Cult / Neo / Art | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|-----|------------------|---|
| <i>Ailanthus</i> Desf. nom. cons., gen. masc. cons. (Simaroubaceae) | Bas.: <i>Toxicodendron altissimum</i> Mill. / Syn.: <i>A. glandulosum</i> Desf., <i>A. peregrinus</i> (Buc'hor) F. A. Barkley | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Udvárdy (2008b) |
| <i>Ailanthus altissimus</i> (Mill.) Swingle ^a | Bas.: <i>Betula alnobotella</i> Ehrh. / Syn.: <i>Duschekia alnobotella</i> (Ehrh.) Pouzar Syn.: <i>A. viridis</i> (Chailx) DC., <i>D. viridis</i> (Chailx) Opiz | S | N | Govaerts and Frodin (1998) Bartha and Markovics (2010) |
| <i>Alnus</i> Mill. (Betulaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Alnus alnobetula</i> (Ehrh.) K. Koch | Bas.: <i>Betula alnobotella</i> Ehrh. K. Koch subsp. <i>alnobetula</i> | T | N | |
| subsp. <i>alnobetula</i> | Syn.: <i>A. viridis</i> (Chailx) DC., <i>D. viridis</i> (Chailx) Opiz | | | |
| <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn. subsp. <i>glutinosa</i> | Bas.: <i>Betula alnus</i> L. var. <i>glutinosa</i> L. | T | N | Király and Kevey (1999a) |
| <i>Alnus incana</i> (L.) Moench subsp. <i>incana</i> | Bas.: <i>Betula alnus</i> L. var. <i>incana</i> L. | T | N / Cas | |
| Sine nomine | <i>A. incana</i> (L.) Moench subsp. <i>incana</i> × <i>A. alnobetula</i> (Ehrh.) K. Koch subsp. <i>alnobetula</i> | T-S | N†? / Ntl | |
| <i>Alnus ×pseudoglutinosa</i> Dostál | <i>A. glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn. subsp. <i>glutinosa</i> × <i>A. alnobetula</i> (Ehrh.) K. Koch subsp. <i>alnobetula</i> | T-S | N†? / Ntl | |
| <i>Alnus ×pubescens</i> Tausch | Syn.: <i>A. ×hybrida</i> A. Braun ex Rehb., <i>A. ×montana</i> Brügger / <i>A. glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn. subsp. <i>glutinosa</i> × <i>A. incana</i> (L.) Moench subsp. <i>incana</i> | T | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Amelanchier</i> Medik. (Rosaceae) | Syn.: <i>Mespilus amelanchier</i> L. | S | N | Forster and Bölöni (1999) |
| <i>Amelanchier ovalis</i> Medik. subsp. <i>ovalis</i> | | | | Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Amorpha</i> L. (Fabaceae) | | S | Inv / Neo | Szigetvári and Tóth (2008) |
| <i>Amorpha fruticosa</i> L. | | | | |
| <i>Andromeda</i> L. (Ericaceae) | | DS | N† | Bartha (1999e) |
| <i>Andromeda polifolia</i> L. subsp. <i>polifolia</i> | | | | Sennikov and Kurutto (2017) |
| <i>Aria</i> (Pers.) Host (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Aria collina</i> (M. Lepší, P. Lepší et N. Meyer) Seníkov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus collina</i> M. Lepší, P. Lepší et N. Meyer / Syn.: <i>A. graeca</i> (Spach) M. Roem., <i>S. graeca</i> (Spach) Schauer, <i>S. cretica</i> (Lindl.) Fritsch in Kerner | T | N | Kézdy (1999), Lepší <i>et al.</i> (2015) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|----|--------------------|---|
| <i>Aria danubialis</i> (Jáv.) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus cretica</i> (Lindl.) Fritsch in Kerner f. <i>danubialis</i> Jav. / Syn.: <i>S. danubialis</i> (Jav.) Prodan, <i>S. iavorkae</i> (Soo) Kárpáti, <i>S. pseudodanubialis</i> Kárpáti in Németh, <i>S. sooi</i> (Soo) Soó in Jávorka et Soó | T | N | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2010), Somlyay and Sennikov (2016), Somlyay et al. (2016a), Somlyay et al. (2017) |
| <i>Aria edulis</i> (Willd.) M. Roem. | Bas.: <i>Pyrus edulis</i> Wild. / Syn.: <i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz, <i>S. budiana</i> Kárpáti in Németh, <i>S. huljakii</i> Kárpáti in Németh | T | N | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2010) |
| <i>Aria javorkana</i> (Somlyay, Sennikov et Vojtko) Sen- nikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus javorkana</i> Somlyay, Sennikov et Vojtko | T | N / SubE | Somlyay and Sennikov (2016), Somlyay et al. (2017) |
| <i>Aria keszthelyensis</i> (Somlyay et Sennikov) Sen- nikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus keszthelyensis</i> Somlyay et Sennikov | T | N / End | Somlyay et al. (2016a, b) |
| <i>Aria pannonica</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pannonica</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Somlyay and Sennikov (2015) |
| <i>Aria subdanubialis</i> (Soó) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz f. <i>subdanubialis</i> Soó / Syn.: <i>S. subdanubialis</i> (Soó) Kárpáti | T | N / SubE | Somlyay et al. (2016a) |
| <i>Aria ujhelyii</i> (Somlyay et Sennikov) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus ujhelyii</i> Somlyay et Sennikov | T | N / End | Somlyay et al. (2016a, b) |
| <i>Aria ulmifolia</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus ulmifolia</i> Kárpáti in Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2010), Somlyay et al. (2016a) |
| <i>Aria vajdai</i> (Boros) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus vajdai</i> Boros | T | N / End | Németh (2010) |
| <i>Aria zolyomii</i> (Soó) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz f. <i>zolyomii</i> Soó / Syn.: <i>S. zolyomii</i> (Soó) Kárpáti | T | N / End? vel SubE? | Ahrendt (1961) |
| <i>Berberis</i> L. (Berberidaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Berberis aquifolium</i> Pursh | Syn.: <i>Mahonia aquifolium</i> (Pursh) Nutt. | S | Cult / Nat / Neo | Terpó and Grúsz (1976) |
| <i>Berberis pinnata</i> Lag. | Syn.: <i>Mahonia pinnata</i> (Lag.) Fedde | S | Cult / Cas / Neo | Terpó and Grúsz (1976) |
| <i>Berberis repens</i> Lindl. | Syn.: <i>B. aquifolium</i> Pursh subsp. <i>repens</i> (Lindl.) Brayshaw, <i>Mahonia repens</i> (Lindl.) G. Don | S | Cult / Cas / Neo | Terpó and Grúsz (1976) |
| <i>Berberis vulgaris</i> L. subsp. <i>vulgaris</i> | | | | |
| <i>Berberis ×decumbens</i> (Stace) Verloove et Lambinon | Bas.: <i>Mahonia ×decumbens</i> Stace / <i>B. aquifolium</i> Pursh × <i>B. repens</i> Lindl. | S | Nat / Neo / Ntl | |
| <i>Berberis ×wagneri</i> Jouin | Syn.: <i>Mahonia ×wagneri</i> (Jouin) Rehder / <i>B. aquifo- lium</i> Pursh × <i>B. pinnata</i> Lag. | S | Cas / Neo / Ntl | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|----|------------------|---|
| <i>Betula</i> L. (Betulaceae) | | | | Govaerts and Frodin (1998), Ashburner and McAllister (2013) |
| <i>Betula pendula</i> Roth subsp. <i>pendula</i> | Syn.: <i>B. verrucosa</i> Ehrh. | T | N / Cult | |
| <i>Betula pubescens</i> Ehrh. ⁵ | Syn.: <i>B. alba</i> L. subsp. <i>pubescens</i> (Ehrh.) Regel | T | N | Király and Király (2010) |
| <i>Betula</i> × <i>aaurata</i> Borkh. | Syn.: <i>B. ×rhombifolia</i> Tausch. / <i>B. pendula</i> Roth subsp. <i>pendula</i> × <i>B. pubescens</i> Ehrh. | T | N / Nd | |
| <i>Broussonetia</i> L Hér. ex Vent. nom. cons. (Moraceae) | | | | |
| <i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i> (L.) L'Hér. ex Vent. | Bas.: <i>Morus papyrifera</i> L. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Buddleja</i> L. (Scrophulariaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Buddleja davidi</i> ii Franch. | Syn.: <i>B. variabilis</i> Hemsl. | S | Cult / Cas / Neo | Balogh (2012) |
| <i>Calluna</i> Salisb. (Ericaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Calluna vulgaris</i> (L.) Hull. | Bas.: <i>Erica vulgaris</i> L. | DS | N | Govaerts and Frodin (1998) |
| <i>Carpinus</i> L. (Betulaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Carpinus betulus</i> L. | | T | N | |
| <i>Carpinus orientalis</i> Mill. subsp. <i>orientalis</i> | Syn.: <i>C. duinensis</i> Scop. | T | N | Gáál (1999), Király (2016) |
| <i>Castanea</i> Mill. (Fagaceae) | | | | Govaerts and Frodin (1998) |
| <i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill. | Syn.: <i>C. vesca</i> Gaertn., <i>C. vulgaris</i> Lam. nom. inval., <i>Fagus castanea</i> L. nom. inval. | T | C / Cult | Jávorka and Málgora (1969), Csapody (2007) |
| <i>Catalpa</i> Scop. (Bignoniaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Catalpa bignonioides</i> Walter | Syn.: <i>Bignonia catalpa</i> L. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Cedrus</i> Trew nom. cons. (Pinaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Cedrus atlantica</i> (Endl.) G. Manetti ex Carrrière | Bas.: <i>Pinus atlantica</i> Endl. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| | Syn.: <i>C. libani</i> A. Rich subsp. <i>atlantica</i> (Endl.) Batt. et Trab. | | | |
| <i>Celtis</i> L. (Cannabaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Celtis australis</i> L.. | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Celtis occidentalis</i> L. | | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Barttha and Csiszár (2008a) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|--|----|------------------|--|
| <i>Chamaecyparis</i> Spach (Cupressaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> (A. Murray bis) Parl. | Bas.: <i>Cupressus lawsoniana</i> A. Murray bis | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Yang <i>et al.</i> (2009) |
| <i>Clematis</i> L. (Ranunculaceae) | | | | Huják and Vojtík (2010) |
| <i>Clematis alpina</i> (L.) Mill. subsp. <i>alpina</i> | Bas.: <i>Atragene alpina</i> L. | L | N | |
| <i>Clematis vitalba</i> L. | | L | N | Browicz (1963), Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Coldtea</i> L. (Fabaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Coldtea arborensis</i> L. subsp. <i>arborensis</i> | | S | N | Sennikov and Kurttio (2017) |
| <i>Cornus</i> Spach (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Cornus domestica</i> (L.) Spach | Bas.: <i>Sorbus domestica</i> L. | T | C / Cult | Bartha (1996) |
| <i>Cornus</i> L. (Cornaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Cornus mas</i> L. | | S | N | Priszter (1990) |
| <i>Cornus sanguinea</i> L. | Syn.: <i>Savida sanguinea</i> (L.) Opiz, <i>Thelycrania sanguinea</i> (L.) Fourr. | S | | |
| subsp. <i>sanguinea</i> | | | N | |
| subsp. <i>australis</i> (C. A. Mey.) Jav. in Soó et Jav. | Bas.: <i>C. australis</i> C. A. Mey. / Syn.: <i>S. australis</i> (C. A. Mey.) Pojark. ex Grossh. | | N / Hung.? | |
| subsp. <i>hungarica</i> (Kárpáti) Soó | Bas.: <i>C. hungarica</i> Kárpáti / Syn.: <i>S. hungarica</i> (Kárpáti) Soják | S | N | Kárpáti (1949) |
| <i>Corylus</i> L. (Betulaceae) | | | | Govaerts and Frodin (1998) |
| <i>Corylus avellana</i> L. | | S | N | |
| <i>Corylus colurna</i> L. | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Cotinus</i> Mill. (Anacardiaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Cotinus coggygria</i> Scop. | Syn.: <i>Rhus cotinus</i> L. | | | |
| <i>Cotoneaster</i> Medik. nom. cons. (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Cotoneaster divaricatus</i> Rehder et E. H. Wilson | Syn.: <i>Pyrus divaricata</i> (Rehder et E. H. Wilson) M. F. Fay et Christenh. | S | Cult / Cas / Neo | Pénzes (1958) |
| <i>Cotoneaster horizontalis</i> Decne. | Syn.: <i>Pyrus horizontalis</i> (Decne.) M. F. Fay et Christenh. | S | Cult / Cas / Neo | Dickoré and Kasperek (2010) |
| <i>Cotoneaster horizontalis</i> Decne. | | | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|-----|-------------------|---|
| <i>Cotoneaster integrifolius</i> Medik. | Syn.: <i>C. vulgaris</i> Lindl. nom. illeg., <i>Mespilus cotinaster</i> L. | S | N | Böjöni (1999a) |
| <i>Cotoneaster laxiflorus</i> J. Jacq. ex Lindl. | Syn.: <i>C. matrensis</i> Domokos, <i>C. melanocarpus</i> Lodd., <i>C. niger</i> (Wahlb.) Fr. | S | N | Böjöni (1999a), Domokos (1941), Dickoré and Kasperk (2010) |
| <i>Cotoneaster tomentosus</i> (Aiton) Lindl. | Bas.: <i>Mespilus tomentosa</i> Aiton / Syn.: <i>C. coccinea</i> (Roth) Steud., <i>M. coccinea</i> Waldst. et Kit. nom. illeg. ⁶ | S | N | Böjöni (1999a), Semikov and Somlyay (2011) |
| <i>Crataegus</i> L. nom. cons. (Rosaceae) | | | | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015), Schmidt (2017), Urimov and Dickinson (2020) |
| <i>Crataegus germanica</i> (L.) Kunze | Bas.: <i>Mespilus germanica</i> L. | T | Cult / Cas / Arch | Urimov and Dickinson (2020) |
| <i>Crataegus laevigata</i> (Poir.) DC. ^{7,8} | Bas.: <i>Mespilus laevigata</i> Poir. / Syn.: <i>C. oxyacantha</i> auct. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2015) |
| <i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq. ⁹ | | S | | Kerényi-Nagy (2015) |
| subsp. <i>monogyna</i> | Syn.: <i>C. alemannensis</i> Cinoovskis, <i>C. subborealis</i> Cinoovskis | N | | |
| subsp. <i>acutiloba</i> (J. Kern.) Baranec | Bas.: <i>C. monogyna</i> Jacq. var. <i>acutiloba</i> J. Kern. | N | Hung.? | |
| subsp. <i>nordica</i> Franco | | T-S | N / SubE | Bartha and Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, b), Kerényi-Nagy <i>et al.</i> (2014) |
| <i>Crataegus nigra</i> Waldst. et Kit. | | | | |
| <i>Crataegus rhipidophylla</i> agg. ¹⁰ | Syn.: <i>C. curvisepala</i> agg. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a), 2014, 2015, Kerényi-Nagy <i>et al.</i> (2011) |
| <i>Crataegus lindmanii</i> Hrabětová | Syn.: <i>C. curvisepala</i> Lindm. nom. illeg., <i>C. praemonticola</i> Holub, <i>C. nosiformis</i> ("nosaeformis") Janka | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015), Kerényi-Nagy <i>et al.</i> (2011) |
| <i>Crataegus rhipidophylla</i> Gand. ¹¹ | Syn.: <i>C. ×lambertiana</i> hort. ex Lange / <i>C. nigra</i> Waldst. et Kit. × <i>C. monogyna</i> Jacq. ¹² | T-S | N / SubE / Ntl | Bartha and Kerényi-Nagy (2010a), Kerényi-Nagy (2015) |
| <i>Crataegus ×macracarpa</i> agg. | Syn.: <i>C. ×calycina</i> agg. / <i>C. laevigata</i> (Poir.) DC. × <i>C. rhipidophylla</i> agg. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) |
| <i>Crataegus ×calycina</i> Peterm. ¹³ | Syn.: <i>C. calciphila</i> Hrabětová / <i>C. laevigata</i> (Poir.) DC. × <i>C. lindmanii</i> Hrabětová | | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|---------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>Crataegus ×macrocarpa</i> Hegelshw. ¹⁴ | Syn.: <i>C. xovalis</i> Kit., <i>C. xpseudoxycanthia</i> Cinovalkis, <i>C. xschumacheri</i> Raunk., <i>C. xulmiana</i> Soó / <i>C. laevigata</i> (Poir.) DC. × <i>C. rhipidophylla</i> Cand. ¹⁵ | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) |
| <i>Crataegus ×media</i> Bechst. | Syn.: <i>C. ×internixta</i> (Wenz.) Beck / <i>C. monogyna</i> Jacq. × <i>C. laevigata</i> (Poir.) DC. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) |
| nothosubsp. <i>media</i> | | N / Nil | | |
| nothosubsp. <i>deltoxyacantha</i> (Pénzes) Ker.-Nagy | | N / Nil | | |
| nothosubsp. <i>internixta</i> (Wenzig) Ker.-Nagy | | N / Nil | | |
| <i>Crataegus ×subspherica</i> agg. | Syn.: <i>C. ×kyrtostyla</i> auct. agg., <i>C. ×heterodonta</i> agg., / <i>C. monogyna</i> Jacq. × <i>C. rhipidophylla</i> agg. | | | |
| <i>Crataegus ×kyrtostyla</i> Fingerh. ¹⁶ | Syn.: <i>C. ×dominicensis</i> Hrabětová, <i>C. ×plagiosepalata</i> Pojark. / <i>C. lindmanii</i> Hrabětová × <i>C. monogyna</i> Jacq. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) |
| <i>Crataegus ×subspherica</i> Gaud. | Syn.: <i>C. ×fallacina</i> Klokov, <i>C. ×heterodonta</i> Pojark., <i>C. ×kyrtostyla</i> auct. non Fingerh., <i>C. ×nauvadensis</i> Raunk. / <i>C. monogyna</i> Jacq. × <i>C. rhipidophylla</i> Cand. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) |
| nothosubsp. <i>jacquinii</i> (A. Kern. ex Pénzes) Ker.-Nagy | | N / Nil | | |
| nothosubsp. <i>szepesfalvi</i> (Pénzes) Ker.-Nagy | | N / Nil | | |
| <i>Cydonia</i> Mill. (Rosaceae) | Syn.: <i>Pyrus cydonia</i> L. | T | Cult / Cas / Arch | Surányi (2014) |
| <i>Cydonia oblonga</i> Mill. | | | | Lewis et al. (2005) |
| <i>Cytisus</i> Desf. nom. cons. (Fabaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Cytisus scoparius</i> (L.) Link | Bas.: <i>Spartium scoparium</i> L. / Syn.: <i>Sarothamnus scoparius</i> (L.) Wimm. ex W. D. J. Koch | S | Nat / Neo | Auvray and Malécot (2013) |
| subsp. <i>scoparius</i> | | DS | | |
| <i>Daphne</i> L. (Thymelaeaceae) | | | | Kézdy and Tímár (1999) |
| subsp. <i>cneorum</i> | | N | | |
| subsp. <i>arbusculoides</i> (Tuzson) Soó | Bas.: <i>D. cneorum</i> L. f. <i>arbusculoides</i> Tuzson | N | | Tuzson (1911) |
| <i>Daphne laureola</i> L. subsp. <i>laureola</i> | | N | | Keller (1999) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synchrony / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|-----|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>Daphne mezereum</i> L. subsp. <i>mezereum</i> | | S | N | Tímár (1999) |
| <i>Elaeagnus</i> L. (Elaeagnaceae) | | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Bartha and Csizsár (2008b) |
| <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> L. | Syn.: <i>E. argentea</i> Pursh nom. illeg., <i>E. argentea</i> Nutt. nom. illeg. | T-S | Cult / Inv ¹⁷ / Neo | Bartha (2020) |
| <i>Elaeagnus commutata</i> Bernh. ex Rydb. | | | | Kakuuchi <i>et al.</i> (2011) |
| <i>Ephedra</i> L. (Ephedraceae) | | | | Dobay (1999) |
| <i>Ephedra distachya</i> L. subsp. <i>distachya</i> | Syn.: <i>E. vulgaris</i> Rich. nom. illeg. | DS | N | Dobay (1999) |
| <i>Euonymus</i> L. nom. et orth. cons. (Celastraceae) | Syn.: <i>E. vulgaris</i> Mill. | S | N | Baráth (1956) |
| <i>Euonymus europaeus</i> L. | Syn.: <i>Elaeodendron fortunei</i> Turcz., Syn.: <i>Euonymus radicans</i> (Miq.) Siebold ex Miq. | S | Cult / Cas / Neo | Baráth (1956) |
| <i>Euonymus fortunei</i> (Turcz.) Hand.-Mazz. nom. cons. | | S | N | Govaerts and Frodin (1998) |
| <i>Euonymus verrucosus</i> Scop. | | | | Bartha and Raisz (2004) |
| <i>Fagus</i> L. (Fagaceae) | | | | Lipsky × <i>F. sylvatica</i> L. |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L. | Syn.: <i>F. ×moesiaeca</i> (K. Malý) Czezott, <i>F. sylvatica</i> L. subsp. <i>moesiaeca</i> (K. Malý) Szafer / <i>F. orientalis</i> Lipsky × <i>F. sylvatica</i> L. | T | N | Hung.? / Ntl |
| <i>Fagus ×taurica</i> Popl. | | | | Bartha and Raisz (2004) |
| <i>Fallugia</i> Adans. (Polygonaceae) | | | | Holtub (1971) |
| <i>Fallugia austertii</i> (L. Henry) Holtub ¹⁸ | Bas.: <i>Polygonum austertii</i> L. Henry / Syn.: <i>Bil-derdylia austertii</i> (L. Henry) Moldenke, <i>Reynoutria austertii</i> (L. Henry) Moldenke | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Fallugia baldschuanica</i> (Regel) Holub ¹⁹ | Bas.: <i>Polygonum baldschuanicum</i> Regel / Syn.: <i>Bil-derdylia baldschuanica</i> (Regel) D. A. Webb, <i>Fago-pyrum baldschuanicum</i> (Regel) Gross, <i>Reynoutria baldschuanica</i> (Regel) Moldenke | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Frangula</i> Mill. (Rhamnaceae) | Syn.: <i>Rhamnus frangula</i> L. | S | N | Kárpáti (1970), Wällander (2008) |
| <i>Frangula alnus</i> Mill. subsp. <i>alnus</i> | | | | |
| <i>Fraxinus</i> L. (Oleaceae) | | | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|----|--------------------------------|--|
| <i>Fraxinus angustifolia</i> Vahl nom. cons. subsp. <i>danhubialis</i> Pouzar | Syn.: <i>F. angustifolia</i> Vahl subsp. <i>pannonicica</i> Soó et T. Simon | T | N | Soó and Simon (1960), Bartha (2015–2016) |
| <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L. subsp. <i>excelsior</i> | | T | N | |
| <i>Fraxinus ornus</i> L. subsp. <i>ornus</i> | Syn.: <i>Ornus europaea</i> Pers. | T | N / Nat | Kárpáti (1958) |
| <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> Marshall | Syn.: <i>F. pubescens</i> Lam. | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Csizsár and Bartha (2008) |
| <i>Fraxinus xanthophleumii</i> Dieck ex Bean ²⁰ | <i>F. angustifolia</i> Vahl subsp. <i>danhubialis</i> Pouzar × <i>F. excelsior</i> L. subsp. <i>excelsior</i> | T | N / Ntl | Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Gleditsia</i> J. Clayton ²¹ (Fabaceae) | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Green <i>et al.</i> (2011), McAllister and Marshall (2017) |
| <i>Hedera helix</i> L. | | L | Cult / Inv ²³ / Neo | Bényei-Himmer <i>et al.</i> (2017) |
| <i>Hedera crebrescens</i> M. Bényei-Himmer et M. Höhn ²² | | L | N | Sennikov and Kurttio (2017) |
| <i>Hedera</i> L. (Araliaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Hedlundia</i> Sennikov et Kurtto (Rosaceae) | <i>Aria</i> × <i>Sorbus</i> | | | |
| <i>Hedlundia buckensis</i> (Soo) Sennikov et Kurtto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz subsp. <i>buekensis</i> Soó / Syn.: <i>S. buckensis</i> (Soo) Soó | T | N / End? vel SubE? | |
| <i>Hedlundia hazslinszkyana</i> (Soo) Sennikov et Kurtto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz var. <i>hazslinszkyana</i> (Soo) Boros | T | N / SubE? | Kézdy (1999) |
| <i>Hedlundia ×thuringiaca</i> (Nyman) Sennikov et Kurtto | Bas.: <i>Pyrus thuringiaca</i> Nyman Syn.: <i>Aria thuringiaca</i> (Nyman) Beck, <i>Sorbus thuringiaca</i> (Nyman) Fritsch / <i>Aria edulis</i> (Willd.) M. Roem. × <i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L. | T | N / Ntl | Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Hippocratea</i> L. (Fabaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Hippocratea emerus</i> (L.) Lassen subsp. <i>emerus</i> | Bas.: <i>Coronilla emerus</i> L. | S | N | Bököni (1999b) |
| <i>Hippophaë</i> L. (Elaeagnaceae) | Ortho.: <i>Hippophaë</i> | | | |
| <i>Hippophaë rhamnoides</i> L. | Syn.: <i>Elaeagnus rhamnoides</i> (L.) A. Nelson | S | | Gádó (1999) |
| subsp. <i>carpathica</i> Rousi | | | | |
| <i>Juglans</i> L. (Juglandaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Juglans nigra</i> L. | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Manos and Stone (2001) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|-----|-------------------|---|
| <i>Juglans regia</i> L. | <i>J. nigra</i> L. × <i>J. regia</i> L. | T | Cult / Nat / Arch | Babos and Bertin (1998) |
| <i>Juglans ×intermedia</i> Carrière | | T | Cult / Neo / Art | |
| <i>Juniperus</i> L. (Cupressaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Juniperus communis</i> L. ²⁵ | | T-S | N | Sennikov and Kurutto (2017) |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> L. | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Karpatosorbus Sennikov et Kurutto</i> (Rosaceae) | <i>Aria</i> × <i>Torninalis</i> | | | |
| <i>Karpatosorbus acutiserrata</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus acutiserrata</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2009) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus adamii</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus adamii</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus andreanszkyana</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus andreanszkyana</i> Kárpáti / Syn. ? S. latissima Kárpáti | T | N / End | Németh (2010), Sennikov and Kurutto (2017) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus bakonyensis</i> (Jáv.) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus frantovicia</i> Borom. f. <i>bakonyensis</i> Jáv. / Syn.: <i>S. bakonyensis</i> (Jáv.) Jáv., <i>S. majori</i> Barabits | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Barabits (2007), Németh (2010, 2013), Somlyay and Sennikov (2014, 2015) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus balatonica</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus balatonica</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2010, 2013) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus barabitsii</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus barabitsii</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2012) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus barthiae</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus barthiae</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2010, 2013) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus bodajkensis</i> (Barabits) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus bodajkensis</i> Barabits | T | N / End | |
| <i>Karpatosorbus borosiana</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus borosiana</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Barabits (2007), Németh (2013) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus concavifolia</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus concavifolia</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus decipientiformis</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus decipientiformis</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Németh <i>et al.</i> (2016) |
| | | | | Németh (2010, 2015a) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|----|---------|--|
| <i>Karpatosorbus degenii</i> (Jáv.) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus degenii</i> Jáv. | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010, 2013) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus dracifolia</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus dracifolia</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2009) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus eugenii-kelleri</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus eugenii-kelleri</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus gayeriana</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus gayeriana</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2010, 2013) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus grecensis</i> (Boros et Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus grecensis</i> Boros et Kárpáti | T | N / End | Németh (2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus karpatii</i> (Boros) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus karpatii</i> Boros | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus pelsoui</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pelsoui</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Bauer (2015), Németh (2015a) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus polgariana</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus polgariana</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2012) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus pseudobakonyensis</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pseudobakonyensis</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus pseudolatifolia</i> (Boros) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pseudolatifolia</i> Boros | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus pseudoseminincisa</i> (Boros) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pseudoseminincisa</i> Boros | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010, 2015b) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus pseudovertesensis</i> (Boros) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pseudovertesensis</i> Boros | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus pyricarpa</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus pyricarpa</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2015b) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus rediana</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus rediana</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2010, 2013) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus rhombiformis</i> (Barabits et C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus rhombiformis</i> Barabits et C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh <i>et al.</i> (2016) |
| <i>Karpatosorbus seminincisa</i> (Borbás) Sennikov et Kurutto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz f. <i>seminincisa</i> Borbás / Syn.: <i>S. seminincisa</i> (Borbás) Borbás | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|-----|------------------|---|
| <i>Karpatiosorbus simonkaiiana</i> (Kárpáti) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus simonkaiiana</i> Kárpáti | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus tobani</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus tobani</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2007, 2013) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus udvardayana</i> (Somlyay et Sennikov) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus udvardayana</i> Somlyay et Sennikov | T | N / End | Barabits (2007), Németh (2010, 2013), Somlyay and Sennikov (2014) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus valleribusensis</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus valleribusensis</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh (2009) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus vallesensis</i> (C. Németh) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus vallesensis</i> C. Németh | T | N / End | Németh <i>et al.</i> (2016) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus vertesensis</i> (Boros) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus vertesensis</i> Boros | T | N / End | Kézdy (1999), Németh (2006, 2010) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus veszpremensis</i> (Barabits) Sennikov et Kurto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus veszpremensis</i> Barabits | T | N / End | Barabits (2007), Németh (2013) |
| <i>Karpatiosorbus ×hybrida</i> (Borkh.) Sennikov et Kurto ²⁶ | Bas.: <i>Azarolus hybrida</i> Borkh. / Syn.: <i>Sorbus decipiens</i> (Bechst.) Petz., et G. Kirchn., <i>S. rotundifolia</i> (M. Roen.), Hedl. / <i>Aria edulis</i> (Willd.) M. Roem. × <i>Torminalis glaberrima</i> (Gand.) Sennikov et Kurto | T | N / Nil | |
| <i>Koehreuteria</i> Laxm. (Sapindaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Koehreuteria paniculata</i> Laxm. | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Laburnum</i> Fabr. (Fabaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Laburnum anagyroides</i> Medik. | Syn.: <i>Cytisus laburnum</i> L. | T-S | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| ? subsp. <i>alschingeri</i> (Vis.) Hayek ²⁷ | Bas.: <i>C. alschingeri</i> Vis. | | | |
| <i>Larix</i> Mill. (Pinaceae) | | | | Farijón (2001) |
| <i>Larix decidua</i> Mill. ²⁸ | Syn.: <i>L. europaea</i> DC. nom. illeg., <i>Pinus larix</i> L. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Larix kaempferi</i> (Lamb.) Carrière | Bas.: <i>Pinus kaempferi</i> Lamb. / Syn.: <i>L. leptolepis</i> (Siebold et Zucc.) Gordon et Glend. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Larix ×marschiniii</i> Coaz ²⁹ | Syn.: <i>L. ×europaeispis</i> A. Henry nom. illeg. / <i>L. decidua</i> Mill. × <i>L. kaempferi</i> (Lamb.) Carrière | T | Cult / Neo / Art | Nelson (1980) |
| <i>Ligustrum</i> L. (Oleaceae) | | | | Qin (2009) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Ligustrum vulgare</i> L. | | S | N | Kárpáti (1952) |
| <i>Lonicera</i> L. (Caprifoliaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Lonicera caprifolium</i> L. | Syn.: <i>L. pallida</i> Host | S-L | N / Cult / Cas | Kevey and Bartha (2010a) |
| <i>Lonicera nigra</i> L. | Syn.: <i>L. carpathica</i> Kit. | S | N | Hulják (1999b) |
| <i>Lonicera xylosteum</i> L. | Syn.: <i>L. leiophylla</i> A. Kern. | S | N | |
| <i>Loranthus</i> Jacq. nom. cons. (Loranthaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Loranthus europaeus</i> Jacq. | | E | N | |
| <i>Lycium</i> L. (Solanaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Lycium barbarum</i> L. | Syn.: <i>L. halimifolium</i> Mill. | S | Inv / Neo | Péñez (1941), Priszter (2004) |
| <i>Lycium chinense</i> Mill. | | S | Cas / Neo | Péñez (1941), Priszter (2004) |
| <i>Macfura pomifera</i> (Raf.) C. K. Schneid. | Bas.: <i>Loxylon pomiferum</i> Raf. / Syn.: <i>M. aurantiaca</i> Nutt. | T | Cult / Neo | Robinson <i>et al.</i> (2001) |
| <i>Malus</i> Mill. (Rosaceae) | Syn.: <i>M. communis</i> Lam. subsp. <i>dasyphylla</i> (Borkh.) Dippel, <i>M. sylvestris</i> (L.) Mill. var. <i>dasyphylla</i> (Borkh.) Ponomař., <i>Pyrus paris</i> M. F. Fay et Christenh. | T | N | |
| <i>Malus dasypylla</i> Borkh. | | | | |
| <i>Malus domestica</i> (Suckow) Borkh. nom. cons. ³⁰ | Bas.: <i>Pyrus malus</i> L. var. <i>domestica</i> Suckow / Syn.: <i>M. communis</i> Desf. nom. illeg., <i>M. pumila</i> Mill. | T | Cult / Cas / Arch | Qian <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| <i>Malus sylvestris</i> (L.) Mill. subsp. <i>sylvestris</i> | Bas.: <i>Pyrus malus</i> L. var. <i>sylvestris</i> L. / Syn.: <i>M. acerba</i> Mérat, <i>P. ponum</i> M. F. Fay et Christenh. | T | N | |
| <i>Malus oxysepala</i> A. Czarna nom. inval. ³¹ | <i>M. domestica</i> (Suckow) Borkh. × <i>M. sylvestris</i> (L.) Mill. | T | Cas / Arch? / Ntl | Czarna <i>et al.</i> (2013) |
| <i>Morus</i> L. (Moraceae) | | | | |
| <i>Morus alba</i> L. | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Jeszenszky (1972) |
| <i>Myricaria</i> Desv. (Tamaricaceae) | | | | |

| Taxon | Basonymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|----|------------------|---|
| <i>Myricaria germanica</i> (L.) Desv. subsp. <i>germanica</i> | Bas.: <i>Tamarix germanica</i> L. | S | N | Korda (2010a), Király and Király (2018) |
| <i>Ostrya</i> Scop. (Betulaceae) | | N | | Govaerts and Frodin (1998) |
| <i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i> Scop. | Syn.: <i>Ostrya italicica</i> Spach | T | N† | Bartha (1999f) |
| <i>Parthenocissus</i> Planch. nom. cons. (Vitaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Parthenocissus inserta</i> (A. Kern.) Fritsch | Bas.: <i>Vitis inserta</i> A. Kern. / Syn.: <i>P. vitacea</i> (Knerr) Hitchc. | L | Cult / Inv / Neo | Szász (2006) |
| <i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch. | Bas.: <i>Hedera quinquefolia</i> L. / Syn.: <i>Vitis quinquefolia</i> (L.) Lam. | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | Szász (2006) |
| Sine nomine | <i>P. inserta</i> (A. Kern.) Fritsch × <i>P. quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch. ³² | L | Hung.? / Ntl | Stace <i>et al.</i> (2016) |
| <i>Paulownia</i> Siebold et Zucc. (Paulowniaceae) ³³ | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Paulownia catalpifolia</i> T. Gong ex D. Y. Hong | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Paulownia elongata</i> S. Y. Hu | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> (Thunb.) Steud. | Bas.: <i>Bignonia tomentosa</i> Thunb. / Syn.: <i>P. imperialis</i> Siebold et Zucc. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Picea</i> A. Dietr. (Pinaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H. Karst. | Bas.: <i>Picea abies</i> L. / Syn.: <i>Picea excelsa</i> (Lam.) Link | T | C / Cult / Cas | Farjón (2001) |
| <i>Pinus</i> L. (Pinaceae) | Syn.: <i>P. divaricata</i> (Aiton) Dum.Cours. | T | Cult / Neo | Farjón (2001) |
| <i>Pinus banksiana</i> Lamb. | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Pinus nigra</i> J. F. Arnold subsp. <i>nigra</i> ³⁴ | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> Douglas ex C. Lawson | | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> L. | | T | N / Cult / Cas | |
| <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L. ³⁵ | | | | |
| <i>Platanus</i> L. (Platanaceae) | | | | Grimm and Denk (2010) |
| <i>Platanus × hispanica</i> Mill. ex Münchh. ³⁶ | Syn.: <i>P. ×acerifolia</i> (Aiton) Willd., <i>P. ×hybrida</i> Brot. <i>/ P. occidentalis</i> L. × <i>P. orientalis</i> L. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Geerinck (1979), Stace <i>et al.</i> (2016) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|----|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Platycladus</i> Spach (Cupressaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Platycladus orientalis</i> (L.) Franco | Bas.: <i>Thuja orientalis</i> L. / Syn.: <i>Biota orientalis</i> (L.) Endl. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Skvortsov (2010) |
| <i>Populus</i> L. (Salicaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Populus alba</i> L. | | T | N | Bartha (2004) |
| <i>Populus deltoides</i> W. Bartram ex Marshall ³⁷ | Syn.: <i>P. angulata</i> Aiton, <i>P. carolinensis</i> Moench | T | Cult / Inv ³⁸ / Neo | Bartha (2004) |
| <i>Populus nigra</i> L. | | T | N / Cult ³⁹ | Bartha (2004) |
| <i>Populus simonii</i> Carrière | | T | Cult / Neo | Bartha (2004) |
| <i>Populus tremula</i> L. | | T | N | Bartha (2004) |
| Sine nomine | | | | |
| | <i>P. maximowiczii</i> Henry × <i>P. trichocarpa</i> Torr. et A. Gray ex Hook. ⁴⁰ | T | Cult / Neo / Art | Bartha (2004) |
| | Syn.: <i>P. ×interamericana</i> (Dode) Guinier ⁴¹ / <i>P. deltoidea</i> W. Bartram ex Marshall × <i>P. nigra</i> L. | T | Cult / Nat / Neo / Art | Boom (1957), Bartha (2004) |
| | <i>Bas.: P. alba</i> L. var. <i>canezensis</i> Aiton / <i>P. alba</i> L. × <i>P. tremula</i> L. | T | N / Ntl | Bartha (2004, 2005) |
| | Syn.: <i>P. ×interamericana</i> Broekh. ⁴² / <i>P. deltoidea</i> W. Bartram ex Marshall × <i>P. trichocarpa</i> Torr. et A. Gray ex Hook. | T | Cult / Neo / Art | Bartha (2004) |
| | <i>P. alba</i> L. × <i>P. grandidentata</i> Michx. ⁴³ | T | Cult / Neo / Art | Bartha (2004) |
| <i>Prunus</i> L. (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Prunus amygdalus</i> Batsch | Syn.: <i>P. dulcis</i> (Mill.) D. A. Webb, <i>Amygdalus communis</i> L., <i>A. dulcis</i> Mill. | T | Cult / Arch | |
| <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> L. | Syn.: <i>Armeniaca vulgaris</i> Lam. | T | Cult / Arch | Surányi (2011) |
| <i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L. | Bas.: <i>P. cerasus</i> L. var. <i>avium</i> L. / Syn.: <i>Cerasus avium</i> (L.) Moench | T | N / Cult | Tavaud <i>et al.</i> (2004) |
| <i>Prunus cerasifera</i> Ehrh. | Syn.: <i>P. divaricata</i> Ledeb., <i>P. myrobalana</i> (L.) Loisell. | T | Cult / Nat / Arch | |
| <i>Prunus cerasus</i> L. ⁴⁴ | Syn.: <i>P. acida</i> Ehrh., <i>Cerasus acida</i> (Ehrh.) Borkh., <i>C. vulgaris</i> Mill. | T | Cult / Nat / Arch | Tavaud <i>et al.</i> (2004) |
| <i>Prunus domestica</i> agg. | | | | Surányi (2019) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|---|-----|------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Prunus domestica</i> L. | Syn.: <i>P. communis</i> Huds. nom. illeg. | T | Cult / Arch | Pénzes (1950), Kárpáti (1967) |
| <i>Prunus insititia</i> L. | Syn.: <i>P. domestica</i> L. subsp. <i>insititia</i> (L.) Bonnier et Layens, <i>P. italicica</i> Borkh. | T | Cult / Arch | Pénzes (1950), Kárpáti (1967) |
| <i>Prunus fruticosa</i> Pall. | Syn.: <i>P. chamaecerasus</i> Jacq., <i>Cerasus fruticosa</i> (Pal.) Woronow | S | N | Bartha (2007, 2011) |
| <i>Prunus mahaleb</i> L. | Syn.: <i>Cerasus mahaleb</i> (L.) Mill. | T | | Terpó (1968) |
| subsp. <i>mahaleb</i> | | | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| subsp. <i>simonkai</i> Pénzes | Syn.: <i>C. mahaleb</i> (L.) Mill. subsp. <i>simonkai</i> (Pénzes) Terpó | N | | N |
| <i>Prunus padus</i> L. subsp. <i>padus</i> | Syn.: <i>Padus acutum</i> Mill. | T | N | |
| <i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch | Bas.: <i>Amygdalus persica</i> L. / Syn.: <i>Persica vulgaris</i> Mill. | T | Cult / Arch | |
| <i>Prunus serotina</i> Ehrh. ⁴⁵ | Syn.: <i>Padus serotina</i> (Ehrh.) Borkh. | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | McVaugh (1951), Juhász (2008) |
| <i>Prunus spinosa</i> L. ⁴⁶ | Syn.: <i>Amygdalus nana</i> L., <i>Prunus nana</i> (L.) Stokes | S | N | Pénzes (1950) |
| <i>Prunus tenella</i> Batsch | Syn.: <i>Cerasus xeminiensis</i> (Beck) Buia / <i>P. cerasus</i> L. × <i>P. fruticosa</i> Pall. | S | N | Böltöni and Horváth (1999) |
| <i>Prunus xeminiensis</i> Beck | Bas.: <i>C. xfontanesiana</i> Spach / <i>P. avium</i> (L.) L. × <i>P. mahaleb</i> L. | T | Cult / Art / Ntl | Bartha (2007, 2011) |
| <i>Prunus xfontanesiana</i> (Spach) C. K. Schneid. | <i>P. domestica</i> L. × <i>P. spinosa</i> L. | S | Cas / Arch / Ntl | Bartha (2007, 2011) |
| <i>Prunus xfutinii</i> (Poir. et Turpin) Rehder | Bas.: <i>Cerasus xgondoinii</i> Poit. et Turpin / <i>P. avium</i> (L.) L. × <i>P. cerasus</i> L. | T | Cult / Art | Pénzes (1950) |
| <i>Prunus xjavorkae</i> Kárpáti | Syn.: <i>Cerasus xjavorkae</i> (Kárpáti) Soó / <i>P. fruticosa</i> Pall. × <i>P. mahaleb</i> L. | T-S | N / Nil | Bartha (2007, 2011) |
| <i>Prunus xmhacsyania</i> Kárpáti | Syn.: <i>Cerasus xmhacsyania</i> (Kárpáti) Janch. / <i>P. avium</i> (L.) L. × <i>P. fruticosa</i> Pall. | T-S | N / Nil | Bartha (2007, 2011) |
| <i>Prunus xsimmelli</i> Palez. | <i>P. cerasifera</i> Ehrh. × <i>P. spinosa</i> L. | T-S | Cas / Arch / Ntl | |
| <i>Prunus xstacei</i> Wójcicki | Syn.: <i>Cerasus xstacei</i> (Wójcicki) Wójcicki et Mahrhold / <i>P. avium</i> (L.) L. × <i>P. cerasus</i> L. × <i>P. fruticosa</i> Pall. | T-S | Hung.? / Ntl | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|-----|--------------------------------|---|
| <i>Prunus ×syriaca</i> Borkh. | Syn.: <i>P. domestica</i> L. subsp. <i>syriaca</i> (Borkh.) Janch. / <i>P. cerasifera</i> Ehrh. × <i>P. domestica</i> L. | T | Cult / Cas / Arch / Ntl | Kárpáti (1967) |
| <i>Pseudotsuga</i> Carrrière (Pinaceae) | | | | Farijón (2001) |
| <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco | Bas.: <i>Abies menziesii</i> Mirb. / Syn.: <i>P. douglasii</i> (Sabine ex D. Don) Carrrière | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Ptelea</i> L. (Rutaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Ptelea trifoliata</i> L. ⁴⁷ | | T-S | Cult / Inv ⁴⁸ / Neo | Bailey (1962) |
| <i>Pterocarya</i> Kunth (Juglandaceae) | | | | Manos and Stone (2001) |
| <i>Pterocarya fraxinifolia</i> (Lam.) Spach | Bas.: <i>Juglans fraxinifolia</i> Lam. / Syn.: <i>P. pterocarpa</i> (Michx.) Delchev. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Pyrus</i> L. (Rosaceae) | | | | Batiz (2000) |
| <i>Pyrus communis</i> agg. | | T | Cult / Arch | |
| <i>Pyrus communis</i> L. | | | | |
| <i>Pyrus pyraster</i> (L.) Burgsd. | Bas.: <i>P. communis</i> L. var. <i>pyraster</i> L. / Syn.: <i>P. achras</i> Gaertn. nom. illeg., <i>P. communis</i> L. subsp. <i>pyraster</i> (L.) Ehrh. | T | N | |
| <i>Pyrus magyarrica</i> Terpó ⁴⁹ | | T | C / End? | Terpó (1992), Böhm (2007, 2010), Barina and Király (2014) |
| <i>Pyrus nivalis</i> Jacq. ⁵⁰ | Syn.: <i>P. austriaca</i> A. Kern., <i>P. communis</i> L. subsp. <i>nivalis</i> (Jacq.) Gans, <i>P. salicifolia</i> DC. | T | | Bartha and Bóhm (2010), Terpó (1992) |
| <i>Pyrus orientalis</i> (Terpó) Terpó ⁵¹ | | | C / Cult / Cas | |
| <i>Pyrus spinosa</i> Forsk. | Syn.: <i>P. amygdaliformis</i> Vill. | T | Cult / Arch | |
| <i>Pyrus ×amphigena</i> Domini ex Dostálék | <i>P. communis</i> L. × <i>P. pyraster</i> (L.) Burgsd. | T | Cas / Arch / Ntl | |
| <i>Pyrus ×karpatiana</i> Terpó ⁵² | <i>P. magyarrica</i> Terpó × <i>P. pyraster</i> (L.) Burgsd. | T | N / Ntl | Böhm (2007) |
| <i>Pyrus ×mohacsiana</i> Terpó | <i>P. nivalis</i> Jacq. × <i>P. pyraster</i> (L.) Burgsd. × <i>P. syriaca</i> Boiss. | T | Cas / Arch? / Ntl | Bartha and Bóhm (2010) |
| <i>Pyrus ×pannonica</i> Terpó | <i>P. nivalis</i> Jacq. × <i>P. pyraster</i> (L.) Burgsd. | T | Cult / Cas / Arch / Ntl | Bartha and Bóhm (2010) |
| <i>Pyrus ×ponazensis</i> Terpó | <i>P. nivalis</i> Jacq. × <i>P. spinosa</i> Forsk. | T | Cult / Arch? / Ntl | Bartha and Bóhm (2010) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|----|--------------------|---|
| <i>Pyrus ×velenovskij</i> Dostálék | Syn.: <i>P. ×mecsekensis</i> Terpó / <i>P. pyraster</i> (L.) Burgsd. × <i>P. spinosa</i> Forsk. ⁵³ | T | Cult / Arch? / Ntl | Bartha and Böhm (2010) |
| <i>Quercus</i> L. (Fagaceae) | | | | Govaerts and Frodin (1998), Mátyás (1970b, 1971b) |
| <i>Quercus cerris</i> L. | | T | N / Cult | Mátyás (1970c) |
| <i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten. | Syn.: <i>Q. conferta</i> Kit., <i>Q. farnetto</i> Ten., <i>Q. hungarica</i> Hubeny | T | Cult / Neo | Borovics et al. (1999) |
| <i>Quercus palustris</i> Münchh. | | T | Cult / Neo | Mátyás (1971a) |
| <i>Quercus petraea</i> agg. | | | | |
| <i>Quercus aurea</i> (Wierzb.) Kotschy ⁵⁴ | Bas.: <i>Q. robur</i> L. var. <i>aurea</i> Wierzb. / Syn.: <i>Q. dalechampii</i> sensu aut. medioevo. non Ten., <i>Q. banatus</i> P. Káčera | T | N | Di Pietro et al. (2012), Kučéra (2018) |
| <i>Quercus petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. subsp. <i>petraea</i> | Bas.: <i>Q. robur</i> L. var. <i>petraea</i> Matt. Syn.: <i>Q. sessiliflora</i> Salibsh. nom. illeg., <i>Q. sessilis</i> Schur | T | N | |
| <i>Quercus polycarpa</i> Schur | Syn.: <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. subsp. <i>polycarpa</i> (Schur) Soó, <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. subsp. <i>iberica</i> (Steven ex M. Bieb.) Krassilin. | T | N | |
| <i>Quercus pubescens</i> agg. | | T | | Mátyás (1975) |
| <i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd. | Syn.: <i>Q. humilis</i> Mill. nom. rej., <i>Q. lanuginosa</i> (Lam.) Thuiill. nom. illeg. | | N | |
| subsp. <i>pubescens</i> | | | | Mátyás (1973b) |
| <i>Quercus virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | Bas.: <i>Q. robur</i> L. var. <i>virginiana</i> Ten. | T | N | |
| <i>Quercus robur</i> L. ⁵⁵ | | T | N | Mátyás (1970a, 1973a) |
| subsp. <i>robur</i> | Syn.: <i>Q. pedunculata</i> Hoffm. | | | |
| subsp. <i>pedunculiflora</i> (K. Koch) Menitsky | Bas.: <i>Q. pedunculiflora</i> K. Koch | | Cult / Neo | |
| subsp. <i>slavonica</i> (Gayer) Mátyás ⁵⁶ | Bas.: <i>Q. robur</i> L. f. <i>slavonica</i> Gayer | | Cult / Neo | Mátyás (1970a, 1972, 1973a) |
| <i>Quercus rubra</i> L. ⁵⁷ | Syn.: <i>Q. borealis</i> F. Michx. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Quercus ×barnova</i> Georgescu et Dobrescu | <i>Q. aurea</i> (Wierzb.), Kotschy × <i>Q. polycarpa</i> Schur | T | Hung.? / Ntl | Mátyás (1971a, b) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synchronymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|---|----|-----------------|------------------------------|
| <i>Quercus ×benkoi</i> Mátyás | Ortho.: <i>Quercus ×benkoi</i> Mátyás, <i>Q. benkoi</i> Mátyás ^{ss} / <i>Q. aurea</i> (Wierzb.) Kotschy × <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1970a, 1971a, b) |
| <i>Quercus ×borosii</i> Mátyás | <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten. × <i>Q. virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b, 1973b) |
| <i>Quercus ×bulensis</i> Borbás | <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd. × <i>Q. virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b, 1973b) |
| <i>Quercus ×cazanensis</i> Pasc. | <i>Q. aurea</i> (Wierzb.) Kotschy × <i>Q. virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b, 1973b) |
| <i>Quercus ×chrysopoda</i> Borbás | <i>Q. aurea</i> (Wierzb.) Kotschy × <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×csatoi</i> Borbás | Ortho.: <i>Q. ×csatoi</i> Borbás / <i>Q. polycarpa</i> Schur × <i>Q. robur</i> L. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×dacica</i> Borbás | Syn.: <i>Q. ×bedoei</i> Simonk. et Fekete (Ortho.: <i>Q. ×bedői</i> Simonk. et Fekete), <i>Q. ×tiszae</i> Simonk. et Fekete / <i>Q. polycarpa</i> Schur × <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd. <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. × <i>Q. virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×diversifrons</i> Borbás | Syn.: <i>Q. ×bidentiana</i> Borbás, <i>Q. ×heuffelii</i> Simonk., <i>Q. ×noeheuffelii</i> Borbás / <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten. × <i>Q. robur</i> L. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×illesiana</i> Mátyás | Ortho.: <i>Q. ×illesiana</i> Mátyás / <i>Q. polycarpa</i> Schur × <i>Q. virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b, 1973b) |
| <i>Quercus ×kermeri</i> Simonk. nothosubsp. <i>kermeri</i> | Syn.: <i>Q. bedoei</i> Borbás (Ortho.: <i>Q. ×bedői</i> Borbás), <i>Q. ×daverecii</i> Simonk. (Ortho.: <i>Q. ×dávérécsei</i> Simonk.), <i>Q. ×glaucocarpos</i> A. Kern, <i>Q. ×kanitziana</i> Borbás, <i>Q. ×monotropifolia</i> Simonk., <i>Q. ×simonkaiana</i> J. Wagner, <i>Q. ×siliboluginoza</i> Borbás / <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd. × <i>Q. robur</i> L. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×penduitina</i> Kit. ex Schult. em. Mátyás | <i>Q. robur</i> L. × <i>Q. virginiana</i> (Ten.) Ten. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1970a, 1971b, 1973b) |
| <i>Quercus ×polycarpoides</i> Georgescu et Ciobanu | Syn.: <i>Q. ×sooi</i> Mátyás (Ortho.: <i>Q. sooi</i> Mátyás) / <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. × <i>Q. polycarpa</i> Schur | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1970a, 1971a, b) |
| <i>Quercus ×pseudoalechampii</i> Cretz. | <i>Q. aurea</i> (Wierzb.) Kotschy × <i>Q. robur</i> L. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×pseudopubescens</i> Dobrescu et Beldie | <i>Q. aurea</i> (Wierzb.) Kotschy × <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd. | T | N / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|---|----|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Quercus xrosacea</i> Bechst. | Syn.: <i>Q. ×feliceti</i> Simonk., <i>Q. ×tihii</i> Simonk., <i>Q. ×superlata</i> Borbás / <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. × <i>Q. robur</i> L. | T | N / Nil | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×streimii</i> (Heuff.) Heuff. ex Freyn | Syn.: <i>Q. ×badensis</i> Beck, <i>Q. ×calvescens</i> Vuk. / <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. × <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd. | T | N / Nil | Mátyás (1971b) |
| | Ortho.: <i>Q. ×szchenyeniana</i> Borbás / Syn.: <i>Q. ×braunii</i> Borbás, <i>Q. ×herculis</i> Borbás, <i>Q. ×noesiaca</i> Borbás, <i>Q. ×topaliae</i> A. Camus / <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten. × <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Quercus ×szchenyeniana</i> Borbás ⁵⁹ | <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten. × <i>Q. polycarpa</i> Schur | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| | Syn.: <i>Q. ×subglandulosa</i> Borbás / <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten. × <i>Q. petraea</i> (Matt.) Liebl. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Mátyás (1971b) |
| <i>Rhamnus</i> L. gen. fem. cons. ⁶⁰ (Rhamnaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Rhamnus cathartica</i> L. | | S | N | |
| <i>Rhamnus saxatilis</i> Jacq. subsp. <i>saxatilis</i> | <i>R. cathartica</i> L. × <i>R. saxatilis</i> Jacq. subsp. <i>saxatilis</i> | S | N | Nagy (1999) |
| <i>Rhamnus ×gayeri</i> Kárpáti ex Soó ⁶¹ | | S | N / Nil | Nagy (1999) |
| <i>Rhus</i> L. (Anacardiaceae) | | | | Andrés-Hernández et al. (2014) |
| <i>Rhus typhina</i> L. ⁶² | Syn.: <i>R. hirta</i> (L.) Sudw. nom. rej. | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | Korda (2012) |
| <i>Ribes</i> L. (Grossulariaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Ribes alpinum</i> L. | Syn.: <i>R. lucidum</i> Kit. | S | N | Király et al. (1999) |
| <i>Ribes aureum</i> Pursh | | S | Cult / Inv / Neo | Cseserits and Rédei (2006) |
| <i>Ribes nigrum</i> L. | | S | C / Cult / Nat | Király and Kevey (1999b) |
| <i>Ribes petraeum</i> Wulfen | Syn.: <i>R. carpathicum</i> Kit. ex Schult. | S | N | Bartha and Király (1999) |
| <i>Ribes rubrum</i> L. | Syn.: <i>R. sylvestre</i> (Lam.) Mert. et W. D. J. Koch, <i>R. vulgare</i> Lam. | S | Cult / Nat / Neo | Terpó (1962a), Király (1999b) |
| <i>Ribes spicatum</i> E. Robson subsp. <i>spicatum</i> | Syn.: <i>R. pubescens</i> (Hartm.) Hedl., <i>R. schlechtendalii</i> Lange | S | Cult / Cas ⁶³ / Neo | Király (1999) |
| <i>Ribes uva-crispa</i> L. | Syn.: <i>R. grossularia</i> L., <i>Grossularia uva-crispa</i> (L.) Mill. | S | N / Cult / Cas | Király (1999a) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|-----|----------------------------|---|
| <i>Robinia</i> L. (Fabaceae) | | | | Lavin and Sousa (1995), Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L. | | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Bartha <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| <i>Robinia viscosa</i> Michx. ex Vent. | Syn.: <i>R. glutinosa</i> Sims | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Robinia ×ambigua</i> Poir. | <i>R. pseudoacacia</i> L. × <i>R. viscosa</i> Michx. ex Vent. | T | Cult / Neo / Art | |
| <i>Rosa</i> L. nom. cons. (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Rosa agrestis</i> Savi | Syn.: <i>R. albiflora</i> Opiz ⁶⁴ , <i>R. beytei</i> Borbás ⁶⁵ , <i>R. gizeliae</i> Borbás ⁶⁶ , <i>R. septium</i> Thunb. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa arvensis</i> Huds. | Syn.: <i>R. tomentella</i> Léman | S-L | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2012a) |
| <i>Rosa balsamita</i> Besser | Syn.: <i>R. cornifolia</i> Fr. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa caesia</i> Sm. ex Sow. subsp. <i>caesia</i> | | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa canina</i> L. | Syn.: <i>R. sancti-andreae</i> Degen et Trautm. | S | Cult / Arch? ⁶⁷ | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2011a,b, 2012a,b,c) |
| <i>Rosa ciliato-petala</i> Besser | Syn.: <i>R. obtusifolia</i> Desv. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa corymbifera</i> Borkh. | | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa dumalis</i> Bechst. | | S | N / SubE | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa foetidissima</i> Ker.-Nagy | | S | N | Facsar (1981), Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa gallica</i> L. ⁶⁸ | Syn.: <i>R. rubrifolia</i> Vill. | S | N† | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa glauca</i> Pourr. | Syn.: <i>R. elliptica</i> Tausch ex Tratt., <i>R. szaboi</i> Borbás | S | N | Facsar (1982), Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa inodora</i> Fr. | | S | N / SubE | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa knutiana</i> Borbás | Syn.: <i>R. jundzillii</i> Besser | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa marginata</i> Vall. | Syn.: <i>R. hungarica</i> A. Kern. ⁶⁹ , <i>R. polyantha</i> (Borbás) Heinr. Braun ⁷⁰ | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a), Kerényi <i>et al.</i> (2011) |
| <i>Rosa micrantha</i> Borrer ex Sm. | Syn.: <i>R. alpina</i> L. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa pendulina</i> L. | | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2012a) |
| <i>Rosa pacsii</i> Ker.-Nagy | | | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|----|-----------------|--|
| <i>Rosa rubiginosa</i> L. | | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa rugosa</i> Thunb. | | S | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Rosa sherardii</i> Davies | | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa spinosissima</i> L. ⁷¹ | Syn.: <i>R. pimpinellifolia</i> L. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa stylosa</i> Desv. | Syn.: <i>R. brevistyla</i> DC., <i>R. systyla</i> Bastard | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa subcanina</i> (H. Christ) Vuk. | Bas.: <i>R. reuteri</i> Godet f. <i>subcanina</i> H. Christ / Syn.: <i>R. dumalis</i> Beckst. subsp. <i>subcanina</i> (H. Christ) Soó | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa subcollina</i> (H. Christ) Vuk. | Bas.: <i>R. coriifolia</i> Fr. f. <i>subcollina</i> H. Christ / Syn.: <i>R. cæsia</i> Sm. ex Sow. subsp. <i>subcollina</i> (H. Christ) Hesl.-Harr. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa tomentosa</i> Sm. | Syn.: <i>R. floccida</i> Deségl. ⁷² | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa zagrabiensis</i> Vuk. et Heinr. Braun ex A. Kern. | Syn.: <i>R. agrestis</i> Pancíć / <i>R. agrestis</i> Savi × <i>R. canina</i> L. | S | N | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2011b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa zalana</i> Wiesb. | Syn.: <i>R. caryophyllacea</i> Besser | S | N / SubE | Facsar (1986), Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xbarthae</i> Ker.-Nagy | <i>R. zagrabiensis</i> Vuk. et Heinr. Braun ex A. Kern. × <i>R. zalana</i> Wiesb. | S | N / End / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xbelnenensis</i> Ozanom | Syn.: <i>R. xbelgradiensis</i> Pancíć / <i>R. agrestis</i> Savi × <i>R. canina</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2012a), Teski <i>et al.</i> (2017) |
| <i>Rosa xbigeneris</i> Duffort ex Rouy | Syn.: <i>R. xdhibia</i> Wolley-Dod / <i>R. micrantha</i> Borrer ex Sm. × <i>R. rubiginosa</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xhorhiziana</i> Ker.-Nagy | <i>R. canina</i> L. × <i>R. zalana</i> Wiesb. | S | N / End / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xbrunii</i> J. B. Keller | <i>R. spinosissima</i> L. × <i>R. tomentosa</i> Sm. | S | N / SubE / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xbudensis</i> Borbás | <i>R. cæsia</i> Sm. × <i>R. marginata</i> Wallr. | S | N†? / End / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xcoccinea</i> Jacq. | <i>R. corymbifera</i> Borkh. × <i>R. gallica</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xinfesta</i> Kmet ex A. Kern | <i>R. gallica</i> L. × <i>R. inodora</i> Fr. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xkosinisciana</i> Besser | Ortho.: <i>R. kosinskiana</i> Besser / <i>R. canina</i> L. × <i>R. gallica</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa xmatraenensis</i> Borbás | <i>R. arvensis</i> Huds. × <i>R. dumalis</i> Bechst. | S | N / Ntl | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|---|-----|------------------|---|
| <i>Rosa ×polliniana</i> Spreng. | <i>R. arvensis</i> Huds. × <i>R. gallica</i> L. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa ×romanzensis</i> Degen ex Ker.-Nagy | <i>R. gallica</i> L. × <i>R. zaifana</i> Wiesb. | S | N / End / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa ×reversa</i> Waldst. et Kit. | <i>R. pendulina</i> L. × <i>R. spinosissima</i> L. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa ×speciosa</i> Déségl. | <i>R. gallica</i> L. × <i>R. marginata</i> Wallr. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa ×spinulifolia</i> Dematra | <i>R. pendulina</i> L. × <i>R. tomentosa</i> Sm. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa ×terebinthinaea</i> Déségl. | <i>R. gallica</i> L. × <i>R. tomentosa</i> Sm. | S | N / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Rosa ×victoria-hungarorum</i> Botvás | <i>R. dumalis</i> Bechst. × <i>R. gallica</i> L. | S | N / End / Nil | Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) |
| <i>Ruscus</i> L. (Asparagaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Ruscus aculeatus</i> L. ⁷³ | | DS | N | Priszter and Borhidi (1967), Kevay and Bartha (2010b) |
| <i>Ruscus hypoglossum</i> L. | | DS | N | Lelkes (1999) |
| <i>Salix</i> L. nom. cons. (Salicaceae) | | | | Lim <i>et al.</i> (2013) |
| <i>Salix alba</i> L. subsp. <i>alba</i> ⁷⁴ | | T | N / Cult | |
| <i>Salix aurita</i> L. | | S | N | Bodonczi and Havas (1999) |
| <i>Salix babylonica</i> L. var. <i>matsudana</i> (Koidz.) H. Ohashii et Yonek. ⁷⁵ | Bas.: <i>S. matsudana</i> Koidz. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Salix caprea</i> L. | | T-S | N | |
| <i>Salix cinerea</i> L. | | S | N | Korda (2010b) |
| <i>Salix eleagnos</i> Scop. | | S | N | |
| subsp. <i>eleagnos</i> | Ortho.: <i>S. eleagnos</i> Scop. | | | |
| | Syn.: <i>S. incana</i> Schrank | | | |
| <i>Salix exigua</i> I. V. Belyaeva ⁷⁶ | Syn.: <i>S. fragilis</i> auct., non L., nom. utique rej. | T | Cult / Nat / Neo | Christensen and Jonsell (2005), Belyaeva (2009) |
| <i>Salix myrsinifolia</i> Salisb. subsp. <i>myrsinifolia</i> | Syn.: <i>S. nigricans</i> Sm. | S | N† / Nat | Bartha (1998), Király and Böömi (2004) |
| <i>Salix pentandra</i> L. | | T | N | Gensi (1999) |
| <i>Salix purpurea</i> L. subsp. <i>purpurea</i> | | S | N | |
| <i>Salix rosmarinifolia</i> L. subsp. <i>rosmarinifolia</i> | Syn.: <i>S. repens</i> L. var. <i>angustifolia</i> Neirr. | S | N | |
| <i>Salix triandra</i> L. | | T-S | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|-----|---------------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Salix triandra</i> ^{???} | Syn.: <i>S. amygdalina</i> L. | N | N | |
| <i>Salix viminalis</i> L. | | S | N | |
| <i>Salix xalopeurooides</i> Tausch | Syn.: <i>S. ×speciosa</i> Host / <i>S. alba</i> L. × <i>S. euxina</i> L. V. <i>Belyaeva</i> × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | T-S | Cas / Neo / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xheckii</i> Soó ^{??} | <i>S. rosmarinifolia</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xstiffida</i> Wulff | Syn.: <i>S. ×wuchurae</i> A. Kern ex Andersson / <i>S. elegans</i> Scop. × <i>S. purpurea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xboulayi</i> F. Gérard | <i>S. euxina</i> L. V. <i>Belyaeva</i> × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | T-S | Hung? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xcapnooides</i> A. Kern. et Jos. Kern. ex Beck | <i>S. cinerea</i> L. × <i>S. elegans</i> Scop. | S | Hung? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xcapreola</i> Jos. Kern. ex Andersson | <i>S. aurita</i> L. × <i>S. caprea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xstichroa</i> Döll | <i>S. aurita</i> L. × <i>S. purpurea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xparviflora</i> Host ^{??} | <i>S. purpurea</i> L. × <i>S. rosmarinifolia</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xehrhartiana</i> Sm. | <i>S. alba</i> L. × <i>S. pentandra</i> L. | T | Hung? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xerdingeri</i> A. Kern. ^{??} | Syn.: <i>S. ×hungarica</i> A. Kern. / <i>S. caprea</i> L. × <i>S. rosmarinifolia</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xeriophora</i> Borbás | <i>S. cinerea</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xerythroclada</i> Simonk. | <i>S. alba</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | T-S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xfragilis</i> L. ^{sl.} | Syn.: <i>S. decipiens</i> Hoffm., <i>S. ×ruvensis</i> Schrank / <i>S. alba</i> L. × <i>S. euxina</i> L. V. <i>Belyaeva</i> | T | Cult / Nat / Neo / Ntl | Belyaeva (2009) |
| <i>Salix xfruticosa</i> Döll | <i>S. aurita</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | Hung? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xgajeri</i> Polgár | <i>Ortho.</i> : <i>S. ×gajeri</i> Polgár / <i>S. purpurea</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xholosericea</i> Willd. | Syn.: <i>S. ×smithiana</i> auct., non Willd., <i>S. ×geminata</i> J. Forbes / <i>S. cinerea</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xirreflexa</i> Borbás ^{??} | <i>S. cinerea</i> L. × <i>S. rosmarinifolia</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xkernerii</i> Blocki | <i>S. elegans</i> Scop. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | Hung? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xkrausei</i> Andersson | <i>S. aurita</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | S | Hung? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xleiophylla</i> auct., non E. G. et A. Camus | <i>S. purpurea</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | S | Hung? / Ntl | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|---|-----|------------------|---|
| <i>Salix ×tegnitzensis</i> A. Camus et E. G. Camus | <i>S. caprea</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | S | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×margaretae</i> Seemen | <i>S. exotica</i> L. V. Belyaeva × <i>S. purpurea</i> L. | T-S | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×meyeriana</i> Rostk. ex Willd. | Syn.: <i>S. ×inictoria</i> Sm. / <i>S. euxina</i> L. V. Belyaeva × <i>S. pentandra</i> L. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×molliissima</i> Hoffm. ex Elwert | Syn.: <i>S. ×undulata</i> Ehrh. / <i>S. triandra</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×multinervis</i> Döll | <i>S. aurita</i> L. × <i>S. cinerea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×solifolia</i> Vill. | Syn.: <i>S. ×patula</i> A. Kern. ex Andersson / <i>S. caprea</i> L. × <i>S. elegans</i> Scop. | S | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xpseudolina</i> Wender. [§] | Syn.: <i>S. ×blanda</i> Andersson, <i>S. ×elegantissima</i> K. Koch, <i>S. ×sepulcralis</i> Simonk. / <i>S. alba</i> L. × <i>S. babylonica</i> L. × <i>S. euxina</i> L. V. Belyaeva | T | Cult / Neo / Ntl | Belyaeva <i>et al.</i> (2018), Kuzovkina (2015) |
| <i>Salix xpolygoni</i> Soó [§] | Ortho.: <i>S. ×polgári</i> Soó / <i>S. elegans</i> Scop. × <i>S. nosmarinifolia</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix xpontederiana</i> Willd. | Syn.: <i>S. ×sordida</i> A. Kern. / <i>S. cinerea</i> L. × <i>S. purpurea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×reichardtii</i> A. Kern. | <i>S. caprea</i> L. × <i>S. cinerea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×subra</i> Huds. | <i>S. purpurea</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×schumanniana</i> Seemen | <i>S. pentandra</i> L. × <i>S. triandra</i> L. | T-S | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×smithiana</i> Willd. | Syn.: <i>S. ×sericata</i> Tausch ex A. Kern. / <i>S. caprea</i> L. × <i>S. viminalis</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×sonderiana</i> Junge ^{§§} | <i>S. aurita</i> L. × <i>S. rosmarinifolia</i> L. | S | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ×zelenvovskyi</i> Servit | <i>S. alba</i> L. × <i>S. purpurea</i> L. | S | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Salix ximmeriana</i> Gren. et Godr. nom. illeg. ^{§§} | <i>S. caprea</i> L. × <i>S. purpurea</i> L. | S | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Sambucus</i> L. (Viburnaceae) | <i>Sambucus nigra</i> L. | S | N | |
| <i>Sambucus racemosa</i> L. subsp. <i>racemosa</i> | <i>Sambucus racemosa</i> L. subsp. <i>racemosa</i> | S | N | Szmorad (2010) |
| <i>Sorbus</i> L. (Rosaceae) ^{§§} | <i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L. subsp. <i>aucuparia</i> | T | N / Cult / Cas | Sennikov and Kurutto (2017) |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|---|----|------------------|--|
| <i>Spinacia</i> L. (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Spinacia crenata</i> L. subsp. <i>crenata</i> | Syn.: <i>S. crenifolia</i> C. A. Mey. | S | N ⁸⁸ | Bartha (1999h), Bartha <i>et al.</i> (2004), Mohnar <i>et al.</i> (2017) |
| <i>Spinacia media</i> Schmidt subsp. <i>media</i> | Syn.: <i>S. oblongifolia</i> Waldst. et Kit. | S | N | Böömi and Nagy (1999) |
| <i>Spinacia salicifolia</i> L. | Syn.: <i>S. sibirica</i> Raf. | S | C / Cult | Hulják and Kőkény (1999) |
| <i>Staphylea</i> L. (Staphyleaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Staphylea pinnata</i> L. | | S | N | Sousa and Rudd (1993), Pennington <i>et al.</i> (2005) |
| <i>Styphnolobium</i> Schott (Fabaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Styphnolobium japonicum</i> (L.) Schott | Bas.: <i>Sophora japonica</i> L. | T | Cult / Neo | Chen (2008) |
| <i>Syringa</i> L. (Oleaceae) | | | | Zagyvai (2012) |
| <i>Syringa vulgaris</i> L. | | S | Cult / Inv / Neo | |
| <i>Tamarix</i> L. (Tamaricaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Tamarix gallica</i> L. | | S | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Tamarix ramosissima</i> Ledeb. | Syn.: <i>T. odessana</i> Steven ex Bunge, <i>T. pentandra</i> Pall. nom. rej. prop. | S | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Tamarix tetrandra</i> Pall. ex M. Bieb. | | S | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Taxodium</i> Rich. (Cupressaceae) | | | | Adams <i>et al.</i> (2012) |
| <i>Taxodium distichum</i> (L.) Rich. | Bas.: <i>Cupressus disticha</i> L. | T | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Taxus</i> L. (Taxaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Taxus baccata</i> L. | | T | N / Cult / Cas | Tímár (1999a) |
| <i>Thujia</i> L. (Cupressaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Thujia occidentalis</i> L. | | T | Cult / Cas / Neo | |
| <i>Tilia</i> L. (Malvaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill. | Syn.: <i>T. parvifolia</i> Ehrh. ex Hoffm., <i>T. ulmifolia</i> Scop. | T | N | |
| <i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop. nom. cons. subsp. <i>platyphyllos</i> ⁸⁹ | Syn.: <i>T. officinarum</i> auct. | T | | |
| | | N | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|--|----|------------------|--|
| <i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench | Syn.: <i>T. argentea</i> DC., <i>T. petiolaris</i> DC. Syn.: <i>T. ×intermedia</i> DC., <i>T. ×vulgaris</i> Hayne / <i>T. cordata</i> Mill. × <i>T. platyphyllos</i> Scop. subsp. <i>platyphyllos</i> | T | N / Cult | |
| <i>Tilia ×europaea</i> L. | | T | N / Ntl | Piggott and Sell (1995) |
| <i>Tilia ×haynaldiana</i> Simonk. | Syn.: <i>T. ×fueredensis</i> Herm. ex Borbás ⁹⁰ / <i>T. platyphyllus</i> Scop. subsp. <i>platyphyllus</i> × <i>T. tomentosa</i> Moench | T | Cult / Neo / Ntl | |
| <i>Tilia ×jiranyiana</i> Simonk. | Syn.: <i>T. ×hegyesensis</i> Simonk. ⁹¹ / <i>T. cordata</i> Mill. × <i>T. tomentosa</i> Moench | T | Cult / Neo / Ntl | |
| <i>Torminalis</i> Medik. (Rosaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Torminalis glaberrima</i> (Gand.) Sennikov et Kurtto | Bas.: <i>Sorbus glaberrima</i> Gard. / Syn.: <i>S. terminalis</i> (L.) Crantz | T | N | Sennikov and Kurttio (2017) |
| <i>Ulmus</i> L. (Ulmaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Ulmus glabra</i> Huds. | Syn.: <i>U. montana</i> Stokes, <i>U. scabra</i> Mill. | T | N | Börcsök (2004) |
| <i>Ulmus laevis</i> Pall. | Syn.: <i>U. effusa</i> Willd., <i>U. pedunculata</i> Foug. | T | N | Börcsök (2004) |
| <i>Ulmus minor</i> Mill. ⁹² | Syn.: <i>U. canpinifolia</i> Gled., <i>U. filifera</i> Gilib. nom. inval. | T | | Börcsök (2004), Bartolucci and Galasso (2019) |
| subsp. <i>minor</i> | Syn.: <i>U. canescens</i> Melville nom. inval., <i>U. minor</i> Mill. subsp. <i>canescens</i> (Melville) Browicz et Ziel. nom. inval. | | N | |
| | | | Hung.? | |
| <i>Ulmus pumila</i> L. ⁹⁴ | Syn.: <i>U. pinnatoramosa</i> Dieck ex Koehne, <i>U. turkestanica</i> Regel. | T | Cult / Inv / Neo | Börcsök (2004) |
| Sine nomine | <i>U. minor</i> Mill. × <i>U. pumila</i> L. | T | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Brunet <i>et al.</i> (2013) |
| <i>Ulmus ×hollandica</i> Mill. | Syn.: <i>U. ×vegeta</i> (Loudon) Ley / <i>U. glabra</i> Huds. × <i>U. minor</i> Mill. | T | N / Ntl | |
| <i>Vaccinium</i> L. (Ericaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i> L. | | S | Cult / Neo | |
| <i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> L. | | DS | N | |
| <i>Vaccinium oxyccoccos</i> agg. | | | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|---|---|----|------------------|--|
| <i>Vaccinium microcarpum</i> (Turcz. ex Rupr.) Schmalh. | Syn.: <i>Oxycoccus microcarpus</i> Turcz. ex Rupr. | DS | Nat / Neo | Nagy <i>et al.</i> (2017) |
| <i>Vaccinium oxyccos L.</i> subsp. <i>oxyccos</i> | Syn.: <i>Oxycoccus palustris</i> Pers., <i>O. quadripetalus</i> Schinz et Thell. | DS | N | Szmorad and Barabás (1999) |
| <i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea L.</i> subsp. <i>vitis-idaea</i> | <i>V. myrtillus</i> L. × <i>V. vitis-idaea</i> L. subsp. <i>vitis-idaea</i> | DS | Hung.? / Ntl | Hulják (1999a) |
| <i>Vaccinium xintermedium</i> Ruthe | | | | |
| <i>Viburnum L.</i> (Vibraceae) | | | | |
| <i>Viburnum Lantana</i> L. | | S | N | |
| <i>Viburnum opulus</i> L. subsp. <i>opulus</i> | | S | N | Becker (2000) |
| <i>Viscum L.</i> (Santalaceae) | | E | | Varga <i>et al.</i> (2014) |
| <i>Viscum album</i> L. | | | N | |
| subsp. <i>album</i> | | | | |
| subsp. <i>abietis</i> (Wiesb.) Abrom. | Bas.: <i>V. austriacum</i> Wiesb. var. <i>abietis</i> Wiesb. / Syn.: <i>V. laxum</i> Boiss. et Reut. subsp. <i>abietis</i> O. Schwartz | | N | |
| subsp. <i>austriacum</i> (Wiesb.) Yolm. | Bas.: <i>V. austriacum</i> Wiesb. | | N | |
| <i>Vitis L.</i> (Vitaceae) | | | | |
| <i>Vitis aestivalis</i> Michx. | Syn.: <i>V. labrusca</i> L. var. <i>aestivalis</i> (Michx.) Regel | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | Facsar and Udvárdy (2008) |
| <i>Vitis berlandieri</i> Planch. | | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | Terpó (1962b), Facsar and Udvárdy (2008) |
| <i>Vitis cinerea</i> (Engelm.) Millardet | Bas.: <i>V. aestivalis</i> Michx. var. <i>cinerata</i> Engelm. | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | Terpó (1962b), Facsar and Udvárdy (2008) |
| <i>Vitis labrusca</i> L. | | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | Terpó (1962b), Facsar and Udvárdy (2008) |
| <i>Vitis riparia</i> Michx. | Syn.: <i>V. vulpina</i> L. subsp. <i>riparia</i> (Michx.) R. T. Clausen, <i>V. vulpina</i> auct., non L. | L | Cult / Inv / Neo | Facsar and Udvárdy (2008), Hegedűs <i>et al.</i> (1966), Terpó (1962b, 1988) |
| <i>Vitis rupestris</i> Scheele | | L | Cult / Cas / Neo | Terpó (1962b), Facsar and Udvárdy (2008) |
| <i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. | | L | | |

| Taxon | Basionymy / Synonymy / Hybrid parentage | LF | Status | References |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|---|
| subsp. <i>sylvestris</i> (Willd.) Hegi ⁹⁵ | Bas.: <i>V. vinifera</i> L. var. <i>sylvestris</i> Willd. / Syn.: <i>V. sylvestris</i> C. C. Gmel. ⁹⁷ nom. illeg., nom. cons. prop. | N | | Terpó (1962b, 1988) Hegedűs et al. (1966), Kevey and Barthá (2010c), Barthá et al. (2012) |
| subsp. <i>vinifera</i> | | Cult / Cas / Arch | | |
| nothosubsp. <i>kozmae</i> (Terpó) Barthá ⁹⁶ | Bas.: <i>V. ×kozmae</i> Terpó / <i>V. vinifera</i> L. subsp. <i>sylvestris</i> (Willd.) Hegi × <i>V. vinifera</i> L. subsp. <i>vinifera</i> Syn.: <i>V. ×kobaci</i> Ardenghi, Galasso et Banfi / <i>V. riparia</i> Michx. × <i>V. vinifera</i> L. subsp. <i>vinifera</i> | Cas / Arch / Ntl | | Terpó (1988) |
| <i>Vitis ×andrasovszkyana</i> Terpó | | L | Cult / Neo / Art | Ardenghi et al. (2015), Terpó (1988) |
| <i>Vitis ×instabilis</i> Ardenghi, Galasso, Banfi et Lastrucci | <i>V. riparia</i> Michx. × <i>V. rupestris</i> Scheele | L | Cult / Neo / Art | Ardenghi et al. (2014) |
| <i>Vitis ×koberi</i> Ardenghi, Galasso, Banfi et Lastrucci | <i>V. berlandieri</i> Planch. × <i>V. riparia</i> Michx. | L | Cult / Neo / Art | Ardenghi et al. (2014) |
| <i>Vitis ×novae-angliae</i> Fernald ⁹⁸ | <i>V. labrusca</i> L. × <i>V. riparia</i> Michx. | L | Hung.? / Ntl | |
| <i>Vitis ×rathayana</i> Terpó | <i>V. riparia</i> Michx. × <i>V. vinifera</i> L. subsp. <i>sylvestris</i> (Willd.) Hegi | L | Cas / Neo / Ntl | Terpó (1988) |
| <i>Vitis ×riegeri</i> Ardenghi, Galasso, Banfi et Lastrucci | <i>V. berlandieri</i> Planch. × <i>V. rupestris</i> Scheele | L | Cult / Neo / Art | Ardenghi et al. (2014) |
| <i>Yucca</i> L. (Asparagaceae) | | DS | Cult / Nat / Neo | |
| <i>Yucca filamentosa</i> L. | | | | |

Notes

- 1 The taxon *Abies pectinata* Poir. (Encycl. J. Lamarck et al.] 6(2): 523, 1805) was described by Poiret three weeks earlier (!), which is synonymous with *Tsuga canadensis* (L.) Carrrière, so *A. pectinata* (Lam.) DC. (Fl. Franc. [de Candolle et Lamarck], ed. 3, 3: 276, 1805) is homonym.
- 2 Its taxonomic rank is questionable.
- 3 *Acer campestre* L. subsp. *marsicum* (Guss.) Hayek (Bas.: *A. marsicum* Guss.) has questionable infraspecific taxonomic rank and even more so A. c. L. subsp. *hebecarpum* (DC.) Pax (Bas.: *A. c. L.* var. *hebecarpum* (Opiz) Schwer. (Bas.: *A. leiocarpum* Opiz) (Gederen et al. 1994).
- 4 The gender of the genus name was treated as masculine in accordance with tradition (ICN Art. 62.2(c), Turland et al. 2018).
- 5 Previously, subspecies of *Betula pubescens* Ehrh. were described, therefore the taxon lives in Hungary was identified as *Betula pubescens* Ehrh. subsp. *pubescens*. This taxon is now discussed at a lower rank (var. *pubescens*) (Ashburner and McAllister 2016).
- 6 This is a later homonym of *Mespileus coccinea* (L.) Marshall II (Arbust. Amer. 87: 1785).
- 7 According to Gutermann (2011) the original spelling in the protologue is *Crataegus levigata*. According to him this is not an orthographical or typographical error, therefore, the species name must not be corrected to "laevigata". However, a closer look at the original description (Poir., Encycl. J. Lamarck et al.] 4(2): 439, 1798) shows that "levigata" is included as "Crataegus" occurs in the text.

- 8 Kerényi-Nagy (2015) recognised two subspecies without occurrence data in Hungary: 1. subsp. *laevigata*, 2. subsp. *vulgaris* (H. J. Roemer) Baranec.
- 9 Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) also considered the taxon *C. brevispina* Kunze (Syn.: *C. monogyna* Jacq. var. *brevispina* (Kunze) P. D. Sell) to be an independent (micro)species within *Crataegus monogyna* agg., which has a few occurrences in Hungary. A hybrid with *C. monogyna* Jacq. referred as *C. ×javorkae* (Pénzes) Ker.-Nagy, a hybrid with *C. ripiphylla* Gaud. (Syn.: *C. roseiformis* Janka) referred as *C. ×monosterenii* Pénzes ex Ker.-Nagy, a hybrid with *C. laevigata* (Poir.) DC. referred as *C. ×oxystevernii* Pénzes ex Ker.-Nagy.
- 10 Within this aggregate Kerényi-Nagy (2010a, 2015) also discussed the taxon *Crataegus ovalis* Kit. at the species rank, their hybrid with *C. lindmanii* Hrabětová referred as *C. ×orniculata* Hrabětová ex Ker.-Nagy, a hybrid with *C. monogyna* Jacq. referred as *C. ×radnoti-gyarmatii* Ker.-Nagy and a hybrid with *C. laevigata* (Lindm.) Ker.-Nagy referred as *C. ×sudetica* (Hrabětová) Ker.-Nagy.
- 11 Kerényi-Nagy (2015) reported two subspecies of *Crataegus rosiformis* Janka from Hungary: 1. subsp. *rosiformis*, 2. subsp. *cavicepsala* (Lindm.) Ker.-Nagy.
- 12 Presumably *Crataegus nigra* Waldst. et Kit. hybridises with *C. laevigata* (Poir.) DC., but this hybrid has not been found yet.
- 13 Baranec (1986) and Kerényi-Nagy (2015) developed this hybrid as *Crataegus palmistructii* Lindm.
- 14 Nothosubsp. *baranecii* Ker.-Nagy occurs in Hungary according to Kerényi-Nagy (2015).
- 15 According to Kerényi-Nagy (2015) it is a triple hybrid: *C. rosiformis* Janka × *C. lindmanii* Hrabětová × *C. laevigata* (Poir.) DC.
- 16 Kerényi-Nagy (2015) recognised in Hungary three nothosubspecies without specific occurrence data: 1. nothosubsp. *kurtostyla*, 2. nothosubsp. *baksayana* Pénzes ex Ker.-Nagy, 3. nothosubsp. *csapadjae* (Pénzes) Ker.-Nagy.
- 17 This species is at the beginning of the invasion in Hungary (see detailed Bartha 2020).
- 18 It is not distinguished from the species *Falllopia baldschuanica* (Regel) Holub in Hungary.
- 19 It is not distinguished from the species *Falllopia austriacum* (L. Henry) Holub in Hungary.
- 20 Nothosubspecies need to be named and described.
- 21 The author of this genus is not Linnaeus (*Gleditsia* L., Sp. Pl. 2: 1056, 1753), because he cited one year later J. Clayton as the author of the treatment of *Gleditsia* (Gen. Pl. ed. 5, 476, 1754).
- 22 *Hedera hibernica* Poit. was reported as invasive species in Hungary previously (Udvárdy and Bényeiné Himmer 1999).
- 23 This species is at the beginning of the invasion in Hungary (see detailed Bartha 2020).
- 24 There are other subspecies and cultivars in Hungary in cultivation.
- 25 The ranking of infraspecific taxa is different. If the division into subspecies is acceptable, there is a *Juniperus communis* L. subsp. *communis* in Hungary.
- 26 This taxon is an unstabilised hybrid and it is not considered as established species.
- 27 It is believed that the subspecies *Lathyrum angustifolium* Medik. subsp. *alschingeri* (Vis.) Hayek (Bas.: *Cytisus alschingeri* Vis., Sem. Hort. Patav. 3, 1840) living in the South-Eastern Alps occurs in Hungary, but this assumption should be checked.
- 28 The ranking of infraspecific taxa is different. If the division into subspecies is acceptable, there is a *Larix decidua* Mill. subsp. *decidua* and *L. d. Mill. subsp. carpathica* (Domin) Silba (Bas.: *L. d. Mill.* var. *carpathica* Domin) in Hungary planted.

- 29 Nelson (1980) discussed the correct name of this hybrid.
- 30 When *Malus domestica* Borkh. (1803) was proposed as a replacement name for *Pyrus malus* L. (1753), it was superfluous for *Malus communis* Desf. (1798). Oian *et al.* (2010) proposal to treat the name *M. domestica* as a new combination and to conserve it (against *M. pumila*, *M. communis*, *M. frutescens*, and *Pyrus divaricata*) was approved at the International Botanical Congress (Turland *et al.* 2017).
- 31 Czarna described this nothospecies (Czarna *et al.* 2013), type herbarium is stated but no details of type specimen provided (ICN Art. 40.3, Note 2, Turland *et al.* 2018).
- 32 The hybrid does not seem to be known in cultivation and the identity of the wild plants requires confirmation (Stace *et al.* 2016).
- 33 *Paulownia* hybrids of unknown origin are also planted in Hungary.
- 34 Previously other subspecies were introduced to Hungary, but now none of them remains.
- 35 The subspecies rank of *Pinus sylvestris* L. subsp. *pannonica* (Schott) Soó (Bas.: *P. s. L.* "geographical race" *pannonica* Schott, Forstw. Centralblatt 29: 212, 1907) is questionable, this taxon should be considered as *P. s. L.* var. *sylvestris*.
- 36 The origin of this taxon is controversial (see Pilotti *et al.* 2009, Vigouroux *et al.* 1997 versus Grimm and Denk 2010).
- 37 In the case of *P. deltoidea* Marshall (Arbust. Amer. 106, 1785), Marshall referred to W. Bartram's Catalogue (1783), which lists the name *P. deltoidea* (nom. nud.).
- 38 This species is at the beginning of the invasion in Hungary (see detailed Bartha 2020).
- 39 In Hungary cv. Pyramidalis and cv. Thevestina cultivars are also planted.
- 40 In Hungary cv. Meggylevelű cultivar is planted.
- 41 The valid name is *Populus × canadensis* Moench but in the 1950s the International Poplar Committee of the F.A.O. has substituted this name by *P. ×euramericana* (Dode) Guinier (Boon 1956), which is still used in Hungary to this day. (It should be noted that this specioide has nothing to do with Canada, but the species name "euramericana" is a good expression of the place of origin of the two parent species.)
- 42 The valid name is *Populus × generosa* A. Henry but in the 1950s the International Poplar Committee of the F.A.O. has substituted this name by *P. ×interamericana* Brockh., which is still used in Hungary to this day. (The species name "interamericana" is a good expression of the place of origin of the two parent species, while *generosa* = noble species name is misleading because not only this specioide is considered a "noble poplar".)
- 43 In Hungary cv. Favort cultivar is planted.
- 44 The taxon is no longer divided into subspecies. The previously accepted subspecies *Prunus cerasus* L. subsp. *acida* (Ehrh.) Schiibl. et G. Martens (Bas.: *P. acida* Ehrh., Beitr. Naturk. [Ehrhart] 5: 162, 1790) corresponds to the hybrid form *P. ×gonodonta* (Poir. et Turpin) Rehder (Tavaud *et al.* 2004).
- 45 Previously several subspecies have been discussed, which today can only be evaluated at the variety rank. In Hungary *Prunus serotina* Ehrh. var. *serotina* occurs.
- 46 The *Prunus spinosa* L. subsp. *dasyphylla* (Schur) Domík taxon should be discussed in its original rank: *P. s. L.* var. *dasyphylla* Schur.
- 47 It needs to be clarified which subspecies occurs in Hungary.
- 48 This species is at the beginning of the invasion in Hungary (see detailed Bartha 2020).

- 49 Barina and Király (2014) raises doubts about taxonomical status of *Pyrus magyarica* Terpó. The confusions originate from the invalidity of the description and the lack of type material. According to them *P. magyarica* cannot be clearly defined and re-described; thus they propose to leave off the use of the name *P. magyarica* as it has no clear content. It should be noted that the herbaria contain only leafy shoot specimens, without fruits (drawn at most), although the most important species character is the deciduous calyx. It is necessary to investigate whether *P. magyarica* Terpó is different from *P. cordata* Desv.
- 50 In addition to *Pyrus nivalis* Jacq. Terpó (1960), the taxon *P. salviifolia* DC. was recognised as an independent species and hypothesised that *P. ×austricula* A. Kern. of hybrid origin (*P. nivalis* Jacq. × *P. pyraster* (L.) Burgsd. or *P. communis* L.). Other hybrids described by him: *P. ×huszalnizyania* Terpó (*Pyrus pyraster* (L.) Burgsd. × *P. salviifolia* DC.), *P. ×prehonarica* Terpó (*Pyrus ×austricula* A. Kern. × *P. pyraster* (L.) Burgsd.), *P. ×transdanubica* Terpó (*P. pyraster* (L.) Burgsd. × *P. ×austricula* A. Kern.). The fate of the *Pyrus* holotype of Terpó is unknown.
- 51 It should be examined whether subsp. *nivalis* and subsp. *slavonica* (Kit.) Bartha occurs in Hungary.
- 52 The *Pyrus* taxa described by Terpó are without type material.
- 53 By Terpó (1960) as *P. amygdaliformis* Vill.
- 54 *Quercus dalechampii* Ten. (Ind. Sem. Hort. Neap. 15, 1830) is an oak species recognised by numerous authors, but it has an inconsistent taxonomical use depending on any particular author's concept. Typification of *Q. dalechampii* from Di Pietro *et al.* (2012) made this name inapplicable for Central European populations traditionally treated as *Q. dalechampii*. Kučera (2018) replaced the synonym *Q. aurea* Wierzb. ex Kotschy (nom. illeg.) and designated this taxon as *Q. banatus* P. Kučera (nom. nov.). This contradicts of ICN Arts. 6.11. and 41.2. (Turland *et al.* 2018), the valid name is therefore *Q. aurea* (Wierzb.) Kotschy (Eich. Eur. Orient. t. 4., 1858).
- 55 Mátyás (1973a) distinguished five subspecies: 1. subsp. *robur*, 2. subsp. *slavonica* (Gáyer) Mátyás, 3. subsp. *cuneifolia* (Vukot.) Jáv., 4. subsp. *asterotrichia* (Borbás et Csató) Mátyás, subsp. *pilososa* (Schur.) Jáv. The last three taxa are distinguished on the hairiness of the leaves, they do not have an independent distribution area, and therefore, they can only be recognised at a lower rank than the subspecies.
- 56 The placement of Mátyás (1970, 1973a) to a subspecies rank is disputed, but it has an independent area of distribution between the Drava and the Sava, and its differential morphological characters apply to the trunk, bark branches and twigs.
- 57 In Hungary the var. *maxima* Marshall taxon is planted.
- 58 Incorrect spelling for TPL.
- 59 IPNI, TPL and POWO incorrectly refer to it as "széchenyiana", originally used in the protologue (Borbás, Erdész. Lapok 25(12): 993, 1886) "széchenyiana".
- 60 Although Linnaeus (Sp. Pl. 1: 193, 1753) treated *Rhamnus* as masculine and the genus name has -us case ending, its gender is feminine (ICN Art. 62.1. Ex. 1., Turland *et al.* 2018).
- 61 The hybrid – without nothongame – was first published by Zoltán Kárpáti (Kárpáti 1932), then his short communication was presented by Rezső Soó (Soó 1933) with the ex verbius given hybrid name from Kárpáti. Later, Kárpáti (1934) presented the hybrid in detail together with its name and infraspecific units.
- 62 *Rhus typhina* L. (Cent. Pl. II. 14, 1756) has to be reinstated as the correct name, *R. hirta* (L.) Sudw. (Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 19(3): 81., 1892) (Bas.: *Datisca hirta* L., Sp. Pl. 1037, 1753) is a rejected name (ICN App. 5, Wiersma *et al.* 2018).
- 63 Occurrence data of *Rites rubrum* L. and *R. spicatum* E. Robson subsp. *spicatum* in Hungary are mixed.
- 64 Facsar (1993) recognised it as a valid name.
- 65 Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) recognised it as a valid name and endemic species.

- 66 Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) recognised it as a valid name.
- 67 Kerényi (2012c) considers this taxon to be native.
- 68 Previously, two subspecies [*Rosa gallica* L. subsp. *gallica* and *R. g.* L. subsp. *leiostyla* (Gmel.) Soó (Bas.: *R. leiostyla* Gmel.)] were reported from Hungary, which are to be discussed at a lower rank today.
- 69 Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) recognised it as a valid name.
- 70 Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) recognised it as a valid name.
- 71 Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) reported two subspecies [*Rosa spinosissima* L. subsp. *spinosissima* and *R. s.* L. subsp. *pimpinellifolia* (L.) Soó] whose taxonomic ranks are questionable.
- 72 Kerényi-Nagy (2010b, 2012a) recognised it as a valid name.
- 73 Priszter and Borhidi (1967) distinguished a subspecies *Ruscus aculeatus* L. subsp. *angustifolius* (Boiss.) Borhidi et Priszter (Bas.: *R. a.* L. var. *angustifolius* Boiss.) whose taxonomic rank is questionable due to the lack of an independent distribution area.
- 74 Several cultivars are grown in Hungary (see Kuzovkina 2015).
- 75 In Hungary the cv. Tortuosa is planted.
- 76 The name *Salix fragilis* L. has been used for a species distributed from British Isles throughout Europe to Transcaucasus and northern Turkey for quite a long time. In much of this area it has been introduced by cultivation and subsequently naturalised (Christensen and Jonsell 2005). Because *S. fragilis* L. is a rejected name (nom. utique rej.), Belyaeva (2009) described a willow taxon with breaking branchlets, greenish branches, glabrous buds and above glabrous juvenile leaves as *S. euxina* I. V. Belyaeva and reported as native only to the mountains of Asia Minor and southern Georgia. *S. euxina* I. V. Belyaeva can only be considered as established in Europe, which often hybridises with *S. alba* L. (See *S. xfragilis* L.).
- 77 The subspecies previously indicated for Hungary [*Salix triandra* L. subsp. *discolor* Arcang. (Syn.: *S. t.* L. subsp. *amygdalina* (L.) Schübl. et G. Martens)] is now considered only as a synonym.
- 78 Non *Salix ×friesiana* Andersson (*S. repens* L. × *S. viminalis* L.).
- 79 Non *Salix ×doniana* Sm. (*S. purpurea* L. × *S. repens* L.).
- 80 Non *Salix ×laschiana* Zahn (*S. caprea* L. × *S. repens* L.).
- 81 *Salix fragilis* L. (Sp. Pl. 2: 1017a, 1753) is a superfluous name. The specimen in the Linnaean herbarium, to which Linnaeus linked his description is original material but is a specimen of *Salix pentandra* L. Christensen and Jonsell (2005) proposed to conserve the name *Salix fragilis* L. (nom. cons), with a conserved type, and a hybrid of *Salix alba* L. and *S. fragilis* L. using the name *S. ×rubens* Schrank (Baier, Fl. 1: 226–227, 1789). Belyaeva (2009) lectotypified *Salix ×fragilis* L., therefore *S. ×fragilis* Hoffm. (Hist. Salic. III. 2: 9, 1791) and *S. ×rubens* Schrank are confirmed as synonyms of *S. ×fragilis* L. So *Salix ×fragilis* L. is a hybrid of *S. alba* L. and *S. euxina* I. V. Belyaeva (see below).
- 82 Non *Salix ×subsericea* Döll (*S. cinerea* L. × *S. repens* L.).
- 83 Many cultivars of nothospecies “weeping willow” are grown in Hungary (see detailed in Belyaeva *et al.* 2018).
- 84 Non *Salix ×subalpina* Forbes (*S. elegans* Scop. × *S. repens* L.).
- 85 Non *Salix ×ambigua* Ehrh. (Syn.: *S. ×spathulata* Willd.) (*S. aurita* L. × *S. repens* L.).

- 86 Possibly a later homonym of the fossil name *Salix wimmeriana* Goepf. (Tert. Fl. Schlossnitz 26, 1855), the date of publication is no exact.
- 87 The genus *Sorbus* s. l. was divided into several genera (e.g. *Aria*, *Cormus*, *Hechtlinia*, *Karpatsorbus*, *Torminalis*) by Semnikov and Kurto (2017), which is also followed by this checklist.
- 88 In the last twenty years, surviving specimens have been found in several cemeteries of the Hungarian Great Plain (Molnár *et al.* 2017).
- 89 Previously reported subspecies [*Tilia platyphyllos* Scop. subsp. *pseudorubra* C. K. Schneider, *T. p.* Scop. subsp. *cordifolia* (Besser) C. K. Schneider. (Bas.: *T. cordifolia* Besser, Synt.: *T. grandifolia* Ehrlh. ex W. D. J. Koch nom. illeg.), *T. p.* Scop. subsp. *rubra* (DC.) Soó in Soó *et al.* (Bas.: *T. rubra* DC.) *T. p.* Scop. subsp. *caucasica* (Kupr.) V. Engl. (Bas.: *T. caucasica* Rupr.)] do not have an independent distribution area and should therefore be assessed at a lower taxonomic rank. The last two taxa were only planted in Hungary.
- 90 IPNI, TPL and POWO erroneously include *furedensis* instead of *fuerdensis* (Ortho.: *fuerdensis*).
- 91 IPNI, TPL and POWO erroneously include *heggyensis* instead of *hegysensis*.
- 92 English elm (*Ulmus procera* Salisb., Prodri. Stirp. Chap. Allerton 391, 1796) contrary to previous data (e.g. Börcsök 2004) does not occur in Hungary (Gil *et al.* 2004), the taxon believed to be this species is *U. minor* Mill. var. *vulgaris* (Aiton) R. H. Richens (Taxon 26(5–6): 583, 1977) (Bas.: *U. campestris* L. var. *vulgaris* Aiton, Hort. Kew. 1: 319, 1789) (Richens 1977).
- 93 The name *Ulmus canescens* Melville was not validly published by Melville (Kew Bull. 12(3): 499, 1958), because three gatherings, from the same place but on different dates, were cited as holotype (ICN Arts. 8. and 40, Turland *et al.* 2018). Currently, *Ulmus canescens* Melville is treated at subspecific rank under the invalid combination *U. minor* Mill. subsp. *canescens* (Melville) Browicz et Ziel. (Arbor. Kornickie 22: 320, 1977). Bartolucci and Galasso (2019) proposed a new subspecies based on Melville's description and designated a single specimen as holotype within the original material cited by Melville (ICN Art. 46.4, Turland *et al.* 2018).
- 94 In Hungary the tree shape variety (var. *arborea* Litw.) is planted. Green (1964) discarded this variety name, and nowadays they do not distinguish infraspecific units within this species.
- 95 The name *Vitis sylvestris* C. C. Gmel. (Fl. Bad. 1: 543, 1805) is illegitimate, being a later homonym of *V. sylvestris* W. Bartram (Med. Repos. ser. 2: 21, 23, 1804) (ICN Art. 53.1, Turland *et al.* 2018). [W. Bartram published *V. americana*, *V. occidentalis* and *V. sylvestris* as alternative names for what then known as common blue grape or bunch grape. *Vitis sylvestris* W. Bartram should be considered a synonym for *V. vulpina* L. (Sp. Pl. 1: 203, 1753)]. Therefore, the name of Hegi (*V. vinifera* L. subsp. *sylvestris* Hegi, Ill. Fl. Mitt.-Eur. 5(1): 364, 1925) has to be regarded not as a new combination but as a new name at a new rank (ICN Art. 58.1, Turland *et al.* 2018). Nowadays Ferrer-Gallego *et al.* (2019) proposed to conserve the name *Vitis sylvestris* C. C. Gmel. against *V. sylvestris* W. Bartram, and a neotype has been selected according to Art. 9.8 of the ICN (Turland *et al.* 2018). It is questionable that according to Ardenghi *et al.* (2014) the spelling of Hegi (as subsp. *sylvestris*) should be accepted. It would be more fortunate to regard *V. vinifera* L. (Sp. Pl. 1: 202, 1753) as a specioïd, *V. sylvestris* C. C. Gmel. as a species, and to consider the latter not as a wild subspecies (of the former, but as an independent species). The occurrence of *V. sylvestris* in the Carpathian Basin can be traced back to the Neolithic, based on archeobotanical evidence (Gyulai and Gyulai 2009).
- 96 Designated here, comb. et stat. nov.! While Terpó (1988, p. 11) discussed *Vitis sylvestris* C. C. Gmel. and *V. vinifera* L. at the species rank, due to their current perception as a subspecies, it is necessary to give their hybrid a new name combination and taxonomic rank (ICN Arts. 58.1, H.2.1. and H.3.1, Turland *et al.* 2018).
- 97 Terpó (1988, p. 13) recognised three subspecies of *Vitis sylvestris* C. C. Gmel. based on shoot hairiness: 1. subsp. *sylvestris*, 2. subsp. *trichophylla* (Kolen.) Vassilcz., 3. subsp. *ponitii* (Iw. Kow.) Terpó, which taxonomic rank is highly questionable.
- 98 This nothospecies is presumed hybrid between *Vitis labrusca* L. and *V. riparia* Michx.