COMMENTARY

Gambling Features and Monetization in Video Games Create Challenges for Young People, Families, and Clinicians

Orsolya Király, PhD, Jasmine Zhang, BSc, Zsolt Demetrovics, PhD, DSc, Dillon T. Browne, PhD

ideo gaming (also known as computer gaming or electronic gaming) has stirred scientific interest since its widespread adoption as a recreational activity in the 1980s. Initial research focused on the negative consequences of violent content and the development of excessive or addictive use by players. Based on evidence showing that some players develop clinically significant addiction-like symptoms such as loss of control and impaired individual, social, and occupational functioning, Gaming Disorder has been recognized as an official diagnosis in the *International Classification of Diseases*, 11th edition (ICD-11).² However, recent changes in video games have again produced qualitatively new problems.

A crucial innovation is the introduction of digital purchase options in video games, called microtransactions.³ These mechanisms allow players to purchase virtual goods within games, usually for small amounts of money. In addition, gambling-like features have become increasingly integrated into video games as microtransactions. Of particular concern is the "loot box," an in-game consumable virtual item that can be purchased with real money or obtained in-game as a reward and comprises a random selection of further virtual items with a low probability for desired ones. Although officially these virtual items hold no real-world monetary value, desired items provide players with competitive advantages or are highly praised within the gaming community because of their rare status, thereby increasing the social status of their owner. Microtransactions have raised concerns because of the financial costs incurred by children and adolescents, whereas gambling mechanisms such as loot boxes have generated heated debate because these features evade regulations and, according to certain scholars, permit and promote underage gambling.⁴ As a consequence of this debate, several countries have taken regulatory action. For instance, Belgium recently banned

loot boxes on the basis that they violated gambling legislation. Self-regulatory authorities in the North American and European video gaming industries have also introduced notices to inform players about the presence of paid random items. However, the ever-changing gaming sector generates significant revenue from gambling elements in video games and may thus be motivated to evade legislation to boost profit margins.

These structural changes bring about novel challenges for parents and families, as well as clinicians. Media reports of children who "get hooked" and incur excessive costs in video games without parental permission have increased drastically since the rise of in-game monetization. Research investigating adult samples consistently shows that those who spend large amounts in games are mostly players with gambling problems. Moreover, loot boxes in video games may act as a gateway to problem gambling, particularly in young people, although this hypothesis needs further testing.

Before the popularization of microtransactions, realworld loot boxes such as collectible cards have prompted similar concerns regarding addictive properties. However, inconsistent findings and a lack of research on the gambling mechanism behind trading cards have resulted in limited success in enforcing regulations on sales and advertisements. This highlights the need for ongoing research efforts surrounding digitized loot boxes and other gambling mechanisms in video games, particularly as they may be more pervasive and accessible for vulnerable groups. To facilitate regulations, large-scale, international, representative studies are needed to generate knowledge on the prevalence, predictors, and health consequences of children incurring unwanted charges within video games to their parents. If in-game purchases become increasingly common expenses for households, it is necessary to quantify not only whether but also how, when, and why spending becomes undesirable or problematic. Moreover, the putative consequences of this phenomenon on families must be explored, which could include parental stress, poorer parent—child relationship quality, and family conflict, in addition to tangible financial burdens, especially for those with pre-existing economic hardship. Protective factors (eg, parental knowledge of children's gameplay) also represent an important research focus.

Unwanted spending by children within video games has been minimized, even by professionals, as some studies suggest that most children do not spend large amounts of money on microtransactions and loot boxes. 10 This premise, however, opposes a basic principle of clinical epidemiology, whereby clinically evident problems typically apply to a minority of the exposed population, yet still carry a substantial burden to those who are affected and therefore to society at large. In addition, even if parents can afford a child's microtransactions, these may still be problematic from a developmental perspective if unwanted purchases are not discovered and early problematic behaviors are not addressed by parents. As pediatric clinicians regularly field family complaints surrounding the appropriate management of children's unwanted spending within games, there is an urgent need to develop, disseminate, and evaluate clinical strategies to support families who present with these complaints. 11 In severe cases, unwanted spending may indicate substantial mental health problems such as early-onset gambling disorder, which is likely to persist and intensify throughout adulthood, resulting in negative impact across all areas of life (personal, social, occupational, recreational). Conflicts surrounding children's excessive in-game spending may also result in significant disruptions within families, which elevates the risk of poor mental health outcomes. Beyond supporting the well-being of individual children and adolescents, pediatric mental health clinicians may direct parents in recognizing, understanding, and managing the potential harms associated with exposure to loot boxes and other in-game gambling mechanisms.

Unfortunately, we have good reason to believe that the gaming industry is intentionally using predatory techniques to increase profits.³ When it comes to in-app purchases, the gaming industry appears to target individuals with lower self-regulation, making children especially vulnerable.¹⁰ Given that the family context plays a fundamental role in helping children to develop self-regulation, clinical strategies will necessarily be family centered. Moreover, from a public health perspective, parents must develop an understanding of children's exposure to microtransactions, as this is critical to

making informed decisions for regulating children's in-game spending habits. This further forms the basis for scaffolding the self-regulation and limits necessary to prevent problematic spending and potentially to promote financial responsibility.

To effectively address the above challenges, researchers must consider the characteristics of in-app purchases and gambling features, including how these mechanisms work, how children get drawn in, and who are most vulnerable. Parental knowledge represents another key area of study, as this will facilitate effective prevention methods. In addition, advocates and policymakers must attempt to hold the gaming industry accountable and act in a socially responsible manner to advocate for the welfare of children and families, and the sustainability of responsible gaming. This will subsequently promote much-needed guidelines regarding in-game purchases and gambling activities similar to those developed to help parents regulate their children's Internet use and screen time. 13,14

Accepted December 8, 2021.

Dr. Orsolya Király is affiliated with the Institute of Psychology at ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary. Ms. Jasmine Zhang and Dr. Dillon Browne are affiliated with the University of Waterloo in Waterloo, Canada. Prof. Zsolt Demetrovics is affiliated with the Centre of Excellence in Responsible Gaming at the University of Gibraltar, Gibraltar and the Institute of Psychology at ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

Dr. Király and Ms. Zhang contributed equally to this work.

Zsolt Demetrovics' contribution was supported by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (KKP126835; K128614; K134807). Orsolya Király was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the ÚNKP-21-5 New National Excelence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund.

Author Contributions

All authors took an equal part in conceptualization and planning. O.K. and J.Z. wrote the first draft. All authors commented and revised the manuscript, read, and approved the submitted version. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Disclosure: Prof. Demetrovics' university (ELTE Eötvös Loránd) has received funding from Szerencsejáték Ltd. to maintain a telephone helpline service for problematic gambling. Prof. Demetrovics has been involved in research on responsible gambling funded by Szerencsejáték Ltd. and the Gambling Supervision Board and has provided educational materials for Szerencsejáték Ltd's responsible gambling program. The University of Gibraltar has received funding from the Gibraltar Gambling Care Foundation. However, these fundings are not related to this study, and the funding institution had no role or any influence on this publication. Prof. Demetrovics has been member of a World Health Organization (WHO) advisory group on the public health consequences of addictive behaviors. In this capacity he has been eligible for travel support from the WHO or the host center to attend advisory group meetings but has not been remunerated for his work. Drs. Király and Browne and Ms. Zhang have reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Correspondence to Zsolt Demetrovics, PhD, DSc, Centre of Excellence in Responsible Gaming, University of Gibraltar, Europa Point Campus, GX11 1AA, Gibraltar, Gibraltar; e-mail: zsolt.demetrovics@unigib.edu.gi

0890-8567/\$36.00/©2021 American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2021.12.003

REFERENCES

- Higuchi S, Nakayama H, Matsuzaki T, Mihara S, Kitayuguchi T. Application of the eleventh revision of the International Classification of Diseases gaming disorder criteria to treatment-seeking patients: comparison with the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Internet gaming disorder criteria. J Behav Addict. 2021;10:149-158. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00099
- World Health Organization. ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics. 2019. Accessed August 10, 2021. https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en
- King DL, Delfabbro PH. Predatory monetization schemes in video games (eg 'loot boxes') and Internet gaming disorder. Addiction. 2018;113:1967-1969. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/add.14286
- Drummond A, Sauer JD. Video game loot boxes are psychologically akin to gambling. Nat Hum Behav. 2018;2:530-532. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0360-1
- BBC. Video game loot boxes declared illegal under Belgium gambling laws. 2018; https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-43906306 Accessed December 27, 2021.
- Pan European Game Information (PEGI). PEGI introduces notice to inform about presence of paid random items. 2020; https://pegi.info/news/pegi-introduces-feature-notice Accessed December 27, 2021.
- New York Post. This 6-year-old racked up over \$16K on his mom's credit card. 2021; https:// nypost.com/2020/12/12/this-6-year-old-racked-up-over-16k-on-his-moms-credit-card/ Accessed December 27, 2021.
- Zendle D, Cairns P, Barnett H, McCall C. Paying for loot boxes is linked to problem gambling, regardless of specific features like cash-out and pay-to-win. Comput Hum Behav. 2020;102:181-191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.07.003

- Craddock KD. The Cardstock Chase, trading cards: a legal lottery? Gaming Law Review. 2004;8:310-317. https://doi.org/10.1089/glr.2004.8.310
- Dreier M, Wölfling K, Duven E, Giralt S, Beutel ME, Müller KW. Free-to-play: about addicted Whales, at risk Dolphins and healthy Minnows. Monetarization design and Internet gaming disorder. Addict Behav. 2017;64:328-333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. addbeh.2016.03.008
- Browne D, Thompson DA, Madigan S. Digital media use in children: clinical vs scientific responsibilities. JAMA Pediatr. 2020;174:111-112. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.4559
- King DL, Delfabbro PH. Video game monetization (eg,'loot boxes'): a blueprint for practical social responsibility measures. Int J Ment Health Addict. 2019;17:166-179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-018-0009-3
- American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. Screen time and children. Updated February 2020. Accessed August 10, 2021. https://www.aacap.org/AACAP/Families_ and_Youth/Facts_for_Families/FFF-Guide/Children-And-Watching-TV-054.aspx
- Király O, Potenza MN, Stein DJ, et al. Preventing problematic Internet use during the COVID-19 pandemic: consensus guidance. Compr Psychiatry. 2020;100. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2020.152180

All statements expressed in this column are those of the authors and do not reflect the opinions of the *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.* See the Guide for Authors for information about the preparation and submission of Commentaries.