



Correction to: Fracture resistance and marginal gap formation of post-core restorations: influence of different fiber-reinforced composites

Márk Fráter¹ · Lippo Lassila² · Gábor Braunitzer³ · Pekka K. Vallittu^{2,4} · Sufyan Garoushi²

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Clinical Oral Investigations

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-019-02902-3>

The authors regret that the tested groups in Fig. 4 were not in the right order and this mistake is only present in Fig. 4 (microhardness results). This does not influence the discussion or the conclusion of the study.

Please find below corrected Fig. 4 in order to aid the interpretation of microhardness results.

In results part, page 270, last paragraph: group 4 should be group 5. In discussion part, page 273, 2nd line on the right column: group 5 should be group 4.

The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience caused.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-019-02902-3>

✉ Sufyan Garoushi
sufgar@utu.fi


¹ Department of Operative and Esthetic Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

² Department of Biomaterials Science and Turku Clinical Biomaterials Center - TCBC Institute of Dentistry, University of Turku, Itäinen Pitkätatu 4 B, FI-20520 Turku, Finland

³ dicomLAB Dental Ltd., Szeged, Hungary

⁴ City of Turku Welfare Division, Oral Health Care, Turku, Finland

Fig. 4 Microhardness (VH) mean values for resin composites at the top (coronal) and bottom (apical) parts of the root canal. Arrows above the columns indicate VH of these groups dropped below 80% of the coronal part values. Vertical lines represent standard deviation



Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.