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In the fourth part of this series of papers the authors investigate the way how the Khitan Small Script rendered the dental stops in initial position. They conclude that the main opposition was between the postaspirated and not postaspirated dental stops.
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In this paper ${ }^{2}$ we continue our former investigations ${ }^{3}$ on the Khitan Small Script (KSS). To begin, we accept the readings of Chinggeltei, Wu Yingzhe and Jiruhe (2017, herafter CWJ) as they are presented in their recently published three-volume monograph. However, we also include the significant earlier readings and comments on cases where the readings of CWJ are-or may be-problematic. Our procedure is the same as in our earlier papers. First we give examples of the Khitan transcription in KSS of Chinese words (titles, personal names, geographical names). This is followed by Chinese loanwords in Khitan and finally some examples are introduced from the Khitan original lexical stock.

[^0]
## Glyphs with Initial＜D＞

There exist three glyphs for $<\mathrm{d}>$ ：㿽（254），$<\mathrm{d}_{2}>$ 出（350）and $<\mathrm{d}_{3}>$ 九（089）．Glyph （350）is an alloglyph of（254），nevertheless，CWJ did not give a reading．It is clear， however，from similar cases，that 査（350）should be read as $\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{2}\right\rangle$ ．Similar pairs are， among others：＜e＞夯（109）and 爸（348），＜en＞与（100）and 尚（361），＜ge＞厺（112） and 出（349）．The glyph（089）was read by $\mathrm{WJ}^{4}$ as $<\mathrm{zu}>$ with question mark，and as ＜de＞by Aisin Gioro（2012）．

The glyphs for $<\mathrm{da}>$ are 久（171），久（215）and $<\mathrm{da}_{3}>$ 六（214）．The glyphs （171）and（215），as it was already remarked by WJ，are identical，and the second is already absent from CWJ．The glyph（214）is transcribed as $\left\langle\mathrm{da}_{3}\right\rangle$ which should be $\left.<\mathrm{da}_{2}\right\rangle$ ，but for the time being we will not change the Romanisation．

The glyph for＜dai＞is 雨（017），the one for＜dau＞is 尺（119）．The glyph 小 （294）was known as the logograph for＇SOUTH＇，and is now read as＜dele＞．This is one of the very few glyphs which represent a bisyllable ${ }^{5}$ ．

For $<$ deu $>$ there existed four glyphs：㔹（072），与（101），冯（361．1）and 万 （129）．古（072）is now read by CWJ as $<$ dor $_{2}>$ ，the glyph 与（101）as $<$ deu $>$ and 冶 （361．1）as $<\mathrm{deu}_{2}>$ ．The glyph 万（129）was renumbered as $<129.1>$ and has no read－ ing in CWJ．

The syllable $<$ di＞is represented by 王（037），the syllable $<$ do＞by $\boldsymbol{5}$（091） $<\mathrm{do}_{2}>$ and 朱（217）．The glyph $尸$ is the logograph for＇SEVEN＇and read as $<$ dol＞． As we have seen，古（072）was read by CWJ as $<$ dor $_{2}>$ and is the logograph for ＇EAST＇．There exists another logograph $\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{5}$（218）with the meaning＇SEAL＇and read by CWJ now as $<$ dor $>$ ．

There exist more glyphs for $<$ du＞：化（179）＜dú＞，们（237）＜du＞，分（249） $<\mathrm{dū}>$ ，用（304）$<\mathrm{du}_{2}>$ ，（304）was formerly $<\mathrm{go}_{2}>$ ．

The glyph $\ddagger$ had earlier the number（406）and was suggested to be read as ＜tum ${ }^{0}>$ ，CWJ renumbered it as（310．1）and read it as＜dus＞．According to Index，it occurs only once as initial in the inscription Gu25－17 in the word $<$ dus．qo $>$ ．The con－ text is：

Yaya ai dus．$q 0$ Taai Du Ngierie094 cier 斗幺中丹カ令夹头化炎头炎文玄 れ炎（335．274．122 310．1． 168 247．011．339 264．339．341．327．094 162．341）＜ia．iá ai dus．qo t．ai．i dú ng．i．er．ie． 094 ci．er＞．（Gu25－17）．The expression＜ia．iá ai＞occurs in the name of the person who wrote＜cier＞the Gu Inscription．On p． 1022 CWJ glossed the word as xiongzi 兄子＇the son of the brother＇，the term＜ia．iá ai＞occurs once more in Jue26－66 where it is glossed on p． 1549 as xiongdi 兄弟＇elder and younger brother， sibling＇．This term of relationship occurs also in the form 斗幺全（335．274．197）${ }^{6}$ $<$ ia．iá $\mathrm{ai}_{2}>$ in the following places：Di8－16，Tu26－9，Liang16－1．In our case especially Tu26－9 is of relevance．The expression also occurs here in the name of the person who wrote the Tu inscription．It runs as＜ia．iá $\mathrm{ai}_{2}$ b．qo Ia．LUCK．ń ci．er＞寸幺条丹カシ

[^1]参伏れ公（335．274．197311．168 277222 162．341）．Here we have＜ia．iá ai $i_{2}$ b．qo＞ and it is clear that $丹$（310．1）stands in Gu25－17 for or is here a miswriting of $\boldsymbol{母}$ $(311)<b>$ ．On the photo（p．657）the glyph is well readable and the dot is absent．The word $\langle$ b．qo＞is well known，it is one of the most frequent words in the corpus and occurs 442 times．It has the meaning＇son＇．Thus we have to read here ai baqo，＇son of the elder brother，the nephew＇．In other cases，however，the reading＜dus＞for 丹 （310．1）may be correct．

The word＜ia－iá＞occurs in plural as＜ia．iá．ń．er＞in the inscriptons Nan6－7， Zhi10－26，Han10－6，Hui7－1．

Finally，モ（369）is the logograph for＇FOUR＇and is read by CWJ as＜dur＞．

## Glyphs with Initial＜T＞

The very frequent glyph for $\langle\mathfrak{t}\rangle$ is 今（247）with an alloglyph 今（260）$\left.<\mathrm{t}_{2}\right\rangle$ ．The lat－ ter occurs as initial only seven times，out of which as part of $<t_{2}$ ．ur $>$ five times，and seems to be practically identical with（247）．

The glyph for＜ta＞is：仍（229）and the glyph 仍（234）may be an alloglyph， but for（234）CWJ gives no reading．

The glyph for＜tai＞is 胥（374）．The glyphs 毛（029）and 我（030）are read as ＜tau＞；the second is a dotted alloglyph，and both are logographs for＇FIVE＇．The dot is an indicator of masculine gender．

For＜te＞we find $\square$（323），formerly read as $<\mathrm{qi}>$ and 尘 $<\mathrm{te}_{2}>$（356）；finally， for＜tir＞we find 卉（174）．

Dentals occur in transcriptions of Chinese words，for instance，in the following cases：

Khitan Transcriptions of Chinese Dental Initials

| Romani－ sation | Glyph | Chinese | LMCh | EMCh ${ }^{7}$ | LCh | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＜d．ie．ēn＞ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 分文方 } \\ & (254.327 .073) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | dian 殿＇rear of the army＇ | tian | $t \varepsilon n$ | tien | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} 262,7.009, \\ & 7.010^{8} \end{aligned}$ |
| ＜t．ie．ēn＞ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 令文寺 } \\ & (247.327 .073) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | t＇ien | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \mathrm{K} 262, \\ 7.017^{9} \end{array}$ |
| ＜d．ém＞ | 爷조（254．270） | dian 點 ＇point＇ | tiam | tcm | tem | K262： $7011{ }^{10}$ |

[^2]| Romani－ sation | Glyph | Chinese | LMCh | EMCh | LCh | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＜du＞ | 们（237） | $d u$ 都＇capi－ tal，chief＇ | tuă | $t 3$ | tu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K262: 7.009, } \\ & 7.011 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & <\text { tu> in } \\ & <\text { sï tu }> \end{aligned}$ | 进分（083．202） | $t u$ 徒 ${ }^{11}$ | tโиว | do | $t^{\prime} u$ | DiX19-10/11, <br> Xiang24－26 |
| ＜tu．un＞ | 分火（202．345） | tong 統 ${ }^{12}$ | $t^{h}$ วwn | $t^{h} a w \eta$ | t＇uy | DiX10－13／14 |
| ＜t．un＞ | 今 ※（247．345） | tong 通 ＇open＇ | $t^{h}$ วwn | $t^{h} \partial w \eta$ | t＇uy | K64 |
| ＜d＞ | 釜（254） | $d a i \text { 大 ‘big, }$ great＇ | thaj <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  | daj | taj | Gu6－30 |
| ＜d．ai＞ | 釜キ（254．122） |  |  |  |  | Jue10－43 |
| ＜da．ai＞ | 久キ（171．122） |  |  |  |  | Dao2－11，Xuan2－ <br> 11，Zhong19－ <br> 35，Xu11－10， <br> Zhen22－16，23－ <br> 6，46－10，Wu5－ <br> 27 |
| ＜da．ai．i＞ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 久キ米 } \\ \text { (171.122.339) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | K263，7．021 ${ }^{13}$ ， |
| $<$ d．ai．i＞ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 容キ天 } \\ & (254.122 .339) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K264, } \\ & 7.062^{14} \end{aligned}$ |
| $<$ t．ai＞ | 令キ（247．122） | $t a i$ 太 ＇great＇ | $t^{h} a j$ | $t^{h} a j$ | $t^{\prime} a j$ | Yu59－53， Yong16－18， Cha20－20 |
| ＜t．ai．i＞． | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 令キ达 } \\ \text { (247.122.339) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gu25-18, Yu41- } \\ & \text { 6, Gung14-23, } \\ & \text { 33-21, Hong2- } \\ & 27, \text { Nu15-18, } \\ & \text { Di4-35, Qing22- } \\ & 22,22-40 \\ & \text { DiX13-26 } \end{aligned}$ |
| ＜tai＞ | 㶪（374） |  |  |  |  | K264， 7.051 361 times in the Index |
| ＜tai＞ | 㶪（374） | $t a i$ 泰 $^{15}$ | $t^{h} a j$ | $t^{h} a j$ | t＇aj | DiX20－1 |

${ }^{11}$ In：situ 司徒＇master of the foot soldiers＇．
${ }^{12}$ In：tongjun shixiang 統軍使相＇military governor＇
${ }^{13}$ Zhong21－5．
${ }^{14}$ Zhong27－11，Tu9－12，Jue34－35．
${ }^{15}$ In the name of the Taizhou prefecture，＇great，excessive＇．

| Romani－ sation | Glyph | Chinese | LMCh | EMCh | LCh | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＜dau＞ | 欠（119） | dao 道 ${ }^{16}$ | thaw | daw | tau | K49 |
| ＜tau＞ | も（029） | tao 討 ${ }^{17}$ | $t^{h} a w$ | $t^{h} a w$ | t＇aw | DiX5－5 |
| ＜d．ey＞ | 㿽万（254．020） | $\begin{aligned} & d e \text { 德‘vir- } \\ & \text { tue, } 18 \end{aligned}$ | təăk | tak | tej | 16 times， among them： Xiang3－19 |
| ＜t．ey＞ | 令万（247．020） |  |  |  |  | K64，ten times |

As a rule Khitan $<\mathrm{d}->$ transcribes a non－aspirated Chinese dental stop and $<\mathfrak{t}>$ a post－ aspirated dental stop．But where we have enough material，Khitan $<\mathrm{t}>$ and $<\mathrm{d}>$ are almost in free variation．The Chinese originals of the Khitan transcriptions in the case of dai 大 and tai 太 are not always clear and we plan to deal with them in a separate paper．

## Khitan Words with Initial d－

The glyphs $<\mathrm{d}>$ 㿽（254）and $<\mathrm{d}_{2}>$ 灮（350）are heteroglyphs．The first occurs in a few Khitan words as：
－dur モ（368）＇four＇｜Mo dörben，Da durube＇four＇，DaE durb＇，durbun．The word occurs as an ordinal number with another writing：
＊durer 倠化公（254．236．341）＜d．ur．er＞＇fourth，masc．＇（Zhong6－45，Xu49－11 Zhuo9－2，Zhi8－19，Jue32－36，in Hai4－13＜d．ur．oi＞has to be read as＜d．ur．er＞）， and
＊turer 令化公（247．236．341）＜t．ur．er＞＇id．＇ $26 \times$ in the Index
＊duren 父化尚（254．236．361）＜d．ur．én＞＇fourth，fem．＇（Hu52－30，Zhi12－22）
＊turen 今化当 $(247.236 .131)<$ t．ur．én＞＇id．＇ $7 \times$ in the Index．
A form
＊turug 令化 又（247．236．172）＜t．ur．uh＞occurs only once in Yu66－33．
The heteroglyph $<\mathrm{d}_{2}>$ 灻（350）is rare and occurs，according to Index，only in the words $<\mathrm{d}_{2}$ ．bir $>(2 \times),<\mathrm{d}_{2}$ ．bi． $\mathrm{r}_{2}>$ and $<\mathrm{d}_{2}$ ．u．ji $>$ ．

The three glyphs for $<\mathrm{da}>$ are：$<\mathrm{da}>$ 久（171），$<\mathrm{da}_{2}>$ 六 $(214),<\mathrm{da}>$ 久（215）${ }^{19}$ which all look like alloglyphs．Some words with＜da＞久（171）：
－dalu 六丹＜ $\mathrm{da}_{3} . \mathrm{lu}_{3}>$（214．312）＇seven＇（K72，115）｜Mo dolugan，Da doloo， DaE dolo，dolo：n＇seven＇，see further Mo dalan，（＜＊daluan）＇seventy＇．There exists a logogram 尻（141．1）．A numeral with $<$ da－$>$ 六 occurs only in the or－ dinal form：
${ }^{16}$ In the name of the Emperor Daozong 道宗．
${ }^{17}$ In the title zhaotao 招討＇punitive comissioner＇．
${ }^{18}$ Among others in the name Dezu 德祖，father of Abaoji／Taizu＇written as 忞方伞雨 （254．020．258．018）＜d．ei z．in＞，genitive case．
${ }^{19}$ There is no word beginning with（215）in Index；it is practically deleted．
＊daluer 六丹公（214．312．341）＜ da $_{3}$. lu $_{3}$ ．er＞（Yong3－1，Guo7－19，Qing4－ 22，Liang2－7，4－29，Xian3－24，Jue4－33，Hui2－32 ${ }^{20}$ ，also 六亚公 （214．313．341）$<$ da $_{3}$ ．lo．er $>{ }^{21}$（DiX3－3，see also K72，115）＇seventh， masc．＇｜Mo dolodugar．
＊daluen 久丹（171．312．361）＜da．lu3．én＞．According to Index only in： Dao14－5，＇seventh，fem．＇．${ }^{22}$
＊daga－久立（171．051）＜da．ha＞．This is a very productive stem in Khitan．It is also written 六夹 $(214.051)<\mathrm{da}_{3}$ ．ha＞．The basic meaning is unknown ${ }^{23}$ ，but it may be in past perfect tense in：
＊daġa＇ar 久丰 $⿻$（171．051．123）＜da．ha．ar＞，past tense in－ar（Index $24 \times$ ）．The word is written also as 六业才 $(214.051 .123)<$ da3 $_{3}$ ．ha．ar＞ （Index 12×）．
It is frequent in the verbal phrase dagar ol－
$\checkmark$ xomur daġa＇ar olg̀a＇aju 㚐行公 久业れ 及子为共（057．220．341
171．051．123 $186.149^{24}$ ．051．189．386＜xo．mú．er da．ha．ar o．l2．h．a．aju＞＇the cof－ fin（xomu＋acc－r）had been closed＇（DiX39－14／16）．
Further derivations are：＜da．ha．ar o．ón＞（DiX47－17），＜da．ha．ar o．ho＞（DiX50－ 19），＜da．ha．ar ń．an＞（Xiang44－16），＜da3．ha．ar o．ju．ha．ar＞（Xiang33－40）．

The syllable＜dau＞written with $\boldsymbol{R}$（119）occurs in the transcription of a Chi－ nese name：
－Dawu Suy hoydi $\boldsymbol{八}$ 及尒当主王（119．131 241．346 075．037）＜dau．u s．uy hoy．di＞＇the Emperor Daozong 道宗＇（K49）．
Furthermore it appears as a verbal stem．Though in the latter case its meaning is yet unclear，it may be of interest to see some of the derivations：
－＊dawu－which occurs with a causative extension：
＊dawul－ア $\boldsymbol{\text { }}$（119．366）＜dau．ul－＞（Index $1 \times$ ），causative stem；a further derivation is：
＊dawulga－ $\boldsymbol{\text { F }}$（119．366．051）＜dau．ul．ha＞（K148），factitive stem with further deri－
vations：
＊dawulga’ai ア干平キ（119．366．051．122）＜dau．ul．ha．ai＞（Xiang25－13， Index $5 \times$ ），a converbal form in－ai of dawulga－a verb with the double factitive suffix－UlgA－${ }^{25}$ ，
＊dawulga＇ar ア干平末（119．366．051．123）＜dau．ul．ha．ar＞past tense（In－ dex $3 \times$ ），
＊dawulga＇a’ar ア干五为れ（119．366．051．189．123）（Index $3 \times$ ），same as above，

[^3]＊dawulga＇an ア平丰出（119．366．051．290）＜dau．ul．ha．an＞participle （Index $2 \times$ ），
＊dawulğa＇aju $\boldsymbol{\text { •平为艾 } ( 1 1 9 . 3 6 6 . 0 5 1 . 1 8 9 . 3 8 6 ) ~ < ~ d a u . u l . h a . a . a j u > , ~}$
＊dawulğa＇a＇an 欠平为出（119．366．051．189．290）＜dau．ul．ha．a．án＞， same as above，
＊dawulġa＇a＇anġu $\quad$ 尺干车为出31（119．366．189．051．290．151） ＜da．ul．ha．a．án．hu＞converb of the participle（？），
＊dawulga＇al－ア平ち（119．366．051．098）＜dau．ul．ha．al＞，
＊dawulga＇alğu ア平方ち列（119．366．051．098．151）＜dau．ul．ha．al．hu＞ （Index $3 \times$ ），perhaps＇assign＇or＇announce＇，
＊dawulğa＇algu＇an 欠干啬ち列夹（119．366．051．098．151．011） ＜dau．ul．ha．al．hu．an＞deverbal noun in－an，
＊dawulg̀a＇aliń ア Р业ち伏（119．366．051．098．222）＜dau．ul．ha．al．hu．ń＞，
＊dawulo アチサ（119．366．090）＜dau．ul．ó＞，
＊dawuloġa＇aliń アチサ方方伏（119．366．090．051．098．222） ＜dau．ul．ó．ha．al．ń＞genitive case，
＊dawuloji アチサ孖（119．366．090．152）＜dau．ul．ó．ji＞（Index $2 \times$ ），
 as the former，
＊dawulojid スチサ㜾父（119．366．090．152．254）＜dau．ul．ó．ji．d＞（Index $1 \times$ ），
＊dawulo＇or アチ开及比（119．366．090．186．076）${ }^{26}$＜dau．ul．ó．o．or＞ （Xiang16－3，20－29）＇a name＇，
＊dawulo＇on イチサ及内（119．366．090．186．322）＜dau．ul．ó．o．ón＞（Index $4 \times$ ），
＊dawulo＇ui アチサ夾（119．366．090．262）＜dau．ul．ó．ui＞（Index $3 \times$ ）．
＊dawulu ア干サ及
＊dawuluji スチ及约（119．366．131．337）＜dau．ul．u．ji ${ }_{3}>$（Xiang32－22）is a con－ verbal form in－ji，see K153－154，
＊dawulğu ア ア n $^{2}(119.366 .151)<$ dau．ul．hu $>($ Index $2 \times$ ），
＊dawulğu－an アチ列夹（119．366．151．011）＜dau．ul．hu．an＞（Xiang29－2）．This form looks like a noun in genitive case ending in－an．If this is the correct inter－ pretation，then $<h u>$ must be the nominaliser

## ＊dawull－

＊dawullg̀a＇ai ア平办丰中（119．366．261．051．122）＜dau．ul．1．ha．ai＞（Index $2 \times$ ），
＊dawulloji 欠平卅开约（119．366．261．090．337）＜dau．ul．l．ó．ji ${ }_{3}>$ ，Index $1 \times$ must be the same as dawuloji above．
＊dawullgeli 欠干办炎比（119．366．261．349．080）＜dau．ul．1．ge．li $>$（Index $1 \times$ ）
Further derivations may be＜dau．a．ai＞，＜dau．a．do．er＞，＜dau．a．án＞， ＜dau．a．án．er＞，＜dau．a．aju＞．

The glyph occurs also in a loanword：

[^4]－daw $\boldsymbol{\text { } ~}(119)<$ dau＞＇grief，to grieve，mourn＇$\leftarrow$ Chinese dao 悼＇to grieve， mourn＇（Index 12×）．
$\checkmark$ daw－iń oyirio’ulğu $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ 伏 㕕升干31（119．222 107．235．090．366．151） ＜dau．in oi ${ }_{2}$ ．ri．ó．ul．hu＞＇the mourning ceremony of the grief＇（Xuan27－5）．
$\checkmark$ daw＇ar－＜dau．a．ar＞ア为れ（119．189．123）＇with grief＇（DiX30－12）$)^{27}$ （Index $10 \times$ ）．
Finally the glyph occurs in Khitan words such as：
－dawur 欠交（119．097）＜dau．úr＞＇middle＇（Index 4×），我交（029．097），＜tau．úr＞ （Index $1 \times$ ），も交必（029．097．273）＜tau．úr．un＞（Index 1×）．WJ 87，Sh2 dawr Mongolian has dumda，Da duande＇middle＇，DaE dwand，dwannz．Its connec－ tion with Khitan dawur is unclear．Dawur has the same meaning as Ch zhong中，as middle，the Middle，China 中國．On dagur＝Khitan，see Kane 162－ $165^{28}$ ．This word occurs in the expression＜GREAT dau．úr．ún xu．rá qid．ún．i＞ ＇The great Central Liao State－GEN＇．Thus＜dau．úr＞denoted not only the word ＇middle＇in space or time，but also the centre of the world，and thus the Central Empire，the Empire of the Middle，as zhong in Chinese zhongguo．This may be the origin of the ethnic name Dagur，Dahur，Daur．Ivanovski（1894）registered the form Davúr，Poppe（1930）Daģūr，Daүūr，Muromskij（Kałużyński 1969－ 1970）dahuru，Martin（1961）Daure．In the Dagur language the Common Mon－ golic－ağ $u$－bisyllable became－au－，see LM dag்u＇voice＇＞Da dau．The ethnic name is written in the Uygur－Mongolian script as का়ण but maybe，this is a modern form of the ethnic name．Enkhbat（1984）is cautious，though in all other similar cases he gives a written Mongolian form，in the case of Dagur he does not．The modern Chinese transcription is dawoer 达斡尔 which represents a pronunciation［dawur］or［dawor］．Though we have to pay attention to the notions of Muromskij and Poppe，respectively，for the time being we cannot decide whether the sequence $<u . u>$ in $<$ dau．úr＞denoted a long vowel or not， i．e．we have to reconstruct dawur or＊dawūr．
＊dawur－ir ü’ül ア交及及干（119．097．144 131．366）＜dau．úr：ir ${ }_{2}$ u．ul＞ ＇mid winter（month）＇（K：3，22，107，49，189 L11，DiX30－4，Xiang42－7）． Index read（144）as $<\mathrm{ir}_{2}>$ ，the earlier reading was $<$ ún $>$ ，it occurs $23 \times$ ．
＊dawuruji 欠亦友抲（119．097．131．152）＜dau．úr．u．ji＞（Jue46－22）．
It looks as if the final $-\mathbf{r}$ would disappear in some declinated forms：
In the dative－locative case：
＊dawdu 欠分 $(119.249)<$ dau．dū $>$＇in the middle，the middle one，etc．，${ }^{29}$ with the loss of -r ，or at least without an overt $<\mathrm{r}>$（Index $35 \times$ ），
 （DiX13－6．16－23，Xiang1－4），

[^5]
## $\checkmark$ dawdu baqo $\boldsymbol{八}$ 分 母カ（119．249 311．168）＜dau．dū b．qo＞＇the

 middle sibling＇（Hong2－17／18）$\checkmark$ dawdu ku 欠分几（119．249 178）＜dau．dū．ku＞＇the middle per－ son（sibling）＇（Xiang2－23）．
＊dawduġu $\boldsymbol{\text { R 分 }}$ 3（119．249．151）＜dau．dū．hu＞（Zhi11－17），seems to be an adjective．
＊dawduiń 尺分伏（119．249．222）＜dau．dū．ń＞（Zhong15－10），perhaps in the genitive case＇of those in the middle＇．
We suppose that the final－ur in dawur is the same petrified locative suffix as in u＇úr＇north＇，and omur＇east＇．This may have the same origin as the suffix－r in Mongolian in：gadar＇outside＇cf．gadaga＇outside＇，gadana＇outer＇etc．and perhaps an element of the suffixes in degere＇on above＇，dotora＇within＇，inaru＇before＇， činaru＇after＇（Poppe 1964：59）．

The glyphs for $<$ de $>$ are：$<$ de $>$ 矢 $(204)^{30},<$ de $>$ 矢（205）${ }^{31}$ ．There are yet no lexical units beginning with $<\mathrm{de}>$ with an identifiable meaning．

The glyph＜deu＞与（101）may have an alloglyph 古（072），but this is uncer－ tain．${ }^{32}$ The glyph（101）is used as a logograph，the reading of which we know：
－deu ち（101）＜deu＞＇younger brother＇（K104，119，Xiang2－20，3－14，8－8，9－2， 9－33，Index $90 \times$ ）｜Mo degü，Da deü，DaE dəu＇younger sibling＇．The word oc－ curs many times in phrases like：
＊ya deu 斗与（335．101）＜ia deu＞＇elder and younger brother＇（Index $89 \times$ ）．
＊deu ay 与キ $(101.122)<$ deu ai＞＇uncle，younger brother of the father＇ （K124）．
＊dew－un 与必（101．273）＜deu．un＞＇genitive case of deu＇（DiX12－20， 13－5，16－22，16－31，24－8，26－11，many times in the expression 次与必 （335．101．273）＜ia deu．un＞＇XY of the junior brother（s）＇（e．g．in Zhong12－33，28－39）（Index 69×）．
＊dew－ur 与安（101．097）＜deu．úr＞＇in association with＇dew＇younger brother＇and the instrumental－sociative－Úr（Index $50 \times$ ），e．g．in：
$\checkmark$ dewur MANAGE 与交屋头（101．097 142．339）＜deu．úr MANAGE． $\mathrm{i}>$（K47，WJ75）perhaps＇to manage in association， co－manage＂with the siblings＂＇（DiX14－11），and
$\checkmark$ dewli MANAGE 乼去矢 屋坐业为出（254．067．206 142．261．051．189．290）＜d．eu．li MANAGE．1．ha．a．án＞（DiX8－7／8） $\mathrm{in}:<$ m．ci．$e_{2}$ d．eu．li MANAGE．l．ha．a．án＞（DiX8－7）with a similar meaning．
The glyph＜do＞ $\boldsymbol{4}$（217）occurs as initial in Index in a geographical name：
－Dou 朱 为（217．131）＜Do．u＞＇name of an unidentified city＇in：

[^6] Dou＇（DiX14－13）．
The glyph $\zeta$（218）SEAL was read by Kane $(2009,61)$ as＜doro＞，by Aisin Gioro （2012）as＜dor＞．
－dor 5 （218）＇SEAL＇，read by Index as $<$ dor＞．Its meaning may be＇rite，ritual， official＇｜Mo törö＇law，order，goverment＇${ }^{33}$ ，occurs in Index $131 \times$ ．
 coffin＇（DiX39－13）．
The glyphs for du are：＜du＞们（237），＜dú＞四（179）${ }^{34},<$ dū＞分（249）${ }^{35}$ ，the second and the third glyphs are rare as initials in the material．The glyph for du 门（237） $<\mathrm{du}>$ occurs as the transcription or loan for Chinese $d u$ 都＇capital，main etc．＇，LMCh tuă，EMCh $t \supset$（Index $51 \times$ ），the glyph occurs in transcriptions as in：
－dugiaém 亿 九头爻（237 335．334．270）＜du g．ia．ém＞＇the title dujian 都監＇ ＇chief supervisor＇（Xiang 10－3，WJ 159），
－Du－ung 们＊（237．345）＜du．ung＞＇a part of the personal name of the Grand Preceptor［M．ri．g．ún］Du ung Ge’（Xiang15－13，Index $7 \times$ ）．

## Khitan Words with Initial t－：

The two glyphs for $<\mathrm{t}>$ are：今（247），$<\mathrm{t}_{2}>$ 今（260），they are alloglyphs，the second is，according to Kane（K66）only found in $<\mathrm{t}$ ．úr．er／en＞＇fourth＇．According to Index， $\left.<\mathrm{t}_{2}\right\rangle$ 今（260）occurs once alone，once in $\left.<\mathrm{t}_{2} . \mathrm{ji}\right\rangle$ and $5 \times$ in $\left\langle\mathrm{t}_{2}\right.$ ．úr $\rangle$ ．

The glyph $\langle\mathfrak{t}>$ 今（247）is one of the most frequent graphs used as initial．As we have seen in the section on the vowels（Róna－Tas 2017：148）the plural of the demonstrative pronoun $\mathbf{e}$－is et，but written with a simple $\langle\mathrm{t}\rangle$ ：
－et $\mathrm{⿱} \boldsymbol{<}<\mathrm{t}>$（247）＇these＇（Index $32 \times$ ）．A few examples：
$\checkmark$ et iriser 令兴化尒炎（247 339．235．244．341）＜t i．ri．s．er＞＇with these titles＇（DiX20－17）
$\checkmark$ et iriser olir 令头化尒公及子及（247 339．235．244．341 186．149） $<$ t i．ri．s．er o． $\mathrm{l}_{2} . \mathrm{ir}_{2}>$（Xiang12－2）＇［he was］with these titles rewarded＇${ }^{36}$
A few words with $<\mathrm{t}>$ 今（247）：
－tabu 令全（247．196）＜t．abu＞（Index 9×），CWJ read 全（196）＜abu＞，earlier ＜bu＞，
－Tabuy 令主 $\overline{\text { 万 }}$（247．196．020）＜t．abu．y＞a name Tabuye，in the Liaoshi Tabuye撻不也 and the like ${ }^{37}$（K59）（Index 14×）．
${ }^{33}$ On possible Turkic parallels，see Róna－Tas and Berta 2011：944－947．
${ }^{34} \mathrm{~K}$ read＜úd＞，Aisin（2012）＜du，ud＞，Wu＜dú＞．Index has＜dú＞，＜dú．i＞ $3 \times$ ，＜dú．iau＞seem－ ingly in transcriptions of Chinese．
${ }^{35}$ Index has $\langle\mathrm{d} \bar{u}>2 \times,<$ dū．u $>,<$ dū．i＞$>$ and $<$ dū．er $>$ ．
${ }^{36}$ The text was also published in WJ on p．164，but there with 炎（347）＜oi＞instead of 炎 （341）＜er＞，a misprint corrected in CWJ on p．1475．The glyph 子（149）was earlier read as＜un＞ now it is read by CWJ as $<l_{2}>$ ．
${ }^{37}$ WF 424 mentions a person with that name of the royal clan who surrendered to the Jur－ chen in 1116.
－tabuyer 令生万爻（247．196．020．269）＜t．bu．y．er＞＇tiller of fields＇according to the Jinshi glossary（K59，Index 17×）．
－tado＇or 今ふ及耴（247．099．186．076 ${ }^{38}$ ）＜t．ad．o．or＞＇fifth，masc．＇（Index 10×）， Mo tabudugar，also：
＊todo＇or 今币及耴（247．016．186．076）＜t．od．o．or＞（Index $10 \times$ ），
\＆dodo＇or 㿽币及耴（254．016．186．076）＜d．od．o．or＞（Index $1 \times$ in Xu5－ $15^{39}$ ）
－tado’on 令办及内（247．099．186．322）（Index $5 \times$ ）＜t．ad．o．ón＞，
\＆todo＇on 今币及内（247．016．186．322）＜t．od．o．ón＞（Index 6×）＇fifth， fem．＇．As we can see also here TA－，TO－，DO－initials render the same Khitan initials．
－tar 今れ（247．123）＜t．ar＞＇next，later＇in：
$\checkmark$ tar ojuha＇ai 令れ及子业为中（247．123 186．149．051．189．122）＜t．ar o．ju．ha．a：ai＞＇［the tomb］later having been closed＇（K131），cf．Mo dara（ga），Khalkha daraa＇late，next＇．Index has only this one case， Yu60－49．
＊te－今（247）＜te＞＇to say＇in the form
\＆tegu 令勺（247．165）＜te．gu＞＇（the inscription）says，introducing a quo－ tation as Chin yue 曰＇（K54，Index $55 \times$ ） $\mid \leftarrow$ OT te－＇to say＇（Vovin 2013：622－623），perhaps honorific，cf．Mo ge－，keme－，kele－．Some ex－ amples：
$\checkmark$ usug tegu 扎 兀令勺（068．334 247．165）＜us．g t．gu＞＇the scripture says：＇ （Xiang35－5／6）．
$\checkmark$ RECORDgen tegu 克 九兮勺 勺（052．1－334．361 247．165．1） $<$ RECORD $_{2}$ ．g．én t．gu $>$＇the text（or poem）says［poem follows］＇ （DiX42－1／2）．
－＊tege－令 㕕（247．349）＜t．ge＞＇moving upwards，die＇in：
＊tege＇er 令炎 爻／炎（247．349．269／341）＜te．ge．er＞＇went up，died masc．＇ （Index $24 \times$ ，among them：DiX11－8，23－5，35－8），｜cf．Mo＊dege－＇to go， move upwards＇，see degedü＇upper，previous etc．＇，degere＇on，above， high＇，DaE da：r＇above，upper＇，also：
＊dege＇er 窃㕕㕕（254．349．341）＜de．ge．er＞＇what is above，former，late＇ （once in：Jue1－6）
＊tege＇en 令㕕与（247．349．361）＜te．ge．én＞＇deceased（fem．）＇（K41，64， 90，Index $26 \times$ ，among them Xiang32－18），
＊dege＇en 倠出当（254．349．361）＜d．ge．én＞（Index 6×）．
＊tegey 令出 $\overline{\text { ® }}(247.349 .020)<$ te．ge．y＞＇having deceased＇（Index $14 \times$ ），
＊degey 父㕕万 $<$ d．ge．y＞＇having deceased＇（Index $5 \times$ ）．
－teqo＇a 令力为（247．168．189）＜t．qo．a＞＇chicken＇（K55，58，64，88，Index $15 \times$ ）， also 令欠为（247．169．189）＜t．qó．a＞（Index 18×），令欠（247．169）＜t．qó＞（In－ dex $43 \times)^{40}$ ． $\mid$ Mo takiya，Da deest｜｜The initial Khitan graph（247）may be read

[^7]as $\mathbf{t}$－，or te－or ti－．A simple t －can be here excluded，and only te－or $\mathbf{t i}$－are pos－ sible．The final－o＇a is reflecting a diphthong，resulting from an earlier－＊agu， the $-o$＇a later changed to $-\bar{o}$ ．The aberrant vowel sequence $\mathbf{e}-\mathbf{a}$ is going back to an earlier ${ }^{*} t i q \bar{o}<* * t i q a g u$ ．The three reconstructed forms PKhitan＊tikagu， PMo＊takiga，PT＊takigu＜＊tikagu are old variants by partial metathesis． ＊tikagu is also reflected by Jurchen tixo（ $\leftarrow$ Khitan＊tiko $<*$ tikagu）and Manchu čoko（ $<$ tiqo）．The word is further reflected by the original West Old Turkic form of the Hungarian tyúk（t＇ūk）＜Old Hungarian tik and tiuk（see Róna－Tas and Berta 2011：965－967）which goes back to a West Old Turkic＊tijuk $<*$ tigaguk $<*$ tikagu－$k$ ，that is the same as the PKhitan form with an additional diminutive $-k$（see in the Addenda of Róna－Tas and Berta 2011：1493）．The Mongolic word is either of Old Turkic origin（＊takigu），or both are rooted in a very early Eurasiatic word．
－tem－今ヌ $(247.133)<t . m>\left(\right.$ Index $12 \times$ ），令企 $(247.257)<t . \mathrm{em}_{2}>$＇to grant a title＇（K100 earlier only＜em＞；the latter in Index occurs only in derivations and in conjugated forms），dem 爷又（254．133）＜d．m＞（Index $2 \times$ ），also 釜企 （254．257）＜d．em ${ }_{2}>$ ．In Index only in derivations and conjugated forms $\mid \mathrm{cf}$ ．Mo temdeg－，Ma temgetu＇sign＇，temgetule－＇confer a mark of distinction＇，Da temedehe＇a mark，a spot＇．
＊temei 令企＞（247．257．020）＜t．em 2 ．ei＞，demei 㿽企万（254．257．020） $<$ d．em 2 ．ei $>$＇having granted＇converb in－ei（Di37－6，40－2，50－16， Xiang39－32，Index $25 \times$ with $<\mathrm{t}->$ ，and $18 \times$ with $<\mathrm{d}->$ as in）：
$\checkmark$ mod－en temei RECORD．g－un uyil＜mo．d ${ }_{2}$ ．en t．em 2 ．ey RECORD．g．ún ui．l＞＇the case of the recording of the grant given to the ladies＇（DiX40－2），
 past tense in－er（Index $15 \times$ ），demer 倠企㕕 $(254.257 .341)<$ d．em 2 ．er $>$ （Index $2 \times$ ）．
$\checkmark$ tai ba temer 不母令企炎（374．311 247．257．341）＜tai．ba t．em 2 ．er $>$＇was appointed as taibao 太保＇Grand Guardian＇ （DiX20－9），see also Xiang25－32．
＊temji 令企友（247．257．087）＜t．em．ji＞＇having been awarded＇with the marker of the converbal－ji（X30－17）．
＊temle－／demle－令／倠企办厺万（247／254．257．261．112．020） $<\mathrm{t} /$ d．em．le．g．ei＞＇to be enfeoffed，to be awarded an honorary title＇causa－ tive stem（K21）in：
＊temlegei 令企办厺／㕕万 $(247.257 .261 .112 / 349.020)<$ t．em．le．ge ${ }_{2} /$ ge．ei＞ converbal suffix－ei，（DiX10－18，10－22，14－3，14－8，14－23，Xiang27－ 11）．In Index $14 \times$ with 㕕（112）$<\mathrm{ge}_{2}>$ and $52 \times$ with 㕕（349）$<$ ge $>$ ，
＊demlegei 窃企办㕕万（254．257．261．349．020）＜d．em 2 ．l．ge．ei＞（Index $15 \times$ ）．
＊temleger 今企卅厺炎（247．257．261．112．341）（Index 12×），
＊demleger 父企身厺公（254．257．261．112．341）（Index 10×）＇having granted，enfeoffed＇with the past tense marker－er（K64，66，100， Xiang 12－27 ${ }^{41}$ ）．
＊temlegen 令企办㕕当／与（247．257．261．349．361／100）（Index $8 \times$ ） ＜t．em．le．ge．én＞
＊demlegen 㳑企办㕕当（254．257．261．112．361）（Index 1×） ＜d．em．l．ge．én＞，with－en marker of the participle＇having been awarded＇ （Xiang 2－15）．
＊temlegelir 令企厺坐及（247．257．261．112．261．144 ${ }^{42}$ ）（Index 14×）， $<t . e m .1 . \mathrm{ge}_{2}$ ． $1 . \mathrm{ir}>$ ，令企卅㕕卅及（247．257．261．349．261．144）（Index $8 \times$ ）， $<$ t．em．l．ge．l．ir＞，
＊demlegelir 落企卅㕕卅及（254．257．261．349．261．144）（Index 1×） ＜d．em．l．ge．l．ir＞．
We can see that the te－and de－are in free variation．
－tug $\boldsymbol{\text { 今 }}$ 又（247．172）＜t．uh＞＇flag＇，｜Mo tug ‘flag，standard＇$\leftarrow$ OT tug ＇standard，flag＇$\leftarrow$ a loan from Chinese $d u$ 蠧，＇yak－tail banner’，LMCh thəwk， EMCh dawk or vice versa ${ }^{43}$ ．
＊tuġut 今 久 令（247．172．247）＜t．uh．t＞（Xiang26－23，Qing29－5）plural．
＊Tuguči，the owner of the inscription No． 22 Tu：Ch．Xiao Tuguci蕭圖古辭，written＜tu．qu． $\mathrm{s}_{2} . \mathrm{ir}_{2}>$ ．
\＆tuğli 令 又 比（247．172．080 ${ }^{44}$ ）$<$ t．uh．li＞（Index $52 \times$ ）．
－tulu＇ur 今干ス交（247．366．372．097）＜t．ul．ū．úr＞（Ling26－5，Yu68－45，Di27－ 24，Qing27－20，Chao22－1），tulu＇ur 今干及安（247．366．131．097）＜t．ul．u．ur＞ （Qing22－19，Chao27－9，DiX36－16，Jue42－37）｜Mo tulgaguri，tulgur＇pillar＇， DaE twa：lar，tu：lga．
$\checkmark$ riuijien ewińer tulu＇ur jawulga＇ar 坴及奶本 古伏炎 令干友亦厉午丰れ＜ri．u．ji．en eu．n．er t．ul．u．úr jau．ul．ha．ar＞＇at the Riujien［moun－ tain］${ }^{45}$ a＂not existence＂［memorial］pillar was erected＂（DiX36－15）．
The two glyphs $<$ ta $>$ 仍（229）and $<\operatorname{ta}_{2}>$ 仍（234）may or may not be two alloglyphs，the first with a dot，the second with a dash．According to Index，仍（234） has yet no reading，while $\boldsymbol{B}$（229）is frequent and occurs isolated 30 times．
－taġa 仍啬（229．051）＜ta．ha＞＇tent，lineage，clan＇，as in：
$\checkmark$ FOUR taga＇aden sengun po＇or モ仍业立本尒各必业及仝 （368 229．051．099．140244．175．273 295．186．255）＜FOUR ta．ha．ad．en s．eng．un p．o．or＞＇was appointed／became the Field Marshal of the Four Tents＇（Xiang27－34／37）．
The glyphs for＜tau＞are 我（029）and 戈（030），the second is a dotted variant． It is also the logograph for the number five．According to Index，the glyph（029） occurs isolated 111 times，while the dotted variant only 10 times．

[^8]Some Khitan words with taw：
－taw 毛（029）＜tau＞毛（030）＇five＇（K19，24，38，98）（Index 111×）｜Liaoshi tao 討，LMCh，EMCh thaw，Mo tabun，Da taau，DaE ta：wu（n）．${ }^{46} \mid$ For details， see Róna－Tas 2016：130－131．See also＜ta 2 ．ún＞仍及（234．144）（Xiang29－17）．
－tawlia 毛负为（029．206．189）＜tau．lí．a＞＇hare，rabbit＇（K2，19，20，38，58，101， 112，Index $10 \times$ ），｜Liaoshi taoli 陶里＊tauli，Mo taula，DaE taul ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ，tu：li：．
－＊tawsu－延卡（029．041）＇to advance＇｜Mo dabsi－＇to advance＇，dabsigul－＇ caus．of dabsi－in：
＊tawsuoui 釆卡坐（029．041．090．262）＜tau．su．ó．ui＞＇advancing＇ （Xiang2－14，3－17，24－6）｜
＊tawsuo＇ulgai も卡サチャキ（029．041．090．366．51．122）
$<$ tau．su．ó．ul．ha．ai＞＇to let advance＇（DiX9－20，11－5）．
$\checkmark$ tawsuo＇ulġai awulgai püres－e ui－de 毛卡サ干业キ 丸干夫キ业安仚办 坐矢＜tau．su．ó．ul．ha．ai au．ul．ha．ai p．úr．s．en ui．de＞ （DiX9－20／23）．
＊tawsuo＇ulğu も卡サ干列（029．041．090．366．151）＜tau．su．ó．ul．hu＞ （Xiang37－23）．
The glyph 卉（074）＜tir＞was read by C as＜tel＞，by A as＜tael＞and as＜tir＞ by Index．It occurs，among others，in such names as：
－Tirbir +4 （074．288）＜tir．bir＞＇a name，Tirbir＇（DiX12－9）（read by C and A as Taelbun，WJ Tirbun），Wu read（288）as＜bir＞，in this case Tirbir（Index $5 \times$ ）．Also Tirbir 卉丹及 $\left(074.311 .144^{47}\right)<$ tir．bir $_{2}>2 \times$ 。
The glyph $\boldsymbol{4}^{(213)}$＜to＞ocurs 29 times．The glyph 出（287）${ }^{48}$ was former read as $<\mathrm{to}_{2}>$ now by Index as $<$ har $_{4}>$ ．The glyph（213）occurs in such names as：
－Tooniń 生 ふ伏（213．154．222）＜to．on．ń＞＇a part of a name of a Grand Prince （daiwang），a common ancestor of Xiao Dilu and Xiao Tuguci＇（DiX3－19）， perhaps Chin tuoning 駝寧（Index $7 \times$ ）．
The glyph＜tu＞务（202）occurs among others in the name：
－Tu Nu taišï 分公及不此（202．251．131 374．）＜tu．n．u tai šï＞＇the Grand Preceptor Tu Nu＇（DiX24－14），as a part of name it occurs in the Index $34 \times$ ．
The glyph occurs in Khitan words such as：
＊tu＇ur－爸交（202．097）＜tu．úr＞＇to pass away，die＇｜Mo dügür－＇to expire，finish， end＇，Da duure－＇to be full＇，DaE du：$r_{-}{ }^{49}$ ．
 （202．097．288）＜tu．úr．bir＞（Index $23 \times$ ）‘died，passed away’（also K59， 91，204），
＊tu＇urboń 分交母伏（202．097．311．222）＜tu．úr．b．ń＞（Index $7 \times$ ），past time，

[^9]＊tu’urbońer 分交母伏公（202．097．311．222．341）＜tu．úr．b．ń．er＞（Index $1 \times$ ），
＊tu＇ursii 分安尒比（202．097．244．080）＜tu．úr．s．ii＞＇after he had died＇ （K150，－151，WJ 73）in：
$\checkmark$ tu＇rbir udur tu＇ursii 㘯交出元交务交尒比（202．097．288 092．097202．097．244．080）＜tu．úr．bir ud undrr $^{\text {un }}$ tu．úr．s．li ${ }_{2}>$＇he died ［and the］first［moment］he had died．．．［was the will of Heaven and Earth］＇（DiX7－15／17）．
Two glyphs were earlier read as tum：＜tum＞丹（406，new number 310．1），and 丹 （312）．The second is a dotted variant of the first．The glyph $\ddagger$（310．1）was read by Index as＜dus＞（see above）and 丹（312）is read now as $<\mathrm{lu}_{3}>$ instead of the former ＜tum＞．It is interesting to see that we have three similar glyphs：丹（310．1）＜b＞，母（311）$<$ b＞and $\boldsymbol{\#}(312)<\operatorname{lu}_{3}>$ ．Further research is needed to clear up the situation．

A logograph the reading of which we now know and has to be read with $t$－is：
－tum 及（187）＇TEN THOUSAND，myriad，read by Index as＜tum＞＇｜Mo tümen，Da tume，DaE tum｜｜Only Dahur has the word without final $-n$ ．In the Index it occurs $55 \times$ as an independent word．See further：
tumen 及 木（187．140）in：$^{\text {in }}$
tumen ewur 及 办去交（187．140 067．097）＜tum．en eu．úr＞＇ten thousand years＇（Liang15－5）and
tumun emu boden oreyir 及必も田与圠万及（187．273 026309.100 076.020 .144 ）＜tum．un emu bod．en or．y． $\mathrm{ir}_{2}>$（Zhong45－25）＇thousand and one times．．．．（？）＇．

## Summary

As we can see from the above material，in Khitan the graphical representation of the dental stops is alternating between $<\mathrm{d}\rangle$ and $<\mathrm{t}\rangle$ ．The same word is sometimes written with $<\mathrm{t}->$ and in other cases with $<\mathrm{d}->$ ．This is an orthographical problem and is not representing a phonological one．If we look into the Khitan representations of the Chinese contemporary phonemes，we can see the following：

| Khitan phoneme | Khitan glyph | Middle Chinese <br> phonemes | Modern Chinese <br> pinyin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{t}$ | $<\mathrm{t}>$ | $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{th} / /$ | $<\mathrm{t}>$ |
| $/ \mathrm{t} /[\mathrm{D}]$ | $<\mathrm{d}>$ | $/ \mathrm{t} /, / \mathrm{d} /$ | $<\mathrm{d}>$ |

In general，we can state that Khitan $<\mathrm{d}>$ may reflect a non－aspirated dental stop， maybe／t／．There is no direct indication for its voiced character，it may also have been either an unvoiced lenis／D／or a voiced variant $/ \mathrm{d} /$ ．Khitan $\langle\mathrm{t}\rangle$ is signalising a postas－ pirated dental stop $/ \mathrm{t}^{`} /$ ．The main opposition was between postaspirated and not post－
aspirated stops．Yet we find many words in which the initial $<\mathrm{d}>$ and $<\mathrm{t}>$ alternate． This may mean that the aspiration was not very strong or this alternation may be due to the inability of the Khitan Small Script to articulate this opposition．In any case， the situation is the same as with the bilabial stops（Wu and Róna－Tas 2019）．
（To be continued）

## Signs used

```
- Independent base
* Derived morpheme
\ Example
```

```
Abbreviations
A \(=\) Aisin Gioro（2012）
CWJ＝Chinggeltei，Wu Yingzhe and Jiruhe（2017）
Da＝Dahur，according to Martin 1961
DaE＝Dahur，according to Enkhbat 1984
EMCh＝Early Middle Chinese according to Pulleyblank（1991）
Index＝The Index of CWJ
\(\mathrm{K} \quad=\) Kane（2009）
KSS＝Kithan Small Script
LCh＝Liao Chinese
LMCh＝Late Middle Chinese according to Pulleyblank（1991）
Ma＝Manchu
MMo＝Middle Mongolian
Mo \(=\) Mongolian
Sh17＝Shimunek（2017）
WJ \(=W u\) and Janhunen（2010）．
WOT＝Róna－Tas and Berta（2011）
```

The sigla of the inscriptions can be found in Wu and Róna－Tas（2019）and Apatóczky and Róna－Tas （2019）．
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This paper has been written within the framework of the Silk Road Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the Eötvös Loránd University of Budapest and the University of Szeged. Moreover, this is the second paper written under the special co-operation between the above Research Group and the Khitan Research Group of the People's Republic of China, led by Professor Wu Yingzhe (Höhhot, PRC). It is supported by the key program of Philosophy and Social Science 'Collation and Study of Handed-down Documents in Khitan and Jurchen' (14JZD036) of China's Ministry of Education. For 3.1 (Labial Stops), see Wu and Róna-Tas 2019.
    ${ }^{2}$ We offer our sincere thanks to the anonymous reviewers, many of their suggestions were of great help.
    ${ }^{3}$ Róna-Tas 2016 and 2017, Wu and Róna-Tas 2019.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ See the Abbreviations．
    ${ }^{5}$ See 米（355）the logograph for＇ORDO＇，and read as＜udu＞．
    ${ }^{6}$（197）was earlier read as＜ah＞．

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ We omitted tones in the case of LMCh and EMCh．
    ${ }^{8}$ Zhong20－23，33－39，Xu3－20．
    ${ }^{9} 15$ times in the following inscriptions：Lang，Yu，Guang，Hong，Tu，Tai，Song，Wu，DiX， Hu and Jue．
    ${ }^{10}$ Five times in the following inscriptions：Zhong（twice），Song，Liang and Jue．

[^3]:    ${ }^{20}$ Index has also $<\mathrm{da}_{3} . \mathrm{lu}_{3} . \mathrm{oi}>(214.312 .347)$ ，but $<\mathrm{oi}>$ is a mistake for $<\mathrm{er}>$ ．
    ${ }^{21}$ Index has＜da．313．er＞．Observing the photo on p． 806 the glyph is written in cursive handwriting，and the strokes are not certain．（313），which does not occur as initial，may be read as $<$ lo＞or $<$ lu $>$ ，see also K72，WJ268．
    ${ }^{22}$ On the details，see Róna－Tas 2016： 128.
    ${ }^{23}$ Its functions are reminiscent of Old Turkic yap－＇to cover，to put together＇．
    ${ }^{24}$ The glyph（149）was earlier read as $<\mathrm{ju}>$ ，but it is read now by CWJ as $<1_{2}>$ ．
    ${ }^{25}$ See au＇ulgai＜au．ul．ha．ai＞in Róna－Tas 2017： 143.

[^4]:    ${ }^{26}$ The glyph（076）was earlier read＜ho＞，the word as＜dau．ul．ó．o．ho＞．

[^5]:    ${ }^{27}$－ar is here not past tense marker，but the suffix of the instrumental case．
    ${ }^{28}$ A similar idea was formulated by G．Kara in one of his lectures at the Hungarian Academy of Sciences．
    ${ }^{29}$ According to Shi Jinmin and Yu Zemin（2001）， $\boldsymbol{\text { 人 }}$ 力（119．249）＜dau．dū＞means＇middle＇． According to Jishi（2012）万分（119．249）＜dau．dū＞corresponds to Mongolian ded＇second＇．This is possible if there are three items，and the middle is then the second．

[^6]:    ${ }^{30}$ Identified as an allograph of（205）by Zaycev 2015，Aisin Gioro 2012：shi ？，but reading is unknown according to Index．
    ${ }^{31}$ There occur a few words beginning with（205）in Index，$<$ de．i＞ $10 \times$ ．
    ${ }^{32}$ The glyph（072）looks like an alloglyph of（101），but Index read $<$ dor $>$ ．

[^7]:    ${ }^{38}$ The glyph＜076＞was earlier read as＜ho＞．
    ${ }^{39}$ See Róna－Tas 2016：128，who read with Kane there＜t．od．o．ho＞．
    ${ }^{40}$ Index has also＜t．qó．a．as＞（247．169．189．174），in Yu65－57，61－43 both occur．

[^8]:    ${ }^{41}<$ er $>$ instead of $(347)<$ oi＞．
    42 The glyph（144）was earlier read as＜un＞．
    ${ }^{43}$ Is the word a loan in Chinese？
    ${ }^{44}$ The glyph（080）was earlier read as $<\mathrm{ii}>$ ．
    ${ }^{45}$ In the preceding line Riujien $<$ MOUNTAIN $>$ ．

[^9]:    ${ }^{46}$ There exists a unit＜ta．u＞仍及（229．131），also＜ta．u．en＞the meaning of which is not clear to us（D29－16，X32－26，34－3，X4－8）．According to Index，the reading of（229）is unknown．
    ${ }^{47}$ The glyph＜144＞was earlier read as＜un＞．
    ${ }^{48}$ Read by A as $\langle\mathrm{qa}>$ ．
    ${ }^{49}$ Sh17， 374 suggested to compare it with Mo törü－＇to be born＇，this is hardly acceptable．
    ${ }^{50}$ Glyph（144）was earlier read as＜un＞by WJ，＜ir，r＞by A，＜ń＞by Sh17．

