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#### Abstract

Using the data from Gaia (ESA) Data Release 2 we performed the orbital calculations of globular clusters (GCs) of the Milky Way. To explore possible close encounters (or collisions) between the GCs, using our own developed high-order $\varphi$-GRAPE code, we integrated (backward and forward) the orbits of 119 objects with reliable positions and proper motions. In calculations, we adopted a realistic axisymmetric Galactic potential (bulge + disk + halo). Using different impact conditions, we found four pairs of the six GCs that may have experienced an encounter within twice the sum of the half-mass radii ("collisions") over the last 5 Gyr: Terzan 3 - NGC 6553, Terzan 3 NGC 6218, Liller 1 - NGC 6522 and Djorg 2 - NGC 6553.
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## INTRODUCTION

It is believed that GCs in the Milky Way (MW) are old gravitationally bound systems of stars with typical ages $\gtrsim 6 \mathrm{Gyr}$ and masses $\gtrsim 10^{4} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}[14]$. These objects are a powerful tool to examine the Galactic structure and assembly history at different scales from the star clusters formation to hierarchical merger events [15]. The recent precise astrometric measurements by Gaia Data Release 2 (DR2) [8] provide a possibility to measure the mean proper motions for $\approx 150 \mathrm{GCs}$ of the MW which makes it possible to study the orbital evolution of the GCs system as the whole.

In this work, we aim to explore the close encounters between different GCs and find the pairs of the GCs which have an encounter within twice the sum of the half-mass radii ("collisions"). In order to do that, using two GCs catalogs [5, 24], we study the dynamics of the GCs as the test-particles in the axisymmetric MW-like potential over the last 5 Gyr [1, 2, 10, 18, 20-22].

## GLOBULAR CLUSTER SAMPLE

Prior to the orbital integration, we prepared a complete catalog of the GCs. In order to do so, we merged two recent catalogs [5,24] which together contain the information about 152 objects (see Table 3). The resulting catalog contains the complete phase-space information required for the initial conditions in our simulations: right ascension (RA), declination (DEC) and distance (D), proper motions $\mu_{\alpha *}=\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta, \mu_{\delta}$ and radial velocity $v_{r}$.

To avoid the calculation of the GCs orbits with large initial conditions uncertainties we have analyzed the errors of the Gaia measurement. In Fig. 1 we show the relative errors for the radial velocity and proper motions where each GC has its own index (see Table 3). Thanks to the precise Gaia measurements the uncertainties for the radial velocity $\left(v_{r}\right)$ are quite small (mostly below 15\%). However, as it is seen, for proper motions $\left(\mu_{\alpha *}, \mu_{\delta}\right)$ the situation is different. Therefore, we discard from our catalog the GCs with the relative error larger than $30 \%$ for radial velocity and proper motions. We found that only 8 GCs do not satisfy our selection and in Table 3 these objects
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Fig. 1: Distribution of the GCs measurement errors for radial velocity $v_{r}$ (left) and proper motions in right ascension $\left(\mu_{\alpha *}\right.$, center) and in declination ( $\mu_{\delta}$, right). Dashed gray horizontal lines indicate $15 \%$ confidence range.
are marked with me (measurement error). We removed them from further analysis.

For calculating positions and velocities in the Galactocentric rest-frame (for basic coordinate transformation see [13]), we assumed an in-plane distance of the Sun from the Galactic center of $X_{\odot}=$ $8.178 \mathrm{kpc}[9]$ and $Z_{\odot}=20.8 \mathrm{pc}$, a velocity of the Local Standard of Rest (LSR), $V_{\text {LSR }}=234.737$ [16], and a peculiar velocity of the Sun with respect to the LSR, $U_{\odot}=11.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, V_{\odot}=12.24 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $W_{\odot}=7.25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ [23].

We assume the initial positions and velocities of the GCs in the heliocentric coordinate system as $(X, Y, Z)$ and $(U, V, W)$, respectively. As a result, the initial positions $(x, y, z)$ and velocities $(u, v, w)$ of the GCs in the rectangular galactic coordinates can be derived from the positions and velocities of the GCs in the heliocentric coordinate system ( $X, Y, Z$ ) and $(U, V, W)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=X+X_{\odot}+X_{\mathrm{LSR}}, \\
y=Y+Y_{\odot}+Y_{\mathrm{LSR}}, \\
z=Z+Z_{\odot}+Z_{\mathrm{LSR}},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u=U+U_{\odot}+U_{\mathrm{LSR}}, \\
v=V+V_{\odot}+V_{\mathrm{LSR}}, \\
w=W+W_{\odot}+W_{\mathrm{LSR}},
\end{array}\right. \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we assume $U_{\text {LSR }}=W_{\text {LSR }}=0$ and $Y_{\odot}=0$.

## ORBITS INTEGRATION

For the GCs orbit integration we adopted the MW-type gravitational potential based on the superposition of bulge + disk + halo models. In particular, the total potential consisting in a spherical bulge $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}(R, z)$, an axisymmetric disk $\Phi_{\mathrm{d}}(R, z)$ and a
spherical dark-matter halo $\Phi_{\mathrm{h}}(R, z)$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(R, z)=\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}(R, z)+\Phi_{\mathrm{d}}(R, z)+\Phi_{\mathrm{h}}(R, z), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$ is the Galactocentric distance in polar coordinates and $z$ is the vertical coordinate perpendicular to the disk plane.

Potentials of the bulge and the disk were taken in the form of Miyamoto-Nagai [17], while the dark matter potential is assumed to be Navarro-FrenkWhite (NFW) [19]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}(R, z)=-\frac{M_{\mathrm{b}}}{\left(r^{2}+b_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}},  \tag{4}\\
\Phi_{\mathrm{d}}(R, z)=-\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}}{\left[R^{2}+\left(a_{\mathrm{d}}+\sqrt{z^{2}+b_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
\Phi_{\mathrm{h}}(R, z)=-\frac{M_{\mathrm{h}}}{r} \ln \left(1+\frac{r}{b_{\mathrm{h}}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r=\sqrt{R^{2}+z^{2}}$ is the spherical galactocentric distance, masses and the scale-lengths of the components can be found in Table 1 [3,4].

For the GCs orbital integration we used a highorder parallel dynamical $N$-body code $\varphi$-GRAPE which is based on the fourth-order Hermite integration scheme with hierarchical individual block time steps scheme [7]. More details about the code architecture and special GRAPE hardware can be found in [11].

Before moving forward in the analysis of the collisions of the GCs population we have tested our numerical setup in order to keep tracking the GCs which orbits are the same during backward and forward integration. First, we integrated all 152 GCs backward for 5 Gyr then we use the positions of velocities of all the GCs at the end of the simulations


Fig. 2: Relative separation of the GC collision pairs (black dots) in backward (left) and forward (right) integration. Open squares indicate the collisions with $d R_{\text {coll }}<2\left(R_{\mathrm{hm}, i}+R_{\mathrm{hm}, j}\right)$ and asterisks indicate the collisions with $d V_{\text {coll }}<200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
and integrate them forward for 5 Gyr . One could expect that the resulting positions and velocities should be identical to the observed ones. However, we have found that the orbits of 25 GCs are not invertible. These GCs usually pass by very close to the galactic center and most likely even an adaptive timestep is not able to capture their motions in the very center. Another possibility is a non-integrability of the potential which is hard to quantify and we leave this issue for further studies. We mark these GCs as to (type of orbit) in Table 3 and remove them from further analysis. Therefore, our final sample consists of 119 objects.

Table 1: Galactic potential parameters.

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bulge mass $M_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.03 \times 10^{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ |
| Disk mass $M_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $6.51 \times 10^{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ |
| Halo mass $M_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $29.00 \times 10^{10}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ |
| Bulge scale param. $b_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.2672 | kpc |
| Disk scale param. $a_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 4.4 | kpc |
| Disk scale param. $b_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.3084 | kpc |
| Halo scale param. $b_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 7.7 | kpc |

## GC COLLISION PAIRS

In order to count the possible maximum number of collisions between all the pairs of GCs we first check as a general criteria all the close encounters
during the simulation time with the maximum separation up to $<100 \mathrm{pc}$. We define a more close encounters as "collisions" if (i) the minimum distance between the GCs should be less as twice of the sum of their half-mass radii: $d R_{\text {coll }}<2\left(R_{\mathrm{hm}, i}+R_{\mathrm{hm}, j}\right)$ and (ii) also the relative velocity between these objects at the same time $d V_{\text {coll }}$ should be: $<200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. According to the general criteria ( $d R_{\text {coll }}<100 \mathrm{pc}$ ) we have 2019 and 1973 close encounters during the backward and forward orbits integrations, respectively.

The first collision condition (i) reduces these numbers to only 18 events. Finally, applying the second (ii) condition we obtained only four reliable collision events.

In Fig. 2 we show the separation parameter as a function of time for backward (left) and forward (right) integration where four reliable collisions (Terzan 3 - NGC 6553, Terzan 3 - NGC 6218, Liller 1 - NGC 6522, Djorg 2 - NGC 6553) are marked by red symbols. It is worth mentioning, that all the colliding GCs were likely originally formed in the MW disk [15].

In order to estimate the global collision rate, in Fig. 3 we show the cumulative collisions number as a function of GCs minimum impact parameter $d R_{\text {coll }}$ (left) and relative velocity $d V_{\text {coll }}$ (right) at the moment of collision. According to this figure, we can estimate that in each ten million years there is at least one collision with the impact parameter less than 50 pc and less than $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

From the cumulative collision number distributions we can estimate the minimum value of impact


Fig. 3: GCs collision rate as a function of the relative distance (left) and relative velocity (right). Black dashed line (left) is a power-law fit $f(x)$ for relative distance (see equation (7)) and dash-dotted line (right) is cumulative distribution function fit $g(x)$ for relative velocity (see equation (8)).
parameter is $d R_{\text {coll }} \approx 5 \mathrm{pc}$ and relative velocity is $d V_{\text {coll }} \approx 85 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Distribution as function of impact parameter can be well fitted by a simple powerlaw function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{\mathrm{coll}}}{d t}\left(d R_{\mathrm{coll}}\right)=10^{a \cdot \lg \left(d R_{\mathrm{coll}}\right)+b} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the best fit slope parameters are $\mathrm{a}=2.06$ and $\mathrm{b}=-4.51$. Velocity distribution are described the normal distribution and respectively the cumulative collision numbers as function of relative velocity well described by the cumulative normal distribution function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{\text {coll }}}{d t}\left(d V_{\text {coll }}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{d V_{\text {coll }}-\mu}{\sigma \sqrt{2}}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used as a best fit mean value $\mu=472$ and the best fit variance value $\sigma=209$.

In Fig. 4 we present the orbits of colliding GCs which are color-coded by time. The time range is about ten million years around the moment of collisions. More detailed the orbital structure is shown in right. The solid line corresponds to the first GC in a pair while the dashed line shows the second one. The intersection of the orbits ("collision") is marked as a red circle. Of course, for the detail study of the GCs "collisions" of orbits we need to taking in account the gravity interaction between the GCs. But this kind of detail study was quite beyond of the scope of this current short study.

In Table 2 we summarize the exact time of "collisions" together with the minimum separations and relative velocities at the exact moment of collision.

Table 2: Characteristics of GC collisional pairs.

| GC 1 | GC 2 | $d R_{\text {coll }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{pc})$ | $d V_{\text {coll }}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{Myr})$ | Prob. <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Terzan 3 | NGC 6553 | 25.58 | 148.18 | 237 | 22.09 |
| Terzan 3 | NGC 6218 | 10.75 | 183.12 | 580 | 24.32 |
| Liller 1 | NGC 6522 | 9.38 | 185.04 | 2625 | 25.14 |
| Djorg 2 | NGC 6553 | 20.22 | 153.14 | 2889 | 20.23 |

To check the possible influence, first of all of the velocity errors (see Fig. 1) of Gaia measurements, we perform extra 10 thousand runs of backward integration with the $\pm \sigma$ randomly initialized and normally distributed velocities. The $\sigma$ velocity errors ( $\mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{R}}$, ePMRA and ePMDEC) we get from the [24] catalog. On this way we can approximately estimate how big the probability that our four GSs indeed can collide during the last 5 Gyr of evolution of our Galaxy. From this set of 10 thousand individual runs we see that our selected clusters "collide" in $\approx 11.21 \%$ of cases. Taking advantage of the randomization in the initial conditions, for each individual GCs pairs we have managed to estimate the lower limit of the collisions probability (see the last column in Table 2).

## CONCLUSIONS

Using the present-day Gaia DR 2-based catalogs $[5,24]$ we have analyzed the orbits of the Milky Way globular clusters. From 152 GCs we discard 8 objects with large velocity errors and 25 GCs were removed from the analysis due to unstable orbits
during backward/forward integration. For the remaining 119 GCs, we analyze both backward and forward orbits calculated in the MW-like external potential using our own developed high order $\varphi$ GRAPE code. Using a complex criteria for the collisions detection we identified four candidate colliding pairs: Terzan 3 - NGC 6553 , Terzan 3 - NGC 6218 , Liller 1 - NGC 6522, Djorg 2 - NGC 6553. We also estimated the overall collision rate as about one collision with the impact parameter less than 50 pc and less than $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per 10 Myr . Our experimental overall close encounter ("collision") number ( $N_{\text {coll }}=4$ ) agrees well with the simple estimation from the collision rate statistical fits (see Fig. 3).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the anonymous referee for a very constructive report and suggestions that helped significantly improve the quality of the manuscript. The work of MI and MS was supported by the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine under research project of young scientists No. 0121U111799. SK acknowledges the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-72-20089 for support in numerical integration of orbits carried by using the equipment of the shared research facilities of HPC computing resources at Lomonosov Moscow State University (project RFMEFI62117X0011). PB acknowledges support by the Chinese Academy of Sciences through the Silk Road Project at NAOC, the President's International Fellowship (PIFI) for Visiting Scientists program of CAS. The work of PB, MI and MS was also supported by the Volkswagen Foundation under the Trilateral Partnerships grants No. 90411 and 97778. PB and MI acknowledges support by the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine under the Main Astronomical Observatory GPU computing cluster project No. 13.2021.MM. PB also acknowledges the support from the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP08856149).

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission GAIA (https: //www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the GAIA Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/
dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the GAIA Multilateral Agreement.

## REFERENCES

[1] Allen C., Moreno E., Pichardo B. 2006, AJ, 652, 2
[2] Allen C., Moreno E., Pichardo B. 2008, AJ, 674, 1
[3] Bajkova A.T., Bobylev V.V. Astronomical and Astrophysical Transactions, 2021, 32, 3, p. 177-206
[4] Bajkova A.T., Bobylev V.V., 2021, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 21, 173
[5] Baumgardt H., Hilker M., Sollima A., Bellini A. 2019, MNRAS, 482, 4
[6] Bennett M., Bovy J. 2019, MNRAS, 482, 1417
[7] Berczik P., Nitadori K., Zhong S. et al. 2011, in International conference on High Performance Computing, Kyiv, Ukraine, p. 8-18
[8] Gaia Collaboration: Helmi I., van Leeuwen F., McMillan P.J. et al. 2018, A\&A, 616, A12
[9] Gravity Collaboration: Abuter R., Amorim A., Bauböck M. et al. 2019, A\&A, 625, L10
[10] Gnedin O.Y., Ostriker J.P. 1997, AJ, 474, 1
[11] Harfst S., Gualandris A., Merritt D. et al. 2007, New Astron., 12, 357
[12] Ibata R.A., Gilmore G., Irwin M.J. 1994, Nature, 370, 6486
[13] Johnson D.R.H., Soderblom D.R. 1987, AJ, 93, 864
[14] Kharchenko N.V., Piskunov A.E., Schilbach E., Röser S., Scholz R.D. 2013, A\&A, 558, A53
[15] Kruijssen J.M.D., Pfeffer J.L., Chevance M. et al. 2020, MNRAS, 498, 2
[16] Mardini M.K., Placco V.M., Meiron Y. et al. 2020, ApJ, 903, 88
[17] Miyamoto M., Nagai R. 1975, Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan, 533-534, 27
[18] Moreno E., Pichardo B., Velázquez H. 2014, AJ, 793, 2
[19] Navarro J.F., Frenk C.S., White S.D.M. et al. 1997, ApJ, 490, 2
[20] Pichardo B., Martos M., Moreno E. 2004, AJ, 609, 1
[21] Pérez-Villegas A., Rossi L., Ortolani S. et al. 2018, Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 35
[22] Pérez-Villegas A., Barbuy B., Kerber L.O. et al. 2020, MNRAS, 491, 3
[23] Schönrich R., Binney J, Dehnen W. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 4
[24] Vasiliev E. 2019, MNRAS, 484, 2

Table 3: Initial list of GCs.

| ID | Name | Flag | ID | Name | Flag | ID | Name | Flag | ID | Name | Flag | ID | Name | Flag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NGC 104 |  | 32 | NGC 5634 |  | 63 | NGC 6273 |  | 94 | Terzan 5 | to | 125 | NGC 6656 |  |
| 2 | NGC 288 |  | 33 | NGC 5694 |  | 64 | NGC 6284 |  | 95 | NGC 6440 | to | 126 | Pal 8 |  |
| 3 | NGC 362 |  | 34 | IC 4499 |  | 65 | NGC 6287 |  | 96 | NGC 6441 |  | 127 | NGC 6681 |  |
| 4 | Whiting 1 |  | 35 | NGC 5824 |  | 66 | NGC 6293 | to | 97 | Terzan 6 | to | 128 | NGC 6712 | to |
| 5 | NGC 1261 |  | 36 | Pal 5 |  | 67 | NGC 6304 |  | 98 | NGC 6453 |  | 129 | NGC 6715 |  |
| 6 | Pal 1 | me | 37 | NGC 5897 |  | 68 | NGC 6316 |  | 99 | NGC 6496 |  | 130 | NGC 6717 | to |
| 7 | E 1 | me | 38 | NGC 5904 |  | 69 | NGC 6341 |  | 100 | Terzan 9 | to | 131 | NGC 6723 |  |
| 8 | Eridanus |  | 39 | NGC 5927 |  | 70 | NGC 6325 |  | 101 | Djorg 2 | cc | 132 | NGC 6749 |  |
| 9 | Pal 2 |  | 40 | NGC 5946 |  | 71 | NGC 6333 |  | 102 | NGC 6517 | to | 133 | NGC 6752 |  |
| 10 | NGC 1851 |  | 41 | BH 176 | me | 72 | NGC 6342 |  | 103 | Terzan 10 |  | 134 | NGC 6760 | me |
| 11 | NGC 1904 |  | 42 | NGC 5986 |  | 73 | NGC 6356 |  | 104 | NGC 6522 | cc | 135 | NGC 6779 |  |
| 12 | NGC 2298 |  | 43 | FSR 1716 |  | 74 | NGC 6355 |  | 105 | NGC 6535 |  | 136 | Terzan 7 |  |
| 13 | NGC 2419 |  | 44 | Pal 14 |  | 75 | NGC 6352 |  | 106 | NGC 6528 |  | 137 | Pal 10 |  |
| 14 | Pyxis |  | 45 | BH 184 |  | 76 | IC 1257 |  | 107 | NGC 6539 |  | 138 | Arp 2 |  |
| 15 | NGC 2808 |  | 46 | NGC 6093 |  | 77 | Terzan 2 |  | 108 | NGC 6540 |  | 139 | NGC 6809 |  |
| 16 | E 3 |  | 47 | NGC 6121 | to | 78 | NGC 6366 |  | 109 | NGC 6544 | to | 140 | Terzan 8 |  |
| 17 | Pal 3 | me | 48 | NGC 6101 |  | 79 | Terzan 4 |  | 110 | NGC 6541 |  | 141 | Pal 11 |  |
| 18 | NGC 3201 |  | 49 | NGC 6144 |  | 80 | BH 229 |  | 111 | ESO 280-6 |  | 142 | NGC 6838 |  |
| 19 | Pal 4 | me | 50 | NGC 6139 |  | 81* | FSR 1758 |  | 112 | NGC 6553 | cc | 143 | NGC 6864 |  |
| 20 | Crater |  | 51 | Terzan 3 | cc | 82 | NGC 6362 |  | 113 | NGC 6558 | to | 144 | NGC 6934 |  |
| 21 | NGC 4147 |  | 52 | NGC 6171 |  | 83* | Liller 1 | cc | 114 | Pal 7 |  | 145 | NGC 6981 |  |
| 22 | NGC 4372 |  | 53 | ESO 452-11 | to | 84 | NGC 6380 | to | 115 | Terzan 12 |  | 146 | NGC 7006 |  |
| 23 | Rup 106 |  | 54 | NGC 6205 |  | 85 | Terzan 1 | to | 116 | NGC 6569 |  | 147 | NGC 7078 |  |
| 24 | NGC 4590 |  | 55 | NGC 6229 |  | 86 | Ton 2 |  | 117 | BH 261 |  | 148 | NGC 7089 |  |
| 25 | NGC 4833 | to | 56 | NGC 6218 | cc | 87 | NGC 6388 |  | 118 | NGC 6584 |  | 149 | NGC 7099 |  |
| 26 | NGC 5024 |  | 57 | FSR 1735 | me | 88 | NGC 6402 | to | 119 | NGC 6624 | to | 150 | Pal 12 |  |
| 27 | NGC 5053 |  | 58 | NGC 6235 |  | 89 | NGC 6401 |  | 120 | NGC 6626 | to | 151 | Pal 13 |  |
| 28 | NGC 5139 |  | 59 | NGC 6254 |  | 90 | NGC 6397 |  | 121 | NGC 6638 | to | 152 | NGC 7492 |  |
| 29 | NGC 5272 |  | 60 | NGC 6256 | to | 91 | Pal 6 | to | 122 | NGC 6637 | to |  |  |  |
| 30 | NGC 5286 | me | 61 | Pal 15 |  | 92 | NGC 6426 |  | 123 | NGC 6642 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | NGC 5466 |  | 62 | NGC 6266 |  | 93 | Djorg 1 | to | 124 | NGC 6652 | to |  |  |  |

NOTE: Parameters for all GCs was taken from [24] with exception for GCs marked * with data from [5]. Column Flag contain additional information: me - GC was excluded from the integration due to their significant measurement errors, to - GC was excluded from the integration due to their type of orbit, cc - GC what satisfied "collision" conditions.


Fig. 4: 3D orbits of GC "collision" pairs in $\sim 20 \mathrm{Myr}$ (left) and $\sim 1 \mathrm{Myr}$ (right) around collision from (top) to (bottom): (Terzan 3, NGC 6553), (Terzan 3, NGC 6218), (Liller 1, NGC 6522) and (Djorg 2, NGC 6553). Trajectories are colour coded by time, where arrows indicate motion direction and open circles show time moment of collision.
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