Rab11 facilitates cross-talk between autophagy and endosomal pathway through regulation of Hook localization
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ABSTRACT During autophagy, double-membrane autophagosomes deliver sequestered cytoplasmic content to late endosomes and lysosomes for degradation. The molecular mechanism of autophagosome maturation is still poorly characterized. The small GTPase Rab11 regulates endosomal traffic and is thought to function at the level of recycling endosomes. We show that loss of Rab11 leads to accumulation of autophagosomes and late endosomes in Drosophila melanogaster. Rab11 translocates from recycling endosomes to autophagosomes in response to autophagy induction and physically interacts with Hook, a negative regulator of endosome maturation. Hook anchors endosomes to microtubules, and we show that Rab11 facilitates the fusion of endosomes and autophagosomes by removing Hook from mature late endosomes and inhibiting its homodimerization. Thus induction of autophagy appears to promote autophagic flux by increased convergence with the endosomal pathway.

INTRODUCTION Macroautophagy (hereafter autophagy) is an evolutionarily conserved bulk degradation process of eukaryotic cells. The regulation of this process was originally described in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) but even has a key role in multicellular organisms as a cytoprotective response to stress and pathological conditions (Levine and Kroemer, 2008; Mizushima et al., 2008). Autophagy has the capacity to engulf large portions of the cytoplasm through the formation of double-membrane vesicles, called autophagosomes. These vesicles arise from preautophagosomal structures (PAS), which are defined sites of cytoplasm, marked by a subset of autophagy-related (Atg) proteins (Mizushima et al., 2011). Closed autophagosomes undergo a maturation process, as they subsequently fuse with endosomes and lysosomes.

On autophagy induction, the Atg1 kinase complex (ULK1/2 in mammals) localizes to the PAS (Chan and Tooze, 2009; Mizushima, 2010) and together with the class III phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (Vps34) complex initiates the phagophore nucleation and expansion (Funderburk et al., 2010). After these events, the members of two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems are recruited to the phagophore membrane: the Atg5-12-16 complex and the phosphatidylethanolamine-conjugated Atg8a (LC3 in mammals; Geng and Klionsky, 2008). The lipid-conjugated form of Atg8a (Atg8a-II) is located on both sides of the membrane of the phagophore and autophagosomes as well. While the Atg8a located on the outer membrane is routed for recyclization, the other portion of Atg8a becomes trapped in the autolysosomal lumen and is degraded by lysosomal hydrolases. Thus Atg8a is a widely used marker of autophagic structures (Klionsky et al., 2012).
After completion, autophagosomes can fuse with lysosomes. However, there is also growing evidence about the convergence of the autophagic route with the earlier stages of endosomal pathways. Recent studies show that autophagosomes can fuse with different populations of both early (Tooze et al., 1990; Razi et al., 2009) and late (Lucqc and Walker, 1997; Köchli et al., 2006; Filimonenko et al., 2007) endosomes to form hybrid organelles called amphisomes (Gordon and Seglen, 1988).

In spite of numerous studies, many questions remained open concerning the spatial and temporal regulation of autophagosome maturation, amphisome formation, and the exact molecular mechanisms of the fusion events. As a recent review discussed, a cross-talk may exist between autophagic and endosomal processes, which likely plays an important role in the regulation of both degradative pathways (Lamb et al., 2013).

The members of the Rab small GTPase protein family are the main regulators of membrane trafficking and fusion events (Stenmark, 2009). The active, GTP-bound Rab proteins recruit several specific effectors to the membrane in which they are associated, thereby determining the membrane’s identity, traffic, and fusion ability. Recent studies revealed a role for a subset of Rab proteins in autophagy (Chua et al., 2011). Rab11 was indicated to play an important role both at the early and late stages of autophagy. Recent studies found that Rab11 is involved in vesicle trafficking events from recycling endosomes (REs) to the phagophore during autophagosome formation (Longatti et al., 2012; Knævelsrud et al., 2013). Meanwhile, previous studies suggested a role for Rab11 in the maturation of autophagosomes (Fader et al., 2008; Richards et al., 2011).

RESULTS

Rab11 is required for amphisome formation

Rab11 was previously described as a potent regulator of autophagosome maturation in cultured mammalian cells (Fader et al., 2008). To better understand the role of Rab11 in autophagy, we examined the functions of this protein in Drosophila melanogaster. We analyzed whether the decrease in the level of functional Rab11 protein causes any defect upon autophagy. Using three independent RNA interference (RNAi) lines, we observed the accumulation of small-sized Atg8a-positive dots in Rab11-depleted cells under both fed and starved conditions (Figure 1A and Supp Figure S1, A, B, E, and F). Fat body cells overexpressing the GDP-locked dominant-negative form of Rab11 or Rab11 hypomorphic mutant clone cells showed the same phenotype (Figure S1, C, D, and F).

Accumulation of autophagic structures can be caused both by increased induction of autophagy and by failures in the autophagosome maturation. For monitoring autophagic flux, we used tandem-tagged mCherry–green fluorescent protein–Atg8a reporter (mCherry-GFP-Atg8a; Kimura et al., 2007). In Rab11 RNAi cells, we observed double-labeled immature autophagic structures, suggesting depletion of Rab11 blocks the autophagic flux (Figure 1B). Furthermore, our Western blot and immunostaining experiments showed the accumulation of p62, a well-known target of autophagic degradation due to Rab11 silencing (Figure 1, C and D). Rab11 depletion resulted in the accumulation of GFP-Atg8a–positive puncta both in presence and in absence of chloroquine (CQ), an inhibitor of lysosomal acidification. However, CQ treatment did not cause a further increase in the number of autophagic structures (Figure S1, G and H). The GFP-Atg8 processing assay is also suitable for investigating autophagic degradation (Klionsky et al., 2012). Using this tool, we found significantly less free GFP in Rab11-depleted larvae compared with control larvae (Figures 1E and S1). Moreover, our ultrastructural studies also suggest that Rab11 RNAi leads to the accumulation of abnormal autophagic vacuoles (Figure 1F).

Surprisingly, when we examined the influence of Rab11 depletion on the pattern of LTR, a marker of acidic structures, we observed an accumulation of LTR-positive dots under both fed and starved conditions, compared with control cells (Figures 2A and S2, A and D). However, we found a decrease in the colocalization frequency of GFP-Atg8a with LTR (from 43 ± 1.6 to 8 ± 0.8%), indicating that a drop in Rab11 protein levels results in a reduced number of late autophagic structures (Figure S2, E and F). Next we tested whether LTR colocalizes with any marker of the endolysosomal system. Using Lamp1-GFP, a marker of late endosomes and lysosomes (Schwake et al., 2013), we observed an increased number of Lamp1-positive dots and 90 ± 1.4% of LTR-positive dots colocalized with them in Rab11 RNAi cells (Figures 2A and S2, B–D). Moreover, we found the accumulation of the late endosomal marker Rab7 (Wang et al., 2011), but not that of the early endosomal marker Rabenosyn-5 (de Renzis et al., 2002; Figures 2B and S2G).

Because our results showed the accumulation of both autophagosomes and late endosomes, we tested whether Rab11 depletion affects amphisome formation. Colocalization of Atg8a with different endosomal markers was studied both in the presence and absence of Rab11 during starvation-induced autophagy. We found a significant decrease in colocalization of the endocytic tracer Texas Red Avidin (TRA) with GFP-Atg8a due to Rab11-depletion (from 43 ± 0.9 to 8 ± 0.2%; Figure 2, C and C∗). Silencing of Rab11 decreased the colocalization of mCherry-Atg8a with the endosomal markers Rab7 (from 20 ± 1.6% to 1.8 ± 0.3%) and Lamp1 (from 75 ± 1.7 to 32 ± 1.6%) as well (Figures 2C and S2, H and I). Supporting these findings, our electron microscopic analysis showed acidic late endosomes often located in proximity to autophagosomes, but we could not detect any acidic autophagic vacuoles (Figure S2J).

These findings suggest that Rab11 is required for amphisome formation and lack of Rab11 results in the accumulation of abnormal autophagosomes and late endosomes.

Rab11 localizes to autophagosomes

As our results suggest, Rab11 presumably plays an important role in the fusion of autophagosomes with late endosomes. Therefore our aim was to verify whether Rab11 indeed localizes to autophagic structures. At first, we investigated the colocalization of Rab11 with Atg8a. We found that 40 ± 0.4% of ectopically expressed Rab11–GFP–positive puncta colocalized with mCherry-Atg8a under starved conditions (Figure S3A). Supporting this result, mCherry-Atg8a was also found to colocalize with endogenous Rab11 (Figure 3B).

These findings raised the question of whether there is an autophagy induction–dependent change in the colocalization of endogenous Rab11 with mCherry-Atg8a. We observed that only 0.46 ± 0.1% of Rab11-positive dots colocalized with mCherry-Atg8a under fed conditions, while amino acid starvation significantly increased the colocalization frequency to 18 ± 1.5% (Figure 3, A, B, and F). However, autophagy induction did not affect the number of Rab11-positive structures in fat body cells (Figure S3B). This suggests that Rab11, besides being found in REs, can be also found on autophagosome structures.

Vps32/Snf7 is a member of the ESCRT-III complex, playing a key role in the maturation of endosomes (Williams and Urbé, 2007; Hanson et al., 2008; Raiborg and Stenmark, 2009). It was previously described that ESCRT proteins are required for amphisome formation and that immature autophagosomes accumulate due to the lack of ESCRT components (Filimonenko et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2007). We detected a moderate increase in the frequency of
colocalization between Rab11 and mCherry-Atg8a in Vps32-silenced cells. This observation also provides further evidence that Rab11 localizes to immature autophagosomes (Figure 3, C and F).

Rab11 is a widely used marker of REs (Hsu and Prekeris, 2010). Thus we examined whether autophagy induction affects the colocalization of Rab11 with GFP-labeled transferrin receptor (TfR), a well-known cargo of the Rab11-dependent recycling pathway (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004). Starvation-induced autophagy caused significant decrease in colocalization of Rab11 with TfR compared with control cells, while the amount of TfR-positive dots did not change (Figures 3, D, E, and G, and S3C).

These results suggest that, upon autophagy induction, Rab11 translocates from REs to autophagosomes.

**Rab11 supports endosome maturation by regulating Hook**

A recent study in cultured HEK293T cells showed that Rab11 can bind to Hook, a protein playing a role in the maturation of endosomes (Luiro et al., 2004). We also found a strong interaction between transgenic Hook and Rab11 in cultured Drosophila D. Mel-2 cells, while Rab11 did not bind to another late endosomal protein, Lamp1 (Figure S3, D and D′). Confirming these results, we could detect in vivo interaction of Hook-FLAG with endogenous Rab11 (Figure 4A), and we found that Rab11 interacts with Hook in a GTP-dependent manner (Figure S3E). Moreover, the strength of this interaction increased due to autophagy induction by starvation (Figure 4A). Finally, our coimmunoprecipitation experiments showed that Rab11 binds to the central coiled-coil were measured as described and compared between groups using GLMM (n = 4, p < 0.0001). The differently colored sections of bars show the relative amounts of free GFP (green) and GFP-Atg8a (black) in the samples. (F) Ultrastructure of Rab11-depleted clone cells and neighboring control cells. Rab11-depletion results in the accumulation of immature (arrows) and abnormal (arrowheads) autophagosomes compared with the control cells. Nu, nucleus; M, mitochondria; L, lipid droplets. Scale bars: (A–C) 10 μm; (F) 1 μm. On box-and-whisker plots, bars (gray, control; green, Rab11 RNAi) show the data lying between the upper and lower quartiles; the median is indicated as a horizontal line within the box. Whiskers plot the smallest and largest observations.

***, p < 0.001.
domain of Hook, which was previously found to be responsible for homodimerization (Krämer and Phistry, 1999; Figure S3, F–K).

Furthermore, we found a 21 ± 1.5% colocalization ratio between Hook and Rab11 in fat body cells of fed larvae. This ratio increased to 45 ± 2.2% upon autophagy induction by starvation (Figure 4, B–D), while the number of Hook-positive structures and the level of Hook protein remained unaffected (Figure S4, A and B).

Our further experiments showed that 4 ± 0.4% of Hook-positive puncta colocalizes with mCherry-Atg8a under fed conditions, and this ratio increased to 16 ± 1% after autophagy induction (Figure 4, E–G). Parallel with these results, we could observe a decrease (from 48 ± 0.9 to 13 ± 0.6%) in colocalization between Hook and the late endosomal marker Rab7 after autophagy induction (Figure 4, H, I, and K). Meanwhile, no changes were detected in the number of Rab7-positive structures (Figure S4C). Similarly, induction of autophagy did not significantly increase the colocalization between Rab11 and Rab7 (Figure S4, D–F). This suggests that the increased colocalization of Hook with mCherry-Atg8a is not due to the increased convergence of autophagic and endosomal pathways. Furthermore, we could not detect any changes in the frequency of Hook-Rab7 colocalization upon amino acid starvation in cells lacking Atg1 protein, which is required for autophagy induction (Chan and Tooze, 2009; Figure S4, G–I). These results suggest that autophagy induction by starvation results in the translocation of Hook from Rab7-positive endosomes to autophagic structures.

We investigated whether Rab11 is required for the altered Hook localization. We found that silencing of Rab11 in fed larvae resulted in the accumulation of Hook on Rab7-positive late endosomes, indicated by an increased colocalization (65 ± 1.3%) compared with 48 ± 0.9% in control cells; Figure 4, J and K). Moreover, we could not detect any changes in the frequency of colocalization between Hook and mCherry-Atg8a upon autophagy induction in Rab11-depleted cells (Figure S4, J–L). In addition to these results in fat body cells overexpressing wild-type Rab11, we observed an increase in the colocalization of Hook with mCherry-Atg8a (from 4 ± 4 to 52 ± 8.1%) and a decrease in the frequency of Hook-Rab7 colocalization (from 42 ± 7.9 to 16 ± 5.1%) due to amino acid starvation, whereas overexpression of GDP-locked Rab11 did not result in any changes in the colocalization of Hook with mCherry-Atg8a or Rab7-yellow fluorescent protein (Rab7-YFP; Figures 4L and S4, M–T).

Next we examined whether Rab11 has any effect on heterodimerization of endogenous Hook with transgenic Hook-FLAG. Our coimmunoprecipitation studies showed that starvation resulted in a decrease in heterodimer formation of Hook with Hook-FLAG in the presence of Rab11. However, we could not detect any changes in Hook heterodimerization in Rab11 RNAi larvae (Figure 4M).

A previous study showed that Hook has a negative regulatory role in the process of endosome maturation (Narayanan et al., 2000). Supporting this result, we found that overexpression of full-length Hook in fat body cells results in the accumulation of Rab7-positive structures (Figure 4, N and P). Moreover, we observed a moderate accumulation of mCherry-Atg8a–positive puncta in cells overexpressing Hook (Figures 4P and S4).

It was previously described that the N-terminal domain of Hook is responsible for microtubule binding (Krämer and Phistry, 1999). We also detected the interaction of the Hook N-terminus with rab11 in autophagic endosomal cross-talk
compared between cell groups described in (D and E) using the Mann-Whitney test. (Starved-Vps32 ↓ p < 0.0001; fed-starved: p = 0.0140; fed-Vsp32 ↓ p = 0.1840). (G) Co-localization of Rab11 with TfR-GFP was calculated and compared between cell groups described in (D and E) using the Mann-Whitney test (n_{fed} = 35, n_{stvd} = 34, p < 0.0017). On box-and-whisker plots, bars show the data lying between the upper and lower quartiles; the median is indicated as a horizontal line within the box. Whiskers plot the smallest and largest observations. NS, p > 0.05; *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.001; ***, p < 0.0001. Scale bars: 10 μm.

**FIGURE 3:** Rab11 localizes to autophagosomes. Fat body cells of fed (A) and starved (B) L3 larvae expressing mCherry-Atg8a (red) were stained with anti-Rab11 antibody (green). Nuclei were stained using DAPI (blue). Rab11 did not block autophagosome formation in the absence of functional Rab11 protein.

α-tubulin (Figure 4, R and S). Furthermore, we found that overexpression of N-terminally truncated Hook in fat body cells does not result in accumulation of autophagic or Rab7-positive endosomal structures (Figures 4, O and Q, and S4V).

All of these data suggest that autophagy induction results in a Rab11-dependent translocation of Hook from late endosomes to autophagic structures. Through their interaction, Rab11 can inhibit the negative regulatory role of Hook on late endosomal development; therefore the presence of Rab11 allows the endosomes to mature.

**DISCUSSION**

Former work suggested a role for Rab11 in the maturation process of autophagosomes (Fader et al., 2008; Richards et al., 2011). In this in vivo study, we identified novel key functions of Rab11 in this process in Drosophila melanogaster. Loss of Rab11 leads to the accumulation of abnormal autophagosomes and acidic late endosomes, which is probably a consequence of impaired amphibosome formation.

Furthermore, we observed autophagy induction–dependent translocation of Rab11 from TIR-positive REs to autophagosomes. This finding supports previous reports, which revealed REs as a possible membrane source for autophagosome formation and a role for Rab11 in vesicle trafficking from REs to the sites of autophagosome formation in cultured mammalian cells (Longatti et al., 2012; Puri et al., 2013). In line with these findings, a recent study of Knævelsrud and colleagues showed that Rab11 participates in membrane traffic from REs to the autophagosome precursors together with the Drosophila homologue of SNX18 (Knævelsrud et al., 2013). This study suggests that Rab11 has a similar role in Drosophila melanogaster and a role in providing an RE-derived membrane source for phagophore expansion. However, we did not observe any defect in autophagosome formation in the absence of functional Rab11 protein.

The molecular mechanism of autophagosome formation is a poorly understood process. Recently several organelles were implicated as possible membrane sources for autophagosomes (Mari et al., 2011). Many studies suggest that the possible origin of the autophagosomal membrane might vary, even in different mammalian cell types (Hayashi-Nishino et al., 2009; Hailey et al., 2010; Ravikumar et al., 2010; Yamamoto et al., 2012; Hamasaki et al., 2013), and this question needs further investigation in Drosophila melanogaster as well. Presumably, although REs contribute to the formation of autophagic membrane in flies, they are not likely to be the main membrane source. This could explain why the loss of Rab11 did not block autophagosome formation in our experiments.

We demonstrated the interaction of both transgenic and endogenous Rab11 with Hook protein in Drosophila cell culture and even in the whole organism. These results confirm a former study that showed the same phenomenon using COS-1 cells cotransfected with tagged Hook and Rab11 constructs (Luirio et al., 2004). Furthermore, we found an autophagy induction–dependent increase in the strength of this physical interaction.

In addition to these findings, our results provide mechanistic insights into the maturation of endosomes and autophagosomes: the interaction between Rab11 and Hook is crucial for the maturation of these structures. Autophagy induction by amino acid starvation increased the colocalization of Rab11 with Hook and Hook with Atg8a. In line with that, we found a significant decrease in Hook-Rab7 colocalization during starvation-induced autophagy. Our data suggest a Rab11-dependent translocation of Hook from Rab7-labeled late endosomes to autophagic structures. This idea is supported by the
finding that lack of Rab11 causes the accumulation of Hook on Rab7-positive late endosomes.

Previously Hook was found to be a negative regulator of endosome maturation in Drosophila (Narayan et al., 2000) and the Hook-like protein Acinus was described as an important regulator of endosome maturation and autophagic processes (Haberman et al., 2010). In line with these findings, we found that overexpression of full-length Hook protein mimics the effects of Rab11 depletion on the maturation of autophagosomes and endosomes. Moreover, we show that the N-terminal microtubule-binding domain of Hook is required for its negative regulatory role. Our latter results reveal a key mechanistic role for Rab11 in removal of Hook from late endosomes, which allows termination of endosome maturation and subsequent fusion with lysosomes. As was demonstrated in previous work, mature late endosomes can undergo fusion with nascent autophagosomes and promote their maturation (Lucocq and Walker, 1997; Köchl et al., 2010). In line with these findings, we developed a model representing a mode of cross-talk between the autophagic and endosomal pathways (Figure 5). On starvation, the enhanced autophagic activity requires an increased input from the endolysosomal system. For this purpose, Rab11 removes Hook from the late endosomes, thereby allowing subsequent fusion of these compartments with immature autophagosomes as well.

Why Hook does not inhibit the maturation of autophagosomes once it localizes to these organelles is still an open question. We mapped the Hook interaction surface with Rab11 to residues 180–530, which represents the coiled-coil domain of Hook. This domain was previously found to be responsible for homodimerization of Hook (Krämer and Phistry, 1999). Conceivably, homodimerization and Rab11 binding are distinct and separable functions of the Hook coiled-coil domain, as was shown in the case of the FIP2 coiled-coil domain, which also interacts with Rab11 (Wei et al., 2006). Our further experiments showed that Rab11 binding prevents Hook from homodimerization. Thus our results suggest that Rab11 promotes autophagic flux by decreasing Hook homodimerization and inhibiting its negative regulatory role on endosome maturation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Drosophila stocks and culture
Fly stocks used in this study (derived from the Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center [referred to as VDRC; Vienna, Austria] and Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center [referred to as BDSC; Bloomington, IN]) are listed in Supplemental Table S1. UAS-Hook-FLAG, UAS–ΔN-Hook–hemagglutinin (UAS–ΔN-Hook-HA), and UAS-Rab11-HA stocks were generated by BestGene, using constructs described below. Flies were raised on standard yeast/commelina/agar media at 25°C, 50% humidity and a 12 h light/12 h dark daily cycle, under uncrowded conditions. During amino acid starvation, well-fed flies were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). All steps of the following procedure were performed on ice or at 4°C. Cells were lysed in 500 μl lysis buffer (0.5% Triton-X100, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and complete protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigma-Aldrich]). Lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min in an Eppendorf 5430R microcentrifuge. Before incubation, beads were washed three times with lysis buffer. Two hours later, beads were pelleted (8000 × g for 30 s), thoroughly washed five times with lysis buffer, and finally boiled in 30 μl Laemmli sample buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min. Cell lysates were incubated with 30 μl anti-FLAG– or anti-HA–conjugated agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h at 4°C. For immunoprecipitation studies carried out in whole organisms, expression of Hook-FLAG was induced by heat shocking well-fed L3 larvae for 1 h at 37°C. After this procedure, larvae were kept at 25°C for a 2-h recovery incubation, which was followed by 2 h of starvation. Larvae (100 mg) were washed twice and homogenized in 1 ml RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Detritus was pelleted (10,000 × g for 10 min), and the middle fraction was incubated with 30 μl anti-FLAG–conjugated agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h at 4°C. Beads were collected by centrifugation for 30 s at 8000 × g, washed extensively, and boiled in 30 μl Laemmli sample buffer. Bound proteins were detected by Western blotting. Western blots and immunostainings
Larvae (10–15 mg) were washed twice with PBS, and each mg of larvae was homogenized in 20 μl Laemmli sample buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). Homogenate was boiled for 5 min and pelleted at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, and the middle fraction was
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FIGURE 4: Rab11 facilitates endosome maturation by regulating Hook localization. (A) Lysates of Hook-FLAG–expressing and control fed and starved L3 Drosophila larvae were incubated with anti-FLAG antibody–conjugated agarose beads, and bound proteins were detected by Western blotting. The relative density of Rab11 bands compared with Hook-FLAG bands was measured as described and compared between fed and starved groups using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test (n = 5, p = 0.0431). Rab11-GFP–expressing (green) fat body cells of fed (B) and starved (C) L3 larvae were stained with anti-Hook antibody (red). Nuclei were stained using DAPI (blue). (D) Colocalization of Hook with Rab11 was calculated and compared between fed and starved groups using the Mann-Whitney test (n_fed = 132, n_stvd = 64, p < 0.0001). Fat body cells of fed (E) and starved (F) larvae expressing mCherry-Atg8a (red) were stained with anti-Hook antibody (green). Nuclei were stained using DAPI (blue). (G) Colocalization of Hook with mCherry-Atg8a was calculated and compared between two groups using the Mann-Whitney test (n_fed = 20, n_stvd = 20, p < 0.0001). Fat body
collected. Protein samples were separated on 8–12% polyacrylamide gel and were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). After incubation in blocking solution (3% bovine serum albumin [BSA] in Tween-20/TBS [TBST]) for 1 h at room temperature, membranes were incubated with primary antibody in antibody solution (1% BSA in TBST) for 1 h at room temperature; this was followed by three 10-min washes in TBST. Signals were detected using alkaline phosphatase–coupled secondary antibodies diluted in antibody solution. Finally, membranes were developed by BCIP/NBT solution (Sigma-Aldrich). For immunostaining experiments, we used larvae in the nutrient conditions and at the ages indicated above. The larval cuticle was opened, and tissue was fixed with 4% formaldehyde freshly depolymerized from paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C. After fixation, larvae were washed three times for 30 min each time in PBST (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) and incubated in blocking solution (5% normal goat serum in PBST) for 2 h at room temperature. Larvae were incubated in primary antibody in PBST overnight at 4°C; this was followed by three 30-min washes in PBST. Afterward, larvae were incubated with fluorescent dye–conjugated secondary antibody; this was followed by three 30-min washes. Finally, fat bodies were dissected and analyzed by microscopy.

**Antibodies**

Antibodies used in this study are listed in Table S2. The EGFP gene was amplified from the pRS52-EGFP vector (Clontech) and directly cloned into the pQE-UA bacterial expression vector (Qiagen). Recombinant protein encoding the full-length EGFP fused to an N-terminal hexahistidine tag was expressed in *Escherichia coli* M15 cells. Protein purification was performed using the QiAexpressionist kit (Qiagen). Mice were immunized with the fusion protein, and the resulting polyclonal antisera were used for further investigation.

**Histology and imaging**

Fat bodies from well-fed or amino acid–starved larvae were dissected in PBS and incubated for 2 min in 50 mM LTR (Invitrogen) and 1 μM Hoechst in PBS at room temperature. Stained fat bodies were washed with PBS, covered, and immediately analyzed by microscopy. For TRA uptake assay, larvae were dissected in M3 medium containing

![FIGURE 5: Model for the role of Rab11-Hook interaction in autophagic endosomal cross-talk](image-url)

Insect Medium Supplement (Sigma-Aldrich). A 30-min incubation in pulse solution (TRA [Invitrogen] 1:25 in 5% fetal calf serum [FCS] in M3/IMS medium) was followed by a 10-min chase (5% FCS in M3/IMS medium). Larvae were washed three times with 5% BSA in PBS at 4°C and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution. Fixed larvae were washed with PBS, and nuclei were stained with 1 μM Hoechst in PBS. Prepared tissues were covered in a solution containing 75% glycerol and 25% PBS. Primary images were edited using Adobe Photoshop CS5 software: area of interest was cropped, and brightness and contrast adjustments were modified, if it was necessary. To capture images, we used a Zeiss Axio Imager Z1 microscope equipped with an ApoTome unit and a Plan-Neofluar 40 × 0.75 numerical aperture objective using AxioCam MRm camera with AxioVision 4.82 software.
Quantification and statistical analysis

We determined the number of dots in the cells of interest using ImageJ software. These data were weighted for the cell area to exclude unwanted biases, which could derive from variations in age or size of larvae. Cell area was measured using ImageJ software. In this way, we generated dot per cell area ratio data. For colocalization analyses, the number of double- and single-labeled dots was measured using the Red and Green Puncta Colocalization Macro of ImageJ software. We calculated their percentage ([(double-labeled/ single-labeled dots) × 100]. When using a clonal analysis system during a study, we tried to choose the nearest neighbor of the clone cell in the same location (inside or on the periphery of the tissue) for control. If that was not possible, we took the next neighboring cell in a clockwise direction. When analyzing whole fat bodies, we measured the number of dots in 8–10 cells per larva and calculated an average value for each animal. Blots were quantified using ImageJ software. Density of P62, Rab11, GFP-Atg8a, and free GFP bands, and two treatments (fed and starved), respectively the two- and three-way interaction of these. The model showed the following results: there is significant difference between the two groups (F(1,24) = 37.426, p < 0.001) and the two measurements (F(1,24) = 7.336, p = 0.012), and these two are in significant interaction with each other (F(1,24) = 8.552; p = 0.007). The different treatments do not result in significant difference (F(1,24) = 0.235; p = 0.632). The post hoc test shows there is less free GFP in Rab11 RNAi larvae (t(24) = 5.603; p < 0.001), while there is no difference (t(24) = -0.125; p = 0.902) in the control. Density of free Rab11 and Hook bands was measured and weighed with the density of Hook-FLAG bands. Statistical analysis was performed using the indicated tests in IBM SPSS Statistics software. Box-and-whisker plot figures were generated using the same software. On box-and-whisker plots, bars show the data lying between the upper and lower quartiles; the median is indicated as a horizontal line within the box. Whiskers plot the smallest and largest observations, while dots and asterisks indicate outliers. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered to be significant; * means p < 0.05; ** means p < 0.01; *** means p < 0.001. For details and results of statistical analyses, see Table S3. Analysis of colocalizing dots was performed using Matlab (R2012b) software.

Ultrastructural analysis

**Transmission electron microscopy.** Larvae were dissected in ice-cold PBS, and fat bodies were fixed in a solution containing 2% formaldehyde, 0.5% glutaraldehyde, 3 mM CaCl₂, and 1% sucrose in 0.1 M Na-cacodylate (pH 7.4; 30 min at room temperature); postfixed in 0.5% osmium tetroxide (45 min at room temperature) and in half-saturated aqueous uranyl acetate (30 min at room temperature); dehydrated in graded series of ethanol; embedded in LR White according to the manufacturer’s instructions; and cured for 36 h at 60°C. Ultrathin sections were stained with lead citrate for 5 min. Grids were analyzed in a JEOL JEM 1011 transmission electron microscope operating at 60 kV. Images were taken using an Olympus MORADA 11 megapixel camera and iTEM software (Olympus). All reagents and materials used for electron microscopy were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Acid phosphatase cytochemistry.** Fat body lobes were fixed as described above and washed in 0.05M Na-acetate buffer (pH 5.0; three times for 5 min each time at room temperature), incubated in Gomori’s medium (5 mM β-glycerophosphate and 4 mM lead nitrate dissolved in 0.05M acetate buffer) for 30 min at room temperature, and then washed in acetate buffer (three times for 5 min each time) and processed for electron microscopy as described above. Substrate-free medium was used for control experiments.
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