

FAST AND SLOW POINTS OF BIRKHOFF SUMS

FRÉDÉRIC BAYART, ZOLTÁN BUCZOLICH, YANICK HEURTEAUX

ABSTRACT. We investigate the growth rate of the Birkhoff sums $S_{n,\alpha}f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(x+k\alpha)$, where f is a continuous function with zero mean defined on the unit circle \mathbb{T} and (α, x) is a “typical” element of \mathbb{T}^2 . The answer depends on the meaning given to the word “typical”. Part of the work will be done in a more general context.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the unit circle and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be irrational. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$, the set of continuous functions on \mathbb{T} with zero mean, and by $S_{n,\alpha}f(x)$ the n -th Birkhoff sum, $S_{n,\alpha}f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(x+k\alpha)$. The rotation $R_\alpha : x \mapsto x + \alpha$ defines a uniquely ergodic transformation on \mathbb{T} with respect to the (normalized) Lebesgue measure λ . Hence for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ we know that $S_{n,\alpha}f(x) = o(n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the typical growth of $S_{n,\alpha}f(x)$.

There are several ways to understand this problem. We can fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $x \in \mathbb{T}$) and ask for the behaviour of $S_{n,\alpha}f(x)$ for f in a generic subset of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ and for a typical $x \in \mathbb{T}$ (resp. for a typical $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$). We can also consider it as a problem of two variables and ask for the behaviour of $S_{n,\alpha}f(x)$ for f in a generic subset of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ and for a typical $(\alpha, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. There are also several ways to understand the word “typical”. We can look for a residual set of the parameter space or for a set of full Lebesgue measure.

We shall try to put this in a general context. If we fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then we consider the Birkhoff sums associated to a uniquely ergodic transformation on the compact metric space \mathbb{T} . Hence, let us fix Ω an infinite compact metric space and $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ an invertible continuous map such that T is uniquely ergodic. Let μ be the ergodic measure, which is regular and continuous. We will also assume that it has full support (equivalently, that all orbits of T are dense). For $x \in \Omega$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$, the Birkhoff sum $S_{n,T}f(x)$ is now defined by $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)$. Using $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\psi(n) = o(n)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$, let us define

$$\mathcal{E}_\psi(f) = \left\{ x \in \Omega; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,T}f(x)|}{\psi(n)} = +\infty \right\}.$$

Date: January 14, 2019.

The first and the third author were partially supported by the grant ANR-17-CE40-0021 of the French National Research Agency ANR (project Front). The second author was supported by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office–NKFIH, Grant 124003. He also thanks the Rényi Institute where he was a visiting researcher during the academic year 2017-18.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary : 37A05, Secondary : 11K55, 28A78, 60F15.

Keywords: Birkhoff sum, typical/generic properties, group rotation, coboundary, law of iterated logarithm.

The set $\mathcal{E}_\psi(f)$ has already been studied by several authors. In particular, it was shown by Krengel [7] (when $\Omega = [0, 1]$) and later by Liardet and Volný [9] that, for all functions f in a residual subset of $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$, $\mu(\mathcal{E}_\psi(f)) = 1$. We complete this result by showing that $\mathcal{E}_\psi(f)$ is also residual.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\psi(n) = o(n)$. There exists a residual set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{E}_\psi(f)$ is residual and of full μ -measure in Ω .*

If we allow α to vary in our initial problem, then the natural framework now is that of topological groups. Hence, we fix a compact and connected metric abelian group $(G, +)$. By Corollary 4.4 in [8, Chapter 4], G is a monothetic group, that is possesses a dense cyclic subgroup. Let μ be the Haar measure on G . It is invariant under each translation, or group rotation $T_u(x) = x + u$. We define G_0 as the set of $u \in G$ such that T_u is ergodic. By well-known results of ergodic theory, u belongs to G_0 if and only if $\{nu; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in G ; in this case T_u is uniquely ergodic, only the Haar measure is invariant with respect to T_u . Moreover, G_0 is always nonempty, it is dense and its Haar measure is equal to 1 (see Theorem 4.5 in [8, Chapter 4]).

Contrary to what happens in Theorem 1.1, the growth of $S_{n,u}f(x)$ for a typical $(u, x) \in G^2$ is not the same from the topological and from the probabilistic points of view. For the last one, the typical growth of $S_{n,u}f(x)$ has order $n^{1/2}$.

Theorem 1.2. (i) *For all $\nu > 1/2$ and all $f \in L_0^2(G)$,*

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{n^\nu} \geq 1 \right\} \right) = 0.$$

(ii) *There exists a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$,*

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{n^{1/2}} = +\infty \right\} \right) = 1.$$

From a topological point of view, the typical growth of $S_{n,u}f(x)$ has order n . Indeed, for $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\psi(n) = o(n)$, let us introduce

$$\mathfrak{E}_\psi(f) = \left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{\psi(n)} = +\infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\psi(n) = o(n)$. There exists a residual set $\mathcal{R}^* \subset \mathcal{C}_0(G) \times G^2$ such that for any $(f, u, x) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ we have $(u, x) \in \mathfrak{E}_\psi(f)$.*

We remark that, by the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem, Theorem 1.3 implies that there exists a residual set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{R}$, the set $\mathfrak{E}_\psi(f)$ is residual in G^2 .

The last possibility is to fix $x \in G$ and allow u to vary. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x = 0$. Again, topologically speaking, the typical growth of $S_{n,u}f(0)$ is not better than $o(n)$.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\psi(n) = o(n)$. There exists a residual set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{R}$, the set $\{u \in G; (u, 0) \in \mathfrak{E}_\psi(f)\}$ is residual in G .*

We finally come back to irrational rotations where we would like to get more precise statements. Let us fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and set

$$\mathcal{F}_\psi(f) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T}; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,\alpha}f(x)|}{\psi(n)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

When $\psi(n) = n^\nu$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$, we simply denote by $\mathcal{F}_\nu(f)$ the set $\mathcal{F}_\psi(f)$. We already know by the results mentioned before Theorem 1.1 that $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_\psi(f)) = 0$ for f in a residual subset of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$, where λ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} . It turns out that a much stronger result is true: generically, these sets have zero Hausdorff dimension!

Theorem 1.5. *For any $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\psi(n) = o(n)$, there exists a residual subset \mathcal{R} of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{R}$, $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}_\psi(f)) = 0$.*

We then do a similar study for Hölder functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$, $\xi \in (0, 1)$. Recall that a function f belongs to $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ if it has zero mean and if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\xi.$$

The infimum of such constants C is denoted by $\text{Lip}_\xi(f)$.

For a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$, we have better bounds on $S_{n,\alpha}f(x)$ depending on ξ and on the arithmetical properties of α . Indeed, it is known (see [8, Chapter 2, Theorem 5.4]) that $|S_{n,\alpha}f(x)| \leq n \cdot \text{Lip}_\xi(f) (D_n^*(\alpha))^\xi$ where $D_n^*(\alpha)$ is the discrepancy of the sequence $(\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, n\alpha)$ defined by

$$|D_n^*(\alpha)| = \sup_{I \subset \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{\text{card}\{1 \leq i \leq n; i\alpha \in I\}}{n} - |I| \right|.$$

For instance, if α has type 1 (for example, if α is an irrational algebraic number), using the well-known estimates of the discrepancy, we get that $|S_{n,\alpha}f(x)| = O(n^{1-\xi+\varepsilon})$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. In other words, for all $\nu > 1 - \xi$, $\mathcal{F}_\nu(f) = \mathbb{T}$. We investigate the case $\nu \leq 1 - \xi$ and we show that the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{F}_\nu(f)$ cannot always be large.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\xi \in (0, 1)$. There exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ such that, for all $\nu \in (0, 1 - \xi)$,*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}_\nu(f)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu}}.$$

This theorem is in stark contrast with Theorem 4.1 in [5]. In this last paper, a similar study of fast Birkhoff averages of subshifts is done. In this case, the sets which correspond to $\mathcal{F}_\nu(f)$ always have maximal dimension.

2. USEFUL LEMMAS

In this section, we provide lemmas which will be used several times for the proof of our main theorems. The first one allows to approximate step functions by continuous functions. In the statement of the theorem we use the standard notation $\mathbf{1}_B(x)$ for the function which equals 1 if $x \in B$ and equals 0 if not.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be a compact metric space, let μ be a continuous Borel probability measure on Ω . Let g be a step function such that $\int_\Omega g(x)d\mu(x) = 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then*

there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 2\|g\|_\infty$ and $f = g$ except on a set of measure at most δ .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be very small and $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be the finite set $g(\Omega)$. We can write $g = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ where $A_i = \{x \in \Omega ; g(x) = a_i\}$. Since the measure μ is regular, we can find compact sets K_1, \dots, K_n and open sets U_1, \dots, U_n such that

$$K_i \subset A_i \subset U_i$$

$$\mu(U_i) - \varepsilon \leq \mu(A_i) \leq \mu(K_i) + \varepsilon.$$

By Urysohn's lemma, one may find functions $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ such that

$$0 \leq \varphi_i \leq 1, \quad \varphi_i = 1 \text{ on } K_i, \quad \varphi_i = 0 \text{ outside } U_i.$$

We then set $h = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i$. It is clear that

$$\mu(\{a_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \neq a_i \varphi_i\}) \leq \mu(U_i \setminus K_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(\{h \neq g\}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(U_i \setminus K_i) \leq 2n\varepsilon.$$

If $k = \max(-\|g\|_\infty, \min(h, \|g\|_\infty))$, we now have $\|k\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$ and

$$\mu(\{k \neq g\}) \leq \mu(\{h \neq g\}) \leq 2n\varepsilon.$$

The function k is continuous but is not necessarily in $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$. Nevertheless, we observe that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} k(x) d\mu(x) \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (k(x) - g(x)) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \|k - g\|_\infty \mu(\{k \neq g\}) \leq 4n\varepsilon \|g\|_\infty$$

and we can modify k to obtain a zero mean. Let $a \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$ be such that $0 < \mu(B(a, r)) \leq \mu(B(a, 2r)) < \delta/2$ and let $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ with $\varphi_0 = 1$ on the closed ball $\bar{B}(a, r)$, $\varphi_0 = 0$ outside $B(a, 2r)$ and $0 \leq \varphi_0 \leq 1$. We set

$$f = k - \frac{\int_{\Omega} k d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi_0 d\mu} \varphi_0.$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$, $f = g$ except on a set of measure at most $2n\varepsilon + \delta/2$ and

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty + \frac{|\int_{\Omega} k d\mu|}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi_0 d\mu} \leq \|g\|_\infty + \frac{4n\varepsilon \|g\|_\infty}{\mu(B(a, r))}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small then gives the result. \square

Our second lemma is a way to construct continuous functions in $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ with large Birkhoff sums on large subsets. We give it in our general context of a uniquely ergodic transformation T on an infinite compact metric space Ω with non-atomic ergodic measure μ . As usual, $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\psi(n) = o(n)$. We denote by E^c the complement of the set E .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $J, M \in \mathbb{N}$, $C > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$, $m \geq M$ and a compact set $E \subset \Omega$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$, $\mu(E) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and*

$$\forall x \in E, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, J\}, \quad |S_{m, T^j} f(x)| \geq C\psi(m).$$

Proof. Set $\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon/3$. We begin by fixing $m \in \mathbb{N}$, any integer greater than M , and such that $m\bar{\varepsilon} \geq C\psi(m)$. Let $n \gg m$ to be fixed later. We then consider a Rokhlin tower associated to T , $2n$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ (see for instance [3]). Namely, we consider $A \subset \Omega$ such that the sets $T^k(A)$, $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, are pairwise disjoint and $\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{2n-1} T^k(A)\right) > 1 - \bar{\varepsilon}$. We then consider a function g equal to $\bar{\varepsilon}$ on $\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k(A)$, equal to $-\bar{\varepsilon}$ on $\bigcup_{k=n}^{2n-1} T^k(A)$ and equal to zero elsewhere.

We set

$$F = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1-mJ} T^k(A) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{2n-1-mJ} T^k(A) \right) := F_1 \cup F_2.$$

Then, for any $x \in F_1$, for any $\ell \leq m - 1$, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$,

$$T^{\ell j}(x) \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k(A).$$

It follows that $S_{m, T^j} g(x) = m\bar{\varepsilon}$. In the same way, for any $x \in F_2$, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, $S_{m, T^j} g(x) = -m\bar{\varepsilon}$.

Finally, for any $x \in F$, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$,

$$|S_{m, T^j} g(x)| = m\bar{\varepsilon} \geq C\psi(m).$$

Moreover,

$$\mu(F) = 2(n - mJ)\mu(A) \geq 2(n - mJ) \cdot \frac{1 - \bar{\varepsilon}}{2n} \geq 1 - 2\bar{\varepsilon}$$

provided n is large enough.

Thanks to Lemma 2.1, we approximate g by a continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq 2\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $f = g$ except on a set \mathcal{N} of measure $\eta > 0$, with $mJ\eta < \bar{\varepsilon}$. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$. Then $S_{m, T^j} f(x) = S_{m, T^j} g(x)$ except if $x \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} T^{-kj}(\mathcal{N})$. Let $\mathcal{N}' = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{j=1}^J T^{-kj}(\mathcal{N})$. Then $\mu(\mathcal{N}') \leq mJ\eta < \bar{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, $|S_{m, T^j} f(x)| \geq C\psi(m)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ and all $x \in F \cap \mathcal{N}'^c =: E_0$. Clearly, $\mu(E_0) > 1 - 3\bar{\varepsilon} = 1 - \varepsilon$. We conclude by taking for E the closure of E_0 . \square

3. FAST AND SLOW POINTS OF BIRKHOFF SUMS - I

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. Their proofs share many similarities and depend heavily on Lemma 2.2 applied in suitable situations. We will also need that if T is a uniquely ergodic transformation on Ω , then the set of $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ -coboundaries for T , namely the set of functions $g - g \circ T$ for some $g \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$, is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ (see for instance [9, Lemma 1]). It is convenient to work with a coboundary since its Birkhoff sums are uniformly bounded.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let (h_l) be a dense sequence of coboundaries in $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ and let $C_l > 0$ be such that $\sup_n \|S_{n, T} h_l\|_\infty \leq C_l$. Let f_l , E_l and m_l be given by Lemma 2.2 for $C = l + C_l + 1$, $M = l$, $J = 1$, $\varepsilon = 1/l$. We set $g_l = h_l + f_l$ and we observe that, for $x \in E_l$,

$$|S_{m_l, T} g_l(x)| \geq (l + C_l + 1)\psi(m_l) - C_l \geq (l + 1)\psi(m_l).$$

Since E_l is compact and g_l is continuous, we can choose $\delta_l > 0$ and an open set $F_l \subset \Omega$ containing E_l such that, for any $f \in B(g_l, \delta_l)$, for any $x \in F_l$,

$$(1) \quad |S_{m_l, T} f(x)| \geq l\psi(m_l).$$

Let $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{L \geq 1} \bigcup_{l \geq L} B(g_l, \delta_l)$ which is a residual set in $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ and pick $f \in \mathcal{R}$. There exists an increasing sequence (l_k) going to $+\infty$ such that $f \in B(g_{l_k}, \delta_{l_k})$ for all k . We set $F = \limsup F_{l_k} = \bigcap_{K \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \geq K} F_{l_k}$. Since $\mu(F_{l_k}) \geq \mu(E_{l_k}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{l_k}$ the set F has full measure. Moreover, since μ has full support and $\mu\left(\bigcup_{k \geq K} F_{l_k}\right) = 1$ for all K , F is also residual in Ω . Finally if x belongs to F , then (1) is true for infinitely many l , which shows Theorem 1.1. \square

In the next proof Ω is replaced by the compact connected metric abelian group G and we consider uniquely ergodic translations T_v . We recall that for these translations, all non-constant characters γ are \mathcal{C}_0 -coboundaries: they can be written as $\gamma = \gamma_0 \circ T_v - \gamma_0$, where $\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma(v)-1}\gamma$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since G is compact we can choose a sequence (h_l) of trigonometric polynomials which is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ (see [10, Section 1.5.2]). Let $v \in G_0$, that is T_v is ergodic. Since h_l is a \mathcal{C}_0 -coboundary for all T_{jv} , $j = 1, \dots, l$, there exists $C_l > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \sup_{j \in \{1, \dots, l\}} \|S_{n, jv} h_l\|_\infty \leq C_l.$$

Let f_l, E_l and m_l be given by Lemma 2.2 for $T = T_v$, $C = C_l + l + 1$, $M = l$, $J = l$, $\varepsilon = 1/l$. Set $g_l = h_l + f_l$ and observe that, for $x \in E_l$, $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$,

$$|S_{m_l, jv} g_l(x)| \geq (l + C_l + 1)\psi(m_l) - C_l \geq (l + 1)\psi(m_l).$$

Since $\{jv; j = 1, \dots, l\} \times E_l$ is compact in $G \times G$ and g_l is continuous, we can choose $\delta_l > 0$ and an open set $H_l \subset G \times G$ such that $\{jv; j = 1, \dots, l\} \times E_l \subset H_l$ and, for any $(f, u, x) \in B(g_l, \delta_l) \times H_l$,

$$(2) \quad |S_{m_l, u} f(x)| > l\psi(m_l).$$

We now observe that $\bigcup_{l \geq L} \{g_l\} \times \{T^j v; j = 1, \dots, l\} \times E_l$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(G) \times G \times G$ for any $L \geq 1$. Hence, $\mathcal{R}^* = \bigcap_{L \geq 1} \bigcup_{l \geq L} B(g_l, \delta_l) \times H_l$ is a residual subset of $\mathcal{C}_0(G) \times G^2$ and any $(f, u, x) \in \mathcal{R}^*$ satisfies that (u, x) belongs to $\mathfrak{E}_\psi(f)$ since (2) is true for infinitely many integers l . \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. This corollary follows easily from Theorem 1.3 and from the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem. Indeed, we know that there exist a residual set $R \subset \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ and $x \in G$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$, $\{u \in G; (u, x) \in \mathfrak{E}_\psi(f)\}$ is residual. Now, setting $\mathcal{R}' = \{f(\cdot - x); f \in \mathcal{R}\}$, for any $f \in \mathcal{R}'$, $\{u \in G; (u, 0) \in \mathfrak{E}_\psi(f)\}$ is residual. \square

4. FAST AND SLOW POINTS OF BIRKHOFF SUMS - II

We turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Its first part heavily depends on the following Menshov-Rademacher inequality (see for instance [2, Chapter 4]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let X_1, \dots, X_N be a sequence of orthonormal random variables and c_1, \dots, c_N be a sequence of real numbers. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_j \right)^2 \right) \leq \log_2^2(4N) \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 part (i). Recall that $\int_G f(x) d\mu(x) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $\|f\|_2 = 1$ and we consider $X_k(u, x) = f(x + ku)$ as a random variable on the probability space $(G^2, \mu \otimes \mu)$. Next we show that $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal sequence. Indeed, let $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma$ be the Fourier expansion of f . Then, for $k, j \geq 1$,

$$\int_{G^2} X_k \overline{X_j} d\mu \otimes d\mu = \sum_{\gamma, \gamma' \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\hat{f}(\gamma')} \int_G \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma'(x)} d\mu(x) \int_G \gamma(ku) \overline{\gamma'(ju)} d\mu(u).$$

Now, $\int_G \gamma(x) \overline{\gamma'(x)} d\mu(x)$ is zero provided $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ and is equal to 1 otherwise. Moreover, let us fix $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ and set $\gamma_k(u) = \gamma(ku)$, $\gamma_j(u) = \gamma(ju)$. Then $\int_G \gamma_k \overline{\gamma_j} d\mu = 0$ except if $\gamma_k = \gamma_j$, namely except if $\gamma^{k-j} = 1$. If $k \neq j$, using that \hat{G} is torsion-free since G is compact and connected, this can only happen if $\gamma = 1$. Therefore, we have shown that

$$\int_{G^2} X_k \overline{X_j} d\mu \otimes d\mu = \begin{cases} \sum_{\gamma} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 = 1 & \text{if } k = j \\ |\hat{f}(1)|^2 = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 with $c_j = 1$ yields

$$(3) \quad \int_{G^2} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |S_{n,u} f(x)|^2 d\mu(u) \otimes d\mu(x) \leq \log_2^2(4N) N.$$

Let $\nu > 1/2$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$E_k = \left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \exists n \in \{2^k, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1\}, |S_{n,u} f(x)| \geq n^\nu \right\}.$$

Using Markov's inequality and (3), we get

$$\mu \otimes \mu(E_k) \leq \mu \otimes \mu \left(\max_{1 \leq n \leq 2^{k+1}} |S_{n,u} f(x)| \geq 2^{\nu k} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k\nu}} \log_2^2(4 \cdot 2^{k+1}) \cdot 2^{k+1} \leq C k^2 2^{k(1-2\nu)}.$$

Since $\sum_k \mu \otimes \mu(E_k) < \infty$, the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that $\mu \otimes \mu(\limsup_k E_k) = 0$ and the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 4.2. In fact, the same proof shows that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,u} f(x)|}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}(n)} \geq 1 \right\} \right) = 0.$$

To prove the second part of Theorem 1.2, we shall use both a Baire category and a probabilistic argument. The probabilistic part is based on the the following lemma, which is a consequence of the proof of the law of the iterated logarithm done in [1] (the important point here is that we need a choice of N which does not depend on the particular choice of the sequence).

We recall that a random variable $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a Rademacher distribution if $P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $N \geq M$ such that, for any sequence (Y_k) of independent Rademacher variables defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) ,*

$$P \left(\sup_{M \leq n \leq N} \frac{|\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k(\omega)|}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} > \frac{1}{2} \right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

The following lemma is the key point of our proof.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $C > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. There exist $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$, $N > M$ and $F \subset G^2$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$, $\mu \otimes \mu(F) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and*

$$(u, x) \in F \implies \sup_{M \leq n \leq N} \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{n^{1/2}} \geq C.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sqrt{\log \log M} > 2C/\varepsilon$. Lemma 4.3 gives us a value of N associated to ε and M . We then consider a sequence (X_k) of independent Rademacher variables defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . We select a neighbourhood \mathcal{O} of $0 \in G$ so that, setting

$$E_{\mathcal{O}} = \{u \in G; (j' - j)u \notin 2\mathcal{O} \text{ for all } 0 \leq j, j' \leq N, j \neq j'\},$$

we have $\mu(E_{\mathcal{O}}) > 1 - \varepsilon$. This is possible since, denoting by (\mathcal{O}_l) a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 in G , we have

$$G_0 \subset \{u \in G; ku \neq 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\} \subset \bigcup_l E_{\mathcal{O}_l}.$$

By compactness of G , G is contained in a finite union $(x_1 + \mathcal{O}) \cup \dots \cup (x_K + \mathcal{O})$. We set $A_1 = x_1 + \mathcal{O}$ and, for $2 \leq k \leq K$, $A_k = (x_k + \mathcal{O}) \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1})$. The sets A_1, \dots, A_K provide a Borelian partition of G .

We then split each A_k into a disjoint sum $A_k = B_k \cup B'_k$ with $\mu(B_k) = \mu(B'_k) = \mu(A_k)/2$. For $1 \leq k \leq K$ define φ_k by $\varphi_k = (\mathbf{1}_{B_k} - \mathbf{1}_{B'_k})$. We finally put

$$g(x, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^K \varepsilon X_k(\omega) \varphi_k(x)$$

so that

$$S_{n,u}g(x, \omega) = \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^K X_k(\omega) \varphi_k(x + ju).$$

Let us fix $u \in E_{\mathcal{O}}$. For all $x \in G$ and all $j \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, there exists exactly one integer $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, that we will denote by $k(j, u, x)$, such that $\varphi_k(x + ju) \neq 0$. Hence, for $(u, x) \in E_{\mathcal{O}} \times G$ and $n \leq N$,

$$S_{n,u}g(x, \omega) = \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_{k(j,u,x)}(\omega) \varphi_{k(j,u,x)}(x + ju).$$

Moreover, for $j \neq j'$, the integers $k(j, u, x)$ and $k(j', u, x)$ are different: otherwise, $(j - j')u$ would belong to $2\mathcal{O}$.

Applying Lemma 4.3 to the sequence $(X_{k(j,u,x)}\varphi_{k(j,u,x)}(x + ju))_{0 \leq j \leq N-1}$ which is a sequence of independent Rademacher variables, we get the existence of $\Omega_{u,x} \subset \Omega$ such that $P(\Omega_{u,x}) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and

$$(u, x, \omega) \in E_{\mathcal{O}} \times G \times \Omega_{u,x} \implies \sup_{M \leq n \leq N} \frac{|S_{n,u}g(x, \omega)|}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence

$$(u, x, \omega) \in E_{\mathcal{O}} \times G \times \Omega_{u,x} \implies \sup_{M \leq n \leq N} \frac{|S_{n,u}g(x, \omega)|}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{\log \log M} > C.$$

Keeping in mind that $\mu(E_{\mathcal{O}}) > 1 - \varepsilon$ holds as well, by Fubini's theorem we can select and fix $\omega \in \Omega$ such that

$$(4) \quad \mu \otimes \mu \left(\left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \sup_{M \leq n \leq N} \frac{|S_{n,u}g(x, \omega)|}{\sqrt{n}} > C \right\} \right) > (1 - \varepsilon)^2 > 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Given $\delta > 0$, according to Lemma 2.1, the function $g = g(\cdot, \omega)$ can be approximated by a continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 2\varepsilon$ and which coincides with g except in a set of measure less than δ/N . It follows that for every $u \in G$ and for any $n \in \{M, \dots, N\}$, $S_{n,u}f(x) = S_{n,u}g(x)$ except in a set of measure less than δ . Finally, if δ is sufficiently small, inequality (4) is still satisfied if we replace g by f . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2, part (ii). Let (h_l) be a sequence of trigonometric polynomials dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$. For all $l \geq 1$ and all $u \in G_0$, since h_l is a \mathcal{C}_0 -coboundary for T_u , we know that $\sup_n \|S_{n,u}h_l\|_{\infty} < +\infty$. We then find $G_l \subset G_0$ with $\mu(G_l) > 1 - 1/l$ and $C_l > 0$ such that, for all $u \in G_l$, $\sup_n \|S_{n,u}h_l\|_{\infty} \leq C_l$. We apply Lemma 4.4 with $\varepsilon = 1/l$, $C = l + C_l + 1$ and $M_l = l$. We get a function $f_l \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$, an integer $N_l \geq M_l$ and a set $F_l \subset G^2$. We define $g_l = h_l + f_l$ and $E_l = F_l \cap (G_l \times G)$ so that $\mu \otimes \mu(E_l) \geq 1 - 2/l$. The way we constructed all these objects ensures that, for any $(u, x) \in E_l$,

$$\sup_{M_l \leq n \leq N_l} \frac{|S_{n,u}g_l(x)|}{n^{1/2}} \geq l + 1.$$

This yields the existence of a $\delta_l > 0$ such that, for any $f \in B(g_l, \delta_l)$ and any $(u, x) \in E_l$,

$$\sup_{M_l \leq n \leq N_l} \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{n^{1/2}} \geq l.$$

We finally consider the residual set $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{L \geq 1} \bigcup_{l \geq L} B(g_l, \delta_l)$ and we pick $f \in \mathcal{R}$. There exists an increasing sequence (l_k) such that $f \in B(g_{l_k}, \delta_{l_k})$. Let $E = \limsup_k E_{l_k}$ which has full measure and pick $(u, x) \in E$. There exists a subsequence (l'_k) of (l_k) such that $(u, x) \in E_{l'_k}$ for all k . We then have

$$\sup_{M_{l'_k} \leq n \leq N_{l'_k}} \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{n^{1/2}} \geq l'_k$$

which allows us to conclude. \square

Remark 4.5. The proof gives slightly more than announced: there exists a residual set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}_0(G)$ such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\left\{ (u, x) \in G^2; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,u}f(x)|}{n^{1/2}(\log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} = +\infty \right\} \right) = 1.$$

5. FAST AND SLOW POINTS FOR IRRATIONAL ROTATIONS ON THE CIRCLE

Throughout this section, we fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

5.1. A partition of \mathbb{T} . To get an estimate of the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{F}_\psi(f)$, which is more precise than the result already obtained on its measure, we will need a refinement of Rokhlin towers specific to irrational rotations. We shall use the following system of partitions of \mathbb{T} associated to the irrational rotation R_α , as it is described for instance in [11, Lecture 9, Theorem 1]. Let (p_n/q_n) be the n -th convergent of α in its continued fraction expansion. Define

$$\Delta_0^{(n)} = \begin{cases} [0, \{q_n\alpha\}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ [\{q_n\alpha\}, 1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Denote also $\Delta_j^{(n)} = R_\alpha^j(\Delta_0^{(n)})$. For any $n \geq 1$, the intervals $\Delta_j^{(n)}$, $0 \leq j < q_{n+1}$ and $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$, $0 \leq j < q_n$, are pairwise disjoint and their union is the whole \mathbb{T} . We shall denote by d_n the length of $\Delta_0^{(n)}$. It is well known that

$$\frac{1}{2q_{n+1}} \leq d_n \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}.$$

5.2. Continuous functions. The main step towards the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the following lemma which improves partly Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $C > 0$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$, a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$, and an integer $m \geq M$ such that*

$$(5) \quad \forall x \in E, |S_{m,\alpha}f(x)| \geq C\psi(m);$$

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E^c) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $m \geq M$ be such that $m\varepsilon > C\psi(m)$. Let also n be a large integer and consider the partition of \mathbb{T} described in Section 5.1:

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{0 \leq j < q_{n+1}} \Delta_j^{(n)} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq j < q_n} \Delta_j^{(n+1)},$$

where the convergents of α are p_n/q_n . Since it will be easier to deal with even numbers we put $\tilde{q}_n = 2\lfloor q_n/2 \rfloor$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which is the greatest even integer less than q_n . Hence \tilde{q}_n and \tilde{q}_{n+1} are even. We define a continuous function f with zero mean such that

- on $\Delta_j^{(n)}$, $0 \leq j < \frac{\tilde{q}_{n+1}}{2}$ and on $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$, $0 \leq j < \frac{\tilde{q}_n}{2}$, $f = \varepsilon$ except on two very small intervals of size $\eta > 0$ where f is affine to ensure that f vanishes at the boundary of $\Delta_j^{(n)}$ and $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$.

- on $\Delta_j^{(n)}$, $\frac{\tilde{q}_{n+1}}{2} \leq j < \tilde{q}_{n+1}$ and on $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$, $\frac{\tilde{q}_n}{2} \leq j < \tilde{q}_n$, $f = -\varepsilon$ except on two very small intervals of size $\eta > 0$ where f is affine to ensure that f vanishes at the boundary of $\Delta_j^{(n)}$ and $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$.
- if $x \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq j < \tilde{q}_{n+1}} \Delta_j^{(n)} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq j < \tilde{q}_n} \Delta_j^{(n+1)}$ we set $f(x) = 0$.

We set $\Gamma_j^{(n)}$ (resp. $\Gamma_j^{(n+1)}$) the (largest) subinterval of $\Delta_j^{(n)}$ (resp. $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$) such that $|f| = \varepsilon$ and we let

$$E = \bigcup_{0 \leq j < \frac{\tilde{q}_{n+1}}{2} - m} \Gamma_j^{(n)} \cup \bigcup_{\frac{\tilde{q}_{n+1}}{2} \leq j < \tilde{q}_{n+1} - m} \Gamma_j^{(n)} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq j < \frac{\tilde{q}_n}{2} - m} \Gamma_j^{(n+1)} \cup \bigcup_{\frac{\tilde{q}_n}{2} \leq j < \tilde{q}_n - m} \Gamma_j^{(n+1)}.$$

If x belongs to E , then $f(x + j\alpha) = f(x)$ for all $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ and $|f(x)| = \varepsilon$. Therefore, we have $|S_{m,\alpha}f(x)| = m\varepsilon > C\psi(m)$. On the other hand, E^c is the union of at most

- $(2m + 2)$ intervals of size d_n ;
- $(2m + 2)$ intervals of size d_{n+1} ;
- $2(\tilde{q}_{n+1} + \tilde{q}_n)$ intervals of size η .

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E^c) \leq (2m + 2)d_n^s + (2m + 2)d_{n+1}^s + 2(\tilde{q}_{n+1} + \tilde{q}_n)\eta^s < \varepsilon$$

if we choose n sufficiently large and then η sufficiently small. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We mimic the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_\psi(f) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T}; \limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,\alpha}f(x)|}{\psi(n)} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Let (h_l) be a sequence of coboundaries which is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$. Then for any $l \geq 1$, there exists $C_l > 0$ such that $\sup_n \|S_{n,\alpha}h_l\|_\infty \leq C_l$. Let f_l , E_l and m_l be given by Lemma 5.1 for $C = l + C_l + 1$, $M = l$ and $\varepsilon = s = \delta = 1/l$. We set $g_l = h_l + f_l$ and observe that, for $x \in E_l$,

$$|S_{m_l,\alpha}g_l(x)| \geq (l + C_l + 1)\psi(m_l) - C_l \geq (l + 1)\psi(m_l).$$

There exists $\delta_l > 0$ such that, for any $f \in B(g_l, \delta_l)$ and any $x \in E_l$,

$$|S_{m_l,\alpha}f(x)| \geq l\psi(m_l).$$

Since the sequence (g_l) is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{L \geq 1} \bigcup_{l \geq L} B(g_l, \delta_l)$ is a residual subset of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$. Pick $f \in \mathcal{R}$. There exists an increasing sequence (l_k) such that $f \in B(g_{l_k}, \delta_{l_k})$. We set $E = \limsup E_{l_k}$ and observe that, for any $x \in E$,

$$\limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,\alpha}f(x)|}{\psi(n)} = +\infty.$$

Moreover, $E^c = \bigcup_{K \geq 1} \bigcap_{k \geq K} E_{l_k}^c$. For any $s \in (0, 1)$, the properties of the sets E_l ensure that $\mathcal{H}^s\left(\bigcap_{k \geq K} E_{l_k}^c\right) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\psi \subset E^c$, we conclude that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}_\psi) \leq s$ and therefore $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}_\psi) = 0$. \square

5.3. Hölder functions. We now modify the previous construction to adapt it to Hölder continuous functions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$, $\xi \in (0, 1)$ with $\nu + \xi < 1$, $A > 0$, $\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu}} < s \leq 1$, $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist a continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\text{Lip}_\xi(f) \leq 1$, an integer $N \geq M$, and a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that*

$$(7) \quad \forall x \in E, \quad \exists m \in \{M, \dots, N\}, \quad |S_{m,\alpha}f(x)| \geq Am^\nu,$$

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E^c) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. The construction of f will be more or less difficult depending on the arithmetical properties of α . Let (p_n/q_n) be the n th convergent of α in its continued fraction expansion. For each $n \geq 0$, there exists $\tau_n \geq 1$ such that $q_{n+2} = q_n^{\tau_n}$. We define

$$\tau := \liminf_n \tau_n \in [1, +\infty].$$

We then fix $\nu' \in (0, 1)$ such that $\nu' > \nu$, $\xi + \nu' < 1$ and

$$(9) \quad \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu'}} < s.$$

If moreover $\tau < \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu}{\xi}}$, we also require that $\tau < \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu'}{\xi}}$.

Let n be a large integer and consider the partition of \mathbb{T} described in Section 5.1:

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{0 \leq j < q_{n+1}} \Delta_j^{(n)} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq j < q_n} \Delta_j^{(n+1)}.$$

Again for ease of notation we suppose that q_n and q_{n+1} are even; if not, a modification similar to the one used in the proof of Lemma 5.1 can be used.

FIRST CASE: $\tau \geq \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu}{\xi}}$. Then, for n large enough, $\tau_n s > 1 + \eta$ for some fixed $\eta > 0$. We fix such an n and we then define f as follows:

- on $\Delta_j^{(n)} = (a_j, b_j)$, $0 \leq j < \frac{q_{n+1}}{2}$, f is equal to $(x - a_j)^\xi$ on $\left[a_j, \frac{a_j+b_j}{2}\right]$, equal to $(b_j - x)^\xi$ on $\left[\frac{a_j+b_j}{2}, b_j\right]$.
- On $\Delta_j^{(n)} = (a_j, b_j)$, $\frac{q_{n+1}}{2} \leq j < q_{n+1}$, f is equal to $-(x - a_j)^\xi$ on $\left[a_j, \frac{a_j+b_j}{2}\right]$, equal to $-(b_j - x)^\xi$ on $\left[\frac{a_j+b_j}{2}, b_j\right]$.
- f is equal to 0 otherwise.

It is then clear that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\text{Lip}_\xi(f) \leq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\lambda = 0$. Recalling that $d_n = b_j - a_j$ for $0 \leq j < q_{n+1}$ we then set

$$\delta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu'}} \in (0, 1),$$

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{\delta_0} = \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu'}{\xi}} > 1,$$

$$\Gamma_j = (a_j + d_n^{\gamma_0}, b_j - d_n^{\gamma_0}), \quad 0 \leq j < q_{n+1},$$

$$E_0 = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\frac{q_{n+1}}{2}-1-\lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor} \Gamma_j \cup \bigcup_{j=\frac{q_{n+1}}{2}}^{q_{n+1}-1-\lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor} \Gamma_j.$$

Observe that if $y \in \Gamma_j$, then $|f(y)| \geq d_n^{\gamma_0 \xi}$ and that $R_\alpha(\Gamma_j) \subset \Gamma_{j+1}$, $0 \leq j < q_{n+1} - 1$. It follows that, for $x \in E_0$ with constants C which do not depend on n and may change from line to line

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| S_{\lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor, \alpha} f(x) \right| &\geq C \lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor d_n^{\gamma_0 \xi} \\ &\geq C q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} q_{n+1}^{-\gamma_0 \xi} \\ &\geq C q_{n+1}^{\delta_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_0}{\delta_0} \xi\right)} \\ &\geq C q_{n+1}^{\delta_0 \nu'} \\ &\geq A \lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor^\nu \end{aligned}$$

provided n is large enough. Thus (7) is satisfied with $m = \lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor$ and $E = E_0$ for large values of n . Moreover, E_0^c is contained in the union of

- $2 \lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor + 2$ intervals of size d_n (the intervals $\Delta_j^{(n)}$ which are not considered);
- $2q_{n+1}$ intervals of size $d_n^{\gamma_0}$ (the extreme parts of the intervals $\Delta_j^{(n)}$);
- q_n intervals of size d_{n+1} (the intervals of the following generation $\Delta_j^{(n+1)}$).

Hence, for n large enough,

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E^c) \leq C \left(q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} q_{n+1}^{-s} + q_{n+1} q_{n+1}^{-\gamma_0 s} + q_n q_n^{-\tau_n s} \right).$$

Since $\delta_0 - s < 0$, $1 - \gamma_0 s < 0$ and $1 - \tau_n s < -\eta$, (8) is also satisfied provided n is large enough.

SECOND CASE: $\tau < \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu}{\xi}}$. This time, the intervals coming from $\bigcup_j \Delta_j^{(n+1)}$ are too long to be neglected with respect to the \mathcal{H}^s -measure. By the choice of ν' , we know that there exist integers n as large as we want such that

$$(11) \quad 1 \leq \sqrt{\tau_n} \leq \tau_n < \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu'}{\xi}};$$

we will fix such an n later. We keep the same values for δ_0 , γ_0 , Γ_j and E_0 and the same definition for f on $\bigcup_{0 \leq j < q_{n+1}} \Delta_j^{(n)}$ as in the first case. On the other hand, we define f on $\Delta_j^{(n+1)} = (u_j, v_j)$ by imposing $f(x) = (x - u_j)^\xi$ on $\left[u_j, \frac{u_j + v_j}{2} \right]$, $f(x) = (v_j - x)^\xi$ on $\left[\frac{u_j + v_j}{2}, v_j \right]$ if $0 \leq j < q_n/2$ and $f(x) = -(x - u_j)^\xi$ on $\left[u_j, \frac{u_j + v_j}{2} \right]$, $f(x) = -(v_j - x)^\xi$ on $\left[\frac{u_j + v_j}{2}, v_j \right]$ if $q_n/2 \leq j < q_n$. We then set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{1,n} = \delta_1 &= \sqrt{\tau_n} \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu'}} \in (0, 1), \\ \gamma_{1,n} = \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{\delta_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_n}} \sqrt{\frac{1-\nu'}{\xi}} > 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Theta_j = (u_j + d_{n+1}^{\gamma_1}, v_j - d_{n+1}^{\gamma_1}),$$

$$E_1 = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\frac{q_n}{2}-1-\lfloor q_n^{\delta_1} \rfloor} \Theta_j \cup \bigcup_{j=\frac{q_n}{2}}^{q_n-1-\lfloor q_n^{\delta_1} \rfloor} \Theta_j,$$

and $E = E_0 \cup E_1$. Remember that $d_{n+1} \approx q_n^{-\tau_n}$. We can still use (10) and can deduce analogously for any $x \in E_1$,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| S_{\lfloor q_n^{\delta_1} \rfloor, \alpha} f(x) \right| &\geq C q_n^{\delta_1} d_{n+1}^{\gamma_1 \xi} \\ &\geq C q_n^{\delta_1 - \tau_n \gamma_1 \xi} \\ &\geq C \lfloor q_n^{\delta_1} \rfloor^{\nu'} \\ &\geq A \lfloor q_n^{\delta_1} \rfloor^{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

provided n is large enough. From now on we can fix a sufficiently large n . The set E^c consists of at most

- $2\lfloor q_{n+1}^{\delta_0} \rfloor + 2$ intervals of size d_n ;
- $2q_{n+1}$ intervals of size $d_n^{\gamma_0}$;
- $2\lfloor q_n^{\delta_1} \rfloor + 2$ intervals of size d_{n+1} ;
- $2q_n$ intervals of size $d_{n+1}^{\gamma_1}$.

Thus,

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(E^c) \leq C \left(q_{n+1}^{\delta_0 - s} + q_{n+1}^{1 - \gamma_0 s} + q_n^{\delta_1 - \tau_n s} + q_n^{1 - \tau_n \gamma_1 s} \right).$$

By using (9) and (11) we conclude exactly as before since

$$\delta_1 - \tau_n s \leq \tau_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1 - \nu'}} - s \right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 - \nu'}{\xi}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1 - \nu'}} - s \right) < 0$$

and

$$1 - \tau_n \gamma_1 s \leq 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \nu'}{\xi}} s < 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We will prove slightly more than announced. Let \mathcal{E}^ξ be the closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^\xi = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T}); \forall x, y \in \mathbb{T}, |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|^\xi \right\} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T}); \text{Lip}_\xi(f) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The space \mathcal{E}^ξ , equipped with the norm of the uniform convergence is now again a separable complete metric space. We will prove that, for all functions f in a residual subset of \mathcal{E}^ξ , for all $\nu \in (0, 1 - \xi)$, $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}_\nu(f)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1 - \nu}}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\nu(f) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\nu}}(f)$ provided $\nu \leq \tilde{\nu}$, it is sufficient to prove this inequality for ν belonging to a sequence (ν_k) which is dense in $(0, 1 - \xi)$. Now, the countable intersection of residual sets remaining residual, we just have to prove that, for a fixed $\nu \in (0, 1 - \xi)$, all functions f in a residual subset of \mathcal{E}^ξ satisfy $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}_\nu) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1 - \nu}}$.

Let (h_l) be a sequence of \mathcal{C}_0 -coboundaries which is dense in \mathcal{E}^ξ and with $\text{Lip}_\xi(h_l) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{l}$. For any $l \geq 1$, there exists $C_l > 0$ such that $\sup_n \|S_{n, \alpha} h_l\|_\infty \leq C_l$. Let f_l, N_l and E_l be

given by Lemma 5.2 with $s = \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu}} + \frac{1}{l}$, $\delta = \varepsilon = \frac{1}{l}$, $M = l$ and $A = l(C_l + l + 1)$. We set $g_l = h_l + \frac{1}{l}f_l$ so that $g_l \in \mathcal{E}^\xi$, (g_l) is dense in \mathcal{E}^ξ and, for any x in the compact set E_l , there exists $m \in \{l, \dots, N_l\}$ with

$$|S_{m,\alpha}g_l(x)| \geq (l + C_l + 1)m^\nu - C_l \geq (l + 1)m^\nu.$$

We can then find $\delta_l > 0$ such that, for all $f \in B(g_l, \delta_l)$ and all $x \in E_l$, there exists $m \in \{l, \dots, N_l\}$ with

$$|S_{m,\alpha}f(x)| \geq lm^\nu \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{1/l}^s(E_l^c) < \frac{1}{l}.$$

We set $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{L \geq 1} \bigcup_{l \geq L} B(g_l, \delta_l) \cap \mathcal{E}^\xi$ which is a residual subset of \mathcal{E}^ξ . Pick $f \in \mathcal{R}$. There exists an increasing sequence (l_k) such that $f \in B(g_{l_k}, \delta_{l_k})$. We set $E = \limsup_k E_{l_k}$ and observe that, for any $x \in E$,

$$\limsup_n \frac{|S_{n,\alpha}f(x)|}{n^\nu} = +\infty$$

so that $\mathcal{F}_\nu \subset E^c$. Now the construction of the sets E_l ensures that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(E^c) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-\nu}}.$$

□

Question 5.3. *Is the value $\sqrt{\frac{1-\xi}{\nu}}$ optimal? In particular, it does not depend on the type of α , which may look surprising.*

6. MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS

6.1. Open questions. Our study suggests further questions. The first one is related to Corollary 1.4.

Question 6.1. *Does there exist $\nu \in [1/2, 1]$ such that*

(i) *for all $\gamma > \nu$, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$,*

$$\mu \left(\left\{ u \in G; \limsup_n \frac{S_{n,u}f(0)}{n^\gamma} \geq 1 \right\} \right) = 0;$$

(ii) *for all $\gamma < \nu$, there exists a residual subset \mathcal{R} of $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$,*

$$\mu \left(\left\{ u \in G; \limsup_n \frac{S_{n,u}f(0)}{n^\gamma} = +\infty \right\} \right) = 1?$$

It can be shown that $\nu = 1/2$ works for (ii). Indeed, Lemma 4.4 and Fubini's theorem imply that, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, all $C > 0$ and all $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $x \in G$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G)$, $N > M$ and $E \subset G$ with $\|f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $\mu(E) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\sup_{M \leq n \leq N} \frac{S_{n,u}f(x)}{n^{1/2}} \geq C$ for $u \in E$. Translating f if necessary, we may assume that $x = 0$. We then conclude exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Second, Theorem 1.5 improves Theorem 1.1 for rotations of the circle by replacing nowhere dense sets with the more precise notion of sets with zero Hausdorff dimension. There are also enhancements of meager sets, for instance σ -porous sets (see [12])

Question 6.2. *Does there exist a residual subset \mathcal{R} of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{E}_\psi(f)$ is σ -porous?*

In the spirit of Theorem 1.2, the next step would be to perform a multifractal analysis of the exceptional sets. Precisely, let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ and $\nu \in (1/2, 1)$. Let us set

$$\mathcal{E}^-(\nu, f) = \left\{ (\alpha, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2; \limsup_n \frac{\log |S_{n,\alpha} f(x)|}{\log n} \geq \nu \right\}.$$

These sets have Lebesgue measure zero.

Question 6.3. *Can we majorize the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{E}^-(\nu, f)$?*

We could also replace everywhere the \limsup by \liminf .

Question 6.4. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\psi(n) = o(n)$. Does there exist $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ such that $\{x \in \Omega; \liminf_n |S_{n,T} f(x)|/|\psi(n)| = +\infty\}$ is residual? has full measure?*

6.2. Other sums. The study of $S_{n,\alpha} f(x)$ is a particular case of the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n f(x + n\alpha)$. In the particular case $a_n = 1/n$ this series, also called the one-sided ergodic Hilbert transform, was thoroughly investigated in [4].

In [4], the authors show that for any non-polynomial function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{T})$ with values in \mathbb{R} , there exists a residual set \mathcal{R}_f of irrational numbers depending on f such that, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_f$,

$$\limsup_N \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{f(x + n\alpha)}{n} = +\infty$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and they ask if this holds for every $x \in \mathbb{T}$ (they show that this is the case if $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ when $n \leq 0$). We provide a counterexample.

Example 6.5. Let $a \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{T})$ be defined by its Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(0) = 0$, $\hat{f}(n) = ia^n$ for $n > 0$, $\hat{f}(n) = -ia^{-n}$ for $n < 0$. A small computation shows that

$$f(x) = \frac{iae^{2\pi ix}}{1 - ae^{2\pi ix}} - \frac{iae^{-2\pi ix}}{1 - ae^{-2\pi ix}} = \frac{-2a \sin(2\pi x)}{1 - 2a \cos(2\pi x) + a^2}.$$

We shall prove that the one-sided ergodic Hilbert transform of f is bounded at $x = 0$. Indeed, setting

$$G_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{e^{2\pi int}}{n},$$

it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{f(n\alpha)}{n} &= \sum_{k>0} ia^k G_N(k\alpha) - \sum_{k>0} ia^k G_N(-k\alpha) \\ &= i \sum_{k>0} a^k (G_N(k\alpha) - \overline{G_N(k\alpha)}) \\ &= -2 \sum_{k>0} a^k \Im(G_N(k\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is well-known that the imaginary part of $G_N(t)$, namely $\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\sin(2\pi nt)}{n}$ is uniformly bounded in N and t (see e.g. [6, p.4]).

Question 6.6. *Can we investigate, in the spirit of this paper and of [4], the case $a_n = n^{-a}$, with $0 < a < 1$?*

6.3. Coboundaries in $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$. The natural norm in $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ is given by

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_\xi = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} |f(x)| + \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{T} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\xi}.$$

One may wonder whether, in Theorem 1.6, we have residuality in $(\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T}), \|\cdot\|_\xi)$ instead of in $(\mathcal{E}^\xi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. A natural way to do that would be to prove that the coboundaries are dense in $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$. This is not the case, which shows again that $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ is a weird space.

In $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ we denote the ball of radius r centered at $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ by $B_0^\xi(f, r)$, that is $g \in B_0^\xi(f, r)$ if and only if $\|g - f\|_\xi < r$. We shall prove the following precise statement.

Theorem 6.7. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ for any $\xi \in (0, 1)$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ such that for any $g \in B_0^\xi(f, 0.1)$ the function g is not a \mathcal{C}_0 (and hence not a \mathcal{C}_0^ξ)-coboundary, that is there is no $u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$ such that $g = u \circ R_\alpha - u$. Hence \mathcal{C}_0 -coboundaries are not dense in $\mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. By induction we select $n_1 = 1$, $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $J_k \subset [n_k, n_{k+1}) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ with the following properties. If we let $h_k = \left(\frac{k}{n_{k+1}}\right)^{1/\xi}$ then the intervals

$$(14) \quad \{[j\alpha - h_k, j\alpha + 3h_k]; j \in J_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ are pairwise disjoint}$$

(all these intervals are considered mod 1 on \mathbb{T}),

$$(15) \quad \lambda \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_k} [j\alpha - h_k, j\alpha + 3h_k] \right) < \frac{1}{100^{k+2}},$$

$$(16) \quad m_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \#J_k > 0.99 \cdot n_{k+1}.$$

For this property we can use that $\bigcup_{k' < k} \bigcup_{j \in J_{k'}} [j\alpha - h_{k'}, j\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$ is a union of intervals, which by (15) are of total measure less than $1/200$ and the sequence $(j\alpha)$ is uniformly distributed on \mathbb{T} , especially if we suppose that the n_k s are denominators of suitable convergents of α and recall Subsection 5.1. We also suppose that J_k is maximal possible, by this we mean that if $j \in [n_k, n_{k+1}) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \notin J_k$ then

$$(17) \quad [j\alpha - h_k, j\alpha + 3h_k] \cap \bigcup_{k' < k} \bigcup_{j' \in J_{k'}} [j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}] \neq \emptyset.$$

By the definition of h_k and (16) we have

$$(18) \quad m_k \cdot h_k^\xi > 0.99 \cdot k.$$

Next we define f . On an interval $[j\alpha - h_k, j\alpha + 3h_k]$, $j \in J_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define f in the following way: $f(j\alpha - h_k) = f(j\alpha + h_k) = f(j\alpha + 3h_k) = 0$ and

$$(19) \quad f(j\alpha) = h_k^\xi, \quad f(j\alpha + 2h_k) = -h_k^\xi,$$

otherwise f is linear on each $[j\alpha + nh_k, j\alpha + (n+1)h_k]$ with $n \in [-1, 0, 1, 2]$. If $x \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in J_k} [j\alpha - h_k, j\alpha + 3h_k]$ then we set $f(x) = 0$.

It is obvious that $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\xi(\mathbb{T})$ with $\text{Lip}_\xi(f) \leq 1$.

Suppose that $g \in B_0^\xi(f, 0.1)$ and proceeding towards a contradiction suppose that $g = u \circ R_\alpha - u$ with a $u \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{T})$. Then there exists K_u such that $|u| \leq K_u$.

Clearly, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(20) \quad |S_{n,\alpha}g(x)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha) \right| = |u(x + (n+1)\alpha) - u(x)| \leq 2K_u.$$

We will prove in (28) and (29) that for any function $g \in B_0^\xi(f, 0.1)$, its Birkhoff sums are not bounded and this will provide a contradiction.

Suppose k is fixed. Since $g \in B_0^\xi(f, 0.1)$ we have for any $j \in J_k$

$$(21) \quad \frac{|f(j\alpha) - g(j\alpha) - (f(j\alpha + 2h_k) - g(j\alpha + 2h_k))|}{|2h_k|^\xi} < 0.1.$$

This and (19) imply that for $j \in J_k$

$$(22) \quad g(j\alpha) - g(j\alpha + 2h_k) \geq 0.9 \cdot 2^\xi h_k^\xi > 0.9h_k^\xi = 0.9 \frac{k}{n_{k+1}}.$$

Next we consider the cases when $j \notin J_k$, $j \in [n_k, n_{k+1})$. Then (17) applies. Suppose first that there exists $k' < k$, $j' \in J_{k'}$, such that $j\alpha, j\alpha + 2h_k \in [j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$. The construction of f on $[j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$ ensures that

$$(23) \quad |f(j\alpha) - f(j\alpha + 2h_k)| \leq 2h_k(h_{k'})^{\xi-1} < 0.001 \cdot h_k^\xi$$

provided n_{k+1} was chosen sufficiently large.

If $j\alpha \notin \bigcup_{k' < k} \bigcup_{j' \in J_{k'}} [j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$ then either $f(j\alpha) = 0$, or $j\alpha \in \bigcup_{k' > k} \bigcup_{j' \in J_{k'}} [j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$. In this latter case $|f(j\alpha)| \leq h_{k'}^\xi$ with $k' > k$ and we can suppose by the inductive definition of the $h_{k'}$ that $h_{k'} < 0.0005^{1/\xi} \cdot h_k$. Thus

$$(24) \quad |f(j\alpha)| \leq 0.0005 \cdot h_k^\xi.$$

Similarly if $j\alpha + 2h_k \notin \bigcup_{k' < k} \bigcup_{j' \in J_{k'}} [j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$ we can suppose that

$$(25) \quad |f(j\alpha + 2h_k)| \leq 0.0005 \cdot h_k^\xi.$$

In case one of $j\alpha, j\alpha + 2h_k$ belongs to a $[j'\alpha - h_{k'}, j'\alpha + 3h_{k'}]$, $k' < k$, $j' \in J_{k'}$ and the other is not an element of any such interval then $f(x) = 0$ at some x in $[j\alpha, j\alpha + 2h_k]$ and a combination of (23) and (24), or (25) is applicable.

Summarizing, we have finally shown that for all $j \in [n_k, n_{k+1}) \setminus J_k$,

$$(26) \quad |f(j\alpha) - f(j\alpha + 2h_k)| < 0.002 \cdot h_k^\xi.$$

Since $g \in B_0^\xi(f, 0.1)$ by (21) and (26) we obtain

$$(27) \quad |g(j\alpha) - g(j\alpha + 2h_k)| < 0.102 \cdot h_k^\xi \cdot 2^\xi.$$

We claim that either

$$(28) \quad \sum_{j=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} g(j\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{4} n_{k+1} h_k^\xi > \frac{k}{4}$$

(see (18) as well), or

$$(29) \quad \sum_{j=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} g(j\alpha + 2h_k) \leq -\frac{1}{4}n_{k+1}h_k^\xi < -\frac{k}{4}.$$

It is clear that for large k this will contradict (20).

Next suppose that the negation of (28) and the negation of (29) hold.

This implies

$$(30) \quad \sum_{j=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} (g(j\alpha) - g(j\alpha + 2h_k)) < 2 \cdot \frac{k}{4} = \frac{1}{2}n_{k+1}h_k^\xi.$$

By (22) and (16)

$$(31) \quad \sum_{j \in J_k} (g(j\alpha) - g(j\alpha + 2h_k)) \geq \#J_k \cdot 0.9 \frac{k}{n_{k+1}} > 0.99 \cdot n_{k+1} \cdot 0.9h_k^\xi.$$

On the other hand, by (16) and (27)

$$(32) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=n_k \\ j \notin J_k}}^{n_{k+1}-1} |g(j\alpha) - g(j\alpha + 2h_k)| < 0.01 \cdot n_{k+1} \cdot 0.102 \cdot h_k^\xi \cdot 2^\xi.$$

Now (31) and (32) contradict (30). □

REFERENCES

1. P. Billingsley, *Probability and measure*, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, Wiley, 1995.
2. J.L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, Wiley Publications in Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, 1953.
3. T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase, and R. Nagel, *Operator theoretic aspects of ergodic theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer International Publishing, 2015.
4. A. Fan and J. Schmeling, *Everywhere divergence of one-sided ergodic Hilbert transform*, Ann. Institut Fourier **to appear**.
5. ———, *On fast Birkhoff averaging*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **135** (2003), 443–467.
6. J-P. Kahane, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, 1970.
7. U. Krengel, *On the speed of convergence in the ergodic theorem*, Monatshefte für Mathematik **86** (1978), 3–6.
8. L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Dover Books on Mathematics, Dover Publication, 2006.
9. P. Liardet and D. Volný, *Continuous and differentiable functions in dynamical systems*, Israel J. of Math **98** (1997), 29–60.
10. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 12, Interscience Publishers, 1962.
11. Y. Sinai, *Topics in ergodic theory*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 44, Princeton Press, 1994.
12. L. Zajiček, *Porosity and σ -porosity*, Real Anal. Exchange **13** (1987-1988), 314–350.

UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, LMBP, UMR 6620 - CNRS, CAMPUS DES CÉZEAUX, 3 PLACE VASARELY, TSA 60026, CS 60026 F-63178 AUBIÈRE CEDEX, FRANCE.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS, ELTE EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY 1/C, 1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

E-mail address: Frederic.Bayart@uca.fr, buczo@cs.elte.hu, Yanick.Heurteaux@uca.fr