ARABIC SHORT NARRATIVES AND NARRATIO BREVIS: SOME REMARKS

Antonella Ghersetti

Università Ca' Foscari, Venezia

antghers@unive.it

1. Preliminary remarks¹

In the last decades Classical Arabic prose literature, for which a "researcher's sketch map" was proposed some thirty years ago (Leder, Kilpatrick 1992), has seen an increasing scholarly attention. Our knowledge of literary prose, and particularly on the short forms of narrative commonly referred to as *habar* 'anecdote', has been greatly enriched by essays like Geries 1990; Malti Douglas 1985, 1988; Marzolph 1992; Leder 1998; Hámori 1996; Beaumont 1996, 1998; Özkan 2008, just to name a few, and comprehensive studies on the habar and literary analysis of the habath have also been published (al-Qādī 1998; Yaqtīn 1997). In what follow, we would contribute emphasizing the relevance of some concepts taken from studies on medieval European literature which we will take as a formal term of reference to have better insights into the "Arabic anecdote", hence habar. It is within this perspective that we propose to interpret the habar in the light of categories typical of *narratio brevis*, a category including all those narrative forms whose fundamental feature is brevity (brevitas) and a formal model which can profitably be used for Arabic literature.² Although some classification of the different genres of prose seemed to exist in Arabic culture (Yaqtīn 1997:146ff.), short forms of narrative as a category have never had an explicit theorization. Having recourse to conceptual tools created for other literary traditions could help a better understanding of forms and functions of the Arabic narratives and facilitate the comparison of the Arabic literary tradition with others.

Short narrative forms were referred to – depending on the period and the context of usage – as <code>hadīth</code>, <code>hikāya</code>, <code>habar</code>, <code>qiṣṣa</code>, <code>nādira</code> etc. (Abdelmeguid 1954; Pellat, "hikāya," "qiṣṣa," "nādira,"; Spies, 1977: col. 685–718). al-Ğāḥiẓ (d. 255/869) in his introduction to the <code>Kitāb</code> al-buḥalā uses, seemingly without significant differences in meaning, the words <code>habar</code>, <code>nādira</code> (more frequent) and <code>hadīt</code>. At-

¹ I express my warmest gratitude to Renzo Bragantini for his valuable suggestions in the field of European medieval literature: without his generous help this article would not have been written.

² In this article, we develop some suggestions already put forward in Ghersetti 2003. A similar approach in Hamori 1996 is based on Jolles' specific definition of *exemplum*.

Tanūhī (d. 384/994) in his introduction to Nišwār uses hikāya, hadīt and habar without apparent distinction to refer to stories transmitted during learned conversations, while in the introduction to his Farağ he uses almost exclusively habar.3 Al-Ḥaṭīb al-Baġdādī (d. 463/1071) in Taṭfīl uses ḥikāya and ḥabar, along with *nādira*. Later, *nādira* seems to be associated mostly with the sense of "odd," "strange" or "unusual" thus corresponding to "curiosity", as we can notice in the categorization hinted at by az-Zamahšarī (d. 538/1144) "bāb at-ta 'ağğub wa-dikr al-'ağā'ib wa-n-nawādir wa-mā harağa min al-'ādiyāt" (az-Zamaḥšarī, Rabī', IV:7). In his trilogy (Adkiyā', Hamqā and Zirāf) Ibn al-Ğawzī (d. 597/1201) uses both hikāya and habar, the second prevailing. The word habar seems to have gained a growing popularity among the classical authors from the fifth/eleventh century on, when it was used more and more frequently in the titles of works to give them the flavor of truthfulness and/or historicity. For short forms like habar, hikāya, qisşa (but also sīra) the overarching definition of anwā 'habariyya has been also proposed (Yaqtīn 1997:195ff.), thus paralleling the wide definitions "exemplary forms" or "exemplary discourse" in use in medieval European literature. For sake of brevity, here we will use *habar* as a hypernym for the different narrative forms perceived as "true" in the Arabic literary tradition, echoing the category of narratio authentica 'authentic story' as opposed to narratio ficta. Habar thus refers to any "true" selfcontained narrative unit lacking formal independence and devoid of an independent value that is the basic constituent of literary texts.⁴ In what follows we will analyze the main features, functions, and relationship with the context of the *habār* in parallel with the corresponding features of the exemplum.⁵ Our corpus is selected from texts of *adab* literature dating mainly from the ninth to the twelfth centuries.

Before dwelling on our analysis, it is worth stressing that hadīt in the technical sense, i.e. "dicta and facta" of the Prophet, is to be seen as a special kind of habar, and shows remarkable analogies with the exemplum stricto sensu as defined by Jean de Garlande (thirteenth century): exemplum est dictum vel factum alicuius autentice persone dignum imitationis (an examplum is a word or a deed of an authentic person worthy of imitation) (Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:29). This "strict" definition will be integrated into a wider frame of analysis based on the "open and provisory definition" ("definition ouverte et pronvisoire") of Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:37–38.

2. Structure

³ This term, however, can have different nuances in different contexts (Özkan 2008:90ff).

⁴ It also is a pillar of Arabic historiographical literature; for the relationship between $ahb\bar{a}r$, historiography and literary use see Leder 1992 and 2005.

⁵ Intended in the wider acceptation of "exemplary forms" or even "exemplary discourse", in which the dialectics of the different genres explain both textual components and social function (Jauss 1977:34–47).

Habar and exemplum have a similar structure consisting in the division of the single units into two well-defined parts, one purely narrative and the other carrying the mention of the source,6 and the (mostly oral) channel of transmission. This is mirrored in *habar* and is still more perceptible in *hadīt*, where the mention of the full chain of transmitters is a *conditio sine qua non*. Verbs of perception vouching for the authenticity of the information related are very frequent in the exempla: the most widely used formula (roughly fifty percent of the total number of formulae in the Sermones Vulgares of Jacques de Vitry, d. 1240) is audivi 'I heard'. The thirteenth century exempla in most cases only contain a concise formula indicating the transmission of the story (audivi, dicitur) without mentioning the source, a formula that recalls the kind of very succinct or anonymous isnāds so frequent in the literary habar (balaganī etc.). In both habar and exemplum the authoritative source, explicit or implicit, marks the story as true, and the narrative part proper is built using narrative techniques that insist on the bare relation of facts, thus giving the impression of a straightforward observation of reality.⁷ The syntagmatic decomposition of the exemplum into its most basic form also shows a part consisting in a narrative text and a normative text which is usually peripheral to the narrative part, called lesson or sensus. This peripheral element disappears in the collections of exempla of the 'golden age' (thirteenth to fourteenth century), the period of the most important literary developments of the exemplum. At that time the connection of the exemplum with its discursive context becomes looser and looser but the sensus, or moralisatio, is still supposed to be a basic constituent. Analogously, in the literary habar the normative function does not materialize in a text but remains implicit and is recognizable in the positioning of the narrative unit in a larger context. Functionally, in principle, both exemplum and habar (and notably that specific kind of *habar* that is the *hadīt*) are examples and models of behavior to conform to (or to avoid), lessons to follow (or not to follow). The function is paradigmatic, the aim didactic or edifying. Finally, a further point of contact between exemplum stricto sensu and hadīt could be hinted at: the classification system of collections of exempla, i.e., the 'logical' order based on a grouping of different entries concerning a specific notion (Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:60-63) largely resembles the criteria of arrangement in the musannafāt.

3. Features

Further formal analogies between *exemplum* and *habar* are considered in what follows. It is important to remember that, although vestigial, the constitutive traits of both forms are always present and can materialize with varying degrees of intensity. It is thus possible to find two stages of evolution one beside the other in the same

 $^{^6}$ On the syntagmatic division of the *exempla* see Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:113ff., esp. 120–131.

⁷ On narrative techniques in Arabic and medieval European short narratives see respect-tively Beaumont 1996 and Del Corno 1989.

work, as in the case of the tale of the man and the snake in at-Tanūhī's Farağ mentioned below, or of the *habar* in the *Kitāb al-wuzarā* of al-Ğahšiyārī (Hámori 1996). Jean de Garlande's definition of the *exemplum* emphasizes two basic features: authenticity (autentice persone) and the paradigmatic value of the sayings and deeds (dignum imitatione). The exemplum is then narratio authentica, and as such differentiated from all that is classified as narratio ficta in the system of the different forms of narratio brevis, thus echoing the same dividing line present in Arabic literature: truthfulness is, in both cases, the criterion of discreteness among two categories and their different genres. The paradigmatic value too is, at least in principle, a fundamental of the literary *habar*. The "open and provisory definition" of Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt, offers other useful clues to gain better insight into the literary *habar*: in it the *exemplum* is defined as "un récit bref donné comme véridique et destiné à être inséré dans un discours (en général un sermon) pour convaincre un auditoire par une leçon salutaire" (Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:37-38). This definition insists on the narrative character of the exemplum, its brevity, its authenticity (the story is always presented as historical or factual), the dependence on a larger context,8 its purpose and finally, its aim (originally salvation, but later amusement when the exemplum becomes a 'popular literary convention'). In this "open" definition formal traits here are put side by side with the conventions of use and the function of the literary units. Also relevant are the distinctive features described by Humbert de Romans, the fifth general of the Dominicans (d. 1277):10 exemplum is characterized by auctoritas, brevitas, veritas, and delectatio. These features are also recognizable in the form of the habar, in its use and function in adab literature, even if to different degrees, and as such can constitute an appropriate working tool that supplies the researcher with descriptive categories wide enough to include Arabic *habar* in its diverse forms.

The first and foremost feature common to *habar* and *exemplum* is the narrative character: even the shortest texts have some plot which can be analyzed into some distinguishable 'movements', like in the following example.

He [ba'd al-quṣṣās] said: "A man called two singers and when they had to start singing one said to the other: 'Follow me'; 'No, it is you who will follow me'; 'No, it is you who will follow me.' When the matter had been going on

⁸ L'exemplum "ne se suffit donc à lui-même" (Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:159); "ne constitue pas un 'genre'... par la nécessité où il se trouve de toujours s'inscrire dans un autre discours, un sermon, un ouvrage d'édification, une chronique, un traité juridique etc. L'exemplum n'a pas d'autonomie" (Berlioz, Polo de Beaulieu 1998:405).

⁹ For the evolution and the literary developments of the *exempla* see Berlioz, Polo de Beaulieu 1998: ch. 3.

¹⁰ In *De dono timoris* (also known as *Tractatus de habundantia exemplorum*), as summarized by Picone 1985:19–20.

for a long time, the owner of the house said: 'Now all of you follow me.'" (Ibn al-Ğawzī, *Adkiyā*', 157)

This two-line-long *habar*, although consisting almost entirely of a dialogue, can be analyzed as the sequence of the following functions: A enters a contract with B and C; B and C do not comply with it; A breaks the contract.

Second is the brevity (*brevitas*), common to all these narratives. The Arabic sources do not tell us much about what a short story is or, better, what brevity is in prose, and particularly in narrative Though the idea of conciseness ($\bar{i}\bar{g}\bar{a}z$) has been much discussed and is considered a typical feature of Arabic discourse and eloquence, regarded as a praiseworthy characteristic of speech, and showing a well-defined relationship between meaning and form (van Gelder 1981), the idea of brevity in connection with narratives remains undefined in the literary and stylistic theories of the Arabs. On the contrary, it is a fundamental to medieval European poetics, in which *abbreviatio* and *amplificatio* are two alternative modes of literature. More than an objective measure (number of pages, quantity of words) brevity is, in both Arabic and European narratives, a quality or an 'interior duration.' Besides its duration in terms of time, it also has a psychological dimension which is by far more difficult to reckon. *Brevitas* is by no means accidental but rather a formal model (Zumthor 1983).

What precisely makes us perceive as 'short' habars of different length is not the quantity but rather a quality of the narrative called linearity, i.e., the fact that the narrative has a linear progression: everything that has been introduced in the beginning and narrated in the middle has been resolved. Nothing is left unsettled; nothing is left over after the story is narrated. The narrative is a closed, self-contained unit: that is why a habar eighteen lines long has the same 'interior duration' as a habar three and a half lines long. An example of the first case is the thirty-three lines 'detective story' of the caliph al-Mu'tadid: 11 the caliph is watching the construction of a house when he sees a black slave showing an astonishing glee and energy. When the slave is asked about the reason for his behavior he does not reply clearly, nor does Ibn Ḥamdūn, who is present, have any reliable explanation to offer. The caliph says that this is the behavior of someone who has gotten money not belonging to him or, in other words, of a thief. The slave is beaten and confesses his crime: he murdered a person to steal his money, burned the corpse, and threw the bones into the Tigris, and is light-hearted because of the $d\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}rs$ he now possesses. The caliph investigates further and succeeds in finding out the identity of the victim and in restoring the money stolen to the victim's wife. The slave is put to death. In this habar nothing is left unsettled: the narration focuses on the cleverness of al-Mu tadid in solving a difficult case, and the material of the narration contains both the difficult case, the process of investigation, and the final and just solution (Sklovskij 1966:94-

¹¹ Ibn al-Ğawzī, $A\underline{d}kiy\bar{a}$, 47–48; cmp. al-Tanūḫī, $Ni\check{s}w\bar{a}r$, VII, 68–69; on this kind of anecdote see Malti Douglas 1988.

95). To comprehend the story, one does not need to look for something outside it, since everything is contained within the story itself. The same can be said for shorter stories, like the three-line long story on the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ Iyās b. Muʿāwiya.¹²

al-Ğāḥiz said: "Iyās was making the pilgrimage. He heard the barking of a dog in the distance and said: 'This is a tied dog.' Then he heard its barking [again] and said: 'It has been released.' Then they got ultimately to the water and asked them [the people who owned the dog] about the dog and learned that indeed he had been tied up and then released. So, he was asked how he had known this and replied: 'Its barking, while the dog was tied, was coming from one point; afterwards I heard it sometimes coming near and sometimes going away'".

The narrative contains all the elements necessary for its development and conclusion: the enigma, the solution proposed by Iyās, ¹³ and its explanation. Nothing remains unresolved and every element necessary to the development of the story is contained within the narrative itself. It is exactly this closed structure and this 'poetics of brevity' that make this possible.

It is worth remembering that brevity is also a quality dependent on the duration of its oral performance, which imposes a cohesion of narration different from that of a written text. The relevance of oral performance to the quality of brevitas in the forms of the medieval narratio brevis has been duly underlined by Zumthor 1983; Beaumont points to the same kind of relationship (brevity of the narratives/oral performance) in connection with early Muslim traditions: "For my own part, I think it likely that the traditions existed in oral form, and if that is the case, then there is some validity to this explanation of the brevity of the *habar* narrative" (Beaumont 1996:7). The oral dimension of the performance of literary $ahb\bar{a}r$ is something that must not be forgotten, even in periods of what was defined as 'writerly culture' (Toorawa 2005). References to oral transmission are not rare in the texts: in the introduction to their collections of ahbār both al-Haṭīb al-Baġdādī (Taṭfīl) and Ibn al-Ğawzī (Adkiyā', Ḥamqā) refer to auditory perception and not to the reception of a written text: they talk for instance of samā', istimā' and sāmi 'ūn. 14 If we think of at-Tanūhī's Nišwār we have a sense of how the narration of stories was spread in the circles of learned persons in the tenth century. The author declares that he wants to register only what is oral and what had not been fixed in a written form until that moment, even if it sounds somehow odd to the reader because unusual (hāriğa 'an

¹² Ibn al-Ğawzī, Adkiyā', 69; cmp. al-Ğāḥiz, Ḥayawān, II, 75–76.

 $^{^{13}}$ Iyās b. Mu'āwiya, $q\bar{a}q\bar{t}$ of Basra (d. 121/739), was proverbial for his perspicacity (cmp. $A\underline{d}k\bar{a}$ min $Iy\bar{a}s$, Freytag 1968 I:593); he is also mentioned as the champion of $fir\bar{a}sa$ in ps. Suyūṭī Kanz al-madfun (Canova 2004).

¹⁴ al-Ḥaṭīb al-Baġdādī, at-Taṭfīl, 8: "...tu ˈgibuhum al-mulaḥu wa-yu ʾtirūna samā ʿahā"; Ibn al-Ğawzī, Adkiyā ', 3: "talqīḥu albābi s-sāmi ʿīn..." and "...idā sami ʿa aḥbāra man..."; Ibn al-Ğawzī, Ḥamqā, 5: "anna l- ʿāqila idā sami ʿa aḥbārahum..."

as-sunan al-ma rūfa fī l-aḥbār wa-ṭ-ṭuruq al-ma lūfa fī l-ḥikāyāt wa-l-āthār; at-Tanūḥī Nišwār, I, 1). This means that, if the narrative corpus had largely been fixed at that time, there was still a creative trend of narratives considered worthy of being written down for further citation whenever necessary. This also means that oral performance had a certain impact on the length and narrative techniques of stories.

A further clue to the strict correlation between classical Arabic narratio brevis and oral performance (esp. the transmission of hadīth, Toorawa1985:9ff), also in connection with the practice of the sermon, is the fact that traditionists and preachers not infrequently composed monographic adab works, which we take as not accidental: al-Madā'inī (d. 228/842) and Ibn Abī d-Dunyā (d. 284/894) and their al-Farağ ba'da š-šidda; an-Nīsābūrī (d. 406/1015) and his 'Uqalā' al-maǧānīn; al-Hatīb al-Baġdādī and his Kitāb al-buhalā' and Tatfīl; Ibn al-Ğawzī and his trilogy Adkiyā', Hamqā, and Zirāf are only some instances. The custom of telling stories (even if of a specific kind, like stories of the Prophet and edifying stories), is typical of the activity of these two categories of learned men. A certain number of narratives was a cultural stock of both traditionists and preachers. The link between the homiletic practice and the short forms of narratives is intriguing. Neither the *habar* nor the exemplum is an independent unit, and both must be set within a wider text: in medieval European literature this is usually a sermon where the exemplum, used as a rhetorical device, has the pragmatic function of stimulating the attention of the audience to make them listen to useful things and to fix them in their memories. As in medieval European literature, in Arabic homiletic practice the preacher had not only to use narratives to persuade his audience with paradigmatic stories but also to arouse the listeners' attention and avoid boredom. In this sense the habar is a rhetorical device widely used to stir conscience. 15 Ibn al-Ğawzī in Kitāb al-quṣṣās wa-l-mudakkirīn conceived to provide a valid ethical and intellectual framework for preachers, repeatedly underlines the importance of narration and the role of narratives: narration (of 'true' stories) is even recommended, and the preacher must know and have recourse to a wide array of narratives. Suitable narratives also have a canonical position in the structure of the sermon, i.e., the interpretative part following the Qur'anic verses. The link between storytelling and the exhortation, which is the typical aim of the sermon, is well stressed in this text where it is emphasized that, since ancient times, both have been considered in some measure equivalent (Ibn al-Ğawzī, Quṣṣās, paragraphs 4, 340, 320, 323, 313). There is a significant parallelism between homiletic practices and canons of sermons in both Arabic and medieval European cultures.

Veritas (truthfulness) is another principle of *exemplum*, and by far the most relevant to the *ḥabar*: the narrative unit is, or is perceived to be, true or very likely (*véridique*), even if in both cases this is often more a literary convention than a

 $^{^{15}}$ On the rhetorical function of the $ahb\bar{a}r$ in Ibn Qutayba's ' $Uy\bar{u}n$ al- $ahb\bar{a}r$ see Guellati 2015:105–118.

reality. 16 In the European medieval tradition the factuality of the exempla is openly acknowledged by its appurtenance to the category of narratio authentica opposed to narratio ficta. In the classical Arabic poetics, despite several terms used to identify narratives with a non-factual character (hurāfāt, asmār, asāṭīr...) "whose fictional character relegates them to a status of inferior or null literary dignity" (Bürgel 2003), this dichotomy 'factual/non-factual' has not been formalized in terms of two different categories. This is perhaps due to the strong resistance to giving imaginary stories the status of literary forms, with the notable exception of *Kalīla wa-Dimna*. ¹⁷ In principle, a narrative unit can be considered as a text (nass), i.e. accepted as a piece of literature, only on condition that it is not false. 18 The position of the learned men on the literary, but also legal, acceptability is clear: Ibn al-Ğawzī in his introduction to $Hamq\bar{a}$ considers licit humorous and even obscene $ahb\bar{a}r$ on condition that they are true; later on, a jurist like al-Wanšarīsī (d. 914/1508) reports fatāwā that declare illicit even the buying and selling of books containing fictive narratives, and forbids the *imāma* to those who read them (al-Wanšarīsī, Mi'yār, VI:70). The factuality conventionally attributed to the *habar* is inscribed in the term itself. The basic meaning of habar is "piece of information". Its meaning in the Arabic sciences of language is "predicate", i.e., the part of the sentence from which the listener gets the piece of information (yastafīdu), being the informative element in the couple topic-comment. In the rhetorical tradition (balāġa), where it is contrasted with the performative (inš \bar{a}) (Larcher 1991), it corresponds to the constative utterance i.e., the one susceptible to be (or to be considered) true or false, and whose truthfulness consists in its correspondence to reality. 19 The (presumption of) factuality is enhanced by the narrative techniques used in the literary habar, which all contribute to a realistic style. The high degree of mimesis (imitation), which comes through especially in the dialogues, the choice of the narrative point of view, the external focalization, the intradiegetic narration, are all means that aim to give the reader/listener an impression of realism and objectivity.

Relevant for the narrative techniques is the presence of the *isnād*, which constitutes an organic part of the *habar* in that it "effects some fundamentals such as whether the narrative is diegetic or mimetic and the temporal order of the events"

¹⁶ Del Corno 1989:267 points out the efforts made, and the techniques employed by medieval preachers to give a factual feel to unbelievable *exempla*; for the *ḫabar* see e.g., Leder 1998.

¹⁷ On attitude of learned Islam towards imaginary stories see Drory 1984; Bonebakker 1992a 1992b. On fiction and fictionality in classical Arabic literature also see Kennedy 2005: XI–XXII.

¹⁸ Yaqtīn 1997:53ff., especially p. 57 "al-kalāmu l-maqbūlu l-maḥmūdu llaḏī yurādu bihi l-ḥaqq."

¹⁹ *Şidq al-ḥabar muṭābaqatuhu ila l-wāqi* (al-Qazwīnī, *Matn*, 8). The delicate question of truthfulness/falseness as discussed by al-Nazzām and al-Ğāḥiz is summarized in al-Qazwīnī, *Īḍāḥ*, 95–97.

(Beaumont 1996:29). Notwithstanding the exiguity of some isnāds mentioning names of unknown and perhaps fictive persons, or anonymous sources ('an ba'd wulāt Misr 'an ba'd al-kuttāb, etc.), or the disappearance of any informant in introductory formulae of the type qīla, balaġanī etc., the existence of a source bearing responsibility for the story is always implied, thus suggesting the authenticity of what is related. In fact, anonymous isnāds are paradoxical in terms of informativeness, while they make sense if seen as a "relata refero" sign and a clue to the perception of narrative as factual.²⁰ Differences exist between the exemplary discourse and the *habar* in narrative techniques: for instance, the *exemplum* usually makes less use of dialogue than the habar, generally preferring a lower degree of mimesis (e.g., indirect speech). Rather, truthfulness is rendered through a detailed description of the historical environment; besides, the episode is given as recent, and either witnessed by the narrator himself or by a trustworthy witness from whom the narrator has heard it.²¹ Both forms nevertheless have in common the presumption of factuality, including the authoritative or trustable source certifying the information. The notion of auctoritas is emphasized by Humbert de Romans, who compiled a list of the sources considered as the depository of truthfulness, and this is very similar to what gave rise to the huge literature of 'ilm ar-riğāl, the science of the trusted sources in the transmission of the *hadīt*. In the literary *habar* this is much looser, considering the kind of isnād we have already referred to: acknowledged authorities are not relevant to this kind of *isnad*, on condition that 'someone' bears the responsibility for the story related, whether he is overtly mentioned or not.

4. Contexts, functions

Function, or purpose, of narratives must also be considered. For medieval European literature the importance of such an element has been largely demonstrated (Jauss 1977), and this seems to be paralleled in Arabic literature. Here, telling stories for the mere pleasure of narration is unacceptable, and entertainment and leisure are not an end in themselves, but rather a means to reach a certain end. In *adab* literature the aim, openly declared by the authors, is to educate, both in the moral and the informative sense, through a well-balanced mixing of the serious and the humorous, and the purpose of the *adab* works, as is spelt out in their introductions, has very often a paraenetic or didactic flavor. at-Tanūhī, for instance, in *Faraǧ* says that the stories ($ahb\bar{a}r$) he will present constitute an exhortation to patience and endurance for those who suffer, and an invitation to trust in God's help (at-Tanūhī, *Faraǧ*,

 $^{^{20}}$ The difference between the function of the $isn\bar{a}d$ in $had\bar{\imath}th$ science and in literature is described in al-Qādī 1998:309–348. We do not share the author's conviction that the aim of literary $ahb\bar{a}r$ was limited to amusement, that is conventionally considered a means more than an end.

²¹ Especially in the later phases of development of the *exempla*: Del Corno 1989:177, 267; Zink, 1983, esp. 40–45.

I:52)²² even if—we should precise—they very often have a witty and worldly tone. In *Nišwār*, the same author claims the paradigmatic value of the stories he registered that show the virtues and the intelligence of worthy persons and can thus be a useful lesson to those who will receive science ('ilm) and knowledge (ma'rifa) of the worldly and future life (at-Tanūḫī, Nišwār, I:11-12). The didactic and paraenetic function is also recognized for the aḥbār related by Ibn al-Ğawzī in Adkiyā' and $\underline{Hamq\bar{a}}$, the second being specular to the first. In $\underline{Adkiy\bar{a}}$, conceived for praising God for the gift of intellect to man, stories featuring intelligent people aim at fecundating the mind of the listeners (talqīḥu albāb as-sāmi in) and educating $(ta'd\bar{t}b)$ those who have a high opinion of perceptive people difficult to imitate (Ibn al-Ğawzī, Adkiyā', 3). This is also stressed in the introduction to Ḥamqā, where stories featuring witty people are defined as "an example to imitate, because the stories of the brave teach bravery" (mitālan yuḥtadā li-'anna aḥbāra š-šuǧ'ān tu'allimu š-šaǧā'a) while, on the contrary, the stories of silly people have an exemplary value in the opposite sense: they push the intelligent to profess his gratitude to God for the gift He has granted him, incite him to avoid the causes of silliness, and finally amuse him when he has become tired of seriousness (Ibn al-Ğawzī, Ḥamqā, 5-6). The same elements are present in Ibn al-Ğawzī's Zirāf where it is said that the funny stories related show the intelligence and the acumen of men and thus stir and excite the intelligence of those to whom they are addressed (Ibn al-Ğawzī, Zirāf, 11). Along with the paradigmatic value of aḥbār, emphasis is also put on the function of diversion and relaxation attributed to witty narratives. These are presented as a means of reinvigorating the intellect and stirring the attention to make it ready for further intellectual occupation. For instance, in *Taffīl* al-Ḥaṭīb al-Baġdādī claims that, despite more serious matters to be engaged in, he is ready to satisfy the request of collecting funny ahbār but feels obliged to justify himself arguing that this material aims to prepare the reader for more worthy intellectual activity (al-Hatīb al-Baġdādī, Tatfīl, 7-8). Alike, in Rabī', az-Zamaḥšarī explains that he conceived this book for the mental relief of those who read his ponderous Qur'anic commentary al-Kaššāf and would be tired after such demanding reading (az-Zamahšarī, Rabī' I:36). This function of narratives legitimizes the use (and sometimes abuse) of funny or licentious narratives, something also typical of the exempla where the preachers acknowledge amusement and diversion as a good means of persuading the audience. Delectatio is in fact a feature overtly admitted by Humbert de Romans; and Jacques de Vitry in his Sermones Vulgares recommends the use of funny stories to avoid tediousness and inattention among the listeners because the preacher must make people laugh and not weep. Over time what was originally a means becomes progressively an end, and the purpose of the story is no longer to teach something or to offer a moral lesson but purely to amuse.

 $^{^{22}}$ On the overall meaning of the collection and the arrangement of narratives Özkan 2008:36-39.

The tendency to shift from the sacred to the worldly, to transform the *sensus* from spiritual to artistic, triggers in the *exemplum* a process of literary elaboration resulting, in certain narratives, in a witty repartee or a clever quip. A similar tendency is perceptible in Arabic literature, for instance in the *aḥbār* of the type "*al-ǧawāb al-muskit*" (peremptory response),²³ in which the meaning of the narrative is condensed in—and restricted to—the final *pointe* of the story, like in the following example.

al-Madāʾinī told us: "al-Muṭṭalib b. Muḥammad al-Ḥanẓabī was the qāḍī of Mecca. He married a woman who had already outlived four husbands. He fell ill of a mortal disease, so his wife sat at his bedside weeping and asked him: 'To whom will you leave my guardianship?' and he replied: 'To the sixth unlucky one."²⁴

The importance given to the final *pointe* or to the witty sentence sometimes obscures the moral content of the story and its pedagogical value seems to fade away. Occasionally, witty words lead to (worldly) salvation even if the character pronouncing them is guilty or morally reproachable, as in the following story.

I found in a book that two men were taken to a $w\bar{a}l\bar{\iota}$, one being accused of zandaqa and the other of drinking wine. The $w\bar{a}l\bar{\iota}$ trusted the two men to one of his assistants and said: 'Cut the head off this one' pointing to the $zind\bar{\iota}q$ and 'Inflict the legal punishment [flogging] on this one' pointing to the one who drank wine. 'Take them away!' When [the assistant] went away with them to go out, the one who drank wine said: 'O Emir! Deliver me to someone else to punish me, because I am not sure that this one will not make a mistake, cutting my head off and inflicting the legal punishment on my colleague instead. A mistake in such a matter cannot be repaired.' The emir laughed at him and released him. He had the head of the $zind\bar{\iota}q$ cut off (at-Tan $\bar{\iota}q$, Farag, I:338).

Adab works are full of aħbār of this type where what prevails is the pleasure of narration and the search for wittiness, and a similar phenomenon is also common in narratio brevis, where it has been labelled vanitas (vanity), signifying that the meaning transmitted by means of the narrative no longer refers to moral or religious values, but increasingly coincides with the words used for narration. Nonetheless, in the habar vanitas seems to be a prominent feature only if the narrative unit is considered isolated from its context. In the corpus of aḥbār we have investigated, rare are cases where an anecdote is followed (or preceded) by the didactic part, like in the typical exemplum. One of the most significant instances of the simultaneous presence of the normative and of the narrative parts in a ḥabar is the series of aḥbār found in Rusūm dār al-ḥilāfa of Hilāl aṣ-Ṣābi' (d. 448/1056), a work aiming at

²³ *Adab* works often have a chapter on this subject, and an entire book on it is *Kitāb alagwiba l-muskita* of Ibn Abī 'Awn (d. 322/934).

²⁴ Adkiyā', 72; Zirāf, 27; ar-Rāġib al-Iṣfahānī, Muḥāḍarāt II:97; a different version in al-Husrī, Čam', 229.

instructing high civil servants living at the caliphal court. The *aḥbār* are used to show how the perfect courtier must, or must not, behave and the exemplary function of this kind of narrative is emphasized by the explicit and systematic connection between the normative and the narrative part of the *ḥabar* (Ghersetti 2009). But, in general, the precept illustrated by the narrative is rarely explicitly stated as a structurally separate element: it is either part of the narrative unit, e.g., an aphorism uttered by one of the characters, or it is not stated at all. The following is a good example of a *ḥabar* with a precept explicitly stated as a separate part before the narrative unit.

Abū Idrīs al-Ḥawlānī said: "I heard Muḥammad b. Idrīs aš-Šāfī 'ī, may God be pleased with him, saying: 'Never was an obese man successful unless he was Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan [aš-Šaybānī]'. He was asked why and replied: 'The sensible man necessarily has one of the two dispositions: to attach importance to the hereafter and the life to come or to worldly existence and livelihood. Fat does not accumulate with concern: when he [the man] lacks one of the two ideas he reaches the threshold of bestiality and then fat can accumulate.' Then he continued: 'A long, long time ago, there was an obese king laden with fat…'" (Ibn al-Ğawzī, $A\underline{d}kiy\bar{a}$ ', 180–181; also, ar-Rāġib al-Iṣfahānī, $Muh\bar{a}dar\bar{a}t$, II:130)²⁶

In cases like this, the division into precept, or lesson, and narrative unit is self-evident, but the lesson very often seems to move from the periphery of the single *habar* to the periphery of the entire collection of *ahbār*. In fact, when we consider the *habar* in context, we can recover its didactic and moral value, that depends on the key to interpretation given in the introduction to the collections or on the positioning of the single narrative. Likewise, for the *exemplum* the *sensus*, in general explicitly stated at the periphery of the narrative unit, over time gradually disappeared to the advantage of the pleasure of the narration and was left implicit or simply shown by the context. Hence, it had to be recovered orally by the preacher during the sermon, or by the listeners or readers themselves (Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt, 1982: 63–66).

In relation with the relevance of the context, the analysis could be enriched introducing the notion of macrotext. Collections of $ahb\bar{a}r$ can thus be considered not only as an organic and unitary literary text with their own coherence (Malti Douglas 1981), but also, and more specifically, as macrotexts. This notion was coined to

²⁵ As in the case of Yaḥyā b. Ḥālid that talks to his daughter, stating "the principle that it never rains but it pours" (Hámori 1996:363–364). Of the two *ḥabars* analyzed, one cannot be considered as an *exemplum* since "it contains no event to illustrate it". Nevertheless, it must be noticed that Hámori uses a narrow definition of *exemplum*.

 $^{^{26}}$ al-Ḥawlānī (d. 80/699): a jurist of the Syrian school of law and a $q\bar{a}s\bar{s}$; aš-Šaybānī: an authority of fiqh and ' $ilm\ al$ - $us\bar{u}l$ who studied with aš-Šāfī 'ī and was $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ of Raqqa under Hārūn ar-Rašīd.

describe a collection of texts in which each minor text, seen as a microstructure, is articulated within a macrostructure that acquires in this way a functional and informative character. The functionality and informative scope of a collection of texts is possible when one of the following conditions is realized: a) there is a combination of thematic and/or formal elements running through all the texts, and this produces the cohesion and the unity of the collection; b) there is such a progression in the discourse that any single text has its specific place and cannot be found elsewhere.²⁷ This definition fits the monothematic *adab* works where unity is guaranteed both by the thematic element and the formal one; moreover, a progression in terms of chapters and of the arrangement of the ahbār into groups inside the chapters can also be seen. Analysis of the collections of ahbār as macrotexts is aptly made on a triple level: single short microunits (narratives), larger intermediate units (chapters or sections) and a macrounit (the collection), that are all interrelated and cooperate, to different degrees, in reciprocally defining their meaning. Similarly to what happens in the exemplum, 28 it seems to us that if the lesson is not found in the single microunit (the habar), it can nevertheless be found in the larger context of the macrotext(s), being then resident not at the periphery of the single narrative unit but more at the periphery of all text(s).

The dependence of the meaning of single narrative units upon a context which orientates their interpretation, becomes obvious when we consider the occurrences of the same *habar* in different works, like in the following case.

Abū Ahmad al-Hārithī said: "There was a Christian doctor called Mūsā b. Sinān to whom they brought a man: his penis was swollen to the point he could not urinate, and he was crying and calling for help. [The doctor] asked him about his complaint and the man replied that he had not been urinating for days. [The doctor] saw that his penis was swollen and examined his general condition without finding anything that could cause the retention of urine, nor a calculus. He let him stay one day at his clinic and interrogated him. He said: 'Tell me, have you introduced your penis where people usually do not introduce it and after that you got this [complaint]?' The man said nothing and looked ashamed. The doctor did not give up and tried to coax the man into telling the truth, promising to keep his secret, until [the man] said: 'I had sexual intercourse with a male donkey.' Then the doctor said: 'Bring me a hammer and some slaves.' They came and grabbed the man, the doctor put his penis on a blacksmith's anvil, struck it with the hammer one painful time only and a barleycorn emerged. [The doctor] conjectured that a barleycorn from the donkey's anus had entered the hole of the penis and when he struck, it came out" (Ibn al-Ğawzī, Adkiyā', 186).

²⁷ Corti 1978:185; 169–220 for a semiotic analysis of micro/macrotexts; for macrotexts in Arabic literature see Cassarino 2003.

²⁸ Bremond, Le Goff, Schmitt 1982:33: "la morale est souvent implicite ou simplement éclairée par le contexte dans l'*exemplum* de la belle époque, c'est-à-dire du XIII^e siècle."

This same story is quoted by at-Tanūḫī (Faraǧ, IV, 204–205)²⁹ but with some notable differences: the *isnād* is different, the doctor is anonymous, there is a major emphasis on the mimetic mode (the narrative unit is almost completely composed of dialogues), the therapy is much more compassionate. There is also a kind of moralisatio in the end, when the doctor exhorts the protagonist to turn to God in repentance. Interpretation is also triggered by the context: in at-Tanūḥī's Farağ the introduction and the position of the anecdote (the chapter about those who escaped from the danger caused by a disease) shift the focus to the escape from hardship, and the story has the function of demonstrating how relief can be achieved even in the most difficult and obscure situations, and even if the moral behavior is not irreproachable. This moral tone is missing in the version of Adkiyā' where the lesson implicit in the anecdote, if any, has no ethical connotation, unless we take the unsympathetic narration of the therapy as the moralist's vengeance for immoral behavior. Here the story is given in a neutral mode, the attitude of the doctor is very professional (with a certain attention to the psychological aspect of the situation) and the narrative has the tone of a mere chronicle, with some emphasis on the diegetic mode, thus focusing on the cleverness of the doctor, emphasized by the careful relation of the questions and of his perspicacity. A clue to interpretation is found both in the introductory part of this monothematic work and in the position attributed to this unit (the chapter on perspicacity of doctors); the *habar* is to be interpreted as a paradigm of human intelligence. A further example of this functional polysemy is the well-known *habar* on the origin of the toponym *bi'r al-kalb*.

Abū 'Ubayda said: "A man went out from Basra and a dog followed him. Some people attacked him, injured him, and threw him into a pit, covering him with earth. When they went away the dog approached the top of the pit, scratched about, and dug until the head of the man appeared: he was hardly breathing. A group of people passing by got him out still living". (Ibn al-Ğawzī, Adkiyā', 246).

In this concise version (two and a half lines vs. the fifteen lines of the most ancient version) the narrative is presented to the listener/reader without any sensus. The meaning is to be looked for in context (examples of intelligence) so that the story can be interpreted as an example of the acute intelligence of dogs. Other extant versions are much more detailed, and the most ancient version, in al- $\check{G}\bar{a}hiz$'s $\check{H}ayaw\bar{a}n$, is by far longer and richer in details: the man is the master of the dog, he beats the dog to make it leave, he travels with his brother and his neighbor, who escape after the attack, and the salvific intervention of the dog is described in detail. The story is introduced by a verse condensing the narrative in a concise form, and as such seems to guide the reader's interpretation.

²⁹ On medical stories in at-Tanūḫī Bray 2006.

Abū l-Ḥasan b. Ḥālawayh recited, from Abū 'Ubayda, by a poet [unidentified]: "His neighbor and his full brother escaped from him/and his dog brings him out into the open to safety even though he had beaten it". (al-ǧāḥiz, Ḥayawān, II:122–123)

The purpose of the story is clearly suggested and becomes even clearer in the commentary: this is a sign of the natural fidelity ($waf\bar{a}$) and affection (ilf) of dogs. The story is used as a rhetorical device to show that dogs are blessed with innate psychological qualities, in a polemical attitude against the general contempt for dogs widespread in Islamic culture. The same anecdote, with minor variations in wording, is also quoted by Ibn al-Marzubān (d. 309/921) in Fadl al- $kil\bar{a}b$, a collection of poems and al- $b\bar{a}r$ intended to rehabilitate dogs depicted as more trustworthy and moral than men (Ibn al-Marzubān, Fadl, 31–32 Arabic; 8 English). In this context the story not only works to show the fidelity of dogs but also to contrast it with the infidelity of man, thus highlighting the contrast between a positive pole (the animal) and a negative one (the human being). The principle to be demonstrated here is that "A dog is man's best friend."

5. From the short to the long of it: techniques

In some way analogous to what we could see in Arabic short narratives, it has been noticed that in the *exemplum* the paradigmatic function gradually tends to evolve towards ends which are no more didactic but merely amusing, and the *sensus* of the story tends to disappear to the advantage of the mere anecdote, flowing later into the *novella*. Although strongly criticized, the hypothesis of a genetic approach which sees the *novella* as a derivation of the *exemplum* in our opinion could offer interesting clues in terms of techniques. Particularly amplificatio, the process insisting on the static motifs of the narration (presentation of the characters, description of the environment and circumstances)³² and the addition of realistic details, is something that characterizes the anecdote (Jolles 1980:185–200). It seems to us that this technique can be discerned also in Arabic short narratives, where it operates in characterizing the *nādira* as opposed to *habar* (Geries 1990, Hámori 1996).

The two versions of the anecdote of Abū Māzin al-Aḥdab and Ğabal al-ʿAmmī in al-Ğāḥiz's *al-Burṣān wa-l-ʿurǧān* and *al-Buḥalā'* studied in Geries 1990 clearly represent this kind of process. The first, defined as a *ḥabar*, is very short (a few lines) and the narrative techniques point to the neutral presentation of facts (for instance

³⁰ The parallel has been suggested by Beaumont, that had in mind Boccaccio's *Decameron*: "we can see in at-Tanūkhī's book the development of fictions out of religious *exempla* in a way that more or less parallels the growth of many medieval European fictions" (Beaumont 1998:138).

³¹ Del Corno, 1989:180; he sees the medieval literary system as more properly characterized by the interrelations between its different elements.

³² Del Corno 1989:176, 178 for the difficulty of tracing a neat boundary between *exemplum* and *novella*.

the colloquial variety of language used by Ğabal gives the story a flavor of reality): the anecdote is thus presented as a chronicle and a piece of information more than a narrative. The second version is much longer and richer in details: elements like the description of characters, the mimesis of long dialogues, the description of setting, and the development of the events, all artfully constructed, aim at arousing the interest and the curiosity of readers. All this transforms the mere fact of informing into the art of narrating. Even functions are different: at the end of the *ḥabar* there is a very concise sentence that recalls a kind of *moralisatio* and suggests the condemnation of the avarice of Abū Māzin, while at the end of the more elaborate version (defined *nādira*), the reader is rather inclined to laugh. Another example of the techniques of *amplificatio* is visible in the accumulation of versions of a well-known pious *ḥabar* quoted in at-Tanūḥī's *Farağ* (Ghersetti 1990), where the story, that recalls the Aesopian fable of the man and the ungrateful snake, is mentioned in four versions (at-Tanūḥī, *Farağ* I, 200–201). The most concise is the following (four lines, including the *isnad*).

Ğa'far al-'Ābid transmitted this story (*habar*) in Rāmahurmuz, with a different wording, except that the meaning is very close. I related what reached me from that: "He said: 'I read in the books of the ancestors that a snake escaped from one who hunted it down to kill it. The snake asked a man to conceal it, he concealed it in his mouth and refused it to him who searched for it.""

This is a truly minimal narrative structure, and the narrative segment that relates the ungratefulness of the animal is lacking, while it is present, and even amplified, in the other three versions, one preceding and the other two following the habar above. Those are by far longer and more detailed: in the first (fourteen lines), the snake, that is followed by a man who wants to kill it, encounters a pious man praying and asks him to conceal it. The man accepts and conceals the animal under his robe but, once safe, the animal wants to kill him. In the end the man is saved by divine intervention. Apart from differences in the isnād, in the longer versions many details are added, some elements changed, and some expanded. In the third version the identity of the man and the place of prayer are more detailed, the religious affiliation of the man and the snake is specified, and there are rather long dialogues between the man and the snake and the man and the animal pursuer. The happy ending, on the contrary, is mentioned in an elliptical way. The fourth and longest version, on the authority of Sufyān b. 'Uyayna, recalls the preceding, but with the addition of some minor details (the pursuer brings a sword, the snake prudently looks around before coming out) that stress its narrative character and, most important of all, the final part is constituted by the miraculous intervention of an angel sent by God to relieve the pious man. There is a shift from a succinct chronicle to narratives that have a more literary character: the *habar* above is a point of departure for creating narratives where the details (the identity of the pious man, his religious membership, the reason for hating of the snake, the place where it is concealed, the way of salvation, etc.) accumulate to build a story.

6. Final (short) remarks

Examples can, of course, be multiplied and, as in the narrative traditions we have investigated, the pleasure of narration could lead us astray, but *brevitas* has its own rights and we must reach a conclusion. Structural, formal, and functional analogies between the *habar* and the *exemplum*, or better the exemplary forms, seem to us worthy of attention and could suggest new perspectives of investigation to researchers, and notably supply researchers of Arabic literature with apt analysis tools. They could also explain the permeability of the medieval European literary system to "Oriental" narratives, and their acceptance and wide circulation (the sonamed "irruption" Berlioz, Polo de Beaulieu 2008) in Europe in the Middle Ages, and even later.³³

³³ A telling example is the story of the sons of Nizār b. Ma'add passed from the Arab world and Persia to sixteenth-century Venice, moving then to eighteenth-century English literature with Horace Walpole's *The Three Princes of Serendip* (Bragantini in Cristoforo Armeno, *Peregrinaggio*, 14ff.).

REFERENCES

A. Primary sources

- Cristoforo Armeno, *Peregrinaggio* = Cristoforo Armeno, *Peregrinaggio di tre giovani figliuoli del Re di Serendippo*. A cura di Renzo Bragantini. Roma: Salerno Editrice, 2000.
- al-Ḥaṭīb al-Baġdādī, *Taṭfīl* = al-Ḥaṭīb al-Baġdādī. *Kitāb at-Taṭfīl*, s.l., s.d. [repr. of the edition Damascus, 1927?].
- al-Ḥuṣrī, *Ğam* al-Ḥuṣrī, Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm Qayrawānī, *Ğam* al-ǧawāhir. Edited by Alī Muḥammad al-Baǧāwī. Al-Qāhira: Dār Iḥyā al-Kutub al-ʿArabiyya, 1372/1953.
- Ibn al-Ğawzī, *Ḥamqā* = Ibn al-Ğawzī. *Aḥbār al-ḥamqā wa-l-muġaffalīn*. Bayrūt: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyya, 1405/1985.
- Ibn al-Ğawzī, *Quṣṣās* = Ibn al-Ğawzī. *Kitāb al-quṣṣās wa-l-mudakkirīn*, including a Critical Edition, Annotated translation and Introduction by Merlin L. Swartz. Bayrūt: Dār al-Mašriq, 1971.
- Ibn al-Marzubān, Faḍl = Ibn al-Marzubān Faḍl al-kilāb 'ala katīr mimman labisa at-tiyāb. The Superiority of Dogs Over Many of Those Who Wear Clothes. Edited and translated by G.R. Smith and M.A.S. Abdel Haleem. Warminster: Aris & Phillips, 1978.
- Jacques de Vitry, Exempla = Jacques de Vitry, The Exempla and Illustrative Stories from the "Sermones Vulgares" of Jacques de Vitry. Edited by T.F. Crane. Nendeln: Kraus Reprint 1967 [London: Nutt for the Folk Lore Society,1890].
- al-Qazwīnī, *Matn* = al-Qazwīnī (al-Ḥaṭīb al-Dimašqī), *Matn at-Talḫīṣ*. Miṣr: ʿĪsā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī wa-Šurakāʾuh, n.d.
- al-Qazwīnī, $\bar{l}d\bar{a}h$ = al-Qazwīnī, $al-\bar{l}d\bar{a}h$ $f\bar{i}$ 'ulūm $al-bal\bar{a}ga$. Edited by Muḥammad 'Abd al-Mun'im Ḥafāǧī. Bayrūt : Dār al-Kitāb al-Lubnānī, 1975.
- ar-Rāģib al-Işfahānī, *Muḥāḍarāt* = ar-Rāģib al-Işfahānī, *Muḥāḍarāt al-udabā*', al-Šarafiyya, 1326/1908.
- at-Tanūḥī, *Faraǧ* = Abū ʿAlī al-Muḥassin at-Tanūḥī, *al-Faraǧ baʿda š-šidda*. Edited by ʿAbbūd aš-Šāliǧī. 5 vols. Bayrūt: Dār Ṣādir, 1978.
- at-Tanūḫī, *Nišwār* = Abū 'Alī al-Muḥassin at-Tanūḫī, *Nišwār al-muḥāḍara*. Edited by 'Abbūd aš-Šāliǧī. 8 vols. Bayrūt: Dār Ṣādir, 1391/1971.
- al-Wanšarīsī, *Mi 'yār* = al-Wanšarīsī, *al-Mi 'yār al-mu 'rib wa-l-ǧāmi ' al-muġrib 'an fatāwā 'ulamā' Ifrīqiya wa-l-Andalus wa-l-Maġrib* . Rabāṭ: Wizārat al-Awqāf wa-š-Šu'ūn al-Islāmiyya-Dār al-Ġarb al-Islāmī, 1983.
- az-Zamaḫšarī, $Rab\bar{\imath}$ = az-Zamaḫšarī, $Rab\bar{\imath}$ al-abrār wa-nuṣūṣ al-aḫbār. Edited by Sulaymān an-Nuʻaymī. 5 vols. Qum: Intišārāt aš-Šarīf ar-Rāḍī, n.d.

B. Secondary sources

- Abdelmeguid, 'Abdel-Aziz. 1954. "A Survey of the Terms Used in Arabic for 'Narrative' and 'Story," *Islamic Quarterly* 1.195–204.
- Beaumont, Daniel. 1996. "Hard-boiled: Narrative Discourse in Early Muslim Traditions" *Studia Islamica* 83.3–32.
- Beaumont, Daniel. 1998. "In the Second Degree: Fictional Technique in at-Tanūkhī's *Al-Faraj ba 'da 'l-shidda*" *AMEL* 1.125–139.
- Berlioz, Jacques, Polo de Beaulieu Marie Anne (eds.). 1998. Les exempla médiévaux: nouvelles perspectives. Paris: H. Champion
- Berlioz Jacques, Polo de Beaulieu Marie Anne. 2008. "L'Irruption de la matière orientale dans la littérature exemplaire, XIII°-XIV° siècles". In: Le répertoire narratif arabe médiéval: transmission et ouverture. Actes du colloque international qui s'est tenu à l'Université de Liège, 15–17 septembre 2005 edited by F. Bauden, A. Chraïbi, A. Ghersetti. 161–172. Geneva: Droz.
- Bray, Julia. 2006. "The Physical World and the Writer's Eye. Al-Tanūḫī and Medicine". In: *Writing and Representation in Medieval Islam: Muslim Horizons*, edited by J. Bray. 215–249. London: Routledge.
- Bremond, Claude, Le Goff, Jacques, Schmitt, Jean-Claude. 1982. L'Exemplum. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Bürgel, Johann-Christoph. 2003. "Reality and Fiction in Classical Arabic and Persian Literature". In: *Poetica medievale tra oriente e occidente* edited by P. Bagni, M. Pistoso, 123–138. Roma: Carocci.
- Canova, Giovanni. 2004. "Una pagina di al-Kanz al-madfūn sugli uomini più illustri". In: Ultra mare. Mélanges offerts au Prof. A. Martin edited by F. Bauden, 93–108. Leuven, Dudley MA: Peeters.
- Cassarino, Mirella. 2003. *Il «Kitāb al-i tibār» di Usāma ibn Munqiā. Testo o macrotesto?* In: Medioevo romanzo e orientale. Macrotesti fra Oriente e Occidente, IV Colloquio Internazionale Vico Equense, 26–29 ottobre 2000 edited by G. Carbonaro, E. Creazzo, N.L. Tornesello. 375–384. Soveria Mannelli: Rubbettino
- Corti, Maria. 1978. Il viaggio testuale. Torino: Einaudi.
- Del Corno, Carlo. 1989. Exemplum e letteratura. Bologna: Il Mulino.
- Drory, Rina. 1984. "Three Attempts to Legitimize Fiction in Classical Arabic Literature". *JSAI* 18.146–164. Bonebakker, Seeger Adrianus. 1992a. "Some Medieval Views on Fantastic Stories," *QSA* 10.21–43
- Bonebakker, Seeger Adrianus. 1992b. *Nihil obstat in Story Telling*? Amsterdam/New York/Tokyo: Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie Van Wetenschappen.
- Freytag, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich. 1968. *Arabum proverbia* [Bonn: Marcum. 1838-1839; reprinted:]. 2 vols. Osnabruck: Biblio.
- van Gelder, Geert Jan. 1981. "Brevity, the Long and the Short of it in Classical Arabic Literary Theory". In: *Proceedings of the Ninth Congress of the Union*

- Européenne des Arabisants et Islamisants, Amsterdam 1–7 September 1978, edited by R. Peters, 78–88. Leiden: Brill.
- Ghersetti, Antonella. 1990. "La 'storia dell'uomo e del serpente' nell'opera di al-Tanūḥī". *Annali di Ca'Foscari, Serie Orientale*, 21. 37–53
- Ghersetti, Antonella. 2003. *La narratio brevis nella letteratura araba classica: tecniche discorsive e convenzioni narrative*. In: Raccontare nel Mediterraneo, edited by M.G. Profeti, 9-29. Firenze: Alinea.
- Ghersetti, Antonella. 2009. "Enseigner en racontant: les anecdotes dans *L'Etiquette* de la cour califale (Rusūm dār al-ḫilāfa) d'al-Ṣābi'". Synergies. Monde Arabe 6.27–40.
- Guellati, Amel. 2015. La notion d'adab chez Ibn Qutayba : étude générique et éclairage comparatiste. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Hámori, András. 1996 "*Exemplum*, Anecdote and the Gentle Heart in a Text by al-Jahshiyārī," *Arabische Studien* 50. 363–370
- Jauss, Hans Robert. 1977. Alterität und Modernität der mittelalterlichen Literatur. Munich: W. Fink.
- Jolles, André. 1980. Forme semplici [Einfache Formen. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft. 1969] Milano: Mursia.
- Kennedy, Philip F. (ed.). 2005. On Fiction and adab in Medieval Arabic Literature. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Larcher, Pierre. 1991. "Quand en arabe on parlait de l'arabe II. Essai sur la catégorie de 'inšā' (vs. habar). Arabica 38. 246–273.
- Leder Stefan, Kilpatrick, Hilary. 1992. "Classical Arabic Prose Literature: A Researcher's Sketch Map". *JAL* 23. 2–26.
- Leder, Stefan. 1992. "The Literary Use of the *habar*". In: *The Byzantine and Early Islamic Near East*, vol. 1: *Problems of the Literary Source Material*, edited by A. Cameron, L.I. Conrad, 277–315. Princeton: Darwin Press.
- Leder, Stefan. 1998. "Conventions of Fictional Narration in Learned Literature". In: *Story-telling in the Framework of Non-fictional Arabic Literature* edited by. S. Leder, 34–60. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Leder, Stefan. 2005. "The Use of Composite Forms in the Making of the Islamic Historical Tradition". In: *On Fiction and* adab *in Medieval Arabic Literature* edited by Ph. F. Kennedy, 85–124. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Malti Douglas, Fedwa.1981. "Structure and Organization in a Monographic *adab* Work: *al-Tatfīl* of al-Ḥaṭīb al-Baghdādī" *JNES* 40. 227–245
- Malti Douglas, Fedwa.1985. Structures of Avarice: The Buḥalā' in Medieval Arabic Literature Leiden: Brill.
- Malti Douglas, Fedwa. 1988. "The Classical Arabic Detective" Arabica 35.59-91.
- Marzolph, Ulrich. 1992. Arabia ridens: die humoristische Kurzprosa der frühen adab-Literatur im internationalen Traditionsgeflecht. 2 vols. Frankfurt: Vittorio Klostermann.
- Özkan, Hakan. 2008. Narrativität im Kitāb al-Farag ba'da š-šidda des Abū 'Alī al-

- Muḥassin at-Tanūḫī. Eine literaturwissenschaftliche Studie abbasidischer Prosa. Berlin: Klaus Schwarz.
- Pellat, Charles. "hikāya," "qiṣṣa," "nādira," in E.I. n. ed.
- Picone, Michelangelo (ed.). 1985. Il racconto. Bologna: Il Mulino,
- al-Qāḍī, Muḥammad. 1998. *al-Ḥabar fi l-adab al-ʿArabī*. Tūnis Bayrūt: Kulliyat al-ādāb Dār al-Ġarb al-Islāmī,
- Sklovskij, Viktor. 1966. *Una teoria della prosa*. [O teorii prozy, Moskva, Teoretičeskaâ rabota, 1925] Bari: De Donato.
- Spies, Otto. 1977. *Arabisch-islamische Erzählstoffe*. In: *Enzyklopädie des Märchens*, I, col. 685–718. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter.
- Toorawa, Shawkat. 2005. *Ibn Abī Ṭāhir Ṭayfūr and Arabic Writerly Culture: A Ninth-century Bookman in Baghdad*. London-New York: RoutledgeCurzon.
- Yaqtīn, S. 1997. *al-Kalām wa-l-ḥabar. Muqaddima li-s-sard al-ʿArabī*. Dār al-Bayḍāʾ: al-Markaz at-Ṭaqāfī l-ʿArabī.
- Zink, Michel. 1983. "Le temps du récit et la mise en scène du narrateur dans le fabliau et l'exemplum". In : La Nouvelle. Actes du Colloque International de Montréal (McGill University, 14–16 octobre 1982), edited by M. Picone, G. Di Stefano and P.D. Stewart. 27–45. Montréal: Plato Academic Press, esp. 40–45.
- Zumthor, Paul. 1983. "La brièveté comme forme". In: La Nouvelle. Actes du Colloque International de Montréal (McGill University, 14–16 octobre 1982), edited by M. Picone, G. Di Stefano and P.D. Stewart. 3–8. Montréal: Plato Academic Press.