

# Induced Turán problems and traces of hypergraphs

Zoltán Füredi\*

Ruth Luo†

February 19, 2020

## Abstract

Let  $F$  be a graph. We say that a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  contains an *induced Berge  $F$*  if the vertices of  $F$  can be embedded to  $\mathcal{H}$  (e.g.,  $V(F) \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$ ) and there exists an injective mapping  $f$  from the edges of  $F$  to the hyperedges of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(xy) \cap V(F) = \{x, y\}$  holds for each edge  $xy$  of  $F$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{H}$  contains  $F$  as a trace.

Let  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$  denote the maximum number of edges in an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph with no induced Berge  $F$ . Let  $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$  denote the maximum number of  $K_r$ 's in an  $F$ -free graph on  $n$  vertices. We show that these two Turán type functions are strongly related.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05D05, 05C65, 05C35.

**Keywords:** extremal hypergraph theory, Berge hypergraphs, traces.

## 1 Definitions, Berge $F$ subhypergraphs

A hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  is  *$r$ -uniform* or simply an  *$r$ -graph* if it is a family of  $r$ -element subsets of a finite set  $V(\mathcal{H})$ . If the *vertex set*  $V(\mathcal{H})$  is clear from the text, then we associate an  $r$ -graph  $\mathcal{H}$  with its edge set  $E(\mathcal{H})$ . Usually we take  $V(\mathcal{H}) = [n]$ , where  $[n]$  is the set of first  $n$  integers,  $[n] := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . We also use the notation  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ . For a set of vertices  $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$  define the *codegree* of  $S$ , denoted as  $\text{deg}(S)$ , to be the number of edges of  $\mathcal{H}$  containing  $S$ . The  *$s$ -shadow*,  $\partial_s \mathcal{H}$ , is the family of  $s$ -sets contained in the edges of  $\mathcal{H}$ . So  $\partial_1 \mathcal{H}$  is the set of non-isolated vertices, and  $\partial_2 \mathcal{H}$  is the graph whose edges are the pairs with positive co-degree in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** For a graph  $F$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  and edge set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_q\}$ , a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  contains a **Berge  $F$**  if there exist distinct vertices  $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\} \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$  and distinct edges  $\{f_1, \dots, f_q\} \subseteq E(\mathcal{H})$ , such that if  $e_i = v_\alpha v_\beta$ , then  $\{w_\alpha, w_\beta\} \subseteq f_i$ . The vertices  $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$  are called the **base vertices** of the Berge  $F$ .

**Definition 1.2.** For a graph  $F$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  and edge set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_q\}$ , a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  contains an **induced Berge  $F$**  if there exists a set of distinct vertices  $W := \{w_1, \dots, w_p\} \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$  and distinct edges  $\{f_1, \dots, f_q\} \subseteq E(\mathcal{H})$ , such that if  $e_i = v_\alpha v_\beta$ , then  $\{w_\alpha, w_\beta\} = f_i \cap W$ .

In particular, in the case that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a graph (2-uniform), an induced Berge  $F$  is just any copy of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , not to be confused with the notion of induced subgraphs. If the two hypergraphs have the same number of edges,  $e(\mathcal{H}) = e(\mathcal{F})$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  itself is a(n induced) Berge  $F$  hypergraph. The set of  $r$ -uniform (induced) Berge  $F$  hypergraphs is denoted by  $\{\text{B}(F)\}_r$  ( $\{\text{B}_{\text{ind}}(F)\}_r$ , resp.).

---

\*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungary. E-mail: z-furedi@illinois.edu. Research supported in part by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office NKFIH grant KH-130371.

†University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. E-mail: ruluo@ucsd.edu. Research of this author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1902808.

For example, if  $F$  is a triangle,  $E(F) = \{12, 13, 23\}$ , then  $\{B(F)\}_3$  contains four triple systems:  $\{12a, 13a, 23a\}$ ,  $\{12a, 13a, 23b\}$ ,  $\{12a, 13b, 23c\}$ , and  $\{123, 13a, 23b\}$ . The first three of them contains an induced  $C_3$ , the fourth does not. Parenthesis and indices are omitted when it does not cause ambiguities.

### 1.1 Three types of extremal numbers

Given a set of  $r$ -graphs  $\mathcal{F}$  the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if it does not have any subgraph isomorphic to any member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The *Turán number* of  $\mathcal{F}$ , denoted by  $\text{ex}_r(n, \mathcal{F})$ , is the maximum size of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -free  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$ . Usually it is assumed that  $|\mathcal{F}|$  is finite, so the well-known fact  $\text{ex}_2(n, \{C_3, C_4, C_5, \dots\}) = n - 1$  usually is not considered a Turán type result because the set of forbidden graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , the set of all cycles, is infinite. If  $r = 2$  then the index is usually omitted. Also if  $\mathcal{F}$  has only one member,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$ , then we write  $\text{ex}_r(n, F)$  instead of  $\text{ex}_r(n, \{F\})$ .

The *generalized Turán number* for graphs, pioneered by Erdős [3] and recently systematically investigated by Alon and Shikhelman [1], is the following extremal problem. We only formulate the case relevant to this paper. Given a graph  $F$ , let  $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$  denote the maximum possible number of copies of  $K_r$ 's in an  $F$ -free,  $n$ -vertex graph, i.e.,

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) := \max \left\{ |\mathcal{N}_r(H)| : H \text{ is } F\text{-free}, H \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_r(H) \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r}$  is the family of  $r$ -element vertex sets that span a  $K_r$  in  $H$ . In particular  $\mathcal{N}_2(H) = E(H)$  and  $\text{ex}(n, K_2, F) = \text{ex}(n, F)$  is the regular Turán number of  $F$ .

For a graph  $F$  and positive integer  $r$ , let

$$\text{ex}_r(n, BF) := \max \{ e(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is Berge } F\text{-free} \}.$$

Ever since Győri, G. Y. Katona, and Lemons [8] investigated hypergraphs without long Berge paths there is a renewed interest concerning extremal Berge type problems. Here we define a related function, the *induced Berge Turán number* of  $F$ . Special cases were studied earlier, especially the 3-uniform case (e.g., Maherani and Shahsiah [13], Gyárfás [7], Sali and Spiro [18]).

$$\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) := \max \{ e(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{r} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is induced Berge } F\text{-free} \}.$$

We consider the relationship between these three functions. Obviously,

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) \leq \text{ex}_r(n, BF) \leq \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F). \quad (1)$$

Indeed, consider a graph  $G$  with  $|\mathcal{N}_r(G)| = \text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ . Since  $G$  is  $F$ -free, the  $r$ -graph  $\mathcal{N}_r(G)$  is Berge  $F$ -free, implying  $|\mathcal{N}_r(G)| \leq \text{ex}_r(n, BF)$ . The second inequality holds because if a hypergraph contains no Berge  $F$  then it also contains no induced Berge  $F$ .

The induced Berge  $F$  problem is motivated by the forbidden configuration problem for matrices (see Anstee [2] for a survey). It can also be reformulated as a hypergraph trace problem (see, e.g.,

Mubayi and Zhao [15]). Few results are known for the induced Berge Turán problem. In [15], the value of  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}K_t)$  is determined asymptotically for  $K_3$  and  $K_4$ , as well as  $K_t$  when  $t$  is close to the uniformity  $r$ .

A special case of induced Berge hypergraphs, so called *expansions* were intensively studied, see, e.g., Pikhurko [16], Kostochka, Mubayi, and Verstraëte [11], and the survey by Mubayi and Verstraëte [14].

There are also other areas of research in extremal graph theory which are called ‘induced’ Turán type results. E.g., Prömel and Steger [17] investigated the extremal properties of graphs not containing an induced copy of a given graph  $F$ . A more recent version is by Loh, Tait, Timmons, and Zhou [12]. But most of these are only distant relatives of our induced Berge question.

## 2 Main results, bounds for $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$

### 2.1 The order of magnitude

Let  $F$  be a graph,  $r \geq 2$ . Our aim is to determine the order of magnitude of the induced Berge Turán number of  $F$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , or to reduce it to known problems. Then in the next subsection we define a large class of 3-chromatic graphs  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$  which contains, e.g., all outerplanar graphs, and apply our results and methods to determine their induced Berge Turán number more precisely.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $r \geq 2$ , and fix a graph  $F$  such that  $E(F) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \Theta\left(\max_{2 \leq s \leq r} \{\text{ex}(n, K_s, F)\}\right).$$

This theorem shows that the order of magnitudes of the three functions in (1) behave differently as  $r$  changes. For small  $r$ , in the range  $r \leq \chi(F) - 1$ , all the three,  $\text{ex}_r(n, F)$ ,  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{BF})$ , and  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ , are of order  $\Theta(n^r)$  because the balanced complete  $(\chi(F) - 1)$ -partite  $r$ -graph contains no Berge  $F$  (so its 2-shadow, the  $r$ -partite Turán graph is  $r$ -chromatic).

If  $r \geq |V(F)|$  then  $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = 0$  (since a  $K_r$  contains a copy of  $F$ ). For general graphs  $F$ , the behavior of the three functions in the range  $\chi(F) \leq r \leq |V(F)| - 1$  is still unknown. Determining the order of  $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$  for  $r$  in this range would give an answer for the growth of  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ .

Concerning the Berge Turán function Gerbner and Palmer [5] showed that

$$\text{ex}_r(n, \text{BF}) \leq \text{ex}(n, F)$$

for  $r \geq |V(F)|$ . So in this range  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{BF}) = O(n^2)$ . For the complete graphs the two sides have the same order:  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{BK}_r) = \Theta(n^2)$  if  $r \geq 3$ . However this does not hold if  $r$  is large compared to  $|V(F)|$ . Grósz, Methuku, and Tompkins [6] proved that for any non-bipartite  $F$  and sufficiently large  $r$ , the order of  $\text{ex}_r(n, F)$  differs from that of  $\text{ex}(n, F)$ : there exists some number  $th(F)$  such that if  $r \geq th(F)$  then  $\text{ex}_r(n, F) = o(n^2)$ .

In contrast, the order of the induced Berge Turán function  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$  is non-decreasing in  $r$ . Moreover, it is basically monotone. If  $\bigcap E(F) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $F$  is not a star, then we will see later by

Lemma 3.1 that

$$\left(1 - \frac{r-1}{n}\right) \text{ex}_{r-1}(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) \leq \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F). \quad (2)$$

## 2.2 Outerplanar graphs and more

We define the class of  $t$ -vertex graphs  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t)}$  by induction on  $t$  as follows. The class  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(2)}$  has only a single member,  $K_2$ . For  $t > 2$  one obtains each member  $G$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t)}$  by taking a  $G^{(t-1)} \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t-1)}$ , taking an edge  $xy \in G^{(t-1)}$ , adding a new vertex  $z \notin V(G^{(t-1)})$ , and joining  $z$  to  $x$  and to  $y$ . Each  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t)}$  has exactly  $t$  vertices and  $2t - 3$  edges. Finally, let  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$  be the family of all non-empty subgraphs of the members of  $\cup_{t \geq 2} \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t)}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$  contains all outerplanar graphs, particularly cycles,  $C_t$ , and forests. Each  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$  has chromatic number at most 3 and are obviously planar.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $r \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Fix a graph  $F \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \Theta(\text{ex}(n, F))$ .*

This theorem reveals further gaps between  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{BF})$  and  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ . Győri and Lemons [9, 10] proved that for  $r \geq 3$  an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph avoiding a Berge cycle  $C_{2t+1}$  has at most  $O(\text{ex}(n, C_{2t}))$  edges, which is known to be  $O(n^{1+(1/t)})$ . On the other hand, in the same range, we have  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}C_{2t+1}) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

Together, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 show that  $\text{ex}(n, C_t)$  has the same order as  $\max_{2 \leq s \leq r} \{\text{ex}(n, K_s, F)\}$ . We obtain the following (known) corollary. For any  $r \geq 2$  and  $t \geq 3$

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, C_t) = O(\text{ex}(n, C_t)).$$

We also state the case of trees.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $r \geq 2$  and  $T$  be a forest with at least two edges. Then  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}T) = \Theta(\text{ex}(n, T)) = \Theta(n)$ .*

Finally, we get better bounds for stars,  $F = K_{1,t-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any  $r \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq 3$ , if  $n = a(r+t-3) + b$  with  $b \leq r+t-4$  then*

$$a \binom{r+t-3}{r} + \binom{b}{r} \leq \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}K_{1,t-1}) \leq \frac{n}{r} \binom{r+t-3}{r-1}.$$

*In particular, if  $n$  is divisible by  $r+t-3$ , the lower bound is  $\frac{n}{r} \binom{r+t-4}{r-1}$ .*

## 3 Constructions and proofs

### 3.1 Simple constructions and a monotonicity of the induced Berge Turán function

If  $E(F)$  has a single edge then for  $n \geq |V(F)| + r - 2$  we have  $\text{ex}(n, F) = \text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = \text{ex}_r(n, \text{BF}) = \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = 0$ , so there is nothing to prove, all of our statements trivially hold.

In all other cases we have  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \Omega(n)$  as one can see from the following constructions. If  $F$  has two non-disjoint edges then a matching of  $r$ -sets gives  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) \geq \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ . If  $F$  has two disjoint edges then the hypergraph consisting of  $n - r + 1$  sets sharing a common  $(r - 1)$ -set yields  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) \geq n - r + 1$ .

If  $x \in V(F)$  is an isolated vertex then  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}(F \setminus \{x\}))$  for all  $n > (r - 2)|E(F)| + |V(F)|$ . So we may delete isolated vertices and asymptotically get the same Turán number. From now on, we suppose that  $F$  has no isolated vertex and  $|E(F)| \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Fix integers  $r, t \geq 2$ . If  $F$  is a graph on  $t$  vertices such that  $F \neq K_{1,t-1}$  (and  $e(F) \geq 2$  and  $F$  has no isolated vertex), then  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) \geq \text{ex}_{(r-1)}(n-1, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ . In particular,  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \Omega(\text{ex}(n, F))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $(r - 1)$ -uniform hypergraph on  $n - 1$  vertices with  $\text{ex}_r(n - 1, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$  edges and no induced Berge  $F$ . Construct an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}'$  with  $V(\mathcal{H}') = V(\mathcal{H}) \cup \{v\}$  such that the edges of  $\mathcal{H}'$  are obtained by extending every edge of  $\mathcal{H}$  to include the new vertex  $v$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{H}'$  contains an induced Berge  $F$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  was induced Berge  $F$ -free,  $v$  must be a base vertex. Because  $v$  is contained in every edge of  $\mathcal{H}'$ , there is a fixed vertex contained in every edge of  $F$ . I.e.,  $F = K_{1,t-1}$ , a contradiction.

Inductively, we obtain  $\text{ex}_2(n - r + 2, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) \leq \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ . But  $\text{ex}_2(n - r + 2, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \text{ex}(n - r + 2, F) = \Theta(\text{ex}(n, F))$ .  $\square$

To show (2) let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an induced Berge  $F$ -free  $(r - 1)$ -uniform hypergraph on  $n$  vertices,  $|\mathcal{H}| = \text{ex}_{(r-1)}(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ . For  $x \in V := V(\mathcal{H})$  let  $\mathcal{H}_x := \{e \in \mathcal{H} : e \subset V \setminus \{x\}\}$ . Since each  $\mathcal{H}_x$  is also induced Berge  $F$ -free we get

$$(n - r + 1)\text{ex}_{(r-1)}(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = (n - r + 1)|\mathcal{H}| = \sum_{x \in V} |\mathcal{H}_x| \leq n \times \text{ex}_{(r-1)}(n - 1, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F).$$

By Lemma 3.1 the right hand side is at most  $n \times \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F)$ . Rearranging yields (2).  $\square$

### 3.2 The $\alpha$ -core of a hypergraph

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $r$ -partite,  $r$ -uniform hypergraph with parts  $V(\mathcal{H}) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ . For some  $1 \leq s \leq r$  and edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}$ , define  $e[\bar{s}]$  to be the trace of  $e$  onto all parts other than  $V_s$ . That is,  $e[\bar{s}] = e \setminus V_s$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}[\bar{s}] = \{e[\bar{s}] : e \in E(\mathcal{H})\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *For positive integers  $\alpha, r$ , any  $r$ -uniform  $r$ -partite hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  contains edge-disjoint subhypergraphs  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that*

- (a) *For any  $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$ , with  $|S| = r - 1$ , either  $\text{deg}_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = 0$  or  $\text{deg}_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \geq \alpha$ .*
- (b)  *$|\mathcal{B}| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{A}|}{\alpha - 1}$  and  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \sum_{s=1}^r |\mathcal{B}[\bar{s}]|$ .*

*Proof.* We build  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  inductively. Initially set  $\mathcal{H}_0 := \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_0 := \{\emptyset\}$ .

At step  $i$ , if there exists an  $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{H}_{i-1})$  with  $|S| = r - 1$  and  $1 \leq \deg_{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}(S) \leq \alpha - 1$ , then let  $E_S$  be the edges of  $\mathcal{H}_{i-1}$  containing  $S$ . Set  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \setminus E_S$ . Pick any edge, say  $B_i \in E_S$ , and set  $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}_{i-1} \cup \{B_i\}$ .

The process ends after  $k$  steps when for every  $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{H}_k)$  with  $|S| = r - 1$ , either  $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_k}(S) = 0$  or  $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_k}(S) \geq \alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{H}_k$  and  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_k = \{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (a).

To see that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies (b), at each step  $i$  when we choose  $B_i \in E_S$ ,  $|E_S| \leq \alpha - 1$ , so we obtain that  $|\mathcal{B}|$  is at least a  $1/(\alpha - 1)$  portion of the deleted edges. Next, at each step, we associated with  $B_i$  a distinct set  $S_i$  of  $r - 1$  vertices. If  $B_i$  and  $B_j$  are associated with sets  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  respectively such that both sets are contained in  $(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r) \setminus V_s$ , then in  $\mathcal{B}[\bar{s}]$ ,  $B_i[\bar{s}] = S_i$  and  $B_j[\bar{s}] = S_j$  are distinct. Hence  $\sum_{s=1}^r \mathcal{B}[\bar{s}] \geq |\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}| = |\mathcal{B}|$ .  $\square$

Let any  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  satisfying (a) be called an  $\alpha$ -core of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha, r$  be positive integers, and let  $F$  be a graph with  $|V(F)| - 1 \leq \alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $r$ -uniform,  $r$ -partite hypergraph with an  $\alpha$ -core  $\mathcal{A}$ . If the 2-shadow  $\partial_2 \mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a copy of  $F$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  (and therefore  $\mathcal{H}$ ) contains an induced Berge  $F$ .*

*Proof.* We will find an induced Berge  $F$  on the same base vertex set  $V(F)$ . Let  $xy$  be an edge in the copy of  $F$ , and let  $e_{xy}$  be an edge of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $\{x, y\}$  with minimum  $|e_{xy} \cap V(F)|$ . Such an edge  $e_{xy}$  exists by the definition of the 2-shadow. If  $e_{xy}$  contains some vertex  $z \in V(F) \setminus \{x, y\}$ , then the  $(r - 1)$ -set  $e_{xy} \setminus \{z\}$  is contained in at least  $\alpha - 1$  other edges in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since there are  $|V(F)| - 3 \leq \alpha - 2$  vertices in  $V(F) \setminus \{x, y, z\}$ , we may find some  $z' \notin V(F) - \{x, y, z\}$  such that  $e_{xy} \setminus \{z\} \cup \{z'\} \in E(\mathcal{A})$ , contradicting the choice of  $e_{xy}$ . Therefore  $e_{xy} \cap V(F) = \{x, y\}$ . We find such an edge of  $\mathcal{A}$  for each edge of  $F$ .  $\square$

If  $\alpha \geq e(F) + |V(F)|$ , then with the same method one can find an induced Berge  $F$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that each pair of hyperedges  $e_{xy}$  and  $e_{uv}$  intersect only at  $\{x, y\} \cap \{u, v\}$ . This is called an  $F$ -expansion. But this observation does not seem to help our purposes here.

**Claim 3.4.** *Suppose that  $r \geq 3$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  contains an induced Berge  $F$ , where  $|V(F)| \leq \alpha$  (and  $E(F) \neq \emptyset$ ). Define a new graph  $F^+ := F_{xy}^+$  by adding a new vertex  $z \notin V(F)$ , taking an edge  $xy \in E(F)$ , and joining  $z$  to  $x$  and to  $y$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  also contains an induced Berge  $F^+$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3, there exists a hyperedge  $e_{xy} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $e_{xy} \cap V(F) = \{x, y\}$ . Then for any  $z' \in e_{xy} \setminus \{x, y\}$  we have that  $xz'$  and  $yz' \in \partial_2 \mathcal{A}$ , so  $F^+$  is a subgraph of  $\partial_2 \mathcal{A}$ . Then Lemma 3.3 completes the Claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$  with  $t = |V(T)| \geq 3$ . Then  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t)}$ .*

*Proof.* This statement seems to be evident, but still needs a proof. By definition, there exists an  $s \geq t$  such that  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(s)}$ . Let  $s = s(G)$  be the smallest such  $s$ . We will show by induction on  $t$  that  $s(G) = t$ . The base case  $t = 3$  is obvious. Suppose  $t > 3$  and that  $G$  is a subgraph of  $H \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(s)}$ , where the vertices of  $H$  are  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  and each  $v_i$  (with  $i \geq 3$ ) has exactly two  $H$ -neighbors in  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ . Moreover, these two neighbors (call them  $v_{\alpha(i)}$  and  $v_{\beta(i)}$ ) are joined by an edge in  $H$ . Let  $I \subseteq [s]$ ,  $I := \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t \leq s$ ,  $V_I := \{v_i : i \in I\}$ , and suppose that  $G$

is a spanning subgraph of  $H[V_I]$ . Since  $s$  is minimal, we have  $i_t = s$  and  $N_H(v_s) = \{v_{\alpha(s)}, v_{\beta(s)}\}$ .  $G' := H[V_I] \setminus \{v_s\}$  has  $t-1$  vertices, and it belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$ . By our induction hypothesis there exists a  $H' \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{t-1}$  such that  $G'$  is a subgraph of  $H'$  on the same vertex set  $V_I \setminus \{v_s\}$ . If  $\{v_{\alpha(s)}, v_{\beta(s)}\} \subseteq V(H')$  then by adjoining a new vertex  $z'$  to  $H'$  and connecting it to  $v_{\alpha(s)}$  and  $v_{\beta(s)}$  we obtain a  $t$ -vertex graph  $H''$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}^{(t)}$  containing  $G$ . If  $|N_H(v_s) \cap V(H')| \leq 1$  then it is even simpler to find such a graph  $H''$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Proofs of the upper bounds for induced Berge $F$ problems

We prove a version of Theorem 2.1 with more precise bounds. For positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $(a)_b = (a)(a-1)\cdots(a-b+1)$  denotes the falling factorial.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $t, r, n$  be positive integers, and let  $F$  be any graph with  $|V(F)| = t$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $r$ -uniform hypergraph with no induced Berge  $F$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $r$ -partite, then*

$$e(\mathcal{H}) \leq \sum_{i=2}^r (t-2)^{r-i} (r)_{r-i} \text{ex}(n, K_i, F).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $r$ . The base case  $r = 2$  is trivial since an induced Berge  $F$  is just a copy of  $F$ . Thus  $\text{ex}_2(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_2, F) = \text{ex}(n, F)$ . Now let  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be subhypergraphs of  $\mathcal{H}$  obtained from Theorem 3.2 with  $\alpha = t-1$ . So we have

$$|\mathcal{H}| = |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{A}| \leq |\mathcal{A}| + (t-2) \sum_{s=1}^r |B[\bar{s}]| \leq |\mathcal{A}| + (t-2)r \text{ex}_{r-1}(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F),$$

where the last inequality holds because each  $B[\bar{s}]$  is  $(r-1)$ -uniform,  $(r-1)$ -partite and does not contain an induced Berge  $F$ .

By Lemma 3.3,  $\partial_2 \mathcal{A}$  contains no copy of  $F$ . Furthermore, since each edge in  $\mathcal{A}$  creates a  $K_r$  in  $\partial_2 \mathcal{A}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ . Applying the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq \text{ex}(n, K_r, F) + (t-2)r \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} (t-2)^{r-1-i} (r-1)_{r-1-i} \text{ex}(n, K_i, F)$$

and we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $t, r, n$  be positive integers, and let  $F$  be any graph with  $V(F) = t$ . Then*

$$\max_{2 \leq s \leq r} \{\text{ex}(n - (r-s), K_s, F)\} \leq \text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) \leq \frac{r^r}{r!} \sum_{i=2}^r (t-2)^{r-i} (r)_{r-i} \text{ex}(n, K_i, F).$$

*In particular,  $\text{ex}_r(n, \text{B}_{\text{ind}}F) = \Theta(\max_{s \leq r} \{\text{ex}(n, K_s, F)\})$ .*

*Proof.* The lower bound follows from Lemma 3.1 and (1). For the upper bound, we use the fact that any  $r$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  has an  $r$ -partite subhypergraph with at least  $\frac{r!}{r^r} e(\mathcal{H})$  edges. Apply Theorem 3.6 to any such subhypergraph.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* The lower bound comes from Lemma 3.1. For the upper bound, we proceed by induction on  $r$ . First we show that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $r$ -partite with no induced Berge  $F \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tri}}$  then

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq (t-2)^{r-2} \frac{r!}{2} \text{ex}(n, F). \quad (3)$$

The base case  $r = 2$  is trivial, so let  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be subhypergraphs of  $\mathcal{H}$  obtained from Theorem 3.2 with  $\alpha = t - 1$ . Again we have

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq |\mathcal{A}| + (t-2) \sum_{s=1}^r |B[\bar{s}]| \leq |\mathcal{A}| + (t-2)(r) \text{ex}_{r-1}(n, B_{\text{ind}}F). \quad (4)$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{A}$  is empty. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{A}$  contains at least one edge, then the 2-shadow  $\partial_2 \mathcal{A}$  contains a  $K_r$ . So Claim 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 imply that  $\partial_2 \mathcal{A}$  contains a copy of  $F$ . Then we apply Lemma 3.3 to find an induced Berge  $F$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|\mathcal{A}| = 0$ . Applying induction hypothesis, (4) yields (3).

Finally, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is not  $r$ -partite, then we apply the previous proof to an  $r$ -partite subgraph  $\mathcal{H}'$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  with at least  $\frac{r!}{r^r} |\mathcal{H}|$  edges to obtain  $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \frac{1}{2} r^r (t-2)^{r-2} \text{ex}(n, F)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* For the lower bound, let each component of  $\mathcal{H}$  be a clique such that there are as many cliques of size  $r+t-3$  as possible. If  $n = a(r+t-3) + b$  where  $0 \leq b < r+t-3$ , then  $|\mathcal{H}| = a \binom{r+t-3}{r} + \binom{b}{r}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  contains an induced Berge  $K_{1,t-1}$ . Then its base vertices, say  $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$  must be contained in a single component of  $\mathcal{H}$ . But each edge in a component contains at least 3 base vertices, a contradiction.

For the upper bound, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $n$ -vertex,  $r$ -uniform hypergraph with no induced Berge  $K_{1,t-1}$ . We say that a set system  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  is *strongly representable* if for every  $f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists a  $v_i \in f_i$  such that  $v_i \notin f_j$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Füredi and Tuza [4] proved that if a set system  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $|f| \leq r$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  does not contain a strongly representable subfamily of size  $s$  then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{r+s-1}{r}$ . For any vertex  $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $E_v := \{e \setminus \{v\} : v \in e \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . The  $(r-1)$ -uniform set system  $E_v$  cannot contain a strongly representable subfamily of size  $t-1$ , otherwise the corresponding edges in  $\mathcal{H}$  and their representative vertices would yield an induced Berge  $K_{1,t-1}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with vertex  $v$  as the center vertex. Therefore  $\deg(v) \leq \binom{(r-1)+(t-2)}{r-1}$  so  $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \frac{n}{r} \binom{r+t-3}{r-1}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alon, C. Shikhelman: *Many  $T$  copies in  $H$ -free graphs*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B **121** (2016), 146–172.
- [2] R. P. Anstee: *A Survey of Forbidden Configurations results*, <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~anstee>.
- [3] P. Erdős: *On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. **7** (1962), 459–464.
- [4] Z. Füredi, Zs. Tuza: *Hypergraphs without a large star*, Discrete Math. **55** (1985), 317–321.
- [5] D. Gerbner, C. Palmer: *Extremal results for Berge hypergraphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **31** (2017), 2314–2327.
- [6] D. Grósz, A. Methuku, C. Tompkins: *Uniformity thresholds for the asymptotic size of extremal Berge- $F$ -free hypergraphs*, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics **61** (2017), 527–533. arXiv: 1803.01953 (2018), 12 pp.
- [7] A. Gyárfás: *The Turán number of Berge- $K_4$  in triple systems*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **33** (2019), 383–392.
- [8] E. Győri, Gy. Y. Katona, N. Lemons: *Hypergraph extensions of the Erdős-Gallai theorem*, European Journal of Combinatorics **58** (2016), 238–246.
- [9] E. Győri, N. Lemons: *3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding a given odd cycle*, Combinatorica **32** (2012), 187–203.
- [10] E. Győri, N. Lemons: *Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **21** (2012), 193–201.
- [11] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte: *Turán problems and shadows I: Paths and cycles*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A **129** (2015), 57–79.  
same authors: *Turán problems and shadows II: Trees*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B **122** (2017), 457–478.  
same authors: *Turán problems and shadows III: expansions of graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **29** (2015), 868–876.
- [12] Po-Shen Loh, M. Tait, C. Timmons, Rodrigo M. Zhou: *Induced Turán numbers*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **27** (2018), 274–288.
- [13] L. Maherani and M. Shahsiah: *Turán numbers of complete 3-uniform Berge-hypergraph*, Graphs and Combinatorics **34** (2018), 619–632.
- [14] D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte: *A survey of Turán problems for expansions*, Recent Trends in Combinatorics, 117–143, IMA Vol. Math. Appl. **159**, Springer, 2016.
- [15] D. Mubayi, Yi Zhao: *Forbidding complete hypergraphs as traces*, Graphs Combin. **23** (2007), 667–679.
- [16] O. Pikhurko: *Exact computation of the hypergraph Turán function for expanded complete 2-graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B **103** (2013), 220–225.
- [17] H. J. Prömel, A. Steger: *Excluding induced subgraphs. II. Extremal graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **44** (1993), 283–294.
- [18] A. Sali, S. Spiro: *Forbidden families of minimal quadratic and cubic configurations*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **24** (2017), # P2.48, 28 pp.