

Density theorems for Riemann's zeta-function near the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1$

by
János Pintz*

To Gábor Halász on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract

We prove a series of density theorems for Riemann's zeta-function for the number of zeros lying near the boundary line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1$ of the critical strip. In particular, we improve the constant appearing in the exponent of the Halász–Turán density theorem. The proof uses the relatively recent strong estimate for the zeta-function near the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1$ showed by Heath-Brown. The necessary exponential sums were estimated by Heath-Brown via the new results of Wooley and of Bourgain, Demeter and Guth on Vinogradov's mean value integral.

1 Introduction

In a recent work [Pin2] we gave a relatively simple alternative proof for the density theorem of G. Halász and P. Turán [HT] which was the first time when (about fifty years ago) the density hypothesis

$$(1.1) \quad N(\sigma, T) := \sum_{\substack{\zeta(\beta+i\gamma)=0 \\ \beta \geq \sigma, |\gamma| \leq T}} 1 = O(T^{2(1-\sigma)} \log^c T)$$

*Supported by the National Research Development and Innovation Office, NKFIH, K 119528 and KKP 133819.

Keywords and phrases: Riemann's zeta function, density hypothesis, density theorems.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11M26, Secondary 11M06.

was proved for a fixed half-plane $\sigma > 1 - c_0$, $c_0 > 0$ (absolute constant) of the critical strip.

Their result, in a slightly improved form, presented as Theorem 38.2 of Turán's book [Tur] was

$$(1.2) \quad N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{A(1-\sigma)^{3/2}} \log^c T \quad \text{with } A = 12,000.$$

Their method used besides the estimate of Korobov–Vinogradov for the zeta-function Turán's power sum method [Tur] and a simple but ingenious idea of Halász [Hal].

Improved explicit forms of Vinogradov's method by Richert [Ric], Ford [For] and in the last years by Bourgain–Demeter–Guth [BGD] and Heath-Brown [Hea] led to a very substantial improvement of the constant A in (1.2) yielding ([Hea])

$$(1.3) \quad N(\sigma, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{6.42(1-\sigma)^{3/2}+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } 9/10 \leq \sigma \leq 1$$

and with an unspecified σ_0 very near 1

$$(1.4) \quad N(\sigma, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{5.03(1-\sigma)^{3/2}+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } \sigma > \sigma_0.$$

In our recent work [Pin2] our main goal was to provide a simple alternative proof avoiding both Turán's method and the more advanced form of the zero-detection method developed by Montgomery [Mon] using the large sieve [Bom2]. We used also a very restricted part of the theory of complex functions and in particular only some very basic properties of the Riemann zeta-function. Our proof used naturally Vinogradov's estimate for the zeta-function and the mentioned ingenious idea of Halász [Hal].

The original ideas of Halász, Turán, Bombieri [Bom1], [Bom2] and Montgomery [Mon] were followed by new ones of mainly Huxley, Jutila, Ivić and Heath-Brown in the 1970's and 1980's, leading to many density results in the half-plane $\sigma > 3/4$ and extending (1.1) or its analogue form with T^{ε} in place of $\log^c T$ to the half-plane $\sigma > \sigma_0$ with some $\sigma_0 \in (3/4, 4/5)$. Many of these results are contained in the book of Ivić [Ivi], for example, the above with $\sigma_0 = 11/14 = 0.7857\dots$ (see (11.82) of Theorem 11.4). This last result was improved by Bourgain [Bou2] to $\sigma_0 = 25/32 = 0.78125$. The other direction was to show strong explicit density theorems for $\sigma > \sigma_0$ where σ_0 was near 1, like Theorems 11.2 and 11.3 of [Ivi]. They were written in the form

$$(1.5) \quad N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{A(\sigma)(1-\sigma)} \log^c T$$

(or again with T^ε in place of $\log^c T$) with a possibly small $A(\sigma)$, for example, (11.34) of Theorem 11.3 of [Ivi]:

$$(1.6) \quad A(\sigma) \leq 35/36 \quad \text{for} \quad 152/155 \leq \sigma \leq 1 \quad (152/155 = 0.9806\dots).$$

2

Our present goal is to prove a full series of explicit density theorems for $\sigma \geq 11/12$ with $A(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 1$, where, as the limiting case, we get further improvements of Heath-Brown's results (1.3)–(1.4) with respect to the constants in the exponent. These results (being valid in individual intervals of the form $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1 < 1$) will provide in some sense a bridge between the Halász–Turán density theorem and density theorems similar to (1.5)–(1.6) of Ivić. The main result, making this possible, is a relatively new general result for exponential sums, proved by Heath-Brown [Hea], which is based on the result of Bourgain, Demeter and Guth [BGD]. Apart from this, we will use very limited properties of the zeta-function and we use the method of [Pin1] thereby avoiding both the large sieve and Turán's method. However, we will use the mentioned idea of [Hal] which appears in some form in practically all stronger density theorems in the half-plane $\sigma > 3/4$.

Since the used version of Vinogradov's mean-value theorem produces results of type with an extra factor T^ε and we are interested in the case σ close to 1 we shall look for estimates of type

$$(2.1) \quad N(1 - \eta, T) \ll_{\varepsilon, \eta} T^{B(\eta)\eta + \varepsilon}$$

with $B(\eta)$ as small as possible.

We will deal with the case

$$(2.2) \quad \eta < 1/12,$$

but actually our results will be weaker than that of Bourgain [Bou2] for $\eta \geq 1/24$. We will dissect the interval $(0, 1/12)$ into a two-parameter family of disjoint intervals by the definitions of $k = k(\eta)$ and $\ell = \ell(\eta)$:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} k(\eta) &:= \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \{j : j(j-1)\eta < 1\}, \quad \ell(\eta) := \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \{2j(j-1)\eta < 1\} \\ I(k, \ell) &:= \{\eta : k = k(\eta), \ell = \ell(\eta)\} \\ &= [1/k(k+1), 1/k(k-1)) \cap [1/2\ell(\ell+1), 1/2\ell(\ell-1)). \end{aligned}$$

In other words we suppose for a given pair of integers $k \geq 4$, $\ell \geq 3$

$$(2.4) \quad \eta k(k-1) < 1 \leq \eta(k+1)k \quad \text{and} \quad 2\eta\ell(\ell-1) < 1 \leq 2\eta(\ell+1)\ell.$$

We remark that for a given $k \geq 4$ there are just a few numbers ℓ with $I(k, \ell) \neq \emptyset$ and vice versa for $\ell \geq 3$. Due to $\eta < 1/12$ we have, as mentioned above,

$$(2.5) \quad k \geq 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \geq 3.$$

Now we can state our first result with the notation (2.1)–(2.5).

Theorem 1. (2.1) is valid for $\eta \in I(k, \ell)$ with

$$(2.6) \quad B(\eta) := \max \left\{ \frac{3}{\ell(1-2(\ell-1)\eta)}, \frac{4}{k(1-(k-1)\eta)} \right\} \quad \text{for } \eta < \frac{1}{12}.$$

Remark 1. This result is for $\eta < 1/24$ stronger than that of Bourgain [Bou1] and for $\eta < \frac{5}{66}$ stronger than all the 12 inequalities of Theorems 11.1–11.5 of [Ivi] referring for various parts of the interval $(3/4, 1)$. We have to mention, however, that several of his results were aimed to be stronger near $3/4$ (extending the range of validity of the density hypothesis) than in the vicinity of 1. The author did not find other results better than (2.6) for $\eta < 1/24$.

Remark 2. The following version follows immediately from (2.6) which is only slightly weaker than (2.6) for small η .

Theorem 1'. (2.1) is valid for $\eta \in I(k, \ell)$ with

$$(2.7) \quad B(\eta) := B'(\eta) := \max \left\{ \frac{3}{\ell-1}, \frac{4}{k-1} \right\}.$$

Thus, (2.6) gives a stronger form of the Halász–Turán density theorem than the improvement (1.4) of Heath-Brown ($4 < 3\sqrt{2} < 4.25 = 17/4$):

Theorem 2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\sigma_0(\varepsilon) < 1$ such that for $\sigma > \sigma_0(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{(3\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)(1-\sigma)^{3/2}+\varepsilon}.$$

Remark 3. An easy argument shows (for $\ell \geq 15$ “theoretical”, for $\ell < 15$ “computational”) $B(\eta) = 4/k(1-(k-1)\eta)$ in case of $\eta \leq 1/12$ if and only if $\eta \in I^* = I(6, 5) = [1/42, 1/40)$. This means that

$$B(\eta) = 3/\ell(1-2(\ell-1)\eta) \quad \text{for } \eta \in (0, 1/12) \setminus [1/42, 1/40).$$

For completeness we will show the “theoretical” part for $\ell \geq 15$, i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad 4\ell(1 - 2(\ell - 1)\eta) < 3k(1 - (k - 1)\eta),$$

that is,

$$(2.9) \quad 3k(k - 1)\eta - 8\ell(\ell - 1)\eta < 3k - 4\ell.$$

First we note that by (2.4) we have for $\ell \geq 15$

$$(2.10) \quad 3k(k - 1)\eta - 8\ell(\ell - 1)\eta \leq 3 - 4 \cdot 2\eta\ell(\ell + 1) \left(\frac{\ell - 1}{\ell + 1} \right) \leq 3 - \frac{7}{2}.$$

On the other hand we have by (2.4) again

$$(2.11) \quad k(k + 1) \geq 1/\eta > 2\ell(\ell - 1)$$

which implies $4\ell \leq 3k$ for $\ell \geq 15$. Namely, the indirect supposition $k < (4/3)\ell$ would yield for $\ell \geq 15$

$$(2.12) \quad k(k + 1) \leq \frac{16}{9}\ell^2 + \frac{4}{3}\ell \leq 2\ell^2 - 2\ell,$$

since $(2/9)\ell^2 \geq (10/3)\ell$ for $\ell \geq 15$.

Remark 4. The above can also be stated as follows: if

$$(2.13) \quad \eta < 1/12, \quad \eta \notin [1/42, 1/40) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \in \left[\frac{1}{2\ell(\ell + 1)}, \frac{1}{2\ell(\ell - 1)} \right)$$

then the density estimate (2.1) holds with

$$(2.14) \quad B(\eta) = 3/\ell(1 - 2(\ell - 1)\eta).$$

Now a simple calculation shows in case of $\eta \leq 1/18$

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} B(\eta) &= \frac{3}{\ell(1 - 2(\ell - 1)\eta)} \leq \frac{3}{\ell - 1} = \frac{3}{(\ell + 1/2) - 3/2} < \frac{3}{1/\sqrt{2\eta} - 3/2} \\ &= \frac{3\sqrt{2\eta}}{1 - (3/2)\sqrt{2\eta}} \leq 3\sqrt{2\eta}(1 + 3\sqrt{2\eta}) = 3\sqrt{2\eta} + 18\eta. \end{aligned}$$

So we get a slightly weaker but simpler form of Theorem 2 as

Theorem 2'. For $\eta \leq 1/18$ we have

$$(2.16) \quad N(1 - \eta, T) \ll_{\varepsilon, \eta} T^{3\sqrt{2}\eta^{3/2} + 18\eta^2 + \varepsilon}.$$

Remark 5. As mentioned in Section 1 we use in all our results the estimates on exponential sums which led to (1.3)–(1.4) of [Hea].

To be more explicit we list here the needed Theorems 1 and 5 of [Hea].
Theorem 1 of [Hea]. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer, $f(x) : [0, N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having continuous derivatives of order up to k on $(0, N)$ with*

$$(2.17) \quad 0 < \lambda_k \leq f^{(k)}(x) \leq A\lambda_k, \quad x \in (0, N).$$

Then (with $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$)

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} e(f(n)) \ll_{A, k, \varepsilon} N^\varepsilon \left(\lambda_k^{1/k(k-1)} + N^{-1/k(k-1)} + N^{-2/k(k-1)} \lambda_k^{-2/k^2(k-1)} \right).$$

Theorem 5 of [Hea]. *For $t \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ we have*

$$(2.19) \quad \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll_\varepsilon t^{(1-\sigma)^{3/2} + 2 + \varepsilon}.$$

3 Preparation. Auxiliary theorems

In all of the results mentioned below we choose $h(n) := n^{-(1-\xi)-it}$, $t \geq 3$, an integer $r \geq 2$, an interval $I(N)$ with

$$(3.1) \quad H_\xi(N) := \sum_{n \in I(N)} h(n), \quad I(N) \subset (N, 2N], \quad \xi \leq 1/r(r-1) - 6\varepsilon.$$

The first corollary follows by partial summation immediately from Theorem 1 of [Hea]. Corollaries 2 and 3 follow from Corollary 1. The value of r will be k or ℓ , ξ will be η_j or $\eta_\nu + \eta_\kappa$ in the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *We have for $r \geq 3$*

$$(3.2) \quad H_\xi(N) \ll_{r, \varepsilon} N^{\xi - 1/(r-1) + 3\varepsilon} |t|^{1/r(r-1)} + N^{-3\varepsilon} + N^{\xi + 3\varepsilon} |t|^{-2/r^2(r-1)}.$$

Corollary 2. *If $N \ll |t|^{2/r}$ then the third term above can be deleted.*

Corollary 3. *If $N \geq N(r; \xi, T) := T^{1/r(1-(r-1)\xi-6r\varepsilon)}$, $N \ll |t|^{2/r}$, $|t| \leq T$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad H_\xi(N) \ll_{\varepsilon, r} N^{-3\varepsilon}.$$

The following is a variant of Theorem II.2 of [Mon], Appendix II.

Lemma. *If $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma \geq 1 - \xi$, $\xi > 0$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$ then*

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N}) n^{-s} \ll N^{\theta-1+\xi} \max_{\sigma' \geq \theta, 1 < |t'| \leq 2|t|} |\zeta(\sigma' + it')| + N^\xi e^{-|t|}.$$

The simple proof of the Lemma is essentially the same as that of Theorem II.2 in [Mon]. According to this we will just sketch the proof of it and refer to [Mon] for more details.

Similarly to [Mon] it is sufficient to consider the case $\sigma = 1 - \xi$. We write

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N}) n^{-(1-\xi)-it} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \zeta(w+1-\xi+it) \Gamma(w) ((2N)^w - N^w) dw \end{aligned}$$

and take the contour to $(\theta - 1 + \xi)$ making a detour around $w = \xi - it$ if θ is near 1. The residue from the pole of $\zeta(s)$ at $w = \xi - it$ is $\ll N^\xi e^{-|t|}$. For $|\operatorname{Im} w| \leq t$ the integrand is

$$(3.6) \quad \ll N^{\theta-1+\xi} e^{-|\operatorname{Im} w|} \max_{\sigma' \geq \theta, 1 < |t'| \leq 2|t|} |\zeta(\sigma' + it')|,$$

while for $|\operatorname{Im} w| \geq t$ it is

$$(3.7) \quad \ll N^{\theta-1+\xi} e^{-|\operatorname{Im} w|} |\operatorname{Im} w|.$$

Remark 6. The role of the general variable r will be played either by k or ℓ , depending whether in the corresponding exponential sum the variable ξ satisfies $\xi \leq \eta$ or $\xi \leq 2\eta$, resp.

Finally we state and prove an easy proposition.

Proposition. *Let $k = k(\eta)$ and $\ell = \ell(\eta)$ (see (2.3)). Then*

$$1/\ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell - 1)) > 1/k(1 - \eta(k - 1)).$$

Proof. By $2\eta(\ell+1)\ell \geq 1$ and $\eta k(k-1) \leq 1$, $k \geq 4$, $\ell \geq 3$ we have

$$(3.8) \quad k(1 - \eta(k-1)) \geq k-1,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell-1)) \leq \ell \left(1 - \frac{\ell-1}{\ell(\ell+1)} \right) = \ell - \frac{\ell-1}{\ell+1} \leq \ell - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $1 > 2\ell(\ell-1)\eta \geq \ell(\ell+1)\eta$ and $k(k+1)\eta \geq 1$ we have $k > \ell$ so $k-1 > \ell-1/2$, hence the Proposition is true. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Let us consider the zeros $\varrho_j = \beta_j + i\gamma_j = 1 - \eta_j + i\gamma_j$ with $\beta_j \geq \sigma := 1 - \eta$ ($\eta_j \leq \eta$), $2 \log T < |\gamma_j| \leq T$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, K$), and let us choose with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ ($\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(\eta)$), $T > T_0(\varepsilon)$

$$(4.1) \quad X := T^{\varepsilon/10\ell}, \quad Y := T^{2/k}, \quad Y_1 := Ye^3, \quad \lambda = \log Y, \quad \mathcal{L} = \log T,$$

$$M_X(s) := \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s},$$

$$a_n := \sum_{d|n, d \leq X} \mu(d), \quad N_\ell^*(T) = XN(\ell; \xi; T), \quad N_k^*(T) = XN(k; \xi; T).$$

Let further similarly to [Pin2]

$$(4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \sum_{(3)}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{s+\varrho_j}} \frac{e^{s^2/\lambda+\lambda s}}{s} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} M_X(s+\varrho_j) \zeta(s+\varrho_j) \frac{e^{s^2/\lambda+\lambda s}}{s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\eta)} M_X(s+\varrho_j) \frac{\zeta(s+\varrho_j)}{s} e^{s^2/\lambda+\lambda s} ds + O\left(\frac{Y^\eta}{|\gamma_j|} e^{-\gamma_j^2/\lambda}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_X(1-\eta-\eta_j+i(\gamma_j+t)) \frac{\zeta(1-\eta-\eta_j+i(\gamma_j+t))}{-\eta+it} e^{(-\eta+it)^2/\lambda} Y^{-\eta+it} dt \\ &\quad + O(Y^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\lambda}^{2\lambda} M_X(1-\eta-\eta_j+i(\gamma_j+t)) \frac{\zeta(1-\eta-\eta_j+i(\gamma_j+t))}{-\eta+it} e^{(-\eta+it)^2/\lambda} Y^{-\eta+it} dt \\
&\quad + O(Y^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is possible to evaluate I_j in a different way, cutting the defining integral I_j into three parts according to the value of n . Using the trivial bound $|a_n| \leq \tau(n) \ll_\delta n^\delta$ for any fixed $\delta > 0$ we can shift first the part of the series $\sum_n a_n n^{-(s+\varrho_j)}$ with $n \geq Y_1$ (i.e. $\lambda - \log n \leq -3$) from $\operatorname{Re} s = 3$ to $\operatorname{Re} s = \lambda$. Writing $s = \lambda + it$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad \left| \sum_{n \geq Y_1} \frac{a_n}{n^{s+\varrho_j}} \right| &\ll \sum_{n \geq Y_1} \frac{n^\delta}{n^{\lambda+1-\eta_j}} = \sum_{n \geq Y_1} \frac{1}{n^{\log Y+1-\eta_j-\delta}} \\
&\ll \frac{1}{Y_1} \frac{1}{Y_1^{\log Y-\eta_j-\delta}} = \frac{1}{(Ye^3)^{\log Y+1-\eta_j-\delta}} \ll e^{-\lambda^2} Y^{-4+\eta_j+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate

$$(4.4) \quad Y^{-4+\eta_j+\delta} \int_{(\lambda)} e^{-\lambda^2} \frac{|e^{(\lambda+it)^2/\lambda+\lambda(\lambda+it)}|}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+t^2}} dt = Y^{-4+\eta_j+\delta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+t^2}} e^{\lambda-\frac{t^2}{\lambda}+\lambda^2} dt$$

which is equal to

$$(4.5) \quad Y^{-4+\eta_j+\delta} \int_{-2\lambda}^{2\lambda} \frac{e^{\lambda-\frac{t^2}{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2+t^2}} dt + O(Y^{-6}).$$

This quantity is

$$(4.6) \quad \ll \frac{Y^{-3+\eta_j+\delta}}{\lambda} \int_{-2\lambda}^{2\lambda} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\lambda}} dt \ll \frac{Y^{-3+\eta_j+\delta}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \ll Y^{-2}.$$

Further, shifting the finite part $n \in (X, Y_1)$ to $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/\lambda$, and the term $n = 1$ to $\operatorname{Re} s = -4$ we obtain similarly to the estimation of the infinite part above

$$(4.7) \quad I_j = 1 + \sum_{X < n < Y_1} a_n n^{-\varrho_j} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/\lambda-2i\lambda}^{1/\lambda+2i\lambda} \frac{e^{s^2/\lambda+(\lambda-\log n)s}}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{1}{Y^2}\right).$$

On the other hand the expression on the RHS of (4.2) can be estimated as follows:

$$(4.8) \quad |M_X(1 - \eta - \eta_j + i(\gamma_j + t))| \ll \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{1}{n^{1-\eta-\eta_j}} \ll_\eta X^{\eta+\eta_j} \ll X^{2\eta} \ll X^{\frac{1}{6}} = T^{\frac{\varepsilon}{60\ell}}.$$

Next, by (2.19) and $(\gamma_j + t) \ll T$,

$$(4.9) \quad |\zeta(1 - \eta - \eta_j + i(\gamma_j + t))| \ll (\gamma_j + t)^{(\eta+\eta_j)^{3/2}/2+\varepsilon} \ll T^{\sqrt{2}\eta^{\frac{3}{2}}+\varepsilon}.$$

Hence the last member of (4.2) is

$$(4.10) \quad \ll T^{\sqrt{2}\eta^{\frac{3}{2}}+\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{60\ell}} Y^{-\eta} \int_{-2\lambda}^{2\lambda} \frac{e^{\frac{\eta^2-t^2}{\lambda}}}{\sqrt{\eta^2+t^2}} dt \ll T^{\sqrt{2}\eta^{\frac{3}{2}}+\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{60\ell}} Y^{-\eta}.$$

From this we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad I_j \ll T^{2\varepsilon+\sqrt{2}\eta^{3/2}} \cdot Y^{-\eta} = T^{2\varepsilon+\sqrt{2}\eta^{3/2}-2\eta/k} \ll T^{-\eta^{3/2}/4+2\varepsilon},$$

since by $\eta < 1/k(k-1) \leq 4/3k^2$ we have $2/k > \sqrt{3}\eta$.

An easy calculation shows that the integral in (4.3) is $\ll \log \lambda$. Hence, the formulae (4.1)–(4.4) imply that with a suitable choice of $\gamma_j^* \in (\gamma_j - 2\lambda, \gamma_j + 2\lambda)$ we have with $\varrho_j^* = 1 - (\eta_j - 1/\lambda) + i\gamma_j^*$

$$(4.12) \quad I_j^* := \left| \sum_{X < n < Y_1} a_n n^{-\varrho_j^*} \right| > c/\log \lambda \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, K),$$

since $I_j = o(1)$ by (4.1)–(4.4). We will select a subset of $\{\varrho_j^*\}$ with $K' \gg K/\lambda\mathcal{L}$ elements and $|\gamma_\nu^* - \gamma_\kappa^*| > 2\lambda$. Furthermore, we divide a_n for all $n \in (X, Y_1)$ into two parts with $N_k(T) = N(k; \xi; T)$ (for $N(k, \eta, T)$ see Corollary 3):

$$(4.13) \quad a'_n = \sum_{\substack{d, m \\ dm=n \\ m > N_k(T) \\ d \leq X}} \mu(d), \quad a''_n = \sum_{\substack{d, m \\ dm=n \\ m \leq N_k(T) \\ d \leq X}} \mu(d), \quad a_n = a'_n + a''_n.$$

By (3.3) we obtain by $r = k \geq 4$

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{X < n < Y_1} a'_n n^{-\varrho_j^*} = \sum_{d \leq X} \mu(d) d^{-\varrho_j^*} \sum_{\substack{X/d < m < Y_1/d \\ m > N_k(T)}} m^{-\varrho_j^*}$$

$$\ll_{k,\varepsilon} \lambda X^\eta N_k(T)^{-3\varepsilon} \ll T^{-2\varepsilon/k}.$$

In the above estimation we used a dyadic subdivision of the interval $(X/d, Y_1/d)$ and afterwards (3.3) for the inner sum and the trivial estimate $|d^{-\varrho_j}| \leq 1$. Using a dyadic subdivision of (X, Y_1) , by (4.12) and (4.14) we have a $U \in (X, N_k^*(T))$ such that for some $I(U) \subset [U, 2U]$ and with a_n'' in (4.13) we have by $T^{-2\varepsilon/k} = o(1/\log \lambda)$

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{K'}{\lambda \log \lambda} \ll \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K'} (I_j^* - cT^{-2\varepsilon/k})}{\lambda} \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \left| \sum_{n \in I(U)} a_n'' n^{-\varrho_\nu^*} \right| =: \sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \left| \sum_\nu \right|.$$

The case $\log U / \log T =: u \geq 1/\ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell - 1) - 6\ell\varepsilon) + \varepsilon/10\ell$ would imply by the choice of X that $U > N_\ell^*(T)$ (cf. (4.1)). If $2u > 1/\ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell - 1) - 6\ell\varepsilon) + \varepsilon/10\ell$ we raise all Dirichlet sums $\sum_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, K'$) to the 2nd power. If this condition is not satisfied then we can raise them to a suitable h^{th} power such that $hu \in (1/\ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell - 1) - 6\ell\varepsilon), 3/2\ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell - 1) - 6\ell\varepsilon) + \varepsilon/20\ell) =: J_\ell(\eta)$. Denoting the beginning of the resulting interval by $A_h = U^h$, the endpoint will be $B_h \leq (2U)^h$ where $h \leq 20/\varepsilon$ by $\ell \leq k$,

$$X = T^{\varepsilon/10\ell} \leq U \ll Y = T^{2/k}.$$

We further note that in case of $h = 2$, i.e., $2u > 1/\ell(1 - 2\eta(\ell - 1) - 6\ell\varepsilon) + \varepsilon/10\ell$, the endpoint after squaring will be $B_2 \leq 4(N_k^*(T))^2$ by $U \leq N_k^*(T)$, while our Proposition in Section 3 shows that the beginning point will be $A_h > N_\ell^*(T)$ for $h \geq 2$. We will denote the resulting coefficients after taking h^{th} power of $\sum_\nu$ (where now $h \in [2, \frac{20}{\varepsilon})$) by $a_n^{(h)} = b_n$ and using again a dyadic subdivision we find an interval $I(N) \subset [N, 2N] \subset [A_h, B_h]$ with

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \left| \sum_{n \in I(N)}^{(h)} \right| := \sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \left| \sum_{n \in I(N)} b_n n^{-\varrho_\nu^*} \right| \gg_\varepsilon \frac{K'}{\lambda^{h+1} (\log \lambda)^h}.$$

From now on the interval $I(N)$ will be fixed. We choose α_ν ($\nu = 1, \dots, K'$) in such a way that

$$(4.17) \quad \alpha_\nu \sum_{n \in I(N)}^{(h)} = \left| \sum_{n \in I(N)}^{(h)} \right|$$

should hold. Using Halász' idea we square the LHS of (4.16), interchange the order of summation over ν and n , and use a Cauchy–Schwarz inequality for

the sum when n runs through elements of $I(N)$ with

$$(4.18) \quad b_n n^{-\varrho_\nu^*} = d_n e_n^{(\nu)}, \quad e_n^{(\nu)} = \frac{(e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N})^{1/2}}{n^{1/2-2\eta-\eta_\nu+i\gamma_\nu^*}},$$

$$d_n = \begin{cases} \frac{b_n (e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N})^{-1/2}}{n^{1/2+2\eta+1/\lambda}} & \text{if } n \in I(N), \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin I(N). \end{cases}$$

So we obtain from (4.16)–(4.18)

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{(K')^2}{\mathcal{L}^{4h}} \ll_{k,h} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \left| \sum_{n \in I(N)} \sum_{\nu}^{(h)} \right| \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \alpha_\nu \sum_{n \in I(N)}^{(h)} \right)^2$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n \in I(N)} b_n \sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \alpha_\nu n^{-\varrho_\nu^*} \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \sum_{\nu=1}^{K'} \alpha_\nu e_n^{(\nu)} \right)^2$$

$$\ll_{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{n \in I(N)} \frac{|b_n|^2 (e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N})^{-1}}{n^{1+4\eta+2/\lambda}} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \kappa=1}^{K'} \frac{\alpha_\nu \bar{\alpha}_\kappa (e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N})}{n^{1-\eta_\nu-\eta_\kappa-4\eta+i(\gamma_\nu^*-\gamma_\kappa^*)}} \right)$$

$$\ll \mathcal{L}^{C(\varepsilon)} (K'(K'-1)T^{-\varepsilon/k} + K' B_h^{2\eta}),$$

where the last line is obtained as follows (with a $C(\varepsilon)$, a constant $\ll h^2 \ll \varepsilon^{-2}$ by the relation

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau_h^2(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_{h^2}(n) \leq x(\log x)^{h^2},$$

where $\tau_h(n)$ is the generalized divisor function, see e.g. Lemma 3 of [GPY]).

The estimate $\mathcal{L}^{C(\varepsilon)} B_h^{2\eta}$ for an arbitrary diagonal term follows if we use that the total contribution of the terms with $n > N \log^2 N \asymp B_h \log^2 B_h$ are negligible, the first factor is $O(\mathcal{L}^{C(\varepsilon)} B_h^{-4\eta})$, the second $O(B_h^{6\eta})$. To show the required estimate $\mathcal{L}^{C(\varepsilon)} T^{-\varepsilon/k}$ for an arbitrary non-diagonal term with indices ν and κ we have to distinguish two cases according to the size $t = |\gamma_\nu^* - \gamma_\kappa^*| > 2\lambda$ compared with $N \asymp B_h$. If t is “small” we use the Lemma together with the deep estimate (2.19) of Heath-Brown for $\zeta(s)$ (see (4.20)). If t is “large” then for the interval $N \in [A_h, B_h \log^2 B_h]$ we might use Corollary 3 since the power h was defined in such a way that $A_h \gg N(\ell, 2\eta, T)$ should hold and thereby all conditions of Corollary 3 are satisfied (for the result see

(4.20)). Additionally, for small values of n the decay of the kernel function $e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N}$ for decreasing $n \rightarrow 1$ (see (4.22)) and Corollary 2 (with $r = \ell$, $\xi = 2\eta$, $n \in [N_j, 2N_j]$, $N_j < N$) ensure the same estimate (4.21) as for $I(N) \subset [A_h, B_h \log^2 B_h]$.

According to the above the crucial estimate $T^{-\varepsilon/k}$ follows in case of $B_h \gg t^{1.9/\ell}$ from the Lemma with the choice $\xi = 6\eta$, $\theta = 1 - 6\eta$ since $\eta < 1/\ell^2$ and so by (2.19)

$$(4.20) \quad N^{-4\eta} t^{6\sqrt{6}\eta^{3/2}/2} \ll T^{(3\sqrt{6}-7.6)\eta^{3/2}} \ll T^{-\eta^{3/2}/4}$$

as by $2\eta < 1/\ell(\ell - 1) < 2/\ell^2$ we have $2/\ell > 2\sqrt{\eta}$ and $N \gg B_h$. On the other hand, if $B_h \ll t^{1.9/\ell}$ then we obtain from Corollary 3 that for any $I(N) \subset [A_h, B_h \log^2 B_h]$

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{n \in I(N)} \frac{1}{n^{1-\eta_\nu-\eta_\kappa+i(\gamma_\nu^*-\gamma_\kappa^*)}} \ll B_h^{-3\varepsilon} \ll T^{-3\varepsilon/\ell}.$$

(The second term in (3.4) can be neglected since $N^\xi e^{-|t|} \ll (Y^2)^{6\eta} e^{-2\lambda} \ll 1/Y$.)

If we have an interval $I(N_j)$ with $N_j < A_h$, then by Corollary 2 and

$$(4.22) \quad e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N} \ll n/N \ll N_j/N$$

we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad \sum_{n \in I(N_j)} \frac{e^{-n/2N} - e^{-n/N}}{n^{1-\eta_\nu-\eta_\kappa+i(\gamma_\nu^*-\gamma_\kappa^*)}} \ll \frac{N_j}{N} \cdot N_j^{-3\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{|t|^{1/r(r-1)}}{N_j^{1/r}} \right)$$

which is monotonically increasing in N_j .

So the same estimate as in (4.21) follows too. Hence, using $B_2 \leq 4(N_k^*(T))^2$ and $hu \in J_\ell(\eta)$ we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad K' \ll \mathcal{L}^{C'(\varepsilon, \eta)} B_h^{2\eta} \ll T^{\eta(\max(3/\ell(1-2\eta(\ell-1))-6\ell\varepsilon), 4/k(1-(k-1)\eta)-6k\varepsilon))}.$$

Consequently,

$$(4.25) \quad K \ll T^{B(\eta)\eta+\varepsilon}. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions.

References

- [Bom1] E. Bombieri, Density theorems for the zeta function, in: *Proceedings of the Stony Brook Number Theory Conference*, 1969, pp. 352–358, AMS, Providence, RI.
- [Bom2] E. Bombieri, On the large sieve, *Mathematika* **12** (1965), 201–225.
- [Bou1] J. Bourgain, Remarks on Halász–Montgomery type inequalities, in: *Geometric aspects of functional analysis (Israel, 1992–1994)*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 77, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, 25–39.
- [Bou2] J. Bourgain, On large values estimates for Dirichlet polynomials and the density hypothesis for the Riemann zeta function, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (2000), no. 3, 133–146.
- [BGD] J. Bourgain, C. Demeter, L. Guth, Proof of the main conjecture in Vinogradov’s mean value theorem for degrees higher than three, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **184** (2016), no. 2, 633–682.
- [For] K. Ford, Vinogradov’s integral and bounds for the Riemann zeta function, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **85** (2002), no. 2, 565–633.
- [GPY] D. A. Goldston, J. Pintz, C. Y. Yıldırım, Primes in tuples II, *Acta Math.* **204** (2010), 1–47.
- [Hal] G. Halász, Über die Mittelwerte multiplikativer zahlentheoretischer Funktionen, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **19** (1968), No. 3-4, 365–404.
- [Hea] D. R. Heath-Brown, A new k th derivative estimate for a trigonometric sum via Vinogradov’s integral (in Russian). English version published in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **296** (2017), no. 1, 88–103. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **296** (2017), Analiticheskaya i Kombinatornaya Teoriya Chisel, 95–110.
- [HT] G. Halász and P. Turán, On the distribution of roots of Riemann zeta and allied functions, I, *Journal of Number Theory* **1** (1969), 121–137.
- [Ivi] Ivić, Aleksandar, *The Riemann zeta-function. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function with applications*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.

- [Mon] H. L. Montgomery, *Topics in multiplicative number theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 227, Springer, 1971.
- [Pin1] J. Pintz, Oscillatory properties of $M(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$, I, *Acta Arith.* **42** (1982), 49–55.
- [Pin2] J. Pintz, On the density theorem of Halász and Turán, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **166** (2021), no. 1, 48–56.
- [Ric] H.-E. Richert, Zur Abschätzung der Riemannschen Zetafunktion in der Nähe der Vertikalen $\sigma = 1$, *Math. Ann.* **169** (1967), 97–101.
- [Tur] P. Turán, *On a new method of analysis and its applications*. With the assistance of G. Halász and J. Pintz, with a foreword by Vera T. Sós, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984. xvi+584 pp.

János Pintz
 ELKH Alfréd Rényi Mathematical Institute
 H-1053 Budapest
 Reáltanoda u. 13–15.
 Hungary
 e-mail: pintz@renyi.hu