

-
- Authors: Márton Herényi,* László Zsolt Garamszegi,† Rita Hargitai,* Gergely Hegyi,* Balázs Rosivall,* Eszter Szöllősi,* János Török*
-

Affiliations:

- *Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter
- sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
- †Department of Evolutionary Ecology, Estacion Biologica de Donana CSIC, c/ Americo
- Vespucio, s/n 41092, Sevilla, Spain
-
- Correspondence: Márton Herényi
- Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter
- sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
- Tel.: +36 1381-2193, Fax: +36 1381-2194;
- e-mail: mherenyi@caesar.elte.hu

Abstract

Annual reproductive success (ARS) is one of the main components of lifetime reproductive success, a reliable measure of individual fitness. Previous studies often dealt with ARS and variables potentially affecting it, but the majority of them treated only one or two factors at the same time and long-term studies are scarce. Here we used an 18-year dataset to quantify ARS of male collared flycatchers (*Ficedula albicollis*) on the basis of recruited offspring. We simultaneously assessed the effect of start of breeding, age, polygyny, body size and the expression of forehead patch (a sexually selected trait). Males that bred around the yearly median breeding date had the highest ARS, while both early and late birds were disadvantaged. Polygynous males were more successful in years with good food supply, while in years with low food availability they did not have more recruits than monogamous males. The age of males, their forehead patch size and body size did not affect the number of recruits. Our findings support the importance of breeding date, and suggest stabilizing selection on it in the long term. We also show that polygyny is not always advantageous for males, and its fitness payoff may depend on environmental quality.

Key-words

timing of reproduction, fecundity, life-history, mating status, year-quality

Introduction

Annual reproductive success (ARS) is one of the most important variables in the life-history of individuals, as, beside lifespan, it is the main determinant of lifetime reproductive success. It is difficult to select the most important among the factors presumably influencing the rate of annual offspring production, but the morphological characteristics of individuals, their age, timing of reproduction and mating status (polygynous or monogamous) may often play important roles.

Age is a frequent subject of ecological studies (Clutton-Brock 1988; Newton 1989; Forslund and Pärt 1995). Most studies suggest that reproductive performance increases with age early in life (Forslund and Pärt 1995; Pärt 2001). However, in some species the reproductive performance of old and young individuals did not differ (Wendeln and Becker 1999) or young individuals reached an even higher success (Lamprecht 1990; Descamps et al. 2006). The latter can mainly occur in species in which there is a significant variance in age of first reproduction, and only individuals of high quality are able to reproduce at a younger age (Descamps et al. 2006).

In seasonal habitats, breeding conditions change during the reproductive season, so the appropriate timing of breeding can increase reproductive success. This is especially widely known in birds. Several studies have focused on the seasonal decline of reproductive success and interpreted this pattern as the outcome of decreasing food supply during the breeding season or differences in quality between early and late breeders or their territories (reviewed by Verhulst and Nilsson 2008). However, breeding too early may not be favourable either, as in early spring the amount of available food is still quite low. In this case we expect that breeding success will increase first and then decrease later in the breeding season, showing a

polynomial curve overall. Such non-linearity, however, has been investigated in only a few studies (e.g. Brinkhof et al. 1993). Moreover, studies dealing with the seasonal decline estimated reproductive success predominantly as fledging success, and reproductive success estimated by recruitment has been used mainly in resident species (Verhulst and Nilsson 2008). However, the seasonal pattern of recruitment can be different between resident and migratory birds, as migration strongly constrains arrival at the breeding site and the initiation of breeding (Both and Visser 2001).

ARS can be affected by individual characteristics such as body size (Grant and Grant 2000) as well as sexually selected traits (Gustafsson et al. 1995; Hasselquist et al. 1996) that can reflect individual quality. Individuals with more elaborate sexual traits often perform better (Møller 1994; Hasselquist et al. 1996) and females may often choose more ornamented males to acquire benefits for themselves and for their offspring. Hence, males with more elaborated traits usually realize higher mating and reproductive success (Gustafsson et al. 1995; Kruuk et al. 2002).

In facultatively polygynous species, males can also increase their reproductive output by acquiring a second female. However, in birds, the advantage of polygynous males is not so obvious when considering the recruitment rate of offspring to the breeding population (Lubjuhn et al. 2000; Pribil 2000; Herényi et al. 2012). Males cannot feed two broods at the same intensity as only one brood, which results in lower fledging condition at least in the secondary brood of polygynous males. This reduces the chance of fledglings to return in following years (Lindén et al. 1992; Both et al. 1999). It may occur that polygynous males become more successful than monogamous males only in certain years. Environmental conditions such as food availability can considerably influence the success of polygyny, since

in the case of rich food supply nestlings will suffer less from reduced paternal care. If environmental conditions are strongly variable among years, then the reproductive success of monogamous and polygynous males may be similar in the long-term, despite the situation-specific advantages of the polygynous strategy.

Although individuals' reproductive success is one of the main subjects of life-history research, long-term studies are scarce (Clutton-Brock and Sheldon 2010). In most cases, data of one or two years have been analysed (e.g. Siikamäki 1998; Daunt et al. 1999), but conclusions based on such datasets are not entirely reliable, since environmental conditions may strongly differ among years. One of the main disadvantages of short-term studies is that reproductive success can only be determined by clutch size or the number of fledged young. However, the number of recruits gives a much more accurate estimate of individuals' reproductive success as it also considers the period between reaching independence and the first reproduction.

In this long-term study we simultaneously investigated the effect of age, breeding date and mating status, as well as body size and the size of the sexually selected forehead patch on the ARS of male collared flycatchers (*Ficedula albicollis*). We estimated ARS as the number of recruits. The independent variables we used are rarely investigated simultaneously, and our aim was to assess their relative contributions to variation of reproductive success. In addition, as a previous study showed that environmental conditions are highly variable in our study area (Török et al. 2004), we also studied the effect of year-quality as determined by food availability.

Materials and methods

Study species and field methods

The collared flycatcher is a small, long-distance migratory, hole-nesting, insectivorous passerine breeding in deciduous woodlands of Central Europe and wintering in sub-Saharan Africa. This species is ideal for long-term studies of reproductive success as it prefers nestboxes, can be captured easily, and has high breeding site fidelity (Pärt and Gustafsson 1989; Könczey et al. 1992; Hegyi et al. 2002) and considerable local recruitment rates (Pärt 1990; Török et al. 2004). Our data were collected between 1988 and 2005 in Pilis Mountains, 121 Hungary (47°43' N, 19°01' E), in an oak-dominated forest, where more than 750 nestboxes were placed. Collared flycatcher males arrive at our nestbox plots in early or mid April and females a few days later. Nestboxes were checked multiple times a week during the whole nesting period, so breeding attempts were followed from nest building to fledging. Most parents were captured and ringed when feeding young. Capture effort was high throughout. The size of males' white forehead patch was estimated as a product of maximum height and maximum width. Forehead patch dimensions and tarsus length (to estimate body size) were measured with a calliper to the nearest 0.1 mm. Systematic differences among measurers were corrected for based on mean tarsus and forehead patch values taken in the same seasons. The age of males was determined based on ringing data or by plumage colour (Török et al. 2003). Start of breeding was defined as the day when the first egg of a clutch was laid. Nestlings were ringed after the age of eight days. The collared flycatcher is predominantly monogamous, but a fraction of males successfully attract two females and become polygynous. During the study period, we observed 117 polygynous individuals out of 2055 breeding males (5.7 %).

Year-quality was determined by the availability of lepidopteran larvae, an important component of the nestling diet of collared flycatchers (Török 1986), estimated on the basis of caterpillar frass mass (Zandt et al. 1990). Caterpillar frass was collected in fifteen 0.25 m^2 trays, which were emptied at three to six day intervals. Rains and other accidents resulted in a varying number of samples per collection period. Year-quality was determined using the amount of caterpillar frass production at the study plot in the 15 days with the highest frass mass in each year divided by the number of collectors.

Statistical analyses

For analysing ARS an 18-year dataset was used. Individuals that were subject to experiments that could have influenced their breeding success were excluded. Breeding attempts in which data of males were not complete were also excluded from the analyses. After these omissions our dataset contained data of 1061 breeding attempts of 796 male flycatchers. Of those 1061 breeding attempts males simultaneously fathered two broods in 41 cases. If there was more than one measurement from an individual in a given year, one of them was selected randomly. There was a significant relationship between age and forehead patch size, so to avoid multicollinearity, age-standardized forehead patch size was used in the analyses. Age was used as a four-level categorical factor with category 4 comprising all males older than three years.

The ARS of males was characterized by the number of recruits (offspring that became members of the reproductive population). As a significant proportion of recruits return only at the age of two or three, males that bred after the year 2002 were excluded from the analyses, as their recruits may have returned after 2005, the end of the study period. A male was considered polygynous if it was caught in two nestboxes while feeding nestlings. It was possible that in some cases polygyny could not be detected, so the observed rate of polygyny is an underestimate. Given the high capture effort, polygynous males caught at only one nest probably allocated nearly all of their care to this nest (included here as a monogamous nest) while neglecting the other nest (not used here due to the lack of the male). A secondary brood without the male caring for the offspring presumably produces little reproductive output, so the misclassification of these birds as monogamous is likely to bias estimated polygynous ARS upwards.

Laying date was entered in the models as a deviation from the annual median within each age-category, thereby controlling for age differences and environmental variation between years. 172 As this year- and age-standardized laying date was not normally distributed, $log(x + min(x) +$ 173 1) transformation was performed on laying date data, where $min(x)$ is the smallest relative value in the whole dataset. For including both linear and squared terms of laying date in the models, this variable was centred (by subtracting the sample mean from all values) before fitting the models as suggested recently (Schielzeth 2010).

For analysing data generalized linear mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood parameter estimation and Poisson error were used as implemented in the GLIMMIX macro of SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Patterns of ARS were analysed using the number of recruits a male produced in the given year as a dependent variable, age and mating status as factors, year-quality, tarsus length, forehead patch size, laying date and squared laying date as covariates and year and male identity as random factors. All two-way interactions with year type were also calculated. Patterns of ARS were also estimated separately in good years and in bad years (when caterpillar frass mass was above or below the average, respectively). Using clutch size correction did not change the results. In all models, a backward stepwise model selection procedure was used and results reported here for nonsignificant terms reflect their reintroduction to the final model one by one.

Results

Male collared flycatchers produced at least one recruit in one third of yearly breeding attempts (33.8 %). The maximum number of recruits of a male produced in a year was five. Correlates of ARS are presented on Table 1. The ARS of males was significantly related to breeding date. Both linear and squared terms had a negative effect on the number of recruits, with nests close to the median laying date being the most successful (Fig. 1). The age of males was not associated with their reproductive success. Morphological variables did not seem to influence ARS, as there was no relationship between the number of recruits and tarsus length or the size of the forehead patch. With respect to the mating status of birds, a significant interaction was detected between year-quality and polygyny. Other interactions were non-significant.

As year-quality-interactions were found with mating status, data were also analysed for good and bad years separately. The number of recruits was influenced by mating status in years with high food supply, with polygynous males having more recruits than monogamous males (Table 2, Fig. 2). In years with low food availability, however, there was no significant effect of polygyny on ARS (Table 2, Fig. 2). It seems that the success of polygynous males was reduced in bad years (Fig. 2, but the difference is not significant), whereas that of monogamous males practically did not change (Mann–Whitney U-test for polygynous males: 210 adjusted Z = -1.665, p = 0.096, $n_{good} = 25$, $n_{bad} = 16$; for monogamous males: adjusted Z = 211 0.113, $p = 0.910$, $n_{good} = 605$, $n_{bad} = 415$.

Discussion

The reproductive success of early breeders is often higher than that of late breeders. This is a generally accepted pattern that has been found in numerous studies. Reasons for this include seasonally decreasing food supply (Perrins 1970; Siikamäki 1998), decreasing territory quality (Potti and Montalvo 1991a), better chance to breed twice when starting early in the season (Saino et al. 2004), seasonally increasing probability of being cuckolded (Johnson et al. 2002), increasing density of already fledged young (Tinbergen et al. 1985), decreasing time for fledglings before migration (Dawson and Clark 2000) or increasing moult-breeding overlap (Svensson and Nilsson 1997; Siikamäki 1998). Finally, predation pressure may also increase with breeding date (Götmark 2002; but see Hartley and Shepherd 1994; Burger et al. 1996). The disadvantages of breeding too early have received much less attention than those of breeding too late, though harsh weather early in the season may be costly for breeding birds and may limit food availability for egg production (Perrins 1970; Nilsson 1994; Brown and Brown 2000).

Our data suggest that birds starting late in the breeding season produced no or very few recruits, but the reproductive success of individuals breeding too early was also low, and birds that generally bred near the yearly median had the highest number of recruited offspring. A polynomial seasonal trend in reproductive success has been revealed in only a few studies (Brinkhof et al. 1993; Lepage et al. 2000). Such a pattern can evolve for various reasons. First, we can suppose that there is an optimal time window when starting breeding is profitable. The majority of birds lay at this period, but there are always individuals that breed earlier or later. The reason for this can be that they cannot estimate the optimal initiation time

well, arrive too late from the wintering ground, or cannot mate in time e.g. because they are inferior males. Second, if the environmental conditions vary between years (as in our population, see Török et al. 2004) this may result alternating selection on laying date, that is, in one year it is advantageous to breed early, while in another year, breeding late is more rewarding (Brown and Brown 2000). Flycatchers have little opportunity to optimize the timing of breeding, as migration and the date of arrival are also very important constraints for them (Both and Visser 2001). Finally, a polynomial trend can evolve if individuals breed in synchrony with conspecifics. The advantages of synchronous breeding can be improved defence, dilution of predation pressure (Hatchwell 1991), or more opportunity for proper mate choice as the more birds breed together, the larger is the subset of potential mates they can sample. However, in our case, synchronous breeding may be an unlikely reason, as an earlier study suggested that higher density in the breeding area negatively influenced the hatching and fledging success of collared flycatchers (Török and Tóth 1988).

Age of male collared flycatchers did not explain their number of recruits. This finding is in contrast to the usually revealed relationship that reproductive success increases with age (Forslund and Pärt 1995; Catry and Furness 1999; Daunt et al. 1999; Pärt 2001). In many cases the major difference in age-specific reproductive success occurs between the youngest and the older breeders (Daunt et al. 1999; Pärt 2001). However, some studies found similarly no association between male age and reproductive success (Wendeln and Becker 1999; Robertson and Rendell 2001). It is possible that despite all individuals trying to start breeding at younger ages, only high-quality individuals succeed in doing so (Harvey et al. 1985; Pärt 1995). Indeed, in certain species, including flycatchers, some yearling individuals skip breeding, while others rear offspring at this age (Potti and Montalvo 1991b; Robertson and Rendell 2001; Cooper et al. 2009), and this could also be true for our collared flycatcher

population as a significant proportion of males (60.9 %) breed first when they are two years old. The higher quality of yearling breeders could counterbalance the higher breeding experience of older breeders, and yearlings could reach similar (or even higher) reproductive success than older individuals.

The lack of age effect on ARS could also be explained if females compensate the inexperience of their mates when mate with a yearling male. This can appear as laying fewer eggs (Pyle et al. 1991; our unpublished data), which results in fewer fledglings but in better condition (Gustafsson and Sutherland 1988). Another possibility if they allocate higher amounts of some beneficial compounds (e.g. testosterone) to the eggs (Schwabl 1996; Eising et al. 2001), which has indeed been found in our population (Michl et al. 2005).

The size of the white forehead patch of male collared flycatchers did not influence the number of recruits. This is surprising considering that forehead patch size is a sexually selected trait in our population: males with a larger patch acquire a female earlier, even relative to their own arrival date (Hegyi et al. 2010). On the other hand, our results are in agreement with those of earlier studies performed in this population, suggesting that this trait is a poor indicator of genetic or phenotypic quality in our birds (Garamszegi et al. 2006; Hegyi et al. 2002, 2006, 2007; Hargitai et al. 2012; but see Hegyi et al. 2011, Markó et al. 2011). Furthermore, in a recent long-term study we showed that forehead patch size did not predict lifetime offspring recruitment (Herényi et al. 2012). We can assume that the advantages of large forehead patch may appear in other processes of sexual selection, such as extra-pair paternity. However, previous studies investigating the relationship between forehead patch size and extra-pair paternity found contradictory results (Michl et al. 2002; Rosivall et al. 2009). Therefore, the exact advantages of wearing a large forehead patch have not been entirely clarified yet, and long-term data on within- and extra-brood paternity would be helpful to further illuminate the role of this trait.

In species with infrequent polygyny, polygynous males may gain considerable advantages over monogamous males, as shown by many studies (Davies and Houston 1986; Soukup and Thompson 1998). The disadvantages of polygyny, however, have been mainly investigated from the females' viewpoint (Slagsvold and Lifjeld 1994; Huk and Winkel 2006), though the difference between the reproductive success of polygynous and monogamous males may not be very large in cases when reduced male help impairs the success of secondary or both females (Slagsvold and Lifjeld 1994; Garamszegi et al. 2004). Here we found that there was no difference between monogamous and polygynous collared flycatcher males in the number of recruits, but the interaction between year-quality and mating status was significant. Polygyny was advantageous in years with high food supply, while in years with low food availability the advantage of polygynous males diminished and their success became similar to that of monogamous males. This is in agreement with our previous result that polygyny did not affect the lifetime reproductive success of male collared flycatchers (Herényi et al. 2012). These findings raise the fundamental question of whether it is adaptive for males to build polygynous partnerships. Based on the strength of the observed pattern we can suppose that polygynous males produce more recruits only in years with high food availability, but males may try to become polygynous every year, because they cannot predict food abundance early in the breeding season (Lubjuhn et al. 2000). This explanation may easily apply to our population, where the unpredictable fluctuations of food availability prevent even the individual optimization of clutch size (Török et al. 2004). Certainly, polygynous males may have gained some advantage that we could not measure, and which may even be independent of year-quality, such as achieving extra-pair copulations (see next paragraph below). Fitness

benefits to polygynous males may also appear in the attractiveness of their offspring (Gwinner and Schwabl 2005; Huk and Winkel 2006), which will increase the number of grandoffspring. Although in this study we did not assess this variable, data from a Swedish population of collared flycatchers suggest no reproductive advantage for the offspring of polygynous males (Gustafsson and Qvarnström 2006).

Extra-pair paternity plays an important role in influencing the reproductive success of males in many bird species. Unfortunately, we could not assess this component of reproduction because we did not have blood samples from individuals for most years of the study period. Given that paternity in the own nest is apparently not related to male ornaments, body size or breeding time in our population (Rosivall et al. 2009; but see Michl et al. 2002) a directional effect of extra-pair paternity on our results is unlikely in this respect. This may be true for male age as well (see Krist et al. 2005). However, the relationship between paternity and polygyny could be negative (Pilastro et al. 2002), very weak (Pearson et al. 2006) or positive (Soukup and Thompson 1998) and data on flycatchers are scarce. Therefore, our data on polygyny must be treated with caution. Studies conducted in different populations of the sibling species pied flycatcher (*Ficedula hypoleuca*) consistently showed that polygynous males had extra-pair young in their broods more frequently than monogamous males (Brün et al. 1996; Lubjuhn et al. 2000; Drevon and Slagsvold 2005). These findings suggest that considering extra-pair paternity would even further reduce the advantage of polygynous over monogamous males. So, in an extreme case it would remove the benefit of polygyny even in years with high food availability.

In conclusion, breeding date showed a polynomial relationship with offspring recruitment, which emphasizes that the disadvantages of early breeding should also be considered when studying the effect of timing. The age of collared flycatcher males did not influence their ARS. Nor did the morphological characteristics of males (body size and forehead patch size), which is in line with our earlier findings concerning lifetime reproductive success (Herényi et al. 2012). In years with low food availability, there was no relationship between polygyny and reproductive success, however, in years when food availability was high, polygynous males produced more recruits than monogamous ones. These results show that several years should be take into account when investigating the effect of mating status on reproductive success, and one should consider food supply.

-
-

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to R. Könczey, B. Szigeti and L. Tóth for assistance during fieldwork. This study was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA, grants K75618 to J.T., K101611 to G.H. and PD75481 to B.R.), the Eötvös Loránd University (a scholarship to M.H.), a Bolyai János fellowship to G.H., the Erdők a Közjóért Alapítvány and the Pilisi Parkerdő Zrt. Permissions for the fieldwork have been provided by the Middle-Danube-Valley Inspectorate for Environmental Protection, Nature Conservation and Water Management.

-
-

Ethical standards

All capture and handling procedure complied with the current laws of Hungary, and were approved by the appropriate authority.

Ornithol 137:

- Descamps S, Boutin S, Berteaux D, Gaillard J-M (2006) Best squirrels trade a long life for an early reproduction. Proc R Soc Lond B 273: 2369-2374.
-
- Drevon T, Slagsvold T (2005) When and from whom do female pied flycatchers (Ficedula hypoleuca) solicit copulations. Behaviour 142: 1059-1076.
-
- Eising CM, Eikenaar C, Schwabl H, Groothuis TGG (2001) Maternal androgens in black-headed gull (*Larus ridibundus*) eggs: consequences for chick development. Proc R Soc Lond B 268: 839-846.
-
- Forslund P, Pärt T (1995) Age and reproduction in birds hypotheses and tests. Trends Ecol Evol 10: 374-378.
-
- Garamszegi LZ, Török J, Michl G, Møller AP (2004) Female survival, lifetime reproductive success and mating status in a passerine bird. Oecologia 138: 48-56.
-
- Garamszegi LZ, Rosivall B, Hegyi G, Szöllősi E, Török J, Eens M (2006) Determinants of male territorial behavior in a Hungarian collared flycatcher population: plumage traits of residents and challengers. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 60: 663-671.
-
- Götmark F (2002) Predation by sparrowhawks favours early breeding and small broods in great tits. Oecologia 130: 25-32.
-
- Grant PR, Grant BR (2000) Non-random fitness variation in two populations of Darwin's
- finches. Proc R Soc Lond B 267: 131-138.

Hegyi G, Török J, Tóth L (2002) Qualitative population divergence in proximate determination of a sexually selected trait in the collared flycatcher. J Evol Biol 15: 710-719.

Hegyi G, Török J, Tóth L, Garamszegi LZ, Rosivall B (2006) Rapid temporal change in the expression and age-related information content of a sexually selected trait. J Evol Biol 19: 228-238.

471 Hegyi G, Török J, Garamszegi LZ, Rosivall B, Szöllősi E, Hargitai R (2007) Dynamics of multiple sexual signals in relation to climatic conditions. Evol Ecol Res 9: 905-920.

Hegyi G, Szöllősi E, Jenni-Eiermann S, Török J, Eens M, Garamszegi LZ (2010) Nutritional correlates and mate acquisition role of multiple sexual traits in male collared flycatchers. Naturwissenschaften 97: 567-576.

Hegyi G, Rosivall B, Szöllősi E, Eens M, Török J (2011) Context-dependent effects of nestling growth trajectories on recruitment probability in the collared flycatcher. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 65: 1647-1658.

Herényi M, Hegyi G, Garamszegi LZ, Hargitai R, Michl G, Rosivall B, Török J (2012) Lifetime offspring production in relation to breeding lifespan, attractiveness, and mating status in male collared flycatchers. Oecologia 170: 935-942.

- Huk T, Winkel W (2006) Polygyny and its fitness consequences for primary and secondary female pied flycatchers. Proc R Soc Lond B 273: 1681-1688.
-
- Johnson LS, Hicks BG, Masters BS (2002) Increased cuckoldry as a cost of breeding late for male house wrens (*Troglodytes aedon*). Behav Ecol 5: 670-675.
-
- Könczey R, Török J, Tóth L (1992) Költéssiker és költési területhűség az örvös légykapónál (*Ficedula albicollis*). Állattani Közlemények 78: 69-76. [with English summary]
-
- Krist M, Nádvorník P, Uvírová L, Bureš S (2005) Paternity covaries with laying and hatching

order in the collared flycatcher *Ficedula albicollis*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 59: 6-11.

-
- Kruuk LEB, Slate J, Pemberton JM, Brotherstone S, Guinness F, Clutton-Brock T (2002) Antler size in red deer: heritability and selection but no evolution. Evolution 56: 1683-1695.
- Lamprecht J (1990) Predicting current reproductive success of goose pairs *Anser indicus* from male and female reproductive history. Ethology 85: 123-131.

- Lepage D, Gauthier G, Menu S (2000) Reproductive consequences of egg-laying decisions in snow geese. J Anim Ecol 69: 414-427.
-
- Lindén M, Gustafsson L, Pärt T (1992) Selection on fledging mass in the collared flycatcher and the great tit. Ecology 73: 336-343.

- Pärt T (2001) Experimental evidence of environmental effects on age-specific reproductive success: the importance of resource quality. Proc R Soc Lond B 268: 2267-2271.
-
- Pärt T, Gustafsson L (1989) Breeding dispersal in the collared flycatcher (*Ficedula albicollis*): possible causes and reproductive consequences. J Anim Ecol 58: 305-320.

- Pearson T, Whitfield MJ, Theimer TC, Keim P (2006) Polygyny and extra-pair paternity in a
- population of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers. Condor 108: 571-578.

Perrins CM (1970) The timing of birds' breeding seasons. Ibis 112: 242-255.

Pilastro A, Griggio M, Biddau L, Mingozzi T (2002) Extrapair paternity as a cost of polygyny in the rock sparrow: behavioural and genetic evidence of the 'trade-off' hypothesis. Anim Behav 63: 967-974.

Potti J, Montalvo S (1991a) Male arrival and female mate choice in pied flycatchers in Central Spain. Ornis Scand 22: 45-54.

Potti J, Montalvo S (1991b) Return rate, age at first breeding and natal dispersal of pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca* in Central Spain. Ardea 79: 419-428.

Pribil S (2000) Experimental evidence for the cost of polygyny in the red-winged blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*). Behaviour 137: 1153-1173.

- Pyle P, Spear LB, Sydeman WJ, Ainley DG (1991) The effects of experience and age on the breeding performance of western gulls. Auk 108: 25-33.
-
- Robertson RJ, Rendell WB (2001) A long-term study of reproductive performance in tree swallows: the influence of age and senescence on output. J Anim Ecol 70: 1014-1031.

567 Rosivall B, Szöllősi E, Hasselquist D, Török J (2009) Effects of extrapair paternity and sex on nestling growth and condition in the collared flycatcher, *Ficedula albicollis*. Anim Behav 77:

611-617.

Saino N, Szép T, Romano M, Rubolini D, Spina F, Møller AP (2004) Ecological conditions during winter predict arrival date at the breeding quarters in a trans-Saharan migratory bird. Ecol Lett 7: 21-25.

Schielzeth H (2010) Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients Methods Ecol Evol 1: 103-113.

Schwabl H (1996) Maternal testosterone in the avian egg enhance postnatal growth. Comp Biochem Physiol A 114: 271-276.

- Siikamäki P (1998) Limitation of reproductive success by food availability and breeding time
- in pied flycatchers. Ecology 79: 1789-1796.

Slagsvold T, Lifjeld JT (1994) Polygyny in birds: the role of competition between females for male parental care. Am Nat 143: 59-94.

Soukup SS, Thompson CF (1998) Social mating system and reproductive success in house wrens. Behav Ecol 9: 43-48.

Svensson E, Nilsson J-Å (1997) The trade-off between molt and parental care: a sexual conflict in the Blue Tit? Behav Ecol 8: 92-98.

Tinbergen JM, van Balen JH, van Eck HM (1985) Density dependent survival in an isolated great tit population: Kluyver's data reanalysed. Ardea 73: 38-48.

Török J (1986) Food separation in three hole nester bird species during the breeding season. Ardea 74: 129-136.

Török J, Tóth L (1988) Density-dependence in reproduction of the collared flycatcher at high population levels. J Anim Ecol 57: 251-258.

Török J, Hegyi G, Garamszegi LZ (2003) Depigmented wing patch size is a condition-dependent indicator of viability in male collared flycatchers. Behav Ecol 14: 382-388.

- Török J, Hegyi G, Tóth L, Könczey R (2004) Unpredictable food supply modifies costs of reproduction and hampers individual optimization. Oecologia 141: 432-443.
-

Wendeln H, Becker PH (1999) Effects of parental quality and effort on the reproduction of common terns. J Anim Ecol 68: 205-214.

Zandt HS, Strijkstra AM, Blondel J, van Balen JH (1990) Two Mediterranean blue tit populations: are differences in the timing of breeding associated with caterpillar availability? In: Blondel J, Gosler A, Lebreton J-D, McCleery R (eds) Population biology of passerine birds. An integrated approach, NATO ASI series, vol G24, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 145-155

621 The number of recruits in relation to laying date (mean \pm SE)

- **Fig. 2**
- ARS in relation to mating status in years with high (good years) and with low food supply
- 625 (bad years) respectively (mean \pm SE). Monogamous males: solid circles; polygynous males:
- open circles. Sample sizes are given

628 Table 1

629 Correlates of annual reproductive success of male collared flycatchers as estimated by the 630 number of recruits.

631 Generalized linear mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood parameter estimation, 632 Poisson error and backward stepwise model selection (results for nonsignificant terms reflect 633 their reintroduction to the final model one by one). $n = 1061$ (monogamous 1020, polygynous 634 41)

635

637 Table 2

638 Variables relating to annual reproductive success of male collared flycatchers in years with 639 high and with low caterpillar food availability (good and bad years, respectively).

640 Generalized linear mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood parameter estimation,

641 Poisson error and backward stepwise model selection (results for nonsignificant terms reflect

642 their reintroduction to the final model one by one). $n = 431$ (monogamous 415, polygynous

643 16) for good years and $n = 630$ (monogamous 605, polygynous 25) for bad years

644

