

A small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, spanning a $(t - 1)$ -space, is linear

Peter Sziklai Geertrui Van de Voorde

September 28, 2011

Abstract

In this paper, we show that a small minimal blocking set with exponent e in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, p prime, spanning a $(t/e - 1)$ -dimensional space, is an \mathbb{F}_{p^e} -linear set, provided that $p > 5(t/e) - 11$. As a corollary, we get that all small minimal blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, p prime, $p > 5t - 11$, spanning a $(t - 1)$ -dimensional space, are \mathbb{F}_p -linear, hence confirming the linearity conjecture for blocking sets in this particular case.

Keywords: Blocking set, linearity conjecture, linear set

1 Introduction

In this section, we introduce the necessary background and notation. If V is a vectorspace, then we denote the corresponding projective space by $\text{PG}(V)$. If V has dimension $n + 1$ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , with q elements, $q = p^t$, p prime, then we also write V as $V(n + 1, q)$ and $\text{PG}(V)$ as $\text{PG}(n, q)$.

A *blocking set* in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is a set B of points such that every hyperplane of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ contains at least one point of B . Such a blocking set is sometimes called a *1-blocking set*, or a *blocking set with respect to hyperplanes*. A blocking set B is called *small* if $|B| < 3(q + 1)/2$ and *minimal* if no proper subset of B is a blocking set.

A point set S in $\text{PG}(V)$, where $V = V(n + 1, p^t)$ is called \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -*linear* if there exists a subset U of V that forms an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -vector space for some $\mathbb{F}_{q_0} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^t}$, such that $S = \mathcal{B}(U)$, where

$$\mathcal{B}(U) := \{ \langle u \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^t}} : u \in U \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

We have a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $\text{PG}(n, q_0^h)$ and the elements of a Desarguesian $(h-1)$ -spread \mathcal{D} of $\text{PG}(h(n+1)-1, q_0)$. This gives us a different view on linear sets; namely, an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -linear set is a set S of points of $\text{PG}(n, q_0^h)$ for which there exists a subspace π in $\text{PG}(h(n+1)-1, q_0)$ such that the points of S correspond to the elements of \mathcal{D} that have a non-empty intersection with π . We identify the elements of \mathcal{D} with the points of $\text{PG}(n, q_0^h)$, so we can view $\mathcal{B}(\pi)$ as a subset of \mathcal{D} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(\pi) = \{R \in \mathcal{D} \mid R \cap \pi \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For more information on this approach to linear sets, we refer to [5].

The *linearity conjecture* for blocking sets (see [11]) states that

(LC) All small minimal blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ are linear sets.

Up to our knowledge, this is the complete list of cases in which the linearity conjecture for blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, p prime, with respect to hyperplanes, has been proven.

- $t = 1$ (for $n = 2$, see [1]; for $n > 2$, see [10])
- $t = 2$ (for $n = 2$, see [9]; for $n > 2$, see [8])
- $t = 3$, (for $n = 2$, see [6]; for $n > 2$, see [8])
- B is of Rédei-type, i.e., there is a hyperplane meeting B in $|B| - p^t$ points (for $n = 2$, see [2]; for $n > 2$, see [7])
- $\langle B \rangle = t$ (see [10]).

In this paper, we show that if $\langle B \rangle = t - 1$, and the characteristic of the field is sufficiently large, B is a linear set, as a corollary of the main theorem.

Main Theorem. *A small minimal blocking set B in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with exponent e , $q = p^t$, p prime, $q_0 := p^e$, $q_0 \geq 7$, $t/e = h$, spanning an $(h-1)$ -dimensional space is an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -linear set.*

2 The intersection of a small minimal blocking set and a subspace

A subspace clearly meets an \mathbb{F}_p -linear set in 0 or $1 \pmod p$ points. The following theorem shows that for a small minimal blocking set, the same holds.

Theorem 1. [10, Theorem 2.7] *If B is a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, p prime, then B intersects every subspace of $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$ in 1 mod p or zero points.*

From this theorem, we get that every small minimal blocking set B in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, p prime, has an *exponent* $e \geq 1$, which is the largest integer for which every hyperplane intersects B in 1 mod p^e points.

2.1 The intersection with a line

The following theorem by Sziklai characterises the intersection of particular lines with a small minimal blocking set as linear sets.

Theorem 2. [11, Corollary 5.2] *Let B be a small minimal blocking set with exponent e in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $q = p^t$, p prime. If for a certain line L , $|L \cap B| = p^e + 1$, then \mathbb{F}_{p^e} is a subfield of \mathbb{F}_q and $L \cap B$ is \mathbb{F}_{p^e} -linear.*

Using the 1 mod p -result (Theorem 1), it is not too hard to derive an upper bound on the size of a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ as done in [12]. This bound is a weaker version of the bound in Corollary 5.2 of [11].

Lemma 3. [12, Lemma 1] *The size of a small minimal blocking set B with exponent e in $\text{PG}(n, q_0^h)$, $q_0 := p^e \geq 7$, p prime is at most $q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$.*

In this paper, we will make use of the fact that we can find lower bounds on the number of secant lines to a small minimal blocking set. In the next lemma, one considers the number of $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants to the blocking set B , which will give a linear intersection with the blocking set by Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. [12, Lemma 4] *A point of a small minimal blocking set B with exponent e in $\text{PG}(n, q_0^h)$, $q_0 := p^e \geq 7$, p prime, lying on a $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant, lies on at least $q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants.*

For the proof of Lemma 7, we will make use of the concept of point exponents of a blocking set and the well-known fact that the projection of a small minimal blocking set is a small minimal blocking set.

Lemma 5. [10, Corollary 3.2] *Let $n \geq 3$. The projection of a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, from a point $Q \notin B$ onto a hyperplane skew to Q , is a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n - 1, q)$.*

The exponent e_P of a point P of a small minimal blocking set B is the largest number for which every line through P meets in 1 mod p^{e_P} or zero points. The following lemma is essentially due to Blokhuis.

Lemma 6. See [3, Lemma 2.4(1)] *If B is a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(2, q)$, $q = p^t$, p prime, with $|B| = q + \kappa$, and P is a point with exponent e_P , then the number of secants to B through P , is at least*

$$(q - \kappa + 1)/p^{e_P} + 1.$$

Lemma 7. *A point P with exponent $e_P = 2e$ of a small minimal blocking set B in $\text{PG}(n, q_0^h)$, $q_0 := p^e \geq 7$, p prime, lies on at least $q_0^{h-2} - q_0^{h-3} - q_0^{h-4} - 3q_0^{h-5} + 1$ secant lines to B .*

Proof. If $n = 2$, Lemma 3, together with Lemma 6, shows that the number of secant lines to B is at least $(q_0^h - q_0^{h-1} - q_0^{h-2} - 3q_0^{h-3} + 1)/q_0^2 + 1 \geq q_0^{h-2} - q_0^{h-3} - q_0^{h-4} - 3q_0^{h-5} + 1$.

If $n > 2$, then let L be a line through P , meeting B in $q_0^2 + 1$ points. By Theorem 1, a plane through L , containing a point of B , not on L , contains at least q_0^3 points of B , not on L . By Lemma 3, this implies that there is a plane Π through L with no points of B , outside L . Let Q be a point of $\Pi \setminus L$ and let \tilde{B} be the projection of B from Q onto a hyperplane through L . By Lemma 5, \tilde{B} is a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$. It is clear that every line through P meets \tilde{B} in $1 \pmod{q_0^2}$ or 0 points, and that there is a line, namely L , meeting \tilde{B} in $1 + q_0^2$ points, so $e_P = 2e$ in the blocking set \tilde{B} . It follows that the number of secant lines through a point P with exponent $2e$ to B is at least the number of secant lines through the point P with exponent $2e$ to \tilde{B} in $\text{PG}(n-1, q_0^h)$. Continuing this process, we see that this number is at least the number of secant lines through the point P with exponent $2e$ in a small minimal blocking set \tilde{B} in $\text{PG}(2, q_0^h)$, and the statement follows. \square

2.2 The intersection with a plane

In the following lemma, we will distinguish planes according to their intersection size with a small minimal blocking set. We will call a plane with $q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$ non-collinear points of B a *good* plane, while all other planes will be called *bad*. Note that also planes meeting B in only points on a line, or skew to B are called *bad*. The following lemma shows that good planes meet a small minimal blocking set in a linear set.

Lemma 8. *If Π is a plane of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ containing at least 3 non-collinear points of a small minimal blocking set B in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with exponent e , $q = p^t$, p prime, $q_0 := p^e$, then*

$$(i) \quad q_0^2 + q_0 + 1 \leq |B \cap \Pi|.$$

(ii) If $|B \cap \Pi| = q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$, then $B \cap \Pi$ is \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -linear.

(iii) If $|B \cap \Pi| > q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$, then $|B \cap \Pi| \geq 2q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 1, every line meets B in $1 \pmod{q_0}$ or 0 points. Since we find 3 non-collinear points, it is easy to see that $|B \cap \Pi| \geq q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$.

(ii) From the previous argument, we easily see that if $|B \cap \Pi| = q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$, then every line in Π contains $0, 1$ or $q_0 + 1$ points of B . Suppose that there exist two $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants that meet in a point, not in B , then the number of points in $\Pi \cap B$ is at least $q_0^2 + q_0 + 1 + q_0$. Hence, every two $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants meet in a point of B . Moreover, through two points of $B \cap \Pi$, there is a unique $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant, so B meets Π in an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -subplane.

(iii) By Theorem 1, if there is a line L of Π containing more than $(q_0 + 1)$ points of B , then $|L \cap B| \geq 2q_0 + 1$, and $|\Pi \cap B| \geq 2q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$. So from now on, we may assume that every line meets B in $0, 1$ or $q_0 + 1$ points. If there is an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -subplane strictly contained in $\Pi \cap B$, then clearly $|B \cap \Pi| \geq q_0^3 + q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$, so we may assume that there is no \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -subplane contained in $\Pi \cap B$.

Let L be a $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant in Π , let P be a point of $B \cap L$, let Q be a point of $B \setminus L$ and let M be the line PQ . From Theorem 2, we know that $L \cap B$ and $M \cap B$ are sublines over \mathbb{F}_{q_0} . These sublines define a unique \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -subplane Π_0 . Let N_1 be a line, not through P , through a point of $L \cap B$, say R_1 and $M \cap B$, say R_2 . Let N_2 be another line, not through R_1 or R_2 , meeting L in a point R_3 of B and M in a point R_4 of B . If T is the intersection point of N_1 and N_2 , then T belongs to the subplane Π_0 .

Now suppose that T is a point of B , then N_1 meets B in a subline, containing 3 points of the subline $\Pi_0 \cap N_1$, hence, the subline $N_1 \cap B$ is completely contained in B . The same holds for the subline $N_2 \cap B$, and repeating the same argument, for every subline through T meeting L and M in points, different from P . Again repeating the same argument, for a point $T' \neq T$ on N_1 , not on L or M , yields that Π_0 is contained in B , a contradiction. This implies that the $q_0 - 1$ points of B on the line N_1 , not on L or M are different from the $q_0 - 1$ points of B on the line N_2 , not on L or M . Varying N_1 and N_2 over all lines meeting L and M in points of B , we get that there are at least $q_0^2(q_0 - 1) + 2q_0 + 1$ points in $B \cap \Pi$. \square

To avoid abundant notation, we continue with the following hypothesis on B .

B is a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with exponent e , $q = p^t$, p prime, $q_0 := p^e$, $t/e = h$, **spanning an $(h - 1)$ -dimensional space**.

Lemma 9. *A plane of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ contains at most $q_0^3 + q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$ points of B .*

Proof. Suppose there exists a plane Π with more than $q_0^3 + q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$ points of B , then, by Theorem 1, $|\Pi \cap B| \geq q_0^3 + q_0^2 + 2q_0 + 1$. We prove by induction that, for all $2 \leq k \leq h-1$ there is a k -space, containing at least $(q_0^{k+2} - 1)/(q_0 - 1) + q_0^{k-1}$ points of B . The case $k = 2$ is already settled, so suppose there is a j -space Π_j , $j < h-1$, containing at least $(q_0^{j+2} - 1)/(q_0 - 1) + q_0^{j-1}$ points of B . Since B spans an $(h-1)$ -space and $j < h-1$, there is a point Q in B , not in Π_j . Because a line containing two points of B contains at least $q_0 + 1$ points of B , this implies that $|\langle Q, \Pi_j \rangle \cap B| \geq (q_0^{j+3} - 1)/(q_0 - 1) + q_0^j$. By induction, we obtain that B contains at least $(q_0^{h+1} - 1)/(q_0 - 1) + q_0^{h-2}$ points, a contradiction, since $|B| \leq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$. \square

Lemma 10. *Let L be a $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant to B . Then either L lies on at least $q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3} + 1$ good planes, or L lies on bad planes only. In the latter case, all planes with points of B , outside L contain at least $q_0^3 + q_0 + 1$ points of B .*

Proof. Let Q be a point on L , not on B . We project B from Q onto a hyperplane H , not through Q , and denote the image of this projection by \tilde{B} . Let P be the point $L \cap H$. It follows from Lemma 5, that \tilde{B} is a small minimal blocking set. Since every subspace meets B in $1 \pmod{q_0}$ or 0 points, every subspace meets \tilde{B} in $1 \pmod{q_0}$ or 0 points. Suppose that P has exponent $e_P = 1$, then it follows from Lemma 4 that P lies on at least $q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants. This means that there are at least $q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1$ planes through L containing at least $q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$ points of B , which implies that $|B| \geq q_0^2(q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1)$, a contradiction since $|B| \leq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$ by Lemma 3.

If P has exponent e_P at least 4, we get that the planes through L which contain a point of B , not on L , contain at least $q_0^4 + q_0 + 1$ points, which is impossible by Lemma 9. We conclude that P has exponent $e_P = 2$ or $e_P = 3$. If P has exponent $e_P = 3$, then every plane through L that contains a point of B not on L , contains at least $q_0^3 + q_0 + 1$ points, and hence, all planes through L are bad.

Finally, if P has exponent 2, we know from Lemma 7 that there are at least $s = q_0^{h-2} - q_0^{h-3} - q_0^{h-4} - 3q_0^{h-5} + 1$ secant lines through P , which implies that there are at least s planes through L containing a point of B outside L . Suppose t of the s planes are bad, then, using Lemma 8(iii), B contains at least $t(2q_0^2) + (s-t)(q_0^2) + q_0 + 1$ points. If we put $t = 3q_0^{h-3} - q_0^{h-4} - 3q_0^{h-5} + 1$, we get a contradiction since $|B| \leq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$ by Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 11. *A point P of B lying on a $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant, lies on at most one $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant L that lies on only bad planes.*

Proof. Let P be a point of B , lying on a $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant and let L be a line through P that only lies on bad planes. From Lemma 9 and Lemma 10, we

get that $q_0^3 + q_0 + 1 \leq |\Pi \cap B| \leq q_0^3 + q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$ for all planes Π through L , containing points of B outside L .

By Lemma 3, $|B| \leq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$, so there are at most $q_0^{h-3} + 2q_0^{h-4}$ planes through L containing points of B outside L . Since P lies on at least $q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants, there are at least two planes Π_1 and Π_2 containing at least $q_0^2 - 6q_0 + 1$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P . Suppose that L' is a $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant through P , different from L , lying on only bad planes. At least one of the planes Π_1, Π_2 , say Π_1 , does not contain L' .

We will now show that for all $k \leq h-2$, there exists a k -space through Π_1 , not containing L' , containing at least $q_0^k - 6q_0^{k-1}$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P . For $k = 2$, the statement is true, hence, suppose it holds for all $k \leq j < h-2$. Let Π' be a j -space through Π_1 , not containing L' and containing at least $q_0^j - 6q_0^{j-1}$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P .

Let $|\Pi' \cap B| = A$, then a $(j+1)$ -space Π'' through Π' , containing a point of B , not in Π' , contains at least $(q_0 - 1)A + 1$ points of B , not in Π' , and we see that the number of $(j+1)$ -spaces containing a point of B , not in Π' , is maximal if the number of points in Π' is minimal. Since $|B \cap \Pi_1| \geq q_0^3 + q_0 + 1$, $|B \cap \Pi'| \geq (q_0^3 + q_0 + 1)q_0^{j-3} + 1$. This implies that the number of points of B in such a $(j+1)$ -space, outside Π' is at least $q_0^{j+1} - p^j + q_0^{j-1} - q_0^{j-3} + p$. Since $|B| \leq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$, the number of such $(j+1)$ -spaces is at most $q_0^{h-j-1} + 2q_0^{h-j-2} + 4q_0^{h-j-3}$. At most $(q_0^{j+1} - 1)/(q_0 - 1)$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P lie in Π' . Suppose that all $(j+1)$ -spaces through Π' , except possibly $\langle \Pi', L \rangle$ contain at most $q_0^j - 6q_0^{j-1}$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P , not in Π' , then the number of $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P is at most

$$(q_0^{h-j-1} + 2q_0^{h-j-2} + 4q_0^{h-j-3} - 1)(q_0^3 - 6q_0^2) + (q_0^{j+1} - 1)/(q_0^{j-1} - 1),$$

a contradiction if $j < h-2$, since there are at least $q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P . We may conclude, by induction, that there exists an $(h-2)$ -space Π'' , not through L' , that contains at least $q_0^{h-2} - 6q_0^{h-3}$ $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants through P . Since L' does not lie in Π'' , this implies that there are at least $q_0^{h-2} - 6q_0^{h-3}$ different planes through L' that each have at least q_0^3 points outside L , a contradiction since $|B| \leq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$. This implies that there is at most one line through P that lies on only bad planes. \square

3 The proof of the main theorem

Lemma 12. *Assume $h > 3$ and $q_0 > 5h - 11$. Denote the $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants, not lying on only bad planes, through a point P of B that lies on at least one $(q_0 + 1)$ -secant, by L_1, \dots, L_s . Let x be a point of the spread element*

corresponding to B in $\text{PG}(h(n+1)+1, q_0)$ and let ℓ_i be the line through x such that $\mathcal{B}(\ell_i) = L_i \cap B$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s\}$, then $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle$ has dimension h .

Proof. From Lemma 4 and Lemma 11 we get that s is at least $q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2} + 1 - 1 = q_0^{h-1} - 4q_0^{h-2}$. From Lemmas 8(ii) and 10, we get that through every line L_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, there are at least $q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3} + 1$ planes, say Π_{ij} , $j = 1, \dots, t$, such that $B \cap \Pi_{ij} = \mathcal{B}(\pi_{ij})$, for a plane π_{ij} through ℓ_i . Denote the set of planes $\{\pi_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ by \mathcal{V} , and the set of lines $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s\}$ by \mathcal{L} .

A fixed plane π_{ij} of \mathcal{V} , say π_{11} , contains $q_0 + 1$ lines of \mathcal{L} , say $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{q_0+1}$. The lines $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{q_0+1}$ lie on a set of at least $(q_0 + 1)(q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3} + 1) + 1$ different planes of \mathcal{V} . On these planes, there lie a set \mathcal{P} of at least $(q_0 + 1)(q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3} - 1)q_0^2$ different points y_1, \dots, y_u , not in π_{11} , such that $\mathcal{B}(y_i) \subset B$.

We claim that $\mathcal{B}(y_r) = \mathcal{B}(y'_r)$ implies that $y_r = y'_r$ for y_r and y'_r in \mathcal{P} (*). We know that y_r lies on π_{ij} and y'_r lies on $\pi_{i'j'}$ for some i, i', j, j' . Since $\mathcal{B}(\pi_{ij}) = B \cap \Pi_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\pi_{i'j'}) = B \cap \Pi_{i'j'}$, the lines $\langle \mathcal{B}(xy_r) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{B}(xy'_r) \rangle$ are $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants to B . Since we assume that $\mathcal{B}(y_r) = \mathcal{B}(y'_r)$, these $(q_0 + 1)$ -secants coincide. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}(xy_r) \subset B$ and $\mathcal{B}(xy'_r) \subset B$, so xy_r and xy'_r are transversal lines through the same regulus, which forces $y_r = y'_r$. This proves our claim, hence, different points of the pointset \mathcal{P} give rise to different points of B .

We will prove that, for all $2 \leq k \leq h$ there exists a k -space through x with at least $q_0^{k-1} - (5k - 11)q_0^{k-2}$ lines of \mathcal{L} . The existence of π_{11} proves this statement for $k = 2$. Assume, by induction, that there exists a j -space through x , say ν , where $j < h - 1$, containing at least $q_0^{j-1} - (5j - 11)q_0^{j-2}$ lines of \mathcal{L} .

We will now count the number of couples $(\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ contained in ν , r a point, not in ν with $\langle r, \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{V}$). The number of lines of \mathcal{L} in ν is at least $q_0^{j-1} - (5j - 11)q_0^{j-2}$, the number of points $r \notin \nu$ with $\langle r, \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{V}$ for some fixed ℓ , is at least $(q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3})q_0^2 - (q_0^{j+1} - 1)/(q_0 - 1)$. The number of points r with $\langle r, \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{V}$, is by (*) at most $|B|$, hence, the number of points $r \notin \nu$ with $\langle r, \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{V}$ is at most $|B| - (q_0^{j-1} - (5j - 11)q_0^{j-2})q_0 - 1$.

Hence, there is a point r , lying on (say) X different planes $\langle r, \ell \rangle$ of \mathcal{V} with

$$X \geq \frac{(q_0^{j-1} - (5j - 11)q_0^{j-2})(q_0^h - 4q_0^{h-1} - (q_0^{j+1} - 1)/(q_0 - 1))}{q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3} - q_0^j + (5j - 11)q_0^{j-1} - 1}.$$

This last expression is larger than $q_0^{j-1} - (5(j+1) - 11)q_0^{j-2}$, if $h > 3$, for all $j \leq h - 1$.

This implies that the $j+1$ -space $\langle r, \nu \rangle$, contains at least $(q_0^{j-1} - (5(j+1) -$

11) q_0^{j-2}) $q_0 + 1$ lines of \mathcal{L} , hence, by induction, we find an h -dimensional-space through x containing at least $q_0^{h-1} - (5h - 11)q_0^{h-2}$ lines of \mathcal{L} .

Suppose now that there is a line of the ℓ_i , say ℓ_s , not in this h -space ξ . Since by Lemma 10, there are at least $q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3}$ planes through ℓ_s , giving rise to $(q_0^{h-2} - 4q_0^{h-3})(q_0^2 - q_0)$ points z , which are not contained in ξ , such that $\mathcal{B}(z) \subset B$. By (*), and the fact that there are at least $(q_0^{h-1} - (5h - 11)q_0^{h-2})q_0 + 1$ points y in ξ such that $\mathcal{B}(y) \subset B$, we get that $|B| \geq q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3}$, a contradiction.

This shows that the dimension of $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle$ is h . □

We now use the following theorem, which is an extension of [9, Remark 3.3].

Theorem 13. [4, Corollary 1] *A blocking set of size smaller than $2q$ in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is uniquely reducible to a minimal blocking set.*

Main Theorem. *A small minimal blocking set B in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with exponent e , $q = p^e$, p prime, $q_0 := p^e$, $q_0 \geq 7$, $t/e = h$, spanning an $(h-1)$ -dimensional space is an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -linear set.*

Proof. As seen in Lemma 12, there exists an h -dimensional space ξ in $\text{PG}((n+1)h-1, q_0)$, such that $|\mathcal{B}(\xi) \cap B| \geq q_0^h - 4q_0^{h-1} + 1$. Define \tilde{B} to be the union of $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$ and B and recall that $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$ is a small minimal \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -linear blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. Clearly, \tilde{B} is a blocking set, and its size is equal to $|B| + |\mathcal{B}(\xi)| - |B \cap \mathcal{B}(\xi)|$. Hence, $|\tilde{B}|$ is at most $(q_0^{h+1} - 1)/(q_0 - 1) + q_0^h + q_0^{h-1} + q_0^{h-2} + 3q_0^{h-3} - (q_0^h - 4q_0^{h-1} + 1) < 2q_0^h$. Theorem 13 shows that $B = \mathcal{B}(\xi)$, so we may conclude that B is an \mathbb{F}_{q_0} -linear set. □

By the fact that the exponent of a small minimal blocking set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is at least one (see Theorem 1), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *All small minimal blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, p^t)$, p prime, $p > 5t - 11$ spanning a $(t-1)$ -space, are \mathbb{F}_p -linear.*

References

- [1] A. Blokhuis. On the size of a blocking set in $\text{PG}(2, p)$. *Combinatorica* **14** (1) (1994), 111–114.
- [2] A. Blokhuis, S. Ball, A.E. Brouwer, L. Storme, and T. Szőnyi. On the number of slopes of the graph of a function defined on a finite field. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **86** (1) (1999), 187–196.

- [3] A. Blokhuis, L. Lovász, L. Storme, T. Szőnyi. On multiple blocking sets in Galois planes. *Adv. Geom.* **7 (1)** (2007), 39–53.
- [4] M. Lavrauw, L. Storme, and G. Van de Voorde. On the code generated by the incidence matrix of points and k -spaces in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and its dual. *Finite Fields Appl.* **14 (4)** (2008), 1020–1038.
- [5] M. Lavrauw and G. Van de Voorde. On linear sets on a projective line. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **56 (2–3)** (2010), 89–104.
- [6] O. Polverino. Small blocking sets in $\text{PG}(2, p^3)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **20 (3)** (2000), 319–324.
- [7] L. Storme and P. Sziklai. Linear pointsets and Rédei type k -blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **14 (3)** (2001), 221–228.
- [8] L. Storme and Zs. Weiner. On 1-blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **21 (1–3)** (2000), 235–251.
- [9] T. Szőnyi. Blocking sets in desarguesian affine and projective planes. *Finite Fields Appl.* **3 (3)** (1997), 187–202.
- [10] T. Szőnyi and Zs. Weiner. Small blocking sets in higher dimensions. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **95 (1)** (2001), 88–101.
- [11] P. Sziklai. On small blocking sets and their linearity. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **115 (7)** (2008), 1167–1182.
- [12] G. Van de Voorde. On the linearity of higher-dimensional blocking sets, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17 (1)** (2010), Research Paper 174, 16 pp.