EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)

CMS-SMP-13-012

Measurement of electroweak production of a W boson and two forward jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A measurement is presented of the cross section for the electroweak production of a W boson in association with two jets in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV. The data set was collected with the CMS detector and corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $19.3\,\text{fb}^{-1}$. The measured fiducial cross section for W bosons decaying to electrons or muons and for $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{j1}} > 60\,\text{GeV}$, $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{j2}} > 50\,\text{GeV}$, $|\eta^{\text{j}}| < 4.7$, and $m_{\text{jj}} > 1000\,\text{GeV}$ is $0.42 \pm 0.04\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09\,(\text{syst}) \pm 0.01\,(\text{lumi})$ pb. This result is consistent with the standard model leading-order prediction of $0.50 \pm 0.02\,(\text{scale}) \pm 0.02\,(\text{PDF})$ pb obtained with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.1 interfaced to PYTHIA 6.4. This is the first cross section measurement for this process.

Published in the Journal of High Energy Physics as `doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2016)147`.

*See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

arXiv:1607.06975v2 [hep-ex] 2 Dec 2016

1 Introduction

The production of a W or Z boson in association with two jets via the *t*-channel exchange of an electroweak gauge boson (EW production) plays an important role in testing the gauge sector of the standard model (SM), in particular, aspects of gauge boson self interactions. This process is characterized by the presence of two jets with a large separation in rapidity [1, 2]. Experimental studies of this topology are challenging because of large backgrounds and require a precise understanding of extra quark and gluon emissions computed in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [3].

Three classes of EW diagrams for $\ell\nu$ production in association with two jets are shown in Fig. 1: a W boson radiating from a quark line (left), a W boson produced through vector boson fusion (VBF) processes involving a W boson and a Z boson (center), and a multiperipheral diagram with no *s*-channel W boson (right). These diagrams represent the EW signal in this analysis.

The study of the EW W+2-jets process is part of a more general investigation of the SM VBF process. These EW processes have been used to investigate the rapidity gaps at hadron colliders [1, 2], as a probe of triple-gauge-boson couplings [4, 5], and as a background to Higgs boson measurements in the VBF channel [6–9].

At the LHC, the EW production of a Z boson in association with forward and backward jets has been investigated by the CMS Collaboration at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV [3] (8 TeV [10]). The ATLAS Collaboration has published similar results at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [11]. The EW production of events with a same-sign W boson pair plus two jets was recently studied by the ATLAS Collaboration [12] and the CMS Collaboration [13] at 8 TeV.

This paper presents a measurement of the EW W+2-jets production cross section. The fiducial cross section is calculated for W bosons decaying to electrons or muons and for $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{j1}} > 60$ GeV, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{j2}} > 50$ GeV, $|\eta^{\mathrm{j}}| < 4.7$, and $m_{\mathrm{jj}} > 1000$ GeV. The interference term between EW W+2-jets and QCD W+2-jets is neglected in the calculation of the fiducial cross section, and is considered as a source of systematic uncertainty. The data set corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 19.2 (19.3) fb^{-1} collected by the CMS experiment in the electron (muon) channel at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV.


Figure 1: Representative diagrams for EW $\ell\nu$jj productions at the LHC: (left) bremsstrahlung, (center) VBF, and (right) multiperipheral processes.

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity [14] coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid.

The silicon tracker measures charged particles within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of 1440 silicon pixel and 15 148 silicon strip detector modules and is located in the 3.8 T field of the superconducting solenoid. For nonisolated particles of $1 < p_T < 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.4$, the track resolutions are typically 1.5% in p_T and 25–90 (45–150) μm in the transverse (longitudinal) impact parameter [15]

The electron momentum is estimated by combining the energy measurement in the ECAL with the momentum measurement in the tracker. The momentum resolution for electrons with transverse momentum $p_T \approx 45$ GeV from Z $\to$ ee decays ranges from 1.7% for nonshowering electrons in the barrel region to 4.5% for showering electrons in the endcaps [16]. The electron objects in the transition region between the barrel and endcap ($1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$) are less precise.

Muons are measured in the range $|\eta| < 2.4$, with detection planes made using three technologies: drift tubes, cathode strip chambers, and resistive plate chambers. Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker results in a relative p_T resolution for muons with $20 < p_T < 100$ GeV of 1.3–2.0% in the barrel and better than 6% in the endcaps. The p_T resolution in the barrel is better than 10% for muons with p_T up to 1 TeV [17].

The HCAL, when combined with the ECAL, measures jets with energy resolution amounting typically to 15% at 10 GeV, 8% at 100 GeV, and 4% at 1 TeV. The forward calorimeter modules extend the coverage of hadronic jets to $|\eta| = 5.0$.

The missing transverse momentum vector $\vec{p}_T^{\,\text{miss}}$ is defined as the projection on the plane perpendicular to the beams of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in an event. Its magnitude is referred to as E_T^{miss}. The CMS detector is nearly hermetic, allowing for precise measurements of E_T^{miss}.

The first level of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select the events of interest in a fixed time interval of less than 4 μs. The high-level trigger processor farm further decreases the event rate from around 100 kHz to less than 1 kHz, before data storage.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [14].

3 Simulated samples

Signal and background samples are simulated using standard packages. The Monte Carlo (MC) event generator MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.1 [18] is used to simulate the EW W($\to$ eν, $\mu\nu$, $\tau\nu$)+2-jets events. Alternative EW W($\to \ell\nu$) samples for systematic studies, where $\ell =$ e, μ, as well as QCD induced W+jets, t$\bar{\text{t}}$, and Drell–Yan (DY) background events are simulated using MADGRAPH5 [19]. Single top quark production is modeled with POWHEG 1.0 [20–24]. Diboson samples (WW, WZ, ZZ) are generated with PYTHIA 6.4 [25]. All samples are generated using the CTEQ6L1 [26] parton distribution function (PDF) set, except for the POWHEG single top quark sample, for which the CTEQ6M [26] PDF set is used. The parton showering and matching, hadronization, and underlying event simulation for all samples are performed by PYTHIA 6, with the parameters of the underlying event set to the Z2* tune [27, 28]. The TAUOLA 2.7 generator [29] is used to simulate τ lepton decays. For systematic uncertainty studies the alternative signal sample is also interfaced with HERWIG++ [30], which has different parton shower and hadronization models than PYTHIA.

The QCD induced W+jets events, which is the main background, are generated with up to four partons using matrix element (ME) calculations. The ME–parton-shower matching scale is taken to be 10 GeV [31], and the factorization and renormalization scales are both dynamically set to $(M_W^2 + p_{T,W}^2 + \Sigma p_{T,j}^2)^{1/2}$, where $M_W = 80.4$ GeV is the W boson mass [32] and $\Sigma p_{T,j}^2$ is the sum over the generated jets. The signal events are generated with the same factorization and renormalization scales.

Alternative $t\bar{t}$ samples are generated with POWHEG 1.0 and MC@NLO 3.4 [33, 34]. The $t\bar{t}$ sample generated with POWHEG 1.0 is interfaced with PYTHIA 6. The PDF set used for this sample is CT10 [35]. The $t\bar{t}$ sample generated with MC@NLO 3.4 is interfaced with HERWIG [36, 37]. The PDF set used for this sample is CTEQ6M.

The cross sections for the signal samples are calculated at leading order (LO) using MAD-GRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.1. The cross sections for the QCD W+jets and DY samples are normalized to the next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) prediction calculated with FEWZ 3.1 [38]. A data-driven method is used to normalize the QCD W+jets sample as described in Section 5. The cross section for inclusive $t\bar{t}$ sample is normalized to the next-to-next-to-leading-logarithm prediction from the TOP++v2.0 generator [39]. The cross section for the single top quark production process is obtained from HATHOR v2.1 [40, 41], which is accurate up to next-to-leading-order (NLO). The cross sections for diboson samples are normalized to the NLO prediction calculated with MCFM 6.6 [42].

A GEANT4-based simulation [43] of the CMS detector is used in the production of all simulated samples. Additional proton-proton interactions within a bunch crossing (pileup) are added to the simulation to match those observed in data. During this data-taking period the mean number of interactions per bunch crossing was 21. Simulated events are corrected for efficiency differences relative to data using a tag-and-probe method [44].

4 Event reconstruction and selection

A common event reconstruction algorithm and selection criteria are applied to data and simulated events. The event signature is an isolated lepton (electron or muon), two jets, and E_T^{miss}. Candidate events are collected with single-lepton triggers, which require an isolated electron (muon) with a p_T threshold of 27 (24) GeV. The overall trigger efficiency is 90% (94%) for the electron (muon) data, with a small dependence on p_T and η.

The analysis relies on a particle-flow (PF) technique [45, 46] that reconstructs various particles in the event (charged and neutral hadrons, electrons, muons, and photons) by optimally combining information from various CMS subdetectors.

Electrons are reconstructed from the combination of the tracker and the corresponding ECAL cluster information, and must pass electron identification requirements according to a multivariate identification technique [16]. Muons are reconstructed by fitting trajectories based on hits in the silicon tracker and in the outer muon system. Lepton candidates are required to originate from the primary vertex of the event, which is chosen to be the vertex with the highest value of $\sum p_T^2$, where the sum is performed over all associated charged particle tracks.

Charged leptons from W boson decays are expected to be isolated from other activity in the event. Leptons are required to fulfill a requirement on their relative isolation, which is defined as the ratio of the p_T sum of all other PF candidates reconstructed in a cone $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.3$ (0.4) around the candidate electron (muon) to the p_T of the candidate, and is corrected for contributions from pileup. The $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ are the differences in pseu-

dorapidity and in azimuthal angle, respectively. For electrons, the isolation selection is tuned together with the multivariate identification requirement to give an signal efficiency of 80% independent of η. Electrons are required to have a relative isolation smaller than 0.11, 0.18, and 0.15 for $|\eta|$ ranges of 0.00–0.80, 0.80–1.48, and 1.48–2.50. Muons are required to have a relative isolation smaller than 0.12 over the entire $|\eta| < 2.1$ range used in the analysis. The efficiency of the selection requirements for muons originating from W boson decays is approximately 96%. To reduce the contribution from the DY production, the events are required to have no more than one isolated lepton in the final state.

Jets are reconstructed from PF candidates using the anti-k_T clustering algorithm [47, 48] with a distance parameter 0.5. Charged particles not originating from the primary vertex are not considered for jet clustering [49]. Jets from pileup are identified and removed with a pileup jet identification algorithm [50], based on both vertex information and jet shape information. A jet quality requirement, primarily based on the energy ratio between the charged and neutral hadrons within the jet cone [51], is also applied in order to remove jets originating from calorimeter noise. Jets overlapping within $\Delta R = 0.3$ with identified leptons are not considered.

Pileup collisions and the underlying event can contribute to the energy of the reconstructed jets. A correction based on the projected area of a jet on the front face of the calorimeter is used to subtract the extra energy deposited in the jet coming from pileup [49, 52]. Furthermore, jet energy corrections are applied to account for the nonlinear energy response of the calorimeters and for other instrumental effects. These corrections are based on in situ measurements using dijet, γ+jet, and Z+jet data samples [53].

Electrons (muons) are required to have p_T greater than 30 (25) GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.1). The electrons found in the transition region between the barrel and endcap ($1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$) are not considered. Events are required to have at least two jets with $p_T > 60$ GeV (leading) and $p_T > 50$ GeV (subleading), both with $|\eta| < 4.7$.

To measure the W boson momentum an accurate E_T^{miss} measurement is essential. We use E_T^{miss} measured in the event with the full PF reconstruction [54] and require $E_T^{\mathrm{miss}} > 30$ (25) GeV in the electron (muon) channel to distinguish the W boson signal from multijet backgrounds. The leptonically decaying W boson is reconstructed by combining the kinematic information from the selected lepton with $\vec{p}_T^{\,\mathrm{miss}}$. The unmeasurable p_z component of the neutrino can be estimated by assuming that the lepton and the E_T^{miss} arise from a W boson with the nominal mass of 80.4 GeV. A quadratic equation for the neutrino p_z component is obtained that can be solved up to a two-fold ambiguity. In the case of two real solutions, the neutrino p_z solution that is closer to the charged lepton p_z is selected. In the case of two imaginary solutions, the common real part of the solutions is selected.

To reduce the background from events that do not contain W $\rightarrow \ell\nu$ decays, we require that the transverse mass of the W boson candidate exceed 30 GeV. The transverse mass of the leptonically decaying W boson is defined as $\sqrt{2p_T^\ell E_T^{\mathrm{miss}}[1 - \cos(\Delta\phi_{\ell,\vec{p}_T^{\,\mathrm{miss}}})]}$, where $\Delta\phi_{\ell,\vec{p}_T^{\,\mathrm{miss}}}$ is the azimuthal angle between the lepton and the $\vec{p}_T^{\,\mathrm{miss}}$ directions. In order to further improve the signal over background ratio, two additional requirements are used: the Zeppenfeld variable [1], defined as $|y_W - (y_{j1} + y_{j2})/2|$, where y represents rapidity, must be less than 1.2; the invariant mass m_{jj} of the jet pair is required to be greater than 1000 GeV.

Table 1 provides a summary of the selection requirements.

Table 1: Summary of selection criteria.

$W \to \ell\nu$ Lepton requirements	Jet requirements
Single lepton trigger	$p_T^{j1} > 60\,\text{GeV}$, $p_T^{j2} > 50\,\text{GeV}$
High-quality lepton ID and isolation	$\lvert y_W - (y_{j1} + y_{j2})/2 \rvert < 1.2$
Electron (muon) $p_T > 30$ (25) GeV	$m_{jj} > 1000\,\text{GeV}$
$E_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ (25) GeV for electron (muon) channels	
W transverse mass $> 30\,\text{GeV}$	
Veto second lepton	

5 Background estimation and signal extraction

The EW $W(\to \tau\nu)$+2-jets events, with the τ leptons decaying to electrons or muons, have signatures similar to those for signal events and they represent 4% (5%) of the signal sample in the electron (muon) channel as estimated from simulation. When calculating the fiducial cross section we require that the W boson decays to e or μ only, so τ events are not included as part of the signal.

A boosted decision tree (BDT) technique is used to distinguish between signal and background events and an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the m_{jj} distribution is used to extract the number of signal events as described below.

The BDT technique is implemented in the Toolkit for multivariate data analysis (TMVA) [55]. The adaptive boost algorithm (AdaBoost) [56] is used in the BDT training, which gives larger weights for decision trees with lower misclassification errors. For good BDT performance, variables well-modeled by simulation are required. The following input variables are used: lepton η, $\Delta\eta$ between jets, $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\phi$ between the W boson and each jet, and the W boson p_T.

The BDT is trained with simulated samples to discriminate the EW $W(\to e\nu, \mu\nu, \tau\nu)$+2-jets signal from the QCD W+jets events, which is the main background; selected simulated events with $m_{jj} > 260\,\text{GeV}$ are used. The lower required m_{jj} value provides a larger sample of events that help avoid over-training the BDT. Studies give no indication of a bias as a function of the minimum m_{jj}. On average the EW events have higher BDT values than the background events. The QCD W+jet simulation overestimates the event yield in the data set, and therefore a data-to-MC scaling factor is extracted as follows. The other background contributions are fixed to their simulated yields while the normalization of the QCD W+jets simulated events is scaled so that the total number of events in simulation equals that in the data set for BDT values less than 0.1. The fit is performed for events with $m_{jj} > 1000\,\text{GeV}$. The normalization uncertainties of the other backgrounds are considered as a source of systematic uncertainty. Systematic uncertainties related to the remaining discrepancy between data and simulation and to the contamination from signal in the BDT <0.1 region, especially in the muon channel as shown in Fig. 2, are discussed in Section 6. The resulting W+jets normalization factors are $0.71 \pm 0.02\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03\,(\text{syst})$ and $0.70 \pm 0.02\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05\,(\text{syst})$ for the electron and muon channels, respectively. These normalization factors are applied in addition to the NNLO K factor of approximately 1.24, mentioned in Section 3. The scale factor relative to LO is thus around 0.87, which agrees with the result in [57] and has also been verified with MCFM. Finally, similar numbers are found in another CMS analysis involving the VBF topology [58]. The QCD W+jets normalization scale factors are used later in the fit to the m_{jj} distributions when extracting the number of signal events.

Figure 2 shows the BDT output distributions for the electron and muon channels, with the simulated QCD W+jets sample multiplied by the normalization scale factors described above

Figure 2: Comparison of the distributions of BDT discriminant output for data and simulation in the electron (left) and muon (right) channels with $m_{jj} > 1000\,\text{GeV}$. The simulated QCD W+jets sample is multiplied by the normalization scale factors and the simulated signal sample is multiplied by the signal strength.

and the simulated signal sample multiplied by the signal strength we obtain later in this section. The uncertainty band includes the statistical uncertainty of the simulated samples, the integrated luminosity uncertainty, and a systematic uncertainty in the QCD background due to misidentified electrons (to be discussed below). The distributions of the difference between data and simulation divided by the uncertainty, where the uncertainty is computed at each point by combining the uncertainties in data and in simulation, are also shown in these plots.

The distributions of the leading jet p_T and the $\Delta\eta$ between the two jets for data and simulation after the lepton and jet selection requirements are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively, with the QCD W+jets MC sample multiplied by the normalization scale factors described above.

Taking into account the QCD W+jet background normalization, the extraction of the signal yield is performed using an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the m_{jj} distribution in data. The signal strength μ_{signal}, which is defined as the ratio of the extracted signal yield and the expected yield predicted by the LO SM calculation, is the free parameter in the fit.

We employ a parametric function to model the m_{jj} distributions for the signal and each of the background contributions listed in Table 2. Only dijet masses greater than 1000 GeV are used in the fit, as indicated by the study in Ref. [1]. Based on the simulation we expect the m_{jj} distributions to be well-described by the two parameter power law function

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{m_{jj}^{\,a_0 + a_1 \ln{(m_{jj}/8000)}}}, \tag{1}$$

where a_0 and a_1 are obtained from the simulation and m_{jj} is in GeV. Separate fits are performed to the simulated distribution for the signal and each of the background contributions. This function provides a good description of the signal and background shapes.

The normalization of the EW W($\to e\nu$, $\mu\nu$, $\tau\nu$)+2-jets contribution is a free parameter in the fit to the m_{jj} distribution, while the shape parameters are fixed to the MC prediction. The effect

Figure 3: Comparison of the distributions of the leading jet p_T between data and simulation for the electron (left) and muon (right) channels with $m_{jj} > 1000\,\text{GeV}$.


Figure 4: Comparison of the distributions of $\Delta\eta$ between the two leading jets between data and simulation for the electron (left) and muon (right) channels with $m_{jj} > 1000\,\text{GeV}$.

of QCD NLO corrections for the EW $W(\to \ell\nu)$+2-jets process is tested by modifying the shape parameters in order to reproduce the prediction of VBFNLO 2.6 [59]; the resulting variation in the evaluated signal strength is below 1%.

The QCD W+jets background shape parameters are left free during the m_{jj} fit to data because of the poor agreement between data and simulation for this background. The normalization of the QCD W+jets background is fixed to the fit result from the BDT distribution, as described above.

Multijet events can be misidentified as signal because of the nonnegligible probability of jets to be misidentified as electrons. The fraction of fake electrons in the single electron data passing the selection described in Section 4 is obtained from an independent two-component fit to the

Figure 5: Distribution of the two-jet invariant mass m_{jj} for electron (upper) and muon (lower) channels. Fitted projections of signal and background processes are plotted as shaded regions (left plots). The m_{jj} distributions are shown after subtraction of all components except the EW W+2-jets process (center plots). Finally, the $(\text{data} - \text{fit})/\text{uncertainty}$ distributions are shown (right plots). Here the error bars represent the statistical uncertainties of the data.

E_T^{miss} distribution following Ref. [44]. The normalization and shape parameters are fixed in the fit. We conservatively assume a 50% uncertainty in the QCD multijet yield when fitting the data.

The top quark background is a combination of $t\bar{t}$ and single top quark processes with the simulated samples normalized according to the known cross sections. The shape parameters are obtained from the simulation and fixed during the fit. The top quark background normalization is assumed to have a Gaussian probability density function with a width of 7% [39].

Other background processes, such as diboson production and DY, are minor and are represented by their components with the corresponding normalization fixed in the fit. The shape parameters are obtained from simulation and are also fixed.

Figure 5 (left) shows the observed m_{jj} distributions for the electron and muon channels, together with the fitted projections of the contribution of the signal and background processes described by the two-parameter power law function. The shapes of the different fit components look similar, nonetheless, their slopes are different. Figure 5 (center) shows the m_{jj} distribution after subtracting all SM background contributions. Figure 5 (right) presents the distributions of the difference between the m_{jj} values in data and from the fit, divided by the data uncertainty. The yields of the various SM components, as determined by the fit, are reported in Table 2. The resulting signal strengths μ_{signal} are $0.83 \pm 0.08\,(\text{stat})$ and $0.87 \pm 0.08\,(\text{stat})$ for electron and muon channels, respectively. While a simple counting of events in Table 2 can determine the number of EW W+2-jets events, the fitting procedure includes the distribution of Fig. 5 and allows us to use the shape parameters when calculating event yields.

Table 2: The expected event yields and the ratio of the measured and expected yields extracted from the maximum-likelihood fit to data.

Process	Electrons		Muons	
Data	5481		6514	
	Pre-fit	Measured ratio	Pre-fit	Measured ratio
W+jets	3913	0.71 (fixed)	5084	0.70 (fixed)
Top quark	933	1.00 ± 0.07	1357	1.00 ± 0.07
QCD multijet	510	fixed to $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ fit in data	—	—
DY+jets	236	1.00 (fixed)	256	1.00 (fixed)
Diboson	26	1.00 (fixed)	29	1.00 (fixed)
Total backgrounds	4488		5179	
Data − backgrounds	993		1335	
EW W+2-jets	1195	0.83 ± 0.08	1541	0.87 ± 0.08

This approach produces an acceptable model of the data (Fig. 5 left) and allows us to extract the EW W+2-jets signal (Fig. 5 center). In Fig. 5 right the mean value of the pull distribution is -0.04 (0.03), consistent with zero in the electron (muon) channel, and the pull variance is 0.90 (0.87), consistent with unity in the electron (muon) channel.

6 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties arising from the jet energy scale and resolution are estimated by varying the calibration parameters up and down by one standard deviation in the simulation and evaluating the impact on the cross sections [53].

A different parametric function is used to model the QCD W+jets shape to estimate the potential influence of the choice of parametric function in the fit result, and it is considered as a source of systematic uncertainty. To estimate the systematic uncertainty from the disagreement between data and simulation in the BDT output distributions, we divide the sideband in BDT (BDT < 0.1) used to estimate the scale factor for the QCD W+jets normalization into two roughly equally populated regions (BDT < 0.02, 0.02 < BDT < 0.1). We recompute the scale factor using these two subsamples and propagate the variation in the normalization to the final result. The uncertainty with respect to the QCD W+jets normalization is 4.9% (7.1%) in the electron (muon) channel. The uncertainty propagated to the cross section is 12.9% (16.6%) in the electron (muon) channel. The uncertainty on the normalization is then added in quadrature with the shape uncertainty as shown in Table 3.

Background $t\bar{t}$ samples produced with different generators and parton shower models (MC@NLO + HERWIG and POWHEG + PYTHIA) are used as alternative to the default $t\bar{t}$ MADGRAPH shapes. We also select a $t\bar{t}$ enriched phase space to compare the $t\bar{t}$ sample produced with MADGRAPH with data. Reasonable agreement is found between the MADGRAPH $t\bar{t}$ sample and data.

The EW W($\to \ell\nu$)+2-jets and QCD W($\to \ell\nu$)+2-jets processes have a positive interference term, which is neglected. In order to estimate the effect of the interference, two additional samples are generated using MADGRAPH:

- QCD W($\to \ell\nu$)+2-jets sample (strong process only),

- EW W($\to \ell\nu$)+2-jets + QCD W($\to \ell\nu$)+2-jets + interference effect sample (mixture of EW and strong processes).

We subtract the QCD and EW processes from the mixture sample to estimate the effect of the interference. The contribution of the interference effect is considered as an additional background with a fixed shape and normalization. The true EW signal strength is $\approx$12% smaller than the apparent signal strength because the interference is positive.

The fraction of jets faking electrons is varied by $\pm$50% in the electron channel to estimate the uncertainty. A small difference in $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ resolution [54] between data and simulation affects the signal acceptance at the 0.5% level. We also consider systematic uncertainties in the trigger efficiency (1%) and in the lepton reconstruction and selection efficiencies (2%) [44]. The uncertainty in the luminosity measurement is 2.6% [60]. Finally, we calculated the acceptance for the fiducial region with HERWIG++ signal samples, resulting in a 1.7% change in the measured cross section.

The systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Sources of systematic uncertainties and the magnitude of their effect on the fiducial cross section.

Source of uncertainty	Electrons	Muons
Integrated luminosity	2.6%	
Jet energy scale	5.4%	7.3%
Jet energy resolution	2.2%	3.7%
QCD W+jets shape and normalization	13.0%	16.7%
Top quark background shape and normalization	5.5%	6.0%
Interference effect	14.4%	13.8%
Jets faking electrons fraction (electron channel)	4.4%	—
Lepton trigger efficiency	0.9%	1.0%
Lepton selection efficiency	1.8%	2.0%
Pileup	<1%	<1%
Fiducial acceptance	1.7%	1.7%
Total (without integrated luminosity)	21.6%	24.1%

7   Results

The fiducial EW W+2-jets cross section is calculated for W bosons decaying to electrons or muons and for $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{j}1} > 60\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{j}2} > 50\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $|\eta^{\mathrm{j}}| < 4.7$, and $m_{\mathrm{jj}} > 1000\,\mathrm{GeV}$. Here we include neutrinos in our definition of generator jets, which are reconstructed in the same manner as the PF jets mentioned above, but now at generator level.

The fiducial cross section is computed as

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{fiducial}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{generator}} \mu_{\mathrm{signal}} \epsilon_{\mathrm{acceptance}}, \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{generator}}$ is the generator level cross section, μ_{signal} is the signal strength, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{acceptance}}$ is the acceptance evaluated with the EW W($\to \mathrm{e}\nu, \mu\nu, \tau\nu$)+2-jets sample.

To calculate $\epsilon_{\mathrm{acceptance}}$, we divide the number of events in the fiducial region by the total number of generated events which results in an value of 0.0448. The uncertainty due to the limited size of the simulated sample is 0.7%. The fiducial selections are different from the selections

used for the measurement (as summarized in Table 1), for which the acceptance is 0.0128. The uncertainty due to the limited size of the simulated sample is 1.3%.

We use the best linear unbiased estimate method [61, 62] to combine the results in the electron and muon channels, assuming that the statistical uncertainties are uncorrelated and the systematic uncertainties are 100% correlated between the two channels. Because in this case the (fully correlated) systematic uncertainties are much larger than the statistical uncertainties, the method yields a statistical uncertainty for the combined result that is not much smaller than the statistical uncertainties for the individual channels. The results are summarized in Table 4, and are in agreement with the SM LO prediction of 0.50 ± 0.02 (scale) ± 0.02 (PDF) pb obtained from MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.1 interfaced to PYTHIA 6.4. The statistical significance of the observation is approximately four standard deviations.

Table 4: The measured values for the EW $W(\to \ell\nu)$+2-jets fiducial cross section.

Channel	Measured cross section
Electron	0.41 ± 0.04 (stat) ± 0.09 (syst) ± 0.01 (lumi) pb
Muon	0.43 ± 0.04 (stat) ± 0.10 (syst) ± 0.01 (lumi) pb
Combined	0.42 ± 0.04 (stat) ± 0.09 (syst) ± 0.01 (lumi) pb

8 Summary

A measurement has been performed of the cross section for the electroweak production of W bosons produced in association with two forward jets in proton-proton collisions. The W bosons were identified through their decay to electrons and muons. The data set was collected by the CMS experiment and corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 19.2 (19.3) ± 0.5 fb^{-1} in the electron (muon) channel at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. The measured value of the fiducial electroweak W+2-jets cross section, for W bosons decaying to electrons or muons and for $p_T^{j1} > 60$ GeV, $p_T^{j2} > 50$ GeV, $|\eta^j| < 4.7$, and $m_{jj} > 1000$ GeV, is 0.42 ± 0.04 (stat) ± 0.09 (syst) ± 0.01 (lumi) pb, consistent with the SM LO prediction of 0.50 ± 0.02 (scale) ± 0.02 (PDF) pb obtained via MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.1 interfaced with PYTHIA 6.4. This is the first measurement of the cross section for electroweak W+2-jets production.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] D. L. Rainwater, R. Szalapski, and D. Zeppenfeld, "Probing color singlet exchange in Z + 2 jet events at the CERN LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 6680, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.54.6680`, `arXiv:hep-ph/9605444`.

[2] V. A. Khoze, M. G. Ryskin, W. J. Stirling, and P. H. Williams, "A Z monitor to calibrate Higgs production via vector boson fusion with rapidity gaps at the LHC", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **26** (2003) 429, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s2002-01069-2`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0207365`.

[3] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the hadronic activity in events with a Z and two jets and extraction of the cross section for the electroweak production of a Z with two jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\,\text{TeV}$", *JHEP* **13** (2013) 062, `doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2013)062`, `arXiv:1305.7389`.

[4] U. Baur and D. Zeppenfeld, "Measuring three vector boson couplings in qq $\to$ qqW at the SSC", (1993). `arXiv:hep-ph/9309227`.

[5] O. J. P. Eboli and M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, "Probing trilinear gauge boson interactions via single electroweak gauge boson production at the CERN LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 074011, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074011`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0405269`.

[6] D. L. Rainwater, D. Zeppenfeld, and K. Hagiwara, "Searching for H $\to \tau^+\tau^-$ in weak boson fusion at the CERN LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1998) 014037, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014037`, `arXiv:hep-ph/9808468`.

[7] T. Plehn, D. L. Rainwater, and D. Zeppenfeld, "A Method for identifying H $\to \tau^+\tau^- \to$ e$^\pm\mu^\mp \not{p}_\text{T}$ at the CERN LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 093005, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.61.093005`, `arXiv:hep-ph/9911385`.

[8] D. L. Rainwater and D. Zeppenfeld, "Observing H $\to$ W*W$^* \to$ e$^\pm\mu^\mp \not{p}_\text{T}$ in weak boson fusion with dual forward jet tagging at the CERN LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 113004, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.60.113004`, `arXiv:hep-ph/9906218`. Erratum: `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.61.099901`.

[9] N. Kauer, T. Plehn, D. L. Rainwater, and D. Zeppenfeld, "H $\to$ W$^+$W$^-$ as the discovery mode for a light Higgs boson", *Phys. Lett. B* **503** (2001) 113, `doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00211-8`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0012351`.

[10] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of electroweak production of two jets in association with a Z boson in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 66, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3232-5`, `arXiv:1410.3153`.

[11] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of the electroweak production of dijets in association with a Z boson and distributions sensitive to vector boson fusion in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$ using the ATLAS detector", *JHEP* **04** (2014) 031, `doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2014)031`, `arXiv:1401.7610`.

[12] ATLAS Collaboration, "Evidence for electroweak production of W$^\pm$W$^\pm$jj in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 141803, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.141803`, `arXiv:1405.6241`.

[13] CMS Collaboration, "Study of vector boson scattering and search for new physics in events with two same-sign leptons and two jets", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 051801, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.051801`, `arXiv:1410.6315`.

[14] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC", *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004`.

[15] CMS Collaboration, "Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker", *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009`, `arXiv:1405.6569`.

[16] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$", *JINST* **10** (2015) P06005, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005`, `arXiv:1502.02701`.

[17] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7\,\text{TeV}$", *JINST* **7** (2012) P10002, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002`, `arXiv:1206.4071`.

[18] J. Alwall et al., "The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations", *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, `doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079`, `arXiv:1405.0301`.

[19] J. Alwall et al., "MadGraph 5: going beyond", *JHEP* **06** (2011) 128, `doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128`, `arXiv:1106.0522`.

[20] P. Nason, "A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms", *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0409146`.

[21] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, "Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method", *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070`, `arXiv:0709.2092`.

[22] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, "A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX", *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043, `doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043`, `arXiv:1002.2581`.

[23] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, "NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: *s*- and *t*-channel contributions", *JHEP* **09** (2009) 111, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111`, `arXiv:0907.4076`. [Erratum: `doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011`].

[24] E. Re, "Single-top *Wt*-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1547, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z`, `arXiv:1009.2450`.

[25] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, "PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual", *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0603175`.

[26] J. Pumplin et al., "New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis", *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0201195`.

[27] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the underlying event activity at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 7\,\text{TeV}$ and comparison with $\sqrt{s} = 0.9\,\text{TeV}$", *JHEP* **11** (09) 109, `doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2011)109`, `arXiv:1107.0330`.

[28] CMS Collaboration, "Study of the underlying event at forward rapidity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 0.9, 2.76, and 7 TeV", *JHEP* **04** (2013) 072, `doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2013)072`, `arXiv:1302.2394`.

[29] S. Jadach, J. H. Kuhn, and Z. Wąs, "TAUOLA — a library of Monte Carlo programs to simulate decays of polarized tau leptons", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **64** (1991) 275, `doi:10.1016/0010-4655(91)90038-M`.

[30] M. Bähr et al., "Herwig++ physics and manual", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008) 639, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0798-9`, `arXiv:0803.0883`.

[31] S. Hoeche et al., "Matching parton showers and matrix elements", in *HERA and the LHC: A Workshop on the implications of HERA for LHC physics: Proceedings Part A*. 2006. `arXiv:hep-ph/0602031`.

[32] Particle Data Group, K. A. Olive et al., "Review of Particle Physics", *Chin. Phys. C* **38** (2014) 090001, `doi:10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001`.

[33] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, "Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations", *JHEP* **06** (2002) 029, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/06/029`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0204244`.

[34] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and B. R. Webber, "Matching NLO QCD and parton showers in heavy flavor production", *JHEP* **08** (2003) 007, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/08/007`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0305252`.

[35] H.-L. Lai et al., "New parton distributions for collider physics", *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 074024, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024`, `arXiv:1007.2241`.

[36] G. Corcella et al., "HERWIG 6: an event generator for hadron emission reactions with interfering gluons (including supersymmetric processes)", *JHEP* **01** (2001) 010, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/01/010`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0011363`.

[37] G. Corcella et al., "HERWIG 6.5 release note", (2002). `arXiv:hep-ph/0210213`.

[38] K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, "Electroweak gauge boson production at hadron colliders through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2)$", *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 114017, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.114017`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0609070`.

[39] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, "Top++: a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 2930, `doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.06.021`, `arXiv:1112.5675`.

[40] M. Aliev et al., "HATHOR: HAdronic Top and Heavy quarks crOss section calculatoR", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182** (2011) 1034, `doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2010.12.040`, `arXiv:1007.1327`.

[41] P. Kant et al., "HATHOR for single top-quark production: updated predictions and uncertainty estimates for single top-quark production in hadronic collisions", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 74, `doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.02.001`, `arXiv:1406.4403`.

[42] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, "MCFM for the Tevatron and the LHC", *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **205–206** (2010) 10, `doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2010.08.011`, `arXiv:1007.3492`.

[43] GEANT4 Collaboration, "GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, `doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8`.

[44] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV", *JHEP* **10** (2011) 132, `doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132`, `arXiv:1107.4789`.

[45] CMS Collaboration, "Particle–flow event reconstruction in CMS and performance for jets, taus, and E_T^{miss}", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009.

[46] CMS Collaboration, "Commissioning of the particle–flow event reconstruction with the first LHC collisions recorded in the CMS detector", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, 2010.

[47] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "The anti-k_t jet clustering algorithm", *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063`, `arXiv:0802.1189`.

[48] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "FastJet user manual", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2`, `arXiv:1111.6097`.

[49] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "The catchment area of jets", *JHEP* **04** (2008) 005, `doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/005`, `arXiv:0802.1188`.

[50] CMS Collaboration, "Pileup jet identification", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-13-005, 2013.

[51] CMS Collaboration, "Measurements of differential jet cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the CMS detector", *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 112002, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.112002`, `arXiv:1212.6660`.

[52] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, "Pileup subtraction using jet areas", *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 119, `doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077`, `arXiv:0707.1378`.

[53] CMS Collaboration, "Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS", *JINST* **6** (2011) P11002, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002`, `arXiv:1107.4277`.

[54] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of the CMS missing transverse momentum reconstruction in pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV", *JINST* **10** (2015) P02006, `doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/02/P02006`, `arXiv:1411.0511`.

[55] H. Voss, A. Höcker, J. Stelzer, and F. Tegenfeldt, "TMVA, the toolkit for multivariate data analysis with ROOT", (2007). `arXiv:physics/0703039`.

[56] Y. Freund and R. E. Schapire, "A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting", *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* **55** (1997) 119, `doi:10.1006/jcss.1997.1504`.

[57] J. M. Campbell, R. K. Ellis, and D. L. Rainwater, "Next-to-leading order QCD predictions for W+2-jet and Z+2-jet production at the CERN LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 094021, `doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.094021`, `arXiv:hep-ph/0308195`.

[58] CMS Collaboration, "Search for invisible decays of Higgs bosons in the vector boson fusion and associated ZH production modes", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2980, `doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2980-6`, `arXiv:1404.1344`.

[59] A. Ken et al., "VBFNLO: a parton level Monte Carlo for processes with electroweak bosons", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1661, `doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.03.006,arXiv:0811.4559`.

[60] CMS Collaboration, "CMS luminosity based on pixel cluster counting — Summer 2013 update", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-13-001, 2013.

[61] L. Lyons, D. Gibaut, and P. Clifford, "How to combine correlated estimates of a single physical quantity", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **270** (1988) 110, `doi:10.1016/0168-9002(88)90018-6`.

[62] A. Valassi, "Combining correlated measurements of several different physical quantities", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **500** (2003) 391, `doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)00329-2`.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria
W. Adam, E. Asilar, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, E. Brondolin, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Flechl, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth[1], V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler[1], V. Knünz, A. König, M. Krammer[1], I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Matsushita, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady[2], N. Rad, B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, J. Schieck[1], R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz[1]

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus
V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium
S. Alderweireldt, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, J. Lauwers, S. Luyckx, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
S. Abu Zeid, F. Blekman, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, I. De Bruyn, K. Deroover, N. Heracleous, J. Keaveney, S. Lowette, L. Moreels, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Van Parijs

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
P. Barria, H. Brun, C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, W. Fang, G. Fasanella, L. Favart, R. Goldouzian, A. Grebenyuk, G. Karapostoli, T. Lenzi, A. Léonard, T. Maerschalk, A. Marinov, L. Perniè, A. Randle-conde, T. Seva, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, R. Yonamine, F. Zenoni, F. Zhang[3]

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Crucy, D. Dobur, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, M. Gul, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Poyraz, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva, M. Sigamani, M. Tytgat, W. Van Driessche, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi[4], O. Bondu, S. Brochet, G. Bruno, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, C. Delaere, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco[5], A. Jafari, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaitre, A. Mertens, M. Musich, C. Nuttens, L. Perrini, K. Piotrzkowski, A. Popov[6], L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium
N. Beliy, G.H. Hammad

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
W.L. Aldá Júnior, F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, M. Correa Martins Junior, M. Hamer, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato[7], A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote[7], A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista [a], Universidade Federal do ABC [b], São Paulo, Brazil
S. Ahuja[a], C.A. Bernardes[b], A. De Souza Santos[b], S. Dogra[a], T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei[a], E.M. Gregores[b], P.G. Mercadante[b], C.S. Moon[a,8], S.F. Novaes[a], Sandra S. Padula[a], D. Romero Abad[b], J.C. Ruiz Vargas

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China
M. Ahmad, J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, T. Cheng, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, R. Plestina[9], F. Romeo, S.M. Shaheen, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, C. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Zhang

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, J. Li, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia
C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia
N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia
Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, S. Micanovic, L. Sudic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
M. Bodlak, M. Finger[10], M. Finger Jr.[10]

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt
A.A. Abdelalim[11,12], A. Awad, A. Mahrous[11], A. Radi[13,14]

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
B. Calpas, M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, A. Tiko, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
P. Eerola, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland
J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Peltola, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland
J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri,

S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, M. Machet, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov, A. Zghiche

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
A. Abdulsalam, I. Antropov, S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, L. Cadamuro, E. Chapon, C. Charlot, O. Davignon, N. Filipovic, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Jo, S. Lisniak, L. Mastrolorenzo, P. Miné, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona, P. Paganini, P. Pigard, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, T. Strebler, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
J.-L. Agram[15], J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, M. Buttignol, E.C. Chabert, N. Chanon, C. Collard, E. Conte[15], X. Coubez, J.-C. Fontaine[15], D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, A.-C. Le Bihan, J.A. Merlin[2], K. Skovpen, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France
S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, E. Bouvier, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, B. Courbon, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, A.L. Pequegnot, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, D. Sabes, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
T. Toriashvili[16]

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
Z. Tsamalaidze[10]

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany
C. Autermann, S. Beranek, L. Feld, A. Heister, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, A. Ostapchuk, M. Preuten, F. Raupach, S. Schael, J.F. Schulte, T. Verlage, H. Weber, V. Zhukov[6]

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
M. Ata, M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Endres, M. Erdmann, S. Erdweg, T. Esch, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, S. Mukherjee, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, T. Pook, M. Radziej, H. Reithler, M. Rieger, F. Scheuch, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany
V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann, A. Nehrkorn, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
M. Aldaya Martin, I. Asin, N. Bartosik, O. Behnke, U. Behrens, K. Borras[17], A. Burgmeier, A. Campbell, C. Contreras-Campana, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, G. Dolinska, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, E. Gallo[18], J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Gizhko, P. Gunnellini, J. Hauk, M. Hempel[19], H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, O. Karacheban[19], M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann[19], R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,

A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, A. Nayak, E. Ntomari, H. Perrey, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, B. Roland, M.Ö. Sahin, P. Saxena, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, N. Stefaniuk, K.D. Trippkewitz, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, D. Gonzalez, M. Görner, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, R.S. Höing, A. Junkes, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, D. Marconi, M. Meyer, D. Nowatschin, J. Ott, F. Pantaleo[2], T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, N. Pietsch, J. Poehlsen, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, C. Scharf, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, H. Tholen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen, A. Vanhoefer, B. Vormwald

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany
C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, F. Colombo, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, S. Fink, F. Frensch, R. Friese, M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, D. Haitz, F. Hartmann[2], S.M. Heindl, U. Husemann, I. Katkov[6], A. Kornmayer[2], P. Lobelle Pardo, B. Maier, H. Mildner, M.U. Mozer, T. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Plagge, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, F. Roscher, M. Schröder, G. Sieber, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, M. Weber, T. Weiler, S. Williamson, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece
G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-Giotis

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Tziaferi

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece
I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Loukas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas, J. Strologas

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, A. Hazi, P. Hidas, D. Horvath[20], F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[21], A.J. Zsigmond

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi[22], J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi[2]

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
M. Bartók[23], A. Makovec, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India
S. Choudhury[24], P. Mal, K. Mandal, D.K. Sahoo, N. Sahoo, S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Chawla, R. Gupta, U.Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, R. Kumar, A. Mehta, M. Mittal, J.B. Singh, G. Walia

University of Delhi, Delhi, India
Ashok Kumar, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, N. Nishu, K. Ranjan, R. Sharma, V. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India
S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dey, S. Dutta, N. Majumdar, A. Modak, K. Mondal, S. Mukhopadhyay, A. Roy, D. Roy, S. Roy Chowdhury, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India
R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty[2], L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
T. Aziz, S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik[25], R.M. Chatterjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Dugad, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait, A. Gurtu[26], Sa. Jain, G. Kole, S. Kumar, B. Mahakud, M. Maity[25], G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, S. Mitra, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, T. Sarkar[25], N. Sur, B. Sutar, N. Wickramage[27]

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India
S. Chauhan, S. Dube, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami[28], A. Fahim[29], M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh[30], M. Zeinali

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ^{a}, Università di Bari ^{b}, Politecnico di Bari ^{c}, Bari, Italy
M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, C. Caputo^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b}, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^{a,2}, R. Venditti^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^{a}, Università di Bologna ^{b}, Bologna, Italy
G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana[2], D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b,2}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^{a}, Università di Catania ^{b}, Catania, Italy
G. Cappello^b, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, F. Giordano^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^{a}, Università di Firenze ^{b}, Firenze, Italy
G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^{a,b,2}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo, F. Primavera[2]

INFN Sezione di Genova ^{a}, Università di Genova ^{b}, Genova, Italy
V. Calvelli^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, M.R. Monge^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^{a}, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^{b}, Milano, Italy
L. Brianza, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^a, R. Gerosa^{a,b}, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b,2}, B. Marzocchi^{a,b}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli [a] **, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'** [b] **, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata** [c] **, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi** [d] **, Roma, Italy**
S. Buontempo[a], N. Cavallo[a,c], S. Di Guida[a,d,2], M. Esposito[a,b], F. Fabozzi[a,c], A.O.M. Iorio[a,b], G. Lanza[a], L. Lista[a], S. Meola[a,d,2], M. Merola[a], P. Paolucci[a,2], C. Sciacca[a,b], F. Thyssen

INFN Sezione di Padova [a] **, Università di Padova** [b] **, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento** [c] **, Trento, Italy**
P. Azzi[a,2], N. Bacchetta[a], M. Bellato[a], L. Benato[a,b], D. Bisello[a,b], A. Boletti[a,b], R. Carlin[a,b], P. Checchia[a], M. Dall'Osso[a,b,2], T. Dorigo[a], U. Dosselli[a], F. Gasparini[a,b], U. Gasparini[a,b], A. Gozzelino[a], S. Lacaprara[a], M. Margoni[a,b], A.T. Meneguzzo[a,b], J. Pazzini[a,b,2], N. Pozzobon[a,b], P. Ronchese[a,b], F. Simonetto[a,b], E. Torassa[a], M. Tosi[a,b], S. Vanini[a,b], S. Ventura[a], M. Zanetti, P. Zotto[a,b], A. Zucchetta[a,b,2], G. Zumerle[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Pavia [a] **, Università di Pavia** [b] **, Pavia, Italy**
A. Braghieri[a], A. Magnani[a,b], P. Montagna[a,b], S.P. Ratti[a,b], V. Re[a], C. Riccardi[a,b], P. Salvini[a], I. Vai[a,b], P. Vitulo[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Perugia [a] **, Università di Perugia** [b] **, Perugia, Italy**
L. Alunni Solestizi[a,b], G.M. Bilei[a], D. Ciangottini[a,b,2], L. Fanò[a,b], P. Lariccia[a,b], G. Mantovani[a,b], M. Menichelli[a], A. Saha[a], A. Santocchia[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Pisa [a] **, Università di Pisa** [b] **, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa** [c] **, Pisa, Italy**
K. Androsov[a,31], P. Azzurri[a,2], G. Bagliesi[a], J. Bernardini[a], T. Boccali[a], R. Castaldi[a], M.A. Ciocci[a,31], R. Dell'Orso[a], S. Donato[a,c,2], G. Fedi, L. Foà[a,c†], A. Giassi[a], M.T. Grippo[a,31], F. Ligabue[a,c], T. Lomtadze[a], L. Martini[a,b], A. Messineo[a,b], F. Palla[a], A. Rizzi[a,b], A. Savoy-Navarro[a,32], A.T. Serban[a], P. Spagnolo[a], R. Tenchini[a], G. Tonelli[a,b], A. Venturi[a], P.G. Verdini[a]

INFN Sezione di Roma [a] **, Università di Roma** [b] **, Roma, Italy**
L. Barone[a,b], F. Cavallari[a], G. D'imperio[a,b,2], D. Del Re[a,b,2], M. Diemoz[a], S. Gelli[a,b], C. Jorda[a], E. Longo[a,b], F. Margaroli[a,b], P. Meridiani[a], G. Organtini[a,b], R. Paramatti[a], F. Preiato[a,b], S. Rahatlou[a,b], C. Rovelli[a], F. Santanastasio[a,b], P. Traczyk[a,b,2]

INFN Sezione di Torino [a] **, Università di Torino** [b] **, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale** [c] **, Novara, Italy**
N. Amapane[a,b], R. Arcidiacono[a,c,2], S. Argiro[a,b], M. Arneodo[a,c], R. Bellan[a,b], C. Biino[a], N. Cartiglia[a], M. Costa[a,b], R. Covarelli[a,b], A. Degano[a,b], N. Demaria[a], L. Finco[a,b,2], B. Kiani[a,b], C. Mariotti[a], S. Maselli[a], E. Migliore[a,b], V. Monaco[a,b], E. Monteil[a,b], M.M. Obertino[a,b], L. Pacher[a,b], N. Pastrone[a], M. Pelliccioni[a], G.L. Pinna Angioni[a,b], F. Ravera[a,b], A. Romero[a,b], M. Ruspa[a,c], R. Sacchi[a,b], A. Solano[a,b], A. Staiano[a]

INFN Sezione di Trieste [a] **, Università di Trieste** [b] **, Trieste, Italy**
S. Belforte[a], V. Candelise[a,b], M. Casarsa[a], F. Cossutti[a], G. Della Ricca[a,b], B. Gobbo[a], C. La Licata[a,b], M. Marone[a,b], A. Schizzi[a,b], A. Zanetti[a]

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea
A. Kropivnitskaya, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, A. Sakharov, D.C. Son

Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea
J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, H. Kim, T.J. Kim[33]

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea
S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea
S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, B. Hong, H. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, S. Lee, J. Lim, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
H.D. Yoo

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea
M. Choi, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea
Y. Choi, J. Goh, D. Kim, E. Kwon, J. Lee, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
I. Ahmed, Z.A. Ibrahim, J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali[34], F. Mohamad Idris[35], W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico
E. Casimiro Linares, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz[36], A. Hernandez-Almada, R. Lopez-Fernandez, J. Mejia Guisao, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico
S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico
A. Morelos Pineda

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand
P.H. Butler

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk[37], K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal
P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho,

M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, L. Lloret Iglesias, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, O. Toldaiev, D. Vadruccio, J. Varela, P. Vischia

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
I. Golutvin, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Korenkov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev[38,39], V.V. Mitsyn, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, E. Tikhonenko, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia
V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim[40], E. Kuznetsova, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
M. Chadeeva, R. Chistov, M. Danilov, V. Rusinov, E. Tarkovskii

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
V. Andreev, M. Azarkin[39], I. Dremin[39], M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov[39], G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin[41], L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, I. Miagkov, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
P. Adzic[42], P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
J. Alcaraz Maestre, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain
J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, E. Palencia Cortezon, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J.R. Castiñeiras De Saa, P. De Castro Manzano, M. Fernandez, J. Garcia-Ferrero, G. Gomez, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A. Benaglia, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, G.M. Berruti, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, R. Castello, G. Cerminara, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, V. Daponte, A. David, M. De Gruttola, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, E. Di Marco[43], M. Dobson, M. Dordevic, B. Dorney, T. du Pree, D. Duggan, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, F. Glege, R. Guida, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, H. Kirschenmann, M.J. Kortelainen, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, M.T. Lucchini, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Martelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, M.V. Nemallapudi, H. Neugebauer, S. Orfanelli[44], L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, D. Piparo, A. Racz, T. Reis, G. Rolandi[45], M. Rovere, M. Ruan, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Seidel, A. Sharma, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas[46], J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, D. Treille, A. Triossi, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres[21], N. Wardle, H.K. Wöhri, A. Zagozdzinska[37], W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, B. Casal, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, P. Eller, C. Grab, C. Heidegger, D. Hits, J. Hoss, G. Kasieczka, P. Lecomte[†], W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, M. Marionneau, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, M. Masciovecchio, M.T. Meinhard, D. Meister, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, J. Pata, F. Pauss, L. Perrozzi, M. Quittnat, M. Rossini, M. Schönenberger, A. Starodumov[47], M. Takahashi, V.R. Tavolaro, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
T.K. Aarrestad, C. Amsler[48], L. Caminada, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, C. Galloni, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, J. Ngadiuba, D. Pinna, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, D. Salerno, Y. Yang

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan
M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, T.H. Doan, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, M. Konyushikhin, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, A. Pozdnyakov, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan
Arun Kumar, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, F. Fiori, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, M. Miñano Moya, E. Petrakou, J.f. Tsai, Y.M. Tzeng

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand
B. Asavapibhop, K. Kovitanggoon, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey
A. Adiguzel, S. Cerci[49], S. Damarseckin, Z.S. Demiroglu, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, S. Girgis,
G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal[50], A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut[51],
K. Ozdemir[52], S. Ozturk[53], B. Tali[49], H. Topakli[53], C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey
B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, B. Isildak[54], G. Karapinar[55], M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey
E. Gülmez, M. Kaya[56], O. Kaya[57], E.A. Yetkin[58], T. Yetkin[59]

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, S. Sen[60], F.I. Vardarlı

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine
B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine
L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
R. Aggleton, F. Ball, L. Beck, J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein,
M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold[61],
S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-storey, S. Senkin, D. Smith, V.J. Smith

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev[62], C. Brew, R.M. Brown, L. Calligaris, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill,
J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,
A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, S.D. Worm

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, D. Burton, S. Casasso, M. Citron,
D. Colling, L. Corpe, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, A. De Wit, M. Della Negra, P. Dunne, A. Elwood,
D. Futyan, G. Hall, G. Iles, R. Lane, R. Lucas[61], L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, J. Nash,
A. Nikitenko[47], J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, C. Seez, A. Tapper,
K. Uchida, M. Vazquez Acosta[63], T. Virdee, S.C. Zenz

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom
J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leslie, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu,
M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA
A. Borzou, K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, N. Pastika

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA
O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, USA
D. Arcaro, A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, D. Gastler, D. Rankin, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, USA
J. Alimena, G. Benelli, E. Berry, D. Cutts, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, O. Jesus, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Piperov, S. Sagir, R. Syarif

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA
R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, G. Funk, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, C. Mclean, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Los Angeles, USA
R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, D. Saltzberg, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA
K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, M. Ivova PANEVA, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long, M. Malberti, M. Olmedo Negrete, A. Shrinivas, H. Wei, S. Wimpenny, B. R. Yates

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA
J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, M. Derdzinski, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Klein, J. Letts, I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, M. Tadel, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech[64], C. Welke, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA
J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, K. Flowers, M. Franco Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Gran, J. Incandela, N. Mccoll, S.D. Mullin, J. Richman, D. Stuart, I. Suarez, C. West, J. Yoo

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
D. Anderson, A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, J. Duarte, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA
M.B. Andrews, V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, B. Carlson, T. Ferguson, M. Paulini, J. Russ, M. Sun, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA
J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, F. Jensen, A. Johnson, M. Krohn, T. Mulholland, U. Nauenberg, K. Stenson, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA
J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, N. Eggert, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Rinkevicius, A. Ryd, L. Skinnari, L. Soffi, W. Sun, S.M. Tan, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, P. Wittich

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA
S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, G. Apollinari, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, J. Hirschauer, Z. Hu, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, R. Lopes De Sá, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes[†], V. O'Dell, K. Pedro, O. Prokofyev,

G. Rakness, E. Sexton-Kennedy, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, M. Verzocchi, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Whitbeck

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, A. Carnes, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das, R.D. Field, I.K. Furic, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K. Kotov, P. Ma, K. Matchev, H. Mei, P. Milenovic[65], G. Mitselmakher, D. Rank, R. Rossin, L. Shchutska, M. Snowball, D. Sperka, N. Terentyev, L. Thomas, J. Wang, S. Wang, J. Yelton

Florida International University, Miami, USA
S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA
A. Ackert, J.R. Adams, T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Bein, J. Bochenek, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, A. Khatiwada, H. Prosper, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA
M.M. Baarmand, V. Bhopatkar, S. Colafranceschi[66], M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, D. Noonan, T. Roy, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA
M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, P. Kurt, C. O'Brien, I.D. Sandoval Gonzalez, P. Turner, N. Varelas, Z. Wu, M. Zakaria, J. Zhang

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA
B. Bilki[67], W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya[68], A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok[69], A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA
I. Anderson, B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, M. Osherson, J. Roskes, U. Sarica, M. Swartz, M. Xiao, Y. Xin, C. You

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
P. Baringer, A. Bean, C. Bruner, R.P. Kenny III, D. Majumder, M. Malek, W. Mcbrayer, M. Murray, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, Q. Wang

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA
A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, A. Mohammadi, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, S. Toda

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA
D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA
C. Anelli, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, C. Ferraioli, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, J. Kunkle, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA
A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, A. Baty, R. Bi, K. Bierwagen, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, Z. Demiragli, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, Y. Iiyama, G.M. Innocenti,

M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, A.C. Marini, C. Mcginn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, C. Roland, G. Roland, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, M. Varma, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, V. Zhukova

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA
A.C. Benvenuti, B. Dahmes, A. Evans, A. Finkel, A. Gude, P. Hansen, S. Kalafut, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, S. Nourbakhsh, N. Ruckstuhl, R. Rusack, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA
J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA
E. Avdeeva, R. Bartek, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, C. Fangmeier, R. Gonzalez Suarez, R. Kamalieddin, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, F. Meier, J. Monroy, F. Ratnikov, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA
M. Alyari, J. Dolen, J. George, A. Godshalk, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, J. Kaisen, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

Northeastern University, Boston, USA
G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, A. Hortiangtham, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, R. Teixeira De Lima, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA
S. Bhattacharya, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, J.F. Low, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, M. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA
N. Dev, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, N. Marinelli, F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko[38], M. Planer, A. Reinsvold, R. Ruchti, G. Smith, S. Taroni, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA
L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, W. Ji, T.Y. Ling, B. Liu, W. Luo, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, B.L. Winer, H.W. Wulsin

Princeton University, Princeton, USA
O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA
S. Malik

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, D. Bortoletto, L. Gutay, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, K. Jung, A. Kumar, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, X. Shi, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, J. Sun, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA
N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Rice University, Houston, USA
A. Adair, B. Akgun, Z. Chen, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Guilbaud, W. Li, B. Michlin, M. Northup, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, Z. Tu, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA
B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, K.H. Lo, P. Tan, M. Verzetti

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA
J.P. Chou, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, M. Heindl, D. Hidas, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, A. Lath, K. Nash, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA
M. Foerster, G. Riley, K. Rose, S. Spanier, K. Thapa

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA
O. Bouhali[70], A. Castaneda Hernandez[70], A. Celik, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon[71], V. Krutelyov, R. Mueller, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, R. Patel, A. Perloff, A. Rose, A. Safonov, A. Tatarinov, K.A. Ulmer[2]

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA
N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, J. Faulkner, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA
E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, H. Ni, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, Q. Xu

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA
M.W. Arenton, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Lin, C. Neu, T. Sinthuprasith, X. Sun, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, J. Wood, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA
C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA
D.A. Belknap, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, B. Gomber, M. Grothe, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, A. Lanaro, A. Levine, K. Long, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, T. Ruggles, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, A. Sharma, N. Smith, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, P. Verwilligen, N. Woods

†: Deceased
1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
3: Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
4: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
5: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
6: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
7: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
8: Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) - IN2P3, Paris, France

9: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

11: Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

12: Now at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt

13: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

14: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

15: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

16: Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

17: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

18: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

19: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany

20: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

21: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

22: Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

23: Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

24: Also at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India

25: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

26: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

27: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka

28: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

29: Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran

30: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

31: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy

32: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

33: Now at Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

34: Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

35: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia

36: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico

37: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland

38: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

39: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

40: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia

41: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

42: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

43: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

44: Also at National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

45: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy

46: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

47: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

48: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland

49: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey

50: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey

51: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey

52: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey

53: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey

54: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey

55: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey

56: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

57: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

58: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey

59: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

60: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

61: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

62: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

63: Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain

64: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA

65: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

66: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

67: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA

68: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey

69: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey

70: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

71: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea