



**Thanks to Patrons, The Astronomer's Telegram is free to read, free to publish and always will be. Thank you.**

[ [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [ADS](#) ]

## Spitzer constraints on pre-explosion variability of the SN 2023ixf progenitor

ATel #16042; **T. Szalai (University of Szeged, Hungary), S. Van Dyk (Caltech/IPAC, USA)**

on 20 May 2023; 18:41 UT

Credential Certification: Tamas Szalai (szaszi@titan.physx.u-szeged.hu)

Subjects: Infra-Red, Cataclysmic Variable, Star, Supernovae, Transient

Referred to by ATel #: [16060](#), [16064](#)

[Tweet](#)

Supernova (SN) 2023ixf was discovered by K. Itagaki in the very nearby galaxy ( $d=6.4$  Mpc; Shappee & Stanek 2011, ApJ, 733, 124) Messier 101 (M101; NGC 5457) on 05/19/2023 and has been classified as a Type II SN by Perley et al. (AstroNote 2023-119). Since M101 was a target by several programs during the Spitzer Space Telescope mission, we analyzed publicly available data in order to follow the possible pre-explosion photometric evolution of the progenitor on the archival mid-infrared images. A single-epoch dataset exists obtained during the Spitzer Cryogenic Mission (03/08/2004, program 60 [GORDON\_M101], PI: G. Rieke), while the SN field was serendipitously imaged many additional epochs between 2012 and 2019 during the Post-Cryogenic Mission (mostly part of the SPIRITS program; IDs 10136, 11063, 13053, 14089; PI M. Kasliwal). A point source is clearly detectable at 3.6 and 4.5 microns in Spitzer/IRAC images at the coordinates of the SN (RA=14:03:38.51, Dec=+54:18:42:10; Perley et al. 2023) at all epochs between 2004 and 2019, while there is no detection at 5.8 and 8.0 microns obtained in 2004. We determined the mid-IR fluxes of the source by applying simple aperture photometry on the 3.6 and 4.5 micron Spitzer/IRAC images. While there are some fluctuations, our preliminary dataset -- at least, to within the uncertainties -- does not exhibit significant flux changes in the preceding ~20 yrs before the explosion (which, if would be detected, could be an indication of pre-explosion eruptive mass-loss processes, if this object is the progenitor). Nevertheless, it is worth performing a more detailed analysis of this multi-wavelength, pre-explosion dataset of this object at a future time. It also remains for this object to be confirmed as the progenitor candidate via accurate astrometric registration with images showing the SN. If it is the progenitor candidate, based on weighted means of our preliminary photometry, the object had a brightness at 3.6 and 4.5 microns of 17.6 and 17.2 mag (+/- 0.2 mag in each), respectively. Assuming only Galactic foreground extinction ( $A[V]=0.024$  mag; leading to negligible extinction in the IRAC bands), these correspond to absolute brightnesses of -11.4 and -11.8 mag (+/- 0.3 mag in each). These are both higher than what we would expect for a bare red supergiant (RSG) photosphere (approximately

### Related

- 16075 Fermi-LAT gamma-ray observations of SN 2023ixf
- 16073 Chandra X-ray detection of supernova SN 2023ixf in M101
- 16067 Followup of SN2023ixf with HCT on 28th May, 2023.
- 16065 SN 2023ixf continues to rise in hard X-rays
- 16064 Search for the progenitor of SN 2023ixf in archival AstroSat UVIT images
- 16060 Search the XMM-Newton archival data for the progenitor of SN 2023ixf
- 16053 Optical spectra of SN 2023ixf with the HCT on 22nd May 2023.
- 16052 uGMRT radio upper limit on Supernova SN 2023ixf in M101
- 16051 X-ray emission of SN 2023ixf and its progenitor
- 16050 Detection of candidate progenitor of SN 2023ixf in HST archival data
- 16049 NuSTAR detection of SN 2023ixf in M101
- 16047 Multi-Band Photometric Follow-up of SN 2023ixf
- 16045 Optical observations of SN 2023ixf at Teide Observatory
- 16044 MAXI/GSC upper limit of SN 2023ixf in M 101
- 16042 Spitzer constraints on pre-explosion variability of the SN 2023ixf progenitor
- 16040 New Maximum NIR flare of the FSRQ HB891420+326
- 16021 New Giant NIR flare of the FSRQ HB891420+326

-9.6 and -9.3 mag, respectively), which implies that, if this is a RSG, circumstellar dust may be a factor. We note, for instance, that for [SN 2017eaw](#), the progenitor had luminosities of -11.46 and -11.67 mag in the two Spitzer bands (Van Dyk et al. 2019, ApJ, 875, 136). If the [SN 2023ixf](#) progenitor were analogous to the [SN 2017eaw](#) progenitor, it may have had an initial mass of approximately 15 solar masses. A plot of our preliminary Spitzer photometry on the progenitor candidate for [SN 2023ixf](#) can be found at the link below.

[http://titan.physx.u-szeged.hu/~szaszi/SN2023ixf\\_Spitzer\\_preexplosion\\_photometry.png](http://titan.physx.u-szeged.hu/~szaszi/SN2023ixf_Spitzer_preexplosion_photometry.png)

---

[ [Telegram Index](#) ]

R. E. Rutledge, Editor-in-Chief

Derek Fox, Editor

[rrutledge@astronomerstelegam.org](mailto:rrutledge@astronomerstelegam.org)

[dfox@astronomerstelegam.org](mailto:dfox@astronomerstelegam.org)