## ON THE REGULARITY OF CROSSED PRODUCTS

V. BOVDI, S. MIHOVSKI

ABSTRACT. We study some generalizations of the notion of regular crossed products K \* G. For the case when K is an algebraically closed field, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the twisted group ring K \* G to be an *n*-weakly regular ring, a  $\xi^*N$ -ring or a ring without nilpotent elements.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group, U(K) the group of units of the associative ring K with identity and let  $\sigma : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$  be a map of G into the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$  of automorphisms of K. Let  $K * G = K_{\rho}^{\sigma}G = \{\sum_{g \in G} u_g \alpha_g \mid \alpha_g \in K\}$  be the crossed product (in the sense of [1]), of the group G over the ring K with respect to the factor system

$$\rho = \{\rho(g,h) \in U(K) \mid g,h \in G\}$$

and the map  $\sigma : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ . Moreover we assume that the factor system  $\rho$  is normalized, i.e.  $\rho(g, 1) = \rho(1, g) = \rho(1, 1) = 1$  for any  $g \in G$ .

In particular, if  $\sigma = 1$ , then the crossed product K \* G is called a *twisted group* ring, which we denote by  $K_{\rho}G$ . If the factor system  $\rho$  is unitary, i.e.  $\rho(g,h) = 1$ for all  $g, h \in G$ , then K \* G is called a *skew group ring* and is denoted by  $K^{\sigma}G$ . In the case, when  $\rho = 1$  and  $\sigma = 1$ , then K \* G is the ordinary group ring KG.

In the present paper we study properties of crossed products K \* G which are generalizations of the notion of a regular ring. For the case when K \* G is a twisted group ring over the algebraically closed field K, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for K \* G to be an *n*-weakly regular ring  $(n \ge 2)$ , a  $\xi^*N$ -ring or a ring without nilpotent elements. Our investigation can be considered as a generalization of certain results of [2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12] earlier obtained for group rings. Note that we exclude the case when K \* G is a skew group ring, so we do not cite any reference from that topic.

## 2. Twisted group algebras without nilpotent elements

Denote the K-basis of K \* G by  $U_G = \{u_g \mid g \in G\}$ . The multiplication of  $u_g, u_h \in U_G$  is defined by  $u_g u_h = \rho(g, h) u_{gh}$ , where  $\rho(g, h) \in \rho$  and  $g, h \in G$ . The factor system  $\rho$  of the crossed product K \* G is called *symmetric*, if for all elements  $g, h \in G$  the condition gh = hg yields  $\rho(g, h) = \rho(h, g)$ . The finite subset  $\operatorname{Supp}(a) = \{g \in G \mid \alpha_g \neq 0\}$  of G is called the *support* of the element  $a \in K * G$ . We shall freely use the following.

**Lemma 1.** Let K \* G be a crossed product and suppose that axb = c for some  $x, a, b, c \in K * G$ . If H is the subgroup of G generated by Supp(a), Supp(b) and Supp(c), then there exists an element  $y \in K * H$ , such that ayb = c.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16S35; Secondary: 20C07, 16S34, 16E50. Key words and phrases. crossed product, twisted group ring, regular ring.

*Proof.* Indeed, if x = y + z, then ayb + azb = c, where  $y = \sum_{h \in H} u_h \alpha_h$  and  $z = \sum_{g \notin H} u_g \beta_g$ . This shows that  $\operatorname{Supp}(azb) \subseteq H$ . Since  $fgh \notin H$  for  $f \in \operatorname{Supp}(a)$ ,  $g \in \operatorname{Supp}(z)$  and  $h \in \operatorname{Supp}(b)$ , we conclude that azb = 0 and ayb = c, as it was requested.

**Corollary 1.** If  $g \in G$  has infinite order, then  $u_g - 1$  is neither a one-sided zero divisor, nor a one-sided invertible element of the crossed product K \* G.

*Proof.* In fact, if  $u_g - 1$  is either a one-sided zero divisor, or a one-sided invertible element of K \* G, then by Lemma 1, we may assume that  $u_g - u_1$  is also such an element of K \* H, where  $H = \langle g \rangle$  is an infinite cyclic group. But H is an ordered group, a contradiction.

For twisted group algebras we give a refinement of Corollary 2 and Lemma 2 of [7] (see p.68) which were earlier proved for group rings.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $K_{\rho}G$  be a twisted group algebra of a torsion group G over the algebraically closed field K. The ring  $K_{\rho}G$  does not contain nilpotent elements if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) G is an abelian group;
- (ii) the order of every elements in G is invertible in K;
- (iii) the factor system  $\rho$  is symmetric.

*Proof.* Assume that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. Then the twisted group ring  $K_{\rho}G$  is commutative. If  $x \in K_{\rho}G$  is a nonzero nilpotent element and  $H = \langle \operatorname{Supp}(x) \rangle$ , we conclude that  $K_{\rho}H$  is a commutative artinian ring with a nonzero nilpotent element x. So, by Theorem 2.2 of ([8], p.415), we get a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $K_{\rho}G$  be a twisted group ring without nilpotent elements. If  $g \in G$  is of order n and  $u_g^n = u_1 \alpha_g$ , where  $\alpha_g \in U(K)$ , then there exists an element  $\mu_g \in U(K)$  such that  $\mu_g^n = \alpha_g^{-1}$ , because K is algebraically closed. So for the element  $v_g = u_g \mu_g$  we have  $v_g^n = 1$ . Obviously,

$$x = (v_g - 1)u_h(1 + v_g + v_g^2 + \dots + v_g^{n-1})$$

is a nilpotent element of  $K_{\rho}G$  for all  $h \in G$  as far as  $x^2 = 0$ . Thus x = 0, so we conclude that

(1) 
$$u_h = v_g u_h v_g^i \qquad (0 \le i \le n-1).$$

Examining the supports we can deduce that  $h^{-1}gh = g^{-i}$   $(h \in G)$ . Therefore all cyclic subgroups of G are normal. This implies that G is either abelian or hamiltonian. If gh = hg, then i = n - 1 and by (1) it follows that  $u_h v_g = v_g u_h$ , since  $v_g^n = 1$  is the identity element of  $K_{\rho}G$ . So we conclude that  $\rho(g, h) = \rho(h, g)$ , i.e. the factor system  $\rho$  is symmetric and condition (iii) holds.

If char(K) = p > 0 and G contains an element g of order p, then

$$(1 + v_g + v_g^2 + \dots + v_g^{p-1})^p = 0$$

and we get a contradiction. This implies that condition (ii) also follows.

Assume that G is hamiltonian and  $\langle g, h | g^4 = h^4 = 1, g^2 = h^2, g^h = g^{-1} \rangle \cong Q_8$ is the quaternion group of order 8. Then  $h^{-1}gh = g^{-1}$  and i = 1. Therefore in this case by (1) we have  $u_h = v_g u_h v_g$ , i.e.

(2) 
$$v_h = v_g v_h v_g,$$

where  $v_h = u_h \mu_h$  and  $v_g^4 = v_h^4 = 1$ . Since G contains 2-elements, it follows from (ii) that  $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2$ .

K being an algebraically closed field, it is clear that there exist nonzero elements  $\alpha, \beta \in K$  for which  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 0$ . Then by (2) it is easy to verify that

$$w = \alpha (v_g^2 v_h - v_h) + \beta (v_g^3 v_h - v_g v_h)$$

is a nonzero nilpotent element of  $K_{\rho}G$ .

Indeed,  $h \in \text{Supp}(\alpha(v_g^2 v_h - v_h))$ , but  $h \notin \text{Supp}(\beta(v_g^3 v_h - v_g v_h))$ . Thus we have  $w \neq 0$ . Moreover, by (2) we obtain that  $u_h^2 v_g = v_g u_h^2$  and  $u_h v_g^2 = v_g^2 u_h$ . Then  $w^2 = (v_g^2 - 1)^2 (\alpha v_h + \beta v_g v_h)^2$ . Since  $(v_g^2 - 1)^2 = 2(1 - v_g^2)$  and

$$(\alpha v_h + \beta v_g v_h)^2 = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)v_h^2 + \alpha\beta v_h^2(v_g^2 + 1)v_g$$
$$= \alpha\beta v_h^2(v_g^2 + 1)v_g,$$

we obtain  $w^2 = 2(1 - v_g^2)\alpha\beta v_h^2(1 + v_g^2)v_g = 0$ , which is impossible. Hence condition (i) follows, as requested.

### 3. Regular crossed products

An associative ring R with unity is called *regular (strongly regular)* if for every  $a \in R$  there is an element  $b \in R$ , such that aba = a ( $ba^2 = a$ , respectively). A ring R is called  $\xi^*$ -ring ( $\xi^*N$ -ring) if for every  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  such that aba - a is a central (central nilpotent, respectively) element of R. It is clear that every regular ring is a  $\xi^*N$ -ring and every  $\xi^*N$ -ring is a  $\xi^*$ -ring (see [7, 12]).

By the theorem of Auslander, Connell and Willamayor (see [3], Theorem 3, p.660), it is well known that a group ring is regular if and only if K is regular, G is a locally finite group and the order of every element  $g \in G$  is invertible in K.

Our first result for this section is the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let K \* G be a crossed product of the group G over the ring K such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) K \* G is a  $\xi^* N$ -ring;
- (ii) K \* G is n-weakly regular.

Then G is a torsion group.

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $g \in G$  is an element of infinite order. Then there exists a  $b \in K * G$  and a natural number  $n \ge 1$  such that

$$x = (u_g - 1)b(u_g - 1) - (u_g - 1)$$

is a central element of K \* G and  $x^n = 0$ . If n = 1, then x = 0 and

$$(u_g - 1)[b(u_g - 1) - 1] = 0.$$

Since, by Corollary 1, the element  $u_g - 1$  is not a left zero divisor in K \* G, we obtain that  $b(u_g - 1) = 1$ , i.e.  $u_g - 1$  is a left invertible element in K \* G, which is also impossible. Therefore n > 1 and

$$x^{n} = (u_{g} - 1)[b(u_{g} - 1) - 1]x^{n-1} = 0.$$

In the same way we obtain that  $z_1 = [b(u_g - 1) - 1]x^{n-1} = 0$ . Suppose that for some  $k \ge 1$  we have  $z_k = [b(u_g - 1) - 1]^k x^{n-k} = 0$ . If 1 < k < n, as far as x is

central,

$$z_k = x[b(u_g - 1) - 1]^k x^{n-k-1}$$
  
=  $(u_g - 1)[b(u_g - 1) - 1]^{k+1} x^{n-k-1} = 0.$ 

Now applying Corollary 1 we obtain that

$$z_{k+1} = [b(u_g - 1) - 1]^{k+1} x^{n-k-1} = 0.$$

Thus, by induction we conclude that  $z_n = [b(u_g - 1) - 1]^n = 0.$ 

The last equality shows that there exists  $z \in K * G$  such that  $z(u_g - 1) = 1$ , which, by Corollary 1, is impossible.

(ii) Suppose that  $g \in G$  is an element of infinite order. Then for some  $b, c \in K * G$  we have  $u_g - 1 = (u_g - 1)b(u_g - 1)^n c$ . By Corollary 1 we have

$$(u_g - 1)[1 - b(u_g - 1)^n c] = 0,$$

we conclude that  $b(u_g - 1)^n c = 1$ . Hence it follows that  $b(u_g - 1)x = 1$ , where  $x = (u_g - 1)^{n-1}c$ . If  $e = xb(u_g - 1)$ , then

$$e^{2} = x[b(u_{g} - 1)x]b(u_{g} - 1) = xb(u_{g} - 1) = e,$$

i.e. e is a central idempotent of K \* G. Thus we have

$$1 = b(u_g - 1)x = b(u_g - 1)[xb(u_g - 1)]x$$
  
=  $xb(u_g - 1)[b(u_g - 1)x] = xb(u_g - 1),$ 

i.e.  $u_g - 1$  has a left invertible element  $xb \in K * G$ . Now again by Corollary 1 we obtain a contradiction, so the proof is complete.

**Corollary 2.** If the crossed product K \* G is a regular ring, then K is also a regular ring and G is a torsion group.

*Proof.* The claim follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 1.

Observe that the theorem of Auslander, Connell and Willamayor (see [3], Theorem 3, p.660) does not apply for crossed products. Indeed, if K is a non-perfect field of characteristic p > 0 and G is the  $p^{\infty}$ -group, then there exists a twisted group ring  $K_{\rho}G$ , which must be a field (see [9], Proposition 4.2).

If G satisfies the maximum condition for finite normal subgroups and the group ring KG is a  $\xi^*N$ -ring, then G is locally finite (see [11], Theorem 3, p.16).

We shall prove the locally finiteness of G without the assumption of the maximum condition when K is a field. First we recall that (see [10], p.308)

$$\Delta(G) = \{g \in G \mid [G : C_G(g)] < \infty\}$$

is a subgroup of G, where  $C_G(g)$  is the centralizer of g in G. Furthermore, we put

$$\Delta^p(G) = \langle g \in \Delta(G) \mid g \text{ is a } p \text{-element } \rangle,$$

that is the subgroup of  $\Delta(G)$  which is generated by all *p*-elements of  $\Delta(G)$ .

Now we are ready to prove the following.

**Theorem 3.** Let KG be the group algebra of a group G over a field K. If KG is a  $\xi^*N$ -ring, then G is a locally finite group. Moreover, if char(K) = p > 0 then  $\Delta^p(G)$  contains all p-elements of G.

4

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{N}(KG)$  be the union of all nilpotent ideals of KG. In particular, the central nilpotent elements of KG are in  $\mathfrak{N}(KG)$  and, consequently,  $KG/\mathfrak{N}(KG)$  is a regular ring.

Assume char(K) = p > 0. By Theorem 8.19 ([10], p.309),

 $\mathfrak{N}(KG) = \mathfrak{Rad}(K[\Delta^p(G)])KG,$ 

where  $\mathfrak{Rad}(K[\Delta^p(G)])$  is the Jacobson radical of the group ring  $K[\Delta^p(G)]$ . Obviously, the augmentation ideal  $\omega(K[\Delta^p(G)])$  is a maximal ideal of  $K[\Delta^p(G)]$ , so

$$\mathfrak{N}(KG) = \mathfrak{Rad}(K[\Delta^p(G)])KG \subseteq \omega(K[\Delta^p(G)])KG.$$

It is well-known (see [3], Theorem 3, p.660) that

$$K[G/(\Delta^p(G))] \cong KG/\omega(K[\Delta^p(G)])KG$$

and therefore the group algebra  $K[G/\Delta^p(G)]$  is regular, as a homomorphic image of  $KG/\mathfrak{N}(KG)$ . This implies, by the theorem of Auslander, Connell and Willamayor (see [3], Theorem 3, p.660), that  $G/\Delta^p(G)$  is locally finite and has no *p*-element. Thus we obtain that  $\Delta^p(G)$  contains all the *p*-elements of *G* and the group *G* is locally finite (see [5], Theorem 23.1.1, p.215).

If char(K) = 0, then  $\mathfrak{N}(KG) = 0$  and KG is regular. According to Auslander-Connell-Villamayor's theorem the proof is complete.

#### 4. *n*-weakly regular twisted group algebras

Let  $n \ge 2$  be a fixed natural number. A ring R is called *n*-weakly regular [4] if for every  $a \in R$  there exist elements  $b, c \in R$  such that  $a = aba^n c$ .

Obviously, an *n*-weakly regular ring R has no nonzero nilpotent element. Indeed, if R contains a nonzero nilpotent element, then there exists a nonzero nilpotent element  $a \in R$  with  $a^2 = 0$ . Hence  $a = aba^n c = 0$ , which is impossible. From this fact we can conclude that all idempotents of an *n*-weakly regular ring are central.

In [2] (Theorem 2, p.119) it was proved that the group algebra KG over a field K is *n*-weakly regular  $(n \ge 2)$  if and only if K and G satisfy at least one of the following two conditions:

- (i) char(K) = p > 0 and G is an abelian torsion group without p-elements;
- (ii)  $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$  and G is either an abelian torsion group or a hamiltonian  $\operatorname{gr} G = Q \times E \times A$ , where A is an abelian torsion group without 2-elements and the equation  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$  in KA has only the trivial solution.

In the case when K is an algebraically close field, this result can be extended to.

**Theorem 4.** A twisted group algebra  $K_{\rho}G$  of a group G over the algebraically closed field K is n-weakly regular  $(n \ge 2)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) G is an abelian torsion group;
- (ii) the order of every element of G is invertible in K;
- (iii) the factor system  $\rho$  is symmetric.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K_{\rho}G$  is *n*-weakly regular. Then conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold by Theorems 1 and 2.

Conversely, if K and G satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), then  $K_{\rho}G$  is a commutative ring. Let  $a \in K_{\rho}G$  be an arbitrary element. Then  $a \in K_{\rho}H$ , where  $H = \langle \text{Supp}(a) \rangle$  is a finite abelian group. Since  $K_{\rho}H$  is a commutative semisimple artinian ring ([8], Theorem 2.2), we conclude that  $K_{\rho}H$  is a direct product of

fields, so  $K_{\rho}H$  is *n*-weakly regular. This implies that  $K_{\rho}G$  is *n*-weakly regular, as requested.

Analyzing the result of [2] (see Theorem 2, p.119) on *n*-weakly regular group rings and [7] (see Corollary 2, p.70) about strongly regular group rings we deduce that when K is a field, then these two classes coincide.

In the case of twisted group algebras over an algebraically closed basic field we have the following.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $K_{\rho}G$  be a twisted group algebra of a group G over an algebraically closed field K. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $K_{\rho}G$  is strongly regular;
- (ii)  $K_{\rho}G$  is n-weakly regular for every natural number  $n \geq 2$ ;
- (iii)  $K_{\rho}G$  is n-weakly regular for some natural number  $n \geq 2$ ;
- (iv) G is an abelian torsion group, the order of every element of G is invertible in K and the factor system  $\rho$  is symmetric.

Proof. Suppose that  $K_{\rho}G$  is a strongly regular ring. If  $a \in K_{\rho}G$  and  $a = a^2b$ , then a = aba, because  $K_{\rho}G$  does not contain nilpotent elements. Now by induction it follows that  $a = ab^n c$  for some  $c \in K_{\rho}G$  and for every natural number  $n \ge 1$ . So (i) implies (ii) and, obviously, (ii) implies (iii). By the preceding theorem, (iii) implies (iv). Finally, by the Auslander-Connell-Villamayor theorem and by (iv) it follows that  $K_{\rho}G$  is a commutative von Neumann ring and so (iv) implies (i).

# 5. $\xi N$ -twisted group algebras

A ring R is called a  $\xi N$ -ring if for any  $a \in R$  there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $a^2b - a$  is a central nilpotent element of R (see [11]).

Obviously, every  $\xi N$ -ring is a  $\xi$ -ring and, therefore, (see [6], Theorem 1, p.714) we deduce that every  $\xi N$ -ring is a  $\xi^* N$ -ring. Moreover, (see [6], Lemma 2, p.715) it follows that in  $\xi N$ -rings all nilpotent elements are central.

 $\xi N$ -group rings over commutative rings are described in [11] (Theorem 2, p.15). From this description, it follows that a group ring KG over a field K of characteristic p > 0 is a  $\xi N$ -ring if and only if G is an abelian torsion group.

Finally we prove the following.

**Theorem 5.** A twisted group algebra  $K_{\rho}G$  of a group G over the algebraically closed field K is a  $\xi N$ -ring if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) G is an abelian torsion group;
- (ii) the factor system  $\rho$  is symmetric.

*Proof.* Let  $K_{\rho}G$  be a  $\xi N$ -ring. Then ([6], Theorem 1, p.714) the ring  $K_{\rho}G$  is a  $\xi^*N$ -ring and, in view of Theorem 2, we conclude that G is a torsion group. As far as K is an algebraically closed field, for every element  $g \in G$  of order n there exists an  $\mu_g \in U(K)$ , such that  $v_g = u_g \mu_g$   $(u_g \in U_G)$  and  $v_g^n = 1$ . Then we put

$$z = (v_g - 1)v_h(1 + v_g + v_g^2 + \dots + v_g^{n-1}), \qquad (h \in H).$$

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

Clearly,  $z^2 = 0$  and therefore z is a central element of  $K_{\rho}G$ . Thus  $zv_h = v_h z$  and, so we obtain the equality

(3)  
$$2v_h v_g v_h + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_g^i v_h v_g v_h + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} v_h v_g^i v_h$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_g^i v_h^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_h v_g^i v_h v_g$$

If char(K) = 2, then  $2v_h v_g v_h = 0$ . Consequently for the product  $v_h v_g^2 v_h$  and for the corresponding supports we obtain the following three cases:

(A1) 
$$v_h v_g^2 v_h = v_g^i v_h v_g v_h, hg^2 h = g^i hgh$$
 and  $hgh^{-1} = g^i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1);$   
(A2)  $v_h v_g^2 v_h = v_h v_g^i v_h v_g, hg^2 h = hg^i hg$  and  $hgh^{-1} = g^{2-i}$   $(1 \le i \le n-1);$   
(A3)  $v_h v_g^2 v_h = v_g^i v_h, hg^2 h = g^i h^2$  and  $hg^2 h^{-1} = g^i$   $(1 \le i \le n-1).$ 

This shows that  $\langle g^2 \rangle$  is a normal cyclic subgroup of G.

If g is a 2-element of G, then  $1 + v_g$  is nilpotent and by Lemma 2 of [6] we deduce that  $1 + v_q$  is a central element of  $K_{\rho}G$ . Therefore  $v_q v_h = v_h v_q$  for every  $h \in G$ .

If g is an element of odd order, then  $\langle g^2 \rangle = \langle g \rangle$  and from (A1), (A2) and (A3) we obtain that every cyclic subgroup of G is normal, i.e. G is either abelian, or hamiltonian. Since the 2-elements of G are central, we conclude that G is an abelian torsion group, i.e. condition (i) holds. Now by (A1) and (A2) it follows that i = 1and  $v_g v_h = v_h v_g$ . In case (A3) we have i = 2 and  $v_h v_g^2 = v_g^2 v_h$ . But  $\langle v_g^2 \rangle = \langle v_h \rangle$ , so  $v_h$  commutes with  $v_g^i$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Therefore condition (ii) also holds.

Now, suppose that  $char(K) \neq 2$ . Then by (3), we conclude that for the product  $v_h v_g v_h$  we have the following four cases:

- (B1)  $v_h v_g v_h = v_g^i v_h^2$ ,  $hgh = g^i h^2$  and  $hgh^{-1} = g^i$   $(1 \le i \le n 1);$ (B2)  $v_h v_g v_h = v_h v_g^i v_h v_g$ ,  $hgh = hg^i hg$  and  $hgh^{-1} = g^{1-i}$   $(0 \le i \le n 1);$ (B3)  $v_h v_g v_h = -v_h v_g^i v_h$ ,  $hgh = hg^i h$  and  $g^{i-1} = 1$ , which is impossible, because  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$  and g is of order n;
- (B4)  $v_h v_g v_h = -v_g^i v_h v_g v_h$ ,  $hgh = g^i hgh$  and  $g^i = 1$ , which is impossible, because  $1 \le i \le n-1$ .

Therefore  $\langle g \rangle$  is a normal cyclic subgroup of G for every  $g \in G$ . Hence G is either abelian or a hamiltonian group.

Assume that G is hamiltonian and  $\langle g, h \mid g^4 = 1, h^2 = g^2, hgh^{-1} = g^{-1} \rangle \cong Q_8.$ Then by (B1) and (B2), it follows that either i = 3 or i = 2, respectively. Hence we obtain that  $v_h v_g = v_g^3 v_h$ , where  $v_g^4 = v_h^4 = 1$ .

Let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be a nontrivial solution of the equation  $x^2 + y^2 = 0$  in K. Then as in the proof of Theorem 1 we establish that

$$w = \alpha (v_g^2 v_h - v_h) + \beta (v_g^3 v_h - v_g v_h)$$

is a nonzero nilpotent element of  $K_{\rho}G$  with  $z^2 = 0$ . Therefore w is a central element of  $K_{\rho}G$ . But  $wv_h \neq v_h w$ , so we obtain a contradiction. Thus G is abelian and condition (i) holds. If gh = hg, then by (B1) and (B2) it follows that either i = 1 or i = 2, respectively. Hence we obtain that  $v_h v_g = v_g v_h$  for all  $g, h \in G$  and so condition (ii) also follows.

Conversely, if the conditions (i) and (ii) hold, then  $K_{\rho}G$  is a commutative ring. For every element  $a \in K_{\rho}G$  with  $H = \langle \operatorname{Supp}(a) \rangle$ , the ring  $K_{\rho}H$  is artinian and  $R \cong K_{\rho}H/\mathfrak{Nil}(K_{\rho}H)$  is a finite sum of fields. Therefore R is strongly regular and hence  $K_{\rho}H$  is a  $\xi N$ -ring. Since  $a \in K_{\rho}H$ , we deduce that  $K_{\rho}G$  is a  $\xi N$ -ring.

Note that if  $K_{\rho}G$  is a  $\xi N$ -ring, then the periodicity of G can be proved directly. Indeed, if  $g \in G$  is an element of infinite order and  $z = (u_g - 1)^2 x - (u_g - 1)$  is a central nilpotent element of  $K_{\rho}G$ , then  $z^n = 0$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . By Corollary 1 we deduce that  $[(u_g - 1)x - 1]z^{n-1} = 0$ .

Using the fact that z is central, we can prove by induction that

$$[(u_q - 1)x - 1]^k z^{n-k} = 0$$

for every  $k \ge 1$ . Therefore  $[(u_g - 1)x - 1]^n = 0$ . This equality shows that  $u_g - 1$  is right invertible in  $K_{\rho}G$ , which again is impossible by Corollary 1.

### References

- A. A. Bovdi. Crossed products of a semigroup and a ring. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 137:1267– 1269, 1961.
- [2] A. A. Bovdi and T. P. Lángi. On the regularity of group algebras. Arch. Math. (Brno), 32(2):117–121, 1996.
- [3] I. G. Connell. On the group ring. Canad. J. Math., 15:650-685, 1963.
- [4] V. Gupta. A generalization of strongly regular rings. Acta Math. Hungar., 43(1-2):57-61, 1984.
- [5] M. Kargapolov and I. Merzliakov. Éléments de la théorie des groupes. Traduit du Russe: Mathématiques. [Translations of Russian Works: Mathematics]. "Mir", Moscow, 1985. Translated from the Russian by V. Kotliar.
- [6] W. S. Martindale, III. The structure of a special class of rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 9:714-721, 1958.
- [7] S. V. Mihovski. On the stongly regular group rings. Bull. Inst. Math. Bulg. Acad. Sci., 14:67– 71, 1970.
- [8] D. S. Passman. Radicals of twisted group rings. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 20:409–437, 1970.
- [9] D. S. Passman. Radicals of twisted group rings. II. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 22:633-651, 1971.
- [10] D. S. Passman. The algebraic structure of group rings. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1977.
- [11] A. Rakhnev. Some properties of group ξ-rings. Plovdiv. Univ. Nauchn. Trud., 21(1):13-24, 1983.
- [12] Y. Utumi. On ξ-rings. Proc. Japan Acad., 33:63–66, 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. SCIENCES, UAE UNIVERSITY - AL-AIN, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES *E-mail address*: vbovdi@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, UNIVERSITY OF PLOVDIV, BULGARIA *E-mail address*: mihovski@uni-plovdiv.bg