# Activation cross-sections of deuteron induced reactions on natGd up to 50 MeV

F. Tárkányi<sup>a</sup>, S. Takács<sup>a</sup>, F. Ditrói<sup>a,\*</sup>, J. Csikai<sup>a</sup>, A. Hermanne<sup>b</sup>, A.V. Ignatyuk<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (ATOMKI), Debrecen, Hungary <sup>b</sup>Cyclotron Laboratory, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels, Belgium <sup>c</sup>Institute of Physics and Power Engineering (IPPE), Obninsk, Russia

#### Abstract

Activation cross-sections are presented for the first time for  $^{nat}Gd(d,xn)^{161,160,156(m+),154,154m1,154m2,153,152(m+),151(m+)}Tb$ ,  $^{nat}Gd(d,x)^{159,153,151}Gd$  and  $^{nat}Gd(d,x)^{156}Eu$  reactions from their respective thresholds up to 50 MeV. The cross-sections were measured by the stacked-foil irradiation technique and by using high resolution  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometry. The measured values were compared with the results of theoretical models calculated by the computer codes ALICE-D, EMPIRE-D and TALYS (data from TENDL library). Integral yields of the reaction products were deduced from the excitation functions.

*Keywords:* gadolinium target, terbium, gadolinium and europium radioisotopes, deuteron irradiation, model calculations, physical yield

#### 1. Introduction

In a previous paper (Tárkányi et al., 2013) we reported cumulative cross-sections for the formation of medically used  ${}^{161}Tb$  radioisotope in the bombardment of  ${}^{nat}Gd$  with deuterons up to 50 MeV. In the frame of our ongoing systematic study of deuteron induced reactions for different applications, cross-sections of other radionuclides were also determined during that experiment. Terbium offers four clinically interesting radioisotopes with complementary physical decay characteristics: <sup>149</sup>Tb, <sup>152</sup>Tb, <sup>155</sup>Tb, and <sup>161</sup>Tb (Müller et al., 2012). <sup>153</sup>Gd also has extensive use in nuclear medicine. Comparing the results of theoretical model codes with the experimental results, it turned out that the description of the (d,p) reaction is still problematic for the theory. While the importance of the deuteron induced reaction is rising, the corresponding experimental database is poor compared to that of protons. Taking into account that no earlier data were reported on activation cross-sections of deuteron induced reactions

on Gd, we thought these results have or will have value for applications and for development of nuclear reaction codes. In this paper these excitation functions are presented.

#### 2. Experiment and data evaluation

Details of the experimental, as well as the data-analysis procedures, are described in our above mentioned previous work (Tárkányi et al., 2013). For the sake of completeness, the main experimental parameters and methods used for the two performed experiments on Gd are given here (Table 1) (Tárkányi et al., 2001), while the main parameters and data evaluation methods are collected in Table 2 ((Andersen and Ziegler, 1977; Bonardi, 1987; Canberra, 2000; International-Bureau-of-Weightsand-Measures, 1993; Kinsey et al., 1997; Pritychenko and Sonzogni, 2003; Székely, 1985; Tárkányi et al., 1991). The used nuclear data of the produced radioisotopes are presented in Table 3 (NuDat, 2011; Pritychenko and Sonzogni, 2003). The figure of the re-measured excitation function of the  ${}^{27}Al(d,x){}^{24}Na$  reaction for the high energy irradiation in comparison with the IAEA recommended

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: ditroi@atomki.hu

Preprint submitted to Applied Radiation and Isotopes

data (Tárkányi et al., 2001) can be found in our earlier publication on Sc, irradiated in the same experiment (Hermanne et al., 2012). The figure of the re-measured excitation function of the  $^{nat}Ti(d,x)^{48}V$  is not presented here, but the shape and accuracy was the same as published before (Tárkányi et al., 2007).

#### 3. Model calculations

For theoretical estimation of the excitation functions involved in this experiment the updated ALICE-IPPE-D (Dityuk et al., 1998) and EMPIRE-D (Herman et al., 2007) codes were used. In the modified versions of the codes a simulation of direct (d,p) and (d,t) transitions by the general relations for a nucleon transfer probability in the continuum is included through an energy dependent enhancement factor for the corresponding transitions based on systematics of experimental data (Ignatyuk, 2011). Since ALICE-IPPE cannot provide direct cross-section results for excited isomeric states, the crosssection for any isomeric state was obtained by applying the isomeric ratios derived from the EMPIRE calculation to the total cross-sections calculated by ALICE-IPPE. The experimental cross-section data were also compared with the results of the modified TALYS code (Koning and Rochman, 2012) taken from the TENDL-2011 and TENDL-2012 data libraries (Koning et al., 2012).

#### 4. Results and discussion

#### 4.1. Cross-sections

The cross-sections for radionuclides produced in deuteron bombardment of  $^{nat}Gd$  target are tabulated in Tables 4 and 5. The experimental cross-section data are compared with the theory graphically in Figs 1-14. The cross-sections given for 160Tb and  $^{161}Tb$  are considered to be isotopic cross-sections as the radionuclides can be induced mainly on  $^{160}Gd$ , as the contribution of  $(d,\gamma)$  reaction on  $^{158}Gd$  is negligible. In all other cases so called "elemental cross-sections" were deduced on targets with natural isotopic composition. The values for  $^{160}Tb$ ,  $^{154}Tb$ ,  $^{154m2}Tb$ ,  $^{154g}Tb$ ,  $^{153}Tb$  and in practice also for  $^{156}Tb$  and  $^{156}Eu$  represent production cross-sections via direct nuclear reactions only. In the cases, where significantly shorter-lived precursors (isomeric states (m+)

and/or parent isotopes (cum)) are also produced, the cross-sections for the ground state were derived from activity measurements performed after the nearly complete decay of the precursor. These cross-sections are hence the sum for the direct production and formation via the decay of the precursors. The values for  $^{156}Tb$ ,  $^{152}Tb$  and  $^{151}Tb$  contain contribution from the short-lived isomeric states (m+). Cross-sections are cumulative (cum), with contribution of the decay of parent radionuclides, in case of  $^{161}Tb$ ,  $^{159}Gd$ ,  $^{153}Gd$  and  $^{151}Gd$ . For the sake of completeness we include the results for the earlier published investigations for production of  $^{161}Tb$  and  $^{160}Tb$  both in graphical and in numerical form (Tárkányi et al., 2013).

## 4.1.1. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{161}Tb$ (cum) ( $T_{1/2} = 6.89 d$ )

We are reproducing the earlier published experimental results (Fig. 1) for production of  ${}^{161}Tb(\text{cum})$  (direct, through  ${}^{160}Gd(\text{d,n})$  and decay of  ${}^{161}Gd$  produced by  ${}^{160}Gd(\text{d,p}){}^{161}Gd$ ) to illustrate the agreement of the theoretical and experimental data for further discussion. The agreement is acceptable for ALICE-D and EMPIRE-D. The TALYS results are still too low, although the 2012 values are 10% higher than 2011 values. More detailed discussion on the contributing reactions and on the application of  ${}^{161}Tb$  can be found in the previous work (Tárkányi et al., 2013).

## 4.1.2. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{160}Tb$ ( $T_{1/2} = 72.3 d$ )

The <sup>160</sup>*Tb* is produced directly through the <sup>160</sup>*Gd*(d,2n) reaction. Fig. 2 illustrates the prediction capability of the theoretical codes including our ALICE-D and EMPIRE-D results. There are significant differences between the not adjusted theoretical results. There is no difference between TENDL-2011 and TENDL-2012. More detailed discussion was reported in (Tárkányi et al., 2013).

# 4.1.3. Activation cross-sections for production of $^{156}Tb(tot) (T_{1/2}=5.35 d)$

We have measured the production cross-section of the ground state of the <sup>156</sup>*Tb* (Fig. 3) after the decay of the isomeric states (<sup>156m1</sup>*Tb*, IT: 100 %,  $T_{1/2} = 3$  h and <sup>156m2</sup>*Tb*, IT: 100 %,  $T_{1/2} = 24.4$  h). All theoretical results follow the shape of the experimental excitation function.

#### Table 1: Main experimental parameters

Incident particle	Deuteron	Deuteron
Method	Stacked foil	Stacked foil
Target composition	nat Gd (83.9 μm)	nat Gd (83.9 μm)
	Al (98 µm)	Sc (105 µm)
	Ti (11 μm)	Al (27 µm)
Number of Gd targetfoils	9	20
Accelerator	CGR 560 cyclotron of Vrije Universiteit Brus-	Cyclone 90 cyclotron of the UniversitCatholique in
	sels	Louvain la Neuve (LLN)
Nominal energy	21 MeV	50 MeV
Irradiation time	110 min	42 min
Beam current (in Faraday)	60 nA	115 nA
Monitor reaction, [recommended values]	nat Ti(d,x)48 V reaction (Tárkányi et al., 2001)	<sup>27</sup> Al(d,x) <sup>24</sup> Na reaction (Tárkányi et al., 2001)
	(re-measured over the whole energy range)	(re-measured over the whole energy range)
Monitor target and thickness	nat Ti, 11 μm	nat Al, 27 μm
detector	HpGe	HpGe
Chemical separation	no	no
g-spectra measurements	2 series	3 series
Cooling times	1.5-4.6 h,	2.2-5.3 h,
	260-269 h	20- 27h,
		197 - 227 h

Table 2: Main parameters and methods of the data evaluation (with references)

Parameter	Method	Reference
Gamma spectra evaluation	Genie 2000, Forgamma	(Canberra, 2000; Székely, 1985)
Determination of beam intensity	Faraday cup (preliminary)	(Tárkányi et al., 1991)
	Fitted monitor reaction (final)	
Decay data (see Table 3)	NUDAT 2.6	(Kinsey et al., 1997)
Reaction Q-values(see Table 3)	Q-value calculator	(Pritychenko and Sonzogni, 2003)
Determination of beam energy	Andersen (preliminary)	(Andersen and Ziegler, 1977)
	Fitted monitor reaction (final)	(Tárkányi et al., 1991)
Uncertainty of energy	Cumulative effects of possible uncertainties	
	(nominal energy, target thickness, energy straggling, correction to moni-	
	tor reaction)	
Cross-sections	Isotopic and elemental cross-sections	
Uncertainty of cross-sections	sum in quadrature of all individual contributions	(International-Bureau-of-Weights-and-Measures,
	beam-current (7%)	1993)
	beam-loss corrections (max. 1.5%)	
	target thickness (1%)	
	detector efficiency (5-7%)	
	photo peak area determination and	
	counting statistics (1-20 %)	
Yield	Physical yield	(Bonardi, 1987)

The EMPIRE-D results are significantly overestimating the experimental values (similar to the previous reaction).

## 4.1.4. Activation cross-sections for production of $^{155}Tb$ ( $T_{1/2}=5.32 d$ )

According to Fig. 4 the ALICE-D and EMPIRE-D significantly overestimate the experimental data, while the TENDL results (nearly identical for 2011 and 2012) are in good accordance.

# 4.1.5. Activation cross-sections for production of $^{154m2}Tb$ ( $T_{1/2}=22.7 h$ )

The  $^{154}Tb$  has three, long-lived isomeric states. The cross-sections for direct production of the higher lying

isomer ( $T_{1/2} = 22.7$  h,  $J^{\pi} = 7^-$ ,  $\varepsilon^+ + \beta^+$  98.2 %, IT 1.8 % to the  ${}^{154m1}Tb$  (Ekstrm and Firestone, 1999)) are shown in Fig. 5 in comparison with the theoretical result of EMPIRE-D and ALICE-D. No data are available in the TENDL libraries for production of this isomeric state.

## 4.1.6. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{154m1}Tb$ ( $T_{1/2}=9.4 h$ )

The cross-section of the second (lower-lying) isomeric state (9.4 h,  $J^{\pi} = 3^-$ ,  $\varepsilon^+ + \beta^+ +78.2$  %, IT 21.8 % to  $^{154g}Tb$  (Ekstrm and Firestone, 1999)) is shown in Fig. 6. It should be noted that there are new data for the half-life (9.994 h (Rastrepina, 2009), but it is not validated, therefore we used  $T_{1/2} = 9.4$  h. The  $^{154m1}Tb$  is produced both



Figure 1: Experimental and theoretical excitation functions of the  ${}^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{161}Tb$  reaction

directly and from the decay of  $^{154m2}Tb$ . The contribution from the decay of  $^{154m2}Tb$  was corrected. The correction was small taking into account the low cross-sections and the low amount of the internal transition. The excitation function is shown in Fig 6 in comparison with the theory. The experimental data are significantly higher than the theoretical results. During our EMPIRE-D calculation some problems arose with the level scheme of  $^{154}Tb$ . There are no experimental data for the low-lying levels around the isomeric states. As such, the scheme used in calculations is artificial and based on the systematics of levels of the neighboring nuclei.

# 4.1.7. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{154g}Tb(m1+)$ ( $T_{1/2}=21.5 h$ )

By using the late spectra we can deduce cumulative cross-sections for production of the ground state after the complete decay of the  $^{154m1}Tb$  (Fig. 7). The contribution of the decay of  $^{154m2}Tb$  to the production of the ground state is negligibly small compared to the direct production and to the contribution from the first isomeric state. The experimental data are systematically higher than the results of the EMPIRE-D. No data exist in the TENDL libraries for the isomeric states, only for the total production.



Figure 2: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd(d,2n)^{160}Tb$  reaction

## 4.1.8. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{153}Tb$ ( $T_{1/2}=2.34 d$ )

The agreement with the TENDL results is good (Fig. 8). There are large differences in the absolute values of the predictions by ALICE-D and EMPIRE-D at energies above 30 MeV.

## 4.1.9. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{152}Tb$ (m+)( $T_{1/2}=17.5 h$ )

The excitation function was measured after the decay of the short-lived isomeric state (4.2 min, IT: 78.8 %). The agreement with the TENDL library results (Fig. 9) is good, while ALICE-D and EMPIRE-D strongly overestimate again above 40 MeV.

## 4.1.10. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{151}Tb$ (m+) (T<sub>1/2</sub>=17.609 h)

The measured excitation function contains the contribution from the decay of the short-lived isomeric state (25 s, IT: 93.4 %). Above 35 MeV all theoretical predictions overestimate the experimental values (Fig. 10).

### 4.1.11. Activation cross-sections for production of $^{159}Gd$ $(T_{1/2}=18.479 h)$

There are two maxima in the measured excitation function of  ${}^{nat}Gd(d,x){}^{159}Gd$  (Fig. 11). The first comes from





Figure 3: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  ${}^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{156}Tb(\mathbf{m}+)$  reaction

the <sup>158</sup>*Gd*(d,p)<sup>159</sup>*Gd* reaction and the second from nuclear reactions on <sup>160</sup>*Gd*, namely from <sup>160</sup>*Gd*(d,p2n)<sup>159</sup>*Gd* and from the  $\beta^-$ -decay of <sup>159</sup>*Eu* (18.1 min), produced via <sup>160</sup>*Gd*(d,2pn)<sup>159</sup>*Eu* reaction. The TENDL data significantly underestimate the <sup>158</sup>*Gd*(d,p)<sup>159</sup>*Gd* reaction, while by ALICE-D and EMPIRE-D (where in the D-versions the (d,p) reaction is enhanced) the higher energy peak is lower than the experiment and the TENDL value.

# 4.1.12. Activation cross-sections for production of $^{153}Gd(cum)(T_{1/2}=240.4 d)$

The measured excitation function of  ${}^{153}Gd$  (240.4 d) (Fig. 12) was deduced from spectra measured nearly 10 days after EOB, i.e. after five half-lives of the parent  ${}^{153}Tb$  (2.34 d). In these measuring conditions only around 3% from the decay of  ${}^{153}Tb$  was missing. The missing part was corrected on the basis of the measured crosssection of  ${}^{153}Tb$ . The three codes result in acceptable predictions.

## 4.1.13. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{151}Gd$ ( $T_{1/2}=123.9 d$ )

The cumulative cross-sections include the complete decay of  $^{151}Tb$  (17.609 h) (Fig. 13). The results of the model calculations generally run together in the investigated en-

Figure 4: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  ${}^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{155}Tb$  reaction

ergy range, but they more or less overestimate the experimental values.

### 4.1.14. Activation cross-sections for production of ${}^{156}Eu$ ( $T_{1/2}=15.19 d$ )

In the investigated energy range  ${}^{156}Eu$  is produced mostly via (d, $\alpha$ xn) reactions. The contribution from the parent 156Sm (9.4 h,  $\beta^-$ ) is small due to the low crosssections expected for the (d,3pxn) reactions (Fig. 14).

#### 4.2. Integral yields

From fits to our experimental excitation functions thick target physical yields (instantaneous short irradiation) were calculated. The integral yields are shown in Fig. 15-16 in comparison with the directly measured data (Dmitriev et al., 1982, 1989). The agreement with the existing experimental values for  $^{155}Tb$  and  $^{156}Tb$  is acceptable (Fig. 15).

## 5. Comparison of the production routes of the $^{153}Gd$

<sup>153</sup>*Gd* (240.4 d) emits two low-energy photons with energies of 97.43 keV and 103.18 keV respectively, which are optimal to penetrate through the body, expose the patient only to limited dose and can be detected with standard imaging technology. The <sup>153</sup>*Gd* is used in nuclear





Figure 5: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{154m2}Tb$  reaction

medicine for attenuation corrections by means of additional  $\gamma$ -transmission measurements (Van Laere et al., 2000), for determination of the bone mineral content (Smith et al., 1983), for labeling gadolinium-based contrast agent (Wadas et al., 2010) as well as for localizing sentinel lymph nodes (Liu et al., 2013). The long-lived radionuclide <sup>153</sup>*Gd* can be produced via various nuclear reactions. Taking into account the long half-life, the traditional way is using parallel production by neutron induced reactions at research reactors. A few neutron induced production routes were previously investigated and reported in the literature:

- ${}^{151}Eu(\mathbf{n},\gamma){}^{152}Eu \longrightarrow {}^{152}Gd(n,\gamma){}^{153}Gd(?)$
- ${}^{152}Gd(n,\gamma)$  on highly enriched  ${}^{152}Gd$  (Case et al., 1969)
- ${}^{156}Dy(n,\alpha){}^{153}Gd$  reaction (?)

The first two methods result in carrier added product, but by using high flux reactors and long irradiations the specific activity can be rather high. The  $(n,\alpha)$  reaction (Q = 8.006 MeV) results in no-carrier added product, but the yield is also low. There are various production routes using charged particle accelerators for production of no carrier added <sup>153</sup>Gd:

Figure 6: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{154m1}Tb$  reaction

- Spallation reactions using mass separators (Beyer and Ruth, 2003)
- Low energy light ion induced reactions

By using light ion induced reactions the  $^{153}Gd$  (240.4 d) can be produced directly or through the decay of the  $^{153}Tb$  (2.34 d). The most important direct and indirect reactions, together with the possible disturbing reactions are collected in Table 6. For the  ${}^{153}Eu(p,n){}^{153}Gd$  and  $^{153}Eu(d,2n)^{153}Gd$  nuclear reactions experimental excitation functions are available (West et al., 1993). For other reactions the TALYS data from the TENDL-2012 library are presented. For comparison, the predictive force of the TENDL data is satisfactory (see also Fig. 12), taking into account the resulted large differences in the production yields of the different production routes. The  $^{153}Eu(p,n)$  and  $^{153}Eu(d,2n)$  reactions result in direct production. After irradiation the Gd can be separated from the target. In the case of  ${}^{154}Gd(p,2n){}^{153}Tb \longrightarrow {}^{153}Gd$ ,  ${}^{154}Gd(d,3n){}^{153}Tb \longrightarrow {}^{153}Gd$ ,  ${}^{151}Eu(\alpha,2n){}^{153}Tb \longrightarrow {}^{153}Gd$  and  ${}^{151}Eu({}^{3}He,n){}^{153}Tb \longrightarrow {}^{153}Gd$  routes the production is indirect, the produced  ${}^{153}Tb$  must be separated at the end of the irradiation from the Gd or Eu target to assure no carrier added final product. The excitation functions are shown on Fig. 17. In all cases, from the point of view of radionuclidic purity, the production of the  $^{151}Gd$ 



Figure 7: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{154g}Tb$  (m1+) reaction

(120 d) and consequently also its parent  ${}^{151}Tb$  (17.609 h) should be eliminated, which gives an upper limit for the energy of the bombarding beam (see the energy windows in Table 6). The comparison of the productivity for different charged particle induced reaction shows that in the case of direct production the deuterons are more favorable (a factor of 3, see Table 6). The energy windows were chosen in such a way that the production and target preparation is optimal, based on the cross-sections, thresholds of the disturbing reactions and also on experimental experiences. In the case of indirect production however, the protons are slightly more favorable. The yield of the alpha-particle induced reaction is significantly less than that of the deuteron induced reactions. The yield of the  $^{3}He$  route is negligible (max=1.5 mb, Y = 104 MBq/C). The indirect proton and deuteron yields are comparable, the proton irradiation yields 20% more  $^{153}Gd$ , and it must also be mentioned that less accelerators can provide 30 MeV deuteron energy. There are many other factors determining the final competitivity of the different production routes:

• Taking into account the long half-life of  ${}^{153}Gd$ , long irradiations are necessary to produce reasonable activities, which is straightforward in the case of direct reactions. Using the indirect route the half-life of the  ${}^{153}Tb$  limits the length of a single irradiation. More-



Figure 8: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  ${}^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{153}Tb$  reaction

over, the repeated separation causes losses in the expensive enriched target material

- The price of the highly enriched  ${}^{153}Eu$  is significantly lower compared to that of highly enriched  ${}^{154}Gd$
- In general, cyclotrons have significantly lower beam intensities for d-,  $\alpha$  and <sup>3</sup>*He*-particle beams compared to protons

There are other important factors, when one compares with the production routes at research reactors.

- The long half-life requires long irradiations. The most economical way of it is simultaneous parasitic irradiation (dividing the beam), which in case of low and medium energy cyclotrons is not a simple task (cooling problems, target construction, etc.), but in the case of reactors it is an everyday practice
- The cross-sections of the (n,γ) reactions are significantly higher compared to the charged particle induced reactions
- In case of neutrons large mass targets can be used, without beam stopping and cooling problems





Figure 9: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{152}Tb$  (m+) reaction

By summarizing the above mentioned factors, it can be concluded that it is very difficult to compete with the reactor production. The charged particle routes can only be used for production of small amounts for research purposes.

#### 6. Summary and conclusions

This work was performed in the frame of the systematic study of activation cross-sections for applications and for development of the nuclear reaction model codes. In this study experimental cross-sections for the  $^{nat}Gd(d,xn)^{161,160,156(m+),154,154m1,154m2,153,152(m+),151(m+)}Tb$ ,  $^{nat}Gd(d,xn)^{159,153,151}Gd$  and  $^{nat}Gd(d,x)^{156}Eu$  nuclear reactions were measured up to 50 MeV. Comparison of the experimental and theoretical results calculated by the ALICE-D EMPIRE-D and TALYS codes shows that still significant disagreements between the theoretical predictions and the existing experimental data. The empirical improvement of the deuteron breakup description, which was a serious problem by the original EMPIRE and ALICE codes by describing deuteron induced reactions, has improved the predictions in the EMPIRE-D and ALICE-D codes. Among the studied reaction products  $^{161}Tb$  and  $^{153}Gd$  are of importance for nuclear medicine. In order to prepare a carrier free  ${}^{161}Tb$  end product the

Figure 10: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd({\rm d},x)^{151}Tb~({\rm m+})$  reaction

 ${}^{160}Gd(n, ){}^{161}Gd?{}^{161}Tb$  route is more productive than  ${}^{160}Gd(d,n){}^{161}Tb+{}^{160}Gd(d,p){}^{161}Gd \longrightarrow {}^{161}Tb$  (Tárkányi et al., 2013). According to our comparison of production routes of carrier free  ${}^{153}Gd$  (this work) the deuteron induced reactions on Eu and Gd are competitive with proton induced reactions in special cases concerning to yields, but because of the availability of the required deuteron energy and intensity, the proton induced reaction is more favorable.

#### 7. Acknowledgements

This work was performed in the frame of the HAS-FWO Vlaanderen (Hungary-Belgium) project. The authors acknowledge the support of the research project and of the respective institutions. We are grateful to all the authorities concerned. We thank to the Cyclotron Laboratory of the Universit Catholique in Louvain la Neuve (LLN) providing the beam time and the staff of the LLN Cyclone 90 cyclotron for performing the irradiations.





Figure 11: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{\it nat}Gd({\rm d},{\rm x})^{159}Gd$  reaction

Figure 13: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{\it nat}Gd({\rm d},x)^{151}Gd$  (cum) reaction



Figure 12: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  $^{nat}Gd({\rm d},x)^{153}Gd({\rm cum})$  reaction



Figure 14: Experimental and theoretical excitation function of the  ${}^{nat}Gd(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{x})^{156}Eu$  reaction



Figure 15: Calculated integral yields of Tb radioisotopes on natural gadolinium as a function of the energy





Figure 16: Calculated integral yields of Gd and Eu radioisotopes on natural gadolinium as a function of the energy

Figure 17: Comparison of the excitation functions of the light ion induced production routes of  $^{153}Gd$  and  $^{153}Tb$  by using literature data or model calculations

Nuclide	Half-life	E <sub>y</sub> (keV)	Iγ(%)	Contributing reaction	Q-value
Decay mode			· ·		(keV)
<sup>161</sup> Tb	6.89 d	25.65135	23.2	<sup>160</sup> Gd(d,n)	4584.3
β <sup>-</sup> : 100 %		48.91533	17.0	<sup>160</sup> Gd(d,p) <sup>161</sup> Gd <sup>161</sup> Tb	3410.83
		57.1917	1.79		
160		74.56669	10.2	160	
100 Tb	72.3 d	86.7877	13.2	<sup>100</sup> Gd(d,2n)	-3112.31
β : 100 %		197.0341	5.18		
		213.0432	26.1		
		879.378	30.1		
		962.311	9.81		
		966.166	25.1		
		1177.954	14.9		
<sup>156</sup> Tb	5.35 d	88.97	18.0	<sup>155</sup> Gd(d,n)	3085.25
ε: 100 %		199.19	41.0	156Gd(d,2n)	-5451.1
		262.54	5.8	157Gd(d,3n)	-11810.96
		290.49	4.5	<sup>158</sup> Gd(d,4n)	-19/48.55
		422.34	8.0	<sup>160</sup> Gd(d,6n)	-55145.05
		534.29	67		
		1065.11	10.8		
		1154.07	10.4		
		1159.03	7.2		
		1222.44	31		
155		1421.67	12.2	154	
100 %	5.32 d	80.55	32.0	155 cl (d,n)	2008.53
a. 100 %		148.64	2.65	156 Gd(d,2n)	-12363.0
		161.29	2.76	157 Gd(d,3n)	-18722.9
		163.28	4.44	157 Gd(d,4n)	-26660.3
		180.08	7.5	<sup>156</sup> Gd(d,5n)	-40055.0
		340.67	1.18	<sup>100</sup> Gd(d,7n)	
1641		367.36	1.48	154	
<sup>154m1</sup> Tb	9.4 h	518.011	6.1	<sup>154</sup> Gd(d,2n)	-6556.6*
e'+b' (78.2 %)		540.18	20	155Gd(d,3n)	-12991.8*
11(21.8%)		649.564	10.9	<sup>156</sup> Gd(d,4n)	-21528.1
		996 262	86	<sup>157</sup> Gd(d,5n)	-35825.4*
		1004.725	10.9	<sup>158</sup> Gd(d,6n)	-49220.1*
				<sup>160</sup> Gd(d,8n)	
154m2Tb	22.7 h	123.071	43	154Gd(d,2n)	-6556.6*
		225.94	26.8	<sup>155</sup> Gd(d,3n)	-12991.8*
e <sup>+</sup> +b <sup>+</sup> (98.2 %)		346.643	69	$156_{Gd(d,4n)}$	-21528.1*
IT (1.8 %)		1004.725	7.1	<sup>157</sup> Gd(d.5n)	-27888.0*
		1419.81	40	158Gd(d.6n)	-35825.4*
				160 <sub>Gd(d.8n)</sub>	-49220.1
154g Th	21.5 h	123.07	26	154Gd(d 2n)	-6556.6
ε: 100 %	21.0 1	247.94	1.7	155 Gd(d 3n)	-12991.8
		557.60	5.4	156Gd(d.4n)	-21528.1
		692.41	3.18	157 <sub>Gd(d,5n)</sub>	-27888.0
		704.90	4.8	158 Gd(d,5n)	-35825.4
		722.12	1.1	160Gd(d,8n)	-49220.1
		996.24	49	Gu(u;sii)	
		1274.436	10.5		
		1291.31	6.9		
<sup>153</sup> Tb	2.34 d	102.255	6.4	152 Gd(d,n)	1671.05
ε: 100 %		109.758	6.8	<sup>154</sup> Gd(d,3n)	-13470.62
		170.42	6.3	155 Gd(d,4n)	-19905.86
		212.00	31.0	156Gd(d,5n)	-28442.21
		249.55	2.33	157Gd(d.6n)	-34802.07
				<sup>158</sup> Gd(d,7n)	12/37.40
152 Th	17.5 h	271.08	8.6	152 <sub>Gd(d.2n)</sub>	-6996.9
ε: 100 %	1	344.28	65	154Gd(d.4n)	-22138.6
		411.08	4.1	155 Gd(d 5n)	-28573.8
		586.29	9.4	156 Gd(d 6n)	-37110.2
		764.88	2.9	157 Gd(d 7n)	-43470.0
		//8.80	3.8	Gu(d, m)	
		1109.2	2.7		
		1299.11	2.15		
<sup>151</sup> Tb	17.609 h	108.088	24.3	152Gd(d.3n)	-14161.58
a: 0.0095		180.186	11.5	154Gd(d.5n)	-29303.27
(0.0095 %)		251.863	26.3	155 Gd(d.6n)	-35738.5
ε: 99.9905 %		287.357	28.3	156 Gd(d 7n)	-44274.85
		395.444	10.8	157 Gd(d 8n)	-50634.71
		443.879	10.8	Gu(d,oii)	
		4/9.33/	15.4		
		616.561	10.4		
		731.227	7.7		

Table 3: Decay characteristic and contributing reactions for production of <sup>161,160,156(m+),154,154m1,154m2,153,152,151</sup>Tb, <sup>159,153,151</sup>Gd, <sup>56</sup>Eu

#### Table 3: Table 3 cont.

Nuclide Decay mode	Half-life	$E_{\gamma}(keV)$	Ιγ(%)	Contributing reaction	Q-value (keV)
<sup>159</sup> Gd β <sup>-</sup> : 100 %	18.479 h	363.5430	11.78	158 Gd(d,p) 160 Gd(d,p2n) 159 Eu decay	3718.644 -9676.04
153 Gd ε: 100 %	240.4 d	97.43100 103.18012	29.0 21.1	$\begin{array}{c} 152{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p}) \\ 154{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p2n}) \\ 155{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p2n}) \\ 156{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p3n}) \\ 156{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p4n}) \\ 157{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p5n}) \\ 158{\rm Gd}({\rm d},{\rm p6n}) \end{array}$	4022.394 -11119.3 -17554.53 -26090.88 -32450.74 -40388.13
151Gd <i>ɛ</i> : 100 % <i>a</i> : 0.8E-6 %	123.9 d	153.60 174.70 243.29	6.2 2.96 5.6	152 Gd(d,p2n) 154 Gd(d,p4n) 155 Gd(d,p5n) 156 Gd(d,p5n) 157 Gd(d,p7n) 158 Gd(d,p8n) 160 Gd(d,p10n)	-10814.23 -25955.91 -32391.15 -40927.5 -47287.36 -55224.75 X
156 <sub>Eu</sub> β <sup>-</sup> : 100 %	15.19 d	646.29 723.47 811.77 1079.16 1153.67 1154.08 1230.71 1242.42	6.3 5.4 9.7 4.6 6.8 4.7 8.0 6.6	<sup>156</sup> Gd(d,2p) 157 Gd(d,2pn) 158 Gd(d,2p2n) 160 Gd(d,2p4n)	-3891.35 -10251.21 -18188.6 -31583.28

The Q-values refer to formation of the ground state and are obtained from Q-value calculation tool of Pritychenko et al. (Pritychenko and Sonzogni, 2003). When complex particles are emitted instead of individual protons and neutrons the Q-values have to be decreased by the respective binding energies of the compound particles: np-d, +2.2 MeV; 2np-t, +8.48 MeV; n2p-<sup>3</sup>He, +7.72 MeV; 2n2p- $\alpha$ , +28.30 MeV. \*In case of <sup>154m1</sup>Tb and <sup>154m2</sup>Tb the Table 3 includes Q values of the ground state, because level energies of the isomeric states are not known.

E ±ΔE		Cross-sec	$tion(\sigma) \pm \Delta \alpha$	Γ																	-
(MeV)		(mb)																			
		161 Tb(ci	um)	<sup>160</sup> Tb		156 Tb(m	+)	155 <sub>Tb</sub>		<sup>154</sup> <i>g</i> Tb		154m1 Tt	)	154m2 Tt	)	<sup>153</sup> Tb		152 Tb(m	+)	151 Tb(m	+)
5.4	0.6	4.1	0.7	11.3	1.4	1.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.05										
8.4	0.5	139	16	303	33	33.1	4.0	32.8	4.1	0.6	0.1	1.8	0.2	2.6	0.4						
10.9	0.5	234	27	435	48	106.6	12	90.5	15.9	0.8	0.1	8.6	0.9	13.0	1.5						
13.0	0.4	200	23	531	58	144.1	16	139	22	0.9	0.1	15.4	1.7	23.4	2.6			0.11	0.016		
13.5	0.9	223	24	553	61	155.7	17	120	13			15.1	5.2								
14.9	0.4	144	17	346	38	180.1	20	140	19	1.9	0.2	27.6	3.0	42.5	4.7			0.73	0.087		
16.6	0.4	141	18	268	30	246.9	27	193	26	3.8	0.4	61.8	6.7	102	11	3.7	0.5	1.4	0.2	0.29	0.08
18.3	0.3	132	18	191	22	252.7	28	195	26	6.8	0.8	93.9	10.2	138	15	8.0	1.0	1.7	0.2	0.38	0.18
19.4	0.8	193	21	197	23	244.3	26	252	27	7.1	0.8	110	12	157	17	10.9	1.2				
19.8	0.3	116	21	144	17	236.8	26	211	28	9.1	1.0	118	13	174	19	13.3	1.5	1.6	0.2	0.17	0.04
21.9	0.7	160	17	147	20	243.2	26	403	44	11.5	1.3	154	17	207	23	20.5	2.2				
24.2	0.7	147	16	132	18	244.4	26	329	36	14.4	1.6	169	18	210	23	30.7	3.4				
26.4	0.7	112	40	106	16	279.6	30	365	40	14.5	1.6	159	17	241	26	53.4	5.8				
28.4	0.6	104	11	108	17	313.1	34	360	39	18.3	2.0	189	21	271	30	91.1	9.9				
30.4	0.6	105	11	109	17	330.4	36	334	36	19.8	2.2	225	24	253	28	121	13	1.3	0.5		
32.2	0.6			80	15	337.2	37	324	35					268	35	146	16	5.9	0.7	0.64	0.24
34.0	0.5			100	18	330.6	36	328	36	23.7	2.6	241	26	315	35	152	16	10.8	1.2		
35.7	0.5	83.4	9	74.2	19.1	298.2	32	334	36	26.3	2.9	261	28	310	34	165	18	17.8	2.0		
37.4	0.5	72.3	29	72.4	13.6	263.7	29	351	38	28.6	3.1	283	31	319	35	180	20	29.9	3.3		
39.0	0.5			65.8	14.2	226.9	25	361	39	27.1	3.0	279	30	313	34	186	20	43.4	4.7	4.8	0.6
40.5	0.4	77.	8.3	48.7	12.4	203.6	22	366	40	30.5	3.3	303	33	323	36	215	23	57.1	6.2		
42.1	0.4			45.4	11.5	192.7	21	376	41	26.2	2.9	252	27	306	34	204	22	66.8	7.3	7.6	1.0
43.6	0.4			52.2	10.1	192.6	21	372	40	25.0	2.7	249	27	298	33	214	23	74.8	8.1	11.6	1.4
45.0	0.4	66.1	36.9	57.5	14.8	202.8	22	367	40	26.7	2.9	264	29	274	30	232	25	82.1	8.9	16.7	1.9
46.4	0.3	76.1	15.8	52.2	6.3	207.0	22	332	36	25.2	2.8	249	27	283	31	227	25	84.0	9.1	21.7	2.4
47.8	0.3			52.9	13.0	226.3	25	320	35	24.1	2.6	226	24	307	34	213	23	96.3	10.4	30.2	3.3
49.2	0.3			49.6	8.4	230.6	25	285	31	26.5	2.9	252	27	286	31	232	25	98.2	10.6	34.6	3.8

Table 4: Experimental cross-sections of  $^{nat}$ Gd(d,xn)<sup>161,160,156(m+),155,154g,154m1,154m2,153,152(m+),151(m+)</sup>Tb reactions

E ±ΔE		$Cross-section(\sigma) \pm \Delta \sigma$							
(MeV)		(mb)							
		<sup>159</sup> Gd		<sup>153</sup> Gd		<sup>151</sup> Gd		156 <sub>Eu</sub>	
5.4	0.6	4.37	0.49						
8.4	0.5	25.5	2.8						
10.9	0.5	70.0	7.6						
13.0	0.4	63.5	6.9						
13.5	0.9	61.0	6.6						
14.9	0.4	57.8	6.3						
16.6	0.4	48.7	5.3						
18.3	0.3	41.3	4.5						
19.4	0.8	43.9	4.8						
19.8	0.3	39.2	4.3					1.74	0.76
21.9	0.7	44.8	4.9	59.3	7.8				
24.2	0.7	48.2	5.3						
26.4	0.7	53.2	5.8	70.8	9.3				
28.4	0.6	62.6	6.8	127	16				
30.4	0.6	67.8	7.4	191	24				
32.2	0.6	70.4	7.5	227	29			2.05	0.78
34.0	0.5	68.1	7.5	303	39				
35.7	0.5	66.2	7.3	256	33				
37.4	0.5	70.2	7.7	343	44			1.87	0.58
39.0	0.5	72.1	7.9	293	37				
40.5	0.4	67.8	7.4	315	40			2.09	0.69
42.1	0.4	65.0	7.1	356	45				
43.6	0.4	61.1	6.7	293	37	9.3	1.5	3.29	0.65
45.0	0.4	61.4	6.8	398	51	17.2	2.8	3.54	0.78
46.4	0.3	56.7	6.2	444	57	19.3	3.5	1.62	0.25
47.8	0.3	52.1	5.7	474	60	35.8	5.7		
49.2	0.3	52.1	5.7	463	59	44.9	5.3	3.49	0.54

Table 5: Experimental cross-sections of  $^{\it nat}Gd(d,x)^{159,153,151}Gd$  and  $^{\it nat}Gd(d,x)^{156}Eu$  reactions

Table 6: Main light ion induced reactions for direct and indirect production of the  $^{153}\mathrm{Gd}$ 

Reaction	Energy range (MeV)	Yield	Disturbing reaction	Threshold
		(MBq/C)		(MeV)
<sup>153</sup> Eu(p,n) <sup>153</sup> Gd	16-6	52	<sup>153</sup> Eu(p,3n) <sup>151</sup> Gd	16.2
<sup>153</sup> Eu(d,2n) <sup>153</sup> Gd	19-7	188	<sup>153</sup> Eu(d,4n) <sup>151</sup> Gd	18.6
$^{154}Gd(p,2n)^{153}Tb \rightarrow ^{153}Gd$	27-12	651	<sup>154</sup> Gd(p,4n) <sup>151</sup> Tb	27.3
$^{154}Gd(d,3n)^{153}Tb \rightarrow ^{153}Gd$	30-15	567	<sup>154</sup> Gd(d,5n) <sup>151</sup> Tb	29.7
$^{151}Eu(\alpha,2n)^{153}Tb \rightarrow ^{153}Gd$	34-19	31	$^{151}Eu(\alpha,4n)^{151}Tb$	33.8
$^{151}Eu(^{3}He,n)^{153}Tb \rightarrow ^{153}Gd$	13-0	10 <sup>-4</sup>	<sup>151</sup> Eu( <sup>3</sup> He,3n) <sup>151</sup> Tb	12.6

#### References

- Andersen, H. H., Ziegler, J. F., 1977. Hydrogen stopping powers and ranges in all elements. The Stopping and ranges of ions in matter, Volume 3. The Stopping and ranges of ions in matter. Pergamon Press, New York.
- Beyer, G. J., Ruth, T. J., 2003. The role of electromagnetic separators in the production of radiotracers for bio-medical research and nuclear medical application. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B-Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 204, 694–700.
- Bonardi, M., 1987. The contribution to nuclear data for biomedical radioisotope production from the milan cyclotron facility.
- Canberra, 2000. http://www.canberra.com/products/radiochemistry\_lab/genie-2000-software.asp.
- Case, F. N., Acree, E. H., Cutshal, N. H., 1969. Production study of gadolinium-153. Tech. rep.
- Dityuk, A. I., Konobeyev, A. Y., Lunev, V. P., Shubin, Y. N., 1998. New version of the advanced computer code alice-ippe. Tech. rep., IAEA.
- Dmitriev, P. P., Krasnov, N. N., Molin, G. A., 1982. Radioactive nuclide yields for thick target at 22 mev deuterons energy. Yadernie Konstanti 34 (4), 38.
- Dmitriev, P. P., Molin, G. A., Dmitrieva, Z. P., 1989. Production of tb-155 for nuclear-medicine in the reactions gd-155(pn), gd-156(p2n), and gd-155(d2n). Soviet Atomic Energy 66 (6), 470–472.
- Ekstrm, L. P., Firestone, R. B., 1999. Www table of radioactive isotopes, database version 2/28/99.
- Herman, M., Capote, R., Carlson, B. V., Oblozinsky, P., Sin, M., Trkov, A., Wienke, H., Zerkin, V., 2007. Empire: Nuclear reaction model code system for data evaluation. Nuclear Data Sheets 108 (12), 2655–2715.
- Hermanne, A., Rebeles, R. A., Tárkányi, F., Takács, S., Takács, M. P., Csikai, J., Ignatyuk, A., 2012. Cross sections of deuteron induced reactions on sc-45 up to 50 mev: Experiments and comparison with theoretical

codes. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B-Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 270, 106–115.

- Ignatyuk, A. V., 2011. Phenomenological systematics of the (d,p) cross sections, http://wwwnds.iaea.org/fendl3/000pages/rcm3/slides//ignatyuk\_fendl-3
- International-Bureau-of-Weights-and-Measures, 1993. Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement, 1st Edition. International Organization for Standardization, Genve, Switzerland.
- Kinsey, R. R., Dunford, C. L., Tuli, J. K., Burrows, T. W., 1997. Nudat 2.6. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Capture Gamma Ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics. Vol. 2. Springer Hungarica Ltd, p. 657.
- Koning, A. J., Rochman, D., 2012. Modern nuclear data evaluation with the talys code system. Nuclear Data Sheets 113, 2841.
- Koning, A. J., Rochman, D., van der Marck, S., Kopecky, J., Sublet, J. C., Pomp, S., Sjostrand, H., Forrest, R., Bauge, E., Henriksson, H., 2012. Tendl-2012: Talysbased evaluated nuclear data library.
- Liu, Y., Solomon, M., Achilefu, S., 2013. Perspectives and potential applications of nanomedicine in breast and prostate cancer. Medicinal Research Reviews 33 (1), 3–32.
- Müller, C., Zhernosekov, K., Kster, U., Johnston, K., Dorrer, H., Hohn, A., van der Walt, N. T., Trler, A., Schibli, R., 2012. A unique matched quadruplet of terbium radioisotopes for pet and spect and for - and -radionuclide therapy: An in vivo proof-of-concept study with a new receptor-targeted folate derivative. The Journal of Nuclear Medicine 53 (12), 1951–1959.
- NuDat, 2011. Nudat2 database http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/.
- Pritychenko, B., Sonzogni, A., 2003. Q-value calculator.
- Rastrepina, G., 2009. Half life measurements of the <sup>154</sup>*tb* isotope. Uzhhorod University Scientific Herald. Series Physics. 24, 171–174.

- Smith, M. A., Sutton, D., Tothill, P., 1983. Comparison between gd-153 and am-241, cs-137 for dual-photon absorptiometry of the spine. Physics in Medicine and Biology 28 (6), 709–721.
- Székely, G., 1985. Fgm a flexible gamma-spectrum analysis program for a small computer. Computer Physics Communications 34 (3), 313–324.
- Tárkányi, F., Hermanne, A., Király, B., Takács, S., Ditrói, F., Baba, M., Ohtsuki, T., Kovalev, S. F., Ignatyuk, A. V., 2007. Study of activation cross-sections of deuteron induced reactions on erbium: Production of radioisotopes for practical applications. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B-Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 259 (2), 829–835.
- Tárkányi, F., Hermanne, A., Takács, S., Ditrói, F., Csikai, J., Ignatyuk, A. V., 2013. Cross-section measurement of some deuteron induced reactions on 160gd for possible production of the therapeutic radionuclide 161tb. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry DOI 10.1007/s10967-013-2507-x.
- Tárkányi, F., Szelecsényi, F., Takács, S., 1991. Determination of effective bombarding energies and fluxes using improved stacked-foil technique. Acta Radiologica, Supplementum 376, 72.
- Tárkányi, F., Takács, S., Gul, K., Hermanne, A., Mustafa, M. G., Nortier, M., Oblozinsky, P., Qaim, S. M., Scholten, B., Shubin, Y. N., Youxiang, Z., 2001. Beam monitor reactions (chapter 4). charged particle crosssection database for medical radioisotope production: diagnostic radioisotopes and monitor reactions. Tech. rep., IAEA.
- Van Laere, K., Koole, M., Kauppinen, T., Monsieurs, M., Bouwens, L., Dierck, R., 2000. Nonuniform transmission in brain spect using 201tl, 153gd, and 99mtc static line sources: anthropomorphic dosimetry studies and influence on brain quantification. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 41 (12), 2051–62.
- Wadas, T. J., Sherman, C. D., Miner, J. H., Duncan, J. R., Anderson, C. J., 2010. The biodistribution of [gd-153]gd-labeled magnetic resonance contrast agents in a

transgenic mouse model of renal failure differs greatly from control mice. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 64 (5), 1274–1280.

West, H. I., Lanier, R. G., Mustafa, M. G., Nuckolls, R. N., Frehaut, J., Adam, A., Philis, C. A., 1993. Proton and deutron excitation functions for eu-151. Tech. rep.