

An integrated magnetic susceptibility anisotropy (AMS) and structural geological study on Cenozoic clay rich sediments from the Transdanubian Range

Krisztina Sipos-Benkő¹, Emő Márton¹, László I. Fodor², Mihály Pethe³

¹Geological and Geophysical Institute of Hungary, Paleomagnetic Laboratory, siposbenko.krisztina@gmail.com, paleo@mfgi.hu

²Geological, Geophysical and Space Science Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences at Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary, lasz.fodor@yahoo.com

³ Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Geophysics, Budapest, Hungary, mifimester@gmail.com

Keywords: integrated, magnetic susceptibility, anisotropy, AMS, structural geology, fault-slip analysis, Cenozoic, Transdanubian Range

Abstract

Systematic structural and anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) measurements were carried out on Cenozoic clay rich deposits from the Transdanubian Range, central part of the Alcapa Unit. The aim was to improve the knowledge on the Neogene tectonic evolution of the area and on the connection of the stress field and the magnetic fabric of the sediments. The measurements of AMS revealed dominant foliation with weak lineation for Middle Eocene-Lower Miocene sediments. The directions of AMS lineation are aligned either with the direction of NNE-SSW extension of a strike slip phase (30–19 Ma) or with the direction of NE-SW extension of the main rifting phase of the Pannonian Basin (19–14 Ma).

The studied Late Miocene sediments have foliated AMS fabric, maximum and intermediate AMS directions are intermixed, and the AMS fabrics do not show any sign of tectonic deformation. In contrast, joints and faults were observed in the same rocks. Detailed structural analysis shows two extensional phases between ca. 10-4 Ma, with E-W to WNW-ESE and with NW-SE extension, respectively and the youngest neotectonic strike-slip phase. The contrast between the presence of markers of brittle deformation and the absence of tectonically induced AMS lineation is striking, since the same types of sediments in the South Pannonian basin show just the opposite. The explanation may be that northward moving and CCW rotating Adria caused strong compression in the southern Pannonian basin, resulting in ductile deformation of the clay-rich sediments and systematic reorganization of AMS texture while in our study area sediments of similar character and age were at a larger distance from the strongly deforming basin part.

Introduction

Several studies establish the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) as a reliable marker of strain (e.g. Hrouda 1987, Kissel et al. 1986, Hirt et al. 1988, Lee et al. 1990). However, not only the tectonic deformation but also other geological processes, like deposition, compaction, running water can form the AMS of rocks (Hrouda 1987, Tarling and Hrouda, 1983). As a result, the magnetic fabric becomes complex and the separation of deformational and non-deformational parts needs sophisticated methods (Hrouda 1987, Bordás 1992). Luckily the magnetic fabric of the clay rich sediments affected by weak deformation is relatively simple. The dominant feature is foliation due to deposition and compaction, while magnetic lineation has consistent orientation in different samples and in different strata of the same outcrop. The magnetic lineation marks the direction of extension (Sagnotti et al. 1994, Mattei et al. 1997, Borradaile & Jackson 2010, Márton et al. 2011, Pethe et al. 2009, Sipos-Benkő et al. 2012). In extensional settings, the AMS lineation is generally orthogonal to the main normal faults and parallel with the stretching direction of the basin, and has been interpreted as a tectonic feature formed in clayey sediments during the early stages of extensional basin development (Ciffeli et al. 2004). Tectonic deformation is also manifested by brittle structures, like faults and associated joint systems. These features can be observed in the field and evaluated from the viewpoint of the type of stress field and orientation of the principal stress axes. It is common that several consecutive deformation events leave their imprint on a sediment. The aim of the present paper is to investigate on a locality level if the two independent methods, the study of the magnetic anisotropy and the fault-slip analysis provide the same values for the orientation of the extension direction in the Cenozoic clay rich sediments of

the Transdanubian Range. A positive answer to this question would establish the AMS lineation as a reliable marker of the extension direction. Thus, AMS lineation could be confidently used as a proxy for minimum stress axis in outcrops where the outcrop-scale markers of brittle deformation are lacking.

Geological and tectonic settings

The Transdanubian Range consists of isolated hills. They are the Balaton Highlands, Bakony, Vértes, Velence, Gerecse, Buda, Pilis and Visegrád Hills (Fig.1). The Cenozoic sedimentary cycle started with accumulation of bauxites (Mindszenty et al. 1988) which was followed by deposition of paralic coal, alluvial and shallow marine conglomerate and sandstone in the Middle Eocene. These sediments are overlain by neritic limestones and marls (Dudich and Kopek 1980, Báldi 1986). In the earliest Oligocene the area west of the Buda Line was uplifted and denudation removed the top part of the Eocene sequences (Telegdi Roth 1927). Starting from the late Early Oligocene fluvial gravel, sand and variegated clay were deposited in the western and central Transdanubian Range in considerable thickness (400-800m, Csatka Formation, Korpás 1981). It passes laterally to marginal marine, local brackish sandstone, silt(stone) and clay (Mány Fm.) while deep water marine sedimentation continued in the eastern Buda Hills (east of the Buda Line, e.g., Báldi 1986; Tari et al. 1993, Fig.1). Most of the studied Oligocene sites belong to the Csatka or Mány Formations.

From the late Early or Middle Miocene (Karpatian or Badenian using local Parathethys stages, see Nagymarosy 1990) marine sedimentation occurred again in some semi-isolated

depressions (Kókay 1991). The coarse clastic base is covered by shallow-water carbonates, which pass upward or laterally to moderately deep marly or clayey sediments. Except the Buda and Gerecse Hills, upper Middle Miocene (Sarmatian) is often missing, or represented by terrestrial sediments. Sedimentation style changed in the Late Miocene when lacustrine clays, marls were deposited in the large Lake Pannon (Jámbor 1980, Magyar et al. 1999). The lake was filled by deltas prograding generally from NW and deposited sand-rich sediments on the shelves and deep basins which were connected by channels on the slope itself (Szentgyörgyi & Juhász 1988; Vakarcs et al. 1994, Magyar et al. 1999, 2013, Uhrin and Sztanó, 2007). Basalt volcanism started in the latest Miocene but was most intensive in the Pliocene (Balogh et al. 1983, Harangi et al. 1995, Németh and Martin, 1999, Wijbrans et al. 2007).

The general structural development of the Transdanubian Range is known from previous publications (e.g. Márton and Fodor 2003, Fodor 2008, 2010) and is concisely presented in the Appendix of the present paper. According to these studies, 13 phases of deformation can be distinguished. The first five phases are Mesozoic, while phases D6-D13 are of Cenozoic age. They are considered as having affected the Transdanubian Range, and according to Fodor (2010), the entire Pannonian Basin in a relatively uniform manner. As we will discuss later, the present research slightly refined the evolution of the stress field of the Transdanubian Range, which have a consequence for the structural evolution of the entire Pannonian basin.

Methods

Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy (AMS)

The AMS can be described by a second rank symmetric tensor and is represented geometrically by an ellipsoid with the principal axes $k_{\max} > k_{\text{int}} > k_{\min}$, where $k_{\max} = k_1$, $k_{\text{int}} = k_2$, $k_{\min} = k_3$ are magnetic susceptibilities in SI units. The shape of AMS ellipsoids and degree of the anisotropy can be described by several parameters; most of these having different definitions. In the present paper we are using the followings: degree of the magnetic anisotropy (P): k_1/k_3 , degree of lination (L): k_1/k_2 , degree of foliation (F): k_2/k_3 (see e.g. Tarling and Hrouda 1993).

The investigated sediments are shallow marine or fluvial deposits, thus we can exclude gravitational mass movements. The magnetic fabric of a sedimentary rock can be depositional with no current orienting the grains (Fig.2/a), the only forces operating are gravitational and magnetic. In this case the magnetic fabric is foliated, k_{\max} and K_{int} are intermixed on locality level. When a current flow operates, the hydraulic forces tend to align elongated particles parallel to the direction of the flow (Fig.2/b). If sediments undergo tectonic deformation, the fabric will change according to the Fig.2/c/1-4. The stress associated to the progressive ductile deformation induces strain in the material, which influences the magnetic fabric. While for moderate shortening the intermediate and maximum directions are well separated (Fig.2/c/1), increasing pressure leads to interchange between the minimum and intermediate directions (Fig.2/c/2-4).

In this study the principal axes of the AMS ellipsoid were determined from the measurements of the magnetic susceptibility of oriented specimens in 15 different positions with a Kappabridge KLY-2 (AGICO, Brno, Czech Republic) in the paleomagnetic laboratory of the Hungarian Geological and Geophysical Institute of Hungary. The results were evaluated on specimen level with a computer program based on Jelínek (1977), on

locality level using Anisoft 4.2 software (Chadima and Jelínek 2008) based on the theoretical work of Jelínek (1978).

For the study a total of 233 samples of clay and marly clay sediments, collected from 22 outcrops were used. The AMS measurements were carried out on several independently oriented samples from an outcrop in order to facilitate statistical evaluation of the results. For each sampled bed, the dip angle and the dip direction were recorded.

Structural analysis

In order to refine the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Transdanubian Range, systematic structural measurements were carried out in 36 localities (Fig.1): 2 localities in Eocene (Fig.5), 12 localities in Oligocene (Fig.5) and 22 in Miocene outcrops (Fig.6,7). Observations were made on striated fault surfaces, tensional or shear joints, mineral veins, sedimentary dykes, together with measuring the dip angle and the dip direction of the layers. The fault-slip data were analyzed by the computer software of Angelier (1984, 1989). The best fitting stress tensor for a given group of striated faults was calculated by maximizing the shear stress in the direction of the striae (direct inversion method, Angelier 1990). Automatic (Angelier and Manoussis 1980) and interactive phase separations were equally used when two or sometimes three phases were distinguished. Approximation of projected stress axes were made when kinematic indicators for faults were scarce, using the model of Anderson (1951). We used the word tension for the smallest compression (σ_3 eigenvalue) of the stress tensor. With the word extension (shortening) we emphasize a kinematic process under tension (compression, respectively, Howell et al. 2009)

The fault patterns, outcrop scale tectonic observations and combination of the information on regional geology and stress field evolution give the chance to classify determined or estimated stress fields into separate deformation phases. We then compared these phases to the basin-wide classification of Fodor (2010) and remarked similarities and differences with respect to general structural evolution.

The dating of tectonic events producing different sets of faults is based on several criteria. Synsedimentary faults would determine directly the age of deformation, but they are scarce in our study area. In the absence of synsedimentary faults, the age of the deformed rocks, relative age of fault striations and cross-cutting relations did help to determine the relative succession of the deformation phases.

The data are commonly collected from tilted sequences. By applying tilt correction the age of deformation can be classified either as pre-, syn- or post-tilt. In case the deformation occurs before tilting the data must be completely corrected by restoring the bed to its original horizontal position. In the case of syn-tilt fractures, backtilting is only partial and does not reach subhorizontal bedding position. Finally, for post-tilt fractures no tilt correction is needed. Thus tilting gives a good relative chronology of events.

It is also possible to establish the relative order of fractures if reactivation (new slip event) occurred along the surface of previously formed faults. This criterion can be recognized by striae which overprint each other on the same fault plane. However the reactivations could also be suggested by analyzing of stereographic pictures of fractures. In these cases the striae appear on a plane which is not characteristic for the given fault type. For example, it is frequent that the horizontal striae are recognized on moderately dipping planes: this we

interpret so that strike-slip or slightly oblique striae appear on the plane of former normal faults which had dip value of 50°-65°.

Results

Magnetic susceptibility anisotropy

In the studied sediments the magnetic susceptibilities were quite weak (in most cases 200-450 x 10⁻⁶ SI, in the Eocene-Oligocene and 97-218 x 10⁻⁶ SI in the Miocene rocks, Table 1). Thus, it is probable that paramagnetic minerals substantially contribute to the bulk susceptibility. Exceptions are localities 14, 17, 22, 25, 39 where higher bulk susceptibility suggests higher ferromagnetic content. The average anisotropy degrees (P) vary from 6.3 to 15.4%, in the Eocene and Oligocene (Table 1) and from 1.1 to 6.7% in the Miocene sediments (Table 1). In both groups, foliation is the dominant feature of the AMS fabric (higher in Eocene and Oligocene than in Miocene sediments, Table 1), i.e. susceptibility ellipsoids are oblate, which is the result of deposition/compaction. As a rule, the magnetic foliation plane becomes sub-horizontal after tilt correction, which indicates that tectonic deformation must have been weak.

Magnetic lineations are weak on specimen level, maximum and intermediate directions are quite often intermixed. The result is extremely low lineation degree on locality level, which is typical for Miocene sediments (Table 1) Concerning the Palaeogene localities, three patterns can be distinguished. In the first case (example is Fig.3/a) the three principal susceptibility axes are well grouped. In the second case the maxima and the intermediate axes are separated but the confidence intervals are rather extended (example is Fig.3/b). Finally in the third case the maximum and intermediate susceptibility axes are intermixed

i.e. no magnetic lineation was possible to define on locality level (example is Fig.3/c). To the first group belong localities 2, 3, 6, 9, 15/a, to the second, localities 1, 7, 10, 11, 14, 16, 23 to the third, most of the Pannonian localities 13, 17, 19, 20, 22, 25, 31, 32, 34, 39. Apart from these clearly classifiable groups, localities 13. And 6. need further considerations. On mechanical evaluation, locality 13 belongs to the third group. However, it is possible to recognize two populations within the locality, since the maxima are clustering at two places, permitting to recognize a NE-SW (13/a) and NW-SE (13/b) oriented lineation, respectively. Two groups of AMS lineations can also be distinguished at locality 6. Here the first group is clearly related to the two lower horizons sampled (red bauxitic clay and grey clay), and the second to the uppermost horizon of purple clay.

Outcrop-scale tectonic observations

Most of the measured faults are characterized by well developed slickenlines, which allow to precisely defining the fault kinematics in Eocene, Oligocene and Miocene sediments. The regional structural development of the studied localities was based on Fodor et al. (1999), Márton and Fodor (2003), Fodor (2008, 2010) (Fig.4) and was compared to other, more localized works as Sasvári et al. (2007), Kiss and Fodor (2007). The short summary of phases of Fodor (2008, 2010) is in the Appendix of the present paper. According to these studies, 13 phases of deformation can be distinguished from Jurassic to present. The first five phases are older, while phases D6-13 are of Cenozoic age. Concerning the Cenozoic deformations, only two investigated localities fall in the time span of phase D6 (Eocene to earliest Oligocene), but the sites do not exhibit this deformation (Fig.4, Fig.5).

In our analysis the oldest deformation phase could be examined in the Oligocene locality 6 (Fig.4,5), where normal faults with clear syn-sedimentary character were observed, as demonstrated by Benkő et al. (2005) and Fodor (2008). The deformation phase is characterized by NNE-SSW extension, which is parallel with the minimum stress axis of D7 phase of Fodor (2010, Fig.4). The age of syn-sediment deformation is late Early Oligocene, ca. 31-29 Ma. At all other localities investigated by combined magnetic and fault-slip methods, we could not demonstrate the syn-sedimentary character of fractures thus the exact age (Oligocene versus early Miocene) cannot be unambiguously determined. According to Fodor (2010) the separation of D7 and D8 phases could be done if the syn-sedimentary character of deformation D7 could have proven. In the lack of clear syn-sedimentary character, D7 and D8 phases (of Fodor 2008, 2010) cannot be unambiguously separated so we treated these data to belong to one –_although probably combined_– phase. However, to keep our nomenclature close to earlier works, we designate this phase as D7-D8.

The first (D7-D8) deformation phase both in the Eocene and Oligocene sediments is characterized by W-E to NW-SE compression and N-S to NE-SW tension (Fig.5), with conjugate strike-slip faults: sinistral and dextral strike-slip faults are trending N-S to NW-SE and E-W to NE-SW, respectively. Normal faults are trending WNW-ESE, characterizing NNE-SSW extension in localities 2, 10. Small-scale compressional structures (locality 5) with NE-SW strike were also identified by Kiss et al. (2001) and Márton & Fodor (2003). Sasvári et al. (2007) measured close strike-slip stress field as well with NNE-SSW extension and WNW-ESE compression (Fig.4).

The second phase (D9) was characterized by NE-SW tension (Fig.4,5). Normal faults and joints are trending NW-SE to NNW-SSSE (localities 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12), oblique-sinistral faults are trending N-S in Eocene and Oligocene localities 2 and 12 (Fig.5). In the Lower Miocene sediments (Ottungian-Karpatian) the NW-SE maximal and NE-SW minimal principal stresses characterize conjugate strike-slip faults (Fig.6). The left lateral strike-slip faults are trending N-S to NNW-SSE, the right lateral strike-slip faults are trending E-W. The strike-slip faults represent the oldest phase in this locality because they were formed before a local tilting event, while the younger phase postdates tilting. The observed strike-slip character is a local feature, and is connected to closely located map-scale strike-slip faults (Mészáros 1983, Balla and Dudko 1989, Sasvári et al. 2007). Similar local stress field occurs in other Pannonian Basin localities (Fodor et al. 1999). Pre-tilt nature of this stress field could occur at early stage of D9 phase, just after or partly coeval to sedimentation, probably in the Karpatian to early Badenian.

The superposition of the striations on fault surfaces clearly indicates the change of the stress field in locality 6 from the NE-SW tension to W-E tensional stress field in the Middle Miocene, around 15-14 Ma. The normal faults of this phase are trending N-S in localities 4, 10, 13. (Fig.5), so they most probably belong to the D10 phase. However, local time constraints are lacking, so the classification into D9 or D10 stress fields are solely based on stress axes direction and comparison to more regional data sets (e.g. Fodor et al. 1999, Fig.4) but does not occur in all analyses (Sasvári et al. 2007). In the Middle Miocene sediments (locality 15/a, Fig.6) only two pieces of N-S trending joints seem to fit to this phase. Supposing their purely extensional origin, E-W extension of D10 phase could be estimated using Anderson (1951) method.

The most pronounced structural elements of the next D11 deformation phase are conjugate sets of strike-slip faults (locality 3, Fig.5). The left- and right lateral strike slips are trending NNE-SSW and NW-SE, respectively. The stress field is characterized by NNW-SSE compression and ENE-WSW tension (Fig.4). ENE-WSW tension was calculated in locality 8 (Fig.5), but the presence of oblique dextral strike-slip faults may suggest its classification to D11 instead of D10 phase. The strike-slip type stress field characterizes the map-scale dextral strike-slip faults, which are typical in the Bakony Mts in the Middle-Late Miocene (Mészáros, 1983, Kiss and Fodor, 2007, Sasvári et al. 2007).

The following phase was an extensional deformation (D12), which has affected all formations including the late Miocene (Pannonian) strata. The direction of the minimal stress axes showed a larger dispersal in the earlier works of Fodor et al. (1999) and Fodor (2008, 2010) ranging from E-W to SE-NW (Fig.4). With our detailed analysis we think that this variations are not random but can be systematic, thus we separated the D12 phase to D12a and D12b phases (Fig.5,6,7). The D12a phase is characterized by E-W to WNW-ESE tension. The most typical structural elements of this deformational sub-phase were the NNW-SSE, N-S to NNE-SSW trending normal faults and joints. The D12b sub-phase is characterized by NW-SW tension (Fig.5, 6, 7). The typical structural elements were the NNE-SSW to NNE-SSW trending normal faults and joints. Although these two phases can find in Eocene (Fig.4), Oligocene (Fig.5), and Middle Miocene (Fig.6) sediments, the separation of phases in single sites have uncertainties, the number of phases and the relative chronology of stress fields were supported by observations of several phases in Pannonian localities 17, 19, 21, 23.

The youngest D13 strike-slip phase is marked by NNE-SSW compression and WNW-ESE tension. Conjugate sets of strike-slip faults were observed, the dextral faults trend NNW-SSE, the sinistral ones NE-SW (Fig.7). This phase appeared mostly in the Late Miocene sediments (Fig.7). It could be correlated well with D13 phase of Fodor (2008, 2010, Fig.4). The relative timing of phases D12a, D12b, D13 can be determined by superimposed striations and reactivated nature of faults. The best locality is 23 when three phases were observed (Fig.7). The dextral striae of the D13 are slightly oblique, and occur on steep but not sub-vertical planes: both can refer to reactivation. The D13 dextral striae are on the plane of N-S trending faults of the first phase (D12a) which means that the oblique dextral striae are younger than the steep N-S planes themselves. The gently plunging sinistral striae (D13) are on the NE-SW trending moderately dipping planes of former normal faults, which means that the sinistral striae are younger than and reactivated the older normal faults (D12b).

Another example for reactivation is locality 17. Two phases are distinguished; an NW-SE extension (D12b) and a strike-slip type phase with N-S compression and ENE-WSW extension (D13). Left- and right lateral strike-slips are trending NE-SW and NW-SE, respectively. For both fault sets, the strike-slip striae appear on steeply-moderately dipping planes of the older normal faults, which indicate reactivation of tensional normal faults by a younger stress field.

Despite the relatively clear relative chronology, the “absolute” timing of these phases is not clear. While the D13 phase is considered as the neotectonic phase, it may have an age of late(?) Pliocene-Quaternary (ca. 4-0 Ma), while D12a and D12b could occur during the Late Miocene-(Pannonian) to early Pliocene, ca. 10-4Ma.

The locality 41 is an exception with respect to D12a, D12b and D13 phases where NNE-SSW tension occurs. We have no clear explanation for this local deformation. One explanation could be that fractures are parallel to the direction of the extension. It could be the case if the stress axes σ_2 and σ_3 could switch; such stress axes permutation has been reported in other extensional terrains (Angelier and Bergerat 1983).

Discussion

Comparison of AMS and paleostress data

Eocene and Oligocene sediments

From the microtectonic measurements one or more deformation phases were calculated or estimated. For localities 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10 and 14, the oldest phase observed can be identified as belonging to D7-D8, while for localities 1, 7, 8, 11, 12 it is D9. When the minimum stress axes of the oldest phase appearing at the respective localities are compared to AMS lineations (Fig.5) they show close correlations (Fig.8). Expectations are localities 2 and 10 (Fig.5) where the AMS lineations are parallel the second phase of the local brittle deformation history, however, the fractures are only represented by joints, so their interpretation in terms of stress is not unequivocal. Therefore the AMS lineations of the above groups are compared deliberately to the extensional direction of D9. The data set could be separated into two groups (Fig.9). In one group AMS axis k_1 is correlated with the NNE-SSW extensional direction of the D7-D8 strike-slip phase (Fig.4,8,9, localities 3, 6, 9, 14), in the second one with the NE-SW (σ_3) direction of the D9 extensional phase (Fig.4, 8,9, localities 1, 2, 7, 10, 11). The average angular difference between the direction of AMS lineation and the extensional stress axes of D7-D8 is 8°, for phase D9 it is only 2°

(Fig.9, Table 2). Thus, it is reasonable to conclude that directional similarity reflects true structural connection and the two deformation markers are interconnected and characterize the same deformation phase.

The average angular difference between the minimum stress axes of the two sets of data (phase D7-D8 and D9) is ca. 15-20°, in the same range as the observed difference between the paleomagnetic directions of two rotation phases (Márton and Fodor, 2003), suggesting that the change in the orientation of the fault-related extension is mostly apparent, i.e. basically due to the general CCW rotation of the Transdanubian Range (Márton and Fodor, 2003).

Despite the general agreement between the minimum stress axes and AMS lineations, there are two cases with slightly different scenario. For locality 14 the AMS lineation is quite far (26°) from its structural data (Fig.9, Table 2), and very close the locality 7. While data set 7 is of extension type, data set 14 is strike-slip type. This agrees well with our other paleostress data (Fig.5) and supports the hypothesis about a separate extensional and a strike-slip phase. The other option is that the AMS lineation for locality 14 signs an other stress field, which could not be measured by structural method. In case of locality 13 the interpretation of the AMS fabric (Fig.10) is not unique and the structural measurements also indicated two phases. The older phase (D10) is a W-E extensional phase has no manifestation in the AMS fabric, but the younger one (D12b) is parallel with the 13/b lineation direction. A possible interesting explanation of this result is that the effect of a younger structural event appears on the AMS fabric. Concerning the NE-SW direction of AMS lineation, it is not visible in the local structural data, but it is parallel with the

extensional direction of D9 phase: in this scenario, the AMS lineation would indicate the same early phase as in other localities (Fig. 5, localities 1, 2, 6, 7, 10), but in site 13, the structural record would not show this D9 phase.

At locality 6 (Orondpuszta) we carried out measurements on samples from three beds of different color and composition: the oldest being red bauxitic clay (Fig.11, 6/a), the next a grey marlstone (Fig.11, 6/b), the third a purple marlstone (Fig.11, 6/c). The mean lineation for the two older beds is somewhat different, but not significantly. The oldest extensional axis of the fault-slip data is slightly better aligned to the lineation of the grey layer than the red one. Here we have argument that the faults are related to a syn-sedimentary deformation of late Early Oligocene age (Benkő et al. 2005) so they can be classified to phase D7 (Fodor 2008). The direction of the AMS lineation for the third bed is parallel to the extension of a younger phase (D12b).

It is to note that at several localities (1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13) neither the AMS nor the fault-slip data set do not record the oldest possible deformation phase, which occurred just after the deposition of the studied sediments. In the Oligocene sediments, the oldest possible phase would be the D7 syn-sedimentary mid-Oligocene phase or the post-sedimentary latest Oligocene–Early Miocene D8 phase, while in the above localities only the D9 phase occur which post-dates sedimentation by 5-12 Ma. This most likely indicates an uneven occurrence and/or preservation of fractures and the AMS pattern; i.e. the D7 and D8 phases have not affected uniformly the whole of the Transdanubian Range.

In summary, the majority of the localities exhibit a close correlation between the directions of the AMS lineations and extensional stress axes which proves that the magnetic lineation is of deformational origin. Moreover, the magnetic fabric mainly, but not exclusively

reflects the first deformation phase which is recorded in the rock. As we discussed earlier, this is not necessarily the oldest possible syn-sedimentary deformation but the first which left traces in the sediments. This conclusion was earlier observed by several authors working with clay rich young sediments. (Alfonsi 1997, Mattei et al. 1997, Cifelli et al. 2004, Soto et al. 2008, Márton et al. 2011). It is possible that the first occurring ductile deformation is recorded in the AMS fabric, and the closely following brittle deformation in the microtectonic markers. Alternatively, the ductile deformation marks the grain size deformation picture, while fractures reflect deformation pattern on outcrop-scale.

Lower and Middle Miocene (Ottangian-Badenian) sediments

The susceptibility axes are well grouped and show magnetic fabric lineation in locality 15a, and are parallel to the direction of extension (Fig.6). The well grouped direction of lineation is aligned with the extensional axis of the D9 stress field of Karpatian-early Badenian age. Although this phase is generally extensional, local strike-slip type stress state is present, particularly close to site 15, where several important map-scale strike-slip faults crosscut the Bakony Mts. (Fig.1, Mészáros 1983, Kókay 1976, 1996, Sasvári et al. 2007). The direction of lineation and the extension is NE-SW, like in several Eocene-Oligocene sites. In locality 16 the AMS maxima and the intermediate axes are separated but the confidence ellipses are quite big.

Late Miocene (Pannonian) sediments

The magnetic fabrics of Late Miocene sediments studied with both methods are foliated. The maximum and intermediate susceptibility axes are scattered, so it could not be to

correlated to any tectonic phase (without arrow). Exception is locality 23, with a weakly developed lineation superimposed on the dominantly foliated AMS fabric. In this case, the lineation can be interpreted as due to water flow, since the minima are showing smeared distribution towards the maxima (Fig.2/b,7). However, the influence of tectonic deformation on the AMS fabric can not be excluded, since the lineation is sub-parallel to the extensional axis of the D12b faulting phase (Fig.7).

There are five other localities, where there were AMS studied without structural analysis (Fig.7). All, except one have only foliated fabric. The exception is locality 32, with well defined magnetic lineation which can be due to tectonic deformation. This AMS direction is parallel to estimated extension (ENE-WSW extension) at site Diszel (locality 37). Both localities are on the neighborhood of Tapolca trough (Fig.1). Looking to map-scale structures, this direction is perpendicular to the faulted margin of the Tapolca trough (Thamóné et al. 2012, Fig.1), so a tectonic (extensional) origin of this AMS is quite probable. Thus we interpret the AMS texture of locality 32 as being similar to fracture data of locality 37. According to the age of sediments at both localities 32 ad 37 the direction of AMS was created in the D12a phase.

The comparison of AMS data from Late Miocene and older sediments

The AMS fabric of older sediments has foliated texture with weak lineation means tectonic effects of stress fields. The AMS texture of the studied Late Miocene sediments is only sedimentological character without any tectonically interpretable lineation. It can mean that there was no tectonic deformation, only somewhat later, when the deformation of AMS was not possible anymore. An alternative interpretation would be that the intensity of the early,

closely syn-sedimentary ductile deformation was very small in late Miocene sediments, not enough to imprint the AMS pattern, while the brittle deformation might have been somewhat stronger. It is to note that late Miocene faulting was not very strong in the studied localities; fault displacements remained modest. The amount of mesoscale faulting was much larger in the Oligocene localities where, in fact, the AMS data depict the ductile aspect of this deformation.

New elements in the Late Miocene to Quaternary stress field classification and evolution

Since 35 localities were investigated by structural methods, with this considerable number we can now refine the Late Miocene and Pliocene-Quaternary structural and stress field evolution, outlined by Fodor et al. (1999), Márton and Fodor (2003), Bada et al. (2010) and Fodor (2010). One Eocene (locality 2), four Oligocene (locality 4, 5, 9, 13) and 15 Late Miocene sites recorded the D12 deformation phase, which can be now separated into D12a and D12b mainly based on the orientation of σ_3 tensional axes. The D12a phase is characterized by E-W to WNW-ESE extension. The D12b phase is characterized by NW-SW extension. Although the separation of these two phases is tentative, their existence seems to be quite realistic.

The kinematics and fault geometries of the youngest deformation phase (D13) are similar to those inferred for the neotectonic (also present-day) stress field (Fodor et al. 2005, Bada et al. 2010) on the basis of various data sets, including very few outcrop-scale fractures. Our data set extends the number of localities where this neotectonic phase has been recorded by brittle fractures.

Contrast between the ductile and brittle deformation of the Late Miocene sediment in the Transdanubian Range and in the Slovenian and Croatian parts of the South Pannonian Basin

The magnetic fabrics of the Late Miocene sediments in the Transdanubian Range are foliated, in the majority of the cases without lineation, i. e. do not show any sign of ductile deformation. In contrast, joints and faults were observed in the outcrops which were interpreted in terms of two extensional (10-4 Ma) and a younger strike-slip phase.

In the southern Pannonian Basin the same types of sediments of similar ages are lacking the markers of brittle deformation, but have well-developed, lineations interpreted as due to shortening or transpressional deformation (Márton et al, 2011).

The explanation may be that the northward moving and counterclockwise (CCW) rotating Adriatic microplate induced the deformation in the whole Pannonian Basin. However, this motion caused strong shortening (Tomljenović and Csontos 2001), resulting in the ductile deformation of the young clay-rich sediments in the southern Pannonian basin. The Transdanubian Range was further north from the location of strong shortening deformation and probably had more rigid pre-Cenozoic basement, therefore its young sediments were not affected by ductile deformation.

Conclusions

Based on the study of the magnetic fabrics of clay and marly clay sediments from the Transdanubian Range, central Hungary (22 geographically distributed localities, 233 samples) and the results of structural measurements (35 localities) the following conclusions can be made.

1. The Eocene, Oligocene and Lower Miocene sediments show similar characters in AMS fabric with dominant foliation and weak lineation. The directions of AMS lineation are aligned either to the direction of NNS-SSW extension of a strike-slip phase (30-19 Ma) or to the direction of NE-SW of an extension phase (19-14 Ma).
2. The magnetic lineation, which is due to ductile deformation, is in the majority of the cases aligned with the direction of the extension of the oldest brittle deformation phase recognized in the studied sediment.
3. The oldest recorded ductile and brittle deformations in a given locality are not always related to the oldest deformation phase, which could have affected the sediment. While the absence of the markers of the synsedimentary brittle deformation are not expected to appear at every particular locality, it is an open question why strictly syn-sedimentary deformation at several localities is not reflected in the AMS data.
4. The magnetic fabrics of Late Miocene sediments are foliated without lineation. They exhibit no sign of tectonic (ductile) deformation. Nevertheless, joints and faults, as the sign of the brittle deformation, were observable. We separated two extensional phases between 10-4 Ma, the first one is an E-W to WNW-ESE tension, the second exhibits NW-SW extension. This separation is tentative but would represent a more detailed separation of post-Middle Miocene evolution than earlier works. Furthermore we identified the youngest strike-slip phase with an E-W tension and a N-S compression. This youngest (neotectonic) deformation phase agrees well with the existing few measurements in Pannonian basin but extend the number of localities with neotectonic fractures.

5. The acquisition of the AMS lineation does not seem to post-date more than ca.10 Ma the time of sedimentation. In all cases except for two localities, where the markers of the brittle deformation are weak, the AMS lineation reliably reflects the locally oldest brittle deformation. Thus the two methods reliably complete each other: any of them can be used for determination of the direction of extension; AMS measurements are good for sites where the outcrop-scale markers of brittle deformation are lacking or were not studied.
6. The results of the present study from the Late Miocene sediments of the Transdanubian Range differ significantly from those obtained earlier for clay rich sediments of similar ages from the Slovenian and Croatian parts of the southern Pannonian basin. In the latter area well developed lineation due to ductile deformation was observed, but markers of brittle deformation were rare. Northward moving and CCW rotating Adria can explain the strong compression, which resulted in the ductile deformation of the clay-rich sediments in the southern Pannonian Basin. The distance of the Transdanubian Range was larger from moving Adria, therefore its young sediments were not affected by ductile deformation.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), Grant No K 81530 and 105245. The manuscript was improved by the valuable comments of two reviewers, Ágoston Sasvári and an anonymous one; their helps are acknowledged here. Part of the work has been prepared in the MTA-ELTE Geological, Geophysical and Space Science Research Group, Budapest.

References

- Alfonsi, L. 1997: Paleomagnetic and anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) analyses of the Plio-Pleistocene extensional Todi-basin (Central Italy). – *Annali Di Geofisica*, Vol. XL, N. 6. 1535-1549.
- Anderson, E. M. 1951: *The dynamics of faulting*. – Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 206 p.
- Angelier, J., S. Manoussis 1980: Classification automatique et distinction des phases superposée en tectonique de failles. – *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 290, série D, 651–654.
- Angelier, J., F. Bergerat 1983: Systemes de contrainte et extension intracontinentale. – *Bulletin Centres Rech. Exploration-Production Elf-Aquitaine* 7/1, 137–147.
- Angelier, J. 1984: Tectonic analysis of fault slip data sets. – *Journal of Geophysical Research* 8. (B7), pp. 5835–5848.
- Angelier, J. 1989: From orientation to magnitudes in palaeostress determination using fault slip data. – *Journal of Structural Geology* 11/1-2, pp. 37–50.
- Angelier, J. 1990: Inversion of field data in fault tectonics to obtain the regional stress – III. A new rapid direct inversion method by analytical means – *Geophysical Journal International*, 103, pp. 363–376.
- Bada, G., P. Szafián, O. Vincze, T. Tóth, L. Fodor, V. Spiess, F. Horváth 2010: Neotektonikai viszonyok a Balaton keleti medencéjében és tágabb környezetében nagyfelbontású szeizmikus mérések alapján. *Földtani Közlöny* 140/4, pp. 367-390.
- Balla, Z., A. Dudko 1989: Large-scale Tertiary strike-slip displacements recorded in the structure of the Transdanubian Range. *Geophys. Trans.* 35, pp. 364.

Balogh, K., E.Árva-Sós, Z.Pécskay, L.Ravasz-Baranyai 1983: K/Ar dating of post-Sarmatian alkali basaltic rocks in Hungary. – *Acta Mineralogica-Petrologica Segediensis* 28, 7594.

Báldi, T. 1986: Mid-Tertiary Stratigraphy and Paleogeographic Evolution of Hungary. – Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 293 p.

Benkő, K., L.Fodor, E.Márton 2005: Structural and paleomagnetic analysis of Miocene rocks in Northern Transdanubia. – 3rd Meeting of the Central European Tectonic Studies Group and 10th Meeting of the Czech Tectonic Studies Group, Felsőtárkány.

Bordás, R., 1992: Magnetic fabric and strain in Miocene volcanics in the southern margin of the Bükk Mountains, Hungary. – *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*. 70, 205–213.

Borradaile, G. J., M.Jackson 2010: Structural geology, petrofabrics and magnetic fabrics (AMS, AARM, AIRM). – *Journal of Structural Geology* 32 pp. 15191551.

Cifelli, F., F.Rossetti, M.Mattei, A.M.Hirt, R.Uniciello, L.Tortorici 2004: An AMS, structural and paleomagnetic study of quaternary deformation in eastern Sicily. – *Journal of Structural Geology*, 26, pp. 2946.

Chadima, M., V.Jelínek 2008. Anisoft 4.2. – Anisotropy data browser. *Contributions to Geophysics and Geodesy*, 38, special issue, 41.

Dudich, E., G.Kopek 1980: A Bakony és környéke Eocén ősföldrajzának vázlata. – *Földtani Közlöny*, 110, pp. 417431.

Dudko, A., G.Bence, I.Selmeci 1992: The tectonic origin of Miocene basins on the southwestern edge of the Transdanubian Central Range. – *Ann. Rep. Hung. Geol. Surv.* 1990, pp. 107124.

Fodor L. 2008: Geology of the Vértes Hills. Explanatory book to the Geological Map of the Vértes Hills 1:50000. – Magyar Állami Földtani Intézet, pp. 282-300.

Fodor, L. I. 2010: Mesozoic-Cenozoic stress fields and fault patterns in the northwestern part of the Pannonian Basin – methodology and structural analysis (Doctoral work for the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, pp. 129, 7 appendices).

Fodor, L., M.Kázmér, Á.Magyari, A.Fogarasi 1992: Gravity flow dominated sedimentation on the Buda Paleoslope (Hungary). Record of Late Eocene continental escape of the Bakony Unit. – *Geol. Rundsh.* 82, pp. 695716.

Fodor, L., L.Csontos, G.Bada, I.Gyórfi, L.Benkovics 1999: Tertiary tectonic evolution of the Pannonian basin system and neighbouring orogens: a new syntheses of paleostress data. In: Durand, B., Jolivet, L., Horváth, F., Séranne, M. (Eds), *The Mediterranean Basins: Tertiary Extension within the Alpine Orogen.* – *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, vol. 156, pp. 295-334.

Fodor, L., G.Bada, G.Csillag, E.Horváth, Zs.Ruszkiczay-Rüdiger, K.Palotás, F.Síkhegyi, G.Timár, S.Cloetingh, F.Horváth 2005: An outline of neotectonic structures and morphotectonics of the western and central Pannonian basin. – *Tectonophysics* 410, 15–41.

Fülöp, J., A.Rónai, G.Hámor, E.Nagy, G.Császár, Á.Jámbor, R.Hetényei, M.Deák, P.Gyarmati 1984: Geological map of Hungary, 1:500 000. – *Geol. Inst. Hung.*, Budapest

Gyalog, L., G.Császár, (Eds.), 1982: Geological map of the Bakony Mts., 1:50 000. – *Hung. Geol. Inst.*, Budapest

Haas, J., S.Kovács, L.Krystyn, R.Lein 1995: Significance of Late Permian-Triassic facies zones in terrane reconstructions in the Alpine-North Pannonian domain. – *Tectonophysics*, 242, 19–40.

Harangi, Sz., O.Vaselli, S.Tonarini, Cs.Szabó, R.Harangi, N.Coradossi 1995: Petrogenesis of Neogene extension-related alkaline volcanic rocks of the Little Hungarian Plain Volcanic Field (Western Hungary). – *Acta Vulcanol.* 7, pp. 173-188.

Hirt, A.M., W.Lowrie, W.S.Clendenen, R. Kligfield 1988: The correlation of magnetic anisotropy with strain in the Chelmsford Formation of the Sudbury Basin, Ontario. – *Tectonophysics*, 145, pp. 177-189.

Howell, P., G.Kozyreff, J.Ockendon 2009: *Applied Solid Mechanics*. – Cambridge University Press

Hrouda, F. 1987: Mathematical model relationship between the paramagnetic anisotropy and strain in slates. – *Tectonophysics*, 142, pp. 323-327.

Jámbor, Á. 1980: A Dunántúli-középhegység pannóniai képződményei (Pannonian in the Transdanubian Central Mountains). – *Ann. Geol. Inst. Hung.* 62, pp. 1259.

Jelínek, V. 1977: The statistical theory of measuring anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility of rocks and its applications. – *Geofyzika*, Brno, 88 pp.

Jelínek, V. 1978: Statistical processing of anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility measured on group of specimens and its applications. – *Stud. Geophys. Geod.* 22, pp. 50-62.

Kázmér, M. 1987: A Lower Cretaceous submarine fan sequence in the Gerecse Mts., Hungary. – *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis De Rolando Eötvös Nominatae – Sectio Geologica* 27. Pp. 101-116.

Kercsmár, Zs. 2005: A Tatabányai-medence földtani felépítésének és fejlődéstörténetének újabb kutatási eredményei tektono-szedimentológiai és üledékföldtani vizsgálatok alapján. – PhD értekezés, Eötvös Loránd Tudományegyetem, Őslénytani Tanszék, 175 p.

Kiss, A., B.Gellért, L.Fodor 2001: Structural history of the Porva Basin in the Northern Bakony Mts. (Western Hungary): implications for the Mesozoic and Tertiary tectonic evolution of the Transdanubian Range and Pannonian Basin. – *Geologica Carpathica*, 52, pp. 183190.

Kiss, A., L.Fodor 2007: Miocene dextral transpression along the Csesznek Zone of the northern Bakony Mountains (Transdanubian Range, western Hungary). – *Geologica Carpathica*, 58, 5, pp. 465475.

Kissel, C., E.Barrier, C.Laj, T-Q.Lee 1986: Magnetic fabric in “undeformed” marine clays from compressional zones. – *Tectonics* 5(5), pp. 769781.

Kókay, J. 1976: Geomechanical investigation of the southern margin of the Bakony Mts. And the age of the Litér fault line. – *Acta Geologica Hungarica* 20, pp. 245257.

Kókay, J. 1991: Stratigraphic revision of Lower and Middle-Miocene sediments of the Várpalota basin. In: Lobitzer, H., Császár, G. (Eds.), *Jubiläumsschrift 20 Jahre Geologische Zusammenarbeit Österreich – Ungarn 1*. Geol. Survey of Austria, Vienna, and Geol. Inst. of Hungary, Budapest, pp. 101109.

Kókay, J. 1996: Tectonic review of the Neogene Várpalota Basin. – *Földtani Közlöny* 126, pp. 417446 (in Hungarian).

Korpás, L. 1981: Oligocene - lower Miocene formations of the Transdanubian Central Mountains. – *Annals Geol. Inst. Hung.* 64, pp. 140.

Lee, T., C.Kissel, C.Laj, Ch.Horng, Y.Lue 1990: Magnetic fabric analysis of the Plio-Pleistocene sedimentary formations of the Coastal Range of Taiwan. – *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 98, pp. 2332.

Magyar, I., D.H.Geary, P.Müller 1999: Paleogeographic evolution of the Late Miocene Lake Pannon in Central Europe. – *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 147, pp. 151–167.

Magyar, I., D.Radivojević, O.Sztanó, R.Synak, K.Ujzászi, M.Pócsik 2013: Progradation of the paleo-Danube shelf margin across the Pannonian Basin during the Late Miocene and Early Pliocene. – *Global and Planetary Change* 102, pp. 168173.

Márton, E., L.Fodor 2003: Tertiary paleomagnetic results and structural analysis from the Transdanubian Range (Hungary): rotational disintegration of the Alcapa unit. – *Tectonophysics*, 363, pp. 201224.

Márton, E., B.Tomljenović, D.Pavelić, M.Pethe, R.Avanić, B.Jelen 2011: Magnetic fabric of Late Miocene clay-rich sediments from the southern Pannonian basin, – *Int J Earth Sci (Geol Rundsch)*, DOI 10.1007/s00531-011-0669-8

Mattei M, L.Sagnotti, C.Faccenna, R.Funiciello 1997: Magnetic fabric of weakly deformed clay-rich sediments in the Italian peninsula: relationship with compressional and extensional tectonics. – *Tectonophysics* 172, pp. 107122.

Mészáros, J. 1982: Major horizontal tectonic dislocation as a guide to mineral prospectors in the western Bakony Mountains. – *Ann. Rep. Geol. Inst. Hungary from 1980*, pp. 517-536.

Mészáros, J. 1983: Structural and economic-geological significance of strike-slip faults in the Bakony Mountains. – *Ann. Rep. Geol. Inst. Hungary from 1981*, pp. 485502.

Mindszenty, A., M.Szintai, K.Tóth, F.Szantner, T.Nagy, M.Gellai, G.Baross 1988: Sedimentology and depositional environment of Csabpuszta bauxite (Paleocene/Eocene) in the South Bakony Mts. (Hungary). – *Acta Geol. Hung.* 31, pp. 339370.

Nagymarosy, A. 1990: Paleogeographical and paleotectonical outlines of some intracarpathian Paleogene Basins. – *Geologica Carpathica* 41(3), pp. 259-274.

Németh, K., U.Martin 1999: Late Miocene paleogeomorphology of the Bakony Balaton Highland Volcanic field (BBHVF), Hungary. – *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie* 43, pp. 417-438.

Pethe, M., E.Márton, P.Márton, 2009: AMS lineations and the orientation of stress axes during Early and Middle Miocene in the Transdanubian Range, Hungary. – *Abstract Book of the IAGA 11th Scientific Assembly*, 111-TUE-P1110-0867

Royden, L.H., F.Horváth (eds.) 1988: The Pannonian basin: A case study in basin evolution. – *AAPG Memoir* 45, pp. 1-394.

Sagnotti, L., C.Faccenna, R.Funiciello, M.Mattei 1994: Magnetic fabric and structural setting of Plio-Pliocene clayey units in an extensional regime: the Tyrrhenian margin of central Italy. – *J. Struct Geol* 16(9), pp. 1243-1257.

Sasvári, Á., A.Kiss, L.Csontos 2007: Paleostress investigation and kinematic analysis along the Telegdi Roth Fault (Bakony Mountains, western Hungary). – *Geologica Carpathica*, 58, 5, pp. 477-486.

Sipos-Benkő, K., E.Márton, L.Fodor, M.Pethe 2012: An integrated magnetic susceptibility anisotropy (AMS) and structural geology study on Cenozoic clay rich sediments from the Transdanubian Range. – *Environmental, Structural and Stratigraphical Evolution of the Western Carpathians*, 8th Conference 2012, Abstract Book, pp. 44.

Soto, R., A.M.Casas-Sainz, J.J.Villalain, A.Gil-Imaz, G.Fernández-González, P.Del Río, M.Calvo and T.Mochales 2008: Characterizing the Mesozoic extension direction in the

northern Iberian plate margin by anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS). – *Journal of the Geological Society* 165, pp. 1007-1018.

Szentgyörgyi, K., Gy.Juhász 1988: Sedimentological characteristics of the Neogene sequences in SW Transdanubia, Hungary. – *Acta Geologica Hungarica* 31/3–4, pp. 209–225.

Tari, G. 1991: Multiple Miocene block rotation in the Bakony Mountains, Transdanubian Central Range, Hungary. – *Tectonophysics* 199, pp. 93-103.

Tari, G., T.Báldi, M.Báldi-Beke 1993: Paleogene retroarc flexural basin beneath the Neogene Pannonian Basin: a geodynamical model. – *Tectonophysics* 226, pp. 433-455.

Tari, G. 1994: Alpine Tectonics of the Pannonian basin. – PhD. Thesis, Rice University, Texas, USA. 501 pp.

Tarling, D.H., F.Hrouda 1993: *The Magnetic Anisotropy of Rocks*. – Chapman and Hall, London, 217 p.

Thamóné Bozsó, E., G.Csillag, O.Kákay-Szabó, P.Kónya, E.Király, P.M.Müller 2012: Szél által polírozott pleisztocén kőzetfelszínek vizsgálati eredményei a Dunántúli-középhegységéből. – *Magyar Állami Földtani Intézet Évi Jelentése* pp. 41-53.

Telegdi Roth, K. 1927: Spuren einer infaoligozänen Denudation am nordwestlichen Rande des Transdanubischen Mittelgebirges. – *Földtani Közlöny* 57, pp. 117-128.

Uhrin, A., O.Sztanó 2007: Reconstruction of Pliocene fluvial channels flowing to Lake Pannon. – *Geologica Carpathica* 58/3.

Vakarcs, G., P.R.Vail, G.Tari, Gy.Pogácsás, R.E.Mattick, A.Szabó 1994: Third-order Middle Miocene–Early Pliocene depositional sequences in the prograding delta complex of the Pannonian Basin. – *Tectonophysics*, 240, pp. 81–106.

Formázott: Német
(németországi)

Tomljenović, B., L.Csontos 2001: Neogene-Quaternary structures in the border zone between Alps, Dinarides and Pannonian Basin (Hrvatsko zagorje and Karlovac Basins, Croatia), – *Int. J. Earth Sciences (Geol Rundsch)* 90, pp. 560-578.

Wijbrans, J., K.Németh, U.Martin, K.Balogh 2007: $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ geochronology of Neogene phreatomagmatic volcanism in the western Pannonian Basin, Hungary. – *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 164, pp. 193-204.

Appendix

The structural evolution of the area

The Transdanubian Range (TR) has a complex deformation history in the Neogene thus detailed modern structural documentation and analysis started relatively late (Mészáros 1982, 1983; Balla and Dudko 1989; Dudko et al. 1992; Fodor et al. 1992; Kiss et al. 2001). Fodor (2008, 2010) divided the structural evolution in the Pannonian basin into 13 deformation phases and determined the stress fields of each these phases. Although the Triassic has great significance because of the formation of the Vardar-Meliata branch of the NeoTethys ocean (Haas et al. 1995), only a few fault-slip data is available from this period in Hungary. This is the reason that according to Fodor (2008, 2010) the first deformation phase (D1) happened in the Jurassic-Early Berriasian (172–140Ma) with NNE-SSW or NE-SW extension. In the TR there were different Cretaceous strata (Kázmér 1987) and a variable geodynamic background (Tari, 1994). This makes possible that in the TR the early Cretaceous stress field was not homogenous but had local characters. Thus the second stress field (D2) can be characterized by a NW-SE transtensional stress field in the 140–115Ma. The third deformation phase (D3) could happen in the middle of Cretaceous (108–86Ma) marked by a NW-SE compressional stress field. After this folding period the NE-SE compressional and NW-SE extensional stress field (D4) occurred in the late Cretaceous (86–65Ma). The D5 phase could happened during the Maastrichtian(?) to Early-Eocene(?) (72?–49Ma), although the temporal limits of this phase are poorly constrained. Our paper focuses on the period from Middle Eocene to Recent, so the following phases are explained more in details.

The Middle to Late Eocene basins were characterized by WNW–ESE to NW–SE oriented compression and perpendicular tension (*D6 phase, 49-34Ma*). This phase was established by numerous syn-sedimentary structures in the northern TR (Fodor et al. 1992, Kercksmár 2005). While we did not observe syn-sedimentary Eocene deformation, this phase does not appear in our analysis. The late Early to Late Oligocene stress field (*D7 phase, 30-23 Ma*) was similar than in the Eocene, but it was only separated from the older (D6) and younger phases (D8) when relative chronology was observed or the deformation was syn-sedimentary. The most typical structures are the strike-slip faults, oblique-slip faults and reverse faults.

In the early Miocene (up to the end of Eggenburgian, ca. 19 Ma, using the time scale of Nagymarosy (1990)) WNW-ESE to NW-SE compression and perpendicular tension (*strike-slip phase (D8)*) was marked as well. The D6-D7-D8 phases were very similar to each other. This conclusion is in agreement with Tari et al. (1993) and Fodor et al. (1999) where shortening deformation characterized the Palaeogene basin evolution. The base of the separation of three phases is the presence of syn-sedimentary structures at different scales from outcrop to basin scale. The major Neogene rifting phase of the Pannonian basin reactivated most of the inherited structures trending between NW–SE and NE–SW (*D9 phase*) (Fodor et al. 1999). The *extensional (D9)* phase is characterized by NE-SW tension. The phase could begin 19 Ma years ago (Early Miocene, Ottnangian) and lasted up to the Middle Miocene (15 Ma). This phase is marked by NW-SE trending normal faults but E-W trending dextral faults could also be important locally. The *D10 phase* is marked by E-W extension, initiated in the Middle Miocene (late Badenian) and continued to exist in the Sarmatian (~13–11.5 Ma). The Miocene extensional deformation was intermitted by a

strike-slip type phase (*D11*, 12-10Ma). Particularity of the TR is the presence of WNW–ESE trending dextral faults (Kókay 1976, 1996; Mészáros 1983; Balla and Dudko 1989, Tari 1991; Kiss et al. 2001, Kiss and Fodor 2007). The Telegdi Roth line is the known example of the right lateral transpressional deformation (Kókay, 1976, Mészáros, 1982, 1983, Sasvári et al. 2007).

The *D12 phase* is marked by E-W to ESE-WNW extension is classically considered as a post-rift phase in the Pannonian Basin (Royden and Horváth 1988). The interesting features are the N–S to NE–SW oriented normal faults, which were active during the late Miocene. The present-day stress field (*D13 phase*, 2-0 Ma), is only poorly constrained in the whole TR (Bada et al., 2010) which is NNE-SSW compression and WNW—ESE extension.

Figure captions

Fig.1. Simplified geology of the TR, with the studied localities, after Márton, Fodor (2003), modified from Gyalog and Császár (1982), Fülöp, et al. (1984). The localities with white color are from Eocene and Oligocene sediments, the localities with black color means Miocene sediments. AMS/S= this locality was measured with both AMS and structural (S) methods. -/S=there are only structural data, AMS/- = there are AMS data without structural measurement

Fig.2. The sedimentation texture (2/a) is without any lineation. The flow (2/b) and increasing pressure (2/c) has an effect on the texture of the AMS. □: k_1 =maximum principal axes, k_{max} /mean direction of k_1 , △: k_2 =intermediate principal axes, k_{int} /mean direction of k_2 , ○: k_3 =minimum principal axes, K_{min} /mean direction of k_3 , This paper focuses in the situation plotted in the boxed stereogram

Fig.3. Typical AMS patterns for the studied localities in the Transdanubian Range. k_1 , k_2 , k_3 :AMS principal axes (max, int, min), N: number of measurements

Fig.4. Structural phases, structural evolution in the Transdanubian Range by Fodor (2008, 2010). Note typical stereograms from the studied localities and comparative data from Sasvári et al. (2007).

Fig.5. Fault pattern, calculated principal stress orientations for deformation phases and the direction of magnetic lineation of AMS in Eocene and Oligocene sediments. Legend is for stereograms on the Fig.7. The dark grey color signs the AMS stereograms, the light grey color around fracture projections shows the suggested correlative structural stress field.

Fig.6. Calculated principal stress orientations for deformation phases and the direction of magnetic lineation of AMS in Lower and Middle Miocene sediments. Legend is on the

Fig.7. The dark grey color signs the AMS stereograms, the light grey color shows the suggested structural stress field.

Fig.7. Calculated principal stress orientations for deformation phases and the direction of magnetic lineation of AMS in Late Miocene (Pannonian) sediments. The dark grey color signs the AMS stereograms, the light grey color shows the suggested structural stress field.

Fig.8: The correspondence between the orientations of calculated extension and magnetic lineation in Eocene and Oligocene sediments. In one group AMS axis k_1 is parallel with the NNE-SSW extensional direction of the D7-D8 strike-slip phase The second one is aligned with the NE-SW (σ_3) direction of the D9 extensional phase

Fig.9. The orientations of calculated extension and magnetic lineation from Fig. 8 attributed to different phases; angular difference between mean orientations of the parameters. The averaged angular difference between the direction of AMS lineation and the extensional stress axes of D7-D8 is 8°, for phase D9 it is only 2°.

Fig.10. Possible interpretations of the AMS data are from locality 13 and correlation with the calculated and separated stress fields.

Fig.11. Calculated principal stress orientations for deformation phases and the direction of magnetic lineations of AMS at locality 6 (Orondpuszta). The structural stereograms show the suggested stress fields. The legend is on Fig.7.

Table 1. Parameters of AMS in Eocene and Oligocene sediments and the AMS in Miocene sediments. n=number of specimens, D=declination, I=inclination, ConfAng: deviation of confidential angles from Jelinek statistics, Av.sus=average susceptibility $K_m=k_1+k_2+k_3/3$, Aniso(%)=anisotropy, $P=k_1/k_3$, Lin(%)=lineation, $L=k_1/k_2$, Fol(%)=foliation, $F=k_2/k_3$,

Table 2. Deviation of direction of average lineation and tension of structural data.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2

Fig. 3






Fig. 4

Fig. 5




TS 32

TS 33

TS 4.5

TS 3



TS 2

TS 4

Fig. 6

Phase	Stress field	<i>Lower-Middle Miocene sediments</i>		
		Locality 15/a. <i>Bántapuszta, Ottnangian</i>	Locality 15/b. <i>Bántapuszta, Badenian</i>	Locality 16. <i>Ludovika-udvar</i>
D13 4MA	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quaternary			
D12b	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene			
D12a 10MA	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)	Ang: 0.1 Phf: 0.39 E279 	E120 	
		10?–4?MA, Rotation 3: 25–30°CCW		
D11 12MA	TRANSPRESSIONAL Late Miocene (Late Sarmatian- Early Pannonian)			
D10 14MA	EXTENSIONAL Middle Miocene (Late-Badenian- Sarmatian)		E080 	
		15–14MA, Rotation 2: 10–15°CCW		
D9 17MA	EXTENSIONAL Early to Middle Miocene (Ottnangian- early Badenian)	Ang: 0.1 Phf: 0.18 X309 		
		18–17MA, Rotation 1: 30–40°CCW		
Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS (Anisoft 4.2)			NO AMS data	

Phase	Stress field	<i>Late Miocene (Pannonian) sediments</i>				
		Locality 17. <i>Bakonyzentlászló</i>	Locality 18. <i>Balatonfüzfő</i>	Locality 19. <i>Balatonzárszó</i>	Locality 20. <i>Balatonszentgyörgy</i>	Locality 21. <i>Billege</i>
D13	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quaternary					
	D12b	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene				
D12a	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)					
Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS (Anisoft 4.2)			NO AMS data			NO AMS data
Phase	Stress field	Locality 22. <i>Devecser</i>	Locality 23. <i>Erd</i>	Locality 24. <i>Felcsút</i>	Locality 25. <i>Kisbér</i>	Locality 26. <i>Kötöcse</i>
D13	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quaternary	<i>No STRUCT. data</i>			<i>No STRUCT. data</i>	
	D12b	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene				
D12a	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)					
Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS (Anisoft 4.2)				NO AMS data		NO AMS data

Phase	Stress field	<i>Late Miocene (Pannonian) sediments</i>				
		Locality 27. <i>Lulla</i>	Locality 28. <i>Magyaralmás, Felső-P.</i>	Locality 29. <i>Papvásári-hegy</i>	Locality 30. <i>Pula</i>	Locality 31. <i>Pannonhalma</i>
D13 <i>4MA</i>	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quarternary					<i>No STRUCT. data</i>
	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene					
D12a <i>10MA</i>	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)					
Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS <i>(Anisoft 4.2)</i>		NO AMS data	NO AMS data	NO AMS data	NO AMS data	
Phase	Stress field	Locality 32. <i>Raposka</i>	Locality 33. <i>Szállásút</i>	Locality 34. <i>Székesfehérvár</i>	Locality 35. <i>Szülőhegy</i>	Locality 36. <i>Tab</i>
D13 <i>4MA</i>	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quarternary	<i>No STRUCT. data</i>				
	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene					
D12a <i>10MA</i>	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)					
Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS <i>(Anisoft 4.2)</i>			NO AMS data		NO AMS data	NO AMS data

Phase	Stress field	<i>Late Miocene (Pannonian) sediments</i>				
		Locality 37. <i>Tapolca-Díszel_1</i>	Locality 38. <i>Tapolca-Díszel_2</i>	Locality 39. <i>Tata</i>	Locality 40. <i>Tata_Cserépgyár</i>	Locality 41. <i>Tata_Baj_határ</i>
D13 <i>4MA</i>	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quarternary					
	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene					
D12a <i>10MA</i>	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)					
	Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS <i>(Anisoft 4.2)</i>	NO AMS data	NO AMS data		NO AMS data	NO AMS data
Phase	Stress field	Locality 42. <i>Vértessacs</i>	<p>36/2/1/8 CPS(faults)/F(faults without striae)/J(joint)+JX(veins, calcite, quartz)/JN(dip)</p> <p>☆☆☆☆ Calculated pole of $\sigma_1/\sigma_2/\sigma_3$ axes</p> <p>↔↔↔↔ Direction of estimated σ_3 axes</p> <p>↔↔↔↔ Direction of calculated σ_3 pole</p> <p>E340 Direction of stress field and type (C: compressional X: strike-slip type E: extensional)</p> <p>Ang: Estimator of data dispersion</p> <p>Phi: Shape ratio $(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)/(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$</p> <p>AMS 9194-217: corresponding AMS measurement</p> <p>□ Fault pole and plane without striae</p> <p>△ Joint pole/ joint plane</p> <p>○ Bedding pole/ bedding plane</p> <p>○ k_3 - minimum principal axes, K_{min}/mean direction of k_3</p> <p>△ k_2 - minimum principal axes, K_{int}/mean direction of k_2</p> <p>△ k_1 - minimum principal axes, K_{max}/mean direction of k_1</p> <p>↔↔↔↔ Direction of calculated k_1 axes</p>			
D13 <i>4MA</i>	STRIKE-SLIP TYPE Late Pliocene Quarternary					
D12b	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene- Early Pliocene					
	EXTENSIONAL Latest Miocene (Late-Pannonian)					
D12a <i>10MA</i>						
	Direction of magnetic lineation of AMS <i>(Anisoft 4.2)</i>	NO AMS data				

Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Table 1

Locality	n	Tilt Correct	D°	Max (ks)		D°	Int (ks)	ConfAng		D°	Min (ks)	I°	ConfAng	Av. Sus (k _{av})	Aniso (P) %	Lin (L) %	Fol. (F) %	Dip
				I°	ConfAng			I°	ConfAng									
1 Bakonyzsló M 8435-442	10	Before after	67	2	44.3/7.2	337	6	44.5/5.6	176	84	9.6/7.3	84	9.6/7.3	78	13.9	1.6	12.1	40/15
2 Szeplivér M 8292-309	12	Before after	46	19	7.9/5.2	310	17	7.3/5.0	181	64	6.4/5.5	64	6.4/5.5	228	7.6	1.1	6.5	340/25
3 Fenyőfő M 7913-928	9	Before after	34	8	8.0/3.4	124	3	8.1/2.5	235	82	5.0/0.9	82	5.0/0.9	281	6.3	0.5	5.8	+
6a Orondpuszta M 9213-217, RED	5	Before after	192	33	12.2/1.5	79	31	11.1/5.4	317	41	7.2/5.6	672	7.2/5.6	672	11.5	4.5	6.5	110/37
6b Orondpuszta M 9194-199, GREY	5	Before after	21	5	6.5/2.6	116	43	6.4/3.4	287	46	5.1/4.7	248	5.1/4.7	248	10.0	1.4	8.5	101/46
6c Orondpuszta M 9200-212, PURP.	13	Before after	202	4	6.5/2.6	292	2	6.4/3.4	42	86	5.1/4.7	141	7.2	7.2	0.6	6.5	99/44	
7 Óbárok M 8027-040	14	Before after	112	33	35.3/4.5	19	6	35.3/8.9	279	57	9.2/4.2	160	7.5	160	7.5	0.5	7.0	25/9
9 Sárisáp M 7254-265	5	Before after	24	18	8.1/5.1	115	5	7.9/4.2	221	71	6.6/2.3	450	9.6	450	9.6	0.5	9.0	39/13
10 Solymár M 8310-325	11	Before after	58	2	51.8/6.2	328	20	51.8/5.1	153	70	6.9/5.3	293	8.7	293	8.7	0.3	8.4	320/6
11 Tarján M 7093-111	10	Before after	224	3	24.5/7.0	315	6	24.2/8.0	108	83	9.6/6.4	411	6.4	411	6.4	0.9	5.4	5/14
13 Vértesszőlős M 7083-092	15	Before after	356	18	78.7/8.2	87	3	78.7/3.9	185	72	8.3/4.2	204	7.1	204	7.1	0.1	7.0	4/25
14 Vértestolna M 7112-126	6	Before after	176	7	78.7/8.2	266	1	78.7/3.9	2	83	8.3/4.2	83	8.3/4.2	83	8.3/4.2	83	8.3/4.2	4/25
15 Bántapuszta M 7868-873, 7941-949	10	Before after	227	2	22.5/6.8	136	16	22.5/8.0	322	74	8.9/6.8	1318	15.4	1318	15.4	2.4	12.8	254/26
16 Ludovika udvar M 8660-683	11	Before after	324	5	42.3/8.5	55	2	42.3/7.0	161	85	9.9/5.2	118	6.7	118	6.7	0.3	6.3	272/10
17 Bakonyzent-lászló M 9140-154	9	Before after	315	3	40.9/4.5	45	5	40.9/4.2	191	84	5.8/3.9	848	4.7	848	4.7	0.1	4.6	+
19 Balatonszárszó M 8443-456	11	Before after	332	7	59.6/6.1	242	1	59.7/6.7	149	84	9.4/2.9	110	5.4	110	5.4	0.1	5.2	+
20 Balatonszent- györgy M 8823-840	8	Before after	154	1	73.2/3.3	244	1	73.2/7.3	16	88	8.8/3.6	497	3.3	497	3.3	0.2	3.1	52/7
22 Devecser M 8391-404	4	Before after	191	4	-	101	7	-	310	82	-	811	5.9	811	5.9	0.4	5.5	140/10
23 Erdő M 9606-618	11	Before after	11	2	44.9/10.1	210	10	44.9/10.9	55	79	11.4/9.8	218	5.4	218	5.4	0.4	5.3	190/15
25 Kisbér M 8586-599	7	Before after	301	5	25.9/5.7	8	2	26.7/8.8	179	88	12.0/4.6	1330	4.9	1330	4.9	0.5	4.4	
31 Pannonthalma M 8600-612	8	Before after	278	7	25.7/5.1	8	3	26.5/8.4	121	83	11.9/3.8	171	2.3	171	2.3	0.1	2.1	+
32 Raposka M 8929-938	5	Before after	292	2	21.9/5.9	202	4	22.3/6.9	50	85	8.9/5.3	150	3.3	150	3.3	0.2	3.1	250/4
34 Székesfehérvár M 8613-630	13	Before after	292	2	15.7/1.6	345	7	15.7/4.1	142	82	4.3/1.3	155	1.1	155	1.1	0.1	1.0	+
39 Tata M 8483-8502	10	Before after	74	1	15.8/1.6	344	8	15.8/4.1	172	83	4.3/1.3	1520	6.0	1520	6.0	0.2	5.8	256/8
	205	3	34.9/2.8	294	4	35.6/7.4	4	35.6/7.4	86	85	11.3/2.4	85	11.3/2.4	85	11.3/2.4	85	11.3/2.4	

Table 2

<i>Localities</i>	<i>Direction of lineation of AMS(°)</i>	<i>Direction of tension of structural data(°)</i>	<i>Deviation (°)</i>	<i>Phases</i>
1	68-248	52-232	16	D9 extension phase
2	41-221	60-240	19	D9 extension phase
3	34-214	21-201	13	<i>D7-8 strike-slip</i>
6	22-202	28-208	6	<i>D7-8 strike-slip</i>
7	36-216	41-221	5	D9 extension phase
9	25-205	28-208	3	<i>D7-8 strike slip</i>
10	59-239	60-240	1	D9 extension phase
11	43-223	50-230	7	D9 extension phase
14	45-225	19-199	26	<i>D7-8 strike slip</i>
15	46-226	39-219	7	D9 extension phase