

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Modelling of Complex Physical Processes in Electrostatic Precipitators

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2011 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 301 012060 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/301/1/012060) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 152.66.21.59 The article was downloaded on 30/06/2011 at 15:23

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Modelling of Complex Physical Processes in Electrostatic Precipitators

KISS István¹, IVÁNCSY Tamás¹, SUDA Jenő², BERTA István¹ Budapest University of Technology and Economics,

¹Department of Electric Power Engineering, Egry J. u. 18, Budapest H-1111, Hungary ²Department of Fluid Mechanics, Bertalan Lajos 4–6., Budapest H-1111, Hungary

E-mail: kiss.istvan@vet.bme.hu

Abstract. Electrostatic precipitator (ESP) models have improved significantly in the past years. The dramatic development of the capacity of computers made it possible to increase the complexity of ESP models. Recently the different interactions between the gas, the electric field with ion space charge and the charged particles to be precipitated can be described more accurately by the newly developed complex approach. However even some of the newest computer models are limited; they are not able to follow the interactions of the complicated physical phenomena properly. For example pulse energisation of short time impulses cannot be described correctly with models assuming continuous corona current. There is another important problem, namely the examined duration of operation. Some of the models determine the trajectories of dust particles assuming that they are unchanged during the operation of an ESP. The validity of this assumption is very limited in such cases, where the development of certain phenomena is time dependent (e.g. back corona formation). In this paper the authors focus on the "long term" models, analysing such situations in which it is vital to investigate a longer period of operation of ESP-s. Using the newly developed model the effect of back corona, rapping, etc. can be analysed with higher reliability than it has been performed in previous ESP models.

1. Introduction

Numerical models are useful tools for predicting the performance of an electrostatic precipitator. There were numerous models developed by experts to predict the collection efficiency of ESP's. The difficulty in the modelling of ESP's is the large number of processes and phenomena which have influences on each other. In the modelling some of the effects are neglected because of the limited computational capacity or their minor influence on the modelled phenomena.

In the majority of the models 2D modelling is used. Both the electric and flow field can be treated as 2D, and the effect of gravity is neglected. This method provides a simple and good approximation of the processes in the electrostatic precipitator. The use of a 2D model reduces the amount of computation therefore longer sections – a whole ESP channel – and more complex processes can be modelled. 3D models also exists, but because of the high computational needs, these are just for short ESP sections (e.g. Chang[1], Adamiak[2]).

2. The modelled phenomena

In a numerical model it is necessary to include the parameters of the precipitated particles, the parameters of the energization and the gas flow. It is essential to know the *electric field* created

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 301 (2011) 012060

inside the ESP channel since this is relevant for *particle charging* and the charged particles transferred in the *flow field*.

2.1. Calculating the electric field

The early models supposed a uniform electric field through the whole channel[3]. Later analytical approximation[4, 5], then the finite differences method[6], the finite elements method[7] and the combination of the latter two with the finite volume method were used. Nowadays combined methods such as the combination of finite differences method and finite elements method[8, 9], and the boundary element method combined with finite volume method are used.

It is highly important to include the effects of ionic and dust space charge in the calculation of electric field. Based upon practical experience and measurements, the dust space charge has a notable influence on the electric field and therefore on the corona current, back corona formation and on the efficiency of the precipitation.

2.2. Particle charging

For the calculation of the particle charge in numerical models there evolved two major ways. One assumes for a given particle diameter a constant saturation charge through the whole ESP. The particles are assumed charged to the saturation charge from their entry in the ESP. Numerous models use this method[10, 11]. The other possibility is to calculate the charge of the particles in a time and position dependent way, taking into account the local electric field and the charge distribution. This method was also used by P. A. Lawless and later in a Lagrangian particle movement simulation by Meroth[12] and Schmid et al.[13]. There are just a few models which calculate the dust space charge using the Lagrangian method (one example is the model from I. Gallimberti[14]). The best solution is the use of the combined charging model and the Lagrangian approach for the particle movement[15].

2.3. Flow field

Simple models assume constant gas velocity profile in the cross-section of the channel (e.g. Deutsch[16] and Ramadan & Soo[17]). Beside the laminar flow models there were numerous different turbulent flow models created, such as $k - \varepsilon$ turbulence model: Kallo & Stock[9]; DNS: Soltani et al.[10]; LES: Ullum[11]. These CFD simulations made the models more accurate, and through the determination of the diffusion coefficient (D_p) it is possible to calculate important turbulent flow parameters, for example the flow modification effect of the corona electrode geometry.

Nowadays the effect of the ionic wind on the turbulent gas flow can be better analysed. The differences in the EHD simulations are in the details of how they take into account the charge densities (ρ_i and ρ_d). One example of a model where the space charges and the ionic wind are also taken into account is the LES model created by Ullum[11]. Because of the large computational demand it just calculates an ESP section with 2 corona electrodes.

3. Long-time modelling

In a numerical ESP model the following effects play a role in the efficiency of precipitation:

- electric field modified by space charges (ionic space charge and dust space charge)
- ionization (the generated free charges on the corona electrode and the ionic wind)
- particle charging, saturation charge and charging process (diffusion, field and mixed)
- gas flow (turbulent and boundary flow)
- dust collection, dust layer expansion
- dust re entrainment, back corona

To have an accurate model it is necessary to model these phenomena as accurately as possible and holding the computational needs of the simulation as low as possible. For the long time models it is necessary to generate a model, which calculates the processes in a non stationary manner i.e. it takes the short time (fast) changes and also the long time (slow) changes into account, especially in the case of pulse energization.

If there is a change in the parameters of the incoming dust (e.g. by fuel changes) the collection efficiency changes. In such cases the relative permittivity, particle size and resistivity of the dust changes, which influences the saturation charge, cohesion of the collected dust and back corona formation. Also, rapping has an influence on the dust emission. Closer to the inlet, larger particles are collected while closer to the outlet small particles[18], so the collected dust layer is different.

The different dust layer formation needs a different rapping cycle. The rapping of the sections closer to the outlet has greater influence on the dust emission, since the re entering dust particles are closer to the outlet and have smaller particle size which needs longer to collect. However the rapping cycle has a longer time period, because less dust reaches the last sections of the ESPs.

Also the back corona formation depends highly on the collected dust layer thickness, therefore the back corona occurrence is supposed closer to the inlet.

There are dust deposits on the corona electrodes which should be handled in the long-time modelling also. These dust deposits can be handled as changes in the corona wire diameter.

4. Conclusions

In the long-time modelling it is essential to track the amount of dust collected on the collecting electrode and modelling the effect of rapping. Practical experience shows that, the changes in the dust properties can generate lower efficiency periods for a while. The model should be able to handle dust mixtures with different electric properties and different concentrations. These property changes should be tracked on the collected dust layer also, to get reliable results.

References

- Brocilo D, Podlinski J, Chang J S, Mizeraczyk J and Findlay R D 2008 Journal of Physics: Conference series 142 012032
- [2] Farnoosh N, Adamiak K and Castle G S P 2011 IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation 18 211-220 ISSN 1070-9878
- [3] Williams J C and Jackson R 1962 The motion of solid particles in an electrostatic precipitator, interaction between fluids and particles (London, UK: Institution of Chemical Engineers) pp 282-288
- [4] Cooperman P 1960 AIEE Transaction 79 47–50
- [5] jr Oglesby S and Nickols G B 1978 Electrostatic precipitators (New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.)
- [6] McDonald J R, Smith W B, Spencer III H W and Sparks L E 1977 Journal of Applied Physics 48 2231-2243
- [7] Egli W, Kogelschatz U, Gerteisen E and Gruber R 1997 Journal of Electrostatics 40-41 425-430
- [8] Kallio G A and Stock D E 1985 Journal of Applied Physics 59 999-1005
- [9] Kallio G A and Stock D E 1992 Journal of Fluid Mechanics 240 133-166
- [10] Soltani M, Ahmadi G, Ounis H and McLaughlin J B 1998 Journal of Multiphase Flow 24 77-92
- [11] Ullum T 2003 Investigations on turbulence and flow structures in electrostatic precipitator Ph.D. thesis Technical University of Denmark Lyngby, Denmark
- [12] Meroth A M 1997 Numerical electrohydrodynamics in electrostatic precipitators Ph.D. thesis University of Karlsruhe
- [13] Schmid H J, Stolz S and Buggish H 2002 Applied Scientific Research, Flow, Turbulence and Combustion 68 63-89.
- [14] Gallimberti I 1997 VIII International Conference on Electrostatics pp 1-30
- [15] Suda J M 2007 Modeling of two-phase flow in electrostatic precipitators Ph.D. thesis BME
- [16] Deutsch W 1922 Annalen der Physik 68 335–344
- [17] Ramadan O E and Soo S L 1969 Physics of Fluids Research Notes 12 1943-1945
- [18] Grass N 2002 Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on 38 1190-1195 ISSN 0093-9994

IOP Publishing

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 301 (2011) 012060

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/301/1/012060

Table I. The main pa	rameters of Electrostatic Precipitator							models (numerical simulati					-
	Modelling of electric field				Charging of particles		Modelling of flow field					Modelling of two-phase flow	
	Uniform, constant electric field intensity	Analitical approximation	Numerical simulation	Including of ion- and particle space charge	Determination of saturation chgarge	Modelling of charging process	Constant velocity profile	Analitical velocity profile	CFD	Including the ionic-wind	Including discharge electrode geometry in simulation	Eulerian modelling $0 < D_p < \infty$	Lagrangian modelling
Literature 1922–2007													
Deutsch (1922)												∞	
Anderson (1924)												∞	
Williams & Jackson (1962)												Const.	
White (1963) Ramadan & Soo (1969)				I+P						*		Const.	
Feldman (1975)				1+1						*		∞	
Cooperman, P. (1971, 1977)												Const.	
McDonald et al. (1977)			FD / FD			F + D						CONDU	
Yabe et al. (1978)			FD/FD	Ι					Lam.	*			
Bernstein & Crowe (1979)			FD / FD	Ι					Lam.	*			
Leonard et al. (1980, 1982, 1983)												Const.	
Yamamoto & Velkoff (1981)			FD / FD	Ι					Lam.	*			
Yamamoto (1984, 1989)			FD / FD	I					Lam.	*		0	
Berta (1984)			FD / FD	I					Lam.	*		<i>a</i> .	PTM
Self et al. (1984) Shaughnessy et al. (1985)				7					TH			Const.	
Davidson & Shaughnessy (1986)			FD / FD FD / FD	I					TH	*			
Kihm et al. (1985, 1987)			FD/FD	1					1 11	*		Const.	
Petroll & Födisch (1988)						F + D						Const.	
Watanabe (1989)				I		1 1 2						CONSCI	PTM
Zamany (1992)			FD / FD	-		С			$k - \varepsilon$			Const.	
Riehle (1992)			, i	Ι		С						Const.	SRF
Zhibin et al. (1992, 1993, 1994)			FD / FD	Ι		С				ΤH		Const.	
Kallio & Stock (1992)			FD / FE	I					k – ε	*	\odot		
Riehle & Löffler (1993)				I		С						Const.	
Liang & Lin (1994)			FD / FD			C			$k - \varepsilon$			Loc,	
Bai et al. (1995)				I L D		F			Lam.			0	
Caňadas et al. (1995) Lami et al. (1995)			FD / FD	I + P I + P		F + D				*			
Riehle & Löffler (1995)			FD/FD	I + P I		С				*		Const.	SRF
Palmer (1996)			FD / FE	I		0			$k - \varepsilon$	*	•	COllsti	onr
Khare & Sinha			12/12	I		F					0		
Lawless (1993, 1996)			FD / FD	I		FDM						Const.	
Schmid & Schmidt (1996)			FD / FD			С						∞	
Riehle (1996b)				Ι		С						Const.	SRF
Goo & Lee (1996, 1997)			FD / FD	I		F + D			$\mathbf{k}-\varepsilon$		\odot		CRW
Choi & Fletcher (1997)			FD / FV	I + P		F			$k - \varepsilon$		\odot		EL
Egli et al. (1997)			FE / FE	I		F			Lam.	*			PTM
Meroth (1997)			FE / FV FD / FD	I I + P		FMD FMD			$k - \varepsilon$				EL PTM
Gallimberti (1997) Suda (1997) /M.Sc./			rD/rD	I + P I + P		F MD C			$k - \varepsilon$ BL	*		Loc,	1° 1 1/1
Medlin (1998)			FD / FV	I + P I + P		C			$k - \varepsilon$	*	0	Const.	
Lu & Huang (1998)		1	FD / FD	I		C						∞	
Soltani et al. (1998)			, _						DNS				PTM
Soldati et al. ('93, '97, '98, 2000, 2002)	1		FD / FD	Ι		İ	İ	İ	DNS				CRW
Parasram (2001)			FD / FE	I		С			k – ε				CRW
Kim et al. (2001)			FD / FD	I		С						Loc,	
Böttner & Sommerfeld (2001)			FV	I		F			$k - \varepsilon$				PTM
Park & Chun (2002)			DD			C			1			Const.	DEN
Varonos et al. (2002)			FD FE / FV	I		C			$k - \varepsilon$			Τ	PTM
Schmid et al. (2002) Böttner (2002, 2003)			FE / FV FV	I		FMD C			$k - \varepsilon$ $k - \varepsilon$	*	\odot	Loc,	CRW PTM
Ullum (2003)	-		F V FV	I + P		C			$\kappa - \varepsilon$ LES	*			PTM
Lind et al. (2004)		1	FD/FD	I + P		F + D			$k - \varepsilon$	*		Const.	1 1 111
Fujishima et al. (2004)	1		FD / FD	I + P		F + D			$k - \varepsilon$	*			PTM
Nikas et al (2005)	1		FD/FD	I		F + D	1		$k - \varepsilon$	*			PTM
Zhang et al. (2005)			FV	I		F + D			$k - \varepsilon$	*			CRW
Talaie et al. (2001, 2005)			FD	I + P		FMD			k – ε	*			CRW
Skodras et al. (2006)			FV	I + P		С			$k - \varepsilon$	*	0		PTM
Kiss & Suda (2007)			BEM / FV	I + P		С			k – ε	*	\odot	Loc.	(PTM)

Table 1. The main parameters of Electrostatic Precipitator models (numerical simulation)[15]

Legend:

model by the referenced author \Box parameter of the previous version

- Modelling of electric field FD Finite differences method
- Finite elements method Finite volume method FE FV
- BEM Boundary element method
- Including ionic space charge I

P Including dust space charge Modelling of particle charging

- \mathbf{F} Field charging, impact ioniza-
- tion
- D Diffusion charging
- C Field and diffusion charging FMD Field modified diffusion charg-
- FCT
- Flux Corrected Transport method

Modelling of flow field

- Corona electrode modelling geometry \odot
- Including ionic wind Theoretical examination
- $^{\rm TH}$
- Lam. Laminar model $k - \varepsilon$ Turbulence model
- $k \varepsilon$ BL Boundary layer equation
- DNSDirect numerical simulation
- Large eddy simulation Eddy lifetime LES
- \mathbf{EL}

 $0 / \infty$ D_p diffusion coefficient Const. Constant D_p value Loc. Local D_p value Lagrangian modelling CRW Continuous random walk model SRF Simulated particle flow with random generated fluctuating velocity velocity PTM Particle trajectory model