Tumor growth model identification and analysis in case of C38 colon adenocarcinoma and B16 melanoma
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Abstract—Cancer fighting treatments are expanding, and a promising type, targeted molecular therapies have a new approach. The aim of these therapies is not to eliminate the whole tumor, but to control the tumor into a given state and keep it there. Explicit knowledge of tumor growth dynamics and the effects of targeted molecular therapies is crucial in tumor treatment development. We show the results of mouse experiments where tumor growth was investigated in case of C38 colon adenocarcinoma and B16 melanoma. Several curves were fitted and tumor growth dynamics was examined. Three attributes of tumor were measured: tumor volume, tumor mass and vascularization; and tumor growth dynamics was examined. Tumor volume was measured with digital caliper, vascularization was investigated with CD31 antibody immunohistochemistry staining on frozen sections. The relationship between these tumor attributes were examined with linear regression analysis. The dynamics of tumor growth was identified as a second order linear system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Conventional cancer therapies are surgical oncology, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. With surgical oncology [1] the tumor cells can be totally removed (zero-order kinetics); in contrast using chemotherapy [2] or radiation therapy [3], only a fraction of tumor cells are killed (first-order kinetics). The problem with surgical intervention is the fact, that tumor can recur in many cases [4]. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy act in general ways (by killing rapidly dividing cells), so these treatments have a lot of side effects and tumor cells can become resistant to chemotherapy drugs, which makes the usage of new drugs necessary. That is the reason, why there is a new, dynamically developing therapeutic group called targeted molecular therapies (TMTs). These treatments fight specifically against different cancer mechanisms, hereby these therapies can be more effective and have limited side effects.

The main differences between conventional cancer therapies and targeted molecular therapies are not only the acting ways, but also the goals. Using conventional treatments, there is no need to know how cancer cells are developing and which mechanisms are used to circumvent the immune system. The goal of conventional cancer therapies is to eliminate the tumor mass, but after a certain time the tumor can recrudesce and give metastasis. Targeted therapy represents a new approach: it acts in specific molecular ways, and the goal is to prevent tumor cells from growth and develop; hence preventing toxicity. This is more important than eliminating the tumor mass – for the patients there is a better chance of survival if they have inactive tumor mass, than if they don’t have tumor for a while, but there is the risk of recurrence. In order to develop targeted therapies, it is required to analyze tumor growth and explore causal factors, but with this knowledge these therapies have led to truly tailored therapy [5] with reduced side effects [6].

The process of analyzing tumor growth belongs to the science of molecular oncology. The aim of targeted molecular therapies is not to eliminate the whole tumor, but to control the tumor into a given state and keep it there. This task belongs to the science of control engineering that can provide effective assistance to model-based therapies, which examine tumor growth as a dynamic system [7], [8]. We have investigated existing tumor growth models from the literature and designed different control algorithms [9], [10], [11], [12]. In this paper we summarize the results of our animal experiments and present our identified tumor growth model for C38 colon adenocarcinoma and B16 melanoma.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the oncopathological background (properties of the investigated tumor types) of the research is described. In Section III, the methods used in the present study are described. Experimental results are shown in Section IV, followed by the identification of tumor growth dynamics and correlation analysis of tumor.
attributes. The paper ends in Section V with the conclusion and further research possibilities.

II. ONCOPTHATHOLOGICAL BACKGROUND OF THE EXPERIMENT

*C38 colon adenocarcinoma* is a mouse tumor, which is originated from columnar epithelium of colon's mucosa. Adenocarcinoma means "a malignant epithelial tumor with glandular differentiation or mucin production" [13]. Properties of C38 adenocarcinoma are: (a) because this is a mouse tumor, it grows fast in mice (since 2-3 weeks it reaches a lethal size); (b) also because its specificity, there is no need to use immunosuppressed mice; (c) a piece of tumor can be implanted subcutaneously into the mice; (d) typically does not metastasize; (e) tumor cells inflict strong hypoxial reaction [14]. C38 tumor also has a large relative vascular area [15], which is beneficial if we would like to examine the effect of angiogenic inhibition later.

*B16 melanoma* ([16], [17]) is a mouse tumor, it is a primer melanoma. "Melanomas are malignant tumors derived from melanocytes" [13]. The pattern can be variable, usually the cytoplasm contains melanin granules. Properties of B16 melanoma are: (a) because this is a mouse tumor, it grows very fast in mice (since 2 weeks it reaches a lethal size); (b) also because its specificity, there is no need to use immunosuppressed mice; (c) it can be injected intramuscularly; (d) typically does not metastasize. B16 mice melanoma can be used as a model for human melanoma [18].

C38 colon adenocarcinoma and B16 melanoma are widely used for a long while used mouse experimental tumors from the standard NCI (National Cancer Institute) screening [19], [20].

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENT

In this section we give a brief description of the methods used during the mouse experiments.

A. Tumor implantation

In case of C38 colon adenocarcinoma a piece of tumor was transplanted subcutaneously in the recipient animal. In case of B16 melanoma 2 · 10⁶ tumor cells were injected intramuscularly for a mouse.

B. Tumor volume measurement

The first measurement after tumor implantation occurred when the tumors have reached an average volume of 50 – 60 mm³ [21]. It was the 5th day for C38 colon adenocarcinoma, and the 10th day for B16 melanoma. Tumor diameters (width, length) were measured with a digital caliper, the third dimension of the tumor was approximated with the arithmetic mean of its width and length, multiplied by 2/3. Thus, the tumor volume in mm³ was calculated by the formula:

\[
Volume = \text{width} \cdot \text{length} \cdot \frac{\text{width} + \text{length}}{2}
\]  

(1)

C. Sacrificing mice

Mice were sacrificed when the tumor reached a lethal size. It was the 24th day for C38 colon adenocarcinoma, and the 19th day for B16 melanoma. After sacrificing the mice, the tumors were removed, and their volume and mass was measured. Then, tumors were cut into two pieces: one piece was stored in formalin, and the other piece was freezed using liquid nitrogen (with temperature of −200°C).

D. Tumor sample processing

Tumor morphology can be investigated using standard Haematoxylin Eosin (H&E) staining [22] with the samples stored in formalin. The freezed samples were used to create frozen sections [23] of the tumor. Tumor vasculcation can be stained in vitro using CD31 antibody immunohistochemistry staining [24] on frozen sections. After staining, fluorescence pictures were done with confocal microscope (Fig. 1). From these pictures vascularization area was calculated by *ImageJ* [25] software.

IV. ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section we analyze the measurement data acquired from the animal experiments, and carry out parametric identification for the tumor growth dynamics supposing that tumor growth is described by a second order linear system.

A. Experimental data

At the beginning of the experiment 12 mice were implanted with C38 colon adenocarcinoma. One of them died in the 18th day, and another one in the 23th day. Thus 10 mice were sacrificed at the 24th day of the experiment.

B16 melanoma was implanted into 11 mice. One mouse died at the 18th day, therefore 10 mice were sacrificed at the 19th day of the experiment.
Exponential, growth and compound models fitted the same but outside of the sample range, the extrapolation is worse. Cubic curve fits better for the sample points than the other, R of determination than R99. Curve types and four curves had equal or better coefficient with SPSS [27]. Using SPSS, we have examined other fitting from a tumor growth.

Tials are positive, thus the system is unstable, as it is required T=4.2938 days. The coefficients of the exponential is verifiable. The simplest dynamic model is exponential [26] is verifiable. The equation is:

\[ y(t) = -0.076 \cdot \exp(0.4239t) + 16.87 \cdot \exp(0.2329t) \]  

(2)

The time constants of the identified model are T1 = 2.3589 days, and T2 = 4.2938 days. The coefficients of the exponentials are positive, thus the system is unstable, as it is required from a tumor growth.

This fitting were done with Matlab and results were verified with SPSS [27]. Using SPSS, we have examined other fitting curve types and four curves had equal or better coefficient of determination than 0.99 (exponential R^2 = 0.990, growth R^2 = 0.990, compound R^2 = 0.990, cubic R^2 = 0.991). Cubic curve fits better for the sample points than the other, but outside of the sample range, the extrapolation is worse. Exponential, growth and compound models fitted the same curves.

Another model used to describe tumor growth is Gompertz curve [28], which described a dynamic process that has a plateau. In this model tumor cell number also depends on the initial tumor size, the elapsed time and a constant, but at the end of the growth period growth narrows and cell number has a plateau:

\[ N(t) = N_0 \cdot \exp \left[ \ln \left( \frac{N_\infty}{N_0} \right) \cdot \left( 1 - \exp (-b t) \right) \right], \]  

(3)

where N_\infty is the plateau and parameter b is related to the initial tumor growth rate. This model describes that tumor growth is nutrient-, and oxygen-limited. However, in the plateau phase tumor size and toxicity is lethal for the host organism without therapy. In our experiments the last measured tumor volume is approximately equal to the plateau cell number. Nevertheless Gompertz curve is more difficult than the exponential one, and may even vary considerably for patients with the same type of cancer.

As discussed previously, we have measured three data of the lethal sized tumor: tumor volume, tumor mass and vascularization. We have investigated the relationship between these tumor attributes with linear regression analysis [29]. In Fig. 3 the relationship between tumor mass and volume can be seen.
The coefficient of determination is \( R^2 = 0.871 \), this means that 87.1\% variability in a data can be explained by the given statistical model. Pearson correlation coefficient is \( R = 0.933 \), thus there is a strong correlation (linear dependence) between these variables. Using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test [30] we can decide that the regression analysis is valid or not. In this case a strong significant regression relationship can be detected (\( p < 0.0001 \)). C38 colon adenocarcinoma is a solid tumor – this type of tumor usually does not contain cysts or liquid area, thus tumor mass has high density.

The association between tumor mass and vascularization is shown in Fig. 4. The coefficient of determination is \( R^2 = 0.039 \), Pearson correlation coefficient is \( R = 0.198 \), and ANOVA p-value is \( p = 0.584 \). From each parameter we can see that there is a weak, not significant relationship between tumor mass and vascularization. We get similar results in case of tumor volume and vascularization (Fig. 5). The coefficient of determination is \( R^2 = 0.069 \), Pearson correlation coefficient is \( R = 0.263 \), and ANOVA p-value is \( p = 0.462 \). This can be explained by the following. Rapidly dividing tumor cells need lots of oxygen. When proliferation begins, small sized tumor can pick up oxygen from near capillaries. After a certain size (1-2 mm diameter) tumor development stops, because a part of the tumor gets too far from capillaries and can’t pick up enough oxygen. Tumor needs own blood vessels to grow, the process of forming new blood vessels is called angiogenesis [31], [32]. However, due to this hurried vessel forming, a part of tumor still can’t get enough oxygen, whereupon these cells first hypoxial reaction, then die. In C38 colon adenocarcinoma there are several necrotic regions, thus the whole mass contains relatively few viable cells and vessels [33].

C. Results of B16 melanoma experiment

In case of B16 melanoma, we were also seeking for a linear second order system to describe the tumor growth dynamics. The average values of the measurements and the fitted multieponential curve is in Fig. 6. The result of the parametric identification is the following function:

\[
y(t) = -511.6 \cdot \exp(0.54781 t) + 512.3 \cdot \exp(0.54775 t)
\]

The time constants of the system are \( T_1 = 1.8256 \) days and \( T_2 = 1.8254 \) days. The parametric identification results in almost identical time constants, however the multieponential characteristics is important. This simple model even results in a plataue like characteristics at high tumor volume values (Fig. 6.), without the nonlinear model of the Gompertzian growth. The coefficients of the exponential functions are positive in this case as well, resulting in an unstable system.

As at analysis of C38 colon adenocarcinoma, this fitting was also done with Matlab and results were verified with SPSS. Examined best fitting curve types and coefficient of determination values are: exponential \( R^2 = 0.955 \), growth \( R^2 = 0.955 \), compound \( R^2 = 0.955 \), cubic \( R^2 = 0.981 \).
Also in this case cubic fits better, but has the same problem (wrong extrapolation and prediction). Exponential, growth and compound models fitted the same curves.

In Fig. 7 the relationship between tumor mass and volume can be seen. The coefficient of determination is \( R^2 = 0.421 \), Pearson correlation coefficient is \( R = 0.649 \). These parameters show correlation, but not as strong as in case of C38 colon adenocarcinoma. Using ANOVA test, also a weaker, but significant regression relationship can be detected \((p = 0.042)\). B16 melanoma is a solid tumor as well, but cell growth leads to necrosis and liquefaction of muscle tissues. Because of that the removed mass contains liquefied areas, which have lower density.

The association between tumor mass and vascularization is shown in Fig. 8. The coefficient of determination is \( R^2 = 0.215 \), Pearson correlation coefficient is \( R = 0.463 \), and ANOVA p-value is \( p = 0.177 \). The relationship between tumor volume and vascularization can be seen in Fig. 9. The coefficient of determination is \( R^2 = 0.029 \), Pearson correlation coefficient is \( R = 0.170 \), and ANOVA p-value is \( p = 0.638 \). Vascularization does not have significant relationship with tumor mass, neither with tumor volume.

Fig. 8. Linear regression between tumor mass and vascularization in case of B16 melanoma \((R^2 = 0.215, R = 0.463, p = 0.177)\)
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