

1 Specziár A; Erős T (2015) **3.10. Freshwater resources and fisheries in Hungary**. *In*: Craig,
2 John F. (ed.) *Freshwater Fisheries Ecology*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 2015.
3 (ISBN:978-1-118-39442-7). DOI:10.1002/9781118394380.ch15

4

5 A. Specziár* and T. Erős

6 **Freshwater resources and fisheries in Hungary**

7 Balaton Limnological Institute, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Klebelsberg K. u. 3, H-
8 8237 Tihany, Hungary

9

10 *Corresponding author: Tel.: +3687448244; Fax: +3687448006; E-mail:

11 specziar.andras@okologia.mta.hu

12

13 **Abstract**

14

15 This paper shortly reviews the present state of Hungarian fisheries including commercial
16 and recreational activities in natural waters, along with aquaculture production. Major threats
17 to natural fish production are the degradation of habitats, the introduction of non-indigenous
18 species, the overexploitation of native fish populations and improper stocking strategy.
19 Priorities, recent developments and future recommendations in fisheries managements are
20 discussed.

21

22 **Key words:** angling; aquaculture; common carp; fisheries management; habitat degradation;
23 native species; non-indigenous species; stocking.

24

25

NATURE AND STATUS OF FRESHWATER FISHERIES

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

Surrounded by large mountain ranges, such as the Carpathians and the Alps, and situated in the Carpathian Basin, which is the collector of submontane streams and rivers, Hungary receives 96% of its running waters from abroad. Of these, Europe's second largest river, the Danube (2860 km) and its second largest tributary the Tisza (962 km at present) are the most important rivers which flows 417 and 597 km, in Hungary. Historically, these large alluvial floodplain rivers provided excellent habitats for a diverse fishery culture to flourish. The importance of the traditional floodplain fishery declined significantly when large scale water regulations started in the 19th century. At present, habitats utilized by freshwater fisheries are Lake Balaton (59 600 ha), Lake Velence (2 300 ha; only angling is allowed), Lake Fertő (Neusiedler See; 7 500 ha), River Danube, River Tisza and its reservoir Lake Tisza (*c.* 6 400 ha), all other rivers, irrigation canals, most of streams, most of oxbow lakes, reservoirs, natural and artificial lakes and ponds. The total area of freshwater habitats with fisheries activities is 140 402 ha. Besides there are many fish farms including both valley dammed reservoirs and artificial ponds (23 639 ha).

Fisheries management rights for natural habitats may be leased from the state for 15 years. Artificial lakes can also be in private ownership. Some of the natural habitats (*e.g.* some oxbow lakes, Little Lake Balaton Reservoir II., parts of Lake Fertő and Velence) are under strict protection where fisheries including angling is forbidden.

Basically, three types of fisheries can be distinguished in Hungarian freshwater habitats. At present, the most important fisheries activity is angling. There are *c.* 332 000 registered anglers in the country. Anglers are allowed to use only rod and line for fishing, but a lift net of maximum 1×1 m area may also be used for capturing bait fishes. Daily catches are limited at country level (maximum three individuals per species and altogether five individuals of high

51 value fishes, and maximum 10 kg of other fishes may be caught daily), but local regulations
52 may be stricter.

53 Commercial fisheries (large gear fisheries) used to be important until the second half of
54 the 20th century, but the recent trend is to confine it and to produce all market fishes by
55 aquaculture. This type of fisheries is now restricted to Lake Balaton, and to main rivers and
56 some of their oxbow lakes. A commercial fishery uses a series of fishing gears including
57 seines, trawls (only in Lake Balaton), different traps, gillnets, trammel nets and direct current
58 electrofishers. The use of electrofisher machines, however, is restricted (*e.g.* its use is
59 forbidden between 1 May and 30 September), and there is a strong pressure from the anglers
60 to prohibit it at all. A similar concern is rising against the gillnet, which is the most preferred
61 gear of illegal fisheries and considered to ‘torture’ captured fishes. Nevertheless,
62 electrofishing and gillnetting are important in research, and for example, they are used for
63 evaluating the diversity and ecological status of fish assemblages according to the guidelines
64 of the Habitat Directive and the Water Framework Directive of the European Union (Erős,
65 2007; Specziár *et al.*, 2009).

66 The third type of fisheries is the so called ‘little gear fisheries’ that is used individually,
67 and has a long tradition in the Carpathian basin. By now, this type of fishery has become
68 insignificant and only limited number of licences is allocated annually for specific locations.
69 The main gear of this activity is the lift net with a maximum 3×3 m net area. Other gears used
70 are various traps, gillnets, trammel nets, fyke nets, cast nets and a specific traditional towed
71 net called ‘kece’, which is on rivers only. Their use depends on local regulations and daily
72 catch limits are generally the same as for anglers.

73 Practically all larger-bodied native species, except those under protection, are harvested
74 by fisheries and captured by anglers. In addition, several non-indigenous fish species have
75 been introduced to increase the diversity of utilizable fishes. The common carp *Cyprinus*

76 *carpio* is definitely the most preferred fish species, especially for anglers. It is stocked in high
77 numbers to most waters and even to those ones which may be unsuitable for the species.
78 Piscivores are also preferred species, and of these the pikeperch *Sander lucioperca*, pike *Esox*
79 *lucius*, European catfish *Silurus glanis* and the asp *Leuciscus aspius* are the most commonly
80 caught. The silver carp *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* and the grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon*
81 *idella* are the most preferred introduced species. Nevertheless, the larger part of the catches is
82 comprised of so-called ‘other fishes’. The most frequent caught in standing waters are
83 common bream *Abramis brama*, white bream *Blicca bjoerkna*, roach *Rutilus rutilus* and gibel
84 *Carassius gibelio*. Beside these species ide *Leuciscus idus*, common nase *Chondrostoma*
85 *nasus* and chub *Squalius cephalus* are also harvested in running waters.

86 During the period of 2006-2010, the mean total catch from natural fresh waters
87 including both commercial fisheries and angling was 6908 t year⁻¹. *Cyprinus carpio* (3537 t
88 year⁻¹) comprised more than half of the catches. Other valuable fishes with higher share were
89 the *C. idella* (5.7%), *H. molitrix* and bighead carp *Hypophthalmichthys nobilis* (7.6%), *S.*
90 *lucioperca* (2.6%), *S. glanis* (2.4%) and *E. lucius* (3.0%). Less valuable cyprinids comprised
91 24.8% of the fisheries production (Table I).

92 The trend of two decades shows a continuous decrease in the total catch (Table I), which
93 is a common consequence of decreasing abundance of native fish species and the decrease of
94 commercial fisheries effort in natural habitats. Due to intensive stockings, catches of the most
95 preferred species (e.g. *C. Carpio* and *C. idella*) are stable. Native piscivores, such as *S. glanis*,
96 *E. lucius* and *L. aspius*, seem to maintain stable populations mainly *via* natural recruitment
97 supported with some stockings in most important angling waters. In contrast, catches of other
98 valuable native species, especially of those preferring river habitats are decreasing rapidly (*S.*
99 *lucioperca* and barbel *Barbus barbus*) or have even collapsed (Volga pikeperch *Sander*
100 *volgensis* and sterlet *Acipenser ruthenus*). The catches of some reophilic cyprinids (e.g. *C.*

101 *nasus*, *L. idus* and *S. cephalus*) are decreasing as well. Catches of European eel *Anguilla*
102 *anguilla*, *H. molitrix* and *H. nobilis* are also decreasing. These three species do not reproduce
103 in Hungarian waters, but were intensively stocked to large water bodies from the 1960s. Note
104 that *A. anguilla* may be considered as an indigenous species, but it was extremely rare in
105 Hungarian waters before the introductions begun. In the near past, the intensively stocked *A.*
106 *anguilla* played a significant role in the commercial fishery in the three largest lakes of
107 Hungary. The stocking of *A. anguilla*, however, was first stopped in Lake Velence (in 1973)
108 and then its stocking was prohibited country-wide in 1991 following the two massive fish
109 kills in 1991 and 1995, in Lake Balaton. *Anguilla anguilla* catches strongly depend on the
110 annual precipitation and the operation of the eel trap at the outlet of Lake Balaton. Nowadays,
111 the stocking intensity of *H. molitrix* is considerably decreasing in other natural waters as well,
112 but this fish may also recruit by escapes from fishponds.

113 Exploitation rate of fish stocks is not known exactly, and sustainable production has not
114 been assessed since the 1980s (Bíró, 1997). The exploitation rate, however, is probably very
115 high in some species, and the stability of many fish stocks, which depend upon natural
116 recruitment, may be in jeopardy. For example, tagging experiments showed that 90% of
117 stocked *C. carpio* is exploited within 1 year in Lake Balaton (Specziár, 2010). Consequently,
118 without regular stockings the *C. carpio* stock of the lake would rapidly collapse. The same is
119 true for most natural habitats with *C. carpio* oriented angling. Tagging experiments also
120 showed that the annual exploitation rate of the *S. lucioperca* population is *c.* 56% of the
121 number of catchable fish (legal size is ≥ 30 cm standard length) in Lake Balaton. Considering
122 that the recruitment of this fish depends substantially on natural reproduction and the annual
123 stocking rate is $< 1\%$ compared to the natural recruitment, the present rate of exploitation
124 seems to risk population stability (Specziár, 2010). Exploitation rate of other valuable fishes is
125 also generally high, and there is also clear evidence that some populations are instable and can

126 be endangered. One of the most documented examples is the overfishing caused collapse of
127 the razor fish *Pelecus cultratus* population in Lake Balaton during the 1970s. Fortunately, the
128 population recovered successfully after intensive fishing was given up (Specziár, 2010). More
129 recently, stocks of *A. ruthenus* and *S. volgensis* have suffered the most drastic decrease in
130 Hungarian waters.

131

132

AQUACULTURE

133

134 Aquaculture has a long tradition and plays a significant role in fish production in
135 Hungary. It provides 70% of culinary fish production and provides stocking fishes for natural
136 and intensive angling waters. The total production of fish ponds was 18 559 t (net production
137 466 kg ha⁻¹), in 2010 (Dankó & Bardócz, 2011). Aquaculture mainly utilizes natural water
138 resources by capturing the water of streams in valley dammed reservoirs and artificial pond
139 systems (23 639 ha). Unfortunately, many fishpond systems are age-worn and especially their
140 sluicing is inappropriate to prevent the escape of cultured fishes into natural habitats. The
141 major fish species produced in aquaculture is *C. carpio* (15,080 t, 81%) and the most
142 important supplementary species are *H. molitrix* (1 502 t) and *C. idella* (734 t). Species with
143 relatively low contribution are *S. lucioperca* (87 t), *E. lucius* (92 t), *S. glanis* (19 t), tench
144 *Tinca tinca* (12 t), *H. nobilis* (16 t) and some other species (25 t).

145 There are also a few high-level intensive recirculation piscicultures in Hungary. Their
146 total fish production was 2114 t, in 2010 (Dankó & Bardócz 2011). Here, mainly non-native
147 species are reared, mostly North African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (1930 t) and in smaller
148 amount barramundi *Lates calcarifer* (in progress, with an estimated capacity of 80 t) and
149 some dominantly non-indigenous and hybrid acipenseroids (92 t) and salmonids (84 t).

150

THREATS TO FISHERIES PRODUCTION

151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175

Fish communities are threatened by direct or indirect effects of past or recent anthropogenic activities in Hungarian natural waters. The three most important groups of threats are habitat alteration, introduction of non-indigenous fish species and intensive exploitation of fish stocks.

Human induced degradation of habitats is characteristic for nearly all water bodies in the region. All rivers have been regulated and channelized to a various extent. River bends were cut through, and most of the inundation areas and riparian zones were lost due to the building of flood control dikes and subsequent deepening of riverbeds. Parts of the riverbanks were stabilized with ripraps and several barrages were constructed. The reefs of large rivers are dredged locally. The water of many streams is utilized for irrigation, to feed artificial ponds and as drinking water. Valley dam reservoirs interrupt connectivity relationships of upstream and downstream habitats in the stream network and largely constrain migration dynamics of fishes (Erős *et al.*, 2011). Fragmentation of upstream habitats is a serious threat, which can lead to the extinction of populations. This can happen during droughts and in cases of chemical pollution events, when extirpated populations cannot be substituted from downstream migrating individuals. Banks of lakes were also largely stabilized with ripraps, concrete buildings and dams, and the stabilization of the water level resulted in the loss of riparian zones and temporal connections to nearby wetland areas. Finally, most wetland areas were dredged and transformed into drain-canals. These activities significantly decreased the total habitat area of natural fish populations and resulted in the loss of majority of reproduction sites.

The second major threat for the native fish fauna is the introduction and continuous recruiting of non-indigenous fishes. How to increase species diversity and total exploitable

176 fish biomass has long been one of the key issues of fisheries management in Hungary. This
177 led to the introduction of many exotic fish species from the 19th century, mainly from North-
178 American and east-Asian regions. The most influential introductions started in the 1960s
179 when *H. molitrix*, *H. nobilis*, *C. idella* and *A. anguilla* stockings begun. Some fishes were
180 introduced accidentally (e.g. topmouth gudgeon *Pseudorasbora parva*). Based on long-term
181 experiences, it can be concluded that none of the introduced species generated net benefit
182 either financially or ecologically. In contrast, most introduced species impaired native aquatic
183 communities. *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* invaded all larger water bodies and competed with
184 native planktivores and early life stages. *Anguilla anguilla* was stocked in huge number to the
185 three largest lakes of the country and competed with native species, preyed on their eggs and
186 juveniles, and may also caused the collapse of populations of several fish and amphibian
187 species (Specziár, 2010). Smaller sized exotics compete with indigenous species and some of
188 them (e.g. pumpkinseed sunfish *Lepomis gibbosus* and black bullhead *Ameiurus melas*) prey
189 on their eggs and fry. Moreover, *A. anguilla* and *H. molitrix* also caused problem for tourisms
190 by their slowly putrescible, floating carcasses in Lake Balaton.

191 Legal, and especially illegal, non-native introductions has been reawakened recently,
192 mainly by irresponsible angling collectives. Owners of many private ponds and pit lakes stock
193 non-indigenous fishes, including endangered and critically endangered Red Book
194 acipenseroids to attract trophy hunting anglers. Since these lakes generally are not fully
195 isolated, the escape of fishes from these habitat to natural water systems is common. For
196 example, recently individuals of Siberian sturgeon *Acipenser baeri*, American paddlefish
197 *Polyodon spathula* and hybrid bass *Morone saxatilis* × *M. chrysops* have been observed in the
198 River Danube.

199 Unfortunately, several non-indigenous species have been acclimatized in Hungarian
200 waters and established self-sustaining stocks, which are hard to confine anymore. Stocks of

201 some species that evidently are not able to reproduce in Hungarian waters, however, seem
202 also to have continuous recruitment. Sources of these recruits are from illegal stocking and
203 mainly from fishes escaping from fish farms (*e.g. H. molitrix* and *C. idella*) and intensive
204 angling ponds.

205 The third general threat is the overexploitation of native fish species coupled with
206 unbalanced stocking. Recruiting harvested populations by stockings might trigger a further
207 threat. To save and strengthen some endangered or overexploited fish populations by stocking
208 artificially reproduced specimens is a common tool of fisheries management and biological
209 conservation. Unless they are executed with the outmost care, these stockings may induce
210 adverse population genetic processes (loss of genetic diversity and genetic drift). Generally,
211 the same ‘mother’ stocks and reproduction procedures are used in aquaculture for stocking
212 natural waters as those used for rearing fishes for the market. These ‘mother’ stocks consist of
213 relatively few and strongly selected specimens. For example, the River Danube subpopulation
214 of *C. carpio* has drastically declined due to overexploitation and loss of nursery areas in the
215 last two centuries. By now, this subpopulation has reached the critically endangered status
216 (IUCN Red Book). For stocking, however, mostly the domesticated strains are used.
217 Moreover, natural habitats are often stocked with offspring originating from other areas.
218 Consequently, there is a real threat that stockings deteriorate the genetic integrity and
219 diversity of natural fish populations and special attention should be given for selecting mother
220 fishes (*i.e.* origin and abundance) for conservation and reintroduction of threatened species.

221 Although both diffuse and point source pollution still influence the ecological integrity
222 of natural waters, many rivers and streams that used to be strongly polluted even some
223 decades ago, with a strongly deteriorated fish fauna, have started to recover (*e.g.* the Rivers
224 Hernád and Sajó). The decreasing use of fertilizers and chemicals in the agriculture and the
225 accomplishment of sewage systems also positively influenced lake eutrophication processes.

226 For example Lake Balaton is also recovering from hypertrophy along with other lakes.
227 Nevertheless, industrial catastrophes still threat aquatic habitats. Recent ecological
228 catastrophes include the River Tisza (cyanide produced by a gold mine in Romania, in 2000)
229 and the River Marcal (red sludge produced by an aluminium corporation, in 2010).

230 Europe-wise spread of cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo* and the immigration of Ponto-
231 Caspian gobiids (e.g. bighead goby *Ponticola kessleri*, round goby *Neogobius melanostomus*
232 and racer goby *Babka gymnotrachelus*) into the River Danube drainage system also might
233 impact the native fish community of some habitats, however, the level of these threats has not
234 been assessed.

235

236 **MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES**

237

238 Despite the above problems, fisheries research and management are under development
239 and with a new approach in Hungary. Although this progress is still in an initial phase, several
240 promising developments have been achieved, especially in the fields of habitat re-
241 naturalization, species and biodiversity conservation and establishment of a more sustainable
242 fisheries practice. Objectives for the future are that commercial fisheries should be banned
243 from natural habitats, native biodiversity should be conserved but may be used for
244 recreational fisheries, for angling, and all market fishes should be produced in aquaculture,
245 preferably in closed recirculating systems.

246 Although some private lake owners still use exotic species in their stocking strategy,
247 there is a dynamically increasing need for re-establishing and improving previously neglected
248 native fish stocks. Fisheries management of several natural waters has recently shifted toward
249 the stocking of native fish species and also to use authentic strains over domesticated races
250 (e.g. *C. carpio*). This tendency initiated a progress in breeding of native fish species in

251 aquaculture (e.g. *T. tinca*, *Carassius carassius* and *L. aspius*). Similarly, the biological
252 conservation action launched to save threatened biodiversity of aquatic systems promoted the
253 development of artificial propagation and intensive rearing procedures of small endangered
254 fish species that are not directly important for fisheries (Demény *et al.*, 2012). There is also
255 some progress in preventing the further spread of non-native fishes in natural habitats, but still
256 mainly at a local scale. For example, the primary task of the commercial fishery now is to
257 deplete the non-indigenous fish stocks in Lake Balaton.

258 Since most of the natural waters in Hungary are strongly modified and impacted by
259 human activities and especially the spawning and nursery sites have been affected, it is very
260 important to secure the stability and recruitment of fish populations. Accordingly, country-
261 wide minimum legal size and catch limits along with fishing ban periods for the most effected
262 fish species, which have been in operation for a long time, are now being adjusted to be more
263 flexible to spatio-temporal variations in recruitment and stock status.

264 Problems of the modified and regulated habitats are being recognized now not only by
265 conservation biologists but increasingly by fisheries managers as well. Several small-scale re-
266 naturalization projects have been launched, especially in streams and small rivers of Vas-
267 county, aiming mainly to re-establish longitudinal habitat connectivity of floodable riparian
268 zones and wetland systems. In Hungary, the first ‘nature-like’ fish pass have been built at
269 Denkpál in 1998 on the River Danube. Recently, however, several fish passes have been
270 planned or already been constructed to ensure habitat connectivity in dammed stretches of the
271 Rivers Pinka and Rába. There are also proposals to re-establish the meandering of some
272 stream stretches by re-annexation of previously isolated streambeds. Some previously drained
273 wetland systems have already been re-inundated, and the re-naturalization of other sites are
274 under discussion. For example, the Kis-Balaton, which was drained in the 1920s, has been re-
275 established since 1985, and now it is inhabited by a diverse and dense fish community. The

276 completion of two fish passes on the River Zala, will hopefully provide a reproduction habitat
277 for Lake Balaton fish populations.

278 Water resource utilization strategy and climate change increase the probability of
279 periods of drought in the region. Streams and wetlands are especially affected, although these
280 unique ecosystems provide primary habitats for several rare and endangered fish species.
281 Natural flow regime of streams is strongly affected by the water requirements of fish ponds
282 and reservoirs (Erős *et al.*, 2012). Fish ponds often retain water during droughts. In these
283 periods several stream reaches regularly dry up, and consequently lose their native fish
284 communities. Unfortunately, there is no care taken to determine at least the minimum flow
285 requirements of streams in Hungary, although this would be especially important for
286 conserving native stream fish assemblages.

287 Finally, in order to reach a sustainable fisheries practice that also supports the
288 conservation of the native fish communities, first the education of fisheries experts and water
289 engineers should be widened with ecological and nature conservation issues. The education of
290 anglers should be also improved. Ecologists and conservation biologist should have a leading
291 role in this process.

292

293

References

294

295 Bíró, P. (1997) Temporal variation in Lake Balaton and its fish populations. *Ecology of*
296 *Freshwater Fish* **6**, 196-216.

297 Dankó, J. K. & Bardócz, T. (2011) Magyarország halászata 2010-ben. *Halászat* **104**, 38-45.
298 (in Hungarian)

299 Demény, F., Trenovszki, M. M., Sokoray-Varga, S., Hegyi, Á., Urbányi, B., Žarski, D., Ács,
300 B., Miljanović, B., Specziár, A. & Müller, T. (2012) Relative efficiencies of *Artemia*

301 nauplii, dry food and mixed food diets in intensive rearing of larval crucian carp
302 (*Carassius carassius* L.). *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **12**, 691-
303 698.

304 Erős, T. (2007) Partitioning the diversity of riverine fish: the roles of habitat types and non-
305 native species. *Freshwater Biology* **52**, 1400-1415.

306 Erős, T., Schmera, D. & Schick, R. S. (2011) Network thinking in riverscape conservation – a
307 graph-based approach. *Biological Conservation* **144**, 184-192.

308 Erős, T., Sály, P., Takács, P., Specziár, A. & Bíró, P. (2012) Temporal variability in the
309 spatial and environmental determinants of functional metacommunity organization –
310 Stream fish in a human modified landscape. *Freshwater Biology* **57**, 1914-1928.

311 Specziár, A. (2010) Fish fauna of Lake Balaton: stock composition, living conditions of fish
312 and directives of the modern utilization of the fish stock. *Acta Biologica Debrecina*
313 *Supplementum Oecologica Hungarica* **23** (*Hydrobiological Monographs* **2**), 7-185 (in
314 Hungarian with an English summary)

315 Specziár, A., Erős, T., György, Á. I., Tátrai, I. & Bíró P. (2009) A comparison between the
316 benthic Nordic gillnet and whole water column gillnets for characterizing fish
317 assemblages in the shallow Lake Balaton. *Annales de Limnologie – International*
318 *Journal of Limnology* **45**, 171-180.

319
320

321 TABLE 3.9.3. A summary of fisheries statistics of Hungarian natural waters for the last 20
 322 years

Period	1991-1995	1996-2000	2001-2005	2006-2010	
Catch (t year ⁻¹)	Mean±S.D.	Mean±S.D.	Mean±S.D.	Mean±S.D.	Trend
Common carp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	3413±511	2968±472	3033±448	3537±297	Stable
Grass carp <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	348±34	325±25	366±37	396±36	Stable
Silver carp <i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i> (with bighead carp <i>Hypophthalmichthys nobilis</i>)	1192±365	855±447	794±276	526±169	Strongly decreasing
Pikeperch <i>Sander lucioperca</i>	224±12	190±27	194±3	179±19	Decreasing
Volga pikeperch <i>Sander volgensis</i> ¹	116±0	29±40	13±2	10±1	Collapsed
European catfish (wels catfish) <i>Silurus glanis</i>	172±35	137±39	141±17	166±3	Stable
Pike <i>Esox lucius</i> ²	148±63	185±90	192±6	205±42	Stable, fluctuating
European eel <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> ³	344±149	228±201	29±26	100±79	Strongly decreasing,

					fluctuating
Asp <i>Leuciscus aspius</i>	40±12	37±9	33±12	41±6	Stable
Sterlet <i>Acipenser</i> <i>ruthenus</i>	21±9	21±13	11±1	7±2	Collapsed
Barbel <i>Barbus barbus</i>	49±15	45±13	44±5	31±4	Strongly decreasing
Other fishes	2185±157	2360±128	2112±214	1710±146	Decreasing
Total catch	8126±537	7378±201	6955±455	6908±597	Decreasing

323 References: summarized annual fisheries statistics are published yearly in the journal

324 Halászat, in Hungarian.

325 ¹Data are available from 1995.

326 ²Year class strength fluctuates depending on the success of the natural reproduction.

327 ³Annual catches strongly depend upon the precipitation and the water level of Lake Balaton.

328

329