

ON THE SEMICONTINUITY OF THE MOD 2 SPECTRUM OF HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES

MACIEJ BORODZIK, ANDRÁS NÉMETHI, AND ANDREW RANICKI

ABSTRACT. We use purely topological methods to prove the semicontinuity of the mod 2 spectrum of local isolated hypersurface singularities in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , using Seifert forms of high-dimensional non-spherical links, the Levine–Tristram signatures and the generalized Murasugi–Kawauchi inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present article extends the results of [BoNe12], valid for plane curves, to arbitrary dimensions. The main message is that the semicontinuity of the mod 2 Hodge spectrum (associated with local isolated singularities, or with affine polynomials with some ‘tameness’ condition) is topological in nature, although its very definition and all known ‘traditional’ proofs sit deeply in the analytic/algebraic theory. Usually, the spectrum cannot be deduced from the topological data. In order to make clear these differences, let us review in short the involved invariants of a local isolated hypersurface singularity $f : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

The ‘homological package of the embedded topological type’ of an isolated hypersurface singularity contains the information about the vanishing cohomology (cohomology of the Milnor fiber), the algebraic monodromy acting on it, and different polarizations of it including the intersection form and the (linking) Seifert form. In fact, the Seifert form determines all this homological data. See e.g. [AGV84].

On the other hand, the vanishing cohomology carries a mixed Hodge structure polarized by the intersection form and the Seifert form, and it is compatible with the monodromy action too. It has several definitions, but all of them are analytic [Arn81, Stee76, Stee85, Var83a, Var83b]. The equivariant Hodge numbers were codified by Steenbrink in the spectral pairs; if one deletes the information about the weight filtration one gets the spectrum/spectral numbers $Sp(f)$. They are (in some normalization) rational numbers in the interval $(0, n+1)$. Arnold conjectured [Arn81], and Varchenko [Var83a, Var83b] and Steenbrink [Stee85] proved that the spectrum behaves semicontinuously under deformations. In this way it becomes a very strong tool e.g. in the treatment of the adjacency problem of singularities.

More precisely, in the presence of a deformation f_t , where t is the deformation parameter $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, the semicontinuity guarantees that $|Sp(f_0) \cap I| \geq |Sp(f_{t \neq 0}) \cap I|$ for certain semicontinuity domains I . Arnold conjectured that $I = (-\infty, \alpha]$ is a semicontinuity domain for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, Steenbrink and Varchenko proved the statement for $I = (\alpha, \alpha + 1]$, which implies Arnold’s conjecture. Additionally, for some cases, Varchenko verified the stronger version, namely semicontinuity for $I = (\alpha, \alpha + 1)$ [Var83a].

Date: November 28, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary: 57Q25 secondary: 57Q60, 14D07, 32S30.

Key words and phrases. Codimension 2 embedding, Seifert surface, Seifert matrix, Tristram-Levine signature, semicontinuity of the spectrum, variation structures, Mixed Hodge Structure, link at infinity, higher dimensional knots.

The relation between the Hodge invariants and the Seifert form was established in [Nem95], proving that the collection of mod 2 spectral pairs are equivalent with the real Seifert form. Therefore, the real Seifert form determines the mod 2 spectrum, that is, the collection of numbers $\alpha \bmod 2$ in $(0, 2]$, where α run over $Sp(f)$. Clearly, for plane curve singularities, i.e. when $n = 1$, by taking mod 2 reduction we lose no information.

Surprisingly, this correspondence can be continued: in [BoNe12] it is proved that if $n = 1$ then the *semicontinuity property of the mod 2 spectrum too is topological*: it can be proved independently of Hodge theoretical tools, it follows from classical link theory. Its precise statement is the following: length one ‘intervals’ intersected by the mod 2 spectrum, namely sets of type $Sp \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)$ and $(Sp \cap (0, \alpha)) \cup (Sp \cap (\alpha + 1, 2])$, for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, satisfy semicontinuity properties, whenever this question is well-posed (and under certain mild extra assumptions regarding the roots of the monodromy operator). The tools needed in this topological proof were the following: properties of the *Tristram–Levine signature*, its connection with the spectrum, and the *Murasugi–Kawauchi inequality* valid for it.

It was very natural to ask for a possible generalization of this fact for arbitrary dimensions. This was seriously obstructed by two facts: the *existence of the Seifert form up to an S -equivalence* and the analogue of the *Murasugi–Kawauchi inequality* valid for any 2-codimension embedded manifold of S^{2n+1} .

This article has the following plan. First, we collect and prove all the other steps which are needed in the verification of the semicontinuity, except these two main obstructions. Then, we handle the proofs of these two obstructions at two different difficulty levels.

The first level proof (the ‘strong version’) is completely topological and uses only the isotopy types of the corresponding higher dimensional links. It is rather long and the needed tools are different from those needed to verify the semicontinuity. Therefore, we decided to separate them in another note [BNR12].

The second proof is adapted to the present application: it assumes the existence of concrete Seifert surfaces, which is hard to prove in the general topological case, but is automatically satisfied in our present situation, since the links are cut out by holomorphic/polynomial equations. In this way the proof become considerable simpler and more transparent. This ‘weak version’ of Murasugi–Kawauchi type inequality is given here in Subsection 2.1. Some particularities of the links, e.g. the existence of the Milnor fiber, which are rather standard in the theory of local singularities of affine polynomial maps, are used also several times. Therefore, from the point of view of the present application, the article can be consider complete and self-contained.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the theory of higher dimensional links and their Seifert forms. Then we discuss the generalization of the Murasugi–Kawauchi inequality: we state the general version of it from [BNR12], and we give here a simpler proof using some additional assumptions (which are satisfied in the present case). In the last subsection we show how they can be applied for complex affine hypersurfaces.

Section 3 reviews facts about hermitian variation structures, the tool which connects Seifert forms with the spectral numbers via the Levine–Tristram signatures. Then we discuss how the machinery works in the case of a global affine hypersurface, provided that the corresponding polynomial map satisfies some regularity conditions which guarantees similar properties at infinity which are valid for local isolated singularities (the ‘Milnor package’). In this section we review some needed facts about these ‘tameness’ conditions as well.

Section 4 contains the three semicontinuity results: (a) the local case of deformation of isolated singularities, (b) semicontinuity of the mod 2 spectrum of the mixed Hodge structure at infinity of ‘nice’ polynomials, and (c) an inequality which compares the mod

2 spectrum at infinity of an affine fiber with the local spectrum of its singular points. We wish to emphasize that this type of semicontinuity (which produces bounds for the local spectra in terms of the spectrum at infinity) is unknown in Hodge theory.

Certain proofs and parts of the note show similarities with [BoNe12], therefore some arguments are shortened, although we have tried to provide a presentation emphasizing all the basic steps of the proof.

Notation. For a finite set A , we denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of A , for a subset X of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , $\text{int } X$ denotes its interior and \overline{X} its closure.

Acknowledgements. The first author is supported by Polish MNiSzW Grant No N N201 397937 and also the Foundation for Polish Science FNP. The second author is partially supported by OTKA Grant K67928. The authors express their thanks to the Research Fund of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society for supporting the visit of MB and AN to Edinburgh in March 2012.

2. HIGH DIMENSIONAL LINKS AND THEIR SIGNATURES

2.1. A quick trip through high dimensional link theory. Here we present, in a condensed form, results about *high dimensional links*, that is, codimension 2 embeddings $M \subset S^{2n+1}$, where M is a closed oriented $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold, for any $n \geq 1$. The theory resembles the ‘classical’ theory of embeddings of disjoint unions of copies of S^1 ’s into S^3 , the special case $n = 1$, although the proofs of the corresponding statements are more involved. Unless specified otherwise, the results are from [BNR12]. The first one, however, is older. It dates back to Erle [Erle69], see also [BNR12].

Proposition 2.1.1. *Let $M^{2n-1} \subset S^{2n+1}$ be a link. Then there exists a compact, oriented, connected $2n$ -dimensional manifold $\Sigma \subset S^{2n+1}$, such that $\partial\Sigma = M$.*

We shall call such Σ a *Seifert surface* for M ; in general, there is not a canonical choice of Σ , although there is a canonical choice if M is the link of a singularity. Given a Seifert surface Σ , let FH_n be the torsion free quotient of $H_n(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be the basis of FH_n and let us represent it by cycles denoted also by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. We can define a matrix $S = \{s_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^m$ with $s_{ij} = \text{lk}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^+)$, where α_j^+ is a cycle α_j pushed slightly off Σ in the positive direction. S is called the Seifert matrix of M relative to Σ .

Proposition 2.1.2 (See [BNR12, Main Theorem 2]). *Any two Seifert matrices of a given link M , corresponding to possibly different Seifert surfaces, are S -equivalent.*

We refer to [Kaw96, Chapter 5.2] for the definition S -equivalence of Seifert matrices. The above result is standard for knots $S^{2n-1} \subset S^{2n+1}$ (when $b = S + (-1)^n S^T$ is invertible), but is less familiar for links $M^{2n-1} \subset S^{2n+1}$.

Let us fix a link M and let Σ be one of its Seifert surfaces, with Seifert matrix S . Following an algebraic result of Keef [Keef83] (see also [BoNe11, Section 3]), over the field of rational numbers changing S by a matrix S -equivalent to it we can write

$$(2.1.3) \quad S = S_{ndeg} \oplus S_0,$$

where $\det S_{ndeg} \neq 0$ and all the entries of S_0 are zero. Let us define

$$(2.1.4) \quad n_0 := \text{size of } S_0 = \dim(\ker S \cap \ker S^T).$$

Moreover, the right hand side of (2.1.3) does not depend on a particular choice of the Seifert matrix in its S -equivalence class, more precisely, the integer n_0 and the rational congruence class of the matrix S_{ndeg} are well defined.

Definition 2.1.5. Let $M^{2n-1} \subset S^{2n+1}$ be a link with a Seifert surface $\Sigma^{2n} \subset S^{2n+1}$, S the Seifert matrix and S^T the transposed matrix. The *Alexander polynomial* of M is defined by

$$\Delta_M(t) := \det(S_{ndeg} \cdot t + (-1)^n S_{ndeg}^T).$$

For any $\xi \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$ define the *Levine–Tristram signature* and *nullity* of M at ξ

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_M(\xi) &:= \text{signature} [(1 - \xi)S + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\xi})S^T] \\ n_M(\xi) &:= \text{nullity} [(1 - \xi)S + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\xi})S^T]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1.6. Strictly speaking, $\Delta_M(t)$ is the classical Alexander polynomial only if $n_0 = 0$, i.e. if $S = S_{ndeg}$. Otherwise, if $n_0 > 0$, then $\Delta_M(t)$ is the $(n_0 + 1)$ -st classical Alexander polynomial. In this note we shall not insist on this distinction.

The following result is completely analogous to its one-dimensional version.

Lemma 2.1.7. *If $\xi \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$ is not a root of the Alexander polynomial, then $n_M(\xi) = n_0$. Otherwise $n_M(\xi) > n_0$. For $\xi, \eta \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$ if there exists an arc in $S^1 \setminus \{1\}$ connecting them and not containing any root of the Alexander polynomial, then $\sigma_M(\xi) = \sigma_M(\eta)$.*

For the completeness of the argument we present the straightforward proof.

Proof. Let $S = S_{ndeg} \oplus S_0$. Then $\sigma_M(\xi) = \text{signature}[(1 - \xi)S_{ndeg} + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\xi})S_{ndeg}^T]$ and $n_M(\xi) = \text{null}[(1 - \xi)S_{ndeg} + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\xi})S_{ndeg}^T] + n_0$. Hence we can assume $S = S_{ndeg}$. But then,

$$(1 - \xi)S + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\xi})S^T = (\bar{\xi} - 1)(\xi S + (-1)^n S^T).$$

Hence $\Delta_M(\xi) \neq 0$ if and only if $(1 - \xi)S + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\xi})S^T$ is non-degenerate. Furthermore, if the arc γ joins ξ and η , then the matrix $[(1 - \alpha)S + (-1)^{n+1}(1 - \bar{\alpha})S^T]_{\alpha \in \gamma}$ is a path in the space of non-degenerate sesquilinear forms along which the signature is constant. \square

Our main tool is the following generalization of the classical *Murasugi–Kawauchi inequality*.

Theorem 2.1.8 (see [BNR12, Main Theorem 3]). *Let $(Y; M_0, M_1) \subset S^{2n+1} \times ([0, 1]; \{0\}, \{1\})$ be a cobordism of links $M_0, M_1 \subset S^{2n+1}$. For any Seifert surfaces $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \subset S^{2n+1}$ for M_0, M_1 and $\xi \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$ we have*

$$(2.1.9) \quad |\sigma_{M_0}(\xi) - \sigma_{M_1}(\xi)| \leq b_n(\Sigma_0 \cup_{M_0} Y \cup_{M_1} \Sigma_1) - b_n(\Sigma_0) - b_n(\Sigma_1) + n_{M_0}(\xi) + n_{M_1}(\xi),$$

where $b_n(\cdot)$ denotes the n -th Betti number.

Remark 2.1.10. In the classical case $n = 1$, the inequality looks slightly different (compare [Kaw96, Theorem 12.3.1]), namely one has

$$(2.1.11) \quad |\sigma_{M_0}(\xi) - \sigma_{M_1}(\xi)| + |n_{M_0}(\xi) - n_{M_1}(\xi)| \leq b_1(Y, M_0) = b_1(Y, M_1).$$

In this case one has a better interplay between the topology and nullities (see for example the quantities w_L and u_L in [Boro11, Section 5]). We do not know whether this stronger inequality holds in higher dimensions; the approach of [BNR12] seems to be insufficient to prove that.

For the convenience of the reader, and also for the completeness of the proof of the semicontinuity property, we present below a sketch of proof of Theorem 2.1.8 under the following additional assumption.

Assumption 2.1.12. There exists a smooth function $f: S^{2n+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:

- $Y = f^{-1}(0)$, in particular $M_0 = S^{2n+1} \times \{0\} \cap f^{-1}(0)$ and $M_1 = S^{2n+1} \times \{1\} \cap f^{-1}(0)$;

- the map $\arg f: S^{2n+1} \times [0, 1] \setminus Y \rightarrow S^1$ given by $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{|f(x)|}$ is surjective;
- there is a non-critical value $\delta \in S^1$ of $\arg f$ and of the restriction of $\arg f$ to $S^{2n+1} \times \{0, 1\} \setminus (M_0 \sqcup M_1)$, such that if we define $\Omega = (\arg f)^{-1}(\delta)$ then $\Sigma_i = \Omega \cap S^{2n+1} \times \{i\}$ for $i = 0, 1$.

If we study deformations of isolated singularities of hypersurfaces, the existence of function f will always be clear. The function will be then even complex analytic, although we only need smoothness to ensure that the Sard's lemma works. In fact, many arguments of [BNR12] rely on constructing a function like the one above using homological methods. The last condition of the assumptions is slightly weaker than the condition that $\arg f$ gives a fibration of $S^{2n+1} \times \{i\} \setminus M_i \rightarrow S^1$ with fiber isotopic to Σ_i for $i = 0, 1$.

Assumption 2.1.12 is satisfied in all the cases that we consider in the paper (see Remark 2.2.2 or Proposition 3.2.1 below). That enables us to prove all the results from Section 4 without referring to surgery theory from [BNR12].

However, in this approach, the signatures and their properties depend *a priori* on the function f and on the specific choice of the Seifert surface. It is not clear whether these properties are of topological nature, in particular, whether the results from Section 4 are purely topological, or not. The theorems from [BNR12] clarify this. The Levine–Tristram signatures are defined even if the link in question is not fibered and depend only on the isotopy of the link. Moreover, the behaviour of the Levine–Tristram signatures under cobordism depends only on homological properties of the manifold which realizes the cobordism between links.

Proof of Theorem 2.1.8. We denote $C_0 := S^{2n+1} \times \{0\}$ and $C_1 := S^{2n+1} \times \{1\}$. On $(-C_0) \sqcup C_1$ (the minus sign denotes that we reverse the orientation) we can consider the linking form: if α, β are two *disjoint* n -dimensional cycles on $C_0 \sqcup C_1$ we define

$$\text{lk}(\alpha, \beta) = -\text{lk}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) + \text{lk}(\alpha_1, \beta_1),$$

where $\alpha_i = \alpha \cap C_i$, $\beta_i = \beta \cap C_i$, $i = 0, 1$. This definition allows us to define the Seifert pairing for $M_0 \sqcup M_1$ with respect to the Seifert surface $-\Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1$ by $S(\alpha, \beta) = \text{lk}(\alpha, \beta^+)$, where β^+ is the cycle β pushed off slightly from $\Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1$ in a positive normal direction. If S_0 and S_1 denote the Seifert pairings for M_0 and M_1 , then clearly $S = (-S_0) \oplus S_1$.

Observe now that $\partial\Omega = \Sigma_0 \cup Y \cup \Sigma_1$. Let $k: \Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ be the inclusion. We denote also $j: \partial\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and $i = k \circ j$.

Claim. If α, β are disjoint and are elements of $\ker i_*: H_n(\Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_n(\Omega; \mathbb{Q})$, then $S(\alpha, \beta) = 0$.

To prove the claim, we assume that $\alpha, \beta \in \ker i_*$. Then, there exist $(n+1)$ -dimensional cycles $A, B \subset \Omega$, such that $\partial A = \alpha$, $\partial B = \beta$. Let B^+ be the cycle in $S^{2n+1} \times [0, 1]$ obtained by pushing B off Ω in a positive normal direction. Clearly $\partial B^+ = \beta^+$. But then

$$\text{lk}(\alpha, \beta^+) = A \cdot B^+ = 0,$$

because A and B^+ are disjoint. This proves the claim.

Let now k_* and j_* denote the induced maps on n -th homology with rational coefficients. By a standard Poincaré duality argument $\dim \ker j_* = \frac{1}{2}b_n(\partial\Omega)$. Therefore

$$\dim \ker i_* \geq \dim \ker k_* + (\dim \ker j_* - \dim \text{coker } k_*) = \frac{1}{2}b_n(\partial\Omega) - (b_n(\partial\Omega) - b_n(\Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1)).$$

Consider now $\xi \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$ and assume for simplicity that $n_{M_0}(\xi) = n_{M_1}(\xi) = 0$ (the proof in general case is only slightly more complicated). This means that the form $(1-\xi)S + (1-\bar{\xi})S^T$




FIGURE 1. The cobordism between local and global links

is non-degenerate. By the claim, it vanishes on a subspace of dimension $\dim \ker i_*$, therefore the absolute value of its signature is bounded by

$$b_n(\Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1) - 2 \dim \ker i_* = b_n(\partial\Omega) - b_n(\Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1).$$

□

2.2. Application to affine or local complex hypersurfaces. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a complex hypersurface with at most isolated singularities. If $x \in X$ is a singular point of X , consider a sufficiently small sphere $S_x \simeq S^{2n+1}$ centered at x . The intersection $X \cap S_x$ embedded in S_x is called the *link* of the hypersurface singularity $(X, x) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, x)$. We shall denote it by $M_x \subset S_x$.

Let now B be any ball in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that $S = \partial B$ is transverse to X (in particular S omits the singular set of X). Let $M := S \cap X \subset S$. It is a closed codimension 2 submanifold of S , i.e. a high dimensional link. Let x_1, \dots, x_k be those singular points of X , that lie inside B . We have the following result.

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let X^s be the smoothing of X inside B (whose boundary will be identified via an isotopy with M too) and Σ a Seifert surface of $M \subset S$. Moreover, for $j = 1, \dots, k$, let Σ_j be the Milnor fiber at x_j . Then for all $\xi \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$*

$$\left| \sigma_M(\xi) - \sum_j \sigma_{M_{x_j}}(\xi) \right| \leq b_n(X^s \cup_M \Sigma) - b_n(\Sigma) - \sum_j b_n(\Sigma_j) + n_M(\xi) + \sum_j n_{M_{x_j}}(\xi).$$

Proof. For any $j = 1, \dots, k$ let us pick a small Milnor balls B_j around x_j such that the balls are pairwise disjoint; hence $\partial B_j \pitchfork X$, $\partial B_j \cap X = M_{x_j}$ and $\Sigma_j \subset \partial B_j$. Let $B_0 \subset B$ be a ball disjoint from $X \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k$. For each $j = 1, \dots, k$, let γ_j be a smooth, closed curve joining ∂B_0 with ∂B_j , such that γ_j is disjoint from Σ_j and from all other balls B_l and other curves γ_l for $l \neq j$. We also assume that the relative interior of γ_j is disjoint from B_0 and B_j (see Figure 1). Let U_j be a small tubular neighbourhood of γ_j and consider

$$U = B_0 \cup \bigcup_j (B_j \cup U_j), \quad \text{and} \quad Y = X \cap B \setminus \bigcup_j \text{int } B_j.$$

The assumptions on γ_j 's guarantee that

- U is diffeomorphic to a standard ball;
- $S' := \partial U$ (after possibly smoothing corners) is a sphere transverse to X ;
- $M' := S' \cap X$ is a disjoint union $M_{x_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup M_{x_k}$ of the local links;
- $\Sigma' := \Sigma_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Sigma_k$ is a Seifert surface for M' .

In particular

$$\sigma_{M'}(\xi) = \sum_j \sigma_{M_{x_j}}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad n_{M'}(\xi) = \sum_j n_{M_{x_j}}(\xi).$$

We say that the cobordism of links

$$(Y; M, M') \subset (B \setminus \text{int } U; S, S') \approx S^{2n+1} \times ([0, 1]; \{0\}, \{1\})$$

is constructed by the ‘boleadoras’ trick. The generalized Murasugi–Kawauchi inequality of Theorem 2.1.8 gives

$$\left| \sigma_M(\xi) - \sum_j \sigma_{M_{x_j}}(\xi) \right| \leq b_n(Y \cup \Sigma' \cup \Sigma) - b_n(\Sigma') - b_n(\Sigma) + n_M(\xi) + \sum_j n_{M_{x_j}}(\xi).$$

Now $\Sigma_j \approx X^s \cap B_j$ by [Miln68], hence $Y \cup \Sigma' \approx X^s$, and the statement follows. \square

Remark 2.2.2. (a) If X is given by $\{f = 0\}$ for a complex polynomial f and there exists $\delta \in S^1$ such that $\Sigma = (\arg f)^{-1}(\delta)$ (for instance if the link M is fibred), then we can find B_0 and the paths $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ (used in the proof of Proposition 2.2.1) disjoint from $(\arg f)^{-1}(\delta)$. Then $(\arg f)^{-1}(\delta) \cap S'$ is a disjoint union of the Seifert surfaces for M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_k} . This proves that Assumption 2.1.12 in this case is satisfied.

(b) Proposition 2.2.1 is the main tool in the proof of the semicontinuity of the mod 2 spectrum, cf. Section 4. We remark that if $n = 1$, this proposition allows us to prove semicontinuity of the spectrum without referring to [Boro11] and [BNR12]. (The article [BoNe12] uses [Boro11].)

(c) The space U , as drawn in the picture above, resembles the South American throwing weapon *boleadoras* [Bol], hence the name of the cobordism construction in 2.2.1.

3. HERMITIAN VARIATION STRUCTURES AND MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES

3.1. Generalities about hermitian variation structures. Variation structures were introduced in [Nem95]. As it was shown in [BoNe11] and [BoNe12] they form a bridge between knot theory and Hodge theory. Let us recall shortly the definition, referring to [Nem95] or [BoNe11, Section 2] for all details and further references.

Definition 3.1.1. Fix a sign $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. An ε -hermitian variation structure (in short: HVS) consist of the quadruple $(U; b, h, V)$, where U is a complex linear space, $b: U \rightarrow U^*$ is an ε -hermitian endomorphism (it can be regarded as a ε -symmetric pairing on $U \times U$), $h: U \rightarrow U$ is an automorphism preserving b , and $V: U^* \rightarrow U$ is an endomorphism such that

$$V \circ b = h - I \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{V}^* = -\varepsilon V \circ \bar{h}^*.$$

Here $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the complex conjugate and $*$ the duality.

We shall call a HVS *simple* if V is an isomorphism. In this case V determines b and h completely by the formulae $h = -\varepsilon V(\bar{V}^*)^{-1}$ and $b = -V^{-1} - \varepsilon \bar{V}^{*-1}$. It was proved in [Nem95] that each simple variation structure is a direct sum of indecomposable ones, moreover the decomposable ones can be completely classified. More precisely, for any $k \geq 1$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, there are two structures $\mathcal{W}_\lambda^k(\pm 1)$ (up to an isomorphism). In their case h is a single Jordan block of size k with eigenvalue λ . Furthermore, for any $k \geq 1$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ there exists a single structure \mathcal{V}_λ^{2k} . In this case h is a direct sum of two Jordan blocks of size k : one block has eigenvalue λ , the other $1/\bar{\lambda}$. In particular for each HVS \mathcal{V} there exists a unique decomposition

$$(3.1.2) \quad \mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\substack{0 < |\lambda| < 1 \\ k \geq 1}} q_\lambda^k \cdot \mathcal{V}_\lambda^{2k} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{|\lambda|=1 \\ k \geq 1, u=\pm 1}} p_\lambda^k(u) \cdot \mathcal{W}_\lambda^k(u),$$

where q_λ^k and $p_\lambda^k(\pm 1)$ are certain non-negative integers. Here we write $m \cdot \mathcal{V}$ for a direct sum of m copies of \mathcal{V} . Next we recall the definition of the spectrum associated with a HVS.

Definition 3.1.3. Let \mathcal{V} be a HVS. Let $p_\lambda^k(\pm 1)$ and q_λ^k be the integers defined by (3.1.2). The *extended spectrum* ESp is the union $ESp = Sp \cup ISp$, where

- (a) Sp , the *spectrum*, is a finite set of real numbers from the interval $(0, 2]$ with integral multiplicities such that any real number α occurs in Sp precisely $s(\alpha)$ times, where

$$s(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{u=\pm 1} \left(\frac{2n-1-u(-1)^{|u|}}{2} p_\lambda^{2n-1}(u) + n p_\lambda^{2n}(u) \right), \quad (e^{2\pi i \alpha} = \lambda).$$

- (b) ISp is the set of complex numbers from $(0, 2] \times i\mathbb{R}$, $ISp \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$, where $z = \alpha + i\beta$ occurs in ISp precisely $s(z)$ times, where

$$s(z) = \begin{cases} \sum k \cdot q_\lambda^k & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } e^{2\pi i z} = \lambda \\ \sum k \cdot q_\lambda^k & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \beta < 0 \text{ and } e^{2\pi i z} = 1/\bar{\lambda} \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1 \text{ and } \beta < 0, \text{ or } \alpha > 1 \text{ and } \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

We have the following relation

$$|ESp| = \dim U = \deg \det(h - t \text{Id}).$$

Main motivation for introducing HVS comes from singularity theory, cf. [AGV84, Nem95].

Lemma 3.1.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a complex hypersurface with an isolated singularity $x \in X$. Let S_x be a small sphere centered at x and let $\pi: S_x \setminus X \rightarrow S^1$ be the Milnor fibration with fiber Σ . Let $U = H_n(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$, b be the intersection form on U and $h: U \rightarrow U$ be the homological monodromy. Finally, let $V: H_n(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ be the Picard–Lefschetz variation operator. Then the quadruple $(U; b, h, V)$ constitutes a simple HVS with $\varepsilon = (-1)^n$.*

Definition 3.1.5. The HVS defined in Lemma 3.1.4 is called the HVS of $(X, x) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, x)$.

Remark 3.1.6. The Seifert matrix (associated with Σ) is the inverse transpose of V .

Conversely, any non-degenerate Seifert matrix S associated with any (topologically defined) link $M \subset S^{2n+1}$ determines a simple HVS via $V = (S^{-1})^T$.

In the above algebraic/analytic case, by the Monodromy Theorem, h has all eigenvalues on the unit circle. In particular $ESp = Sp$. The spectrum associated with the *hermitian variation structure* of an isolated singularity will be denoted by Sp^{HVS} .

On the other hand, for an isolated singular point x one can define a *mixed Hodge structure* on the n -th cohomology of its Milnor fiber, which determines a spectrum denoted by Sp^{MHS} , cf. work of Steenbrink and Varchenko [Stee76, Stee85, Var83a]. (We will use the same normalization as in [BoNe12, 2.3]. In particular, Sp^{MHS} is a subset of $(0, n+1)$.)

The relation between the two sets of spectral numbers is given in Proposition 3.1.8. Before we state it, we need to fix some terminology.

Definition 3.1.7. We denote the mod 2 reduction of the spectrum Sp^{MHS} by $Sp^{\text{MHS}/2}$. This means that $Sp^{\text{MHS}/2}$ is a finite set of rational numbers from the interval $(0, 2]$ with integral multiplicities, and for any $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ the multiplicity of the spectral number in $Sp^{\text{MHS}/2}$ is the sum of the multiplicities of the spectral numbers $\{\alpha + 2j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in Sp^{MHS} .

Proposition 3.1.8 ([Nem95, Theorem 6.5]). *Sp^{HVS} is the mod 2 reduction of Sp^{MHS} for any isolated hypersurface singularity.*

Note that the spectrum Sp^{HVS} , by its very definition, can be determined from the Seifert form of the link. The point is that it can be fully recovered from the signatures as well.

Proposition 3.1.9 ([BoNe12, Corollary 2.4.6]). *Let x be an isolated singular point of the hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and $\mathcal{V} = (U; b, h, V)$ the corresponding HVS. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ be such that $\xi = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ is not an eigenvalue of the monodromy operator h . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |Sp^{\text{HVS}} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)| &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim U - \sigma_{M_x}(\xi)) \\ |Sp^{\text{HVS}} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]| &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim U + \sigma_{M_x}(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1.10. The dimension $\dim U = b_n(\Sigma)$ is the Milnor number of the singularity. Moreover, the condition that ξ is not eigenvalue of the monodromy is equivalent to $n_{M_x}(\xi) = 0$. This follows from Lemma 2.1.7 and from the fact that the Seifert matrix of the link corresponding to the Milnor fiber is non-degenerate.

In Proposition 3.1.9 we assume that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. If $\alpha = 1$, the statement of proposition still holds. In fact $Sp^{\text{HVS}} \cap (1, 2) = Sp^{\text{HVS}} \setminus [0, 1]$ and $Sp^{\text{HVS}} \setminus [1, 2] = Sp^{\text{HVS}} \cap (0, 1)$. Hence the case $\alpha = 1$ is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 0$.

3.2. Spectrally tame polynomials. We introduce now a new class of tame polynomials, namely *spectrally tame*. We add this new terminology (to the rather big variety of different versions of ‘tame’ polynomials) in order to make precise, what assumptions are needed to obtain a topological proof for the semicontinuity of the mod 2 reduction of the MHS-spectrum at infinity associated with polynomial maps. Below, we shall give some examples of spectrally tame polynomials as well.

Let $P: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map. Let \mathcal{B} be the bifurcation set of P , a finite subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that the restriction of P is a C^∞ locally trivial fibration over $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a sufficiently large closed disc centered at the origin so that $\mathcal{B} \subset D$. Finally, take R sufficiently large, such that for any $R' \geq R$ the boundary of any closed ball $B_{R'} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ centered at the origin intersects any $P^{-1}(c)$, $c \in \partial D$, transversally.

For a fixed value $c \in \partial D$ set $X_c := P^{-1}(c)$, the generic fiber of P , and let M_c be the link at infinity $X_c \cap \partial B_R$. Notice that by the above choices, the restriction of P on $P^{-1}(\partial D)$, or on $P^{-1}(\partial D) \cap \partial B_R$ is the fibration of P at infinity.

Since X_c is Stein, $H_i(X_c, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > n$ and $H_n(X_c, \mathbb{Z})$ is free. Moreover, $H^n(X_c, \mathbb{Q})$ carries a MHS, the ‘mixed Hodge structure of P at infinity’, see e.g. [Dim00, NeSa99, Sab99]. We add to these facts the following.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Consider $\phi(z) := P(z)/|P(z)| : \partial B_R \setminus P^{-1}(\text{int } D) \rightarrow S^1$, the Milnor map restricted on the complement of $P^{-1}(\text{int } D)$. Then*

$$\phi : (\partial B_R \setminus P^{-1}(\text{int } D), \partial B_R \cap P^{-1}(\partial D)) \rightarrow S^1$$

is a C^∞ locally trivial fibration of pairs of spaces over S^1 . This fibration is C^∞ equivalent with the fibration at infinity associated with P

$$P : (P^{-1}(\partial D) \cap B_R, P^{-1}(\partial D) \cap \partial B_R) \rightarrow \partial D.$$

In particular, $M_c \subset \partial B_R$ admits a Seifert surface, namely $\Sigma := \phi^{-1}(c/|c|)$, which is diffeomorphic to $X_c \cap B_R$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 10 and 11 from [NeZa92], with the only modification that the arbitrary disc D used in [loc.cit.] for semitame polynomials should be replaced by a sufficiently large disc D containing all the bifurcation values. \square

Next, we analyze the Seifert form S associated with the Seifert surface Σ defined above.

Proposition 3.2.2. (a) Set $\mathcal{D} := P^{-1}(D) \cap \partial B_R$ and $\Phi_I := \bigcup_{t \in I} \phi^{-1}(e^{2\pi it})$ for any subset $I \subset [0, 1]$. We write Φ_1 for $\Phi_{\{1\}}$ (in particular Φ_1 is a Seifert surface). Then the groups $H_n(\Phi_1 \cup \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_n(\Phi_1, \mathbb{Z})^*$ are isomorphic. In fact one has the following sequence of isomorphisms, denoted by s :

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(\Phi_1 \cup \mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\partial^{-1}} H_{n+1}(S^{2n+1}, \Phi_1 \cup \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{(1)} H_{n+1}(S^{2n+1}, \Phi_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} \cup \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{(2)} \\ &H_{n+1}(\Phi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}, \partial\Phi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}) \xrightarrow{(3)} H_n(\text{int } \Phi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]})^* \xrightarrow{(4)} H_n(\Phi_1)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where ∂^{-1} comes from the exact sequence of the pair, (1) and (4) are induced by deformation retracts, (2) is an excision, while (3) is provided by Lefschetz duality.

(b) Let $j : H_n(\Phi_1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(\Phi_1 \cup \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z})$ be induced by the inclusion. Then the composition

$$H_n(\Phi_1, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j} H_n(\Phi_1 \cup \mathcal{D}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{s} H_n(\Phi_1, \mathbb{Z})^*$$

can be identified with the Seifert form S associated with $\Phi_1 = \Sigma \subset \partial B_R$.

(c) Let b_∞ and h_∞ be the intersection form and monodromy of $H_n(\Phi_1, \mathbb{Z}) = H_n(X_c, \mathbb{Z})$, cf. 3.2.1. Then, in matrix notation,

$$b_\infty = -\varepsilon S - S^T \quad \text{and} \quad -\varepsilon S^T h_\infty = S.$$

In particular, h_∞ is an automorphism of S , that is $h_\infty^T S h_\infty = S$.

Proof. Part (a) is clear, while part (b) and (c) follow by similar argument as in the classical case, see e.g. the survey [Nem96, (3.15)]. \square

Definition 3.2.3. P is called *spectrally tame* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (S1) $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(X_c, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$;
- (S2) the natural inclusion induces an isomorphism

$$H_n(X_c \cap B_R) \rightarrow H_n((X_c \cap B_R) \cup (P^{-1}(D) \cap \partial B_R));$$

- (S3) the spectrum of the HVS associated with the link M_c (and the Seifert form S) is the mod 2 reduction of the MHS spectrum of P at infinity.

Note that the conditions are ‘only’ (co)homological, a fact which allows more possibilities for their verifications and for applications. By excision and the long homological exact sequence of the pair, (S2) is the consequence of the vanishings (for $c \in \partial D$)

$$(S2') \quad H_q(P^{-1}(D) \cap \partial B_R, P^{-1}(c) \cap \partial B_R) = 0 \quad \text{for } q = n, n+1.$$

By Proposition 3.2.2(b), (S2) is equivalent with the non-degeneracy of the Seifert form S .

Remark 3.2.4. If all the fibers of P have only isolated singularities, and P is regular at infinity then conditions (S1) and (S2') are satisfied, see e.g. [Nem99]. For (S3) one needs additionally the fact that the MHS at infinity is polarized by the intersection form (for monodromy eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$) and by the Seifert form (for eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$). For $\lambda \neq 1$ this usually follows from the standard properties of a projectivization/compactification of the fibers of P and the Hodge–Riemann polarization properties, while for $\lambda = 1$ one needs an additional Thom–Sebastiani type argument (that is adding e.g. z^N , where z is a new variable) in order to reduce the situation to the $\lambda \neq 1$ case. This means that $P + z^N$ also should satisfy certain/similar regularity conditions at infinity.

Proposition 3.2.5. *The conditions (S1)–(S3) are guaranteed for several ‘tame’ polynomials present in the literature:*

- (a) **-polynomials* [GaNe96];
- (b) *M-tame polynomials* [NeZa90];
- (c) *cohomologically tame polynomials* [Sab99].

Proof. (a) The topological part follows from [GaNe96], (S3) from [GaNe99, section 5]. (b) The topological part follows from [NeZa90, NeZa92], the Hodge theoretical part from [NeSa99]. (c) follows from [Sab99, NeSa99]. \square

An immediate application of (S1)–(S2) is the following

Lemma 3.2.6. *With the notations of 3.2.3, let $\Sigma \cup X_c$ be the smooth closed manifold obtained from Σ and $X_c \cap B_R$ by gluing them together along their boundary M_c . Then imply $b_n(\Sigma \cup X_c) = b_n(\Sigma) + b_n(X_c)$.*

Proof. In the long homological exact sequence of the pair $(\Sigma \cup X_c, \Sigma)$ one has $H_{n+1}(\Sigma \cup X_c, \Sigma) = H_{n+1}(X_c, M_c) = H^{n-1}(X_c) = 0$ and $H_{n-1}(\Sigma) = H_{n-1}(X_c) = 0$. \square

4. SEMICONTINUITY RESULTS

4.1. Local case. Let $P_t: (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a family of germs of analytic maps depending smoothly on a parameter $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Assume that $P_0^{-1}(0)$ has an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let us fix a small Milnor ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ centered at 0, and let h_0 be the homological monodromy operator of the Milnor fibration of P_0 .

Theorem 4.1.1. *For any t with $0 < |t| \ll 1$, let x_1, \dots, x_k be all the singular points of $P_t^{-1}(0) \cap B$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is chosen so that $\xi = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ is not an eigenvalue of h_0 . Then*

$$(4.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |Sp_0^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)| &\geq \sum_j |Sp_j^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)|, \\ |Sp_0^{MHS/2} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]| &\geq \sum_j |Sp_j^{MHS/2} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]|, \end{aligned}$$

where $Sp_0^{MHS/2}$ (respectively $Sp_j^{MHS/2}$) is the mod 2 reduction of the MHS-spectrum associated with the singularity at 0 of P_0 (respectively with the singularity x_j of P_t).

Proof. Let M_{x_j} be the link of $(P_t^{-1}(0), x_j)$ with Seifert surface (i.e., Milnor fiber) Σ_j , and let M be the link of $(P_0^{-1}(0), 0)$ with Seifert surface Σ in ∂B .

First assume that α is chosen so that $\xi = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ is not an eigenvalue of the homological monodromy operators h_j of $(P_t^{-1}(0), x_j)$ for neither $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then, the nullities $n_{M_{x_j}}(\xi)$ and $n_M(\xi)$ are all zero (see Remark 3.1.10). Then we apply Proposition 2.2.1 to obtain

$$\left| \sigma_M(\xi) - \sum_j \sigma_{M_{x_j}}(\xi) \right| \leq b_n(X^s \cup \Sigma) - b_n(\Sigma) - \sum_j b_n(\Sigma_j),$$

where X^s is a smoothing (nearby smooth fiber) of $f_0^{-1}(0) \cap B$. By removing the absolute value sign, and using $b_n(X^s) = b_n(\Sigma)$ (by [Miln68]) and $b_n(X^s) + b_n(\Sigma) = b_n(X^s \cup \Sigma)$ (by the local analogue of Lemma 3.2.6 proved in the same way) we obtain

$$(4.1.3) \quad -\sigma_M(\xi) + b_n(\Sigma) \geq \sum_j (-\sigma_{M_{x_j}}(\xi) + b_n(\Sigma_j)).$$

In this way, via Proposition 3.1.9, we prove the first inequality of (4.1.2). The second one is proved if we remove the absolute value sign in the other way.

If $\xi = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ happens to be an eigenvalue of h_j for some j , but it is not an eigenvalue of h_0 , then for all α' sufficiently close to α , $e^{2\pi i \alpha'}$ is not an eigenvalue neither of h_0 , nor of any h_j . We can deduce the inequality (4.1.2) for α from the fact that it holds for α' and that the function $\alpha \rightarrow |Sp_j^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)|$ is lower semicontinuous. \square

4.2. Semicontinuity at infinity. We prove topologically that the mod 2 reduction of the result of [NeSa99] is valid for polynomial maps which are ‘tame’ at infinity.

Theorem 4.2.1. *Let P_t be a smooth family of spectrally tame polynomial maps, where $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Then, for any t with $0 < |t| \ll 1$, and for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $\xi = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ is not a root of the homological monodromy operator at infinity of P_t , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |Sp_t^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)| &\geq |Sp_0^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)| \\ |Sp_t^{MHS/2} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]| &\geq |Sp_0^{MHS/2} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]|, \end{aligned}$$

where $Sp_t^{MHS/2}$ denotes the mod 2 spectrum of the MHS at infinity associated with P_t .

Proof. We fix a regular fiber $X_0 = P_0^{-1}(c)$ of P_0 . Let B be a large ball so that $X_0 \cap \partial B = M_{\Sigma_0}$ is the link at infinity of P_0 . For any t with $0 < |t| \ll 1$, the intersection of $X_t = P_t^{-1}(c)$ with ∂B is isotopic to M_{Σ_0} . After perturbing c , if necessary, we can assume that X_t is smooth. Let $B_t \supset B$ be a ball such that $X_t \cap \partial B_t$ is the link at infinity of X_t . Then

$$Y = X_t \cap (B_t \setminus \text{int } B)$$

realizes a cobordism between M_{Σ_0} and M_{Σ_t} . The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.1 based on Assumptions (S1)–(S4) and Proposition 2.2.1. \square

4.3. Local to global case. We can also compare the mod 2 spectrum of all the local singularities of a fixed fiber with the spectrum at infinity of a spectrally tame polynomial.

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let $P: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a spectrally tame polynomial. Let X be one of its fibers with (isolated) singular points x_1, \dots, x_k . We denote by M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_k} the corresponding links of local singularities and $Sp_1^{MHS/2}, \dots, Sp_k^{MHS/2}$ the mod 2 reduction of their MHS-spectrum. Let $Sp_\infty^{MHS/2}$ be the mod 2 reduction of the MHS-spectrum at infinity of P . Then, if $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is chosen so that $\xi = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ is not an eigenvalue of the monodromy of P at infinity, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |Sp_\infty^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)| &\geq \sum_j |Sp_j^{MHS/2} \cap (\alpha, \alpha + 1)| \\ |Sp_\infty^{MHS/2} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]| &\geq \sum_j |Sp_j^{MHS/2} \setminus [\alpha, \alpha + 1]|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We follow closely the proof of Theorem 4.1.1 with the modification that now B is a large ball such that $X \cap \partial B$ is the link at infinity of P . \square

REFERENCES

- [Arn81] V.I. Arnold, *On some problems in singularity theory*, In: Geometry and Analysis, Papers dedicated to the memory of V.K.Patodi, Bombay, 1981, 1-10.
- [AGV84] V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gussein-Zade, A.N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps II.*, “Nauka”, Moscow, 1984. English translation, Monographs in Mathematics 83, Birkhäuser, 1988.
- [Bol] <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boleadoras>
- [Boro11] M. Borodzik, *Morse theory for plane algebraic curves*, J. of Topology **5**(2012), 341–365.
- [BoNe11] M. Borodzik, A. Némethi, *Hodge-type structures as link invariants*, arxiv: 1005.2084, to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier.
- [BoNe12] M. Borodzik, A. Némethi, *Spectrum of plane curves via knot theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2012) **86**(1): 87–110 doi:10.1112/jlms/jdr078
- [BoNe13] M. Borodzik, A. Némethi, *Spectra of singularities of curves in normal surfaces*, in preparation.
- [BNR12] M. Borodzik, A. Némethi, A. Ranicki, *Codimension 2 embeddings, algebraic surgery and Seifert forms*, preprint 2012, arxiv: 1211.5964.

- [Dim00] A. Dimca, *Monodromy and Hodge theory of regular functions*, New Developments in Singularity Theory, Cambridge 2000, 257–278.
- [DiNe02] A. Dimca, A. Némethi, *Thom-Sebastiani construction and monodromy of polynomials*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute, **238** (2002), 1-18; Monodromy in problems of algebraic geometry and differential equations, Editors A.A. Bolibrukh and C. Sabbah.
- [GaNe96] R. García López, A. Némethi, *On the monodromy at infinity of a polynomial map*, Compos. Math. **100** (1996), no. 2, 205–231
- [GaNe99] R. García López, A. Némethi, *Hodge numbers attached to a polynomial map*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **49** (1999), no. 5, 1547–1579.
- [Erle69] D. Erle, *Quadratische Formen als Invarianten von Einbettungen der Kodimension 2*, Topology **8**(1969) 99–114.
- [Kaw96] A. Kawachi, *A survey on knot theory*, Birkhäuser—Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin 1996.
- [Keef83] P.W. Keef, *On the S -equivalence of some general sets of matrices*, Rocky Mountain J. of Math. **13**(3) (1983), 541–551.
- [Miln68] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. **61** Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J, 1968.
- [Mur65] K. Murasugi, *On a certain numerical invariant of link types*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 387–422.
- [Nem95] A. Némethi, *The real Seifert form and the spectral pairs of isolated hypersurface singularities*, Compositio Mathematica, **98** (1995), 23–41.
- [Nem96] A. Némethi, *Some topological invariants of isolated hypersurface singularities*, Five lectures of the EMS-Summer School, Eger (Hungary) 1996, Proceedings of the Summer school, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies 8, Low Dimensional Topology, (1999), 353-413.
- [Nem99] A. Némethi, *On the Seifert form at infinity associated with polynomial maps*, Journal of the Math. Soc. of Japan, **51**, no. 1, 63-70, 1999.
- [NeSa99] A. Némethi, C. Sabbah, *Semicontinuity of the spectrum at infinity*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **69** (1999), 25–35.
- [NeZa90] A. Némethi, A. Zaharia, *On the bifurcation set of a polynomial function and Newton boundary*, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ., **26**, 681-689, 1990.
- [NeZa92] A. Némethi, A. Zaharia, *Milnor fibration at infinity*, Indag. Mathem., N.S. **3** (3), 323-335, 1992.
- [Neu89] W. D. Neumann, *Complex algebraic plane curves via their links at infinity*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 3, 445–489.
- [Neu99] W. D. Neumann, *Irregular links at infinity of complex affine plane curves*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **50**(1999), no. 199, 301–320.
- [Sab99] C. Sabbah, *Hypergeometric periods for a tame polynomial*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. **328** (1999), no. 7, 603–608.
- [Stee76] J.H.M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge Structures on the Vanishing Cohomology*, Nordic Summer School/NAVF, Symposium in Math., Oslo 1976.
- [Stee85] J.H.M. Steenbrink, *Semicontinuity of the singularity spectrum*, Invent. Math **79** (1985), 557–565.
- [Var83a] A.N. Varchenko, *On the semicontinuity of the spectrum and an upper bound for the number of singular points of projective hypersurfaces*, Doklady Akad. Nauk. **270**(6) (1983), 1294–1297.
- [Var83b] A.N. Varchenko, *On change of discrete invariants of critical points of functions under deformation*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **5** (1983), 126-127.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSAW, POLAND
E-mail address: mcboro@mimuw.edu.pl

A. RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 1053 BUDAPEST, REÁLTANODA U. 13-15, HUNGARY.
E-mail address: nemethi@renyi.hu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, EDINBURGH EH9 3JZ, SCOTLAND UK.
E-mail address: a.ranicki@ed.ac.uk