DETERMINATION OF OCHRATOXIN A IN BABY FOODS BY ELISA AND HPLC
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Ochratoxin A, is a well-known nephrotoxic, hepatotoxic and carcinogenic mycotoxin, produced by some species of mould genera such as \textit{Aspergillus} \textit{spp} and \textit{Penicillium} \textit{spp}, under various environmental conditions, such as moisture and temperature. The main sources of Ochratoxin A intake for humans are cereals and cereal derived products, when they are consumed in large quantities, as in the case of breakfast cereals and cereal based baby foods principally consumed by babies. In this study, a total of 150 samples (50 infant formulas, 50 follow-on formulas, and 50 cereal based supplementary foods for infants and children) were obtained randomly from various supermarkets and pharmacies in Istanbul, and 52 out of 150 (34.7\%) analysed samples were contaminated with Ochratoxin A. None of the examined baby food samples were above the Turkish Food Codex maximum limit of Ochratoxin A in baby, infant, and young children foods (0.5 \(\mu\)g kg\(^{-1}\)). These results reinforce the idea of strict and routine quality controls and good hygiene practices have to be performed in every step of production to minimize the potential risk of Ochratoxin A contamination.
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Ochratoxin A (OTA), \(7-(\alpha\text{-L-}\beta\text{-phenylalanyl-carbonyl})\text{-carboxyl-5-chloro-8-hydroxy-3,4-dihydro-3R-methylisocumarin}\), is a well-known nephrotoxic, hepatotoxic, teratogenic and carcinogenic mycotoxin, produced by some species of mould genera, such as \textit{Aspergillus} \textit{spp} (mainly \textit{A. ochraceus}) and \textit{Penicillium} \textit{spp} (mainly \textit{P. verrucosum}), depending on various environmental conditions like moisture, temperature, incubation time, and competitive flora (RAMOS et al., 1998; EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2002a; LEITNER et al., 2002; ABDULKADAR et al., 2004).

The presence of OTA in cereals (especially wheat), spices, dried fruits, and coffee is widespread in many countries during postharvest and storage period. Since OTA is a moderately stable molecule that can be found in foods during production, it naturally appears in processed products, such as beer, wine, coffee animal originated food derivatives, and cereal products (ALBERT & GAUCHI, 2002; ARAGUAS et al., 2005; GHALI et al., 2009; ORUÇ et al., 2012; SEKKIN & KUM, 2013).

In humans, the consumption of OTA contaminated products is suspected to be involved in the occurrence of Balkan Endemic Nephropathy (BEN), a fatal kidney disease, and also associated with urinary tract tumours in different parts of South-Eastern Europe (VISCONTI et al., 1999; EL KHOURY et al., 2008; ZAIED et al., 2011). The main source of OTA intake in humans is cereals: breakfast cereals, other cereal derived products, and cereal based baby foods.
foods. Especially infants are considered as a sensitive group of the population and they are more susceptible to mycotoxin exposure than adults, due to restricted diet rich in cereals and they consume more foods on a body weight (BW) basis than adults (EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2002b; BRERA et al., 2011).

Many countries have specific regulatory or guideline limits for OTA in several food commodities. In the EU and Turkey, the maximum tolerable levels of OTA are set to 5 μg kg⁻¹ for cereals, 3 μg kg⁻¹ for cereal derived products, and special attention has been paid to foods for infants and young children, where a more restrictive level has been set at 0.5 μg kg⁻¹ (EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2006a; TFC, 2008).

In recent years, in order to protect the consumer’s health from the risk of exposure to OTA, rapid and reliable methods are necessary. For this purpose, the most commonly used specific and sensitive analytical method for the determination of OTA in cereal derived food products is high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detection after the immunoaffinity clean-up procedure. Even though this method is suggested by several authorities, the main disadvantages of HPLC are time consuming sample cleanup and consumption of large sample volumes. In contrast to HPLC, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is a rapid and simple method that requires low volume samples, but may sometimes result in systematic overestimate if compared to the chromatographic methods (BELLI et al., 2002).

This situation adds a special interest to OTA in cereal derived products, and the aim of this study is to determine the presence of OTA by using ELISA and HPLC methods in baby foods sold in Istanbul-Turkey.

### 1. Materials and methods

#### 1.1. Sample collections

In this study a total of 150 samples with original packaging, including 50 infant formulas, 50 follow-on formulas, and 50 cereal based supplementary foods for infants and young children were obtained randomly from various supermarkets and pharmacies in Istanbul.

#### 1.2. ELISA analysis

1.2.1. Sample preparation. According to Ridascreen Ochratoxin Column manual (R-BIOPHARM, 2003), 10 g of sample was weighted in a vial, 20 ml of acetonitrile/water was added, and it was mixed for 20 min. After that, the solution was filtered and 5 ml of filtrate was degreased with 5 ml of n-heptane. The mixture was centrifuged (5 min/3000 g/room temperature) and at the end of the process the upper heptane layer has been removed completely. Two millilitres of defatted filtrate was diluted with 13 ml of PBS.

1.2.2. Extraction, clean up, and test procedure. The extraction, clean up, and test procedures were performed according to enzyme immunoassay for the quantitative analysis of Ochratoxin A, R-Biopharm Test Manuel (R-BIOPHARM, 2007).

#### 1.3. HPLC analysis

1.3.1. Sample preparation and chromatographic conditions. OTA extraction in infant formulas, follow-on formulas, and cereal based supplementary foods for infants and young children...
children was performed according to the AOAC Official Method 2000.03 (ENTWISLE et al., 2000) with slight modifications. A 25 g of sample was extracted with 100 ml of acetonitrile:water (60:40, v/v) by mixing with Ultra-Turrax (IKA T18 Basic Ultra-Turrax, Germany) at 3500 r.p.m. for 2 min and centrifuged (Eppendorf, Centrifuge 5810R, Germany) at 4000 r.p.m. for 5 min. The extract was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. Then 10 ml of the filtrate was diluted with 40 ml of Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS, Oxoid BR0014, UK) solution. Final volume of 50 ml eluate was passed through the immunoaffinity columns (OchraTest™, Vicam, Watertown, MA, USA) at 1–2 drop s⁻¹ flow rate. The column was then washed with 10 ml of PBS followed by 10 ml of water, and OTA was eluted with 4 ml of methanol. Then the eluate was evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen at 45 °C. The residue was re-dissolved in 1 ml methanol and injected onto the HPLC system (Hewlett Packard 110 HPLC Chromatograph, equipped with a Hewlett Packard 1100 fluorescence detector) operating at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 333 and 460 nm, respectively. The eluate passes through a Supelcosil LC-18 DB (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 μm) chromatographic column. The mobile phase was acetonitrile:water:acetic acid (47/51/2, v/v/v) and the flow rate was set at 1 ml min⁻¹.

Quantification of OTA was performed automatically by the computer according to the peak areas and their retention times, and by comparing them with their relevant standard calibration curve.

1.3.2. Quality control and quality assurance. The performance of extraction and clean-up procedure was confirmed by recovery practices. For this purpose, OTA-free formula samples were homogenised with deionised water (10%) and spiked with OTA standard solutions at levels of 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, and 1 μg ml⁻¹. The spiked samples were stored in refrigerator (4 °C) for 6 h and analysed with HPLC according to the above-described test procedure. The experiment was performed in triplicate on the same day and the precision of method was calculated in terms of repeatability with relative standard deviation (RSD) by three-replicated analysis of the samples. The average recoveries were between 80±4.78 and 89.1±5.63% for spiking levels ranging 0.025 to 1 μg ml⁻¹. The RSD range for recoveries of OTA was 4.46–6.44% at the mentioned spiking levels (Table 1). According to the EU legislation, it is declared that recovery values for OTA are 70–110% at the concentration range of 1–10 μg ml⁻¹. Obtained recovery values in the present study for OTA are within the requirements of the Regulation (EC) 401/2006 (EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2006b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiking level (μg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean amount of recovery (μg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean recovery (%)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Mean amount of recovery (%)</th>
<th>Mean recovery (%)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>88.0±6.48</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>80.0±4.78</td>
<td>5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>92.0±7.46</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>84.0±5.41</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>93.2±8.12</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>88.0±3.92</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>93.2±6.76</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>87.6±4.58</td>
<td>5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>94.9±7.81</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>89.1±5.63</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation; RSD: relative standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation

Table 1. Performance parameters of the analytical methods for OTA
The standard curve was linear with a determination coefficient ($R^2$) of 0.98973 for OTA. The sensitivity was expressed in terms of limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) calculated as signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of 3 and 10, and the chromatographic method were identified as 0.006 μg kg$^{-1}$ and 0.019 μg kg$^{-1}$ for OTA, respectively.

### 1.4. Statistical analysis

The concentrations of OTA in infant formulae, follow-on formulae, and cereal based supplementary foods for infants and young children samples were statistically analyzed and given as mean and standard deviation using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS, 2008). The coefficients of determination ($R^2$), defined by regression/correlation analysis and OTA concentration detected by ELISA and HPLC, were compared using paired-samples $t$-test at 95% significance.

### 2. Results and discussion

The presence of OTA in baby foods was detected by using ELISA a rapid screening method, and the positive samples were confirmed by HPLC, which is a sensitive, accurate, and reliable technique. The distribution and evaluation of OTA amount values of analysed samples are given in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples range (μg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Infant formulae (n=50)</th>
<th>Follow-on formulae (n=50)</th>
<th>Cereal based supplementary foods for infants and young children (n=50)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ND* (&lt;0.025)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025–0.05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.051–0.10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11–0.20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21–0.30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.31–0.40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.41–0.50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ND: Not detected. Detection limit 0.025 μg kg$^{-1}$

In this study, 52 out of 150 baby food samples (34.7%) were found to be contaminated with OTA in the range of 0.027–0.38 μg kg$^{-1}$ with ELISA, but none of the examined baby food samples were above the maximum limit of OTA of the Turkish Food Codex (TFC, 2008) in baby, infant, and young children food (0.5 μg kg$^{-1}$). Also, these positive samples (52/150 baby foods) were confirmed by HPLC, and the detected OTA levels in infant formulae, follow-on formulae, and cereal based supplementary foods for infants and young children were between 0.026–0.089, 0.022–0.178, and 0.034–0.374 μg kg$^{-1}$, respectively. These results were closely similar to ELISA but significantly different ($P<0.001$). The difference of mean range between ELISA and HPLC were found to be 0.006 (0.075%) for infant formulae, 0.007 (0.07%) for follow-on formulae, and 0.07 (0.20%) for cereal based supplementary foods for infants and young children (Table 3).
Table 3. Evaluation of OTA levels in baby foods by ELISA and HPLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baby Foods Type</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
<th>HPLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive samples</td>
<td>Positive samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range a</td>
<td>Range a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SDb,c</td>
<td>Mean±SDb,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant formulae</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n (%) Rangea Mean±SDb,c)</td>
<td>0.032–0.096</td>
<td>0.043±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-on formulae</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n (%) Rangea Mean±SDb,c)</td>
<td>0.027–0.187</td>
<td>0.089±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal based supplementary foods for infants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and young children</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n (%) Rangea Mean±SDb,c)</td>
<td>0.042–0.380</td>
<td>0.16±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n: Number of analysed samples; a: minimum–maximum values (μg kg–1); b: mean values (μg kg–1)±standard deviation; c: means within the raw are significantly different (P<0.001)

Because the presence of OTA in baby foods is extremely hazardous to children, a number of studies have been carried out in different countries, and also in Turkey, to obtain a pattern of OTA contamination in baby foods. BAYDAR and co-workers (2007) determined that the total of 63 infant, follow-on, and baby food samples were contaminated with OTA in a range of 0.27–4.50 μg kg–1. In another study performed in Turkey by OZDEN and co-workers (2012), OTA was detected in 4 out of 21 (19.05%) cereal based baby foods at the concentrations of 0.08–0.20 μg kg–1. In a similar research carried out by KABAK (2009), it was found that 4 out of 24 (17.0%) cereal based baby foods contained OTA ranging from 0.122 to 0.374 μg kg–1. Our study agrees with the statement of KABAK (2012), who determined OTA in 2 follow-on formulae samples out of 36 (5.6%) and in 10 toddler formulae samples out of 20 (50%) in the range of 0.017–0.029 μg kg–1 and 0.024–0.184 μg kg–1, respectively. In the light of these findings, it is obvious that developing technology in food manufacturing reduces the contamination of OTA in baby foods.

Furthermore, many surveys of OTA contamination in cereal based baby foods are available in the literature worldwide. LOMBAERT and co-workers (2003) announced that 42 out of 161 cereal based infant foods were contaminated with OTA up to 6.9 μg kg–1. However, BERETTA and co-workers (2002) found that 20 of 119 baby food samples contained OTA ranging from <0.06 to 0.74 μg kg–1. ARAGUAS and co-workers (2005) reported that 14 out of 20 (70%) cereal based baby foods contained OTA up to 0.740 μg kg–1. AKSENOV and co-workers (2006) demonstrated that OTA occurred in 22.5% of 40 baby food samples with a mean level of 0.31 μg kg–1, and the researcher underlined that the levels were high especially in oat-based samples. BIFFI and co-workers (2004) stated that 4 baby food samples contained OTA above the Italian permitted maximum level of 0.5 μg kg–1. Contrary to this, JUAN and co-workers (2014) indicated that OTA was detected in 60% of cereal-based baby food samples at levels ranging from 0.05 to 0.120 mg kg–1. On the other hand, ZINEDINE and co-workers (2010) from MOROCCO reported that none of the 20 examined samples of baby foods were contaminated by OTA.

According to reports of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee of Food Additives (JECFA, 2001) and European Food Safety Authority (EFSA, 2006), the tolerable weekly intake of OTA is 100 ng kg–1 (14.2 ng kg–1 BW per day) and 120 ng kg–1 (17.1 ng kg–1 BW per day), respectively. Based on these reports, in case a 9-month-old infant with BW of 8.5 kg consumes...
daily ~50 g of cereal based baby food containing 0.38 μg kg⁻¹ OTA (the highest OTA level detected in this study), the maximum daily intake would be 2.23 ng kg⁻¹ BW. The present value is quite below the provisional tolerable daily intake. In light of these data, there is no significant health risk for infants, who occasionally consume baby food containing the detected levels of OTA in this study.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, it is important to consider that cereals are the main sources of OTA intake for humans, and also it is known that cereal based baby formulas are basic foods for infants. These situations reinforce the idea of strict and routine quality control and good hygiene practices have to be performed at every step of production to minimize the potential risk of OTA contamination. In addition to this, periodic surveys should be carried out on the presence of mycotoxins, especially OTA, in cereal based baby foods in order to prevent the risk of exposure of infants to this toxin. These periodic controls can be performed both by ELISA and HPLC, which are useful methods for the detection of OTA. Though, HPLC is the more reliable method, ELISA enables us to analyse rapidly and easily large numbers of samples in routine screening.
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