Tailoring of Polarizing Agents in the bTurea Series for Cross-Effect Dynamic Nuclear Polarization in Aqueous Media


Abstract: A series of 18 nitroxide biradicals derived from bTurea has been prepared, and their enhancement factors $\epsilon$ (1H) in cross-effect dynamic nuclear polarization (CE DNP) NMR experiments at 9.4 and 14.1 T and 100 K in a DNP-optimized glycerol/water matrix (“DNP juice”) have been studied. We observe that $\epsilon$ (1H) is strongly correlated with the substituents on the polarizing agents, and its trend is discussed in terms of different molecular parameters: solubility, average e–e distance, relative orientation of the nitroxide moieties, and electron spin relaxation times. We show that too short an e–e distance or too long a $T_1$ can dramatically limit $\epsilon$ (1H). Our study also shows that the molecular structure of AMUPol is not optimal and its $\epsilon$ (1H) could be further improved through stronger interaction with the glassy matrix and a better orientation of the TEMPO moieties. A new AMUPol derivative introduced here provides a better $\epsilon$ (1H) than AMUPol itself (by a factor of ca. 1.2).

Introduction

During the last two decades, dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) coupled with solid-state NMR has evolved into one of the most efficient methods to overcome the limitations resulting from the intrinsic poor sensitivity of NMR. The DNP method exploits the microwave-driven (mw) transfer of polarization from the electron spin of a paramagnetic center (polarizing agent) to surrounding nuclei. DNP experiments that achieve even a fraction of the theoretical maximum sensitivity enhancements $\gamma_0/\gamma_n$ (658 for 1H, 2617 for $^{13}$C) can assist in overcoming the low sensitivity of NMR and achieving breakthroughs in the application of the technique to investigate previously inaccessible systems. Applications of DNP-enhanced solid-state NMR spectroscopy under magic-angle spinning conditions (DNP/MAS NMR) have led to great progress,[1] prompting and taking advantage of rapid developments in theoretical models.[2] DNP signal enhancement factors $\epsilon$ (defined as the ratio of the integrated intensities between mw on and mw off spectra) of up to around 50 and 100 have been reported (at 9.4 T, 263 GHz, 100 K) for DNP applications on biological solids[3] and hybrid or inorganic materials, [4] respectively. The key to all these applications is obtaining large DNP enhancements that translate into greatly improved sensitivity for magnetic resonance experiments. As a result, there is currently great interest in understanding instrumental and experimental factors that influence DNP enhancements (e.g., MAS frequency, microwave frequency and power, temperature). However, the transferred polarization is ultimately derived from the polarizing agent (PA), the structural and magnetic characteristics of which are of the utmost importance with regard to the value of $\epsilon$. Indeed, besides instrumentation, the PA is a second and equally critical component of the DNP methodology.

Initial high-field DNP/MAS NMR experiments were performed on the basis of a cross-effect (CE) polarization transfer mechanism, employing solutions doped with high concentrations of mono-radical nitroxide species (40–100 mM) such as TEMPO.[5] In 2004, a significant step towards the development of efficient CE DNP polarizing agents was achieved by Griffin et al. They showed, using a series of bis-TEMPO-n-ethylene glycol (BnTe) ditinoxides, that biradical polarizing agents yielded significant improvements in CE polarization efficiency.[6] Subsequently,
Among these dinitroxides, bTurea (Scheme 1), first described in 1965, has retained our attention. bTurea is poorly soluble in glycerol/water (60:40) (< 3 mm); however, we found that at 10 mm in [D]DMSO/D2O/H2O (60:30:10, v/v/v) it is 20% more efficient than TOTAPOL. By various chemical modifications, we have prepared a large series of water-soluble (up to 50 mm) bTurea derivatives, and we recently briefly described PyPol 7 and AMUPol 9 (Scheme 1), which in bulk glycerol/water (60:40) frozen solutions proved to be significantly more efficient than TOTAPOL. The highest enhancement was obtained with AMUPol (\(\varepsilon_T = 240\) at 9.4 T, 100 K; \(\varepsilon_T = 400\) at 8.9 T, 80 K), making it currently the most efficient polarizing agent for DNP biological applications in water/glycerol. The higher DNP performance of AMUPol compared to that of TOTAPOL highlights the extreme sensitivity of the CE efficiency to molecular structure. However, at 9.4 T and around 100 K, the efficiency of AMUPol still represents only 38% of the theoretical maximum polarization transfer; moreover, it decreases rapidly at either higher temperatures (14% at 160 K) or higher fields (ca. 19% at 14.1 T, 100 K; ca. 6% at 18.8 T, 100 K). The observed decrease with increasing magnetic field is much larger than expected if, as predicted, the CE DNP efficiency scales with the reciprocal of the proton Larmor frequency (\(\frac{1}{\omega_{0H}}\)).

At a given magnetic field, besides the structure of the polarizing agent, sample preparation and many other experimental parameters (temperature, \(\mu\)w power, MAS frequency, and so on) significantly influence the resulting DNP enhancements. Recently, Emsley et al.\(^{[19]}\) showed that significant improvements could be achieved by incorporating dielectric solid particles into the samples. At 9.4 T and 105 K, enhancements of up to \(\varepsilon_T = 363\) were obtained for bulk AMUPol/glycerol/water solutions mixed with ground sapphire. However, the addition of the solid particles did not improve the absolute sensitivity, since the gain in \(\varepsilon_T\) was offset by a reduction in active sample volume. De Paepe et al.\(^{[20]}\) demonstrated unprecedented DNP and sensitivity enhancements with TOTAPOL and AMUPol in experiments performed at sample temperatures much lower than 100 K.

Although much effort has already been devoted to the design of efficient nitroxide-based CE DNP polarizing agents,\(^{[6,7,10-12,13,18,21]}\) there is still significant room for improvement. The extreme sensitivity of CE efficiency on the polarizing agent has been discussed in terms of different parameters: dipolar coupling (i.e., interelectron distance), \(g\) tensor orientations, solubility in water, relaxation behavior, and conformational flexibility. However, to the best of our knowledge, the influence of these parameters on a large series of polarizing agents belonging to the same class of compounds has never been investigated in a water/glycerol matrix. Herein, we report the preparation of a large series of bTurea derivatives (Schemes 2–6) and discuss the influence of molecular parameters on their CE DNP performances. We highlight the achievement of PyPolPEG2OH (Scheme 4), which at 9.4 T and 100 K exhibits the best enhancement (\(\varepsilon_T = 303\)) hitherto obtained in so-called “DNP juice”.

In the course of our search for new CE DNP polarizing agents, we have prepared and tested various dinitroxides.\(^{[16]}\) Among these dinitroxides, bTurea (Scheme 1), first described in...
Results and Discussion

Water-soluble bTurea derivatives bearing PEG chains

*Synthesis:* To improve the water solubility of bTurea, we first prepared bTurea derivatives bearing one (compounds 2, 3, and 4, Scheme 2) or two PEG chains (compounds 5 and 6, Scheme 3) on the urea nitrogen atoms. For \( n = 2, 4, 8–12, \) con-

![Scheme 2. Synthetic route to 2, 3, and 4; a) reductive amination, b) CDI, c) Mel, d) 4-amino-TEMPO (for details and compound characterization, see Section 4.1 in the Supporting Information).](image)
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Table 1. DNP/MAS NMR experiments at 263 GHz, 8 kHz MAS, 101 K, in [D\(_5\)]glycerol/D\(_2\)O/H\(_2\)O (60:30:10) with compounds 2–6 (Schemes 2 and 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>MW[a]</th>
<th>[C][b]</th>
<th>( \epsilon ) [( \tilde{\mu} )]</th>
<th>( t_{\text{DNP}}[\text{s}] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bTurea, 1</td>
<td>368.5</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bTureaPEG2, 2</td>
<td>470.65</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bTureaPEG4, 3</td>
<td>558.75</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bTureaPEG10, 4</td>
<td>850.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bTureadiPEG2, 5</td>
<td>572.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bTureadiPEG4, 6</td>
<td>749.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Molecular weight. [b] Concentration. [c] The DNP enhancement \( \gamma \) corresponds to the ratio of the NMR signal intensities with and without microwave irradiation. [d] Polarization build-up time; \( \epsilon \) \( \tilde{\mu} \) and \( T_{\text{SOA}} \) were determined from CP on solvent \( ^{13} \)C natural abundance.

The EPR spectral features of bTureaPEG2 and bTureadiPEG4 are very different from those of bTurea and mono-PEGylated bTureas (Figure 1), and are characteristic of \( J \rightarrow A_n \) \( U > 5A_n \) regime and a strong \( J \) modulation.[22] The observed EPR data suggest that the preferred conformers of bTurea and mono-PEGylated bTureas exhibit very similar and rigid bTurea backbone geometries. However, for bTureadiPEG2 and bTurea-

![Figure 1. X-band EPR spectra (1 mM aqueous solutions at 293 K) of bTurea (left), bTureaPEG10 4 (center), and bTureadiPEG2 5 (right).](image)

ment with the results of studies on conformational analysis and rotational barriers for alkyl-substituted ureas.[22]
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orbital and their relative orientation is correlated with that of the two \( g \) tensors. MD simulations in water at 278 K, over periods of 200 ns, were performed using the Gromacs 5.0.4 package\(^{23\text{h}}\) (see details and comments in Section 1 of the Supporting Information). The results, \( \langle R_{\text{ei}} \rangle = 11.6 \pm 0.1 \) Å and 11.5 ± 0.1 Å; \( \langle \theta \rangle = 27.9 \pm 16.1^\circ \) and 31.9 ± 15° for 1 and 2, respectively, are consistent with the rigidity of the bTurea backbone and indicate a fluctuation of the relative orientation of the \( g \) tensors. The EPR and MD results showed that the e–e dipole coupling, the spin exchange, and the relative orientation of the \( g \) tensors have almost consistent values within the series 1–4. Furthermore, since no significant difference would be expected in the electron spin relaxation times of bTurea and bTureaPEG2, the superior DNP performance of 2 as compared to bTurea can be mainly attributed to its better solubility in the glycerol/water mixture, which allows its use at higher concentration. For bTureaPEG2 and bTureaPEG4, we observed that decreasing the concentration does not significantly affect the DNP enhancement; however, the DNP build-up time increases, leading to a significant decrease in the overall sensitivity of the NMR acquisition.

For bTureadiPEG2 and bTureadiPEG4, the EPR spectra suggest important changes in the geometry of the bTurea backbone, resulting in shorter \( \langle R_{\text{ei}} \rangle \) and higher \( J \) values. MD calculation on 5 led to two different conformational domains, A and B (Table S1 in the Supporting Information), with the following characteristics: for A, \( \langle R_{\text{ei}} \rangle = 11.45 \pm 0.15 \) Å; \( \langle \theta \rangle = 77 \pm 18^\circ \); for B, \( \langle R_{\text{ei}} \rangle = 8.55 \pm 0.2 \) Å; \( \langle \theta \rangle = 37.6 \pm 12^\circ \). We extracted one conformer (bTureadiPEG2A and bTureadiPEG2B) from each domain, the geometries of which were optimized by DFT calculations. The energy of bTureadiPEG2B was calculated to be lower than that of bTureadiPEG2A (\( \Delta E = 1.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \)) and these DFT-optimized conformers exhibited the following characteristics: \( R_{\text{ei}} = 11.37 \) Å, \( \theta = 77.1^\circ \) for bTureadiPEG2A; \( R_{\text{ei}} = 8.54 \) Å, \( \theta = 51.6^\circ \) for bTureadiPEG2B (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). In a recent paper, Griffin et al.\(^{23\text{k}}\) established that for the three-spin-1/2 \((S=1/2)\) CE process, the mixing of the spin states that is required for polarization transfer is optimal when Equation (1) is met.

\[
\omega_{\lambda^*} \approx \omega_{\alpha}(1-D_{\lambda^*}/\omega_{\alpha^*})^{1/2}
\]  

with \( \omega_{\lambda^*} \approx |\omega_{\alpha^*}-\omega_{\alpha^j}| \) and \( D_{\lambda} = -<d + J> \), where \( \omega_{\alpha^*}, \omega_{\alpha^j}, \) and \( \omega_{\alpha} \) are the Larmor frequencies of the two electrons and the nucleus; \( d \) and \( J \) are the e–e dipolar and exchange couplings, respectively. If \( d \) and \( J \) are small compared to \( \omega_{\alpha} \), Equation (1) can be further simplified to \( \omega_{\lambda^*} \approx \omega_{\alpha} - D_{\lambda^*}/(2\omega_{\alpha^*}). \) This applies for compounds 2, 3, and 4, which have almost identical e–e dipolar couplings and J couplings. The J value estimated from their very similar EPR data is about 67 MHz, and the \( d \) value estimated from the point dipole approximation using the MD-calculated distance \( \langle R_{\text{ei}} \rangle \) is about 35 MHz. However, for bTureadiPEG2, according to the simulation of its solution EPR spectrum, \( J \) is about 250 MHz, and for the major conformers such as bTureadiPEG2B, \( R_{\text{ei}} \) is estimated as 8.5 Å, which corresponds to a dipolar coupling \( d \) of about 128 MHz. The much higher values of \( J \) and \( d \) for 5 compared with those of compounds 2, 3, and 4 probably account for the significant decrease in its DNP enhancement (Table 1).

**Water-soluble bTurea derivatives with long electron spin relaxation times**

Besides the geometry, the electronic relaxation properties of radical species used as polarizing agents are another key factor affecting the DNP process.\(^{24c,4,6}\) The CE is a three-spin (two electrons, one nucleus) process that requires the saturation of one of the electron resonances to trigger electron spin polarization transfer to nuclei. The efficiency of the electron resonance saturation depends on the saturation factor (\( s = T_{\nu e} \times T_{\nu m} \)); the higher the value of \( s \), the more efficient the saturation will be. The electron relaxation of nitroxides in the 100 K regime in glassy solvents is driven by several mechanisms. Studies by S. Eaton and co-workers\(^{26a}\) established that the Raman process, which depends on molecular motion and libration, is the dominant mechanism of longitudinal relaxation \( T_{\nu e} \). Libration of a molecule in a glassy matrix will depend on the molecular volume, which is likely to be approximately proportional to molecular weight. Libration decreases dramatically with increasing size of the molecule, and \( T_{\nu m} \) of nitroxides has been shown to increase significantly with molecular weight. Libration also reflects the dependence of motion on intermolecular interaction, and \( T_{\nu m} \) is higher in media such as a glycerol/water mixture, capable of fixing nitroxides through an extended hydrogen-bonding network.\(^{26b}\) Molecular motion and libration also contribute to \( T_{\nu m} \) and, for instance, above 80 K the rotation of methyl groups attached to the nitroxide or to solvent molecules can induce effective transverse relaxation.\(^{26c,6}\) We have reported on the impact of electron relaxation times on DNP enhancement \((\epsilon)\) in the bTbK series.\(^{4,6}\) We showed, for instance, that compared to bTbK (MW = 441 g mol\(^{-1}\); Scheme 1), the saturation factor and the DNP enhancement for TKEPol (MW = 905 g mol\(^{-1}\); Scheme 1) are about 10 and 4 times higher, respectively (at 9.4 T, 100 K, in glassy tetrachloroethane (TCE)). Capitalizing on this study, we sought water-soluble, high molecular weight bTurea derivatives with no methyl groups on the \( C_6 \) carbons of the TEMPO moieties. We first replaced these \( C_6 \) methyl groups with spirocyclohexyl moieties; however, the subsequent introduction of PEG chains was not sufficient to reach the 10 mM solubility required for most DNP applications. The solubility problem was overcome by replacing the \( C_6 \) methyl groups with spirotetrahydropyranyl moieties, resulting in compounds 7–14 (Scheme 4).

**Synthesis:** Compounds 7, 13, and 14 were prepared by reacting bis(trichloromethyl)carbonate (triphosgene) with amines a, b, and c, respectively (Scheme 4). Mono-PEGylated PyPol 8, 9, 10, and 12 and di-PEGylated PyPol 11 were prepared following the same strategies as used to prepare mono-PEGylated and di-PEGylated bTureas (Schemes 2 and 3).

**EPR studies:** The X-band EPR spectra of 1 mm aqueous solutions of compounds 7–14 were recorded at 293 K (see the Supporting Information). As for the bTureaPEGn derivatives, the EPR spectra of PyPol 7, mono-PEGylated PyPol 8 (PyPolPEGn, 8 (n = 2), 9 (n = 4), 10 (n = 8–12), and PyPolPEG2OH 12) are almost identical (Figure 2) and characteristic of a \( J \approx \lambda_\alpha \) regime. Average parameters of \( \lambda_{\alpha} \approx 16.5 \) G, \( J \approx 16 \) G, with a scat-
ferred conformers and the shape of the EPR spectrum resulting from the introduction of two PEG chains in couplings with

\[ T_m \] (Table S3, Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). Compared to PyPol, PyPolPEG4 has a slightly lower molecular weight than AMUPol, and its much higher \( T_m \) probably results from its better anchoring in the glycerol/water matrix through additional strong hydrogen bonds.

PyPoldiMe is less soluble than PyPol in glycerol/water, and both \( \varepsilon \) and relaxation times were measured at 5 mT, the highest achievable concentration. MD calculations showed that the introduction of two methyl groups on the ureido linker does not significantly change the geometry of the bTurea backbone \((\{R_n\} = 11.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ Å} ; \{\theta\} = 27.9 \pm 16.1^\circ \text{ for } 1; \{R_n\} = 11.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ Å} ; \{\theta\} = 26.9 \pm 15^\circ \text{ for } 7)\). Therefore, the far superior DNP enhancement obtained with PyPol7 as compared to bTurea results primarily from its much higher saturation factor (about tenfold higher), originating primarily from the longer \( T_m \).

In the series AMUPol, PyPolPEG10, and PyPolPEG2OH, the MD average values of \( \{R_n\} \) and \( \{\theta\} \) are identical (Table S1 in the Supporting Information) and the increase in DNP enhancement can be attributed to the increase in electron spin relaxation times. PyPolPEG2OH has a slightly lower molecular weight than AMUPol, and its much higher \( T_m \) probably results from its better anchoring in the glycerol/water matrix through additional strong hydrogen bonds.
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with increasing relaxation times is expected to reach a plateau,\(^\text{[4i]}\) and to determine the optimal relaxation times we prepared and tested a bTurea derivative bearing four PEG4 chains designated as TetraPEG 15 (Scheme 5). The shape of the X-band EPR spectrum of 15 (1 mm aqueous solution) recorded at 293 K is characteristic of dinitroxides exhibiting a spin-exchange interaction \(J\) of the same order of magnitude as the hyperfine interaction \(A\) (Tables S2 and S3 in the Supporting Information). The \(J\) value (12.9 G) and its scattering \(dJ\) (2.0 G) are similar to those of AMUPol 9 (15.8 and 4.0 G) and PyPolPEG10 10 (15.8 and 3.2 G), and we can reasonably assume that for 9, 10, and 15, \((R_m)\) and hence the dipolar coupling do not vary significantly.

Compared to those of 9 and 10, the \(T_{1e}\) of 15 is dramatically higher, whereas its \(T_m\) is slightly lower. Besides the increase in molecular weight, the large increase in \(T_{1e}\) probably results from a significant restriction of libration due to the extensive hydrogen-bonding network formed between the four PEG chains and the solvent. However, in spite of its much higher \(T_{1e}\) (Table 2), the DNP performance of 15 is diminished by around 40% compared to those of 9 and 10, showing that its \(T_{1e}\) far exceeds the optimal value for CE DNP efficiency. Such an effect is not observed in tetrachloroethane and the relaxation (at 95 GHz, 100 K) is about twice as fast for 15 as that for 9,\(^\text{[27]}\) suggesting that in non-hydrogen-bonding solvents the PEG chains may actually decrease the electron relaxation times, probably by inducing local motions or local softening of the glass.

For PyPoldiPEG4 11, as for bTureadiPEG2 5 and bTureadi-PEG4 6 (Table 1), the changes in geometry resulting from the introduction of two PEG chains on the ureido linker induce a significant decrease in DNP enhancement.

For PyPolC6OH 17; however, the very long build-up time observed makes it of limited interest for DNP applications.

\(\text{CE DNP studies:}\) The DNP results obtained with compounds 17 and 18 are reported in Table 3. The molecular weights of PyPolC6OPEG4 18 and AMUPol 9 are similar, and the longer \(T_{1e}\) of the former probably results from its higher molecular rigidity. The increase in \(T_{1e}\) for 18 is accompanied by a moderate increase in \(\varepsilon\) (by a factor of ca. 1.14). The increase in \(\varepsilon\) is larger for PyPolC6OH 17; however, the very long build-up time observed makes it of limited interest for DNP applications.

**Scheme 5.** Synthetic route to TetraPEG 15 (for details and compound characterization, see Section 4.5 in the Supporting Information).

**Scheme 6.** Synthetic route to prepare 16, 17, and 18 (for details and compound characterization, see Section 4.6 in the Supporting Information).
Conclusion

We have examined a series of 18 water-soluble biradicals that are structural variants of bTurea to establish the relationship between their molecular structure and their CE DNP performance in glassy water/glycerol. The increased solubility in water of bTureaPEGn (2, 3, and 4) allows their use at higher concentrations and results in a doubling of the DNP enhancement as compared to bTurea 1.

MD calculations proved to be an interesting approach contributing to the detailed analysis of DNP performances in our bTurea series. In accordance with the high barrier of rotation about the N—C(O)—N bonds, for all of the calculated N,N'-diphenyl tri-substituted ureas (Table S1 in the Supporting Information) the average e–e distance (\(R_{ee}\)) is in the range 11.4–11.8 Å, which corresponds to dipolar couplings of about 32–35 MHz. The average angle (\(\theta\)) characterizing the relative orientation of the g tensors is around 30°; however, the barrier to rotation about the T–NC(O)N–T bonds (T–TEMPO) is weak and the fluctuation in \(\theta\), amounting to about ±15°, is significant. In this series of bTureas with almost identical (\(R_{ee}\) and \(\theta\)) values, electron relaxation primarily governs the DNP performance, longer relaxation times leading to higher saturation factors and to better DNP performance. However, for repeated polarization transfer from the same electron spin, polarization must recover so that shorter \(T_{1\nu}\) will increase turnover. Thus, DNP performance will reach a plateau if \(T_{1\nu}\) becomes too long, and this is probably largely responsible for the poor DNP performance of TetraPEG 15 compared to compounds 9, 10, or 12 with significantly shorter \(T_{1\nu}\). In the homogeneous PyPol series comprising compounds 7, 8, 9, 10, and 12, PyPolPEG2OH 12 with the longest relaxation times outperforms AMUPol (by a factor of ca. 1.2), and provides the best DNP enhancement hitherto obtained at 263 GHz in “DNP juice”. It is worthy of note that in the presence of dinitroxides, depolarization arising from sample spinning leads to a decrease in the signal intensity in MAS-DNP experiments,[28,29] and the net sensitivity enhancement would be a more accurate parameter to compare the relative DNP performances of different dinitroxides.[29]

Adding a second PEG chain to bTureaPEG2 results in a drastic change in the molecular geometry, and the favored conformer(s) of bTureaPEG2 5 shows( show) much higher \(D_{0}\) values (\(D_{0} = -\langle d + \bar{d} \rangle\)). Even though the inequality \(|\langle d \rangle| \leq \langle d|D|\rangle \), still holds, \(D_{0}\) moves away from its optimum value and, as expected[28,29], this results in a significant decrease in the DNP enhancement. The same behavior was observed for bTureaPEG4 6 and PyPolPEG4 11. The findings presented here and those reported previously suggest that a nitroxide biradical must have an \(e^{–}e^{–}\) distance between 10 and 12 Å and an angle (\(\theta\)) close to 90° for maximum efficiency in CE DNP at 9.4 T.

Interestingly, the introduction of two methyl groups on the ureido linker of PyPol 7 to generate PyPolMe 13 does not change the \(D_{0}\) value. However, a significant increase in the angle (\(\theta\)) is observed, which is accompanied by an increase in \(\varepsilon\) by a factor 1.2, even though for solubility reasons, the concentration of 13 in the DNP experiments was only half that of 7.

Lastly, it is worth noting that for bTurea derivatives having good solubility in water and giving the best DNP enhancements (such as PyPol, AMUPol, PyPolPEG10, and PyPolPEG2OH), the value of \(\varepsilon (\theta)\) (ca. 30 ± 15°) is far from the value (ca. 90°) required for an optimal fit of the CE matching condition. Work is underway to prepare AMUPol analogues having \(\langle \theta \rangle\) values around 90°.

Experimental Section

DNP measurements at 9.4 and 14.1 T were performed on Bruker solid-state DNP NMR AVANCE III HD spectrometers operating at \(^{1}H\) frequencies of 400 and 600 MHz and electron frequencies of 263 and 395 GHz, respectively. Samples (25 μL) were packed in 3.2 mm sapphire rotors and measured at an MAS frequency of 8 kHz, sample temperature 100–105 K. Polarization build-up times were measured through saturation recovery experiments with continuous microwave irradiation. DNP signal enhancement was measured by comparing signal intensity with and without microwave irradiation.
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In the presence of a biradical polarizing agent, 1H DNP by the CE mechanism is strongly favored when the simplified matching condition \( (\Delta g_{Q0} = \Delta g_{Q2} = \Delta g_{Q4} = 0) \) is fulfilled. As a consequence of the relative orientation of the planes of a nitroxide biradical appears to be a reliable characterization in the Supporting Information between the two average CN(\( O^2 \)) planes of a nitroxide biradical appears to be a reliable characterization of the relative orientation of the \( g \) tensors.
Improved polarizing agents: A series of 18 dinitrooxide biradicals derived from bTurea has been prepared, and their performances as polarizing agents in cross-effect dynamic nuclear polarization (CE DNP) NMR experiments at 9.4 and 14.1 T and 100 K in a DNP-optimized glycerol/water matrix have been studied. The DNP performance is strongly correlated with the substituents on the polarizing agents (see graphic), and its trend is discussed in terms of different molecular parameters.