Abstract: In October 2012 the workshop entitled “Reading Past and Present Landscapes in Central Europe” was held in Hungary. During the workshop, which was focused on exchanging ideas and experience concerning remote sensing methods of detection and registering archaeological sites, a large Roman site near the village of Sárbogárd in Nagyhörcsökpuszta was detected. The area of the site, its location, and the finds suggest that it could have played an important role in the region. This paper presents the results obtained from geophysical prospection and field walking, as well as the results of pottery analysis.
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The area of research is placed in Fejér county (Hungary) which during the period of Roman rule belonged to the provincial interior of Lower Pannonia (Fig. 1). Its location was quite convenient for settlement; it was placed not far from the road connecting the military fort, later an important municipality of Gorsium with another crucial town of Sopianae. The site is situated at the inner edge of a wide valley of the Sárvíz river, on a wide plateau at 127–129 m a.s.l., sloping westwards, to the height of 107 m a.s.l., towards the Lóki stream (Fig. 2, 3), c. 8 km to the north from the present-day village of Sárbogárd.

THE ANALYSIS OF DATA OBTAINED FROM THE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY AND FIELDWALKING

The basis for the intensive field walking was a 100 × 100 metre virtual grid projected over the investigated area. The field survey was conducted by groups of four, walking parallel with each other along the N–S axis in 25 metre transects, covering the whole sample area. The spatial position of each artefact found on the field was recorded by GPS. The spread of finds dated to the Roman period covers the area some tens of hectares, with several concentrations. Two places situated at a distance of ca. 550 m from each other were rich in remains of building material (bricks, roof tiles, etc.). These two places (numbered as A and B) have been chosen for more detailed,
geophysical (magnetic) investigation (Fig. 4) with a fluxgate gradiometer Bartington Grad 601-Dual. The geophysically studied area covered 19200 sq. m, with two surveyed fields of 9600 sq. m (80 × 120 m) each (Fig. 4).

Area A constitutes a part of the site disturbed by the accumulated linear disturbances (running SW–NE), resulting from ploughing and planting which can interfere with the reading of the results (Fig. 5). However, the image obtained from the geophysical survey shows two long parallel anomalies (over 100 m) extended on the axis SW–NE, but slightly deviating from northwards. Another perpendicular anomaly is running NW–SE. The long anomalies may be traces of constructions (walls? fencing?). Numerous perpendicular anomalies on their both sides create square outlines, possibly rooms. One line oriented NW–SE is inclined ca. 30 degrees from the others. As it is probably not related with the above mentioned anomalies, we can deduce that it is a trace of either some infrastructural construction (e.g. an aqueduct) or another phase of construction with a changed orientation. Almost nothing we can say about big dipole anomalies visible in the central part of the investigated area (fireplaces?). The whole place A is not as rich in pottery shards as the area around place B, but fragments of bricks and roof tiles, as well as ceramic water supply pipes have been registered there.

The image obtained through geophysical studies in area B clearly indicates a parallel linear anomalies over a length of 100 m (Fig. 5). Due to the fact that the investigated part of the whole complex is relatively small, it is difficult to determine which part of the building (or buildings) we are dealing with. Empty square shape with adjacent rooms resembles a courtyard surrounded by a fence. Regular perpendicular and parallel linear anomalies may be the outlines of the courtyard, long corridor, and surrounding rooms as it is in many Roman villa-type es-

Fig. 1. Roman Pannonia and the location of the investigated area (by A. Tomas)
Fig. 2. The map of identified traces of Roman settlement to the south of the Lake Balaton and the location of the Roman site in the Sárvíz river valley (based on Visy 1994, Abb. 19.1)

Fig. 3. Nagyhörcsökpuszta, Fejér county. The Sárvíz river valley and the view of the investigated site (photo by M. Stibrányi)
Numerous magnetic anomalies visible on the image B may be traces of heating system (hypocaustum) or destruction by fire, though the rubble of roof tiles may give similar image (Fig. 5). It is difficult to state clearly whether the two places belong to separate estate buildings or buildings within one settlement (vicus). However, almost the same orientation of the two detected constructions placed at a distance of more than 500 m indicates that both of them must have been designated by the same axis, e.g. of the road plots (Fig. 6).

POTTERY AND OTHER FINDS

Numerous vessel fragments densely spread here included kitchen ware, as well as table ware, both Pannonian and imported from the eastern and western part of the empire. During field survey 500 pieces of pottery and two fragments of tegulae were collected. The only non-ceramic find was a loaf-shaped limestone weight.

The majority of shards are not distinctive, small fragments which are not precisely datable, too. In general it can be dated from the 1st to the 4th century A.D. The large part of the material (401 shards, 80.2%) constitutes household grey pottery (light gray to black). Its quality varies, mostly being coarse, gritty, rough-surfaced ware. The shards belonged to kitchen cooking and storage vessels (Fig. 7.7 – Fig. 8.8-9).

Two undecorated Pannonian grey slip ware with stamped decoration have been identified. Production of this type of pottery began at the end of the 1st c. A.D., flourished in the middle of the 2nd, and after a small decline, had a new impetus of manufacture in the second quarter of the 3rd c. A.D.\(^5\)

One fragment was identified as an imitation of so-called ‘Pompeian red plate’ (Fig. 7.6). The earliest fragments of pottery of this type in Pannonia are dated to the end of the 1st c. A.D., but only in the 2nd became frequent.\(^6\)

---

\(^5\) Thomas 1964, 73ff. and fig. 40; Dinchev 1997, 45, fig. 31; Mulvin 2002, 29 with figures

\(^6\) Elaborated by Z. Kis (PhD cand.).

---
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Fig. 5. The Roman site in the Sárvíz river valley. The results of magnetic prospection in places marked as A and B. Magnetic scale -3 nT (white) to 3 nT (black) (by P. Wroniecki, M. Jaworski)
Fig. 6. The Roman site in the Sárvíz river valley. A, B – the location of investigated places and the image obtained from geophysical prospection (by P. Wroniecki)

Tab. I. Morphological and typological analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ceramic types</th>
<th>Quantity (%)</th>
<th>Body</th>
<th>Rim</th>
<th>Bottom</th>
<th>Lid</th>
<th>Handle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>household grey pottery</td>
<td>401 (80.2%)</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>self-coloured pottery</td>
<td>52 (10.4%)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red colour coated ware</td>
<td>19 (3.8%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>storage jar</td>
<td>7 (1.4%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pottery with colour-coated horizontal bands</td>
<td>7 (1.4%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terra sigillata</td>
<td>6 (1.2%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marbled ware</td>
<td>4 (0.8%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pannonian grey slip ware with stamped decoration</td>
<td>2 (0.4%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glazed mortarium</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imitation of so-called ‘Pompeian red plate’</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7. 1–3. Fragments of pottery with colour-coated horizontal bands; 4. A self-coloured jug rim; 5. Marbled ware fragment; 6. Fragment of so-called ‘Pompeian red plate’ imitation; 7. Fragment of household grey cooking pot with horizontal rim (M=1:1) (by Z. Kis)
Fig. 8. 8. Base fragment of household grey cooking pot; 9. Storage jar rim fragment; 10. *Terra sigillata* base fragment (M = 1:1) (by Z. Kis)
Fig. 9. Stone weight (?). (h: 9 cm, l: 28 cm, w: 17 cm, W: 4.85 kg) (photo by Z. Kis)
Marbled wares are represented by 4 shards (Fig. 7.5). This type of decoration appeared in the province in the 1st century, but mostly prevailed in the Antonine Period.\(^9\) Local production was continued in Brigetio and in Aquincum still in the 3rd c. A.D.\(^10\)

One fragment was covered with a yellow-green glaze with gravel-plated inner area. It is probably a mortarium bowl fragment, which can be dated to the 3rd–4th c. A.D.

Pottery with colour-coated horizontal bands fragments (Fig. 7.1–3) started to be produced around the 1st c. A.D. and lasted until the beginning of the 3rd c.

Only 6 shards of terra sigillata have been identified. Five pieces are body fragments (3 undecorated, 2 relief decorated and one bottom, Fig. 8.10). One of them is decorated with a medallion. Another fragment is difficult to identify due to the fragmentary preservation.

A loaf-shaped stone – probably a stone weight – has been found on the site (Fig. 9.11). In Pannonia several stone weights have been uncovered, but their shape: oval, loaf, cylindrical\(^11\) or spherical\(^12\) differs from the piece collected during the surveys in the Sár viz valley. On the top of the weight, there is a graved number XV, which indicates 15 Roman pounds (quindecim librae). The stone weights 4850 g which would be correspond or slightly less than expectable weight of 15 librae. It has a quite good preservation it has only some scrape by a plowshare. The estimated original weight is from 4781.25 to 4911.75 g.

**ROMAN PROVINCIAL SETTLEMENT IN THE AREA OF SÁRBOGÁRD VILLAGE AND TO THE SOUTH OF THE LAKE BALATON**

The investigated is placed between two tribal territories of the Eraviscans and Hercuniates.\(^13\) During the Roman domination it was about 25 km south of the fort Gorsium (Tác), a military base garrisoned by a cavalry unit (ala Scubulorum) stationed from the middle of the 1st century A.D., a significant civil town the beginning of the 2nd century.\(^14\)

Roman villae in Lower Pannonia are relatively well examined and published.\(^15\) So far, more than 100 sites of this kind have been located in the whole province, around 40 per cent of them being excavated.\(^16\) As it has been noted, probably all of them were built from the ground up, on the lands allotted to the veterans and Roman colonists. So far, no pre-Roman settlement has been unearthed to be converted into a villa. Pannonian estates dated to the 1st–2nd c. A.D. were mostly owned by Italic settlers or local aristocracy who, thanks to the loyalty to the new administration received Roman citizenship.\(^17\) It is agreed that veteran colonization of Lower Pannonian interior started in the 2nd c.\(^18\) Estates of local aristocracy are rare, among others due to the fact that this group was not large in number.\(^19\) The Pannonian farm-house and villa estates range from 30 × 40 m to about 200 × 200 m. Usually in plan they follow the pattern of Roman courtyard villa.\(^20\) Based on analysis of archaeological finds of tools, the backbone of farming was growing grain, followed by cultivation of vine, woodworking and animal breeding. Production focused on manufacture of bricks and ceramic tableware and metal products.\(^21\)

The research on the rural settlements, especially during the transition period, is not so much advanced.\(^22\) Generally the discussed area is considered to be poorly recognized with regard to both Roman villae and vici.\(^23\) More settlements have been recorded by the Sió river (Fig. 2) and the nearest Roman villa dated to the 2nd–3rd c. was

---

\(^9\) Bonis 1942, 22; Poczy 1957, 42; Gabler 1989, 495.  
\(^10\) Bonis 1979, 155; Poczy 1956, 114.  
\(^11\) Kuzinszky 1890, 97, No. 3, 5; 99, Nos. 14–16.  
\(^12\) Kuzinszky 1890, 97, No. 4; 99, No. 7; Bóhy–Számado 1997–1998, 110, Taf.11.1 a-b.  
\(^14\) Poczy 1980, 261–262.  
\(^16\) Thomas 1980, 276; Visy 1994.  
\(^17\) Mócsy 1974, 169.  
\(^18\) Mócsy 1974, 170, fig. 32; Thomas 1980, 282.  
\(^20\) Mócsy 1974, 175.  
\(^21\) Thomas 1980, 292.  
\(^22\) Mócsy 1974, 170.  
Fig. 10. The comparison of size and layout of selected pre-Roman and Roman rural settlements and estates in Pannonia.  
1. Selected pre-Roman lowland settlements (after Trebsche 2014); 2. The pre-Roman settlement of Szakály. The ground plan of the pit houses (after Transformation 2006); 3. The villa estate at Bakica (after Palágyi 2002)
discovered near Enying–Hosszú Tó. From the surroundings of Sárighbárd comes a tombstone with inscription erected for a wealthy couple with Celtic names, as well as a wagon burial discovered in the vicinity of Sárszentmiklós, considered to be an elite’s grave related to the army. The nearest detected settlement (vicus) is at distance of ca. 10 km to the south, in the southern part of Sárighbárd–Sárszentmiklós village. Traces of local lowland settlement which have their continuity in the Roman period were recorded also south-west of the discussed area. The Fejér region is considered to be an area with burials in mounds, few in other parts of Pannonia. These are situated within the radius of 10–15 km around the discussed territory – near Sárighbárd, Nagylók and Káloz.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above data, we can say with great probability that the discovered site belonged to one settlement, or to two separate units, but related to each other by layout and orientation. The spread of the archaeological finds would suggest the presence of a large settlement (vicus) or one or two villae. Of course, any interpretation must be treated as a one of possible hypotheses, since critical reviews met even excavated sites interpreted as villae. The location of the site on the gently slope of the river valley is very characteristic for Roman villa estates, and the location of the discussed place fits to the observation made by L. Mulvin concerning the presence of large estates in the area south-west of Gorsium. If so, its owners would have originated from the local aristocracy, but this interpretation must remain very assumptive. On the other hand, the location of the site in the interior of the province, and finds discovered so far suggest that there is a certain probability that the site may have been a vicus inhabited by some local tribesmen.
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