Musical Insertions in Ms 29 of the National and University Library in Ljubljana (Slovenia)
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Abstract: In Ms 29 of the National and University Library in Ljubljana (Slovenia) – an early 13th-century copy of Dialogorum libri IV by Gregory the Great – there are two musical insertions: the sequence Celi solem imitantes and the hymn Jam lucis orto sidere. Written in Hungarian notation they were inserted onto blank pages in the completed manuscript in the span of time from the late 13th to the mid-14th century. Ms 29 bears a marginal inscription referring to Augustinus Cazottus (Kažotić), Bishop of Zagreb in the early 14th century. It was obviously in his possession. Presumably, the sequence and the hymn were inserted into Ms 29 somewhere in the Diocese of Zagreb, by someone skilled in Hungarian notation.
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Ms 29 of the National and University Library in Ljubljana is a copy of Dialogorum libri IV by Gregory the Great. According to the codicological description of the manuscript by experts of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, it dates from the beginning of the 13th century and was compiled in the southern parts of the German Empire, possibly in the territory of modern Slovenia. Before coming to its present location, it was owned by the Cistercian Abbey of Kostanjevica (Slovenia), lying

2. German Mariabrunn bei Landstraß, Latin Fons Mariae.
in the south-eastern part of the medieval land of Carniola (German Krain) just a few miles away from the frontier between the Holy Roman Empire and Croatia, then part of the Kingdom of Hungary.

As a codex, Ms 29 does not show any outstanding features; it is not a book of exceptional standards and was obviously copied only for use. At present it consists of 70 folios (225×155 mm); however, as evident from the medieval foliation, there were originally at least 126 folios, which is to say that substantial portions of the manuscript have been torn out. The Latin Carolino-Gotica script is not of the highest quality; the text was copied by several more or less careful hands which are difficult to distinguish from one another.

Like many medieval codices, Ms 29 shows traces of a long history. Obviously, Gregory’s text was constantly read and reread, as may be gathered from worn out pages of the book. The manuscript contains other interesting material which makes it similar to an archaeological site. The binding consists of wooden covers wrapped up in leather, partly missing. It must be somewhat younger than the book itself since the marginal inscriptions found on some folios appear slightly trimmed. When the copy was bound, parchment folios of other then already destroyed manuscripts were used as binding material: on the inner side of the back cover there is a folio from a 14th-century manuscript, pasted there perhaps merely to hide the wooden surface of the cover. The preserved portion of its text contains a description of the Holy Land which could not be identified so far. Before the first and after the last folio of the Dialogues there are two folios taken from a 12th-century copy of Moralia in Job likewise by Gregory the Great. Intentionally or by chance, the first scribe of the Dialogues began writing not on the recto but on the verso of the first folio, and the last scribe finished the work in the middle of the verso of the last folio. The first page and the lower part of the last page of the codex therefore remained blank. Onto these two pages someone inscribed two pieces of chant. On the first folio of the manuscript one finds the sequence Celi solem imitantes, which is one of the sequences for the feasts of the apostles, and on the last one there is the hymn Jam lucis orto sidere, sung normally ad primam, in the office of the prime (Table 1).

Thus we have four different textual components in the manuscript. The three folios in the binding of the book were presumably chosen irrespectively of their contents – any parchment having the appropriate size would have fulfilled the task. As for the two chants, no obvious reason for their insertion can be recognized. The manuscript was copied, so that its owner, presumably a community, could read Gregory’s work, possibly aloud, but not to sing specific pieces of plainsong. Thus it seems possible that they were inserted there merely out of horror vacui. Yet someone must have made the decision what specific items were to be inserted. This observation leads to the question whether there is any connection between the two chants and the contents of Gregory’s Dialogues. To answer it, a look at this interesting work is necessary.
Gregory’s *Dialogorum libri* are an extensive text in four books, cast in the form of conversation between Gregory himself and a certain Peter. Gregory speaks about various saints of his and slightly earlier times: bishops, abbots, monks etc., who bore obvious signs of their holiness, manifested in performing various miracles. The first book relates a great many miraculous events from the lives of several Italian bishops and monks. Occasionally, Peter asks for the explanation of the miracles and Gregory interprets them in theological and moral terms. The second book is dedicated to the life of Benedict of Nursia, the founder of western monasticism; it also relates, almost exclusively, miraculous events from his life. The third book resumes the narration on Italian and other bishops and monks. The fourth one starts with a discussion on the immortality of the soul and then continues by describing the deaths of many bishops, abbots, monks and other holy people, deaths which in a miraculous way testify to the immortality of the soul. Gregory’s work could be described as a vast collection of miracles which he understood as proofs of God’s presence among people. He quite often connects the events described with similar occurrences in the Bible and draws parallels among them by putting the former into the context of salvation history related in the latter. Gregory was obviously not concerned with reporting the events of his time, but strived to see all that was going on in his environment as a continuation of what was described in the Bible.

Comparing the contents of the *Dialogues* with the text of the sequence *Celi solem*, a certain degree of relationship can in fact be recognized. The sequence enumerates the apostles, mentioning their activities and their fates, whereas the *Dialogues* describe, among others, the miracles of several bishops, i.e. successors and followers of the apostles. The sequence on the apostles can thus be regarded as an appropriate introduction to the *Dialogues* of Gregory, and the selection of this very sequence as a piece to be read or sung immediately before reading the *Dialogues* might not be entirely accidental. Notwithstanding this connection, whose intentionalcy cannot be ascertained, all four different components

---

of Ms 29 breathe the same atmosphere and come from the same spiritual world in which human affairs and history function only within the frame of the *civitas Dei*, and are understood and assessed only from the viewpoint of salvation history whose central events took place in the Holy Land.

As Gregory the Great was credited with the regulation and even the composition of the plainchant, it is not out of place to examine his *Dialogues* from the viewpoint of references to chanting. In the long text there are but few such hints (*Table 2*). Gregory offers only glimpses into the reality of his times, which holds true also in respect of chanting. Four observations can be made concerning his references to singing: (i) The way in which chanting is mentioned implies that it was something quite common in the monastic and other clerical communities. (ii) In Gregory’s view chanting was almost the same as praying. (iii) Chanting is regarded as something that transcends normal human activities: in IV, 10 the dying Abbot Spes joins the psalmody and passes away praying; in IV, 21 two hanged and already dead monks begin chanting; in IV, 14 a dying paralytic by the name of Servulus asks others in the hospital to sing psalms with him; when he suddenly breaks the chanting, all that are present hear something like an echo from the heaven; Servulus concentrates on this celestial singing and passes away; in IV, 15 two invisible choirs, a male and a female one, sing psalms alternately in front of the cell in which the nun Romula is dying; as her soul is ascending to heaven, the singing is gradually fading away. (iv) Examining what is being chanted, one encounters most often psalms (psalmody, also antiphonal), hymns, and lauds, the distinction between hymns and lauds not being clear. This is very similar to what the apostle Paul recommended to Christian communities as their spiritual activities, referring to psalms, hymns and spiritual songs.\(^4\) In one case, however, an antiphon is explicitly mentioned (IV, 35) which the dying Eleutherius added to the chanting of psalms.

The same lack of historical interest also characterizes Gregory’s account of Benedict’s life. In a special, very brief chapter (II, 36) he relates that Benedict wrote the monastic rule, but without mentioning either office or chanting.

The two music insertions do not appear to have been written by the same hand. Some characters of the Latin script have the same shape in both texts and were obviously put down in the same way, i.e. by the same strokes of pen, yet the general appearance of the script in the sequence differs from that in the hymn to the extent that it would be risky to perceive the same hand behind both texts. According to the graphic features of the script as well as the music notation, the

---

insertions may be dated around 1300. The sequence *Celi solem* on the first page of the *Dialogues*, encompassing eight stanzas, is written out in full, but of the five stanzas of the hymn only the first two are to be read on the last page of the *Dialogues*. Both insertions are poorly preserved and scarcely legible (*Plate 1–2*).

---

5. According to the description in *Mittelalterliche Handschriften in Österreich* they date from the 14th century.

---

**Table 2 References to chant in Gregory’s *Dialogorum libri***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Book, chapter</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I, 2</td>
<td>Expletis igitur hymnis matutinalibus …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I, 9</td>
<td>… prius quam Deo hymnum diceret …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, 4</td>
<td>… et constituta hora, expleta psalmodia sese fratres in orationem dedissent...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, 34</td>
<td>Qui tantae ejus gloriae congaudens, omnipotenti Deo in hymnis et laudibus gratias reddidit …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, 14</td>
<td>Nocte vero eadem dum ex more cum fratribus ad exhibendas laudes Domino surrxisset …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, 22</td>
<td>… clericis intra ecclesiam psallentibus … … expletisque laudibus Dei …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, 30</td>
<td>Nam cum magna populi multitudine venientes, atque omnipotenti Domino laudes canentes …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, 31</td>
<td>Nam coepit in nocturno silentio psalmodiae cantus ad corpus ejusdem regis et martyris audiri …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, 10</td>
<td>… cum eis mysticos psalmorum cantus exorsus est. Qui illis psallentibus orationi intentus animam reddidit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, 14</td>
<td>Studebat semper in dolore gratias agere, hymnis Deo et laudibus diebus ac noctibus vacare. … admonuit ut surgerent et cum eo psalmos pro expectatione sui exitus decantarent. Cumque cum eis et ipse moriens psalleret, voces psallentium repente compescuit … dicens: Tacete; nunquid non auditis quantae resonent laudes in coelo? Et dum ad eadem laudes quas intus audierat, aurem cordis interenderet, sancta illa anima carne soluta est.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, 15</td>
<td>… in platea ante ejusdem cellulae ostium duo chori psallentium constiterunt, et sicut se dicebant sexus ex vocibus discrevisse, psalmodiae cantus dicebant viri, et feminae respondebant. … Qua [sc. anima] ad coelum ducta, quanto chori psallentium altius ascendebant, tanto coepit psalmodia lenius audiri, quousque et eisdem psalmodiae sonitus … finiretur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, 21</td>
<td>Facto autem vespere utrorumque eorum spiritus claris illic apertisque vocibus psallere coeperunt, ita ut ipsi quoque qui eos occiderant, cum voces psallentium audirent, nimium mirati terrerentur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, 35</td>
<td>Vocatisque fratibus, coram se psallere praecipeit, quibus tamen antiphonam ipse per semetipsum imposuit, dicens: Aperite mihi portas justitiae …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, 47</td>
<td>Alius etiam frater in eodem monasterio Merulus dicebatur, vehementer lacrymis atque orationibus intentus: psalmodia vero ex ore illius pene nullo tempore cessare consueverat …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plate 1 Ljubljana, National and University Library, Ms 29, fol. 1r
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PLATE 2 Ljubljana, National and University Library, Ms 29, fol. 70v

Ms 29 of the National and University Library in Ljubljana

Debemus utque adprimus quia nus longe post
longe quod tumur mente eti: cumpamini subde
rei: humilate ilium ac ben volenta placare: et
hunc codicum usum tale placitum ut mentas as
peveget aperte nos solvit. quia munus sucula
simul. bene regis ant more salutis die dictum. na
sernum usum. nihil tauta debitar. cum peurent
ram factere. absolutionem debitis atque accep. Sed
qua co ferox suo cerni sub genaris de hunc debit
turn non climus. quoc evisus exig quod et sive
tar um dimissum. Ex quo, inde secundus dicta collat:
qua sit iuhoq de deans word ex deu non climus
nulquem cum fletur quod nobis una annissim. p
peurenti grudedamans gratiam. dum induls prae
spatium hic dum ruder subit et dum consilium
nunqu aliqui culpa ex aequar. exspectar. estemus
cum laetim simul. natur. seme semus. semus
graus. benignitatis. s. silentes. dic quo salutar
holba. post mortem. no indigemus. sante. mortem
do hostia trist. acertt. ut.

per se et sper. est. quæbur. sunt. bidet.
Comparing the text of the sequence to its edition in *Analecta hymnica*, several variant readings can be observed. According to the critical apparatus to the edition, some of these variant readings also turn up in a 14th-century missal from the Premonstratensian nunnery Chotěšov in Bohemia (southwest of Plzeň). However, the text in this manuscript differs from the version in Ms 29 in some other places, which is to say that no source of *Analecta hymnica* exactly matches the text of Ms 29. This fact, inconclusive as it is, illustrates the way of dissemination of medieval liturgical poetry, which was obviously liable to subtle changes and adaptations. More revealing is the observation that apart from two instances the text in Ms 29 agrees with the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* which was compiled before 1341, possibly in Esztergom, and used in Pozsony (Lat. Posonium, now Bratislava). It is also very near to a younger, early 16th-century gradual from Esztergom, the so-called Gradual of Thomas Bakócz. This may be taken as an indication that the scribe who made the insertions in Ms 29 copied them from an Eastern European, possibly Hungarian manuscript (*Table 3*). However, in drawing this conclusion some caution is needed as there exist a great many copies of the sequence.

The scribe who made the first musical insertion in Ms 29 does not appear to have been a very skilled notator: the first half of the second line is notated a third too high; the first half of the fifth line was originally written a third too low, but someone (possibly the same scribe) corrected it and wrote the wrongly notated portion of the melody once again a third higher; the end of the sixth line also seems to be notated faultily (“Johannes”). The comparison of the melody with the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* reveals several variant readings in the melody – some of them being quite substantial – which are difficult to comment upon (*Example 1*).

Interestingly, in some cases the melody in the younger Gradual of Thomas Bakócz

9. H–Efkö, I, 1b (Esztergom, Cathedral Library). See *Hymnen und Sequenzen*, eds Benjamin Rajeczky – Polikarp Radó (Budapest: Zeneműkiadó, 1956) (= *Melodiárum Hungariae medii aevi I*), 251, n. 49. In Rajeczky’s edition the source bears the designation R 7; it is described on p. XXI. I thank warmly Gábor Kiss, who provided me relevant material concerning Hungarian sources.
11. Of *Missale notatum Strigoniense* (S) only the odd stanzas are given since pairs of stanzas share the same melody. Scarcely legible and therefore conjectured melodic progressions are shaded and so are the words. The text of the sequence is given in diplomatic transcription (every stanza begins with a capital).
follows the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* and in other cases the version in Ms 29; there are only a few instances in which it proceeds slightly differently from both sources.

The hymn *Jam lucis orto sidere* follows the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* and in other cases the version in Ms 29; there are only a few instances in which it proceeds slightly differently from both sources.

The hymn *Jam lucis orto sidere* follows the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* and in other cases the version in Ms 29; there are only a few instances in which it proceeds slightly differently from both sources.

The hymn *Jam lucis orto sidere* follows the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* and in other cases the version in Ms 29; there are only a few instances in which it proceeds slightly differently from both sources.

The hymn *Jam lucis orto sidere* follows the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* and in other cases the version in Ms 29; there are only a few instances in which it proceeds slightly differently from both sources.

The hymn *Jam lucis orto sidere* follows the version in the *Missale notatum Strigoniense* and in other cases the version in Ms 29; there are only a few instances in which it proceeds slightly differently from both sources.
EXAMPLE 1 *Celi solem* in *Missale notatum Strigoniense* (S) and Ms 29 (L)

S: Missale Notatum Strigoniense  
L: Ljubljana, National and University Library, Ms 29
Hungarian sources, whereas in Stäblein’s edition of hymn melodies it appears only once, taken from a 13th-century French manuscript. The melody of *Jam lucis orto sidere* in Ms 29 might be connected to the mode 8 melody of the French source, yet there are differences between both melodies that could hardly be interpreted as variant readings. The online catalogue of hymn incipits does not help to identify the melody: it contains several incipits very similar to the melody of Ms 29 (starting either on C, F or G), but no exact match.

*Jam lucis orto sidere* was sung with a multitude of melodies in the Middle Ages. In a 13th-century notated breviary from Paris it appears with no less than 21 different melodies, but none of them can be recognized as the melody of Ms 29. Numerous Hungarian sources (in which the hymn lacks the refrain) have the same melody as the Vatican edition. Stäblein’s edition comprises 25 different melodies to *Jam lucis orto sidere* (without the refrain); some of them appear in various versions, but none could be connected to the melody in Ms 29. The only source with a melody that is near to Ms 29 is the French manuscript in Sens (Example 2).

Although it is difficult to read the music, it is nevertheless possible to identify the notational signs (Table 4). A single note is always written by a punctum as a small diamond with a thin hair-like stroke on the left. The flexa or clivis has a form that can be encountered in many types of notation. The same is true of the torculus. More characteristic is the podatus, consisting of two small heads connected by a slanting stroke. The most characteristic, however, are the scandicus and the climacus. The scandicus obviously derives from the clivis and may be interpreted as a succession of two clives or a succession of three connected puncta; and the climacus always consists of vertically aligned puncta, the first of which may be doubled. These forms can be encountered in the manuscripts from Klosterneuburg as well as in Hungarian notation, as for example in the 13th-century missal from Zagreb.

20. *Hymnen und Sequenzen*, eds Rajeczky–Radó, 10, n. 23. On page XXXVIII Rajeczky quotes altogether 34 sources including the hymn (without the refrain) with this melody.
21. *Hymnen*, ed. Stäblein, 3 (twice), 26, 67, 71 (twice), 72 (twice), 73 (thrice), 74 (twice), 75 (twice), 76 (twice), 77, 121, 142, 143, 148, 154, 167, 172 (thrice), 203, 206 (twice), 245, 264, 265 (twice), 266, 360, 451. The online Global Chant Database, maintained by Jan Koláček (http://kolacek.org/content/global-chant-database, accessed April 2015), collecting information from a variety of old and modern sources, includes 38 melodies for *Jam lucis* (without the refrain); all are different from Ms 29.
Exampl 2 Jam lucis orto sidere in Ms 29

Jam lucis orto sidere. fulget dies.
deum prece-mur suppi-ces. ful-get dies is-ta.

ut in diurnis ac- ti-bus. ful-get dies.
nos ser- vet a no-cen-ti-bus. ful-get dies is-ta.

Linguam re-frenans tempe-ret. ful-get dies.

ne litis orror ins-net. ful-get dies is-ta.

Visum foven-do con-te-gat. ful-get dies.

ne vanita-tes aur- at. ful-get dies is-ta.
In order to date the musical insertions in Ms 29, the graphical characteristics of their notation should be examined and compared to an array of other manuscripts exhibiting the same type of notation. The largest online catalogue of eastern and central European plainchant manuscripts includes several sources whose notation comes rather close to Ms 29.\textsuperscript{24} The missal from Zagreb (now in Güssing), copied at the beginning of the 13\textsuperscript{th} century, seems older than the musical insertions in Ms 29, whereas the Missale notatum Strigoniense from the beginning of the 14\textsuperscript{th} century might be younger. The notation of the so-called “Istanbul Missal”\textsuperscript{25} from the 14\textsuperscript{th} century appears to be of the same age as the musical insertions in Ms 29.

\textsuperscript{24} Digital Source Catalogue, maintained by Gábor Kiss, Institute for Musicology, Research Centre for the Humanities of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (http://earlymusic.zti.hu/cd-catalogue/CD_titlepage.htm), accessed April 2015.

\textsuperscript{25} TR–Itks (Istanbul, Topkap Saray), Deissmann 60 (Digital Source Catalogue).
and the same is true of some other 14th-century manuscripts. On the basis of these comparisons it can be conjectured that the two pieces were inserted into Ms 29 in the span of time roughly from the end of the 13th century to the mid-14th century. However, a detailed study, encompassing more comparative sources, may date the insertions with greater precision.

Judging from the notation, the two insertions must have entered Ms 29 somewhere in the territory where Hungarian notation was used. This observation leads to the question whether it is possible to locate the place of their production more precisely. In an attempt to answer this question we must turn to the history of the manuscript. Ms 29 bears traces of a long use, including several marginal inscriptions. The most revealing among them is the first of the three items on fol. 67v. It contains a reference to a certain Augustine, bishop of Zagreb (Table 5).

In the entire history of the Diocese of Zagreb there was only one bishop Augustine: Bishop Augustinus Cazottus (Croatian Augustin Kažotić, Ital. Agostino Casotti). He was born in Trogir in Dalmatia around 1260. As a youth he joined the Dominicans who had already settled in Dalmatia by the mid-13th century. In 1286 he was in Pavia, whence he travelled to Paris and studied there for several years. In 1301 he accompanied Cardinal Nicola Boccasini on his mission to Hungary, whose purpose was to prepare the arrival of Charles I from the Capetian House of Anjou (Károly Róbert) as the successor to the Hungarian and Croatian throne. In 1303 Cardinal Boccasini was elected Pope as Benedict XI, and in the same year he appointed Kažotić Bishop of the Zagreb Diocese, which at that time functioned as a suffragan diocese of Kalocsa. Augustine was a man of letters; he is believed to have established the cathedral school in Zagreb and a library; perhaps he was also engaged in matters of liturgy and participated in the formation of the rite of Zagreb. In 1318 he travelled to the court of Pope John XXII in Avignon, where he wrote a treatise on witchcraft, superstition and divination in 1320. He never returned to Zagreb, apparently because the king did not want him there. Presently, in 1322 he was appointed Bishop of Lucera in southern Italy where he died the following year (1323).

When Ms 29 was being bound, the marginal inscription in reference to Kažotić had been slightly trimmed, making spelling of the whole phrase rather ambiguous. The word “pat” is abbreviated and it is not clear whether it stands for “patri” or “patre”; this is to say that the whole phrase could be reconstructed either in the dative or in the ablative case, which leads to two different interpretations. It seems more plausible to read it in the dative case; in this case it could scarcely mean


anything else but that the manuscript was given to Bishop Augustine, which must have happened during his actual residence in Zagreb, i.e. between 1303 and 1318.

The next important marginal inscription might be connected to that regarding Bishop Kažotić; it is an inscription mentioning the Ban of Slavonia with the name Stephanus, which occurs twice. Historical Slavonia occupied the northern part of modern Croatia (including Zagreb), and in the Middle Ages made part of the Kingdom of Hungary, administered by a ban. Several bans of Slavonia bore the name Stephen in the Middle Ages, e.g. István from the genus Gutkeled (1248–1260), Stjepan Bobonić (1310–1316), and Stjepan Lacković (1350–1352). It is difficult to say whom the inscription refers to, but one is tempted to think that it was the ban who governed the country during the time of Bishop Kažotić, i.e. Ban Bobonić. The inscription raises questions in regard to its meaning and connotations: is it just a casual reference to the lord of the country, made by the owner of the manuscript, or does it imply that the codex had belonged to the ban, who subsequently donated it to Bishop Kažotić? As both marginals naming the ban appear rather informal and accidental, the former assumption seems more likely.

Other marginals, although less relevant to the history of Ms 29, are not without interest. The strange text on fol. 69v is a spell against death, and the exotic,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Folio</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40r</td>
<td>manum suam aperuit inopi et palmas ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55v</td>
<td>Cum ad aures regiae mayestatis pervenit[?]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66v</td>
<td>mulier ... famulum famulus[?] ... milier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67v</td>
<td>Venerabili In christo patri et domino spirituali domino Augustino dei et apostolica gratia Zagrabensi Episcopo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67v</td>
<td>per quod ave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67v</td>
<td>Virgo deum genuit sed si quis quomodo querit / non est nosse meum sed scio posse deum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69v</td>
<td>est mala mors capta cum dicitur ananiszapa / ananizapta ferit mortem quam leedere querit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70v</td>
<td>Stepanus Banus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70v</td>
<td>Stephanus banus sclavonie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70v</td>
<td>Hic liber et scriptus qui scripsit sit benedictus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70v</td>
<td>Finito libro reddatur gratia christo Quis scripsit istud librum ille benedicat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fol. on the back cover</td>
<td>Domine dominus noster quam admirabile est nomen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Marginalia in Ms 29

29. The word rendered as “quam” on Table 5 is written in an abbreviated form and could also be read as “qui,” which would be grammatically incorrect. The right form of this pronoun is “quae”; “quam” is possible but changes the meaning.
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seemingly Greek word “ananisapta” is an acronym standing for a plea to Jesus and the Holy Trinity *(Table 6)*. The spell appears to have been widely known and used.\(^{30}\) As Bishop Kažotić wrote about superstition and witchcraft, the marginal inscription of the spell could be somehow associated with him. However, his treatise on superstition does not relate to this sort of conjuring, which, being considered Christian, would be regarded as wholly legitimate in his time.\(^{31}\)

From all these observations the outline of the history of Ms 29 can be summarized as follows. The manuscript was prepared somewhere in the southern parts of the German Empire, perhaps in the territory of modern Slovenia. Although the place of its production cannot be exactly traced, it is quite certain that by the end of the 13\(^{th}\) century it was in Slavonia, and that sometime between 1303 and 1318 it was donated to Bishop Kazotić. Musical insertions, dating roughly from the same period, must have been made in the Diocese of Zagreb, then a suffragan diocese to Kalocsa, and since they were written in Hungarian notation, they must have been executed by someone who was skilled in such notation and very likely educated in one of the Hungarian ecclesiastical centres. It is not known when and under which circumstances Ms 29 came into possession of the Cistercian Abbey of Kostanjevica/Mariabrunn, lying some 60 km west of Zagreb. There is no sign in the manuscript that would explicitly associate it with that monastery.


\(^{31}\) See Franjo Šanjek, “Nadležnost inkvizicije u svjetlu Kažotićevih ‘Izlaganja o pitanjima kršćanima i drugih praznovjerja’” [Authority of the inquisition in Kažotić’s ‘Dicta super questionibus de baptismatione et aliarum superstitionum’], *Chroatica Christiana Periodica* 4/5 (1980), 63–77. – On fol. 40r there is a quotation from Prov. 31, 20. On the margin of fol. 67v there is a fairly known distich, included in the catalogue *Repertorium hymnologicum*, ed. Ulysse Chevalier, vol. III (Louvain: Folleunis et Ceuterick, 1904), 672, n. 34595. The text has been published by Greti Dinkova-Bruun, “Medieval Latin Poetic Anthologies (VII): The Biblical Anthology from York Minster Library (MS. XVI Q 14),” *Mediaeval Studies* 64 (2002), 89. On fol. 70v there are two rhymed concluding formulas of the scribe; one of them is incomplete (or incorrect). On the folio containing the “Descripition Terrae Sanctae” there is the beginning of Ps. 8, very likely written after the folio became part of the binding of Ms 29.

---

**Table 6 Solution of the acronym in the spell**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Antidotum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Nazareni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Aufferat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Necem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Intoxicationis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sanctificet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alimenta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pocula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Trinitas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\(^{31}\) See Franjo Šanjek, “Nadležnost inkvizicije u svjetlu Kažotićevih ‘Izlaganja o pitanjima kršćanima i drugih praznovjerja’” [Authority of the inquisition in Kažotić’s ‘Dicta super questionibus de baptismatione et aliarum superstitionum’], *Chroatica Christiana Periodica* 4/5 (1980), 63–77. – On fol. 40r there is a quotation from Prov. 31, 20. On the margin of fol. 67v there is a fairly known distich, included in the catalogue *Repertorium hymnologicum*, ed. Ulysse Chevalier, vol. III (Louvain: Folleunis et Ceuterick, 1904), 672, n. 34595. The text has been published by Greti Dinkova-Bruun, “Medieval Latin Poetic Anthologies (VII): The Biblical Anthology from York Minster Library (MS. XVI Q 14),” *Mediaeval Studies* 64 (2002), 89. On fol. 70v there are two rhymed concluding formulas of the scribe; one of them is incomplete (or incorrect). On the folio containing the “Descripition Terrae Sanctae” there is the beginning of Ps. 8, very likely written after the folio became part of the binding of Ms 29.