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The application of whole-body vibration in physiotherapy – A narrative review
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Whole-body vibration (WBV) training is a very popular kind of practice in sport, fitness and physiotherapy. This work reviews the current knowledge regarding the use and effectiveness of WBV in the physiotherapy. The discrepancies between different authors’ results are probably due to divergence in WBV training protocols. The paperwork clearly showed that despite its ultimate effects, exercises on a vibration platform are safe, feasible, and well tolerated by patients with different disorders. This narrative review should help physiotherapists verify therapy programs regarding patients’ exposure to WBV.
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Introduction

For a few years now, more modern and effective methods of conventional interventions have been sought and considered in physiotherapy including the use of whole-body vibration (WBV). Several reports have been published regarding the impact of vibration on human tissues and systems (55, 56). The biological response of particular types of tissues to applied mechanical vibrations depends on several variables, including the vibration variables, the physiological properties of tissues and individual variation (31).

One of the most thoroughly examined biological effects of WBV is an increase in the activity of striated muscles as detected with surface electromyography (34, 54). Mechanical vibrations cause a specific myotatic reflex, commonly referred to in literature as a tonic vibration reflex (TVR) (24). Other biological effects of WBV include stimulation of bone formation processes (39), blood vessel dilation, improvement in circulation and oxygen uptake (53), increased testosterone and growth hormone secretion and a decrease in cortisol concentrations (35), increase in intramuscular temperature (17), flexibility improvement (65), and a decrease in circulating glucose (20). It should be emphasized though that not all the reports so far published have confirmed the beneficial influence of vibration training.

Beneficial functional and morphological adaptations observed following the application of adequately adjusted mechanical vibrations encouraged researchers to include these vibrations in physiotherapy and the rehabilitation process. Cardinale and Wakeling (12) emphasize that sedentary, injured and elderly people with impaired muscle activation capabilities are those who benefit the most from WBV training applications. The authors
suggest that WBV may be of benefit in some rehabilitation programs. The most recent studies indicate that WBV therapy should be considered for use in early in-bed rehabilitation in the intensive care unit (5, 10). There are also reports regarding the use of resistance exercises combined with vibration exposure for the prevention of muscle atrophy associated with prolonged periods of supine positioning (3).

**Methods**

*Search methods*

The aim of the present paper is to present the most recent research reports, published after 2007, analyzing the use and effectiveness of WBVs in the physiotherapy of neurological, pediatric, geriatric, and orthopedic patients. The presentation should help physiotherapists verify therapy programs regarding patients’ exposure to WBV. The mechanisms of the action of WBV are beyond the scope of this paper. A search was conducted using the following databases: PubMed, Medline, Scopus, EBSCOHost, ScienceDirect, and Web of Science (last search on the 4th February 2016). Keywords including WBV, vibration training, physiotherapy, stroke, cerebral palsy, spinal cord injury, Parkinson’s disease, multiple sclerosis (MS), Duchenne muscular dystrophy, older adults or the elderly, osteoporosis, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction, osteoarthritis, arthroplasty, and low back pain were used in various configurations. Reference lists of all retrieved articles were manually checked for additional studies. This is a narrative review, and the authors were free to choose the subset of related high-quality clinical studies based on their experience.

*Selection criteria*

Articles were checked for relevant content and were included based on the following criteria: the publication language was English; examined WBV (not locally applied vibration); the publications were published after 2007; and conference abstracts and proceedings were excluded.

**Nervous System Diseases**

Neurophysiological response to acute vibration exposure is associated with spinal reflexes (resulting in a TVR), muscle tuning mechanism, neuromuscular aspects, and central motor command (16). Different training protocols have been used in neurological patients (Table I). However, the results regarding the application of vibration training in patients with central and peripheral nervous system remain equivocal.

WBV has been used in the treatment of patients with Parkinson’s disease (1, 14, 22, 26, 32). Patients able to cope with OFF periods were qualified for the study (1). Despite different training protocols, the above-mentioned research studies exhibit quite a few common characteristics, i.e., the application of stochastic vibrations (14, 26, 32), high amplitude vibrations, i.e., 7–14 mm (1, 22), and 13 mm (14) in 5 training sessions of 60-s duration (1, 14, 26, 32). A popular tool to measure the results of a therapy in a unified manner is the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (1, 22). The obtained results confirm a significant improvement in motor symptoms compared to baseline measurements (1, 14, 22, 32). Long-term follow-up by Ebersbach et al. (22) revealed sustained improvement in the functional status of Parkinson’s patients. However, all the above authors emphasize that they did not
### Table I. A comparison of vibration characteristics between different vibration training investigations in patients with neurological disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Neurological condition</th>
<th>Vibration type</th>
<th>Frequency of vibration/peak-to-peak displacement</th>
<th>Exercise protocol</th>
<th>Type of exercise</th>
<th>Duration of training</th>
<th>Protocol for comparison group</th>
<th>Outcome measurements</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arias et al. (1)</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Side-alternating platform</td>
<td>6 Hz/not informed</td>
<td>5 reps × 1 min, 1 min rest</td>
<td>Static position with the knees slightly bent</td>
<td>5 weeks</td>
<td>Standing on the platform without WBV</td>
<td>Gait, Pegboard, Functional Reach, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale score, Berg Balance Test, PDQ-39</td>
<td>No difference between the experimental (WBV) and placebo groups in any outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chouza et al. (14)</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Stochastic whole-body vibration</td>
<td>3 Hz, 6 Hz, 9 Hz/13 mm</td>
<td>5 reps × 1 min, 1 min rest</td>
<td>Static position with the knees slightly bent</td>
<td>Acute effects of a single series of 5 bouts of WBV</td>
<td>Standing on the platform without WBV</td>
<td>Functional Reach Test, Timed Up and Go</td>
<td>No difference in mobility and balance between the experimental (WBV) and placebo groups for all frequencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebersbach et al. (22)</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Side-alternating vibration platform</td>
<td>25 Hz/7–14 mm</td>
<td>2 sessions a day (15 min each)</td>
<td>Static stance with slightly bended knees and hips without holding onto the railing</td>
<td>3 weeks, 5 days a week</td>
<td>Standard therapy and conventional balance training (exercises on a tilt board)</td>
<td>Tinetti Balance Scale score, stand-walk-start test, walking velocity, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale score, pull test, dynamic posturography</td>
<td>Dynamic posturography only improved in patients with WBV in comparison to controls (conventional balance training). No difference between the WBV and control groups in other outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallner et al. (26)</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Random whole-body vibration</td>
<td>6 Hz/3 mm</td>
<td>5 sets × 60 s, 60 s rest</td>
<td>Static position in a double supported stable stance with the knees slightly bent</td>
<td>5 weeks (12 sessions on non-consecutive days, 2–3 times a week)</td>
<td>Standing on the platform without WBV (the vibration was just simulated by an audible and noticeable signal)</td>
<td>Functional Reach Test, step-walk-turn task, biomechanical Gait Analysis, Timed Up and Go Test, one leg stance, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale Motor Score</td>
<td>Significantly better performance in the Functional Reach Test and in the Timed Up and Go Test in the experimental group in comparison to the placebo group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pang et al. (47)</td>
<td>Chronic stroke</td>
<td>Vertical whole-body vibration</td>
<td>20–30 Hz/0.44–0.6 mm</td>
<td>6 bouts with gradual increase in time duration (1.5–2.5 min)</td>
<td>Side-to-side weight shift, semi-squat, forward lunge, standing on one leg, deep squat</td>
<td>8 weeks, 3 days per week (24 sessions)</td>
<td>The same exercises without WBV</td>
<td>Chedoke McMaster Stroke Assessment, Minnesota Leisure-Time Physical Activity questionnaire, bone turnover markers (serum level of C-telopeptide of type I collagen cross-links, alkaline phosphatase), concentric and eccentric knee extensor and flexor power, the Modified Ashworth scale, the Visual Analog Scale</td>
<td>Significantly reduced spasticity of the paretic knee in the WBV group, but not in controls. No difference in levels of bone turnover markers, knee muscle strength and paretic leg motor function between the experimental (WBV) and control groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Neurological condition</th>
<th>Vibration type</th>
<th>Frequency of vibration/peak-to-peak displacement</th>
<th>Exercise protocol</th>
<th>Type of exercise</th>
<th>Duration of training</th>
<th>Protocol for comparison group</th>
<th>Outcome measurements</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brogårdh et al. (9)</td>
<td>Chronic stroke</td>
<td>Vertical whole-body vibration</td>
<td>25 Hz/3.75 mm</td>
<td>4-12 reps of 40-60 s WBV per session, 1 min rest</td>
<td>Static position with the knees flexed to 45°–60° and with handhold support, if needed</td>
<td>6 weeks, 2 sessions a week</td>
<td>The control group trained on a “placebo” vibrating platform (0.2 mm amplitude)</td>
<td>Isokinetic and isometric knee muscle strength, Berg Balance Scale, Modified Ashworth Scale, Timed Up and Go Test, comfortable gait speed, fast gait speed, six-minute walk tests, Stroke Impact Scale</td>
<td>No significant difference between the experimental (WBV) and control groups in any outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankisheva et al. (63)</td>
<td>Chronic stroke</td>
<td>Vertical whole-body vibration</td>
<td>35–40 Hz/1.7–2.5 mm</td>
<td>5–17 reps per exercise × 30–60 s</td>
<td>High squat (knee flexion of 50°–60°), deep squat (knee flexion of 90°), wide-stance squat, and 1-legged squat</td>
<td>6 weeks, 3 times a week (min rest of 1 day between the sessions)</td>
<td>Control group not involved in any additional training program</td>
<td>The Ashworth Scale, isometric and isokinetic muscle strength of the quadriceps and hamstrings, the Sensory Organization Test (static and dynamic postural control)</td>
<td>Significant increase in isometric knee extension strength (knee angle 60°) in isokinetic knee extension strength (velocity 240°/s) for the paretic leg after WBV. Significant improvement in postural control after WBV (when the patients had normal vision and a sway-referenced support surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva et al. (59)</td>
<td>Patients with hemiparesis after stroke</td>
<td>Triplanar whole-body vibration</td>
<td>50 Hz/2 mm</td>
<td>4 reps × 1 min, 1 min rest</td>
<td>The orthostatic position with the knees flexed to 30°–90° with bipedal support and unipedal support on the affected limb</td>
<td>Acute effects of 4 bouts of WBV</td>
<td>Standing on the floor with their knees flexed to 30° and with the hands against a table for 10 min for support</td>
<td>The motor function section of the Fugl-Meyer Assessment, the Mini-Mental State Examination, surface electromyography of the tibialis anterior and rectus femoris, the Stair-Climb Test, the six-minute walk test, the Timed Get-Up-and-Go Test</td>
<td>Significantly better performance in the six-minute walk test and Timed Get-Up-and-Go Test. No evidence of effects in other outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al. (37)</td>
<td>Chronic stroke</td>
<td>Vertical whole-body vibration</td>
<td>20-30 Hz/0.44–0.60 mm</td>
<td>6 reps × 1.5 min to 2.5 min × 3–4.5 min rest</td>
<td>Side-to-side weight shift, semi-squat, forward and backward, weight shift, forward lunge, standing on one leg, deep squat</td>
<td>8 weeks, 3 times a week</td>
<td>The same exercises without vibration</td>
<td>Berg balance scale, ten-meter walk test, six-minute walk test, knee muscle isokinetic strength, activities-specific balance confidence scale</td>
<td>No difference in neuromotor performance and reducing the incidence of falls between the experimental (WBV) and control groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broekmans et al. (8)</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>Vertical whole-body vibration</td>
<td>25–45 Hz/2.5 mm</td>
<td>Series of 1 exercise: 1–3, different knee-extensor exercises: 2–5×30–60 s, 120–30 s rest</td>
<td>Static and dynamic leg squats and lunges: high (knee angle between 120° and 130°) and deep squats (knee angle 90°), wide stance squats, lunges and heel rise</td>
<td>20 weeks (5 training sessions per 2-week cycle)</td>
<td>The control group maintained their usual lifestyle</td>
<td>Maximal isometric torque, maximal dynamic torque and maximal strength endurance of knee-muscle, maximal speed of movement of knee-extension, Berg balance scale, Timed Up and Go Test, two-minute walk test and the timed 25-foot walk test</td>
<td>Leg muscle performance and functional capacity were not statistically different following 10 or 20 weeks of WBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfsegger et al. (71)</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>Multidirectional stochastic whole-body vibration</td>
<td>2.5–5 Hz/not informed</td>
<td>5–7 sets×45–60 s exercise, 60–30 s rest</td>
<td>Squat position with slight flexion at the hip, knee and ankle joints</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>The same exercises without vibration as the vibration platform was covered with a stable wooden cover panel</td>
<td>Gait function (gait velocity, stride length, double support phase, single-step variability left and right)</td>
<td>No significant difference in any outcome measures of gait function in the WBV and placebo groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ness et al. (46)</td>
<td>Spinal cord injury</td>
<td>Vertical whole-body vibration</td>
<td>50 Hz/2–4 mm</td>
<td>4 bouts×45 s, 1 min seated rest</td>
<td>Static position with the knees flexed to 30°</td>
<td>4 weeks, 3 days per week</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
<td>Walking speed, cadence, step length, and hip angle-to-knee angle intralimb coordination</td>
<td>Statistically significant increases in walking speed, in cadence, and both the stronger and weaker legs exhibited increased step length and improved consistency of intralimb coordination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
find any superior effect of WBV in patients with Parkinson’s disease compared to non-WBV groups (1, 14, 26), and a group with conventional balance training (22). Arias et al. (1) concluded that the reported amelioration of Parkinsonian symptoms attributed to vibration was most probably due to a placebo response.

Since mechanical vibrations might affect reflex activity through influence on spinal motor neuron excitability (45), they have also been used to reduce spasticity in patients with cerebral palsy (21), motor-incomplete spinal cord injury (45, 46), and post-stroke mild to moderate motor impairment (9, 37, 47). However, in the study of Tankisheva et al. (63) muscle spasticity was not affected by vibration. Motor function in spastic structures was usually assessed by the Ashworth Scale (9, 58, 63), Berg Balance Scale (9, 37), or three-dimensional gait analysis (21, 46).

The most recent study (38) indicates that WBV exercises do not pose any substantial cardiovascular hazard in individuals with chronic stroke. The investigators of randomized controlled trials designed to examine the effects of WBV in post-stroke populations mainly used vertical WBV programs (37, 47) spanning 6–8 weeks (9, 28, 37, 47, 63); the study subjects mostly underwent vibration 3 times a week (37, 47, 63) at frequencies of 20–50 Hz (37, 47, 59, 63). Patients with chronic stroke (onset ≥ 6 months) and with mild to moderate disability were qualified for the investigations (9, 37, 40, 47). They were medically stable and able to stand independently with or without aids for at least 1.5 min (47). Most of the reports indicate that WBV has little (47, 59, 63) or no effect (9, 37, 40) on function improvement in hemiplegic patients. However, the most recent randomized controlled pilot study suggests that WBV training can reduce knee hyperextension in stroke patients (28).

Vibration platforms are more and more often used in rehabilitation centers for patients with MS, although the results of research studies have not unequivocally confirmed the effectiveness of WBV in those patients (8). Individuals with mild to moderate impairment were enrolled in these studies; Expended Disability Status Scale scores were 4.3 (8), 5.5 (15), and 3.5 (41). Jackson et al. (29) attempted to establish the optimum WBV frequency in patients with MS. They did not reveal significant differences between the effects of 2- and 26-Hz WBV on lower extremity muscle strength; however, based on the obtained results, the authors have suggested that the 26-Hz WBV with vibration amplitude of 6 mm seems more beneficial for persons with MS (29). Other researchers working with MS patients used the following vibration parameters: 2–20 Hz/2 mm (23), 40 Hz/2 mm (58), and 25–45 Hz/2.5 mm (8); WBV was applied several times a week for 20 weeks (8), 8 weeks (41), and 3 weeks (15). The discrepancies between the results obtained in MS patients are considerable: Ebrahimi et al. (23) concluded that low intensity exercise and WBV training have some positive effects on functional and physical indices, but no beneficial impact on the quality of life and fatigue; Claerbout et al. (15) noted significant improvement in lower extremity muscle strength, but no significant functionality changes; and Broekmans et al. (8) did not observe any beneficial effect of WBV on leg muscle performance and function. The most recent study (67) suggests that 12 weeks of WBV training protocol are feasible with low drop-out rate of 11.1% and high compliance of 90% for ambulatory people with MS.

**Pediatrics**

Along with traditional physiotherapy approaches (Bobath/NDT, Vojta method, and conventional physiotherapy interventions), resistance training, body weight supported treadmill
training, and pool therapy, WBV is now comprised in a new physiotherapy concept “On your feet” used in children with bilateral spastic cerebral palsy. The therapy combines the bone-mass harnessing approach of WBV and individually adjusted WBV settings (vibration amplitude: 0–3.9 mm, frequency: 5–25 Hz). After 6 months of training, bone mineral density, muscle force, and gross motor function all improved significantly in children diagnosed with spastic quadriplegia or diplegia. WBV training is not a part of the standard cerebral palsy rehabilitation, but the researchers encourage its implementation in the rehabilitation procedure (61).

Pediatric therapists tend to select vibration platforms with central axis; the opposite sides of the oscillatory plate rise and fall alternately (44, 57, 60), which is consistent with the guidelines of the “On your feet” concept (61). Exercises on a vibration platform are safe, feasible, and well tolerated by children with neurological disorders (44, 57, 60) as confirmed by high compliance in children with cerebral palsy (adherence rate of 78–94%) (57).

According to the most recent reports, side-alternating vibration therapy is safe and well tolerated among children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (44, 60). The absence of significant changes in serum creatine kinase, a marker of muscle damage, indicates no adverse effects of WBV on body muscles (44, 60). Some other authors observed a 56% increase in creatine kinase in children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy after the first day of vibration training (69). Since the temporary increase in creatine kinase was not related to clinical symptoms or deterioration, the researchers attributed it to muscle reaction to a new stimulus and/or stretching exercises. When treating children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy, Söderpalm et al. (60) used a 12-week program of side-alternating vibration training (frequency in the range of 16–24 Hz and peak-to-peak displacement of 4 mm; exposure 2–3 times a week). No significant changes were observed with respect to bone mass, muscle density, muscle strength, and biochemical markers of bone and mineral metabolism. Nevertheless, the authors emphasize a nonsignificant trend for the bone formation marker, bone-specific alkaline phosphate, to increase after 3 months of WBV, which might indicate an increase in bone formation. Some patients reported subjective improvement during the training period, i.e., easier stair climbing up and down, fewer falls and better balance (69). Although objective measurements did not reveal statistically significant changes in functional tests, muscle strength, and angular degree of dorsiflexion of the ankles after an 8-week vibration training program, the authors recommend the addition of home-based WBV to therapy due to high motivation on the part of the children to perform exercises on the vibration platform (69).

Geriatrics

Vibration training has been used for prophylactic and therapeutic purposes in geriatric patients although, based on a critical review, Mikhael et al. (42) have questioned the efficacy of WBV in older adults. Rittweger (52) suggests that acute neurophysiological responses to vibration, except for the reflex contraction, are weakened by old age.

The mean age of patients qualified for the studies on older adults or the elderly was different: 83.2 years (2), 67.1 years (7), and 79.6 years (6). The time period of vibration training varied from short, i.e., 8 weeks (51, 72) to long-lasting, i.e., 12 months (7, 33). The majority of the training programs was carried out 3 times a week (2, 7, 42). Exercises on a
Vibration platform are considered safe and feasible for older individuals (18, 49, 71); numerous researchers also emphasize the beneficial effect of WBV on physical and functional performance thereof (7, 33, 71).

Vibration training enhances cardiorespiratory effort in older people. Although, depending on exercise intensity, heart rate increased by 62–80% (7), WBV training participants did not complain of physical exertion as opposed to those assigned to the conventional fitness training program. In the study of Perchthaler et al. (49), the subjectively perceived exertion of WBV exercises ranged between 7 and 13 on the 20-point Borg scale.

Cristi et al. (18) observed that WBV training increased physical performance of older adults without altering the levels of inflammatory markers. According to the recent reports, WBV training lasting several week increases muscle strength (42), improves muscle quality characteristics (33), static balance (51), and VO2max (7), increases the height of a counter movement jump (49), and maximal voluntary isometric contraction (18). Other authors also noted similar beneficial effects of traditional resistance or aerobic training and WBV on physical performance of older individuals (7, 33).

Not all researchers confirm the beneficial effect of WBV on the aging body (2, 6, 49). Several weeks of vibration training did not reduce fall risk (2, 6); it also did not affect postural control as evaluated by computerized posturography (6), lower leg muscle cross-sectional area (42), isokinetic maximal strength, mean power, and work values in knee extension or flexion (49). Beaudart et al. (2) hypothesize that no impact of vibration training on the risk of falls might have been due to exposure parameters, i.e., short duration of a single exercise session (15 s) and low vibration intensity (30 Hz/2 mm).

Bogaerts et al. (7) emphasize that, compared to conventional resistance training, WBV is more pleasant for older individuals, exercise duration is shorter and movement technique uncomplicated. A 3- and 12-month training was discontinued by 19.4% and 23% of the participants in the study of Beaudart et al. (2) and Bogaerts et al. (7), respectively. A 1-year post-intervention follow-up revealed that the majority of those who discontinued WBV training had lost most of their gains in muscle volume (33).

Osteoporosis is among the adverse processes associated with aging and postmenopause. There are literature reports on the use of WBV in postmenopausal osteopenic women and in prevention of osteoporosis (62, 66). Mostly postmenopausal women (36, 62, 66) and quite rarely older men (27) were enrolled in the most recent research studies. Not all women had been diagnosed with osteopenia or osteoporosis (36, 66). The density of the hip bone (68), lumbar spine (36), or the tibia (62) was assessed with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and/or peripheral quantitative computed tomography (36, 62, 68). There were several differences between training programs: both vertical (66) and side-alternating WBV (62) were used; training duration ranged from 11 weeks (27) to 9 months (62); uniform vibration parameters were applied (66) or, if needed, changed according to overload principle (62, 68). Study participants most often performed static and/or dynamic exercises, including squats and standing (27, 62, 68). The importance of correct training program selection (frequency of exposure) was emphasized by Turner et al. (66); only one among WBV training protocols used in their study led to a meaningful change in bone turnover. Of clinical importance might be that 8-week low-frequency, low-magnitude vibration training resulted in a significant reduction in N-telopeptide X/Creatinine, which is a marker of bone resorption (66). However, the most recent research of Gómez-Cabello et al. (27) did not confirm the beneficial effects of WBV on the anabolic activity of bone tissue. Verschueren et al. (68), on the other hand, found a significant 0.75% increase in total-hip BMD while
Lai et al. (36) noted a 2.032% increase in lumbar BMD after a WBV program. It has been emphasized, however, that a similar effect was obtained in women with vitamin D supplementation (68) or balance training (62).

**Orthopedics**

WBV training is considered safe and effective in rehabilitation after injury of the ACL (4, 25, 43). The patients who tore their ACL, with or without concomitant meniscal injury, can start the vibration therapy as early as two weeks after surgery (4). Two types of vibration platforms were used in the study: vertical vibration (25, 43) and side-alternating platforms (4), set at a frequency range 30–50 Hz and 10–30 Hz, respectively. There has been good evidence for effectiveness of WBV training in the ACL-rehabilitation protocols. The literature reports an improvement in the accuracy of knee joint proprioception and postural stability in athletes after ACL reconstruction (43) and beneficial impact on muscle performance (25) as a result of vibration training. Study of Berschin et al. (4) revealed that after ten weeks of vibration training, the WBV group reached equal results compared to control group with standard rehabilitation exercise protocol in terms of knee joint stability, subjective quality of life and muscle strength; however, the experimental group showed significant improvement in postural control (4).

WBV training is a safe method for rehabilitation of knee osteoarthritis (64, 70) as no adverse effects are reported by the patients (70). Compared to regular strength training, WBV causes lower loads on the affected joint due to low joint dynamics during exercise (64). However, the results regarding the application of vibration training in patients with knee osteoarthritis remain equivocal. An 8-week WBV-exercise program on a balance board with a built-in vibrating device resulted in knee proprioception improvement as assessed based on threshold for detection of passive movement (64). Long-term vibration training (24 weeks, 5 days/week) in combination with quadriceps resistance exercise has benefits on functions and quality of life in patients with knee osteoarthritis (70). According to Park et al. (48), there was no significant difference between the experimental group (WBV and home-based exercise) and the control group (home-based exercise) on quadriceps muscle strength after 8 weeks of intervention.

Knee joint arthroplasty is an intervention for severe symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee joint. WBV training may be used in the rehabilitation of individuals after total knee arthroplasty, although it did not result in greater improvements in muscle strength, muscle activation, or mobility than traditional progressive resistance exercise (30).

Based on available literature reports, it can be concluded that exposure to high levels of WBV is associated with higher risk of low back pain and sciatica (11). Nevertheless, several researchers investigated whether WBV was feasible for patients with chronic low back pain (19, 50). The literature review indicates that the effectiveness of WBV as a treatment for low back pain remains equivocal (50). The study of del Pozo-Cruz et al. (19), on the other hand, showed statistically significant improvement in the main outcome measures for chronic nonspecific low back pain after a 12-week low-frequency vibrating board therapy. The platform generated side-alternating oscillations of the whole body; the training was performed twice a week. After the completion of the study, the Oswestry Index (the percentage of disability attributable to back pain) improved by 25.15%. No adverse effects were noted in the WBV group as confirmed by 100% compliance with the treatment.
Inappropriately used WBV may have harmful effects on the human body (13). Contraindications to WBV therapy must be taken into consideration; hence, doctors and physiotherapists should be consulted prior to the implementation of vibration exposure.

**Conclusion**

The complexity of the processes to make up for functional and structural losses as well as divergence in WBV training protocols used in patients diagnosed with different dysfunctions causes doubts concerning the otherwise interesting and promising physiotherapeutic WBV. The knowledge on the subject is still unsatisfactory, and the published results are inconsistent. The literature contains reports presenting beneficial effects of WBV, no effects or lack of differences between the study and control groups irrespective of the exposure protocol used. Therefore, further multidirectional and multicenter, randomized post-intervention follow-up studies are needed to determine the ultimate effects of WBV in patients with different dysfunctions. Nevertheless, literature data also indicate that, despite of its ultimate effects, WBV is a safe, less tiring, and less time-consuming modality of rehabilitation exercises than a standard muscle strengthening protocol.
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