

## NORMALITY IN GROUP RINGS

V. A. BOVDI AND S. SICILIANO

*Dedicated to Professor P. M. Gudivok on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Let  $KG$  be the group ring of a group  $G$  over a commutative ring  $K$  with unity. The rings  $KG$  are described for which  $xx^\sigma = x^\sigma x$  for all  $x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in KG$ , where  $x \mapsto x^\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g f(g)\sigma(g)$  is an involution of  $KG$ ; here  $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$  is a homomorphism and  $\sigma$  is an antiautomorphism of order two of  $G$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring with unity. We denote by  $U(R)$  the group of units of  $R$ . A (bijective) map  $\diamond : R \rightarrow R$  is called an *involution* if for all  $a, b \in R$  we have  $(a + b)^\diamond = a^\diamond + b^\diamond$ ,  $(ab)^\diamond = b^\diamond \cdot a^\diamond$  and  $a^{\diamond^2} = a$ . Let  $KG$  be the group ring of a group  $G$  over a commutative ring  $K$  with unity, let  $\sigma$  be an antiautomorphism of order two of  $G$ , and let  $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$  be a homomorphism from  $G$  onto  $U(K)$ . For an element  $x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in KG$ , we define  $x^\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g f(g)\sigma(g) \in KG$ . Clearly,  $x \mapsto x^\sigma$  is an involution of  $KG$  if and only if  $g\sigma(g) \in \text{Ker } f = \{h \in G \mid f(h) = 1\}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

The ring  $KG$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -normal if

$$(1) \quad xx^\sigma = x^\sigma x$$

for each  $x \in KG$ . The properties of the classical involution  $x \mapsto x^*$  (where  $* : g \mapsto g^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$ ) and the properties of normal group rings (i.e.,  $xx^* = x^*x$  for each  $x \in KG$ ) have been used actively for the investigation of the group of units  $U(KG)$  of the group ring  $KG$  (see [1, 2]). Moreover, they also have important applications in topology (see [7, 8]). Our aim is to describe the structure of the  $\sigma$ -normal group ring  $KG$  for an arbitrary order 2 antiautomorphism  $\sigma$  of the group  $G$ . Note that descriptions of the classical normal group rings and the twisted group rings were obtained in [1, 3] and [4, 5], respectively.

The notation used throughout the paper is essentially standard.  $C_n$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $n$ ;  $\zeta(G)$  and  $C_G(H)$  are the center of the group  $G$  and the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$ , respectively;  $(g, h) = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh = g^{-1}g^h$  ( $g, h \in G$ );  $\gamma_i(G)$  is the  $i$ th term of the lower central series of  $G$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_1(G) = G$  and  $\gamma_{i+1}(G) = (\gamma_i(G), G)$  for  $i \geq 1$ ;  $\Phi(G)$  denotes the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ . We say that  $G = A \Upsilon B$  is a central product of its subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  if  $A$  and  $B$  commute elementwise and, taken together, they generate  $G$ , provided that  $A \cap B$  is a subgroup of  $\zeta(G)$ .

A non-Abelian 2-generated nilpotent group  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$  with an antiautomorphism  $\sigma$  of order 2 is called a  $\sigma$ -group if  $G'$  has order 2,  $\sigma(a) = a(a, b)$ , and  $\sigma(b) = b(a, b)$ .

Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem.** *Let  $KG$  be the noncommutative group ring of a group  $G$  over a commutative ring  $K$  and  $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$  a homomorphism. Assume that  $\sigma$  is an antiautomorphism of order two of  $G$  such that  $x \mapsto x^\sigma$  is an involution of  $KG$ . Put  $\mathfrak{R}(G) = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S34.

*Key words and phrases.* Group ring, normality.

This research was supported by OTKA no. T 037202 and no. T 038059.

The group ring  $KG$  is  $\sigma$ -normal if and only if  $f : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $G$ ,  $K$ , and  $\sigma$  satisfy one of the following conditions:

(i)  $G$  has an Abelian subgroup  $H$  of index 2 such that  $G = \langle H, b \rangle$ ,  $f(b) = -1$ ,  $f(h) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(b) = b$ , and  $\sigma(h) = b^{-1}hb = bhb^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$ ;

(ii)  $G = H \mathbf{Y} \mathfrak{C}$  is a central product of a  $\sigma$ -group  $H = \langle a, b \rangle$  and an Abelian group  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $G' = \langle c \mid c^2 = 1 \rangle$  and  $H \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ . Moreover, either  $\sigma(d) = d$  for all  $d \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C} \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}(G) = \zeta(G)$ , and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \langle a\zeta(G), b\zeta(G) \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2,$$

or  $\mathfrak{R}(G)$  is of index 2 in  $\zeta(G)$  and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \langle g\mathfrak{R}(G), h\mathfrak{R}(G), d\mathfrak{R}(G) \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2,$$

where  $d \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\sigma(d) = dc$ , and  $f(d) = -1$ ;

(iii)  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ ,  $G = S \mathbf{Y} \mathfrak{C}$  is a central product of  $S = \mathbf{Y}_{i=1}^n H_i$  and an Abelian group  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $H_i = \langle a_i, b_i \rangle$  is a  $\sigma$ -group and  $G = \text{Ker}(f)$ . Moreover,  $G' = \langle c \mid c^2 = 1 \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , where  $n$  is not necessarily a finite number,  $\sigma(a_i) = a_i c$ ,  $\sigma(b_i) = b_i c$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\exp(G/\mathfrak{R}(G)) = 2$ .

Furthermore, if  $n$  is finite, then either  $\sigma(d) = d$  for all  $d \in \mathfrak{C}$  and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \bigtimes_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \zeta(G), b_i \zeta(G) \rangle \cong \bigtimes_{i=1}^{2n} C_2,$$

or  $\mathfrak{R}(G)$  is of index 2 in  $\zeta(G)$  and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \bigtimes_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \mathfrak{R}(G), b_i \mathfrak{R}(G) \rangle \times \langle d \mathfrak{R}(G) \rangle \cong \bigtimes_{i=1}^{2n+1} C_2,$$

where  $d \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\sigma(d) = dc$ .

Note that, in parts (i) and (ii) of the theorem, the group  $\mathfrak{C}$  may be equal to 1.

To make the statements less cumbersome, in what follows we shall often talk of  $\sigma$ -normal group rings  $KG$  without specifying the homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$  and the antiautomorphism  $\sigma$  of order two of  $G$ . In order to prove the main theorem, we need some preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $U(R)$  be the group of units of the ring  $R$ , and let  $x \mapsto x^\diamond$  be an involution of  $R$ . Suppose that  $xx^\diamond = x^\diamond x$  for all  $x \in R$ . If  $a \in U(R)$ , then  $a^\diamond = ta$ ,  $at = ta$ , and  $t^\diamond = t^{-1}$ , where  $t \in U(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $a^\diamond = at$  for some  $t \in U(R)$ , and  $a^\diamond a = aa^\diamond$  implies that  $ata = a^{2t}$  and  $at = ta$ . Now  $a = a^{\diamond^2} = (at)^\diamond = t^\diamond at = t^\diamond ta$ , whence  $t^\diamond = t^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $K$  be a commutative ring, let  $H = \langle a, b \rangle$  be a non-Abelian 2-generated subgroup of a group  $G$ , and let  $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$  be a homomorphism. If the group ring  $KG$  is  $\sigma$ -normal, then  $f : H \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  and one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

(i)  $f(a) = 1$ ,  $f(b) = -1$ ,  $\sigma(a) = (a, b)a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = b$ ,  $(b^2, a) = 1$ ,  $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$ ,  $((a, b), a) = 1$ , and  $((a, b), b) = (a, b)^{-2}$ ;

(ii)  $f(a) = -1$ ,  $f(b) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(a) = a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = (a, b)b$ ,  $(b, a^2) = 1$ ,  $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$ ,  $((a, b), b) = 1$ , and  $((a, b), a) = (a, b)^{-2}$ ;

(iii)  $f(a) = f(b) = -1$ ,  $\sigma(a) = a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = b$ ,  $(a^2, b) = (a, b^2) = 1$ ,  $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$ , and  $((a, b), ab) = 1$ ;

(iv)  $f(a) = f(b) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(a) = (a, b)a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = (a, b)b$ , and  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is nilpotent of class 2 and such that  $\gamma_2(\langle a, b \rangle)$  is of order 2.

*Proof.* Let  $KG$  be a  $\sigma$ -normal ring. For any noncommutative  $a, b \in G$  we can put  $\sigma(a) = at$  and  $\sigma(b) = bs$ , where  $s, t \in G$ . By Lemma 1,  $at = ta$ ,  $bs = sb$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t^{-1}$ , and  $\sigma(s) = s^{-1}$ . Set  $x = a + b \in KG$ . Clearly,  $x^\sigma = f(a)\sigma(a) + f(b)\sigma(b)$ , and by (1) we have

$$(2) \quad f(b)a\sigma(b) + f(a)b\sigma(a) = f(a)\sigma(a)b + f(b)\sigma(b)a.$$

If  $a\sigma(b) = b\sigma(a) = \sigma(a)b = \sigma(b)a$ , then we get  $s = t$  and  $ab = ba$ , a contradiction. Observe that if three of the elements  $\{a\sigma(b), b\sigma(a), \sigma(a)b, \sigma(b)a\}$  coincide, then  $s = t$  and  $ab = ba$ , a contradiction. We consider the following cases.

1.  $a\sigma(b) = b\sigma(a)$ . By (2), it follows that

$$(3) \quad f(a) + f(b) = 0, \quad a\sigma(b) = b\sigma(a), \quad \sigma(a)b = \sigma(b)a.$$

2.  $a\sigma(b) = \sigma(a)b$ . This yields  $asb = atb$ , so that  $s = t \in \zeta(H)$ , and (2) ensures

$$(4) \quad f(a) = f(b), \quad \sigma(a) = at, \quad \sigma(b) = bt, \quad t \in \zeta(H).$$

3.  $a\sigma(b) = \sigma(b)a$ . Since  $b\sigma(b) = \sigma(b)b$ , we get  $\sigma(b) \in \zeta(H)$ , a contradiction.

Now put  $x = a(1 + b)$ . Then  $x^\sigma = (1 + f(b)\sigma(b))f(a)\sigma(a)$  and, by (1),

$$(5) \quad f(ab)a\sigma(ab) + f(a)ab\sigma(a) = f(a)\sigma(a)ab + f(ab)\sigma(ab)a.$$

We shall treat the following cases separately.

1.  $a\sigma(ab) = ab\sigma(a)$ . Formula (5) implies that

$$(6) \quad f(b) = -1, \quad \sigma(b) = b, \quad (\sigma(a)a) \cdot b = b \cdot (\sigma(a)a).$$

2.  $a\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)ab$  and  $ab\sigma(a) = \sigma(ab)a$ . By (1) we have  $ab = \sigma(b)a$  and  $\sigma(b) = aba^{-1}$ . Since  $a\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)ab$ , we get  $aba^{-1}at = atb$  and  $(b, t) = 1$ . Recall that  $\sigma(b) = bs = sb$ . So, by (5),

$$(7) \quad f(b) = 1, \quad \sigma(b) = aba^{-1}, \quad t \in \zeta(H), \quad s = (a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = (b, a^{-1}).$$

3.  $a\sigma(b)\sigma(a) = \sigma(b)\sigma(a)a$ . Then  $a\sigma(b) = \sigma(b)a$  and  $\sigma(b) \in \zeta(H)$ , a contradiction.

Assume that (3) and (6) are true. Then  $f(b) = -1$ ,  $f(a) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(b) = b$ ,  $\sigma(a) = b^{-1}ab = bab^{-1}$ , whence  $(b^2, a) = 1$ . Since  $\sigma(a) = a(a^{-1}b^{-1}ab) = (bab^{-1}a^{-1})a$ , we get  $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab = bab^{-1}a^{-1}$  and  $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$ . Obviously,

$$b^{-1}(a, b)b = b^{-1}(bab^{-1}a^{-1})b = ab^{-1}a^{-1}b = aba^{-1}b^{-1} = (a, b)^{-1},$$

so that  $((a, b), b) = (a, b)^{-2}$ , and statement (i) of our lemma follows.

If (3) and (7) are fulfilled, then  $f(b) = 1$ ,  $f(a) = -1$ ,  $\sigma(a) = a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = a^{-1}ba$ , and  $(a^2, b) = 1$ . Since  $\sigma(b) = b(b^{-1}a^{-1}ba) = (aba^{-1}b^{-1})b$ , we obtain  $s = b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  and  $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(a) = a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = a^{-1}ba$ ,  $(a^2, b) = 1$ , and we arrive at statement (ii).

Assume (4) and (6). Then  $f(a) = f(b) = -1$ ,  $\sigma(a) = a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = b$ , and  $(a^2, b) = 1$ . Moreover,  $f(ab) = 1$  and  $\sigma(ab) = ba = a^{-1}(ab)a$ . We put  $x = b(1 + a)$ . Clearly,  $x^\sigma = (a - 1)b$ , and (1) implies  $b^2a + b^2a^2 = ab^2a + ab^2$ , whence  $(b^2, a) = 1$ . Thus, statement (iii) of our lemma is fulfilled.

Finally, if (4) and (7) are true, then  $f(a) = f(b) = 1$  and  $(b, a^{-1}) \in \zeta(H)$ . Using the identity  $(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = (\alpha, \gamma)(\alpha, \gamma, \beta)(\beta, \gamma)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$ , we see that  $1 = (a^{-1}a, b) = (a^{-1}, b)(a, b)$ , whence  $s = (b, a^{-1}) = (a, b) \in \zeta(H)$  and  $\sigma(a) = (a, b)a$ ,  $\sigma(b) = (a, b)b$ . Since  $a = \sigma^2(a)$  and  $(a, b) \in \zeta(H)$ , we have  $(a, b)^2 = 1$ , which yields statement (iv). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $KG$  be a  $\sigma$ -normal group ring of a non-Abelian group  $G$ . Then  $H = \langle w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w \rangle$  is a normal subgroup in  $G$ . If  $H$  is Abelian, then  $G$  satisfies statement (i) of the theorem.*

*Proof.* Set  $W = \{w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w\}$ . Let  $g \notin W$  be such that  $g^2 \notin \zeta(G)$ . Then  $(g^2, h) \neq 1$  and  $(g, h) \neq 1$ , respectively, for some  $h \in G$ .

We consider the following cases.

1.  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ . Since  $\sigma(g^2) = g^2$ , we can use Lemma 2 for the group  $\langle g^2, h \rangle$  to show that  $-1 = f(g^2) = (\pm 1)^2 = 1$ , a contradiction.

2.  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ . Using Lemma 2 for  $\langle g, h \rangle$ , we get  $(g^2, h) = 1$ , again a contradiction.

Thus,  $g^2 \in \zeta(G)$  for any  $g \notin W$ . Now, if  $w \in W$ ,  $g \in G \setminus W$ , and  $g^{-1}wg \notin W$ , then  $\sigma(g^{-1}wg) = g^{-1}wg$  and

$$g^{-1}wg = \sigma(g^{-1}wg) = g\sigma(w)g^{-2}g = g^{-1}\sigma(w)g$$

so that  $\sigma(w) = w$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $g^{-1}wg \in W$  and the subgroup  $H = \langle W \rangle$  is normal in  $G$ .

Suppose that  $H = \langle W \rangle$  is Abelian. If  $a \in W$  and  $c \in C_G(W) \setminus H$ , then  $ca \notin H$ . Therefore,  $ca = \sigma(ca) = \sigma(a)c$ , whence  $\sigma(a) = a$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $C_G(W) = H$  and for each  $b \notin H$  there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $(b, w) \neq 1$ .

We claim that if  $b_1, b_2 \in G \setminus H$ , then  $b_1b_2 \in H$ . The following cases will be treated separately:

1.  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$  and  $b_1b_2 \in G \setminus H$ . For each  $b_i$  we choose  $w_i \in W$  such that  $(b_i, w_i) \neq 1$ . By (i) or (ii) of Lemma 2, in  $\langle w_i, b_i \rangle$  we have  $f(b_i) = -1$ , so that  $f(b_1b_2) = 1$  and there exists  $w \in W$  for which  $(b_1b_2, w) \neq 1$ . Since  $\sigma(b_1b_2) = b_1b_2$ , by (i) or (ii) of Lemma 2 we get  $f(b_1b_2) = -1$ , a contradiction.

2.  $\text{char}(K) = 2$  and  $b_1b_2 \in G \setminus H$ . Obviously,  $b_1b_2 = \sigma(b_1b_2) = b_2b_1$ , whence  $(b_1, b_2) = 1$ . Now, there is  $w \in W$  with  $(w, b_1) \neq 1$ , and by Lemma 2 we get  $\sigma(w) = b_1^{-1}wb_1 = b_1wb_1^{-1}$ . Furthermore,  $b_1b_2w \in G \setminus H$  and

$$b_1b_2w = \sigma(b_1b_2w) = \sigma(w)b_1b_2 = b_1wb_2,$$

implying  $(b_2, w) = 1$ . Now  $(b_1, b_2w) = (b_1, w) \neq 1$  and  $b_2w \in G \setminus H$ ; applying Lemma 2 in  $\langle b_1, b_2w \rangle$ , we obtain  $b_2w = \sigma(b_2w) = \sigma(w)b_2$  and  $\sigma(w) = w$ , a contradiction.

We have proved that  $b_1b_2 \in H$  for every  $b_1, b_2 \in G \setminus H$ . Hence,  $G = \langle H, b \mid b \notin H, b^2 \in H \rangle$ ,  $f(b) = -1$ , and  $f(h) = 1$  for all  $h \in H$ .

Finally, let  $w \in W$  be such that  $(b, w) = 1$ . Since  $b \notin H = C_G(W)$ , there exists  $w_1 \in W$  with  $w_1 \neq b^{-1}w_1b = \sigma(w_1)$ . Clearly, we have  $(ww_1, b) \neq 1$ ; using Lemma 2 for  $\langle ww_1, b \rangle$ , we obtain

$$\sigma(w)\sigma(w_1) = \sigma(w_1w) = b^{-1}w_1wb = \sigma(w_1)w,$$

whence  $\sigma(w) = w$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $b^{-1}hb = \sigma(h)$  for all  $h \in H$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $KG$  be a  $\sigma$ -normal group ring, let  $W = \{w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w\}$ , and let  $a, b \in W$  be such that  $(a, b) \neq 1$ . Put  $\mathfrak{R} = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$  and  $\mathfrak{C} = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle)$ . Then  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is a  $\sigma$ -group,  $\Phi(\langle a, b \rangle) = \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle) = \{g \in \langle a, b \rangle \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$ , and*

$$\sigma(g) = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } g \in \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle), \\ g(a, b) & \text{if } g \notin \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle). \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $G = \langle a, b \rangle \rtimes \mathfrak{C}$ , and either  $\sigma(c) = (a, b)c$ , or  $\sigma(c) = c$ , where  $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Also, the following is true:

- (i) if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is Abelian, then  $G$  satisfies statement (ii) of the theorem;
- (ii) if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not Abelian, then  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in W$  satisfy  $(a, b) \neq 1$ . By Lemma 2,  $f(a) = f(b) = 1$ ,  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is nilpotent of class 2 and such that  $|\gamma_2(\langle a, b \rangle)| = 2$ , and  $\sigma(a) = b^{-1}ab$ ,  $\sigma(b) = a^{-1}ba$ . Thus  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is a  $\sigma$ -group. Any element  $g \in \langle a, b \rangle$  can be written as  $g = a^i b^j (a, b)^k$ , where  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\sigma(g) = g$ , we conclude that  $i$  and  $j$  are even. Now by [6, Theorems 10.4.1 and 10.4.3] we obtain

$$\Phi(\langle a, b \rangle) = \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle) = \{g \in \langle a, b \rangle \mid \sigma(g) = g\}.$$

Suppose  $c \in W$  and  $(a, c) \neq 1$ . Again by Lemma 2,  $\langle a, c \rangle$  is nilpotent of class 2 and  $\sigma(a) = c^{-1}ac = b^{-1}ab$ , so that  $(a, b) = (a, c)$ . Now, let  $c, d \in W$  be such that  $(c, d) \neq 1$  and  $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Obviously,  $(ac, b) = (a, b) \neq 1$  and  $(ac, d) = (c, d) \neq 1$ . By Lemma 2,  $\sigma(ac) = b^{-1}(ac)b = d^{-1}(ac)d$  and  $(a, b) = (c, d)$ , which shows that  $H'$  has order two and is central in  $G$ .

Let  $g \in G \setminus \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$ . If  $(a, g) \neq 1$ , then, using Lemma 2 for  $\langle a, g \rangle$ , we get  $\sigma(a) = g^{-1}ag = b^{-1}ab$  and  $(a, g) = (a, b)$ . Similarly, if  $(b, g) \neq 1$ , then  $(b, g) = (a, b)$ .

The following cases are possible:

1.  $(g, a) = 1$  and  $(g, b) \neq 1$ . Then we have  $(ga, b) = (ga, a) = 1$ , which implies that  $g = (ga) \cdot a^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$ .
2.  $(g, a) \neq 1$  and  $(g, b) = 1$ . Then we have  $(gb, a) = (gb, b) = 1$ , which implies that  $g = (gb) \cdot b^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$ .
3.  $(g, a) \neq 1$  and  $(g, b) \neq 1$ . Then we have  $(gab, b) = (gab, a) = 1$ , which implies that  $g = (gab) \cdot (ab)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$ .

Since each of these cases leads to a contradiction, we have  $G = \mathfrak{C} \mathcal{Y} \langle a, b \rangle$ .

Let  $d \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus H$ . Since  $\sigma(ad) = ad$ , we get  $ad = \sigma(ad) = \sigma(a)d$ , whence  $\sigma(a) = a$ , a contradiction. Since  $G = \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$ , it follows that  $G = H = \langle W \rangle$ . If  $d \in \zeta(G) \cap W$ , then  $\sigma(ad) = ad$  and  $(ad, b) = (a, b) \neq 1$ ; using Lemma 2 for  $\langle ad, b \rangle$ , we obtain

$$-1 = f(ad) = f(a)f(d) = f(d).$$

Now, we let  $\zeta(G) \cap W = \emptyset$  and put  $x = ac + b$ , where  $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Then there exists  $d \in G$  such that  $(c, d) \neq 1$ , and Lemma 2 implies that  $f(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . Thus,  $x^\sigma = (a\sigma(c) + b)(a, b)$ , and by (1) we have  $(\sigma(c) - c)(1 - (a, b)) = 0$ . It follows that either  $\sigma(c) = c$ , or  $\text{char}(K) = 2$  and  $\sigma(c) = (a, b)c$ . Therefore, if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is Abelian, we obtain statement (ii) of the theorem.

Finally, assume that  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ . Suppose there exist  $c, d \in \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $(c, d) \neq 1$ . If  $\sigma(c) = c$ , then  $f(c) = 1$  by what has already been proved, but, by Lemma 2 in  $\langle c, d \rangle$ , we have  $f(c) = -1$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $c \in W$  and similarly  $d \in W$ . We put  $x = ac + d$ . Clearly,  $x^\sigma = ac + d(a, b)$  and  $(a, b) = 1$  by (1), a contradiction. Thus, if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not Abelian, then  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we are in a position to prove our main theorem.

*Proof of the “if” part of the theorem.* Set  $W = \{w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w\}$  and  $H = \langle W \rangle$ . If  $H$  is Abelian, then, by Lemma 3, statement (i) of the theorem is valid for  $G$ .

Suppose that  $H$  is non-Abelian and that  $a, b \in W$  satisfy  $(a, b) \neq 1$ . By Lemma 4,  $G = \langle a, b \rangle \mathcal{Y} \mathfrak{C} = \langle W \rangle$ , where  $\mathfrak{C} = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle)$ . If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is Abelian, then statement (ii) of our theorem is valid for  $G$  by Lemma 4.

Let  $c, d \in C_G(\langle a, b \rangle)$  be such that  $(c, d) \neq 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is non-Abelian). By Lemma 4, we have  $\text{char}(K) = 2$ . If  $c, d \in W$ , then, by Lemma 4,

$$G = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle) \cdot \langle a, b \rangle = C_G(\langle c, d \rangle) \cdot \langle c, d \rangle.$$

Obviously,  $C_G(\langle a, b \rangle) \cap \langle a, b \rangle \subseteq \zeta(G)$ . Therefore,  $G$  contains the subgroup  $H_2 = \langle a, b \rangle \mathcal{Y} \langle c, d \rangle$ , which cannot be a direct product because  $G'$  has order 2.

Since  $G' \subseteq \mathfrak{A}(G)$ , we see that  $G/\mathfrak{A}(G)$  is an elementary Abelian 2-group. Let  $\tau : G \rightarrow G/\mathfrak{A}(G) = \times_{i \geq 1} \langle a_i \mid a_i^2 = 1 \rangle$  be such that  $\tau^{-1}(a_1) = a$  and  $\tau^{-1}(a_2) = b$ . We put  $\bar{a}_i = \tau^{-1}(a_i)$  for all  $i \geq 3$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \{a_i \mid i \geq 3\}$ .

Suppose that for some  $s \geq 3$  we have  $(\bar{a}_s, \bar{a}_i) = 1$  for all  $i \geq 3$ . Such an element is unique, because if  $\bar{a}_t \neq \bar{a}_s$  commutes with all  $\bar{a}_s$ , then  $\sigma(\bar{a}_t \bar{a}_s) = \bar{a}_s \bar{a}_t$ , whence  $a_s a_t = 1$ , a contradiction. Put  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B} \setminus a_s$ ,  $b_0 = a_s$ ,  $b_1 = a_1$ , and  $b_2 = a_2$ . Note that if such an element  $a_s$  does not exist, then we put  $b_0 = 1$ .

Choose  $a_i \in \mathfrak{B}$ . There is  $a_j \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $(\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j) \neq 1$ , and we consider the following cases.

1.  $\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \in W$ . Put  $b_3 = a_i$ ,  $b_4 = a_j$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B} \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}$ .
2.  $\bar{a}_i \in W$  and  $\bar{a}_j \notin W$ . Clearly,  $\langle \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \rangle \mathcal{Y} \langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \rangle \cong \langle \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2 \rangle \mathcal{Y} \langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_j \rangle$  and  $\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_j \in W$ . Put  $b_1 = \tau(\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_i)$ ,  $b_2 = a_2$ ,  $b_3 = a_i$ ,  $b_4 = \tau(\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_j)$ , and  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B} \cup \{a_1, a_2\} \setminus \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ .
3.  $\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \notin W$ . Obviously, we have  $\bar{a}_i \bar{a}_j \in W$ , so that this case reduces to the preceding one.

Furthermore, if  $C_G(\langle \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \rangle \mathcal{Y} \langle \bar{b}_3, \bar{b}_4 \rangle)$  contains a noncommuting pair of elements, then this pair can be chosen in  $W$ . By continuing this process, we can conclude that  $G$  contains a subgroup  $\mathfrak{M} = A_1 \mathcal{Y} A_2 \mathcal{Y} \cdots$  that is a central product, where each  $A_i = \langle g_i, h_i \rangle$  is a  $\sigma$ -group and  $C_G(\mathfrak{M})$  is Abelian. Applying Lemma 4, we arrive at statement (iii) of the theorem, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of the “only if” part of the theorem.* (i) We can write any  $x \in KG$  as  $x = x_1 + x_2 b$ , where  $x_i \in KH$ . Clearly,  $x^\sigma = x_1^\sigma + f(b)\sigma(b)x_2^\sigma = x_1^\sigma - x_2 b$  and

$$xx^\sigma = x_1 x_1^\sigma - x_2 x_2^\sigma b^2 = x_1^\sigma x_1 - x_2^\sigma x_2 b^2 = x^\sigma x,$$

so that  $KG$  is a  $\sigma$ -normal ring.

(ii) Any  $x \in KH$  can be written as  $x = x_0 + x_1 g + x_2 h + x_3 gh$ , where  $x_i \in K\langle g^2, h^2, c \rangle$  and  $c = (g, h)$ . Clearly,  $x^\sigma = x_0 + (x_1 g + x_2 h + x_3 gh)c$  and  $xx^\sigma = x^\sigma x$ , so that  $KH$  is  $\sigma$ -normal. Suppose that  $\sigma(d) = dc$ , with  $c = (a, b)$ . Any  $x \in KG$  can be written as  $x = (w_0 + u_1) + (w_2 + u_3)d$ , where  $u_1 = \alpha_1 a + \alpha_2 b + \alpha_3 ab$ ,  $u_3 = \beta_1 a + \beta_2 b + \beta_3 ab$ , and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, w_0, w_2 \in K\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $x^\sigma = (w_0 + u_1 c) - (w_2 + u_3 c)dc$  and  $xx^\sigma - x^\sigma x = (u_3 u_1 - u_1 u_3)(1 + c)d$ . Since  $ab - ba = ba(c - 1)$  and  $c^2 = 1$ , it follows that  $xx^\sigma - x^\sigma x = 0$ . Thus,  $KG$  is  $\sigma$ -normal. In the case where  $\sigma(d) = d$ , the proof is similar.

(iii) Put  $G_n = A_1 \mathcal{Y} \cdots \mathcal{Y} A_n$ , where  $A_i = \langle a_i, b_i \mid c = (a_i, b_i) \rangle$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup. We use induction on  $n$ . Any  $x \in KG_n$  can be written as  $x = x_0 + x_1 a_n + x_2 b_n + x_3 a_n b_n$ , where  $x_i \in K\langle G_{n-1}, a_n^2, b_n^2 \rangle$ . Obviously,  $x^\sigma = x_0^\sigma + (x_1^\sigma a_n + x_2^\sigma b_n + x_3^\sigma a_n b_n)c$ . Since  $KG_{n-1}$  is  $\sigma$ -normal, we get  $x_i x_i^\sigma = x_i^\sigma x_i$  and  $x_i^\sigma(1 + c) = x_i(1 + c)$ . The formula

$$(x_i + x_j)(x_i + x_j)^\sigma = (x_i + x_j)^\sigma(x_i + x_j)$$

shows that

$$x_i x_j^\sigma + x_j x_i^\sigma = x_i^\sigma x_j + x_j^\sigma x_i.$$

Proceeding as in the preceding case, we conclude that

$$xx^\sigma = x^\sigma x,$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. D. Berman, *On the equations  $x^m = 1$  in an integral group ring*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **7** (1955), no. 3, 253–261. (Russian) MR0077521 (17:1048g)
- [2] A. A. Bovdi, *Unitarity of the multiplicative group of an integral group ring*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **119** (1982), no. 3, 387–400; English transl. in Math. USSR-Sb. **47** (1984). MR0678835 (84a:16019)
- [3] A. A. Bovdi, P. M. Gudivok, and M. S. Semirov, *Normal group rings*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **37** (1985), no. 1, 3–8; English transl., Ukrainian Math. J. **37** (1985), no. 1, 1–5. MR0780906 (86h:16013)
- [4] A. A. Bovdi, *Normal twisted group rings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A **1990**, no. 7, 6–8. (Russian) MR1088083 (91i:20004)

- [5] ———, *Structure of normal twisted group rings*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** (1997), 279–293. MR1485224 (98j:16016)
- [6] M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, 1976. MR0414669 (54:2765)
- [7] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. MR1632779 (2000a:16031)
- [8] S. P. Novikov, *Algebraic construction and properties of Hermitian analogs of K-theory over rings with involution from the viewpoint of Hamiltonian formalism. Applications to differential topology and the theory of characteristic classes*. I, II, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** (1970), 253–288; *ibid.* **34** (1970), 475–500; English transl., Math. USSR–Izv. **4** (1970), 257–292; *ibid.* **4** (1970), 479–505. MR0292913 (45:1994)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12, H-4010 DEBRECEN, HUNGARY  
*E-mail address:* `vbovdi@math.klte.hu`

*Current address:* Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, College of Nyíregyháza, Sóstói út 31/b, H-4410 Nyíregyháza, Hungary

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “E. DE GIORGI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI LECCE, VIA PROVINCIALE LECCE-ARNESANO, 73100-LECCE, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `salvatore.siciliano@unile.it`

Received 31/AUG/2006

Originally published in English