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Abstract – Jurassic mélangé complexes related to the subduction of the Neotethys Ocean occur in the Bükk Mountains, North Hungary. This paper characterizes the sedimentary sequence of basin and slope facies that occur in the southwestern part of the Bükk Mountains, placing special emphasis on the redeposited sedimentary rocks (olistostromes, olistoliths: Mónosbél Group) in order to obtain information on the provenance of the clasts, and the mode and time of their redeposition. The series of formations studied shows a general coarsening-upwards trend. Based on radiolarians and foraminifera, the Mónosbél Group formed in Early to Late Bathonian time. The lower part of the complex is typified by a predominance of pelagic carbonates, shale and radiolarite with andesitic volcaniclastic intercalations. The higher part of the succession is characterized by polymictic olistostromes. Large olistoliths that are predominantly blocks of Bathonian shallow marine limestone (Bükkzsérc Limestone) appear in the upper part of the sequence. Based on the biostratigraphic and sedimentological data, results of analyses of the redeposited clasts and taking into consideration the concepts of the development of the western Neotethys domain, the evolutionary stages of the sedimentary basins were defined. The onset of the compressional stage led to initiation of nappe stacking that led to the formation of polymict olistostromes and then to the redeposition of large blocks derived from out-of-sequence nappes of the former platform foreland.
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1. Introduction

The Jurassic sedimentary and volcanic formations occurring in the southwestern part of the Bükk Mountains were only recognized at the beginning of the 1980s (Bérczi-Makk & Pelikán, 1984; Balogh, Kozur & Pelikán, 1984; Csontos, Bérczi-Makk & Thiebault, 1991; Csontos, Dosztály & Pelikán, 1991). This recognition significantly changed the previous concepts concerning the stratigraphy, structure and evolutionary history of the Bükk Mountains, and led to the elaboration of a new structural model.

The striking similarity of the Upper Palaeozoic and Triassic formations of the Bükk Mountains with the corresponding formations of the Dinarides has been known for a long time (Schréter, 1959; Balogh, 1964; Protić et al. 2000; Pamić, Tomšenović & Balen, 2002; Filipović et al. 2003). Studies in the last decades pointed out similarities between the Jurassic olistostromal sedimentary and volcanic complexes in the Bükk Mountains, and the ophiolite mélangé complex of the Dinarides (e.g. Pamić, 1997, 2003; Haas & Kovács, 2001; Dimitrijević et al. 2003; Haas et al. 2006, 2011). These considerations inspired the concept that the Bükk Unit was derived from the Dinaridic realm and was emplaced in its present-day setting via large-scale transpressive tectonic displacements in the Tertiary Period (e.g. Csontos et al. 1992; Csontos & Nagymarosy, 1998; Haas & Kovács, 2001; Csontos & Vörös, 2004; Schmid et al. 2008).

The aim of the present paper is to characterize the Jurassic formations of the study area in the southwestern part of the Bükk Mountains with special regard to the redeposited sedimentary rocks (olistostromes) in order to obtain information on the provenance of the clasts, as well as on the mode and time of their redeposition. Determining the succession of the complex redeposition processes may contribute to
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understanding the history of closure of the western Neotethys Ocean, which places this study within a much wider context.

2. Geologic setting

The area of the present study is located in the southwestern part of the Bükk Mountains (Figs 1, 2). The eastern part of the study area is made up of Middle to Upper Triassic (Ladinian to Norian) platform limestone and grey cherty limestone of intraplatform basin facies, with intercalations of basalt (Velledits, 2000; Pelikán & Dosztály, 2000; Pelikán, 2005) (Fig. 3). These are overlain by red radiolarian chert (Bányahegy Radiolarite Formation) in a thickness of about 30 m (Figs 3, 4). Based on investigations of poorly preserved radiolarians taken from several sections, Dosztály (in Csontos, Dosztály & Pelikán, 1991) assigned this formation to the Callovian–Oxfordian time period.

The red chert formation is overlain by a dark grey to black shale succession consisting of sandstone, siltstone and claystone layers (Lökvölgy Formation). The succession is made up of millimetre-scale graded laminae suggesting deposition via turbidity currents (Pelikán, 1987, 2005; Csontos, 1988). Siliciclastic sandstone assigned to the Vaskapu Sandstone Formation (Pelikán, 2005) occurs in the southern part of the study area, northeast of the village of Bükkzsérc, above Triassic beds, at the basal part of the Jurassic succession (Fig. 3). Owing to the lack of any biostratigraphic data, the stratigraphic position of this formation is not known. However, in some places similar sandstone bodies occur within or above the Lökvölgy Formation (Pelikán, 2005). Consequently, it is probable that this sandstone has an interfingering connection with the Lökvölgy Formation (Pelikán, 2005) and does not belong to the overlying Mónosbé Group.

The calcareous and siliceous basin and redeposited slope facies have been defined as the Mónosbé Group (Pelikán, 2005). Within the group several lithofacies can be distinguished. These were defined as individual formations, but in many cases they show interfingering or transitional features and some of them may appear as redeposited clasts and blocks. The Oldalvölgy Formation is typically made up of an alternation of dark grey cherty limestone and black shale (silty claystone, sandstone) layers. Most of the limestone layers have mudstone or peloidal wackestone texture, but ooids
or cortoids also occur in some beds. Radiolarian and/or sponge spicule wackestones are also typical textures of the formation. These gradually progress into radiolarian packstone, radiolarite and radiolarian chert beds, which can be assigned to the Cszpkessteto Radiolarite. The Oldalvolgy Limestone and Cszpkessteto Radiolarite formed coevally; accordingly, their lateral and vertical transition is a common occurrence.

Polymictic olistostrome beds typify the upper part of the series, which was classified as part of the Mnosbel Formation (Pelikan, 2005; not to be confused with the Mnosbel Group, of which it forms a part; see Fig. 4 of this paper). Along with clasts of siliciclastic rocks, various volcanites and metamorphic rocks, oolitic carbonates resembling the Bükkszerc Limestone are also common clastic components of these olistostromes.

The Bükkszerc Limestone consists mostly of oolitic grainstone, as well as peloidal grainstone with intercalations of peloidal-'filament' wackestone and radiolarian wackestone, and packstone representing toe-of-slope apron and basin facies. However, rock types of this formation usually appear in the form of smaller or larger redeposited blocks (olistoliths) in the upper part of the Mnosbel Group (Haas et al. 2006) (Fig. 4).

To the west of the studied area Jurassic basic magmatic rocks occur. They consist of basalt characterized by hyaloclastic lava flows and pillow lavas (Szavasko Basalt Formation), which were formed by submarine volcanic activity, and intrusive gabbro bodies (Tardos Gabbro Formation) (Fig. 3).

The Palaeozoic–Mesozoic formations of the Bükkszerc were subjected to very low- and low-grade regional metamorphism (temperatures of 200–350 °C, fluid pressures of 1.5–3 kbar; maximum 5 kbar, locally) (Árkai, 1983). The grade of metamorphism decreases from north to south from the epizone to the lower temperature part of the anchizone, as well as to the zone of medium–deep diagenesis (Árkai, 1983). Diagenetic to very low-grade metamorphic alteration characterizes the study area in the southwestern part of the Bükkszerc Mountains. According to the latest studies performed on Jurassic formations of this area, the Kübler index and the chlorite 'crystallinity' data do not indicate any significant difference in the grade of alteration between the Lókvölgy Formation and the shale of the Mnosbel Group (Árkai & Judik, pers. com.). Based on K–Ar age dating, regional metamorphism of the Palaeozoic–Mesozoic formations occurred in two stages, at 160–120 Ma and 100–95 Ma, respectively (Árkai, Balogh & Dunkl, 1995).

3. Key sections

The area in the neighbourhood of the village of Bükkszerc, in the southwestern part of the Bükkszerc Mountains, is crucial for understanding the stratigraphy and lithology of the Jurassic sequences of this region. At the type locality of the Bükkszerc Limestone, a number of outcrops of olistostrome beds of the Mnosbel Group are found; moreover, core sections (Bzs-5, -10, -11) are also available. Detailed sedimentological, petrographic and palaeontological
investigations were carried out on the cores and samples taken from a number of outcrops (Meredek-lápa, Ódor-hegy, Solymos, Hódos-tető, Eregető, Pap-hegyes, Nagy-galya) for timing and better understanding the very complex rock-forming processes. Locations of the investigated cores and outcrops are presented in Figure 3. The lithological characteristics of the clastic components of the olistostromes in the studied outcrops are summarized in Table 1.

3.a. Core Bzs-11 and related outcrops

Core Bzs-11 was cut on the eastern slope of Odvas-bükk-tető (Fig. 3). The lower part of the cored section (115.2–135.0 m) can be assigned to the Lökvölgy Formation (Fig. 4). In the lowermost part of the core (130.0–135.0 m), dark grey sandstone and silty shale alternate. Graded bedding was recognized in the 131.7–132.7 m interval with mudstone rip-up clasts in the basal coarse-grained sandstone. It is followed by silicified silty shale containing various amounts of radiolarians (121.6–130.0 m). In two samples (129.7 and 125.2 m), a few foraminifera (Labalina rawiensis, Labalina sp., Nodosaria sp. and Cylindrotrocholina excelsa) were observed in sandstone (Fig. 6). The next interval (115.0–121.6 m) is typified by an alternation of sandstone and shale.
Figure 4. General lithostratigraphic succession of the study area with indication of age data based on biostratigraphic results of the present study.
Table 1. Lithological types of clastic components of olistostromes of the core Bzs-11 and outcrops studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Mudstone</th>
<th>Graniolite w</th>
<th>Sponge-spicule w</th>
<th>‘Flaminium’ w</th>
<th>Radiolarite–‘filamentum’ w</th>
<th>Radiolarite w</th>
<th>Porcellaneous w</th>
<th>Peloidal w</th>
<th>Bioclastic g</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Radiolarite</th>
<th>Sandstone</th>
<th>Silicified shale</th>
<th>Claystone</th>
<th>Rhyolite</th>
<th>Andesite</th>
<th>Trachyte</th>
<th>Basalt</th>
<th>Altered volcanite</th>
<th>Phylite</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bzs-11, 98.7–102.1 m</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bzs-11, 58.5–59.2 m</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bzs-11, 0.0–3.5 m</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Méredék-lápá</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Özor-hegy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hódos-tető</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eregető</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pap-hegyes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagy-galya</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: w – wackestone; p – packstone, g – grainstone; x – occur, o – abundant.

The predominantly shaly interval is overlain by a limestone unit (22.8–115.0 m) that is defined by radiolarian and sponge spicule wackestone microfacies (Fig. 5). The limestone is commonly silicified, partially or pervasively. Radiolarite interbeds also occur. Thus, this unit can be assigned to the Oldalvölgy–Csipkéstető Formation.

In a few samples taken from this interval, a relatively poor radiolarian fauna was recorded (Pelikán & Dosztály, 2000; Haas et al. 2006). Peloidal packstone and grainstone containing smaller or larger amounts of recrystallized milioloid foraminifera (Labalina costata, L. occulta, L. rawiensis, Ophthalmidium caucasicum, O. aff. concentricum, Cornuspira infraoolithica), and a few agglutinated forms (Trochammina spp., Valvulina spp., Textularia spp., Mesoendothyra croatica) were encountered (Fig. 6). The richest foraminifer assemblage was found at 33.3–32.2 m (Fig. 5). The Textulariidae and especially the Nodosaridae are usually rare throughout the core, except at 77.5 m where the agglutinated TVT (Trochammina–Valvulina–Textularia) group is relatively frequent.

There is a 4 m thick red and green volcaniclastic interval (98.7–102.1 m) in the lower part of the carbonate-dominated succession that is made up predominantly of strongly altered and silicified fragments of basaltic and trachitic andesite (Fig. 7h). Moreover, a few radiolarite, silicified shale and carbonate clasts occur. Thinner volcaniclastic horizons were found at 58.5–59.2 m and at 40.2–41.4 m. Here trachitic, microholocrystalline andesite and chloritic basalt clasts were observed in a radiolarian wackestone matrix.

The uppermost part of the limestone-dominated interval described above is shaly and typified by radiolarian and sponge spicule wackestone microfacies with peloidal grainstone interlayers (Fig. 8e). This is overlain by polymeric olistostromes (0.0–22.8 m). The lowermost olistostrome (‘micro-olistostrome’) beds are made up of coarse calcarenite and fine calcirudite (Fig. 8c). The typical texture is lithoclastic, bioclastic, oolitic grainstone, packstone or wackestone (Fig. 8f, g). The matrix is commonly argillaceous. Among the lithoclasts, the carbonates (sponge spicule and radiolarian wackestone, peloidal grainstone, micritic mudstone, ‘filamentum’ wackestone, dolomicrosparite and dolosparite) are predominant but shale, chert and altered volcanic rocks also occur. In the sample taken from 20.2 m Labalina cf. rawiensis, indicating a Middle Jurassic age, was encountered in the matrix, whereas a Late Triassic–Early Jurassic foraminifera fauna (Paralingulina tenera, P. cf. testudinaria, Nodosaria spp. and Pseudonodosaria sp.) was found in a limestone clast. Coarse arenite-sized crinoid fragments are common. Millimetre-sized fragments of Rivularia-type calcimicrobe structures were also observed.

The lithoclasts vary increasingly upsection. Along with various carbonates, silty claystone, quartz sandstone and altered volcanics are the most common components. A coarse-grained olistostome containing mostly limestone and some sandstone clasts was penetrated between 16.0 and 18.6 m.

In the next interval (6.6–16.0 m) oolitic packstone and grainstone prevail, but coarse areneite to fine rudite-sized polymictic lithoclasts also commonly occur (Fig. 7g). In the 15.5 m sample, the Triassic Triadodiscus cf. comeszooidicus was recognized in carbonate lithoclasts (Fig. 6). This interval is followed by coarse-grained volcaniclastic beds (3.5–6.6 m). In these beds, the volcanic material is very variable. Clasts of rhyolite, dacite, trachyte and andesite were recognized (Figs 7b–f, 8a, b).

Above the volcaniclastic olistostromes, in the topmost part of the core (0.0–3.5 m), peloidal grainstone with clasts of carbonates (micritic mudstone, filamentum microsparite, and sparite) quartz sandstone (Fig. 7a), shale and altered volcanites and oolitic
Figure 5. Lithology, microfacies characteristics and distribution of foraminifera in core Bzs-11.
Figure 6. Characteristic Triassic (l, o–r) and Jurassic (a–k, m) foraminifera and incertae sedis of cores Bzs-11 and Bzs-10, and Odvas-bükk-tető outcrop: (a) *Labalina rawiensis* (Pazdrowa, 1959), Bzs-11 (125.2 m), (b) *Cylindrotrocholina excelsa* (Ruggieri & Giunta, 1965), Bzs-11 (125.2 m), (c) *Labalina costata* (Antonova, 1958b), Bzs-11 (72.3 m), (d) *Labalina occulta* (Antonova, 1958a), Bzs-11 (32.2 m), (e) *Ophthalmidium caucasicum* (Antonova, 1958a), Bzs-11 (32.2 m), (f) *O. aff. concentricum* (Terquem & Berthelin, 1875) Bzs-11 (33.3 m), (g) *Textularia* sp., Bzs-11 (33.1 m), (h) *Labalina cf. rawiensis* (Pazdrowa, 1959), Bzs-11 (20.2 m), (i, j) *Paralingulina tenera* (Bornemann, 1854), Bzs-11 (20.2 m), (k) *Pseudonodosaria* sp., Bzs-11 (20.2 m), (l) *Triadodiscus cf. mesozoicus* (Oberhauser, 1957), Bzs-11 (15.5 m), (m) *Trocholina palastiniensis* Henson, 1948, Bzs-11 (3.5–3.0 m), (n) *Parastomiosphaera* sp., Bzs-11 (3.5–3.0 m), (o) *Triasina cf. oberhauseri* Koeln-Zaninetti & Brönnimann, 1968, Bzs-11 (2.7 m), (p, q) *Angulodiscus* sp., Bzs-11 (2.7 m), (r) *Auloconus permodiscoides* (Oberhauser, 1964), Bzs-11 (2.7 m), (s) *Triasina cf. oberhauseri* Koeln-Zaninetti & Brönnimann, 1968, Bzs-11 (2.7 m), (t) *Paralingulina testudinaria* (Franke, 1936), Bzs-10 (62.0 m), (u) *Gloxinapora* sp., Bzs-10 (61.3 m), (v) *Trochammina* sp., Bzs-10 (46.4 m), (w) *Verneuilinoides* sp., Bzs-10 (62.0 m), (x) *Valvulinoides* sp., Bzs-10 (62.0 m), (y) *Nodosaria* sp., Bzs-10 (19.2 m), (z) *O. aff. concentricum* (Terquem & Berthelin, 1875), recrystallized, Bzs-10 (61.3 m), (aa) *Labalina cf. rawiensis* (Pazdrowa, 1959), recrystallized, Bzs-10 (61.3 m), (bb) *Labalina costata* (Antonova, 1958b), Bzs-10 (87.0 m), (cc) *Protopeneroplis striata* Weyscshenk, 1950, Odvas-bükk-tető, (dd) *Trocholina* sp., Odvas-bükk-tető, (ee) *Nautiloculina oolithica* Mohler, 1938, Odvas-bükk-tető.

grainstone with carbonate lithoclasts were exposed. In sample 3.5–3.0 m Jurassic microfauna (e.g. *Trocholina palastiniensis, Labalina* sp., *Parastomiosphaera* sp.), and in sample 2.7 m Norian foraminifera (*Triasina cf. oberhauseri, Auloconus permodiscoides, Angulodiscus* sp., *Ophthalmidium*? sp.) of carbonate platform origin were recognized in lithoclasts (Fig. 6).
Figure 7. Typical clastic components of olistostromes in core Bzs-11: (a) elongated, rounded sandstone clast (+N), 3.0–3.5 m. (b) Intersertal porphyric basalt clast with calcite filled amygdale and plagioclase phenocrysts (1N), 4.3 m. (c) Intersertal-trachytic basalt clast (1N), 4.3 m. (d) Broken quartz phenocrysts in recrystallized matrix of a rhyolite clast (+N), 4.3 m. (e) Amygdalodial basalt clast (1N), 4.3 m. (f) Porphyric andesite clast with plagioclase phenocrysts (+N), 5.2 m. (g) Strongly altered intersertal dolerite clast (1N), 14–14.2 m. (h) Porphyritic-trachytic andesite clast with plagioclase phenocrysts and carbonatic vein (+N), 100.0 m.
Figure 8. Typical lithological features and microfacies of the Mónosbél Group in cores Bzs-10 and Bzs-11: (a) grain-supported polymict breccia-conglomerate (olistostrome), Bzs-11 (4.3–4.5 m). (b) Grain-supported polymict breccia-conglomerate containing a large amount of volcaniclasts, Bzs-11 (4.5–4.8 m). (c) Mud-supported oligomict breccia (debrite), Bzs-11 (18.1–18.5 m). (d) Slump structures in pelagic limestone, Bzs-11 (90.9–90.8 m). (e) Fine-grained peloidal grainstone, Bzs-11 (34.8 m). (f) Medium-grained lithoclastic grainstone with ooid moulds, Bzs-11 (22.8 m). (g) *Rivularia* fragment and echinoderm detritus, Bzs-11 (22.8 m). (h) Peloidal grainstone. The globular peloids are probably micritized ooids or oncoids, Bzs-10 (19.0 m).
The characteristic volcaniclastic interval is also exposed at the surface in a road-cut close to the site of the borehole. In the surface exposure, there are debris (olistostrome) beds, containing various amounts of clasts, mostly of volcanic rocks. In one of these beds unsorted, unrounded to well-rounded clasts, 1–15 cm in size occur in a shale matrix. The clasts are mostly of rhyolite, typically with glauconitized rhombic pyroxene, plagioclase and a few resorbed quartz grains. A cumuloporphyr andesite containing glauconitized rhombic pyroxene, and another andesite clast were also found.

This bed is overlain by a limestone bed that also contains sandstone (partly metasandstone) clasts (Fig. 9h) and volcaniclasts, of a maximum size of 1 cm. The volcaniclasts are weathered and their minerals are strongly altered. However, based on the textures of the rocks, it is plausible that predominantly basic rock types occur, showing an appearance akin to that of basite of ophiolite complexes. The following rock types were recognized: sphaerolithic volcanite, intergranular dolerite, intersertal–variolitic amygdaloidal basalt, intergranular metabasalt and amygdaloidal volcanite (Fig. 9b–d, f, g). Rhyolite with resorbed quartz and intergranular metabasalt and amygdaloidal volcanite (Fig. 9e) were first identified. An Oolitic grainstone and oolitic wackestone with millimetre-sized lithoclasts characterize the uppermost part of the core section (0–11.7 m). Carbonate lithoclasts (mudstone, bioclastic wackestone, peloidal microsparite) occur; moreover, sandstone, siltstone and strongly altered volcaniclasts (intergranular dolerite, intersertal basalt, chloritic, finely crystalline basic volcanite, andesite and microcrystalline rhyolite) were recognized.

Above the polymictic olistostrome horizon, which was penetrated by both corings, the lithofacies of the Oldalvölgy Formation continues upsection, and blocks (probably olistoliths) of the Bükkzsérc Limestone were mapped on the top of Odvas-bükk-tető (Fig. 3). The Bükkzsérc Limestone is characterized by an oolitic, peloidal, bioclastic grainstone texture, containing crinoids, foraminifera and micritic lithoclasts. Textulariids (Textularia sp., Valvulina sp. and Nautiloculina oolithica), Trocholina sp., Protopeneroplis striata, miliolins (Labalina spp., Ophthalmidium spp.), large lenticulinds and Pseudonodosaria sp. were found in the samples.

3.b. Core Bzs-10

Core Bzs-10 (Fig. 10) was cut about 300 m south of core Bzs-11 and exposed a sequence corresponding to the upper part of the section encountered by the latter. The lower part of the core (65.5–87.0 m) is made up mostly of radiolarite, which can be correlated with the predominantly radiolaritic interval in core Bzs-11 (38–73 m). Above it, peloidal–bioclastic packstone and grainstone, as well as oolitic grainstone, were found (51.0–61.3 m). In the oolitic grainstone beds (59.1–62.0 m) carbonate lithoclasts (radiolarian wackestone and mudstone) occur. In some lithoclasts Early Jurassic foraminifera associations (Pararotalia tenella, P. testudinaria, Nodosaria spp., Pseudonodosaria sp. and Glomospira sp.) were encountered; a very similar horizon was found at 35.8–32.2 m in core Bzs-11.

The next interval (11.7–51.0 m) is typified by an abundance of sponge spicules. The foraminifera fauna is very poor; a few specimens of miliolins (e.g. Labalina rawiensis, Ophthalmidium aff. concentricum), Glomospira sp., Textularia sp., Nodosaria sp. and Lenticulina sp. could be identified.

An olistostrome interbed (lithoclastic, oolitic, bioclastic grainstone) was encountered between 19.0–21.0 m (Fig. 8h). There are well-preserved ooid grains present; peloids are common and intraclasts also occur. Crinoid ossicles are abundant. The following lithoclast types were found: coarse sandstone, metasandstone, metasiltstone, quartzite with mica, holocrystalline microsparitic rhyolite, strongly limonitized variolitic basalt and strongly altered microcrystalline volcanites with porphyric feldspars. Another polymictic breccia bed (olistostrome) was found between 11.4–12.3 m.

Oolitic grainstone and oolitic wackestone with millimetre-sized lithoclasts characterize the uppermost part of the core section (0–11.7 m). Carbonate lithoclasts (mudstone, bioclastic wackestone, peloidal microsparite) occur; moreover, sandstone, siltstone and strongly altered volcaniclasts (intergranular dolerite, intersertal basalt, chloritic, finely crystalline basic volcanite, andesite and microcrystalline rhyolite) were recognized.

In the lower part of core Bzs-5 (69.3–197.6 m) dark grey to black shale, i.e. an alternation of sandstone and clayey siltstone layers, was exposed. This interval can be assigned to the Lükvölgy Formation.

It is followed by polymictic breccia beds (olistostrome) and dark grey shale. The lower part of the breccia interval (66.7–69.3 m) contains predominantly quartzite components, but volcanites and carbonates also occur in a small amounts. The higher breccia beds (64.4–66.7 m) are made up of unrounded radiolarite fragments. They are overlain by an interval
Figure 9. Typical clastic components of olistostromes from outcrop samples, Odvas-bükk-tető. (a) Strongly altered intersertal dolerite clast (1N), sample 19. (b) Biotite-amphibole andesite clast (1N), sample 15. (c) Glauconitized orthorhombic pyroxene–opaque mineral–apatite cumulate in dacite clast (1N), sample 16b. (d) Silicified dacite clast with plagioclase and pyroxene phenocrysts (1N), sample 16b. (e) Rhyolitic-dacitic clast with quartz and plagioclase phenocrysts (+N), sample 6. (f) Amphibole andesite clast (+N), sample 16. (g) Amphibole andesite clast (+N), sample 16. (h) Metasandstone clast containing mainly quartz and muscovite (+N), sample 19.
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(61.4–64.4 m) typified by an alternation of fine sandstone and claystone. No core was recovered from the interval between 55.2–61.6 m because a karstic cavern was penetrated. Dark grey limestone was found between 54.7–55.2 m. That is overlain by dark shale with limestone breccia and limestone with claystone breccia grains (52.1–54.7 m). Oolitic limestone with thin black shale interlayers was exposed upsection (51.6–52.1 m).

The contact between the breccia–shale interval and the Bükkzsérc Limestone (0.0–51.3 m) is either tectonic or a matrix/olistolith boundary, or both (the...
recovery was rather poor near the contact). This discontinuity is also supported by biostratigraphic data (see Section 4). In the lower part of the Bükkzsérc Limestone the medium- to coarse-grained calcarenites of oolitic grainstone and oolitic–lithoclastic grainstone texture are the most typical (Fig. 12). Among the bioclasts, fragments of crinoids and molluscs are the most common, but detritus of *Rivularia*-type calcimicrobes are also abundant.

In the lowermost part of the Bükkzsérc Limestone (51.6–45.0 m) the foraminifera fauna is characterized by the dominance of the agglutinated forms (TVT group), *Mesoendothyra croatica* and *Gutnicella gr. cayeuxi* (*G. cayeuxi*, *G. brizonorum* and *G. minoricensis*), indicating redeposition from the outer platform (sand shoal) environment. *Mesoendothyra* preferred the inner platform environment; it can be found in most of the section (up to sample 13 in the quarry), but only in small quantities. At 47.3 m, large Paravalvulininae (*Riyadella* spp. and *Redmondoides lugeoni*) occur, and at 45.7 m trocholinas (*Trocholina conica* and *T. palastiniensis*) and miliolinids (*Labalina* spp., *Ophthalmidium* spp.) occur (Figs 11, 13). These latter groups, together with the TVT forms, are the most frequent in the upper part of the section (Figs 11, 13, 14).

At 43.0 m, *Protopeneroplis striata* appears in large numbers and this species occurs in almost every sample upsection (in core Bzs-5 and also in the quarry). At the same level, *Callorbis minor* also appears and occurs in the studied samples up to sample 13 in the quarry. Higher up (37, 32.4 and 27.9 m) some specimens of an attached form belonging to the genus *Placopsilina* were recognized.

In the upper part of the cored section, the oolitic grainstone texture is still common but the grain size decreases (Fig. 12). Peloidal–‘filament’ wackestone–packstone and ‘filament’ mudstone interbeds also appear (Fig. 12). In the oolitic beds, clasts of deeper-water carbonates (peloidal–‘filament’ wackestone–packstone) and silicified radiolarian wackestone commonly occur. In some beds, along with carbonate lithoclasts, a few sand-sized shale and phyllite clasts and strongly altered volcaniclasts were also encountered.

---

**Figure 11. Lithology, microfacies characteristics and distribution of foraminifera in core Bzs-5.**
Figure 12. Lithological features and typical microfacies of the Bükkzsérc Limestone: (a) graded oolitic carbonate turbidites in the lower part of the Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 10). (b) Thin-bedded cherty limestone bed with sinusoid parallel lamination in the middle part and horizontal parallel lamination in the upper part of the bed, Bükkzsérc Quarry, upper part (Bed 22). (c) Oolitic grainstone; medium-grained calcarenite. Some of the ooid grains were affected by micritization and then bioerosion; the others are only slightly altered. Bzs-5 (49.5 m). (d) Peloidal grainstone made up of alternation of graded laminae (distal turbidite). The peloids are mostly micritized ooids. Bzs-5 (45.0 m). (e) Oolitic, lithoclastic grainstone with oolitic packstone intraclast; radiolarian-‘filament’ wackestone lithoclast; sandy shale extraclast. (f) Peloidal wackestone with tiny ‘filament’ fragments. Bzs-5 (14.0 m). (g) Peloidal-‘filament’ packstone. Bzs-5 (8.4 m). (h) Peloidal, oolitic grainstone; fine-grained calcarenite. Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 14).
Figure 13. Characteristic foraminifera of the core Bzs-5, Bükkzsérc Quarry, Hódos-tető, Eregető and Pap-hegyes outcrops: (a) *Trochammina* sp., Bzs-5 (49.5 m); (b) *Mesoendothyra croatica* Gušić, 1969, Bzs-5 (42.0 m); (c) *Gutnicella minoricensis* (Bourouilh & Moullade, 1963), Bzs-5 (48.0 m); (d) *Gutnicella cayeuxi* (Lucas, 1939), Bzs-5 (46.2 m); (e) *Redmondoide lugeoni* (Septfontaine, 1977), Bzs-5 (47.3 m); (f) *Riyadella* sp., Bzs-5 (47.3 m); (g) *Trocholina conica* (Schlumberger, 1898), Bzs-5 (32.0 m); (h) *Trocholina palastiniensis* Henson, 1948, Bzs-5 (26.5 m); (i) *Protopenoperlis striata* Weynschenk, 1950, Bzs-5 (18.1 m); (j) *Callorbis minor* Wernli & Metzger, 1990, Bzs-5 (43.0 m); (k) *Placopsilina* sp., Bzs-5 (37.0 m); (l) *Placopsilina* sp., Bzs-5 (27.9 m); (m) *Verneuilinoides* sp., Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 14a); (n) *Trochammina* sp., Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 14a); (o) *Mesoendothyra croatica* Gušić, 1969, Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 13b); (p) *Archaesepta platierensis* Wernli, 1970, Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 7); (q) *Archaesepta platierensis* Wernli, 1970, Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 14a); (r) *Protopenoperlis striata* Weynschenk, 1950, Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 13a); (s) *Labalina praecostata* (Kassimova, 1971), Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 14a); (t) *Trochammina* sp., Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 14b); (u) *Labalina raviensis* (Pazdrowa, 1959), Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 20); (v) *L. cf. quinququeloculinoides* (Danitch, 1971), Bükkzsérc Quarry (Bed 9); (w) *Placopsilina* sp., Hódos-tető; (x) *Siphonvalvulina* sp., Hódos-tető; (y) *Redmondoide lugeoni* (Septfontaine, 1977), Hódos-tető; (z) *Riyadella* sp., Hódos-tető; (aa) *Callorbis minor* Wernli & Metzger, 1990, Hódos-tető; (bb) *Protopenoperlis striata* Weynschenk, 1950, Hódos-tető; (cc) Hauraniniae indet., Eregető E; (dd) *Kilianina cf. blancheti* Pfender, 1933, Eregető; (ee) *Meyendorffina cf. bathoniana* Arrouze & Bizon, 1958, Eregető; (ff) *Mesoendothyra croatica* Gušić, 1969, Eregető; (gg) *Labalina costata* (Antonova, 1958b), Eregető; (hh) *Trocholina palastiniensis* Henson, 1948, Eregető; (ii) *Protopenoperlis striata* Weynschenk, 1950, Eregető; (jj) *Callorbis minor* Wernli & Metzger, 1990, Pap-hegyes.
Medium- to thin-bedded grey cherty limestone beds occur in the basal part of the quarry section. This interval is typified by peloidal grainstone with various amounts of ooids, cortoids and bioclasts. Fragments of thin-shelled bivalves (‘filaments’) are generally abundant; fragments of echinoderms and foraminifera (a few TVT, miliolinids) are usually present. This interval is overlain by a thick-bedded one that is made up of oolitic, peloidal grainstone (Figs 12a, 14), with common occurrence of echinoderm detritus and foraminifera-like Archaeopecta platierensis (samples 7–14), several miliolinids (Labalina rawiensis, L. occulta, L. praecostata, L. cf. quinqueloculinoideus), the TVT group, Protopeneroplis striata and a few Callorbis minor, Haplothragmoides sp., Nodosaria spp. and Lenticulina sp. This is followed by a thin-bedded interval with chert nodules that is characterized by radiolarian–filament wackestone. This in turn is overlain by another thick-bedded segment of peloidal grainstone texture. The topmost part of the section is again thin-bedded and cherty (Fig. 12b) with radiolarian wackestones–packstone texture. In these beds, the amount and the diversity of the foraminifera fauna strongly decrease.

Based on facies analysis of the approximately 50 m thick continuous succession, it is evident that the Bükkzsérc Limestone was accumulated at the toe of a carbonate platform foreslope and in a pelagic basin. Grains of the grainstone (ooids, cortoids, peloids and lithoclasts) were derived from a tropical carbonate platform and accumulated after redeposition in a toe-of-slope environment. The grainstone textures refer to a high-energy, probably current-controlled depositional environment, although the grading that is a typical feature of turbidites is only scarcely visible. The habitat of most of the foraminifera found in these beds was the inner platform (Siphovalva sp., Mesoendothyra croatica, Labalina rawiensis, Trocholina conica and T. palastiensis) or the outer platform (e.g. Gutnicella spp., Protopeneroplis striata and Archaeopecta platier-ensis); the latter is commonly referred to as ‘threshold facies’ (e.g. Gušić, 1969; Wernli, 1970; Septfontaine, 1981; Haas et al. 2006). The calcimicrobes may have occupied the platform and the upper slope. Crinoid meadows developed mostly on the slope terraces may have provided the crinoid detritus. The thin-shelled bivalves and some of the foraminifera (Labalina praecostata, Trochammina sp.) were inhabitants of the toe-of-slope and deeper open shelf (Clerc, 2005). The radiolarian-rich facies were formed in a pelagic basin. It must be emphasized that we found only subordinate amounts of terrigenous extraclasts in the Bükkzsérc Limestone. They are made up almost exclusively of platform-derived carbonates, pelagic carbonates and biogenic siliceous components. The carbonate grains were usually transported and redeposited as individual grains (ooids, cortoids, bioclasts, etc.) suggesting a coeval active carbonate platform in the neighbourhood of the depositional area, due to relatively rapid cementation of the tropical platform sediments.

3.d. Summary of sedimentological characteristics and genetic interpretation of the studied succession

Siliciclastic turbidites of the Lökvolgy Formation were exposed in the deepest part of core Bzs-11. These beds were deposited by low-density turbidity currents in an outer fan setting. The radiolarian-bearing shale intercalation represents a basin plain setting.

The overlying Oldalvölgy–Cspikésteto Formation can be interpreted as a hemipelagic succession; the predominant part of the carbonate content may have been derived from a carbonate platform. The peloidal grainstone interbeds containing platform-derived foraminifera were deposited via low-density turbidity currents.

Core Bzs-11 exposed three breccia intervals within the Oldalvölgy–Cspikésteto Formation, which are made up predominantly of centimetre-sized clasts of volcanic rocks: andesite in the deepest and thickest bed and basalt in the higher, thinner beds. The age of the clasts is unknown, most probably Triassic, similar to that of the dated volcanic clasts in the higher part of the succession (Haas et al. 2011). The coarse clast-supported breccia may be interpreted as rock fall or mass gravity flow deposits, formed at the base of relatively steep slopes. The appearance of the coarse-grained gravity mass-flow deposits suggests the initiation of intense tectonic movements, probably the onset of nappe stacking.

Coarse calcarenite to fine calcirudite beds with polymictic lithoclasts and redeposited platform-derived bioclasts and ooids characterize the basal part of the Mónosbél Formation. In these beds, volcanic components are common and coarser-grained volcaniclastic interbeds also occur (cores Bzs-11, -10 and in some outcrops; Table 1; Fig. 15). The volcanic material is extremely variable, including clasts of andesite, dacite, rhyolite and rarely basalt. According to recent preliminary radiometric age data, the acidic volcanites are of early Late Triassic age (Haas et al. 2011).

In the lithoclastic beds of the investigated outcrops (Fig. 3), carbonate clasts are usually predominant (Table 1; Fig. 15). Based on their microfacies characteristics and in some cases their microfossil content, the limestone clasts were derived from previously deposited and already consolidated Jurassic formations: mostly from toe-of-slope (e.g. redeposited oolitic packstone, peloidal grainstone) and basaln (‘filament’ wackestone, sponge spicle wackestone, radiolaria wackestone) facies and rarely from carbonate platform (e.g. ostracodal wackestone) facies. The radiolarite clasts were probably also derived from Jurassic basaln facies. Triassic–Lower Jurassic carbonates also occur. Clasts derived from siliciclastic formations (silty claystone, siltstone, fine- to medium-grained quartz sandstone) are also common. These clasts probably
Figure 14. Lithology and distribution of foraminifera in the section of the Bükkszérc Quarry.
Figure 15. (Colour online) Typical clastic components of olistostromes in various outcrop occurrences. (a) Mud-supported, coarse-grained polymict conglomerate (debrite), Meredek-lápa. (b) Bioclastic limestone with a phyllite extraclast, Meredek-lápa. (c) Polymict lithoclastic, bioclastic packstone, Meredek-lápa. (d) Gravel-sized radiolarian-‘filament’ wackestone clast (probably Triassic), Meredek-lápa. (e) Bioclastic, limestone with a phyllite extraclast, Hódos-tető. (f) Lithoclastic, oncoidal packstone, Solymos. (g) Lithoclastic, oncoidal packstone, Pap-hegyes. (h) Oolitic grainstone, Pap-hegyes.
Figure 16. Stratigraphic distribution and occurrences of the identified radiolarians in the studied samples.

derived from the Jurassic succession, since similar rock types are known in the study area (Lökvölgy Formation, Vaskapu Sandstone Formation). There are smaller and larger magmatic clasts derived from ophiolite as well as from acidic and intermediate magmatites. Clasts of phyllite and mica slate also occur, but rarely. The ages of these components are not known.

The rock types described above can be interpreted as mass-flow deposits containing millimetre-to centimetre-sized components derived from various sources. Some components were derived from metamorphic rocks; there are clasts originated from Triassic volcanites and shallow marine carbonates as well as from Jurassic rocks of basinal and platform foreslope facies. These sedimentological features suggest nappe stacking; the accreted nappes contained slightly metamorphosed slices and unmetamorphosed Triassic and Jurassic formations. Moreover, the platform-derived individual carbonate grains among the polymictic lithoclasts clearly indicate redeposition from a coeval carbonate platform. The mass-flow deposits (olistostromes) formed slope aprons along the front of the thrust belt. In the later stage of basin evolution, the size of the clasts increased and olistoliths of the Bükkzsérc Limestone became predominant in the upper part of the Mónosbél Formation. The large blocks may have been derived from out-of-sequence nappes of the previous platform foreland.

4. Biostratigraphy and chronostratigraphy

The biostratigraphy of the Mónosbél Group is based on radiolarians and foraminifera.

4.a. Radiolarian biostratigraphy

Radiolarians of Middle and Upper Jurassic formations have been investigated since the nineteenth century. In spite of this, their biostratigraphic interpretation is still questionable, because only a small proportion of radiolarian taxa have stratigraphic ranges that are constrained by other stratigraphically important fossils (e.g. Goričan, 1994; Baumgartner et al., 1995; Kozur, Mock & Ožvoldová, 1996; Suzuki & Gawlick, 2003; Beccaro, 2004, 2006; O’Dogherty et al., 2005).

The age of the Bányahegy Radiolarite is of critical importance for the evaluation of the Jurassic successions. Therefore, new sampling was carried out on the Bányahegy Radiolarite exposed in the Hosszúvölgy road-cut section on the eastern slope of Odvasbükk-tető (Fig. 3). This sample yielded numerous unidentifiable radiolarian shells and a few poorly preserved ones. Based on the presence of Helvetocapsa matsuokai and Transhshuum maxwelli, this assemblage could be assigned to Unitary Association Zones 95 (UAZ95) 3–10, providing a very wide age range from the Early–Middle Bajocian to Late Oxfordian–Early Kimmeridgian (Fig. 16).

On the eastern slope of Odvasbükk-tető, the Bányahegy Radiolarite is overlain by the Lökvölgy Formation and then the Oldalvölgy–Cspíkéstető Formation (Figs 3, 4). In core Bzs-11, determinable radiolarians were found in four samples taken from the Oldalvölgy–Cspíkéstető Formation. The lowermost sample (78.7 m) contained a poorly preserved and low-diversity radiolarian fauna (Figs 16, 17). The sample 66.5 m yielded a moderately well-preserved and diversified fauna (Fig. 16). Sample 60.0 m contained
Figure 17. Radiolarians from core Bzs-11 and Hosszú-völgy outcrop: (a) Archaeodictyomitra rigida Pessagno, 1977, Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 100 μm; (b) Archaeodictyomitra cf. apiarium (Rüst, 1885), Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 100 μm; (c) Parahsuum cf. carpathicum Widz & De Wever, 1993, Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 100 μm; (d) Parahsuum? sp., Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 85 μm; (e, f) Transhsuum brevicostatum (Ožvoldová, 1975), Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 200 μm; (g) Praewilliriedellum robustum (Matsuoka, 1984), Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 165 μm; (h) Praewilliriedellum robustum (Matsuoka, 1984), Bzs-11 (78.7 m), scale bar = 160 μm; (i) Transhsuum sp., Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 185 μm; (j) Semihsuum sourdoughense Pessagno et al. 1993, Bzs-11.
a poorly preserved and low-diversity radiolarian fauna (Fig. 16) with *Pragwilliriedellum robustum*, which probably indicates UAZ95 5–7. The sample taken from 42.8 m indicates UAZ95 5–7 (Baumgartner *et al.* 1995) based on the co-occurrence of *P. robustum* and *Transshumum* *mattelli*.

According to Baumgartner *et al.* (1995) UAZ95 5–7 correspond to an Early Bathonian–Early Callovian age. Beccearo (2006) established a new, better-defined radiolarian biozonaion for the Middle and Late Jurassic epochs, where the UAZ95 6–7 correspond to UAZ-SA A–B, which were assigned to the (?Early–Middle Bathonian to Early Oxfordian. The top of the UAZ-SA B is not directly constrained by stratigraphically important fossils but it must be older than Middle Oxfordian, owing to the precise age assignment of UAZ-SA C (Beccearo, 2006). According to the detailed biostratigraphic works of Suzuki & Gawlick (2003) and Auer *et al.* (2009) UAZ-SA A–B corresponds approximately to the *Eucyrtidiellum unumaense* and the lower part of the *Protumula lanosa* radiolarian zones set up in the Northern Calcareous Alps. In summary, according to the radiolarian data, the Oldalvölgy–Cspikéstető Formation in core Bzs-11 is most probably Bathonian (?Early Callovian) in age.

In core Bzs-5 only one sample taken from 66.7 m, probably representing the Oldalvölgy–Cspikéstető Formation, contained a moderately to poorly preserved radiolarian fauna. It is characterized mostly by nassellarians (Fig. 16). According to Kozur (1984), *Japonocapsa fusiformis* occurs in the Bajocian–Lower Bathonian of the Bük Mountains. However, this species was also reported from the Aalenian (Suzuki & Ogane, 2004) and with uncertainties (cf. and aff.) from the lowermost Oxfordian (Missoni *et al.* 2005). This range roughly corresponds to the *Eucyrtidiellum unumaense* Middle Jurassic radiolarian zone of Suzuki & Gawlick (2003) and Auer *et al.* (2009).

4.b. Foraminifera biostratigraphy

Previously, Bérczi-Makk studied the foraminifera fauna of cores Bzs-5, -10 and -11, and some outcrops (i.e. Bérczi-Makk & Pelikán, 1984; Bérczi-Makk, 1999). She found foraminifera in only a single level in core Bzs-10 and in two levels in core Bzs-11. From the latter core, Bérczi-Makk illustrated *Lenticulina* sp., which she defined as various species of the genus *Epistomina* (Bérczi-Makk, 1999, pl. X, fig. 1) and assigned these samples to the Bathonian–Callovian.

She identified the recrystallized miliolinids (*Labalina* sp., *Ophthalmidium* sp.) as *Involutina bükki* or as *Spillolina* sp. (e.g. Bérczi-Makk, 1999, pl. XI, fig. 1) from core Bzs-10 as well as from an outcrop at Odvasbükktető (Bérczi-Makk & Pelikán, 1984). Based on the ambiguous identification of *Planularia* (e.g. Bérczi-Makk, 1999, pl. XI, fig. 4) and *Lingulina nodosaria* (e.g. Bérczi-Makk, 1999, pl. XI, fig. 5), she assigned these beds to the Callovian–Oxfordian. From Mereked-lápá, *Mesoendothyra* cf. *croatica* was reported by Bérczi-Makk & Pelikán (1984, p. 142), although the location of the sample is somewhat ambiguously indicated on plate III. Otherwise, the illustrated specimens (pl. III, figs 5, 7) that were assigned to *Paalzowella* cf. *turbinella* belong to the genus *Siphovalvulina*, suggesting a Middle Jurassic age. In core Bzs-5, Bérczi-Makk (1999) recognized a *Gutnicella* gr. *cayeuxi* horizon and the appearance of *Protopeneroplis striata* above it. Referring to the work of Allemann & Schroeder (1975), who assigned this species to the Bajocian–Bathonian, she classified the entire succession of core Bzs-5 and the Bükkszérc Quarry (referred to as Patkó cliff, Bükkszérc) to the Bathonian–Callovian. It must be noted that the cited data are rather uncertain and the age assignment is unique in the literature.

The study of the foraminifera fauna in the succession exposed by core Bzs-11 led to important new results. It is particularly important that we found foraminifera (*Labalina rawiensis*, *Labalina* sp., *Nodosaria* sp. and *Cylindrotrocholina excelsa*) in the lower sandstone–shale interval that was assigned to the Lökővölgy Formation in core Bzs-11. These forms suggest a Middle Jurassic (Early Bajocian–Early Bathonian) age (Ruggieri & Giunta, 1965; Clerc, 2005).

The co-occurrence of *Mesoendothyra* *croatica*, *Labalina rawiensis*, *L. costata*, *L. occulta*, *Ophthalmidium caucasicum* and *Trocholina palustiensis* in the overlying limestone–radiolarite interval (Oldalvölgy–Cspikéstető Formation), both in cores Bzs-10 and -11, suggests a Middle Jurassic (probably Early Bajocian–Early Bathonian) age (Fig. 18; Derin & Reiss, 1965; Gutnic & Moullade, 1967; Septfontaine, 1974, 1978, 1981; Sotak, 1987; Heinz & Isenschmidt, 1988; Banner, Simmons & Whittaker, 1991; Chiocchini & Mancinelli, 1996; Bassoullet, 1997; Clerck, 2005; Velić, 2007).

In the upper part of cores Bzs-10 and -11, Late Triassic and Early and Middle Jurassic foraminifera faunas (similar, but poorer than below) were found in

(66.5 m), scale bar = 185 μm; (k) *Dictyomitrella* ?*kamoensis* Mizutani & Kido, 1983, Bzs-5 (66.7 m), scale bar = 100 μm; (l) *Eucyrtidiellum nodosum* Wakita, 1988, Hosszü-völgy, scale bar = 180 μm; (m) *Helvetocapsa matsuokai* (Sashida, 1988), Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 125 μm; (n) *Stichocapsa* sp. 1, Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 175 μm; (o) *Striatocapsa* *synconesia* O’Dogherty, Gorican & Dumitraca, 2005, Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 175 μm; (p) *Japonocapsa* *fusiformis* (Yao, 1979), Bzs-11 (60.0 m), scale bar = 135 μm; (q) *Praeconocaryomma* sp., Bzs-11 (60.0 m), scale bar = 100 μm; (r) *Paronaella* sp., Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 200 μm; (s) *Homoeoparonaella* sp., Hosszü-völgy, scale bar = 200 μm; (t) *Williriedellum* *sp.*, Bzs-11 (66.5 m), scale bar = 190 μm.
Jurassic geodynamic settings of the Bükk Mts, Hungary


The polymictic olistostrome horizons. The Late Triassic and Early Jurassic faunas occur in carbonate lithoclasts while the Middle Jurassic elements redeposited from unconsolidated sediment may be roughly coeval with the deposition of the olistostrome beds. Above the top of the core sections, blocks of the Bükkzsérc Limestone were mapped on the higher part of the slope of Odvasbük-tető, which contains Middle Jurassic faunas (i.e. Trocholina cf. palastiniensis, Protopeneroplis striata) in contrast to the previous Toarcian age dating for this locality (Bérczi-Makk & Pelikán, 1984).

In the type locality of the Bükkzsérc Formation the oldest layers (core Bzs-5, 51.9–45.0 m) are characterized the presence of the Aalenian–Early Bajocian Gutnicella gr. cayeuxi. Protopeneroplis striata was found above it (at 43.0 m). Both are characteristic forms of the outer platform environments (e.g. Septfontaine, 1981), but according to the relevant literature they never occur in the same stratigraphic level (e.g. Dufaure, 1958; Raffi & Forti 1959; Radoičić, 1966, 1987; Gutnic & Moullade, 1967; Crescenti, 1969, 1971; Velić, 2007). Co-occurrence of these two species in breccia beds of Bey Dağları, Taurus, Turkey (Bassoullet & Poisson, 1975) as well as in similar rocks in Iraqi Kurdistan (Radoičić, 1987) can be explained by sedimentological reasons, i.e. reworking of the older elements in clasts.

We have to note that there is only one uncertain record of the presence of the Gutnicella group in Upper Bajocian strata (Dufaure, 1958) and only very doubtful data are available on the occurrence of Protopeneroplis in Aalenian strata (Ferrari, 1962; Brun, 1968). There is no unambiguous record of this species from Lower Bajocian strata. Based on these facts, Gutnicella gr. cayeuxi indicates an Aalenian–Early Bajocian age, while the first occurrence of the genus Protopeneroplis can be dated to the late Early Bajocian. Thus, the disappearance of the Gutnicella group and the appearance of Protopeneroplis striata and Callorbis minor at about 43.0 m in core Bzs-5 would indicate the beginning of the Middle Bajocian (Humphriesianum Zone).

The appearance of Archaeosepta platierensis in Bed 7 in the Bükkzsérc Quarry indicates the beginning of the Late Bajocian. Based on the co-occurrence of A. platierensis, Callorbis minor and Labalina praecostata in Bed 13, this layer is older than Bathonian, most
probably Late Bajocian. In Beds 15–23 there are no suitable age indicator foraminifera. Based on the presence of the earliest Bajocian to latest Callovian *Labalina rawiensis* up to Bed 21, only a Late Jurassic age for this interval can be excluded.

An assemblage characterized by *Protopeneroplis striata* and *Callorbis minor* similar to that in 43–32 m of core Bzs-5 was recognized in lithoclastic beds in the Hődos-tető and Pap-hegyes localities (Figs 3, 13). These forms indicate a Middle to Late Bajocian age.

In the samples from Eregető, Late Bajocian complex agglutinated forms like *Meyendorffina cf. bathonica* and *Kilianina cf. blancheti* were recognized; this is the youngest assemblage found in the study area. The presence of the larger orbitolinids *Gutnicella*, *Meyendorffina* and *Kilianina* indicate continuous carbonate platform development during the (Aalenian)–Early Bajocian–Late Bajocian interval in the proximity of the depositional area of the Mónosbél Group.

### 4.c. Chronostratigraphic interpretation

There are uncertainties in the structural model, which influence the assumed relationships of the lithostratigraphic units, and there are uncertainties in the radiolarian and foraminifera biostratigraphy as well; thus, the construction of a coherent chronostratigraphic scheme is not easy. Based on our studies the following interpretation can be outlined. Evaluation of the new radiolarian data allowed a very wide age range for the Bányahegy Radiolarite from the Early Bajocian to the Early Kimmeridgian. If a younger age (younger than Bajocian) is valid, we must find a tectonic solution as was proposed by Csontos (2000). However, if the Bányahegy Radiolarite is Early Bajocian in age, a continuous succession from the Bányahegy Radiolarite through the Lőkvölgy Formation to the Mónosbél Group cannot be excluded either (see Fig. 4). We have a few foraminifera biostratigraphic data from the upper part of the Lőkvölgy Formation suggesting an Early Bajocian to Early Bajocian stage. Based on the foraminifera fauna in core Bzs-11, the Oldalvölgy–Csípkéstető Formation can be assigned to the Early Bajocian–Early Bajocian as well. Taking into account all of these data, the age of the Oldalvölgy–Csípkéstető Formation is Bajocian, probably Early Bajocian (Fig. 4).

Radiolarians found at 66.7 m in core Bzs-5 indicate an Early Bajocian to Early Bajocian stage. However, this fauna was derived from breccia grains. Consequently the depositional age of the breccia bed, which is assigned to the Mónosbél Group, is probably Bajocian in age.

Based on the foraminifera fauna, the age of the Bükkzsérc Limestone in core Bzs-5 is (Aalenian?)–Early Bajocian. This age date suggests that the Bükkzsérc Limestone is present here as a block (or blocks) within the Mónosbél Group (Fig. 4). The age range of the Bükkzsérc Limestone encompasses the (Aalenian?) Early Bajocian to the Late Bajocian.

According to the foraminifera fauna encountered in the sample from Eregető E, the deposition of the lithoclastic beds (Mónosbél Formation) continued at least until Late Bathonian time (Fig. 4).

### 5. Relationships

In northeastern Hungary (Darnó Unit and Rudabánya Hills) and southeastern Slovakia (Meliata Unit), Middle to Upper Jurassic polymictic redeposited gravity deposits and ophiolite mélange complexes are known that show more or less similar features to those in the southwestern Bükk Mountains. They can be interpreted as tectonically transported, dispersed elements of the Neotethys suture zone (e.g. Pamić, 1997; Haas & Kovács, 2001; Dimitrijevic et al. 2003; Haas et al. 2006, 2011) (Fig. 1).

The Mónosbél Group extends over the limits of the study area in the Bükk Mountains and continues into the Darnó area (Fig. 1). It was also recognized in ore exploratory wells in the basement of a Tertiary sedimentary and volcanic complex in the Mátra Mountains (Haas et al. 2006, 2011; Kovács et al. 2007). Olistoliths of marine Upper Permian and Upper Triassic Hallstatt Limestone were encountered here within Bajocian to Callovian shale and radiolarite. The thickness of the olistostrome-rich intervals may exceed 100 m. The usually matrix-supported breccia is made up mostly of radiolaria-bearing silicified rock types (radiolarian wackestone and packstone, radiolarite). However, a thin intercalation with re-deposited oolite and oncoid grains was also encountered in a studied core section (Haas et al. 2011). In a borehole (Rm-109) drilled near Kékes Peak (Mátra Mountains), Bajocian platform-derived redeposited carbonates, more proximal than those in the Bükk Mountains, were encountered in a thickness of more than 200 m (Haas et al. 2006).

Olistostrome, graded calcarenite and mixed siliciclastic–carbonate sandstone beds were recently found on the southern slope of Csipkés Hill, Rudabánya Hills, northeast of the Bükk Mountains (Fig. 1) (Kővér et al. 2009). The foraminifera assemblage (*Callorbis minor, Protopeneroplis striata, Planinvoluta sp., Trochammina sp, Siphovatulina sp., Tubinella sp.*) found in the matrix of graded turbidite beds is similar to that found in the Bükkszérc Limestone. The upper part of the section contains olistostrome horizons. The olistostromes are grain-supported, containing clasts from 1–2 mm to 40–50 mm in size. Typical components are Middle Triassic grey platform carbonates (Steinalm Limestone), Middle and Upper Triassic red cherty limestone of basin facies (Bódvalenke Formation) and Upper Triassic pink and grey limestone of basin facies (Hallstatt Limestone) (Kővér et al. 2009).

There are two important occurrences of the Meliata Unit in southeastern Slovakia (Faryad, 1999) (Fig. 1.). Near the village of Meliata, dark shale with radiolarite, sandstone and olistostrome intercalations occurs. Based on radiolarians, the age of the radiolarite
interbeds is Middle Bathonian to Early Oxfordian (Kozur, Mock & Ožvoldová, 1996). Large blocks (olistoliths) of Triassic rocks and Triassic and Jurassic radiolarite commonly occur in the shale matrix. The olistostromes contain mostly carbonate clasts (Carnian grey cherty limestone, Carnian and Norian limestone), but red radiolarian chert clasts also occur (Mock et al. 1998). In some breccia beds the basal clasts are predominant (Mock et al. 1998).

The other important occurrence of the Meliata Unit is located near to the village of Jaklovce. Here the mélangé is made up mostly of olistoliths of various sizes, whereas the sandstone to microbreccia and olistostrome intercalations are less common in the Middle Jurassic dark shale matrix (Kozur & Mock, 1995). The blocks consist of light, probably shallow marine, slightly metamorphosed limestone, siliciclastic rocks, pelagic cherty limestone, dolomite, radiolarite, rhyolite, basalt and serpentinite. Aaleian–Bajocian and Callovian–Oxfordian radiolarian faunas were found in the red limestone, and radiolarite occurs above the basalt blocks (Aubrecht et al. 2010).

A strongly tectonized and partly reworked ophiolite mélange complex occurs in the northwestern part of Medvednica Mountains, southern part of Ivanščica Mountains, in the southeastern part of Samoborska Gora and in the central part of the Kalnik Mountains in Croatia, which were assigned to the Kalnik Unit (Fig. 1) (Haas et al. 2000). In the mélange complex, large blocks of basalt, gabbro, serpentinite, radiolarite and limestone of various facies and ages occur in a radiolarite and shale matrix (Pamić, 2003).

From the Medvednica Mountains, Triassic carbonate olistoliths and matrix-supported polymictic conglomerates containing clasts of Triassic radiolarian chert, Jurassic silicified shale and sandstone, basalt and ultramafic magmatic rocks were reported. Radiolarians found in the radiolarite matrix proved a latest Bajocian–Early Bathonian to Late Bathonian–Early Callovian age for the mélange complex (Halamić et al. 1999; Halamić, Marchig & Goričan, 2005). This lithofacies is very similar to those of the Mónosbél Formation in the Bükk as far as both the matrix and the components of the olistostromes are concerned; moreover their ages are also similar. In the Jurassic mélange complex of Kalnik Mountains the Triassic basalt olistoliths show definite genetic relationships with the Triassic volcano-clastic bodies known in the Darná area, North Hungary (Kiss, Molnár & Palinkás, 2008; Kiss et al. 2010).

In the Dinarides, ophiolite mélangé complexes comparable to those in the Bükk area occur in the Dinaridic Ophiolite Belt (Fig. 1) (Dimitrijević et al. 2003). The ophiolite mélange contains fragments of obducted ophiolite (lherzolite), Triassic and Jurassic limestone olistoliths, and polymictic olistostromes. Carnian to Upper Jurassic radiolarian chert (Gorjanc, Karamata & Batočanin-Srećković, 1999; Vlčevská, Deric & Zakariadze, 2009), greywacke, basalt, gabbro, ultramafic rocks, granite, and Triassic and Jurassic limestone are typical clastic components of the olistostromes. The Jurassic matrix is usually argillaceous, silty, less frequently sandy and locally radiolaritic (Karamata et al. 2000; Pamić, Tomljenović & Balen, 2002; Dimitrijević et al. 2003; Karamata, 2006; Robertson, Karamata & Šarić, 2009, Gawlick et al. 2009).

Many common sedimentological features of the Jurassic complexes discussed above can be limited to the processes of the Neotethys closure. However, owing to their different palaeo-position, the composition of the redeposited clasts shows significant differences depending on geologic features of the source area. The most striking difference is the common occurrence of the Middle Jurassic redeposited oolitic lithoclasts, olistoliths and individual ooids and platform-derived bioclasts in the olistostromes of the Bükk Mountains, which was not reported from Dinaridic olistostromes. However, Middle Jurassic bioclastic and oolitic carbonate turbidite interbeds were encountered in radiolarite of some exposures in the Dinaridic Ophiolite Belt (Haas et al. 2010).

6. Jurassic geodynamic setting and related sediment deposition in the Bükk area in the frame of the western Neotethys evolution

The Bükk Unit reached its present-day setting only during Tertiary time as a result of multiple large-scale tectonic movements along the Mid-Hungarian Fault Zone, together with other fragments originating from various parts of the South Alpine and Dinaridic domains (e.g. Csontos et al. 1992; Csontos & Nagymarosy, 1998; Fodor et al. 1999; Schmid et al. 2008; Kovács & Haas, 2010). Its primary nappe stacking, regional metamorphism and folding took place during Late Mesozoic times, prior to the long-distance displacement of the unit. Consequently, the Alpine geodynamic evolution of the Bükk area and its tectonically controlled sediment deposition can be interpreted only within the framework of the evolution of the northwestern Neotethys realm.

The geodynamic and palaeogeographic interpretation of the northwestern Neotethys has been the subject of discussions for a long time. The key issue of the debate is the interpretation of the structural setting and evolution of the Dinaridic Ophiolite Belt. According to several authors it is the remnant of an in situ oceanic basin (e.g. Dimitrijević, 1997; Dimitrijević et al. 2003; Karamata, 2006) that can be correlated with the Pindos oceanic basin in the Hellenides (Robertson & Shallo, 2000; Stampflí et al. 2001; Csontos & Vörös, 2004; Karamata, 2006; Robertson, Karamata & Šarić, 2009). According to other authors it is an ophiolite nappe, emplaced by westward obduction from the Vardar Zone (e.g. Bortolotti et al. 2005; Schmid et al. 2008; Gawlick et al. 2008). There is a crucial difference between the two models. In the former the Jadar, Drina-Ivanjica and other units were dismembered from the Adriatic margin as a result of the opening of the western oceanic basin (Dinaridic Ophiolite Belt), while in the latter
they are tectonic windows exposing the distal Adriatic margin.

The metamorphic soles of the Dinaridic ophiolite formed during Middle to Late Jurassic time (based mostly on K–Ar dating of 147–174 Ma; Sprey et al. 1984; Karamata, 1985). The ophiolite and the associated mélange represent a subduction complex controlled by tectonic accretion and sedimentary redeposition (Robertson, Karamata & Šarić, 2009). Collision of a subduction trench with a continental margin may have been the cause of the Jurassic ophiolite emplacement. However, parts of the western Neotethys Ocean (the Vardar Zone Western Belt – Karamata, 2006; Sava Zone – Schmid et al. 2008) remained open until Late Cretaceous time; its closure was followed by regional-scale southward thrusting.

The Upper Palaeozoic to Triassic succession of the Bükk Mountains shows striking similarity to that of the Carnic Alps–Southern Karawanks, the Julian Alps, and the Sana–Una and Jadar blocks of the Dinarides (e.g. Protić et al. 2000; Filipović et al. 2003), suggesting that in Late Palaeozoic time they were located in the inner offshore zone of the Tethys, relatively close to each other. In Early Triassic time they were parts of a rather uniform marginal ramp typified by mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation that turned to carbonate, then siliciclastic deposition in the Anisian (Hips & Pelikán, 2002). In Early Triassic time they were parts of a rather uniform marginal ramp typified by mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation that turned to carbonate deposition in the Anisian (Hips & Pelikán, 2002). Neotethys rifting in Late Anisian to Early Ladinian time led to segmentation of this ramp; isolated platforms and grabens were formed (Velledits, 2000). These plate tectonic processes may have involved the cause of the Jurassic ophiolite emplacement. However, parts of the western Neotethys Ocean (the Vardar Zone Western Belt – Karamata, 2006; Sava Zone – Schmid et al. 2008) remained open until Late Cretaceous time; its closure was followed by regional-scale southward thrusting.

The Upper Palaeozoic to Triassic succession of the Bükk Mountains shows striking similarity to that of the Carnic Alps–Southern Karawanks, the Julian Alps, and the Sana–Una and Jadar blocks of the Dinarides (e.g. Protić et al. 2000; Filipović et al. 2003), suggesting that in Late Palaeozoic time they were located in the inner offshore zone of the Tethys, relatively close to each other. In Early Triassic time they were parts of a rather uniform marginal ramp typified by mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation that turned to carbonate deposition in the Anisian (Hips & Pelikán, 2002). Neotethys rifting in Late Anisian to Early Ladinian time led to segmentation of this ramp; isolated platforms and grabens were formed (Velledits, 2000). These plate tectonic processes may have involved the cause of the Jurassic ophiolite emplacement. However, parts of the western Neotethys Ocean (the Vardar Zone Western Belt – Karamata, 2006; Sava Zone – Schmid et al. 2008) remained open until Late Cretaceous time; its closure was followed by regional-scale southward thrusting.

The Upper Palaeozoic to Triassic succession of the Bükk Mountains shows striking similarity to that of the Carnic Alps–Southern Karawanks, the Julian Alps, and the Sana–Una and Jadar blocks of the Dinarides (e.g. Protić et al. 2000; Filipović et al. 2003), suggesting that in Late Palaeozoic time they were located in the inner offshore zone of the Tethys, relatively close to each other. In Early Triassic time they were parts of a rather uniform marginal ramp typified by mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation that turned to carbonate deposition in the Anisian (Hips & Pelikán, 2002). Neotethys rifting in Late Anisian to Early Ladinian time led to segmentation of this ramp; isolated platforms and grabens were formed (Velledits, 2000). These plate tectonic processes may have involved the cause of the Jurassic ophiolite emplacement. However, parts of the western Neotethys Ocean (the Vardar Zone Western Belt – Karamata, 2006; Sava Zone – Schmid et al. 2008) remained open until Late Cretaceous time; its closure was followed by regional-scale southward thrusting.

The Upper Palaeozoic to Triassic succession of the Bükk Mountains shows striking similarity to that of the Carnic Alps–Southern Karawanks, the Julian Alps, and the Sana–Una and Jadar blocks of the Dinarides (e.g. Protić et al. 2000; Filipović et al. 2003), suggesting that in Late Palaeozoic time they were located in the inner offshore zone of the Tethys, relatively close to each other. In Early Triassic time they were parts of a rather uniform marginal ramp typified by mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation that turned to carbonate deposition in the Anisian (Hips & Pelikán, 2002). Neotethys rifting in Late Anisian to Early Ladinian time led to segmentation of this ramp; isolated platforms and grabens were formed (Velledits, 2000). These plate tectonic processes may have involved the cause of the Jurassic ophiolite emplacement. However, parts of the western Neotethys Ocean (the Vardar Zone Western Belt – Karamata, 2006; Sava Zone – Schmid et al. 2008) remained open until Late Cretaceous time; its closure was followed by regional-scale southward thrusting.

The Upper Palaeozoic to Triassic succession of the Bükk Mountains shows striking similarity to that of the Carnic Alps–Southern Karawanks, the Julian Alps, and the Sana–Una and Jadar blocks of the Dinarides (e.g. Protić et al. 2000; Filipović et al. 2003), suggesting that in Late Palaeozoic time they were located in the inner offshore zone of the Tethys, relatively close to each other. In Early Triassic time they were parts of a rather uniform marginal ramp typified by mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation that turned to carbonate deposition in the Anisian (Hips & Pelikán, 2002). Neotethys rifting in Late Anisian to Early Ladinian time led to segmentation of this ramp; isolated platforms and grabens were formed (Velledits, 2000). These plate tectonic processes may have involved the cause of the Jurassic ophiolite emplacement. However, parts of the western Neotethys Ocean (the Vardar Zone Western Belt – Karamata, 2006; Sava Zone – Schmid et al. 2008) remained open until Late Cretaceous time; its closure was followed by regional-scale southward thrusting.
stage of the Adriatic (Apulian) margin of the western Neotethys during Bajocian time. Dismembered and drowned blocks of the former platforms were already deep pelagic basins, by that time far from the still-existing platform.

The appearance of the polymictic gravity deposits (olistostromes), and later on large slid blocks (olistoliths), suggests the onset of the formation of accretionary complexes in the third stage of the evolution in Late Bathonian time. The compressive tectonic movements led to imbrication, stacking of thrust slices and uplifting and disruption of the previously deposited and already lithified periplatform carbonate deposits. In the course of the overthrusting movements, the older basement rocks may also have been exposed and subjected to erosion.

In the Bükk Unit, obduction may have taken place during Middle Jurassic to earliest Cretaceous time (Balla, 1987; Csontos, 2000) and led to development of a subduction-related mélange complex containing blocks of ophiolite and fragments of the Adriatic continental margin. Further compression resulted in the overthrust of the mélange complex onto the blocks dismembered earlier from the Adriatic margin, leading to regional metamorphism of the Upper Palaeozoic to Upper Jurassic formations in late Early Cretaceous (110–120 Ma) and Late Cretaceous (90 Ma) times (Árkai, Balogh & Dunkl, 1995).

7. Conclusions

(1) Displaced elements of the Neotethys ophiolite mélange complex occur in the Bükk-Darnó area, in North Hungary. Study of the depositional facies and age determination of the subduction-related sedimentary formations on the one hand, and detailed petrographic analysis, facies interpretation and age determination of the clastic components of the mélange on the other, provided important data for detection of the origin of clastic material and reconstruction of a complex ocean closure history.

(2) In Middle Triassic time, the opening of the Neotethys Ocean led to differentiation of the previously uniform shallow marine Adriatic margin, and probably large blocks were dismembered from the marginal part of the later Adriatic–Dinaridic Carbonate Platform. The Bükk Unit may have been one of the dismembered blocks where the carbonate ramps/platforms were subject to drowning by the end of the Triassic Period. During Middle to earliest Late Jurassic time, after a long-lasting marine erosion and/or non-depositional period, a radiolarite veneer was formed under deep-sea conditions over the shallow- and on the deep-marine Triassic carbonates. This was followed by deposition of siliciclastic gravity successions. However, the relationship between this sequence and the overlying Mónosbél Group is still uncertain; it is either continuous or tectonic (overthrust contact).

(3) The Bükkzsérc Limestone is a peculiar formation of the Jurassic of the Bükk Mountains that occurs mostly in the form of redeposited clasts and slid blocks. The limestone is typically made up of redeposited platform-derived grains, which were deposited in a toe-of-platform foreslope and periplatform basin setting during (?Aalenian) Early to Late Bajocian time.

(4) Showing a general coarsening-upward trend, the Mónosbél Group was formed in subduction-related basins. Based on radiolarians and foraminifera in the matrix of olistostrome interbeds, the formations of the Mónosbél Group were most probably deposited during Bajocian time. In the lower part of the group (Oldalvölgy–Csipkéstető Formation), pelagic carbonates, shale and radiolarite prevail. The higher part of the succession is characterized by polymictic olistostromes (Mónosbél Formation). Large olistoliths that are predominantly blocks of the Bükkzsérc Limestone appear in the upper part of the sequence.

(5) The appearance of the polymictic olistostromes with shallow- and deep-marine carbonate, siliciclastic, basic to acidic volcaniclastic and metamorphic components implies stacking of thrust slices in a compressional regime, probably in Late Bajocian time. This was followed by input of large slid blocks, mostly of the Bükkzsérc Limestone. The common occurrence of the ‘Bükkzsérc-type’ olistoliths is a special characteristic of the Bükk Mountains and has not been reported from any other Jurassic olistostromes in the western Neotethys realm. It suggests the involvement of the Bajocian platform foreslope and periplatform basin zones in the nappe accretion that took place, probably in Bathonian time.
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