Early Corneal Cellular and Nerve Fiber Pathology in Young Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Identified Using Corneal Confocal Microscopy
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PURPOSE. The aim of this study was to quantify epithelial, stromal, and endothelial cell density, and subbasal nerve morphology in young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus with and without diabetic retinopathy.

METHODS. A total of 28 young patients (mean age, 22.86 ± 9.05 years) with type 1 diabetes, with (n = 18) and without (n = 10) retinopathy, and 17 age-matched healthy control subjects (mean age, 26.53 ± 2.43 years) underwent corneal confocal microscopy (CCM).

RESULTS. We found significantly lower epithelial (P < 0.0001) and endothelial (P = 0.001) cell densities and higher keratocyte cell density (P = 0.024) in patients with type 1 diabetes compared to controls. Significantly lower corneal nerve fiber density (P = 0.004), nerve branch density (P = 0.004), total nerve branch density (P = 0.04), and nerve fiber length (P = 0.001), and greater nerve fiber width (P = 0.04) were observed in patients with type 1 diabetes compared to control subjects. Significantly lower epithelial (P < 0.001) and endothelial (P = 0.02) cell densities, nerve branch density (P = 0.02), and nerve fiber length (P = 0.04), and significantly higher keratocyte cell density (P = 0.02) were found in patients with type 1 diabetes without retinopathy compared to control subjects.

CONCLUSIONS. Corneal confocal microscopy identifies corneal cellular and small nerve fiber pathology in young patients with type 1 diabetes without retinopathy, which increases in severity in those with retinopathy. Corneal confocal microscopy appears to have considerable use as an imaging biomarker for early subclinical pathology in young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus.

Keywords: diabetic neuropathy, diabetic retinopathy, in vivo confocal microscopy, subbasal corneal nerves, type 1 diabetes mellitus
Table 1. Clinical Characteristics and Metabolic Data in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus With and Without DR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without DR</th>
<th>With DR</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM, y</td>
<td>5.79 ± 2.58</td>
<td>21.56 ± 6.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>7.91 ± 0.94 (7.49–8.43)</td>
<td>9.38 ± 3.2 (6.70–12.05)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, mmol/mol</td>
<td>63.0 ± 10.43 (57.23–68.77)</td>
<td>79.13 ± 34.76 (50.06–108.19)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.83 (3.55–4.47)</td>
<td>5.56 ± 1.11 (4.18–6.94)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.23 (0.74–0.99)</td>
<td>4.10 ± 2.83 (0.59–7.61)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.27 (1.61–1.90)</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.51 (0.28–1.56)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²</td>
<td>&gt; 90 in all patients</td>
<td>69.6 ± 28.27 (45.99–93.26)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD (95% CI).

observational study was to establish whether corneal cellular and nerve alterations determined using CCM occur in young patients with type 1 diabetes and whether they are associated with the presence and severity of DR.

Materials and Methods

Study Subjects

We studied 28 individuals (15 males and 13 females; mean age, 22.86 ± 9.05 years) with type 1 diabetes mellitus and 17 age-matched healthy subjects (9 males and 8 females; mean age, 26.55 ± 2.43 years). Control subjects had no past or current history of ocular disease and both groups had no history of contact lens wearing or intraocular surgery. Patients with type 1 diabetes were divided into those without (18 patients; mean age, 16.45 ± 2.59 years) and with (10 patients; mean age, 32.51 ± 5.99 years) retinopathy. Ophthalmologic examination in diabetic patients included slit-lamp examination, dilated fundus photography, IOP measurement, and CCM. The study was performed in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and we obtained Local Ethical Committee approval at the University of Debrecen. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Children (under 18 years of age) were enrolled in the study after obtaining informed consent from their parents or legal guardians.

Methods

After taking the medical history and visual acuity, CCM was performed in all participants in both eyes using Heidelberg Retina Tomograph III Rostock Cornea Module (HRT III RCM; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). Genteal Gel (0.3% hypromellose; Novartis Ophthalmics, East Hanover, NJ, USA) was applied in a disposable sterile polymethylmethacrylate cap (Tomo-Cap; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH), which was placed on the tip of the objective lens. A drop of local anesthetic (tetracaine hydrochloride 0.4%) was administered to both eyes and the subject was asked to fixate on a distant target before commencing scanning of the central cornea. The procedure took approximately 5 minutes to perform and none of the subjects complained of pain, inconvenience, or visual disturbance. All examinations were performed by a single experienced examiner (ES). Section and volume scans of the central cornea were recorded with the Heidelberg HRT-III microscope, with 384 × 384 pixels and a field of view of 400 × 400 µm². The right eyes of normal controls and diabetic patients without retinopathy were used for analysis. In patients with DR only the eligible eye (no previous intraocular surgery) was used for analysis. Three good quality images (without motion artifact) of the basal epithelium, posterior stroma, endothelium, and subbasal nerve plexus were selected and used for image analysis by the examiner (ES). The average of three measurements was used for further comparative analysis. Basal epithelium was defined as the first three clear scans anterior to Bowman’s layer and the posterior stroma was defined as the first three clear images immediately anterior to Descemet’s membrane.

For cell counting, the instrument-based software was used which provided semiautomated cell density measurements. On the epithelial, keratocyte, and endothelial cell scan, a region of interest (ROI) was chosen that contained at least 50 cells, then the cells were marked manually and the software automatically calculated cell density (cells/mm²). A ROI area was 0.033 ± 0.001 mm² for the epithelium, 0.157 ± 0.0005 mm² for the stromal layer, and 0.032 ± 0.006 mm² for the endothelium. Subbasal nerve plexus morphology was quantified using automated analysis software (ACCMetrics; University of Manchester, Manchester, UK). The following parameters were quantified: nerve fiber density (NFD), the number of nerve fibers/mm²; nerve branch density (NBD), the number of primary branch points on the main nerve fibers/mm²; nerve fiber length (NFL), the total length of nerves mm²/mm²; nerve fiber total branch density (TBD), the total number of branch points/mm²; nerve fiber area (NFA), the total nerve fiber area mm²/mm²; and nerve fiber width (NFW), the average nerve fiber width mm/mm².

Dilated fundus investigation was performed in all diabetic patients. The International Clinical Diabetic Retinopathy Disease Severity Scale was applied to classify the stage of DR using fundus photographs: 0 = no retinopathy, 1 = mild nonproliferative DR, 2 = moderate nonproliferative DR, 3 = severe nonproliferative DR, and 4 = proliferative DR.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistical results were described as mean, standard deviation (SD), and 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc Version 10.2.0 and SPSS 13.0 for Windows. An ANOVA with post hoc Tukey test was applied for comparison among three groups. For continuous variables, Student’s t test was used for comparing two groups; for univariate analysis, the χ² test was used. For bivariate correlation analysis, Pearson correlation test was used. A P value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients’ characteristics and clinical data are detailed in Table 1. There was no significant difference in mean age between
patients with type 1 diabetes and controls ($P = 0.08$). Of the patients with type 1 diabetes, 15 had no retinopathy, while 2 had stage 2, 1 stage 3 and 7 stage 4 DR. Among individuals with retinopathy, 9 patients (mean age, 31.26 ± 4.52 years) had undergone panretinal laser photocoagulation (PRP).

### Corneal Cellular Alterations

Basal epithelial ($P < 0.0001$) and endothelial ($P = 0.001$) cell densities were significantly lower in patients with type 1 diabetes without and with retinopathy compared to control subjects (Fig. 1, Table 2). Keratocyte cell density in the posterior stroma was higher in patients with type 1 diabetes compared to controls ($P = 0.02$). Basal epithelial ($P < 0.0001$) and endothelial ($P = 0.02$) cell density was significantly lower, and keratocyte cell density was greater ($P = 0.02$) in patients with type 1 diabetes without retinopathy compared to control subjects. Epithelial ($P = 0.04$) and endothelial ($P = 0.004$) cell densities were lower in patients with type 1 diabetes with retinopathy compared to patients without retinopathy.

### Corneal Nerve Alterations

Significantly lower NFD ($P = 0.004$), NBD ($P = 0.004$), NFL ($P = 0.001$), and TBD ($P = 0.04$) values were observed in patients with type 1 diabetes compared to healthy control subjects (Figs. 2, 3; Table 3). There was no significant difference in NFA among the three groups ($P = 0.144$). Nerve fiber width was significantly greater in patients with type 1 diabetes compared to control subjects ($P = 0.04$). Post hoc analysis demonstrated no significant difference in NFD ($P = 0.256$), but a lower NBD ($P = 0.02$), and NFL ($P = 0.04$) in patients with type 1 diabetes without retinopathy compared to control subjects. Nerve fiber density ($P = 0.003$), NBD ($P = 0.006$), NFL ($P = 0.001$), NFW ($P = 0.03$), and TBD ($P = 0.05$) were all significantly lower in patients with type 1 diabetes with retinopathy compared to control subjects. There was a further significant reduction in NFD ($P = 0.03$) in diabetes patients compared to patients without retinopathy.

There was a significant inverse correlation between NFD ($r = -0.428, P = 0.029$) and NFL ($r = -0.387, P = 0.046$) with the stage of retinopathy. The serum cholesterol level in patients with type 1 diabetes correlated inversely with NFL ($r = -0.511, P = 0.025$) and NFW ($r = -0.450, P = 0.047$). There was no significant correlation between the duration of diabetes, hemoglobin A1C (HbA1c), serum triglycerides, high density lipoprotein (HDL), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) with any of the morphologic parameters ($P > 0.05$).

### DISCUSSION

The human cornea contains sensory nerve fibers originated from the trigeminal nerve and sympathetic axons from the superior cervical ganglion. Stomal nerve bundles enter the cornea at its periphery and before penetrating Bowman’s membrane, they compose the subepithelial plexus. After dividing into several smaller branches, subbasal plexus nerves innervate the corneal epithelium and form nerve terminals with a considerably higher density in the central cornea than in the periphery. Corneal nerves have a pivotal role in maintaining the functional and morphologic integrity of the ocular surface and serve protective and trophic functions. In vivo corneal confocal microscopy has been used as a rapid, noninvasive ophthalmic imaging technique to quantify the cornea at the cellular level in several different pathologies. It has been applied widely in adults with diabetes and, indeed, it has been shown to detect subclinical neuropathy, stratify the severity of neuropathy, predict the development of clinical neuropathy, and nerve repair after therapy.

### TABLE 2. Corneal Microstructural Alterations in Healthy Subjects Compared to Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus With and Without DR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Patients</th>
<th>Healthy Subjects</th>
<th>Without DR</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>With DR</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial cell density, cells/mm²</td>
<td>9024.76 ± 962.83</td>
<td>6942.73 ± 881.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>5923.14 ± 739.88</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratocyte cell density, cells/mm²</td>
<td>268.84 ± 40.09</td>
<td>314.11 ± 52.98</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>289.15 ± 44.85</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial cell density, cells/mm²</td>
<td>3497.62 ± 519.84</td>
<td>3250.36 ± 421.49</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2639.17 ± 227.49</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD (95% CI).

* ANOVA among the three groups.

† Post hoc analysis comparing healthy subjects to type 1 diabetes patients without DR and type 1 diabetes patients without DR to patients with DR.
also have previously shown that corneal nerve abnormalities occur in patients with type 1 diabetes without retinopathy and, therefore, may be the earliest of the microvascular complications.

Studies in children and adolescents are limited, but we recently have shown good reproducibility and usability of CCM in young children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Also in a small pilot study of children with types 1 and 2 diabetes, we previously showed no change in corneal nerve morphology using corneal confocal microscopy. A recent study using OCT in children with type 1 diabetes also has shown no differences in the nerve fiber layer and ganglion cell layer. Quantitative sensory tests, such as vibration perception thresholds and tactile perception thresholds, are inadequate for screening early diabetic neuropathy in pediatric populations.

Given that we and others have shown previously abnormal corneal nerve morphology in adult diabetic patients without or with minimal neuropathy, we have undertaken CCM in young patients with type 1 diabetes. We demonstrate widespread corneal microstructural alterations in these patients with type 1 diabetes without DR, which are worse in those with retinopathy. Decreased basal epithelial and endothelial cell density was observed and is similar to findings in adults and children with diabetes. In our study, keratocyte cell density in the posterior stroma was higher in young patients with type 1 diabetes compared to controls. This is in contrast with our previous study showing no significant difference in posterior keratocyte cell density between healthy subjects and adults with type 2 diabetes. The mechanism for increased keratocyte density in these young patients with type 1 diabetes is unclear but a number of growth factors, including fibroblast growth factor-2, insulin, and platelet-derived growth factor-BB, have been shown to induce keratocyte proliferation. Additionally, a number of signal transduction pathways are activated when diabetic keratocytes are cultured in Il-1z or TNF-a, which may impact on cell proliferation and, hence, density.

We also demonstrated in particular lower corneal nerve branch density and length, in young diabetic patients without retinopathy or microalbuminuria, confirming previous studies in adults with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, automated analysis has enabled us to identify lower total branch density, confirming early more distal loss of nerve branches with greater NFW, consistent with this loss of thinner more distal branches. Interestingly, total nerve fiber area was comparable between control subjects and diabetic patients, presumably due to the early relative preservation of main nerve fibers with a primary reduction in nerve branches. In the present study, there was a significant association between the corneal nerve alterations and the presence and severity of retinopathy, confirming previous studies.

A limitation of this study is that we did not screen participants for other causes of neuropathy. Therefore, it is possible, although unlikely in this young population, that some participants may have had a neuropathy from a cause other than diabetes, potentially confounding our data.

**FIGURE 2.** Annotated image of the subbasal nerve plexus using ACCMetrics software (red, fiber; blue, branch; green, branch point). (A) normal nerve fiber morphology of a healthy individual. (B) Decreased nerve fiber density and altered morphology of a patient with type 1 diabetes mellitus for 10 years without retinopathy (HbA1c, 9.8%, 84 mmol/mol). (C) Subbasal nerve damage in a patient with type 1 diabetes mellitus for 27 years and Grade 4 retinopathy (HbA1c, 8.7%, 72 mmol/mol).

**FIGURE 3.** Nerve fiber density (A), NBD (B), NFA (C), NFL (D), TBD (E), NFW (F) in control subjects and patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) with and without retinopathy.
In conclusion, in vivo CCM appears to be a valuable, noninvasive ophthalmic imaging tool for identifying early corneal cellular and nerve fiber pathology in young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus, before the development of DR. This adds to the weight of evidence suggesting that CCM has considerable potential in identifying early subclinical pathology in patients with type 1 diabetes.
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Table 3. Subbasal Nerve Plexus Morphology in Healthy Subjects Compared to Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus With and Without DR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy Subjects (n = 17)</th>
<th>Without DR (n = 18)</th>
<th>P†</th>
<th>With DR (n = 10)</th>
<th>P†</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nerve fiber density, No./mm²</td>
<td>16.92 ± 5.61</td>
<td>12.79 ± 7.72</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>6.13 ± 5.37</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13.15–20.69)</td>
<td>(8.82–16.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.00–10.26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve branch density, No./mm²</td>
<td>27.24 ± 17.82</td>
<td>13.04 ± 7.59</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.74 ± 11.00</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15.27–39.22)</td>
<td>(8.99–17.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6.01–9.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve fiber length, mm/mm²</td>
<td>13.30 ± 2.27</td>
<td>10.28 ± 3.85</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.65 ± 2.13</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve fiber total branch density, No./mm²</td>
<td>43.04 ± 22.11</td>
<td>28.80 ± 13.74</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>24.42 ± 15.72</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(28.19–57.89)</td>
<td>(21.96–35.63)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(12.34–35.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve fiber area, mm²/mm²</td>
<td>0.0064 ± 0.0021</td>
<td>0.0054 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.0049 ± 0.0017</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0045–0.0082)</td>
<td>(0.0045–0.0061)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0036–0.0063)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve fiber width, mm²/mm²</td>
<td>0.0224 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>0.0234 ± 0.0024</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.0252 ± 0.0033</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0215–0.0236)</td>
<td>(0.0223–0.0247)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0210–0.0282)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD (95% CI).
* ANOVA among the three groups.
† Post hoc analysis comparing healthy subjects to type 1 diabetes patients without DR and type 1 diabetes patients without DR to patients with DR.


