

Cytomolecular discrimination of the A^m chromosomes of *Triticum monococcum* and the A chromosomes of *Triticum aestivum* using microsatellite DNA repeats

Mária Megyeri¹ · Péter Mikó¹ · András Farkas¹ · Márta Molnár-Láng¹ · István Molnár¹

Received: 19 May 2016 / Revised: 30 June 2016 / Accepted: 5 July 2016
© Institute of Plant Genetics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poznan 2016

Abstract The cytomolecular discrimination of the A^m- and A-genome chromosomes facilitates the selection of wheat-*Triticum monococcum* introgression lines. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) with the commonly used DNA probes Afa family, 18S rDNA and pSc119.2 showed that the more complex hybridisation pattern obtained in *T. monococcum* relative to bread wheat made it possible to differentiate the A^m and A chromosomes within homoeologous groups 1, 4 and 5. In order to provide additional chromosomal landmarks to discriminate the A^m and A chromosomes, the microsatellite repeats (GAA)_n, (CAG)_n, (CAC)_n, (AAC)_n, (AGG)_n and (ACT)_n were tested as FISH probes. These showed that *T. monococcum* chromosomes have fewer, generally weaker, simple sequence repeat (SSR) signals than the A-genome chromosomes of hexaploid wheat. A differential hybridisation pattern was observed on 6A^m and 6A chromosomes with all the SSR probes tested except for the (ACT)_n probe. The 2A^m and 2A chromosomes were differentiated by the signals given by the (GAA)_n, (CAG)_n and (AAC)_n repeats, while only (GAA)_n discriminated the chromosomes 3A^m and 3A. Chromosomes 7A^m and 7A could be differentiated by the lack of (GAA)_n and (AGG)_n signals on 7A. As potential landmarks for identifying the A^m chromosomes, SSR repeats will facilitate the introgression of *T. monococcum* chromatin into wheat.

Keywords *Triticum monococcum* · Microsatellite repeats · Karyotypic analysis · FISH

Introduction

Triticum monococcum (2n=2x=14, A^mA^m) (known as einkorn) is one of the most valuable sources of resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses in wheat (Jing et al. 2007). An efficient crossing programme aiming to transfer genes from einkorn into wheat depends on the ability to identify the introgressed A^m chromatin in the wheat background. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) with repetitive DNA probes results in chromosome-specific hybridisation patterns, making this technique an excellent tool for the karyotypic analysis of cereals (Mukai et al. 1993; Pedersen and Langridge 1997). One of the most popular combinations of probes for the cytomolecular analysis of wheat consists of the satellite repeats pSc119.2, Afa family and pTa71 (Rey et al. 2015). Unfortunately, these probes only produced a small number of weak diagnostic signals on the A-genome chromosomes of wheat. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs), or microsatellites, are widespread in the genomes of the *Triticum/Aegilops* taxa (Cuadrado et al. 2008). As SSR repeats are able to form large clusters in the genome, they can be used as FISH probes for chromosome identification in wheat and its related species (Cuadrado et al. 2000, 2008). The FISH karyotype of *T. monococcum* was elaborated by Megyeri et al. (2012) using the probes Afa family and pTa71. More recently, several FISH probes, including two SSR probes (GAA and GTT), were tested on diploid *Triticum* species by Badaeva et al. (2015). However, a comprehensive comparison of the FISH karyotype of diploid *T. monococcum* and hexaploid wheat has not yet been published, a fact that significantly limits the identification of A^m-

Communicated by: Andrzej Górný

✉ István Molnár
molnar.istvan@agrar.mta.hu

¹ Agricultural Institute, Centre for Agricultural Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 19, 2462 Martonvásár, Hungary

genome chromosomes in the wheat genetic background. A comparison of the hybridisation pattern of diploid and hexaploid wheat would facilitate the transfer of A^m chromosomes and the exploitation of wild genetic diversity in wheat breeding programmes.

The aim of the present work was to investigate the ability of six trinucleotide SSR motifs to discriminate A genomes from *T. monococcum* and *T. aestivum* when used as FISH probes in sequential FISH, together with commonly used repetitive DNA probes.

Results and discussion

The microsatellite repeats (GAA)_n, (CAG)_n, (AAC)_n, (AGG)_n and (ACT)_n were tested as probes in the two-step FISH experiments, where the SSR sequences were first hybridised to the slides. After documentation of the SSR hybridisation patterns, the slides were re-hybridised using a mixture of repetitive DNA probes 18S rDNA, pSc119.2 and Afa family. The SSR hybridisation patterns were assigned to the A^m chromosomes by comparing the results of two FISH experiments (Fig. 1a–b). FISH was also carried out on the chromosomes of hexaploid wheat (*T. aestivum* ‘Mv9kr1’) in order to compare the hybridisation patterns on A^m and A chromosomes within the same homoeologous groups. To visualise differences between the A^m and A chromosomes, idiograms were constructed based on the hybridisation patterns obtained with different probes (Fig. 1c–i).

Distribution of repetitive DNA probes on the A-genome chromosomes

The cytomolecular analysis of *T. monococcum* and *T. aestivum* showed that the A-genome chromosomes have more complex hybridisation patterns in the diploid *Triticum* species than in hexaploid wheat. The 18S rDNA probe gave a strong fluorescent signal on the telomeric region of 1A^mS in *T. monococcum*, while this signal was missing in hexaploid wheat. A similar phenomenon was observed for the chromosomes of group 5. This could be related to an evolutionary change, where the activity of the NOR regions in the A and D genomes was suppressed by the activity of the NOR region of the B genome, causing the elimination of the ribosomal genes on chromosomes 1A and 5A of hexaploid wheat (Gerlach et al. 1980; Miller et al. 1983).

The A^m chromosomes, especially those in groups 4 and 7, have more complex Afa family hybridisation patterns than those of hexaploid wheat. Han et al. (2005) also observed that the pGc1R-1 repetitive sequence is present in the B and G genome donors of the *Triticum* species but absent in polyploid wheats. All these results support the idea that allopolyploidisation was accompanied by the rapid, extensive

elimination of parent-specific repetitive DNA sequences, which, presumably, played an important role in the initial stabilisation of the nascent amphiploid plants through a cytological diploidisation process.

Badaeva et al. (2015) obtained the same results with the 5S and 18S rDNA probes, but reported quite different results for the pAs1 probe, which belongs to the Afa family (strong pAs1 signals only on 4A^m and 7A^m and weak signals on 6A^m), which can be attributed to divergence in the sequences of the pAs1 and Afa family probes (Badaeva et al. 2015). On the other hand, the pSc119.2 signals present on chromosomes 4A and 5A of bread wheat were missing from the A chromosomes of diploid species, which could be attributed to the evolutionary chromosome rearrangements occurring between 4A, 5A and 7B in polyploid wheat species (Devos et al. 1995).


The results showed that the A^m and A chromosomes can be clearly differentiated within homoeologous groups 1, 4 and 5. However, in the case of chromosome groups 3 and 7, only differences in the intensity of the Afa family signals could be detected. These tend to depend on the quality of the hybridisation, but still make it possible to differentiate the A^m and A chromosomes. In the case of chromosome groups 2 and 6, the discrimination of A^m and A chromosomes failed to give acceptable results.

Distribution of microsatellite repeats on the A genome chromosomes

Five of the six SSR probes tested, (AAC)_n, (GAA)_n, (AGG)_n, (CAC)_n and (CAG)_n, gave signals on the chromosomes of *T. monococcum* (Table 1; Fig. 1d–i), while signals were only observed on *T. aestivum* with the probe (ACT)_n. The results showed that *T. monococcum* has fewer, generally weaker, SSR signals than the A-genome chromosomes of hexaploid wheat (Fig. 1h). One exception was chromosome 6A^m, where (GAA)_n, (CAG)_n, (CAC)_n, (AAC)_n and (AGG)_n resulted in diagnostic signals, allowing the discrimination of 6A^m and 6A.

Adonina et al. (2015) reported that changes in the distribution of (GAA)_n sequence on the A-genome chromosomes of diploid and polyploid wheats were associated with chromosomal rearrangements/modifications that took place during evolution. The (GAA)_n microsatellite probe gave strong pericentromeric and intercalary signals on all the B chromosomes of hexaploid (Cuadrado et al. 2000) and tetraploid (Kubaláková et al. 2005) wheats. The higher frequency of (GAA)_n signals on the A chromosomes of hexaploid wheat compared to those of the diploid *T. monococcum* might be due to intergenomic chromosome rearrangements between the A and B genomes. The expansion of the SSR sequences in hexaploid wheat could be the results of several mutation mechanisms, such as slippage during DNA replication, which could generate new alleles for short SSR regions (Levnison and

Fig. 1 Cytomolecular comparison of A-genome chromosomes in *Triticum monococcum* ('MVGB1306') and bread wheat ('Mv9kr1'). **a, b** Sequential fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) (Molnár et al. 2011) on the same cell of *T. monococcum*: **a** with microsatellite probe (AAC)_n (red), **b** re-probing and identification of chromosomes using the probes Afa family (red) and 18S rDNA (yellow). **c** Idiogram of the A^m genome of *T. monococcum* and the A genome of *T. aestivum* showing the genomic distribution of repetitive DNA probes (Afa family, 18S rDNA, pSc119.2). Chromosomal distribution of the simple sequence repeat (SSR) clusters in the A^m and A genomes of *T. monococcum* and *T. aestivum*, respectively. **d** (AAC)_n (red), **e** (ACT)_n (red), **f** (AGG)_n (red), **g** (AGG)_n (red), **h** (GAA)_n (green), **i** (CAC)_n (green). The chromosomes were counterstained by DAPI



168 Gutman 1987; Hancock 1996), and gene conversion and
169 transposition, which may produce longer SSR clusters
170 (Dover 1993; McMurray 1995).

171 More recently, Badaeva et al. (2015) tested a large set of ten
172 DNA probes, including (GAA)_n and (GTT)_n microsatellite
173 probes, in order to identify the A chromosomes of diploid
174 *Triticum* species. They found that pTa535, (GAA)_n, (GTT)_n,

pAs1(≈Afa family), pTa71 and pTa794 (rDNAs), and
Aesp_SAT86 are the most informative for the analysis of the
A genomes of diploid and polyploid *Triticum* species. The
present study confirmed these results and complemented them
by the use of the additional SSR probes (CAG)_n, (CAC)_n,
(AGG)_n and (ACT)_n, leading to the better discrimination of
the A and A^m chromosomes.

t1.1 **Table 1** Primers and labelling of
t1.2 the simple sequence repeat (SSR)
probes (Kubaláková et al. 2005)
tested

t1.4
t1.5
t1.6
t1.7
t1.8
t1.9

Probes	Primers	Labelling	
		Digoxigenin-11 -dUTP	Biotin-11 - dUTP
(AAC) _n	5' (AAC) ₇ 3', 5' (TTG) ₇ 3'	+	
(ACT) _n	5' (ACT) ₇ 3', 5' (TGA) ₇ 3'	+	
(AGG) _n	5' (AGG) ₇ 3', 5' (TCC) ₇ 3'	+	
(CAG) _n	5' (CAG) ₇ 3', 5' (GTC) ₇ 3'	+	
(GAA) _n	5' (GAA) ₇ 3', 5' (CTT) ₇ 3'		+
(CAC) _n	5' (CAC) ₇ 3', 5' (GTG) ₇ 3'		+

t1.3

182 In conclusion, FISH using the repetitive DNA probes Afa
 183 family and 18S rDNA is a perfect tool to identify chromo-
 184 somes 1A^m, 4A^m and 5A^m of *T. monococcum* and to discrim-
 185 inate them from the homoeologous A chromosomes in a
 186 wheat genetic background. When used as FISH probes, SSR
 187 repeats can be considered as potential landmarks to identify
 188 the remaining A^m chromosomes during the introgression
 189 process.

190 **Acknowledgements** This work was supported by a János Bolyai
 191 Research Scholarship from the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, by the
 192 Hungarian National Research Fund (K116277), by an OECD Fellowship
 193 (TAD/CRP JA00079297) and by the National Science and Technology
 194 Office (ALKOBEER OM00363).

195 References

197 Adonina IG, Goncharov NP, Badaeva ED, Sergeeva EM, Petrash NV,
 198 Salina EA (2015) (GAA)n microsatellite as an indicator of the A
 199 genome reorganization during wheat evolution and domestication.
 200 Comp Cytogenet 9:533–547. doi:10.3897/CompCytogen.v9i4.5120
 201 Badaeva ED, Dedkova OS, Gay G, Pukhalskyi VA, Zelenin AV, Bernard
 202 S, Bernard M (2007) Chromosomal rearrangements in wheat: their
 203 types and distribution. Genome 50:907–926. doi:10.1139/G07-072
 204 Badaeva ED, Amosova AV, Goncharov NP, Macas J, Ruban AS,
 205 Grechishnikova IV, Zoshchuk SA, Houben A (2015) A set of cyto-
 206 genetic markers allows the precise identification of all A-genome
 207 chromosomes in diploid and polyploid wheat. Cytogenet Genome
 208 Res 146:71–79. doi:10.1159/000433458
 209 Cuadrado A, Schwarzacher T, Jouve N (2000) Identification of different
 210 chromatin classes in wheat using in situ hybridization with simple
 211 sequence repeat oligonucleotides. Theor Appl Genet 101:711–717.
 212 doi:10.1007/s001220051535
 213 Cuadrado A, Cardoso M, Jouve N (2008) Physical organisation of simple
 214 sequence repeats (SSRs) in Triticeae: structural, functional and evo-
 215 lutionary implications. Cytogenet Genome Res 120:210–219.
 216 doi:10.1159/000121069
 217 Devos KM, Dubcovsky J, Dvořák J, Chinoy CN, Gale MD (1995)
 218 Structural evolution of wheat chromosomes 4A, 5A, and 7B and
 219 its impact on recombination. Theor Appl Genet 91:282–288.
 220 doi:10.1007/BF00220890
 221 Dover GA (1993) Evolution of genetic redundancy for advanced players.
 222 Curr Opin Genet Dev 3:902–910. doi:10.1016/0959-437X(93)
 223 90012-E
 273

Gerlach WL, Miller TE, Flavell RB (1980) The nucleolus organizers of 224
 diploid wheats revealed by in situ hybridization. Theor Appl Genet 225
 58:97–100. doi:10.1007/BF00263097 226
 Han F, Fedak G, Guo W, Liu B (2005) Rapid and repeatable elimination 227
 of a parental genome-specific DNA repeat (pGc1R-1a) in newly 228
 synthesized wheat allopolyploids. Genetics 170:1239–1245. 229
 doi:10.1534/genetics.104.039263 230
 Hancock JM (1996) Simple sequences and the expanding genome. 231
 Bioessays 18:421–425. doi:10.1002/bies.950180512 232
 Jing HC, Kornukhin D, Kanyuka K, Orford S, Zlatska A, Mitrofanova 233
 OP, Koebner R, Hammond-Kosack K (2007) Identification of vari- 234
 ation in adaptively important traits and genome-wide analysis of 235
 trait-marker associations in *Triticum monococcum*. J Exp Bot 58: 236
 3749–3764. doi:10.1093/jxb/erm225 237
 Kubaláková M, Kovářová P, Suchánková P, Čiháliková J, Bartoš J, 238
 Lucretti S, Watanabe N, Kianian SF, Doležel J (2005) 239
 Chromosome sorting in tetraploid wheat and its potential for ge- 240
 nome analysis. Genetics 170:823–829. doi:10.1534 241
 /genetics.104.039180 242
 McMurray CT (1995) Mechanisms of DNA expansion. Chromosoma 243
 104:2–13. doi:10.1007/BF00352220 244
 Megyeri M, Farkas A, Varga M, Kovács G, Molnár-Láng M, Molnár I 245
 (2012) Karyotypic analysis of *Triticum monococcum* using standard 246
 repetitive DNA probes and simple sequence repeats. Acta Agron 247
 Hung 60:87–95. doi:10.1556/AAgr.60.2012.2.1 248
 Miller TE, Hutchinson J, Reader SM (1983) The identification of the 249
 nucleolus organiser chromosomes of diploid wheat. Theor Appl 250
 Genet 65:145–147. doi:10.1007/BF00264881 251
 Molnár I, Cifuentes M, Schneider A, Benavente E, Molnár-Láng M 252
 (2011) Association between simple sequence repeat-rich chromo- 253
 some regions and intergenomic translocation breakpoints in natural 254
 populations of allopolyploid wild wheats. Ann Bot 107:65–76. 255
 doi:10.1093/aob/mcq215 256
 Molnár I, Kubaláková M, Šimková H, Farkas A, Cseh A, Megyeri M, 257
 Vrána J, Molnár-Láng M, Doležel J (2014) Flow cytometric chro- 258
 mosome sorting from diploid progenitors of bread wheat, *T. urartu*, 259
Ae. speltoides and *Ae. tauschii*. Theor Appl Genet 127:1091–1104. 260
 doi:10.1007/s00122-014-2282-2 261
 Mukai Y, Nakahara Y, Yamamoto M (1993) Simultaneous discrimination 262
 of the three genomes in hexaploid wheat by multicolor fluorescence 263
 in situ hybridization using total genomic and highly repeated DNA 264
 probes. Genome 36:489–494. doi:10.1139/g93-067 265
 Pedersen C, Langridge P (1997) Identification of the entire chromosome 266
 complement of bread wheat by two-colour FISH. Genome 40:589– 267
 593. doi:10.1139/g97-077 268
 Rey E, Molnár I, Doležel J (2015) Genomics of wild relatives and alien 269
 introgressions. In: Molnár-Láng M, Ceoloni C, Doležel J (eds) Alien 270
 introgression in wheat. Springer International Publishing, 271
 Switzerland, pp 374–381, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-23494-6_13 272

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. “Levnison and Gutman 1987” is cited in the text but there is no matching item in the references list. Please provide the missing details or delete the citation from the text, as appropriate.
- Q2. Reference “Badaeva et al. 2007” has not been cited in the text. Please provide the missing citation or delete the reference, as appropriate.
- Q3. Reference “Molnár et al. 2014” has not been cited in the text. Please provide the missing citation or delete the reference, as appropriate.

UNCORRECTED PROOF