Neotectonic rotations in the Orava-Nowy Targ Intramontane Basin (Western Carpathians): an integrated palaeomagnetic and fractured clasts study
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The objectives of this contribution are: (1) to promote the application of fractured clasts analysis in conglomerates for regional stress reconstructions and (2) to advance reconstruction of the Western Carpathians structural development. Our results show that the Neogene fill of the Orava-Nowy Targ Intramontane Basin underwent CCW rotation of about 30°. We infer that the rotation terminated after 8 Ma and was related to shift along NW-SE to NNW-SSE trending faults at the NE termination of the sinistral Mur-Žilina Fault Zone. Our results show that, fractured clasts analysis can be good tool for regional tectonic reconstructions. The tool is especially useful when other tectonic tools are scarce or absent. Results of our palaeomagnetic study and fractured clasts analysis verify and supplement each others. In the studied case, palaeomagnetic results allow to distinguish between regional stress field rotation and rotation of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene fill, whereas, results of fractured clast analysis help to constrain the age of rotation.
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1. Introduction

The first objective of this contribution is to promote the application of fractured clasts analysis in conglomerates for regional stress reconstructions. Since the pioneering studies of Kupsch (1955) and Ramsay (1962, 1964), fractured clasts in conglomerates and gravels have been widely studied. The results of these studies show that: (1) number of fractured clasts increases towards faults (Ramsay, 1964; Tyler, 1975; Tanner, 1976; Bradley and Bradley, 1986; Little, 1995), (2) clast-cutting fractures are largely joints oriented normal to the least compressive stress (Ramsay, 1964; Eildleman and Reches, 1992) and, (3) fractured clasts can be used as fault timing indicators (Tanner, 1976; Little, 1995; Dor et al., 2006) and can be useful for fault kinematic analysis (Ramsay, 1962, 1964; Bradley and Bradley, 1986). Instead of these assets, analysis of fractured clasts in conglomerates and gravels was included into regional stress reconstructions only exceptionally (e.g. Montes et al., 2005; Cuong et al., 2013). In this contribution, we present interpretation of clast-cutting fractures architecture in poorly indurated conglomerates within the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin (Fig. 1A, B). To validate this interpretation we compared these results with those of palaeomagnetic studies undertaken in claystones within the same basin.

We selected the Neogene sequence filling the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin as the study object for two reasons. First, the Neogene conglomerates bearing fractured clasts are well-exposed within the basin, whereas, the clasts-cutting fractures display well-organized architecture (Tokarski and Zuchiewicz, 1998; Kukulak, 1999). Second, palaeomagnetic studies of the Neogene sequence have been already initiated (Baumgart-Kotarba et al., 2004). The results of the latter suggest local moderate rotation of the Neogene strata around vertical axis. Other structural studies of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene fill were hitherto restricted to single pilot analysis of small-scale faults (Pešková et al., 2009).

The second objective of this contribution is to advance reconstruction of the Western Carpathians structural development. The Orava-Nowy Targ Basin: (1) straddles across junction of the major tectonic units of the Western Carpathians (Fig. 1A) and, (2) is located close to the NE termination of the Mur-Žilina Fault Zone of prominent historical seismic activity (Lenhardt et al., 2007; Špaček et al., 2015) which was the NW boundary of the block
shifted eastward due to lateral extrusion of the Eastern Alps (Ratschbacher et al., 1991). The Mur-Žilina Fault Zone is also called Vienna Basin Transform fault which was the locus of sinistral strike-slip movement during Neogene and Quaternary times (Decker et al., 2005). The activity of the Mur-Žilina Fault Zone has been essential for structural development of the Western Carpathians and Carpathian Foredeep during Neogene times (Fodor, 1995; Decker et al., 2005; Márton et al., 2011). It follows that the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin represents one of the key-areas for unraveling the Neogene tectonic evolution of the Western Carpathians.

2. Geological setting

The Western Carpathians are the northernmost segment of the European Alpides. To the North, they are thrust over the Carpathian Foredeep filled by Neogene strata discordantly covering the southern margin of the European Platform (Fig. 1A). The Orava-Nowy Targ Basin straddles across (from the south to the north): the Inner Carpathians, the Pieniny Klippen Belt and the Outer Carpathians (Fig. 1B, C). The Inner Carpathians comprise a number of north-verging nappes formed during the Late Jurassic through Late Cretaceous times (Froitzheim et al., 2008 and references therein). Subsequently, the Inner Carpathians were covered by the Upper Cretaceous, Paleogene and Neogene strata, which are partially preserved in several intramontane basins. Within the study area, the Inner Carpathian nappes are covered by Paleogene strata of the intramontane Podhale Flysch Basin. The Pieniny Klippen Belt is a narrow zone of extreme shortening and wrenching formed since Late Cretaceous until Miocene times (Birkenmajer, 1986; Plašienka and Mikuš, 2010; Oszczypko and Oszczypko-Cloves, 2014). The Outer Carpathians are pile of north-verging nappes, the southernmost of which is Magura Nappe, formed since Eocene (Świerczewska and Tokarski, 1998) until Miocene (Cieszkowski et al., 1988; Oszczypko and Oszczypko-Cloves, 2014 and references therein; Kaczmarek and Oszczypko-Cloves, 2014) times. Subsequently, the Outer Carpathian nappe pile underwent regional collapse (Zuchiewicz et al., 2002 and references therein; Zattin et al., 2011 and references therein, Andreucci et al., 2013). During Miocene times Western Carpathians underwent general CCW rotation during two periods, between 18.5-17.5 and between 16.0 -14.5 Ma. The rocks older than 18.5 Ma show about 60° CCW rotation and those between 17.5 and 16.0 Ma old about 30° CCW rotation (Márton et al., 2009; Márton et al., 2015 and references therein).
The Neogene fill of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin is fairly well known owing to geological mapping and drillings supplemented by results of gravity and electric resistivity studies (for review see: Tokarski et al., 2012). The basin is filled by Neogene terrestrial and fresh water sequence, up to 1200 m thick (Fig. 2). The sequence is largely composed of poorly indurated claystones and siltstones with subordinate intercalations of poorly indurated conglomerates and sandstones.

The age of the sequence is very poorly constrained. Since middle 19 century (Halicki, 1930 and references therein) the sequence has been considered to be Neogene in age, based on comparison with similar strata filling the Vienna Basin. Results of subsequent palynological (e.g. Szafer, 1952; Zastawniak, 1972; Oszast, 1973; Tran, 1974; Oszast and Stuchlik, 1977; Worobiec, 1994; Birkenmajer and Worobiec, 2013) as well as faunistic (ostracods, bivalves and gastropods (Nagy et al., 1996 and references therein) studies and results of mineralogical analysis of a single bentonite intercalation (Sikora and Wieser, 1974) are interpreted as late Miocene or late Miocene to Pliocene age of the sequence. The youngest rocks underlying the Neogene sequence are the uppermost strata of the Magura Nappe, which are about 18 Ma old (Kaczmarek and Oszczypko Clowes, 2014). This date constrain the lowest age of the sequence. The Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene sequence is discordantly covered by Quaternary, mostly fluvial strata, composed largely of gravels (Watycha, 1973, 1977 c). A single fission track date of 8.7 +/- 0.6 Ma was obtained by Ch. Naeser (in Worobiec, 1994 cf. Wieser, 1985) most likely on zircons from bentonite intercalation at locality (15). Unfortunately, no analytical data are provided.

The architecture of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin is a subject of debate. According to results of geological mapping and drillings, the Neogene infill of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin represents an open syncline (Fig. 1C), whereas, the results of geophysical studies (Pomianowski, 1995, 2003) imply that the basin is a composite graben. The strata in the central part of the basin dip sub-horizontally, whereas those close to the basin borders dip moderately towards the W-E trending basin axis.

3. Material and methods

3.1. Palaeomagnetic sampling and laboratory processing

We have collected 157 palaeomagnetic samples of Neogene strata from 10 localities (Fig.1B, Table 1, Appendix 1), taking special care to drill strata not affected by slumps. At
localities 2, 11 and 15 sampling was repeated, since the exposure conditions improved after the first sampling campaign. The sampling was restricted to upper 700 m of the Neogene sequence (Fig. 2). The samples were drilled from poorly indurated fresh-looking, light to dark grey claystones with a portable drill in the field and oriented in situ with a magnetic compass. The sampled claystones were usually intercalated with mudstones, therefore, the orientations of bedding were measurable. Localities suspect for recent re-deposition were omitted from the sampling. The samples were packed in aluminium foil and stored refrigerated till they were cut into standard-size specimens in the laboratory (during cutting some of them disintegrated) and within a short time after cutting were subjected to standard paleomagnetic procedures of measuring and demagnetizations.

3.2. Fractured clasts analysis

Within the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin, Neogene conglomerates bearing fractured clasts occur in every visited exposure of the conglomerates. We studied architecture of clast-cutting fractures in the conglomerates at 8 localities (Fig. 1B, Appendix 1). The study was performed within upper 700 m of the Neogene sequence (Fig. 2). Moreover, at each locality the number of the fractured clasts per square meter was estimated. Additionally, at all localities clast lithology was studied, whereas, at some localities also clast shape, size and roundness were examined. At localities 9, 11, 14 and 15 share of matrix was calculated on photographs of exposures. Furthermore, geomorphological setting of most localities was studied on 1 m resolution digital elevation model (LiDAR DEM, source: www.codgik.gov.pl).

4. Results

4.1. Palaeomagnetic results

First, the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) was measured in the natural state. The intensity of the NRM was extremely variable between a few times $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-5}$ A/m, not only between localities, but often within one locality. At the same time the magnetic susceptibilities were always in the order of $10^{-4}$ SI, always less than $5\times10^{-4}$ SI. From each locality, a couple of specimens were first subjected to alternating field (AF) demagnetization,
which invariably failed to yield acceptable demagnetization curves. In contrast, with stepwise thermal demagnetization, accompanied by monitoring of the magnetic susceptibility, well defined demagnetization curves were obtained for several localities (Fig. 3). They document that the NRM is of single-component nature, it practically decays between 300 and 400°C while the susceptibility in this temperature interval is either stable or decreases. After 400°C, the susceptibility increases dramatically (this part of the demagnetization behaviour is not shown in Fig. 3, since the NRM becomes unstable), indicating the decomposition of the original magnetic iron sulphide and the formation of magnetite on heating. The linear segments of the demagnetization curves for each locality were the input data for calculating the locality mean paleomagnetic directions in the geographic co-ordinate system.

The above obtained mean paleomagnetic directions were corrected for local tilts. The next step of processing the data was the calculation of overall-mean paleomagnetic directions from the locality mean directions of the seven localities yielding statistically well-defined results, both in geographic and tectonic co-ordinate systems (Table 1). The process was repeated for six localities with well-clustered directions (Table 1, Fig. 4), omitting sites 15a and b which are outliers. For both cases (seven or six localities considered), the scatter of the locality mean palaeomagnetic directions increases after tilt corrections, pointing to the post-tilting age of the acquisition of the NRM. Without locality 15, which indicates moderate CW rotation, the overall mean paleomagnetic direction shows 33° CCW rotation before and 25° CCW rotation after tilt corrections.

4.2. Results of fractured clasts analysis

The studied conglomerates are matrix-supported (Fig. 5). The share of silty to sandy matrix varies from 20 to 60% of the rock volume (cf. Kukulak, 1998; Fheed, 2014). The largest clasts are over 100 cm in diameter (Fig. 5 A) (cf. Birkenmajer, 1979). Most clasts are discoidal or blade in shape (Fig. 5B, C). In most localities clasts are largely well rounded and rounded. Only in one locality (14) clasts are largely sub-angular. In most localities, clasts are composed almost exclusively of flysch sandstone or mudstone. Only in one locality(3) the share of non-flysch clasts (mostly limestones) amounts to 32 % (Tokarski et al., 2012).

Clast-cutting fractures are restricted to particular clasts, the matrix of the conglomerates is not fractured (Fig. 5C, D). No striated clasts were observed. Moreover, very few fractures display fracture-parallel offset. The number of fractured clasts per square meter varies from
10 (locality 13) to 126 (locality 11). At all studied localities the clast-cutting fractures are largely sub-vertical (Fig. 6) and the architecture of the fractures is well-organized. At seven localities (Fig. 6A-F), the fractures display two maxima (I, II), whereas, in the locality no. 13 the fractures show one elongated maximum (Fig. 6H). At particular localities, the angle between the maxima is 15-38°, whereas the mean value of the angle is 27°.

At four localities (9, 14-16), clast-cutting fractures strike NNE-SSW to NNW-SSE (Fig. 6A-D), whereas, at the remaining four localities (3, 11-13) the fractures strike ENE-SSW to E-W (Fig. 6E-H).

Numerous present-day landslides disturb outcrops of the Neogene sequence (Fig. 7) (cf. Watycha, 1977c). Moreover, at some localities, conglomerates are cut by exposure-scale, non-planar fractures (Fig. 5E, F) (cf. Kukulak, 1998). Some of these fractures are open and/or cut only the matrix of conglomerate, the clasts are not fractured.

5. Discussion

Within the Orava-Nowy Targ Neogene sequence the orientations of the palaeomagnetic vectors, which are of post-tilting age, are fairly consistent, suggesting CCW rotation (Table 1). Palaeomagnetic directions for the two sites of locality 15 can be explained by remagnetization post-dating the CCW rotation of the area.

In conglomerates, different fractures may arise depending on induration. In poorly indurated conglomerates (rigid clasts inbedded in viable matrix), fractures affect only clasts, whereas, when cementation is more advanced (matrix is also rigid), fractures cut both clasts and matrix or are restricted to the matrix (Hippolyte, 2001 and references therein, Cuong et al., 2013). As stated above, within the studied object clast-cutting fractures are restricted to particular clasts. It follows that clast-cutting fracturing took place early, preceding formation of the exposure-scale fractures which cut only the matrix of conglomerates. Moreover, very few of the studied clast-cutting fractures display fracture-parallel offset and no striated fractures were observed. We infer, therefore, that large majority of the clast-cutting fractures are extensional joints (cf. Eildelman and Reches, 1992; Cuong et al., 2013). Most of the joints are sub-vertical and, in all but one (13) of the localities, they show two maxima, related to two sets of joints (I, II in Fig. 6). Joints of these sets result from two successive episodes of jointing which were separated either by CW rotation of the regional stress field or by CCW rotation of the Neogene sequence of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin. A Neogene CW stress-field rotation of
similar magnitude was already postulated for the Tatra Mts. (Fig. 1A) and its Paleogene cover by Pešková et al. (2009) and Králiková et al. (2014 and references therein). Nevertheless, the mean value (27°) of the angle between the joints of particular sets (I, II) is very close to the mean angle value of the CCW rotation of the paleomagnetic vector (33° before tilt correction, Table 1). It follows, that the Neogene sequence of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin underwent CCW rotation and the two episodes of jointing took place in fixed regional stress setting, whereas, the joints of the set (I) were formed before the rotation, and those of the set (II) after the rotation.

Based on the orientation of the joints, two groups of exposures can be distinguished. In exposures of the first group (localities 9, 14-16; Fig. 6A-D) joints strike NNW-SSE to N-S (set I) and N-S to NNE-SSW (set II). In exposures of the second group (localities 3, 11-13; Fig. 6E-H) joints show strikes NNE-SSW to ENE-WSW (set I) and ENE-WSW to E-W (set II). It appears that, this variation of joints orientation can result from local, post-rotational reorientation of the Neogene conglomerates at exposures of the second group due to landsliding, or to local post-rotational variation within the regional stress field. The former possibility is our favored interpretation. In this interpretation, at all exposures joints of both sets were formed in a stress field in which minimum stress axis (σ3) was oriented E-W to ESE-WNW perpendicular to present-day orientation of the set II joints in the first group of exposures (localities 9, 14-16) and consistently with the stress field inferred for the Orava-Nowy Targ Neogene sequence during Pliocene times by Pešková et al. (2009).

The acquisition of the NRM is of post-tilting age, whereas, joints of both sets (I, II) occur within the Orava-Nowy Targ Neogene sequence including its uppermost part (Figs 2, 6). It follows that the inferred landsliding took place after tilting of the Neogene strata as well as after formation of the second set of joints (II).

Moreover, it is highly feasible that the landsliding occurred during Quaternary times when the studied region underwent considerable uplift (Tokarski et al., 2012). The uplift resulted in formation of more prominent relief, which was low during the deposition of the Neogene sequence (Łoziński et al., 2015). The present-day landslides are widespread on outcrops of the Neogene strata within the study area (Fig. 7) (cf. Watycha, 1977 c.). The exposure-scale fractures cutting Neogene conglomerates at some of the studied exposures (Fig. 5E, F) can also result from recent slab sliding.

Joints of both discussed sets (I, II) were observed in both groups of exposures, also in the uppermost part of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene sequence (Figs 2, 6). It follows that
the CCW rotation took place after deposition of the sequence. Unfortunately, the age of the Neogene sequence is poorly constrained and, therefore, the age of the discussed rotation is hardly possible to precise. On the other hand, a CCW rotation of similar magnitude affected the Neogene sequence of the Carpathian Foredeep (Fig. 1A) (Márton et al., 2011). The youngest strata affected by the last rotation is less than 8 Ma old. Moreover, the CCW rotation of the Carpathian Foredeep Neogene sequence was related to dextral shift on the NW-SE trending faults of the Mur-Žilina Fault Zone. The Orava-Nowy Targ Basin is located close to the NE termination of this fault zone. So far only one NNW-SSE trending fault cutting the Neogene fill of the basin has been mapped (Fig. 1 B). Nevertheless, several NW-SE to NNW-SSE trending faults cut the Magura Nappe immediately north from the basin (Fig. 1 B). According to Struska (2009) most of these faults are dextral and, they can be traced on DEM models southward within the Neogene infill of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin. Moreover, several dextral NW-SE trending faults cut the Neogene fill of the Carpathian Foredeep (Márton et al., 2011). We think, therefore, that the CCW rotation of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene sequence was also related to the dextral shift along the NW-SE to NNW-SSE trending faults. In our interpretation these faults are connected to the Mur-Žilina Fault Zone (Fig. 8) that is regarded to be responsible for stress distortion in this part of the Carpathians (Jarosiński 1998, 2005). Reactivation of older basement faults as an agent of the rotation cannot be excluded. However, the uncoupled type of suture between the accretionary wedge and basement as well as weak stress transmission across this suture (Jarosiński 2005) suggest that an influence of the faults had limited impact on the rotation of the Neogene infill of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin.

Summing up, it appears highly probable that the CCW rotation of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene sequence was of the same age and origin and occurred by the same mechanism as the CCW rotation of the Carpathian Foredeep Neogene sequence. The Mur-Žilina Fault Zone was a locus of strike-slip movements since 17 Ma until 9-8 Ma, and again since the middle Pleistocene times (Decker et al., 2005). It follows that CCW rotation of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin Neogene sequence took place either during late Neogene or during Quaternary.
6. Conclusions

(1) Fractured clasts analysis can be a good tool for regional tectonic reconstructions. The tool is especially important in cases when other tectonic tools are scarce or absent.

(2) Results of paleomagnetic studies and results of fractured clasts analysis verify and supplement each other. In the studied case, paleomagnetic results enable to distinguish between regional stress field rotation and rotation of the Neogene fill of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin, whereas, results of fractured clast analysis help to constrain age of rotation.

(3) The Neogene sequence of the Orava-Nowy Targ Basin underwent CCW rotation of about 30°. In our interpretation, the rotation terminated after 8 Ma and was related to dextral shift along NW-SE to NNW-SSE trending faults at the NE termination of the Mur-Žilina Fault Zone.
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Fig. 1
(A) Position of the Orava-Nowy Targ Intramontane Basin (ONT) within the Carpathian-Alpine orogenic system; active setting of the Mur-Žilina Fault Zone (Vienna Basin Transfer Fault) after Decker et al. (2005) and Hinsch and Decker (2011). (B) Geological sketch-map of the ONT showing location of studied localities, location of Fig. 7 boxed, fault cutting the ONT Neogene fill marked by arrow, OAL – Orava artificial lake, CAL – Czorsztyn artificial lake, geology after Watycha (1977a), Lexa et al. (2000, simplified) and Pomianowski (2003). (C) Geological cross-section of the ONT (adapted from Watycha (1977b), for location see Fig. 1B.
Fig. 2

Litostatigraphical column of the Orava-Nowy Targ Intramontane Basin Neogene sequence showing location of studied localities, litostratigraphy after Watycha (1977 c) and Gross et al. (1993)
Typical demagnetization curves. In all cases, thermal demagnetization was efficient, since the carrier of the NRM must be magnetic iron sulphide. NRM practically decays before the magnetic susceptibility starts to increase, indicating the phase transition of the original magnetic mineral to magnetite. Key to Zijderveld diagrams: full dots: projection of the NRM vector onto the horizontal, circles: into the vertical. Geographical system. Zijderveld diagrams are accompanied by intensity (circles) and susceptibility (dots) versus temperature diagrams.
Fig. 4.
Tilt test carried out for six localities showing well-clustered locality mean directions before tilt corrections. Note that the best grouping is achieved in the present position of the strata (zero untilting)
Fig. 5
Neogene matrix-supported conglomerates. A - arrowed clast is 80 cm in diameter (locality 11). B - most clasts are discoidal or blade in shape (locality 11). C - numerous fractured clasts (arrowed), long arrow shows clast cut by joints of two sets (I, II) (locality 11). D - fractures are restricted to particular clasts (arrowed) (locality 11). E, F - exposure-scale recent fractures (locality 15)
Fig. 6.
Clast-cutting joints, lower hemisphere plots, number in the left lower plot corner denotes locality number, whereas, that at the right lower plot corner the number of measurements and that at the right upper plot corner the value of angle between joints of the sets I and II; I, II – joint maxima discussed in text
Fig. 7
Landslides at the right bank of Bystry Stream, position of locality 15 arrowed. One meter resolution digital elevation model (LiDAR DEM). For location see Fig. 1
Fig. 8
Geometric model illustrating simultaneous dextral shift on NW-SE trending strike-slip faults and CCW rotation of fault-bounded blocks in the Orava-Nowy Targ Intramontane Basin, dextral slip and CCW rotation can be accommodated by contemporaneous left-lateral shear along the SW–NE trending Mur-Žilina Fault Zone related to eastward extrusion in the Eastern Alps (adapted from Márton et al., 2011)
Table 1.
Summary of locality mean palaeomagnetic directions for Orawa-Nowy Targ Intramontane Basin, based on the results of principal component analysis (Kirschvink, 1980). Localities are numbered according to Fig. 1. Key: n/no: number of used/collection samples (the samples are independently oriented cores); D, I (Dc, Ic): declination, inclination before (after) tilt correction; k and a95: statistical parameters (Fisher, 1953).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>n/no</th>
<th>N(n)</th>
<th>D°</th>
<th>I°</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>a95°</th>
<th>Dc°</th>
<th>Ic°</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>a95°</th>
<th>dip</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipnica Wielkafield</td>
<td>PL 619631</td>
<td>8/13</td>
<td></td>
<td>344</td>
<td>+64</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>+49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4/16 from AMS</td>
<td>only foliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipnica Wielkafield</td>
<td>PL 600608</td>
<td>0/9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>large scatter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipnica Wielkafield</td>
<td>PL 1357-1371</td>
<td>5/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
<td>+48</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>+27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>135/9 from AMS</td>
<td>lineation 160/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipnica Wielkafield</td>
<td>PL 1468-1486</td>
<td>8/19</td>
<td></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>+50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>+37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>180/15</td>
<td>lineation 337/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 1468-1486</td>
<td>8/19</td>
<td></td>
<td>322</td>
<td>+50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>+37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>180/15</td>
<td>lineation 337/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hladowka</td>
<td>PL 632638</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
<td>-69</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>330/20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 1372-1377</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
<td>+52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>+46</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td>scattered AMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 12701286</td>
<td>0/17</td>
<td></td>
<td>340</td>
<td>+58</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>+40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>scattered AMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 12871295</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
<td>+52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>+46</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>scattered AMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 13781383</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
<td>+52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>+46</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td>scattered AMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 642652</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>large scatter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Čimhová</td>
<td>PL 12961307</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>large scatter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miętustwo 1</td>
<td>PL 609618</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>+78</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>+53</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28/25</td>
<td>lineation 282/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miętustwo 1</td>
<td>PL 1621-1629</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+57</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+47</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>355/10</td>
<td>only foliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miętustwo 1</td>
<td>PL 16301643</td>
<td>10/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>355/10</td>
<td>scattered AMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall mean direction
all localities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall mean direction</th>
<th>n/no</th>
<th>D°</th>
<th>I°</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>a95°</th>
<th>Dc°</th>
<th>Ic°</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>a95°</th>
<th>dip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>all localities</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>+61</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>+45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/o locality 15</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>+57</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>+42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 1 Location of studied localities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Latitude N</th>
<th>Longitude E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Lipnica Wielka field (95.1)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°28.016'</td>
<td>19°37.808'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Lipnica Wielka Stream (09.10)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°28.550'</td>
<td>19°38.062'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Sylec Stream (95.4)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49°29.388'</td>
<td>19°42.398'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Cimhova (10.15)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°21.971'</td>
<td>19°42.231'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Hladovka (95.3)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°22.54'</td>
<td>19°44.554'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Chochołów 3 (09.11)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°22.527'</td>
<td>19°48.679'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Chochołów 1 (08.21)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°22.628'</td>
<td>19°48.648'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Chochołów 2 (08.22)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°22.983'</td>
<td>19°48.555'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 - Czarny Dunajec River (06.4)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49°23.193'</td>
<td>19°48.494'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - Koniówka (09.12)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>49°23.321'</td>
<td>19°48.548'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - Stare Bystre (95.2)</td>
<td>F, P</td>
<td>49°24.801'</td>
<td>19°53.307'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 - Cichy Stream 1 (14.30)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49°24.513'</td>
<td>19°52.625'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 - Cichy Stream 2 (14.32)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49°24.263'</td>
<td>19°52.419'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - Mietustwo 2 (05.5)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49°24.136'</td>
<td>19°52.180'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 - Miełustwo 1 (95.5)</td>
<td>F, P</td>
<td>49°23.969'</td>
<td>19°53.011'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - Czerwony Stream (14.50)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49°24.025'</td>
<td>19°53.631'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P - palaeomagnetic sampling; F – fractured clast analysis