

## Sixteenth- and Seventeenth-Century Russian Lexicons: Peculiarities of the First Representatives\*

KIRA KOVALENKO

Институт лингвистических исследований Российской академии наук,  
Тучков пер., д. 9, RU-199053 Санкт-Петербург  
E-mail: kira.kovalenko@gmail.com

(Received: 3 July 2016; accepted: 27 September 2016)

**Abstract:** The present paper is devoted to the first Russian manuscript lexicons, which were commonly used in Moscow during the 16th and 17th centuries. The author discusses the origin of foreign words, literary sources, and principles of the organization of dictionary entries in synchrony and diachrony.

**Keywords:** lexicography, dictionaries, lexicons, manuscripts, Old Russian literature

The beginnings of Russian lexicography go far back into the past. The first lexicographical compilations which have come down safely to our time were made in the 13th century. It was a list of symbols from the Psalms, a list of obscure words from John Climacus's *The Ladder of Divine Ascent*, and some glossaries to other translated texts. Starting in the middle of the 16th century, they formed the basis of a new lexicographical genre, the Russian manuscript dictionary, which is known as *Lexicon* or *Azbukovnik*.

According to the commonly accepted classification, there are 9 types of such lexicons: the lexicons of the preliminary stage, lexicons of types 1 to 7, and the lexicons of the selective type (KOVTON 1989: 9–18). Lexicons of the preliminary stage are compilations of glossaries taken one after another, where the entries often have no particular order. Later, compilers began to order words alphabetically (according to the first letter). Furthermore, they started to use more sources; for example, glosses of obscure words and explanations of unknown concepts from Old Russian literature. Due to the numerous entries, such lexicons became independent books: while small glossaries had normally been attached to the more voluminous manuscripts initially, the lexicons began to form the main part of the manuscripts, to which other small articles (prefaces, grammars, and so on) were added. This point was the beginning of the lexicons in the full sense of the word. In my paper, I will concentrate on these first representatives – the lexicons of the first type.

\* The research was financially supported by RGNF (Russian Humanitarian Scientific Fund), project № 13-34-01214 “Russian manuscript dictionaries as a lexicographical genre of Muscovy: traditions and innovations”.

In Russian libraries, 18 manuscripts of the first-type lexicons are stored. They are the Russian State Library (Moscow), Tikhonravov's collection: 445, Undolsky's collection: 975, 978, and 979; the State Historical Museum (Moscow), Uvarov's collection: 25, 311 (later – Uvar. 311), and 503, Synodalnoye collection: 835; the Russian National Library (St. Petersburg), Pogodin's collection: 1143, 1340, 1643, 1644, 1648, and 1655, Solovetskoe collection: 16/16 and 21/21, the Main collection: O.XVI.1 (later – O.XVI.1); the Library of the Russian Academy of Sciences (St. Petersburg), Arkhangel'skoe collection: C107b. The first representative of the type is thought to have been compiled at the end of the 16th century but most copies came to us from the 17th century. In spite of their overall similarity, the copies are slightly different. I would like to describe two of them, which are very close to each other: O.XVI.1 and Uvar. 311.

The manuscript O.XVI.1 is a paper codex (14.7 × 9.5 cm) on 224 folios. It was created in the twenties of the 17th century. The manuscript starts with a Preface, in which the reasons for compiling the lexicon and the abbreviations used are explained. The word entries go from f.7r up to f.118v, comprising 2 283 entries with explained words and collocations of foreign origin. There are also some additional articles about grammar rules and works of the Holy Fathers.

The second manuscript (Uvar. 311) has 198 folios and was created at the same time as O.XVI.1, that is, in the twenties of the 17th century. The lexicon starts with a Preface, after which comes the lexicon containing 2 274 entries.

In 1989, both manuscripts were printed (KOVТУN 1989: 126–294).

The citations will be taken from the O.XVI.1 (we used the lower pagination in the manuscript). Examples from the second manuscript Uvar. 311 are quoted only where there are seriously different interpretations.

The name of the compilation varies: “The book called Alphavit containing unintelligible foreign words one can find in sacred Slavonic books” (O.XVI.1) or “The explanation of foreign words found in sacred books presented in alphabetical order for ease of reference” (Uvar. 311). So, here we find the foreign words (or collocations) and their explanations – these are the most important and obligatory parts of any entry. Above the foreign words, we can sometimes see small red letters which point to the source language. Most of them are from Greek. Of course, the Russian language was greatly influenced by Greek after the Christianization of Russia, that was the main reason for borrowing. Therefore, most Greek words are closely connected to the Christian church hierarchy, worship, and theological notions. For example:

<i>Ап̑ль, посл̑́/ни̑</i> <sup>к</sup> (f.7r)	<i>Apostle, messenger</i>	cf. ἀπόστολος
<i>Ди/иакон̑, слуга</i> (f.35r)	<i>Diyakon, servant</i>	cf. διάκονος
<i>Евангелие {ε̑}<sup>1</sup>, бл̑̑ов̑̑стие</i> (f.41r)	<i>Evangeliе {Greek}, good message</i>	cf. εὐαγγέλιον

<sup>1</sup> In curly braces, you can see references to the original language and literary source, placed in the manuscript over the words or in the margins.

<i>Логосъ</i> {з}, <i>слово</i> (f.73v)	<i>Logos</i> {Greek}, <i>word</i>	cf. λόγος
<i>Символь, исповѣдані/е вѣры</i> {маѣ <sup>м</sup> гре <sup>к</sup> . ѿ.} (f.95r)	<i>Simvol, faith confession</i> {Maximus the Greek 70}	cf. σύμβολον
<i>Оупостась</i> {з}, <i>составъ</i> (f.108r)	<i>Upostas, content</i>	cf. ὑπόστασις

Along with Greek words, there are also interpretations of Hebrew and Aramaic words. They came from books translated from Hebrew into Russian or from Greek books where Hebrew words were only transliterated:

<i>Аданáи</i> {ев}. <i>м<sup>н</sup>. з<sup>с</sup>дѣ</i> (f.7r)	<i>Adanai</i> {Jewish}, <i>God</i>	cf. Hebrew אדני
<i>Карвана</i> {евр}, <i>да<sup>п</sup>, /ѣврей</i> <i>бо ѡнели что обещаю<sup>м</sup> /</i> <i>принести в даръ бѣѣ, ѡто/ле</i> <i>вно, карвану нарицаху, /</i> <i>рекше даръ возложенъ бѣѣ</i> {ма <sup>п</sup> . кѣ / лу <sup>к</sup> . мѣ} (f.64r)	<i>Karvana</i> {Jewish}, <i>gift</i> <i>because Hebrew once have</i> <i>promised to give a gift to God,</i> <i>since then they named it</i> <i>karvana, that is gift assigned</i> <i>to God</i> {Mark 28, Luke 42}	cf. Hebrew קָרְבָן
<i>Ўсанна</i> {ж}, <i>сѣси / ны</i> <i>вышнѣи, или ѡ ѣи сѣси</i> <i>же</i> (f.83r)	<i>Osanna</i> {Jewish}, <i>save us</i> <i>the Highest or God, save us</i> (f.83r)	cf. Hebrew הושע נא
<i>Савав<sup>о</sup></i> {ж}, <i>сила</i> {ісаіа а} (f.95r)	<i>Savaof</i> {Jewish}, <i>strength</i> {Isaiah 1}	cf. Hebrew צבאות
<i>Варъ</i> {ев}, / <i>снѣ</i> (f.28r)	<i>Var</i> {Jewish}, <i>son</i>	cf. Aramaic בר

Latin words reflect Russian relations with Western Catholic countries. Presumably, they represent words concerning religious lifestyle:

<i>Анима</i> {р"}, / <i>душа</i> (f.12r)	<i>Anima</i> {Roman}, <i>soul</i>	cf. <i>anima</i>
<i>Инь у/рбѣбу, по посадо<sup>о</sup></i> (f.56v)	<i>In urbibu, in towns</i>	cf. <i>in urbibus</i>
<i>Ка/рдиналь</i> {р"}, <i>ми<sup>м</sup>рополи<sup>м</sup></i> (f.61r)	<i>Kardinal</i> {Roman}, <i>bishop</i>	cf. <i>cardinalis</i>
<i>Папа</i> {ри}, <i>пре/вѣшнѣи</i> <i>чинъ</i> (f.87r)	<i>Papa</i> {Roman}, <i>the highest</i> <i>rank</i>	cf. <i>papa</i>
<i>Силва</i> {ри}, <i>лѣсъ</i> (f.99v)	<i>Silva</i> {Roman}, <i>forest</i>	cf. <i>silva</i>

Tatar and Turkish words occur much more rarely.

<i>Абысь</i> {та}, <i>попъ</i> (f.9r)	<i>Abys</i> {Tatar}, <i>priest</i>	cf. <i>абыз</i>
<i>Темиръ</i> {та}, <i>же//лѣзо</i> {сл <sup>е</sup> пр <sup>ч</sup> вла <sup>о</sup> м /и ц <sup>е</sup> рр <sup>с</sup> .}	<i>Temir</i> {Tatar}, <i>iron</i> {The <i>tale about the immaculate</i> <i>Theotokos of Vladimir,</i> <i>Russian kingdom}</i>	cf. <i>темир</i>

<i>Дербышь</i> {тур}, <i>изумень</i> (f.97v)	<i>Derbysh</i> {Turkish}, <i>abbot</i>	cf. <i>derviş</i>
<i>Суалттанъ</i> (!) {тур}, <i>царь</i> {дани <sup>а</sup> . ѿ} (f.97r)	<i>Sualtan</i> {Turkish}, <i>tsar</i> {Daniel 4}	cf. <i>sultan</i>
<i>Сеиты</i> {тур}, <i>вл<sup>о</sup>кы сѣирѣчь</i> <i>вѣлада/тели земли</i> (f.97v)	<i>Seity</i> {Turkish}, <i>lords,</i> <i>that is land possessors</i>	cf. <i>seyyid</i>

Some entries explain words from Church Slavonic or Old Russian language which departed from the Russian lexical system:

<i>Вертогра<sup>о</sup></i> , <i>вѣгоро<sup>о</sup></i> (f.28r)	<i>Vertograd</i> , <i>garden</i>
<i>Древле</i> {сл}, <i>прежде/или в пре<sup>о</sup>наиа</i> <i>времена</i> {к де <sup>ер</sup> . (!) мѣ.} (f.36v)	<i>Drevle</i> {Slavonic}, <i>earlier or in former</i> <i>times</i> {Epistle to the Hebrews 303}
<i>Степень</i> , <i>лѣстви/ца</i> {ѿба <sup>а</sup> . мѣ.} (f.100r)	<i>Stepen'</i> , <i>ladder</i> {Acts 40}
<i>Уїи</i> , / <i>дада</i> {iwa <sup>а</sup> бго <sup>с</sup> пре <sup>о</sup> сло <sup>е</sup> } (f.108v)	<i>Ui</i> , <i>uncle</i> {The Preface of John the Apostle}
<i>Усерязи</i> , <i>серги</i> {ісхо <sup>о</sup> } (f.109r)	<i>Useryasi</i> , <i>ear-rings</i> {Exodus}

The words marked as Czech are especially interesting. The same words in the Novgorod manuscript lexicon of 1596 (Russian National Library, Pogodin's collection, № 1642) and some other lexicons are marked as Polish. Most of them can be found in Belorussian and Ukrainian historical dictionaries and are considered to be Old Ruthenian words; some are Common Slavic. The source from which they came to the lexicon is unknown:

<i>Картни<sup>к</sup></i> (in Uvar. 311 <i>квартникъ</i> ) {че ля (!)} <i>кондра<sup>м</sup></i> , / <i>рекше мѣ<sup>о</sup>ница</i> (f.72v)	<i>Kartnik</i> ( <i>kvartnik</i> ) {Czech}, <i>quadrans,</i> <i>that is copper coin</i>	cf. Old Polish <i>kwartnik</i> 'an old fractional gold coin used in Poland in the 14th and 15th cent.' (SP16 11: 607–608), Old Belorussian <i>квартникъ</i> 'an old fractional coin' (HSBM 15: 16)
<i>Креска</i> {чес}, <i>чептѣ</i> (f.72v)	<i>Kreska</i> {Czech}, <i>stroke</i>	cf. Czech <i>kreska</i> , Old Belorussian <i>креска</i> 'line, mark' (HSBM 16: 116)
<i>Лакну<sup>л</sup></i> {че}, <i>взалак<sup>л</sup>/ся</i> (f.75r)	<i>Laknul</i> {Czech}, <i>got</i> <i>hungry</i>	cf. Old Czech <i>laknŕc</i> (SP16 12: 450–451), Old Belorussian <i>лакнути</i> 'to be hungry' (HSBM 16: 281–282)
<i>Нечекаша</i> {ч}, <i>нера<sup>о</sup>/ша,</i> <i>или небрегоша</i> (f.81r)	<i>Nechekasha</i> , <i>did not take</i> <i>care or neglected</i>	cf. Polish <i>czekać</i> 'to wait', Czech <i>čekat</i> 'to wait'
<i>Пуца</i> {че}, / <i>пустыня</i> (f.89r)	<i>Pushcha</i> {Czech}, <i>desert</i>	cf. Old Russian <i>пуца</i> 'desert place', Czech <i>poušť</i> 'desert place', Polish <i>puszcza</i> 'dense forest'

Of course, many words have no language marks, although we can easily determine their origin. Moreover, not all language inscriptions are correct. Sometimes, the compilers overwrite not the language of word origin but the language from which the whole text in which the word occurred was translated into Russian. Or, it could be connected with the name of the book: *Афира*, a loanword from Greek *ἀθήρα*, has the mark *егу* ‘Egyptian’, most likely because it was taken from the Egyptian Patericon (*Historia Monachorum in Aegypto*). The same language may have different marks: *г* (an abbreviation for *гречески* ‘Greek’) and *ели* (i.e. *еллински* ‘Hellenic’) for Greek, *ри* (i.e. *римски* ‘Roman’) and *ла* (i.e. *латински* ‘Latin’) for Latin, and so on. As the compiler writes in the Preface, “ї а тѣ рѣчи, іако<sup>ж</sup> в писанїи / то<sup>н</sup>кованы вбрето<sup>х</sup>, тоую / и здѣ с на<sup>н</sup>писание<sup>м</sup> постави<sup>х</sup>, / а кую бе<sup>з</sup> на<sup>н</sup>писаниа вбрето<sup>х</sup> / тую и з<sup>н</sup>ѣ бе<sup>з</sup> на<sup>н</sup>писаниа поста/ви<sup>х</sup>. не смею что ѿ себѣ при/ложити” (f.5v) – “And I, those words, the same way as I had found in the manuscript, so here with inscriptions gave, and which one without inscription, so here without inscription gave. I durst not anything from myself add”. Nevertheless, this testifies to the compiler’s interest and attempt to determine word origin using all available means.

In the margins, compilers usually wrote the literary sources from which the words came into the lexicons (if they knew them). These are the Gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John with pericope numbers, Acts of the Apostles, Epistles, and the Book of Revelations. Then, in almost all the protocanonical books of the Old Testament, one can find in the margins of the lexicon: Genesis, Exodus, the Books of Samuel, Kings, and Chronicles, Joshua, Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther, Job, Psalms, Ecclesiastes, Isaiah, Lamentations, Ezekiel, Daniel; and deuterocanonical books as well: Judith, Wisdom of Solomon, Wisdom of Joshua ben Sira, and the Books of the Maccabees.

In addition to these, there are the marks to the works of the Holy Fathers: The Ladder of Divine Ascent of John Climacus, Homilies of Gregory of Nazianzus, works of Dionysius the Areopagite, Saint John of Damascus, Ephrem the Syrian, Dorotheus of Gaza, Maximus the Greek. Sometimes, the compiler mentioned only the names of compilations, not the particular text, for example, the compilations *Чеп’ Zlataya* (Golden Chain) or *Zlatostry*.

Biographies of the Orthodox saints form a considerable part of the literary sources. The compiler used more than 20 hagiographical texts which were very popular in that period. Among the saints whose biographies we can find in the margins are famous theologians and writers (John of Damascus, John Chrysostom, John Climacus, Epiphanius of Salamis, Arsenius the Great, Theodore the Studite), hermits and ascetics (Anthony the Great, Mary of Egypt, Athanasius the Athonite, Onuphrius of Egypt, Sabbas the Sanctified, Sava of Serbia, Simeon the Holy Fool, John the Merciful, Saint Menas – the Martyr and Wonder-worker, one of the best-known Egyptian saints), and martyrs (Saint George, Demetrius of Thessaloniki). Then, the compiler used the Patericon – a collection of stories about saints, martyrs, and hierarchs, and tales about them, and Synaxarion – collections of stories about feasts and the lives of saints arranged according to the twelve months of the year.

Biographies of the saints were the favourite genre. Oleg Tvorogov wrote that “the value of the translated hagiography in Old Russian literature is exclusively great: biographies of the saints, along with sacred Scripture and homiletics, created the medieval Christians’ ideology, and biographies of the saints were the basic source of information about the history of the world and the history of the church, along with chronicles” (TVOROGOV 2008: 3).

Historical and geographical books were much rarer in those times, and only a few of them are mentioned in the lexicon: the Christian Topography by Cosmas Indicopleustes, Explanatory Palaea, Chronicle (Greek and Roman kingdoms), and Alexandria.

The main subject groups are determined by the literary sources: a lot of words related to Christian lifestyle and Jewish geographical names connected with the lives of Jesus Christ and the Apostles came from the Gospels as well as theological books:

*Комкание* {з}, прича/стие, ѿже е<sup>с</sup>ть  
приа<sup>т</sup>ие бж<sup>ї</sup>/и<sup>x</sup> таинъ {ефре<sup>m</sup> пд}<sup>2</sup>  
(f.58r)

*Капернаумъ* {ев}, домъ утѣше/ниа  
{ев<sup>с</sup>. ив<sup>н</sup>. з̄} (f.63r)

*Пасха, преведение, / или свобода* {зри<sup>с</sup>  
бго<sup>с</sup>. а̄} (f.86v)<sup>3</sup>

*Псевдопророчи/ся, лжепророкъ*  
{маѣ<sup>и</sup> зре<sup>к</sup>. ѿ з̄} (f.90v)

*Скрижали, двѣ доще<sup>к</sup>и / истесаны ис  
камени самѡи/ра, на ни<sup>x</sup> же написа бж<sup>ї</sup>  
ї. заповедей илѣлтяно<sup>m</sup> (!) {евре<sup>m</sup>.  
тк̄а} (f.96v)*

*Херувим<sup>m</sup>, многоъ разу<sup>m</sup>, / и прем<sup>о</sup>рость*  
{деви<sup>с</sup> аре<sup>n</sup>.} (f.112r)

*Komkanie* {Greek}, communion, that is  
taking God’s sacraments {Ephrem the  
Syrian, 84 (actually 85)}

*Kapernaum* {Jewish}, a house of comfort  
{Gospel of John 7}

*Paskha, transferring or freedom*  
{Gregory of Nazianzus 1}

*Psevdoprofitisya, a false prophet*  
{Maximus the Greek 9, 7 (actually 7)}

*Skrizhaly, two tablets hewing out from the  
sapphire stone on which God wrote ten  
commandments to the Israelites {Epistle  
to the Hebrews 321 (actually 320)}*

*Kheruvim, good sense and wisdom*  
{Dionysius the Areopagite}

Historical books of the Bible, chronicles, and geographical books brought names of different places, descriptions of real and mythological peoples, animals, and plants. The Epiphanius of Salamis’s story *On the Twelve Gems (About Ephod)*, which was included in Palea, describes various gems and their magic qualities:

*Адамать* (in Uvar. 311 адамантъ) {з},  
камень зело че/сте<sup>n</sup> {о̄ ефуде} (f.12r)

*Adamant* {Greek}, a very precious jewel  
{About ephod}

<sup>2</sup> See Ephrem the Syrian’s Homily 85: <http://old.stsl.ru/manuscripts/medium.php?col=1&manuscript=007&page=146>.

<sup>3</sup> See Gregory of Nazianzus’s first homily about Easter: <http://old.stsl.ru/manuscripts/medium.php?col=1&manuscript=136&pagefile=136-0009>.

- Даѡни/А, гра<sup>д</sup> иракла, а ѡнде пише/тса даѡния по еллински дрѣ/вце блѣбуханно {алеѣandro<sup>о</sup>/хо<sup>жс</sup>} (f.36r)
- Охлѣ/ти, ѣсть дикая лю<sup>о</sup> именуе/ми ѡхлѣти, или косма<sup>м</sup>цы, и<sup>жс</sup>/су<sup>м</sup> круглы космѣты черны ѡ/бличие имуце лѡво {алеѣa<sup>н</sup>дрѣя.} (f.84v)
- Огену<sup>с</sup> (in Увар. 311 огенушь), / пише<sup>м</sup>, ѡко ѣсть во индискѣ // страна<sup>х</sup> древо, наричемо ѡгне/нушь (in Увар. 311 огенушь), и<sup>жс</sup> во ѡгни не гори<sup>м</sup>, и ни<sup>жс</sup> не / гние<sup>м</sup> {ц<sup>р</sup> елли<sup>н</sup>. / алеѣa<sup>н</sup>.} (f.84v–f.85r)
- Тигръ, / звѣрь лю<sup>м</sup>, и паки тигръ е<sup>с</sup> ре/ка. ѡ. часть реки и<sup>з</sup>ше<sup>о</sup>шиа и<sup>з</sup> ра/А; сѣа проходу<sup>м</sup> землю асирѣ/искую, а ѡнде пише<sup>м</sup>, тигръ, / вѣтръ остръ {ц<sup>р</sup> гре<sup>ч</sup> / бы<sup>м</sup>. с<sup>р</sup>} (f.105v)
- Димостень еллинскии ѡило/соѡъ бѣ в лѣта .ѣтѣ {ц<sup>р</sup> елли<sup>н</sup>} (f.38r)
- Куви/куларие {р<sup>н</sup>}, постелничеи црѣ/вѣ {ѣуди<sup>о</sup>. вѣ.} (f.61r)
- Протосигкел<sup>н</sup>, / болиш<sup>н</sup> келеиникъ патриарше/скои, и<sup>жс</sup> селѡ честень {ц<sup>р</sup> греч} (f.87v)
- Патрикѣи {з}, ѡцѣ ѡбца/го наро<sup>д</sup>наго промышления {ц<sup>р</sup> гре<sup>ч</sup>} (f.87v)
- Сателите, / велможѣи {ѣуди<sup>о</sup>} (f.103r)
- Daphnia, town Irakleia, in other places Daphnia is meant in Greek a fragrant tree {Alexander's travelling}*
- Okhlaty, people named okhlaty or kosmattsy, which are round, black, shaggy and lionlike {Alexandria}*
- Ogenus, it is written to be a tree named ogenus in the Indian land, which can not be berried {Greek kingdom, Alexandria}*
- Tigr, a fierce animal, and also tigr is a river, the fourth part of river came from Paradise; it goes along Assyrian land but in other places tigr is written to be a strong wind {Greek kingdom, Book of Genesis}*
- Dimosten, there was a Greek Philosopher in year 5301 (207 B.C.) {Greek kingdom}*
- Kuicularie {Roman}, the gentelman of the king's badchamber {Judith 12}*
- Protosigkel, the main patriarch cell-attendant {Greek kingdom}*
- Patrikie, the father of the common folk care {Greek kingdom}*
- Satelite, noble people {Judith}*

In addition to these sources, there are some others which are not mentioned in the lexicons but we can distinguish them. These are glossaries which had been compiled before. One of them is “The interpretation of obscure words” explaining incomprehensible words from an archaic translation of John Climacus’s *The Ladder of Divine Ascent* (further detailed in KOVTUN 1963: 216–268, TRIFUNOVICH 1982). “The interpretation” is just a list of Serbian words which would be unknown to a Russian reader (one of the first translations of *The Ladder* was made in Serbia and then came to Russia). In the lexicon, all the entries are ordered alphabetically.

Some entries (such as *Smilax*, for example) came from theological comments to *The Ladder* which exist in some manuscripts:

<i>Гадани/е, сокровенъ глаго<sup>л</sup></i> (f.33r)	<i>Gadanie, secret word</i>
<i>Рѣснотивие, ѿсти/нство</i> (f.92v)	<i>Resnotivie, truth</i>
<i>Сво/цство, еже има<sup>м</sup> что осо<sup>б</sup>но</i> (f.102r)	<i>Svoistvo, what is one has particularly</i>
<i>Тризна, подвигъ {лѣст. ѿ}</i> (f.106v)	<i>Trizna, deed {Ladder, Step 4}</i>
<i>Узрѡкъ, вина</i> (f.108v)	<i>Uzrok, blame</i>
<i>Смилаѣъ {г}, трава, иже по/добна</i> <i>хмелю, вѣтса кру<sup>с</sup> / древа и иссушаа</i> <i>его крѣпо/стию своею</i> (f.99v)	<i>Smilax {Greek}, the grass which is like</i> <i>hop creeps around a tree withering it</i> <i>by its strength</i>

The other lexicographical source is the *Explanations for proper names alphabetically* by Maximus the Greek (further detailed in KOVTUN 1975: 116–205). After the Christianization of Russia, newborn babies were given the names of saints, most of which had a Greek origin. The meaning of these names was unclear. Maximus the Greek translated Greek and some Latin names into Russian, and a large number of the names translated in his dictionary have been used in the lexicon. They formed the last part of each letter division, called “Human Names”:

<i>Анастаси, воскр<sup>с</sup>ние</i> (f.23r)	<i>Anastasiy, resurrection</i>
<i>Варнава, / снѣ утѣшениа</i> (f.30v)	<i>Varnava, the son of comfort</i>
<i>Калистра<sup>м</sup> {г}, / добрыи воинъ</i> (f.70v)	<i>Kalistrat {Greek}, good warrior</i>
<i>Ма/карие {г}, блѣженныи</i> (f.79r)	<i>Makarie, blessed</i>
<i>Пелагиа, пучина</i> (f.91r)	<i>Pelagia, the deep</i>

Apart from the above-mentioned ones, there are a lot of entries in which it is difficult to classify and determine what sources they were taken from. Most of these words are of Greek origin and we can only suppose that their source was something like a Greek–Russian dictionary. The others are lexical variants which were usually written in the margins as a result of the editor’s correction of texts.

Here, we have investigated only two lexicons of the first type but they have an extremely important place in Russian lexicography because other lexicons of the first and other types were compiled on their basis. For example, Nil Kurlyatev made a new edition by reducing some large entries of the O.XVI.1 and adding new ones, and the new edition of the basic lexicon appeared. Gradually, new entries enlarged the volume more and more but all the lexicographical principles (e.g. the structure of entries, the alphabetical organisation, or the types of references) were kept almost unchanged. Only in 1596, in Novgorod the Great, a new type of lexicon was created: the compiler organized the entries not only according to the first letter but also taking into consideration the next vowel letter. Moreover, he used



some rules organizing the entries within every letter subdivision. Nevertheless, even there, most features of the first lexicons were preserved, which confirms their key role in the development of Russian lexicography.

### References

- HSBM = *Гістарычны слоўнік беларускай мовы*. Т. 1–21. Мінск: «Навука і тэхніка», 1982–2002.
- КОВТУН 1963 = Ковтун Л. С. *Русская лексикография эпохи средневековья*. Москва: «Издательство АН СССР», 1963.
- КОВТУН 1975 = Ковтун Л. С. *Лексикография в Московской Руси XVI – начала XVII в.* Ленинград: «Наука», 1975.
- КОВТУН 1989 = Ковтун Л. С. *Азбуковники XVI–XVII вв. (старшая разновидность)*. Ленинград: «Наука», 1989.
- SP16 = *Słownik polszczyzny XVI wieku*. Т. 1–34. Wrocław: Wydawnictwo Polskiej Akademii Nauk, 1966–2010.
- TRIFUNOVICH 1982 = Трифуновић Ђ. Речник уз српске преписе Лествице: прилог познавању српске средњовековне лексикографије. *Јужнословенски филолог* 38 (1982): 81–82.
- TVOROGOV 2008 = Творогов О. В. *Переводные жития в русской книжности XI–XV вв. Каталог*. Москва–Санкт-Петербург: «Альянс-Архео», 2008.