

## **Dear Author**

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and email the annotated PDF.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- Check the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- Check that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style.
- Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

#### **Please note**

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-5215-y

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to: <u>http://www.link.springer.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

## Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

Please note: Images will appear in color online but will be printed in black and white. Article Title The life cycle of Thelohanellus kitauei (Myxozoa: Myxosporea) 1 infecting common carp (Cyprinus carpio) involves aurantiactinomyxon in Branchiura sowerbyi Article Sub-Title 2 Article Copyright -Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016 3 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF) Year Journal Name 4 Parasitology Research 5 Family Name Zhai 6 Particle 7 Given Name Yanhua 8 Suffix 9 Organization Huazhong Agricultural University 10 Division Department of Aquatic Animal Medicine, College of Fisheries Address Wuhan 11 Corresponding 12 Organization Freshwater Aquaculture Collaborative Innovation Author Center of Hubei Province 13 Division Address 14 Wuhan 15 Organization Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture 16 Division 17 Address Wuhan 18 e-mail zhaiyh@mail.hzau.edu.cn 19 Family Name Zhao 20 Particle 21 Given Name Dandan 22 Suffix 23 Organization Huazhong Agricultural University Author 24 Division Department of Aquatic Animal Medicine, College of Fisheries 25 Address Wuhan 26 Organization Freshwater Aquaculture Collaborative Innovation Center of Hubei Province

27		Division	
28		Address	Wuhan
29		e-mail	
30		Family Name	Borkhanuddin
31		Particle	
32		Given Name	Muhammad Hafiz
33		Suffix	
34		Organization	Institute for Veterinary Medical Research, Centre for Agricultural Research
35	Author	Division	
36		Address	HAS, POB 18, Budapest H-1581
37		Organization	University Malaysia Terengganu
38		Division	School of Marine and Environmental Science
39		Address	Kuala Terengganu
40		e-mail	
41		Family Name	Wang
42		Particle	
43		Given Name	Weimin
44		Suffix	
45		Organization	Freshwater Aquaculture Collaborative Innovation Center of Hubei Province
46	Author	Division	
47		Address	Wuhan
48		Organization	Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture
49		Division	
50		Address	Wuhan
51		e-mail	
52		Family Name	Liu
53		Particle	
54		Given Name	Yang
55		Suffix	
56		Organization	Huazhong Agricultural University
57	Author	Division	Department of Aquatic Animal Medicine, College of Fisheries
58		Address	Wuhan
59		Organization	Freshwater Aquaculture Collaborative Innovation Center of Hubei Province
60		Division	

61		Address	Wuhan		
62		Organization	Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture		
63		Division			
64		Address	Wuhan		
65		e-mail			
66		Family Name	Cech		
67		Particle			
68		Given Name	Gábor		
69		Suffix			
70	Author Organization		Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture		
71		Division			
72		Address	Wuhan		
73		e-mail			
74		Family Name	Székely		
75		Particle			
76		Given Name	Csaba		
77		Suffix			
78	Author	Organization	Institute for Veterinary Medical Research, Centre for Agricultural Research		
79		Division			
80		Address	HAS, POB 18, Budapest H-1581		
81		e-mail			
82		Received	23 February 2016		
83	Schedule	Revised			
84		Accepted	27 July 2016		
85	Abstract	<i>Thelohanellus kitauei</i> is a freshwater myxosporean parasite causing intestinal giant cystic disease of common carp. To clarify the life cycle of <i>T. kitauei</i> , we investigated the oligochaete populations in China and Hungary. This study confirms two distinct aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes (Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2) from <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i> as developmental stages of the life cycle of <i>T. kitauei</i> . The morphological characteristics and DNA sequences of these two types are described here. Based on 18S rDNA sequence analysis, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp) and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp) share 99.2–99.4 %, 99.8–100 % similarity to the published sequences of <i>T. kitauei</i> , respectively. The 18S rDNA sequences of these two aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes share 99.4 % similarity. Suggesting intraspecific variation within the			

		taxon, possibly due to geographic origin. Phylogenetic analyses demonstrate the two aurantiactinomyxon types clustered with <i>T.</i> <i>kitauei</i> . Regardless, based on 18S rDNA synonymy, it is likely that Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and 2 are conspecific with <i>T. kitauei</i> . This is the fourth elucidated two-host life cycle of <i>Thelohanellus</i> species and the first record of <i>T. kitauei</i> in Europe.
86	Keywords separated by ' - '	<i>Thelohanellus kitauei -</i> Life cycle - Aurantiactinomyxon - 18S rDNA - <i>Branchiura sowerbyi -</i> China - Hungary
87	Foot note information	

Parasitol Res DOI 10.1007/s00436-016-5215-y

ORIGINAL PAPER

32

9

# The life cycle of *Thelohanellus kitauei* (Myxozoa: Myxosporea) infecting common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) involves aurantiactinomyxon in *Branchiura sowerbyi*

Dandan Zhao<sup>1,2</sup> • Muhammad Hafiz Borkhanuddin<sup>3,4</sup> • Weimin Wang<sup>2,5</sup> • Yang Liu<sup>1,2,5</sup> •
 Gábor Cech<sup>5</sup> • Yanhua Zhai<sup>1,2,5</sup> • Csaba Székely<sup>3</sup>

10 Received: 23 February 2016 / Accepted: 27 July 2016

11 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Thelohanellus kitauei is a freshwater myxosporean 1213parasite causing intestinal giant cystic disease of common carp. To clarify the life cycle of T. kitauei, we investigated 14the oligochaete populations in China and Hungary. This study 1516confirms two distinct aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes (Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and Aurantiactinomyxon type 172) from Branchiura sowerbyi as developmental stages of the 18 19life cycle of *T. kitauei*. The morphological characteristics and DNA sequences of these two types are described here. Based 20on 18S rDNA sequence analysis, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 2122(2048 bp) and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp) share 2399.2-99.4 %, 99.8-100 % similarity to the published sequences of T. kitauei, respectively. The 18S rDNA 24sequences of these two aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes 2526share 99.4 % similarity, suggesting intraspecific variation within the taxon, possibly due to geographic origin. 27Phylogenetic analyses demonstrate the two aurantiactinomyxon 28types clustered with T. kitauei. Regardless, based on 18S rDNA 2930 synonymy, it is likely that Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and 2 are conspecific with T. kitauei. This is the fourth elucidated 31

> ⊠ Yanhua Zhai zhaiyh@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Q1

02

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Aquatic Animal Medicine, College of Fisheries, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China
- <sup>2</sup> Freshwater Aquaculture Collaborative Innovation Center of Hubei Province, Wuhan, China
- <sup>3</sup> Institute for Veterinary Medical Research, Centre for Agricultural Research, HAS, POB 18, H-1581 Budapest, Hungary
- <sup>4</sup> School of Marine and Environmental Science, University Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia
  - <sup>5</sup> Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture, Wuhan, China

two-host life cycle of Thelohanellus species and the first	32
record of <i>T. kitauei</i> in Europe.	33
Keywords Thelohanellus kitauei · Life cycle ·	34
Aurantiactinomyxon · 18S rDNA · Branchiura sowerbyi ·	35
China · Hungary	36

#### Introduction

37 Q3

Myxozoans, a group of obligate parasitic metazoans, play a 38 significant pathogenic role in aquatic vertebrates (mostly fish) 39 worldwide. The myxozoan life cycles are complex and mostly 40 involve both vertebrate and invertebrate hosts, which was first 41 demonstrated in 1984 for Myxobolus cerebralis (Wolf & 42Markiw 1984). Since the pioneering discovery, approximately 43 50 myxozoan species are confirmed to follow this life cycle 44 pattern that involves the alternation of a myxosporean stage 45developing in fish with an actinosporean stage in annelid 46 worms (Székely et al. 2014; Eszterbauer et al. 2015). 47

Initially, life cycle studies of myxozoans were solely 48 performed based on experimental infections. However, most 49of these studies only replicate partial life cycles (typically fish 50to worm transmission). It is difficult to conduct holistic trans-51mission experiments for the following reasons: uncertainty of 52susceptible hosts, deficiency of knowledge of the intrapiscine 53developmental pathways, and appropriate conditions for 54infection (Eszterbauer et al. 2015). Along with increasing 55importance of molecular methods in parasitological stud-56ies, the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (18S rDNA) 57has been applied as a molecular marker for identifying 58actinsporean-myxosporean pairs (Bartholomew et al. 1997; 59Holzer et al. 2004; Eszterbauer et al. 2006; Zhai et al. 2012; 60 Borkhanuddin et al. 2014; Rosser et al. 2015). Compared with 61the complex, time-consuming and labor intensive nature of 62

## AUTHOR 5-PR COT!

experimental infections, researchers are more inclined to
 identify actinosporean-myxosporean pairs using molecular
 analysis.

66 Thelohanellus Kudo, 1933 (Myxosporea, Bivalvulida) is 67 the sixth most speciose genus within the phylum Myxozoa. At present, at least 109 Thelohanellus spp. have been 68 described (Yuan et al. 2015). The majority of Thelohanellus 69 70spp. are seemingly innocuous to their hosts, while others can be severely pathogenic. One of the more well-researched 71members of the genus is Thelohanellus kitauei, which has 72been implicated in fish kills in common carp (Cyprinus 7374carpio) in Asia and can cause considerable economic losses (Liu et al. 2011; Seo et al. 2012; Zhai et al. 2016). While 75investigations into the host specificity, infection site tropism 76and genome of T. kitauei have taken place, information 77regarding the extrapiscine developmental stage has remained 7879 elusive (Shin et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2014). As such, the source of infection is unknown, although it is presumed the 80 81 life cycle of T. kitauei is similar to other myxozoans, involving an actinosporean stage in an alternate invertebrate host 82 (Seo et al. 2012). 83

Historically, *T. kitauei* has been reported from common
carp in East Asia, mainly referring to Japan, Korea, and
China (Egusa & Nakajima 1981; Seo et al. 2012; Zhai et al.
2016). To date, *T. kitauei* has not been reported from Europe
or the Americas, even though the common carp is globally
distributed.

In the present study, we performed investigations of the 90 91actinosporean fauna at Lake Balaton and Kis-Balaton Reservoir of Hungary and freshwater fish ponds in China, 92 and examined the morphology and 18S rDNA sequences of 9394actinosporean stages. The aim of this study was to confirm the identity of two aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes from 95Branchiura sowerbyi found in different biotopes as the 96 97 counterpart actinosporean stages of T. kitauei based on 98 morphological and molecular analyses.

#### 99 Materials and methods

#### 100 Sample collection

101 Oligochaetes were harvested from different sites of Lake Balaton (Keszthely, Tihany, Balatonvilágos, Balatonszemes, 102Zala channel, Siófok) and Kis-Balaton Reservoir, western part 103 of Hungary (hereinafter referred to as 'natural waters') and 104cultured fish ponds located at Dongxi Lake and Datong 105Lake of Hubei Province, China (hereinafter referred to as 'fish 106ponds'). Sampling from natural waters was carried out from 107 108April to October, 2011 and April to September, 2012, while samples were collected in the fish ponds from August to 109December, 2014 and March to July 2015. Oligochaete 110

samples were taken one to three times each month during 111 the sampling period. 112

For the natural waters, sediment was collected near water 113 vegetation at about 0.5 to 1 m depth. At each sampling 114occasion, as much as 40-60 l of mud volume was sieved in 115situ through 1000 µm mesh size net. Oligochaetes trapped 116together with debris, vegetation roots and decayed particles 117 were then transferred to the laboratory with minimal lake 118water. For the fish ponds, sediment was sampled by spade 119 from the accessible shallow water along the ponds. Then, 120 the mud samples were sieved and washed gently with pond 121water through a 450-µm mesh sieve. The material remaining 122in the sieve was transferred into plastic boxes containing a 123small quantity of pond water and transported to the laboratory. 124

On arrival, the sediments were aerated and supplied with 125 additional fresh dechlorinated tap water. Oligochaetes were 126 hand-sorted from the retained material in trays filled with 127 dechlorinated tap water. Oligochaetes of natural waters were 128 identified according to the key of Timm (1999), while 129 oligochaetes in the fish ponds were identified morphologically 130 following the guidelines of Wang (2002). 131

# Collection and morphological identification132of actinospores133

Oligochaetes were separated and placed into wells of 24 cell-134well plates with 2 ml dechlorinated tap water or distilled water 135according to the methods of Yokoyama et al. (1991). Plates 136with worms were held at ambient temperatures and the water 137in the plates was examined daily for the presence of 138actinospores by inverted microscopy. Observed actinospores 139were harvested upon release. Photomicrographs of actinsopores 140from natural waters were taken from fresh material using both 141bright-field and phase contrast illumination and a DP-20 digital 142camera mounted on an Olympus BH-2 microscope. Similarly, 143photomicrographs of actinospores from fish ponds were taken 144with a Zeiss Axio Imager A2 fluorescence microscope 145equipped with Andor Clara CCD camera. Line drawings were 146made based on these photomicrographs. 147

Morphological measurements were taken from a variable 148number of spores, depending on availability from one infected 149oligochaete. Spore measurements of actinosporean types were 150performed according to the guidelines of Lom et al. (1997). 151The number of germ cells was determined by placing 152actinospores on glass slides and pressing gently on the spores 153with a coverslip to mechanically release and disrupt the 154sporoplasm. All measurements were in micrometers (µm). 155

#### **Molecular methods**

Actinospores harvested from oligochaetes collected from157natural waters were initially preserved in 80 % ethanol.158Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy™ tissue kit159

156

Parasitol Res

160(animal tissue protocol: OIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A semi-nested PCR system was 161162 used for amplification. The first round of PCR reaction was 163carried out with universal eukaryotic primers ERIB1 and 164 ERIB10 (Barta et al. 1997). In the second round amplification, two semi-nested PCR reactions were performed using two 165166 myxozoan primer pairs, MyxospecF (Fiala 2006)-ERIB10 and ERIB1-TKR1 (Seo et al. 2012), respectively. The first 167round PCR was carried out in a 25-µl reaction mixture com-168 prising 2 µl of extracted genomic DNA, 5 µl of 1 mM deoxy-169ribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs; MBI Fermentas), 1701710.325 µM of each primer, 2.5 µl of 10× DreamTag buffer (MBI Fermentas), 0.1 µl of DreamTaq polymerase (2 U; 172MBI Fermentas) and 15 µl of water. The following profile 173was used to amplify the 18S rDNA region: an initial denatur-174ation step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95 °C 175176for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min, and was completed with terminal extension at 72 °C for 7 min. For the 177178second round of semi-nested PCR reactions, 1 µl of the initial 179amplified product was used as the template. Compared with the first round PCR, the second round of PCR was performed 180 in a 50-µl reaction mixture and the quantities of each ingredi-181182ent were doubled. Amplification conditions in the second round followed this profile: 95 °C for 3 min, then 35 cycles 183at 95 °C for 50 s, 50 °C for 50 s, 72 °C for 1 min 40 s, and 184185terminated with an extension period at 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed in 1 % agarose 186gels in 1× TAE buffer gel stained with ethidium bromide. 187 188 Amplified DNA was purified with the EZ-10 Spin Column PCR Purification Kit (Bio Basic Inc). Purified PCR products 189were sequenced with myxozoan specific primers listed by 190191Székely et al. (2014) and CR1F (Székely et al. 2015a, 2015b), using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Life 192Technologies) with an ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyser 193194(Life Technologies).

Genomic DNA of actinospores harvested from oligo-195chaetes in fish culture ponds was extracted using a 196197TransDirect<sup>™</sup> Animal Tissue PCR Kit (TransGen Biotech, Beijing) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The 198 PrimeSTAR® Max DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa) was used to 199200 ensure high fidelity sequence. The 18S rDNA was amplified with universal eukaryotic primer pairs 18e (Hillis & Dixon 2011991) and 18r (Whipps et al. 2003). PCR was performed in 202203 a 50-µl reaction mixture comprising 25 µl 2× PrimeSTAR Max Premix, 0.4 µM of each primer, 16 µl distilled water 204and approximate 100-150 ng of genomic DNA. 205Amplification was carried out using a ProFlexTM PCR 206System (ABI, America) and the amplification profile was as 207follows: 98 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 15 s, and terminal elongation 208at 72 °C for 1 min for 35 cycles. The PCR products were 209210electrophoresed through a 1 % agarose gel in 1× Tris-Acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer and purified using the Gel 211Extraction Kit (CWBIO, Beijing). Purified PCR fragments 212

222

were cloned into pMD-19T vector system (TaKaRa). 213Positive clones were selected and sequenced with an ABI 214PRISM® 3730XL DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems 215Inc., Foster, USA). The contiguous sequences were assembled 216according to the corresponding chromatograms with the 217SeqMan<sup>™</sup> utility of the Lasergene software package (DNA 218Star, Madison, Wisconsin) and submitted to the National 219Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide 220database. 221

#### **Phylogenetic analyses**

Myxozoan nucleotide sequences used in phylogenetic analy-223ses were chosen based on BLAST searches. The highly similar 224sequences (>80 % match) that were at least 1500 bp in length 225(n=34) were downloaded from the NCBI nucleotide data-226base. The dataset was aligned with the software MAFFT v. 2277.271 (Katoh & Standley 2013), and manually corrected using 228the BioEdit sequence alignment editor program (Hall 1999). 229Hypervariable or ambiguous regions were deleted to ensure 230comparison of homologous positions. Phylogenetic analyses 231were conducted by Bayesian (BI) and maximum likelihood 232(ML) methods. The best-fit evolutionary model for BI and ML 233analyses was determined by MrModeltest v. 2.3 (Nylander 2342004), which identified the optimal evolutionary model as 235the general time reversible model (GTR + I + G) using the 236Akaike information criteria. Bayesian analysis was conducted 237in MrBayes v. 3.1 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). The 238length of chains was of 2,000,000 generations with sampling 239each 100 generations, and the prior 5000 were discarded as 240 burn-in. ML analysis was performed using PhyML v. 3.0 241(Guindon et al. 2010). Nucleotide frequencies were estimated 242from the data (A = 0.2548, C = 0.2029, G = 0.2703, 243T = 0.2720; six rates of nucleotide substitution were 244[AC]=1.1156, [AG]=3.4511, [AT]=1.3843, [CG]=0.7126, 245[CT] = 5.4490, [GT] = 1.0000; proportion of invariable 246sites = 0.2339; gamma distribution shape parameter = 0.3271. 247Bootstrap confidence values were calculated with 100 repli-248cates. The resulting topologies were annotated with MEGA v. 2496.06 software package (Tamura et al. 2013) and compared 250with each other. Ceratonova shasta was selected as outgroup. 251

#### Results

Oligochaetes identified as possible hosts in the present study 253mainly consisted of B. sowerbyi, Isochaetides michaelseni, 254Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, Nais sp., Dero sp., Aeolosoma sp. 255and Bothrioneurum vejdovskvanum. From B. sowerbyi 256(434 and 7321 specimens collected from Hungary and China, 257respectively), two distinct aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes 258(Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2) 259corresponded to known T. kitauei deposited in the GenBank 260

252

## AUTHOR 5-PROOP!

database. Morphometrics of relevant aurantiactinomyxon
types are listed in Table 1. The genetic similarities of
aurantiactinoyxon types with *T. kitauei* deposited in the
GenBank are summarized in Table 2.

#### 265 Description of aurantiactinomyxon morphotypes

266 Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 nov. (Fig. 1a-d)

267

Description: Spore body is spherical in apical view but with 268269 three smooth indentations at the edge of the structure, 19.7 (17.3–23.3) µm in diameter. The caudal processes are of equal 270length, triangular shaped, tapering to pencil point tips, and 271curve downwards, measuring 20.4 (18.7-23.3) µm long and 2728.9 (7.4–10.0)  $\mu$ m wide at the base. Three polar capsules 273274are located in the middle of the spore body and are pyri-275form in side view, measuring 3.4 µm long, 2.8 µm wide. 276Flattened sporoplasmic body reveals at least 28 germ cells (most likely 32). 277

- 278 Host: Branchiura sowerbyi Beddard, 1892
- 279 Locality: Kis-Balaton Reservoir, Hungary
- 280 Date of collection: July, 2011
- 281 Prevalence: 0.01 % (1 out of 7755)
- 282 GenBank accession no.: KU664643

Remarks: Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 described here closely resembled the Aurantiactinomyxon of *Thelohanellus nikolskii* (Székely et al. 1998) and Aurantiactinomyxon type
'B2' of Eszterbauer et al. (2006), but the caudal processes of the
latter two were shorter. Furthermore, our aurantiactinomyxon
also showed similar morphological characteristics with
Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 of El-Mansy et al. (1998).

However, the latter possessed slightly bigger caudal pro-290cesses (20.4 vs 22.6  $\mu$ m in mean length and 8.9 vs 11.7  $\mu$ m 291in mean width) and was collected from Limnodrilus sp. 292 (Table 1). No pansporocysts could be detected from the 293infected oligochaete. By amplification and sequencing, a 294total of 2048 bases of 18S rDNA was generated from 295Aurantiactinomyxon type 1. On the basis of the DNA se-296quences, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 showed maximum 297identity with T. kitauei. The contiguous sequence fragment 298 presented a similar percentage of 99.4, 99.4, 99.3, and 99.2 % 299to the sequences of T. kitauei available in GenBank: JQ690367, 300 KR872638, HM624024 and GO396677, respectively 301 (Table 2). 302

Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 nov. (Fig. 2a–d) 303

304

Description: Spore body is typically trefoil-shaped with 305 obvious interlobular retractions in apical view, 20.9 (19.3-306 22.1) µm in diameter, and in side view it is ellipsoidal, 18.4 307 (17.6-23.0) µm in length, 22.3 (21.6-23.0) µm in width (mea-308 sured from 8 spores). Three equal-sized triangular-sepal-like 309 caudal processes entirely embrace the spore body, and curve 310 downward with pointed ends in side view, measuring 19.7 311(17.9–22.3) µm long, 11.6 (9.8–13.0) µm wide at the base. 312 In addition, the caudal processes seem to be composed of two 313 parts, that is, one is round and the other pointed at the end. The 314nuclei of valve cell locate either distally or proximally in cau-315dal processes. Three polar capsules are spherical in apical 316 view, 2.7 (2.6–2.9) µm in diameter and pyriform in side view, 317 measuring 3.0 (2.8-3.3) µm long, 2.4 (2.2-2.6) µm wide. 318 Number of secondary cells is 32. 319

Q5 t1.1 Table 1 Comparison of morphological measurements of the newly identified and the previously reported aurantiactinomyxon types

t1.2	Actinospore (Reference)	Caudal processes		Spore body	Polar capsules	No. of germ	Host	
t1.3		L	W			cells		
t1.4	Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (present study)	20.4 (18.7–23.3)	8.9 (7.4–10.0)	D: 19.7 (17.3–23.3)	L: 3.4 W: 2.8	>26 (c. 32)	Branchiura sowerbyi	t1.5
t1.6	Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (present study)	19.7 (17.9–22.3)	11.6 (9.8–13.0)	D: 20.9 (19.3–22.1)	L: 3.0 (2.8–3.3) W: 2.4 (2.2–2.6)	32	B. sowerbyi	t1.7
					D: 2.7 (2.6–2.9)			t1.8
t1.9	Aurantiactinomyxon of <i>Thelohanellus</i> <i>nikolskii</i> (Székely et al. 1998)	13.4 (11.3–15.5)	9.0 (8.5–9.6)	D: 21.1 (21–21.2)	D: 2.1 (2.0–2.2)	16	Tubifex tubifex	
t1.10	Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (El-Mansy et al. 1998)	22.6	11.7	D: 21.1	L: 2.8 W: 2.0	n.d.	Limnodrilus sp.	t1.11
t1.12	Aurantiactinomyxon 'B2' (Eszterbauer et al. 2006)	16 (14–20)	8.4 (7–10.6)	D: 19 (18–21)	L: 2.6 (2–4.1)	n.d.	B. sowerbyi	
t1.13	Aurantiactinomyxon type JD (Xi et al. 2015)	21.7 (20.0–24.4)	14.0 (11.2–16.4)	L: 15.6 W: 21.2 (17.1–24.0)	D: 2.3 (2.0–2.8)	>30	B. sowerbyi	t1.14

All measurements are in µm and ranges are given in parentheses

L length, W width, D diameter, n.d. no data

Parasitol Res

	-	1	2	3	4	5	6
is-Balaton Reservoir (Hungary) id fish pond of Honghu (China) ith <i>Thelohanellus kitauei</i>	1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)	100 %					
posited in the GenBank. Length	2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)	99.4 %	100 %				
enBank accession numbers are	3, T. kitauei (2051 bp, JQ690367)	99.4 %	99.9 %	100 %			
own in parentheses	4, T. kitauei (2048 bp, HM624024)	99.3 %	99.8 %	99.9 %	100 %		
	5, T. kitauei (2031 bp, KR872638)	99.4 %	99.8 %	99.7 %	99.6 %	100 %	
	6, T. kitauei (1561 bp, GQ396677)	99.2 %	100 %	99.9 %	99.7 %	99.6 %	100 %
	d fish pond of Honghu (China) th <i>Thelohanellus kitauei</i> posited in the GenBank. Length 18S rDNA sequences and mBank accession numbers are own in parentheses	<ul> <li>d fish pond of Honghu (China)</li> <li>th <i>Thelohanellus kitauei</i></li> <li>posited in the GenBank. Length</li> <li>18S rDNA sequences and</li> <li>enBank accession numbers are</li> <li>pown in parentheses</li> <li>1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)</li> <li>2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)</li> <li>3, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2051 bp, JQ690367)</li> <li>4, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2048 bp, HM624024)</li> <li>5, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2031 bp, KR872638)</li> <li>6, <i>T. kitauei</i> (1561 bp, GQ396677)</li> </ul>	d fish pond of Honghu (China) th Thelohanellus kitauei posited in the GenBank. Length 18S rDNA sequences and mBank accession numbers are own in parentheses1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)100 % 99.4 % 99.4 %1. Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)99.4 % 99.4 %3. T. kitauei (2051 bp, JQ690367)99.4 % 99.3 %5. T. kitauei (2031 bp, KR872638)99.4 % 99.4 % 99.2 %	d fish pond of Honghu (China) th Thelohanellus kitauei posited in the GenBank. Length 18S rDNA sequences and enBank accession numbers are own in parentheses1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)100 %2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)99.4 %99.9 %3, T. kitauei (2051 bp, JQ690367)99.4 %99.9 %99.8 %5, T. kitauei (2031 bp, KR872638)99.4 %99.8 %6, T. kitauei (1561 bp, GQ396677)99.2 %100 %	d fish pond of Honghu (China)       1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)       100 %         posited in the GenBank. Length       2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)       99.4 %       100 %         18S rDNA sequences and enBank accession numbers are own in parentheses       3. <i>T. kitauei</i> (2051 bp, JQ690367)       99.4 %       99.9 %       100 %         4, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2031 bp, KR872638)       99.4 %       99.9 %       100 %         5, <i>T. kitauei</i> (1561 bp, GQ396677)       99.2 %       100 %	d fish pond of Honghu (China)       1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)       100 %         posited in the GenBank. Length       2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)       99.4 %       100 %         18S rDNA sequences and enBank accession numbers are pown in parentheses       3, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2051 bp, JQ690367)       99.4 %       99.9 %       100 %         4, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2048 bp, HM624024)       99.3 %       99.9 %       100 %         5, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2031 bp, KR872638)       99.4 %       99.9 %       100 %         6, <i>T. kitauei</i> (1561 bp, GQ396677)       99.2 %       100 %       99.7 %	d fish pond of Honghu (China)       1, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (2048 bp, KU664643)       100 %         posited in the GenBank. Length       2, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (2031 bp, KU664644)       99.4 %       100 %         18S rDNA sequences and enBank accession numbers are pown in parentheses       3. <i>T. kitauei</i> (2051 bp, JQ690367)       99.4 %       99.9 %       100 %         4, <i>T. kitauei</i> (2031 bp, KR872638)       99.4 %       99.9 %       100 %         5, <i>T. kitauei</i> (1561 bp, GQ396677)       99.2 %       100 %       99.7 %       99.6 %

320 Host: Branchiura sowerbyi Beddard, 1892

- 321 Locality: Datong Lake, Honghu City, Hubei Province, China 322
- Date of collection: May, 2015 323
- 324 Prevalence: 0.04 % (3 out of 7755)
- 325GenBank accession no.: KU664644

326 Remarks: The Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 presented here was nearly similar to the Aurantiactinomyxon 'B2' of 327 Eszterbauer et al. (2006), but caudal processes of the latter 328 were relatively smaller, 19.7 (17.9-22.3) vs 16 (14-20) µm 329330 in length and 11.6 (9.8–13.0) vs 8.4 (7–10.6) µm in width, and spore body of the latter was incompletely encircled within the 331base of caudal processes. The shape and measurements of this 332 333 type also closely resembled the Aurantiactinomyxon type JD of Xi et al. (2015) except the different shape ends of caudal 334 335 processes (triangular vs rounded). The partial 18S rDNA se-336 quence of Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 was obtained from 3 337 clones, and the sequences had no variation among 3 clones

chosen. The contiguous sequence (2031 bp) was processed to 338 remove vector sequence and subsequently deposited in 339 GenBank database. Based on DNA sequence analysis, 340 Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 showed the highest genetic simi-341 larity with T. kitauei (JQ690367, HM624024, KR872638 and 342 GQ396677), reaching a similarity percentage of 99.8-100 % 343 (Table 2). 344

#### **Phylogenetic analyses**

345

Phylogenetic inferences were established based on the two 346 newly obtained 18S rDNA sequences and 34 myxozoan 347 sequences, which consisted of actinospores and their closest 348 related myxospores retrieved from GenBank. Phylogenetic 349trees constructed by BI and ML analyses had similar topolo-350 gical structure though with different support values at some 351branch nodes (Fig. 3). Phylogenetic analyses displayed that 352the two newly identified aurantiactinomyxon types clustered 353

06

Fig. 1 Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 nov. **a** Line drawing of apical view of a mature spore. b Line drawing of side view of a mature spore. c Apical view of a waterborne spore. d Side view of a waterborne spore. Scale  $bars = 20 \ \mu m$ 





**Fig. 2** Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 nov. **a** Line drawing of apical view of a mature spore. **b** Line drawing of side view of a mature spore. **c** Apical view of a waterborne spore. **d** Side view of a waterborne spore. *Scale bars* = 20 μm



with *T. kitauei* and formed a sister relationship with *Thelohanellus hovorkai*, which integrated *Thelohanellus wuhanensis* and *T. nikolskii* to jointly constitute an independent *Thelohanellus* clade. The phylogenetic trees also showed *Thelohanellus* species had a close relationship with some *Myxobolus* species, which were consistent with several previous reports (Shin et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2015).

#### 361 Discussion

The current study revealed the oligochaete *B. sowerbyi* is an extrapiscine host in the life cycle of *T. kitauei* and demonstrated two phenotypic aurantiactinomyxon, namely Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2, as the developmental stages of the life cycle of *T. kiatuei* by morphological and molecular analyses (Fig. 4).

In terms of morphology, Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and
Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 had obvious distinctions. The spore
body of Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 was typically trefoilshaped with conspicuous interlobular retractions in apical view
while that of Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 was spherical despite
three inconspicuous indentations at the edge of the structure. In
addition, the caudal processes of Aurantiactinomyxon type 2

were unique and seemed to be composed of two parts: one is 375 round and the other pointed at the end. It is noticeable that the 376 special structure of caudal processes is only reported in the 377 Aurantiactinomyoxn type 2 and type 4 of Özer et al. (2002). 378 At DNA level, Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 presented here 379 showed maximum identity with T. kitauei and shared a similar 380 percentage of 99.8-100 % to the sequences of T. kitauei avail-381 able in GenBank (Table 2), which strongly suggests that 382 Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 and T. kitauei are conspecific. 383 The 18S rDNA sequence of Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 was 38499.2-99.4 % similar to T. kitauei deposited in the GenBank and 385 that of two aurantiactinomyxon types described here 386 exhibited 99.4 % similarities to each other (Table 2), 387 suggesting that this taxon have intraspecific variability, 388 possibly due to different sampling locations. Hallett et al. 389 (2004) reported that different morphometrical triactinomyxon 390 types exhibited by morphological similarity were actually the 391same genotype. This was later supported by Eszterbauer 392 et al. (2006), who similarly reported the same genotype 393 can represent multiple morphotypes, suggesting that 394actinospore classification based solely on traditional mor-395 phological features can lead to erroneous associations. 396 Therefore, DNA sequence analysis is recommended in 397 species descriptions. 398

Parasitol Res

Fig. 3 Phylogenetic positions of Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 based on 18S rDNA data analyzed using Bayesian analysis (BI). Numbers at nodes represent bootstrap support values of Bayesian posterior probabilities and maximum likelihood (ML). GenBank accession numbers are in parentheses. Dotted box represents two Myxobolus species are separated from the major Myxobolus clade. Ceratonova shasta is taken as outgroup. Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 examined in this study are in bold



In North China, common carp are the most prevalent
cultured fish species with the highest production. *T. kitauei*,
the pathogenic agent of intestinal giant-cystic disease of
common carp, has the potential to cause catastrophic fish kills



**Fig. 4** Schematic illustration of *T. kitauei* life cycle: Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 (A1) and Aurantiactinomyxon type 2 (A2) actinospores infect the vertebrate host common carp (V) in which then myxospores (M) infecting the invertebrate host *B. sowerbyi* (I) develop

and severe economic losses in the aquaculture industry of 403 North China (Chen & Ma 1998). Interestingly, the myxospore 404stage of T. kitauei has not been reported from Europe. Molnár 405(2009) hypothesized that European common carp originated 406 from the Far-Eastern Amur-Chinese geographical region. 407 During the long migration from China to Europe, the common 408carp lost its original parasite fauna. Then, after introduction of 409the Amur wild common carp and the color carp from Asia to 410 Europe, several 'old' parasites of the common carp have been 411 introduced and are gradually expanding. This would suggest 412 that more recently described parasites of carp, at present 413known only in China, Japan and the Amur Basin might also 414make their way to Europe (Székely et al. 2015a, 2015b). This 415is the first report on the actinosporean stage of T. kitauei from 416Europe based on morphological, molecular and phylogenetic 417 analyses. The Aurantiactinomyxon type 1 identified in the 418 current study indicates that further investigations are urgently 419needed to find the myxosporean stage of T. kitauei in fish host, 420 most probably, common carp in Europe. 421

So far, only three life cycles of *Thelohanellus* species have422been elucidated, all with *B. sowerbyi* as invertebrate hosts.423*T. hovorkai* and *T. nikolskii* involve aurantiactinomyxon as424intraoligochaete developmental stages (Yokoyama 1997;425Székely et al. 1998).*Thelohanellus wangi* involves426

## AUTHOR S-PROOT

427 neoactinomyxum as extrapiscine alternating stage (Xi et al.
428 2015). This study confirms two distinct aurantiactinomyxon
429 morphotypes as developmental stages of the life cycle of
430 *T. kitauei* by morphological and molecular analyses and con431 stitutes the fourth description of life cycle of *Thelohanellus*432 species.

433 Acknowledgments This project has received funding from the 434European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no. 634429 (ParaFishControl), OTKA K 100132, Nature 435Science Foundation of China (31502209), New Century Excellent 436 Talents in University (NCET-12-0866), and China Postdoctoral Science 437 438Foundation (2014M550399). The authors thank Hao Wu, Junfa Yuan, 439Qinxiang Guo, Jianchun Shao, Zhe Wang, Dan Li, and Yongliang Shen 440 (Huazhong Agricultural University, China) for collecting sediment 441 samples.

442

443 Compliance with ethical standards

444 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of 445 interest.

Ethical approval All applicable international, national, and/or
institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.
All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in accordance
with the ethical standards of the institution or practice at which the studies
were conducted.

451 Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual
452 participants included in the study. The publication only reflects the views
453 of authors, and the European Commission cannot be held responsible for
454 any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

455

#### 456 References

- Barta JR, Martin DS, Liberator PA, Dshkevicz M, Anderson JW, Feigner
  SD, Elbrecht A, Perkins-Barrow A, Jenkins MC, Danforth HD, Ruff
  MD, Profous-Juchelka H (1997) Phylogenetic relationships among
  eight *Eimeria* species infecting domestic fowl inferred using
  complete small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. J Parasitol 83:
  262–271. doi:10.2307/3284453
- 464 Bartholomew JL, Whipple MJ, Stevens DG, Fryer JL (1997) The life
  465 cycle of *Ceratomyxa shasta*, a myxosporean parasite of salmonids,
  466 requires a freshwater polychaete as an alternate host. J Parasitol 83:
  467 859–868. doi:10.2307/3284281
- Borkhanuddin MH, Cech G, Molnár K, Németh S, Székely C (2014)
  Description of raabeia, synactinomyxon and neoactinomyxum developing stages of myxosporeans (Myxozoa) infecting *Isochaetides michaelseni* Lastočkin (Tubificidae) in Lake Balaton
  and Kis-Balaton Water Reservoir, Hungary. Syst Parasitol 88: 245–259. doi:10.1007/s11230-014-9496-1
- 474 Chen QL, Ma CL (1998) Fauna Sinica: Myxozoa, Myxosporea. Science
   475 Press (In Chinese), Beijing
- 476 Egusa S, Nakajima K (1981) A new myxozoa *Thelohanellus kitauei*, the
  477 cause of intestinal giant cystic disease of carp. Fish Pathol 15:
  478 213–218. doi:10.3147/jsfp.15.213
- El-Mansy A, Székely C, Molnár K (1998) Studies on the occurrence of
   actinosporean stages of myxosporeans in Lake Balaton, Hungary, with

the description of triactinomyxon, raabeia and aurantiactinomyxon 481 types. Acta Vet Hung 46:437–450 482

- Eszterbauer E, Marton S, Rácz OZ, Letenyei M, Molnár K (2006) 483 Morphological and genetic differences among actinosporean 484 stages of fish-parasitic myxosporeans (Myxozoa): difficulties 485 of species identification. Syst Parasitol 65:97–114. doi:10.1007 486 /s11230-006-9041-y 487
- Eszterbauer E, Atkinson S, Diamant A, Morris D, El-Matbouli M,
  Hartikainen H (2015) Myxozoan life cycles: practical approaches
  and insights. In: Okamura B, Gruhl A, Bartholomew JL (eds)
  Myxozoan evolution, ecology and development. Springer
  International Publishing, Switzerland. doi:10.1007/978-3-31914753-6\_10
  493
- Fiala I (2006) The phylogeny of Myxosporea (Myxozoa) based on small
   494

   subunit ribosomal RNA gene analysis. Int J Parasitol 36:1521–1534.
   495

   doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.06.016
   496
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O<br/>(2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-<br/>likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0.<br/>Syst Biol 59:307–321. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syq010497<br/>498<br/>500
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment501editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids502Symp Ser 41:95–98503
- Hallett SL, Atkinson SD, Erséus C, El-Matbouli M (2004) Molecular504methods clarify morphometric variation in triactinomyxon spores505(Myxozoa) released from different oligochaete hosts. Syst506Parasitol 57:1–14. doi:10.1023/B:SYPA.0000010682.90311.91507
- Hillis DM, Dixon MT (1991) Ribosomal DNA: molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. Q Rev Biol 66:411–453. doi:10.1086/ 417338 510
- Holzer AS, Sommerville C, Wootten R (2004) Molecular relationships511and phylogeny in a community of myxosporeans and512actinosporeans based on their 18S rDNA sequences. Int J Parasitol51334:1099–1111. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2004.06.002514
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment515software version 7: improvements in performance and usability.516Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010517
- Liu Y, Whipps CM, Liu WS, Zeng LB, Gu ZM (2011) Supplemental<br/>diagnosis of a myxozoan parasite from common carp Cyprinus<br/>carpio: synonymy of Thelohanellus xinyangensis with<br/>Thelohanellus kitauei. Vet Parasitol 178:355–359. doi:10.1016/j.<br/>521<br/>vetpar.2011.01.008518<br/>520
- Lom J, McGeorge J, Feist S, Morris D, Adams A (1997) Guidelines for the uniform characterisation of the actinosporean stages of parasites of the phylum Myxozoa. Dis Aquat Org 30:1–9. doi:10.3354/ dao030001 526
- Molnár K (2009) Data on the parasite fauna of the European common527carp Cyprinus carpio carpio and Asian common carp Cyprinus528carpio haematopterus support an Asian ancestry of the species.529AACL Bioflux 2:391–400530
- Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the 531 author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala 532
- Özer A, Wootten R, Shinn AP (2002) Survey of actinosporean types533(Myxozoa) belonging to seven collective groups found in a freshwater salmon farm in northern Scotland. Folia Parasitol 49:189–210.535doi:10.14411/fp.2002.036536
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180

537

538

539

Rosser TG, Griffin MJ, Quiniou SMA, Khoo LH, Greenway TE, Wise540DJ, Pote LM (2015) Small subunit ribosomal RNA sequence links541the myxospore stage of *Henneguya mississippiensis* n. sp. from542channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* to an actinospore released by543the benthic oligochaete *Dero digitata*. Parasitol Res 114:5441595–1602. doi:10.1007/s00436-015-4345-y545

585

586

587

588

589

590

- Jee BY, nd realtaanellus disease 0.3347/ Whipps CM, Adlard RD, Bryant MS, Lester RJ, Findlav V, Kent ML (2003) First report of three *Kudoa* species from eastern Australia: *Kudoa thyrsites* from mahi mahi (*Coryphaena hippurus*), *Kudoa amamiensis* and *Kudoa minithyrsites* n. sp. from sweeper (*Pempheris ypsilychnus*). J Eukaryot Microbiol 50:215–219. doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.2003.tb00120.x
  - Wolf K, Markiw ME (1984) Biology contravenes taxonomy in the Myxozoa: new discoveries show alternation of invertebrate and vertebrate hosts. Science 225:1449–1452. doi:10.1126/science.
    225.4669.1449
    594
  - Xi BW, Zhou ZG, Xie J, Pan LK, Yang YL, Ge XP (2015) Morphological and molecular characterization of actinosporeans infecting oligochaete *Branchiura sowerbyi* from Chinese carp ponds. Dis Aquat Org 114:217–228. doi:10.3354/dao02859 598
  - Yang Y, Xiong J, Zhou Z, Huo F, Miao W, Ran C, Liu Y, Zhang J, Feng J, Wang M, Wang M, Wang L, Yao B (2014) The genome of the myxosporean *Thelohanellus kitauei* shows adaptations to nutrient acquisition within its fish host. Genome Biol Evol 6:3182–3198.
    doi:10.1093/gbe/evu247
  - Yokoyama H (1997) Transmission of Thelohanellus hovorkai Achmerov,<br/>1960 (Myxosporea: Myxozoa) to common carp Cyprinus carpio<br/>through the alternate oligochaete host. Syst Parasitol 36:79–84.<br/>doi:10.1023/A:1005752913780604<br/>607
  - Yokoyama H, Ogawa K, Wakabayashi H (1991) A new collection method of actinosporeans. a probable infective stage of myxosporeans to<br/>fishes from tubificids and experimental infection of goldfish with the<br/>actinosporean, *Raabeia* sp. Fish Pathol 26:133–138. doi:10.3147/<br/>611<br/>jsfp.26.133608<br/>609<br/>610
  - Yuan S, Xi BW, Wang JG, Xie J, Zhang JY (2015) Thelohanellus wangi n.
    sp. (Myxozoa, Myxosporea), a new gill parasite of allogynogenetic gibel carp (Carassius auratus gibelio Bloch) in China, causing severe gill myxosporidiosis. Parasitol Res 114:37–45. doi:10.1007/s00436-014-4157-5
    617
  - Zhai YH, Zhou L, Gui JF (2012) Identification and characterization of<br/>one novel type of triactinospomyxon with short spore axis. Parasitol<br/>Res 110:2385–2393. doi:10.1007/s00436-011-2775-8618
  - Zhai Y, Gu Z, Guo Q, Wu Z, Wang H, Liu Y (2016) New type of pathogenicity of *Thelohanellus kitauei* Egusa & Nakajima, 1981 622
    infecting the skin of common carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. Parasitol Int 65:78–82. doi:10.1016/j.parint.2015.10.010 624

- 546 Seo JS, Jeon EJ, Kim MS, Woo SH, Kim JD, Jung SH, Park M, Jee BY,
  547 Kim JW, Kim YC, Lee EH (2012) Molecular identification and real548 time quantitative PCR (qPCR) for rapid detection of *Thelohanellus*549 *kitauei*, a myxozoan parasite causing intestinal giant cystic disease
  550 in the Israel carp. Korean J Parasitol 50:103–111. doi:10.3347/
  551 kip.2012.50.2.103
- Shin SP, Nguyen VG, Jeong JM, Jun JW, Kim JH, Han JE, Baeck GW,
  Park SC (2014) The phylogenetic study on *Thelohanellus* species (Myxosporea) in relation to host specificity and infection site tropism. Mol Phylogenet Evol 72:31–34. doi:10.1016/j.
  ympev.2014.01.002
- 557 Székely C, El-Mansy A, Molnár K, Baska F (1998) Development of
   558 *Thelohanellus hovorkai* and *Thelohanellus nikolskii* (Myxosporea:
   559 Myxozoa) in oligochaete alternate hosts. Fish Pathol 33:107–114.
   560 doi:10.3147/jsfp.33.107
- 561 Székely C, Borkhanuddin MH, Cech G, Kelemen O, Molnár K (2014)
  562 Life cycles of three *Myxobolus* spp. from cyprinid fishes of Lake
  563 Balaton, Hungary involve triactinomyxon-type actinospores.
  564 Parasitol Res 113:2817–2825. doi:10.1007/s00436-014-3942-5
- Székely C, Cech G, Chaudhary A, Borzák R, Singh HS, Molnár K
  (2015a) Myxozoan infections of the three Indian major carps in fish
  ponds around Meerut, UP, India, with descriptions of three new
  species, *Myxobolus basuhaldari* sp. n., *M. kalavatiae* sp. n. and *M. meerutensis* sp. n., and the redescription of *M. catlae* and *M. bhadrensis*. Parasitol Res 114:1301–1311. doi:10.1007/s00436014-4307-9
- 572 Székely C, Molnár K, Cech G (2015b) Description of Myxobolus
  573 balatonicus n. sp. (Myxozoa: Myxobolidae) from the common carp
  574 Cyprinus carpio L. in Lake Balaton. Syst Parasitol 91:71–79.
  575 doi:10.1007/s11230-015-9560-5
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6:
   molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol
   30:2725–2729. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197
- 579 Timm T (1999) A guide to the Estonian Annelida. Estonian Academy
   580 Publishers, Tartu-Tallinn
- 581 Wang HZ (2002) Studies on taxonomy, distribution and ecology of microdrile oligochaetes of china, with descriptions of two new species from the vicinity of the great wall station of China, Antarctica. Higher Education (HEP), Beijing
- 625

### AUTHOR QUERIES

#### AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. Please check if the affiliations are presented correctly.
- Q2. City has been provided. Please check if it is correct.
- Q3. Please check if the section headings are assigned to appropriate levels.
- Q4. Székely et al. 2015 has been changed to Székely et al. 2015a, 2015b as per the reference list. Please check if okay.
- Q5. Please check if the tables are presented correctly.
- Q6. Please check if the figure captions are presented correctly.

The second secon