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## POTENTIALITY OF APPLICATION THE PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY IN WORM DESIGN


#### Abstract

In the procedure of the analytical geometrical describing of the production process in mechanical engineer work and the projective space is practically identical, which makes it reasonable to discuss of the production geometry to approach of the projective geometrical negotiation.

It is a reality that in one of the cases, using the approach of a projective geometrical connection and the mathematical-kinematical model resulted in expansion in the field of production precision, specifically considering the examination of the production of the conical worm. The abstract of the production geometry on projective geometry has a few results. The elliptical errors can be eliminated by this method way, to achieve the constant pitch.


## INTRODUCTION

This article contains a novel design of the production geometry of cylindrical and conical worms. In practice there exist approximating solutions, however, this work is aimed at increasing the number of these processes for the purpose of manufacturing accuracy, for the advances in science and technology provide a possibility for that and simultaneously create a demand as well.

The production of the mechanical technology is the computer-aided or fully automated systems that take over the designing processes of products, technology and tools of production. Now days the computer geometry support of engineering work is the most important condition and potential for increasing the efficiency of production and enhancing the quality of the products [2,5].

A great number of areas in the machine industry use helical surfaces - in the form of worm drive pairs, driving pins, screw pumps, screw compressors, toothing tools, etc. - and accordingly, a great number of institutions and companies are involved in their design, production, certification and application $[3,7,8]$.

One of the less known types of toothed drives suitable to torque transfer between orthogonal skew axes, and having significant load carrying capacity, is the spiroid drive [5], how those are visible in Figure 1.

However the helical surfaces are designed right, the production of worm in the practice is making difference from the theoretical surfaces. The production process in the finding literature discusses the theoretical and practical problems in different way because of the differences in technical conditions.

In case of production of worms the grinding wheel is moving parallel with the axis of the cylinder in case of manufacturing the helical worms by a grinding wheel. The mathematical theoretical discussion in case of conical worms is correct in literature [5].


Figure 1-Spiroid drive
The technical possibility in case of the expansion of the production precision is showing a big difficulty, the literature of which discusses the examination of the comparison of the manufactured worms in the practice and the planed worms.

One of the reasons of the difficulty of the manufacturing precision expansion is it that the grinding wheel is moving parallel with the generated line of the cone in case of the manufacturing the worm by a grinding wheel (Figure 2).


Figure 2 - Manufacturing the conical worm by grinding wheel
The angle between the moving line of the grinding wheel and the round axes of the cone is the half angle of the cone. (Figure 3.)

The grinding wheel is continuously changing distance from the turning axes of the conical worm in case of the showed manufacturing of the conical worm (Figure 4.).

That results different production errors, for example profile distortion and changing pitch.


Figure 3 - Manufacturing of the cylindrical and the conical worm on a generating line


Figure 4 - The Mathematical describing of the connection between the grinding wheel and cylindrical and conical worms

Keeping out these errors can be reconsidered by the production geometry based on the projective geometry. Basing on the above statements the discussion and description of the solution can be useful as we can build on the equivalence of the conical and cylindrical worms.

## 1. THE PRODUCTION AND THE PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY

The production geometry is based on the description of the relation movement back to back of the tool and the work piece [1].

The pass the moving is possible in the coordinate systems of the tool and the work piece. The transformation matrix between of the tool and the work piece can be described by quadruple matrix.

The conversion from the coordinate system $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{m}}$ origin point of the tool to the coordinate system $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}$ origin point of the work piece can be the matrix $M_{\mathrm{nm}}$.

The conversion from the coordinate system $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{m}}$ origin point of the tool to the coordinate system $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}$ origin point of the work piece can be the matrix $M_{\mathrm{nm}}$.

The coordinates $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in the $S_{n}$ coordinate system of the moving M point can be counted from the coordinates $\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in the $S_{m}$ coordinate system by the next equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}=M_{n m}^{\prime \prime} \cdot r_{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M_{n m}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos \left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) & \cos \left(x_{n}, y_{m}\right) & \cos \left(x_{n}, z_{m}\right) & d_{x}  \tag{2}\\
\cos \left(y_{n}, x_{m}\right) & \cos \left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right) & \cos \left(y_{n}, z_{m}\right) & d_{y} \\
\cos \left(z_{n}, x_{m}\right) & \cos \left(z_{n}, y_{m}\right) & \cos \left(z_{n}, z_{m}\right) & d_{z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ is the coordinates of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in the $S_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The rotating and the shifting is being handled together in a matrix $M_{\mathrm{nm}}$.

The alternate of the ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) Euclidean coordinates are the ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}$ ) homogeneous coordinates of P point on the surface of the work piece with the next conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{4}}, \mathrm{y}=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{4}}, \mathrm{z}=\frac{x_{3}}{x_{4}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in R$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in R$.
The points of the Euclidean space are easily recognized with the $x_{4}=1$ replacement.

It follows that the production geometry can be placed on the basis of projective geometry.
2. THE WORMS DESIGNED BY APPLICATION PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY

The projective space is the Euclidean space completed with the infinite plane.
The points with ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}$ ) coordinates with the condition $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in R$ give us the projective space.

The parallel lines of the projective space have an intersection point on the infinite plane. The cylinder is a cone with an infinite center point in the projective space.

The cylinder and the cone can be corresponded together; the projective transformation connection can be created.

Such projective connecting is the central connecting, which place the cone and the cylinder of the Euclidean place to an axe, as you can see it on Figure 5.

The production of the conical worm based on the theory of projective geometry gives an increase in the manufacturing precision with elimination of geometrical errors, so the rotation about on an axe is a circle, but it is not an ellipse.

It can calculated from contacting points in based on Figure 6.
The used coordinate systems:
$\mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{z}_{0}\right) \quad$ Stationary coordinate system affixed to machine tool
$\mathrm{K}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}, \mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}, \mathrm{z}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}\right) \quad$ Rotating coordinate system affixed helicoid surface
$\mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \quad$ Coordinate system connected to linear moving table
$\mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}, \mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}, \mathrm{z}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}\right) \quad$ Rotating coordinate system fixed to tool
$\mathrm{K}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \quad$ Stationary coordinate system fixed to tool
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \quad$ Auxiliary coordinate system
The used marks:
$\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad$ Forming, tilting angle (in degrees) of tool into profile of helicoidal surface in the characteristic section, eg grinding of involute helicoidal surface using plane face surface wheel
$\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad$ Lead angle (in degrees) on the worm's references surface
$\varphi_{1}\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad$ Angular displacement of a helicoid surface
$\varphi_{2}\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \quad$ Angular displacement of a tool
$\omega_{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ Angular velocity of worm
$\omega_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ Angular velocity of tool
The point on the worm surface is the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{IF}}=\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{IF}}(\eta, \vartheta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the coordinate system $\mathrm{K}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}, \mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}, \mathrm{Z}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}\right)$.
The normal vector is on the surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{IF}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{IF}}}{\partial \eta} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i F}}}{\partial \vartheta} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the $P_{1 a}$ kinematic projection matrix, for direct method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{1 \mathrm{a}}=\mathbf{M}_{1 \mathrm{~F}, 2 \mathrm{~F}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{2 \mathrm{~F}, 1 \mathrm{~F}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\underline{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{IF}, 2 \mathrm{~F}}$ is the coordinate transformation matrix (transforms $\mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}$ to $\mathrm{K}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}$ ), and the $\underline{\mathrm{M}}_{2 \mathrm{~F}, 1 \mathrm{~F}}$ is the coordinate transformation matrix (transforms $\mathrm{K}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}$ to $\mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}$ ).


Figure 5 - Manufacturing of the cylindrical and the conical worms to an axe


Figure 6 - The manufactured cylindrical and the conical worms to an axe


The next equation gives us the contacting points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}(\eta, \vartheta) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}(\eta, \vartheta)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These points give us the base of the examinations on the worm surfaces [4].
The contact points can be seen on the Figure 7. in concrete case an spiroid worm gear drive. The contact points were calculated by computer programme. The computer programme was made in C programme language.

The velocity vector can be seen in the next form:

|  | $\left[0 \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\text {IF }}\right.$ | $-(1+i \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma) \cdot y_{\text {IF }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma \cdot \sin \varphi_{1}\right. \\ & \left.-\mathrm{i} \cdot \sin \alpha \cdot \cos \varphi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{z}_{1 F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{c} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ax}} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma\right) \cdot \sin \varphi_{1} \\ & +\left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{p}_{2} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \cdot \varphi_{1} \cdot \sin \varphi_{1} \\ & -\left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{0} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ax}} \cdot \sin \alpha\right) \cdot \cos \varphi_{1} \\ & -\mathrm{i} \cdot\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \sin \alpha-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma\right) \cdot \varphi_{1} \cdot \cos \varphi_{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{lF}}^{(12)}=$ | $(1+i \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma) \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ | $+0 \cdot y_{1 F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\left(i \cdot \sin \alpha \cdot \sin \varphi_{1}\right. \\ & \left.+\mathrm{i} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma \cdot \cos \varphi_{1}\right) \cdot z_{1 F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{0} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ax}} \cdot \sin \alpha\right) \cdot \sin \varphi_{1} \\ & +\mathrm{i} \cdot\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \sin \alpha-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma\right) \cdot \varphi_{1} \cdot \sin \varphi_{1} \\ & -\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{c} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \cos \gamma+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ax}} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma\right) \cdot \cos \varphi_{1} \\ & +\left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \cdot \varphi_{1} \cdot \cos \varphi_{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathbf{v}_{1 \mathrm{~F}}^{(12)}=$ | $-\left(i \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma \cdot \sin \varphi_{1}\right.$ <br> $\left.\left.-\mathrm{i} \cdot \sin \alpha \cdot \cos \varphi_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ | $-\left(i \cdot \sin \alpha \cdot \sin \varphi_{1}\right.$ <br> $\left.+i \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma \cdot \cos \varphi_{1}\right) \cdot y_{\mathrm{IF}}$ | $+0 \cdot \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\left(p_{a}+i \cdot p_{r} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma\right) \cdot \varphi_{1} \\ & -i \cdot a_{0} \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \sin \gamma-i \cdot c \cdot \sin \alpha+p_{a}+z_{a x} \end{aligned}$ |



Figure 7 - Contact points on a frontal section of the conical worm SUMMARY:
In the procedure of the manufacturing of worms in same way, we can get the same unsolved errors. So it is better method to use a new theorem to get more exactly solution to approach high quality. Machine production development based on projective geometry discussion gives a more results to production precision [1, 4].

The mechanical and technical development of the production, for example the machining process controlled by CNC , and the abstract of the production geometry by projective geometry in case of a few special worms, so spiroid, the mathematical description and the realization show an advance.
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