Different output properties of perisomatic region-targeting interneurons in the basal amygdala

Boglárka Barsy¹, Gergely G. Szabó¹, Tibor Andrási¹,², Attila Vikór¹ and Norbert Hájos¹

¹‘Lendület’ Laboratory of Network Neurophysiology, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary, ²János Szentágothai School of Neurosciences, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Running title: Perisomatic inputs of amygdalar principal neurons.

Contact: Norbert Hájos
Institute of Experimental Medicine
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Budapest H-1450, Hungary
Phone: 36-1-2109400/387
Fax: 36-1-2109412
Email: hajos@koki.hu

Number of pages: 22
Number of figures: 5
Number of tables: 2
Number of words for Abstract: 244
Number of words for Introduction: 535
Number of words for Discussion: 748
Abstract

Perisomatic region of principal neurons in the cortical regions is innervated by three types of GABAergic interneurons, including parvalbumin-containing basket cells (PVBCs) and axo-axonic cells (AACs), as well as cholecystokinin and type 1 cannabinoid receptor-expressing basket cells (CCK/CB1BCs). These perisomatic inhibitory cell types can also be found in the basal nucleus of the amygdala, however, their output properties are largely unknown. Here, we performed whole-cell recordings in morphologically identified interneurons in slices prepared from transgenic mice, in which the GABAergic cells could be selectively targeted. By investigating the passive and active membrane properties of interneurons located within the basal amygdala, we observed that the three interneuron types had distinct single-cell properties. For instance, the input resistance, spike rate, accommodation in discharge rate, or after-hyperpolarization width at the half maximal amplitude separated the three interneuron types. Furthermore, we performed paired recordings from interneurons and principal neurons to uncover the basic features of unitary inhibitory postsynaptic currents (uIPSCs). We found that, although there was no difference in the magnitude of responses measured in the principal neurons, the uIPSCs originated from the distinct interneuron types differed in the rise time, failure rate, latency and short-term dynamics. Moreover, the asynchronous transmitter release induced by a train of action potentials was typical for the output synapses of CCK/CB1BCs. Our results suggest that, although the three perisomatic inhibitory cell types give rise to uIPSCs with similar magnitude, their distinct spiking characteristics may help to accomplish specific function in amygdala operation.
Introduction

Perisomatic region is a unique membrane surface of neurons, where the spiking can be most effectively controlled by inhibitory inputs (Cobb et al., 1995; Miles et al., 1996; Freund & Katona, 2007). In cortical networks three distinct types of GABAergic cells give rise to the innervation of the perisomatic region of principal cells (Somogyi et al., 1998; Freund & Katona, 2007; Kepecs & Fishell, 2014). This is in contrast to other brain region, e.g. to the striatum or cerebellum, where only a single cell type is specialized to target the perisomatic domain of principal neurons (Pouzat & Hestrin, 1997; Koos & Tepper, 1999). Previous studies obtained in the neocortex and hippocampus have revealed that parvalbumin (PV)-containing axo-axonic cells (AACs) target specifically the axon initial segment of the principal cells (Somogyi, 1977; Katsumaru et al., 1988; DeFelipe et al., 1989), while two types of basket cells (BCs) expressing PV or a neuropeptide cholecystokinin (CCK) together with type 1 cannabinoid receptors (CB1) innervate the soma and the proximal dendrites of neurons (Freund et al., 1986; Katsumaru et al., 1988; Acsády et al., 1996). Although these two BC types may terminate on the similar membrane surface of postsynaptic cells, they are distinct in many respects, including the spiking properties or firing activities during various brain states (Klausberger et al., 2005; Somogyi & Klausberger, 2005). These results suggest that the role of these interneurons in circuit operation might be quite different (Freund, 2003).

The basal nucleus of the amygdala (BA) has been shown to play a critical role in several higher order cognitive operations, including the adequate responses to environmental challenges (LeDoux, 2000; Fanselow & Gale, 2003; Pape & Pare, 2010; Tovote et al., 2015). Accumulating evidence suggests that, although layers cannot be distinguished in the BA, its microcircuit organization, such as the present of different cell types and their connectivity is similar to that observed in the neocortex or hippocampus (McDonald, 1992; Sah et al., 2003). This notion is supported also by the facts that the perisomatic region of principal neurons in the BA is predominantly targeted by three types of GABAergic cells. AACs containing parvalbumin synapse specifically on the axon initial segment of amygdalar principal cells (Bienvenu et al., 2012; Veres et al., 2014), while PVBCs and CCK/CB1BCs have been shown to target the soma and the dendrites (Katona et al., 2001; McDonald et al., 2002; Muller et al., 2006; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Vereczki et al., 2016). Thus, these interneurons are in a position to successfully regulate the output of the cortical parts of the amygdala.

However, both the single-cell characteristics of the three perisomatic inhibitory cell types and the properties of their output synapses are largely unknown, which knowledge is necessary to understand the role they may fulfill in amygdalar function. Here, we obtained in vitro whole-cell recordings from morphologically identified interneurons and characterized their passive and active membrane properties. To uncover the quality of the output synapses of the interneurons, paired recordings were performed. We found that three distinct types of GABAergic cells differed both in single-cell features and some of the characteristics of their output synapses, which may be critical to complete their diverse and complex function in network operation within the BA.
Materials and Methods

Experimental animals and slice preparation
All experiments were approved by the Committee of the Scientific Ethics of Animal Research (22.1/4027/003/2009) and were performed according to institutional guidelines of ethical code and the Hungarian Act of Animal Care and Experimentation (1998. XXVIII. Section 243/1998). Transgenic mice expressing either enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) controlled by PV promoter (BAC-PV-eGFP, (Meyer et al., 2002)) or red fluorescent protein under the control of CCK promoter were used (BAC-CCK-DsRed, (Mate et al., 2013)) for interneuron labeling. Mice (postnatal days 20–30) were decapitated under deep isoflurane anaesthesia, and their brains were removed into ice cold cutting solution (in mM): 252 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 1 CaCl₂, 5 MgCl₂, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 10 glucose, bubbled with 95% O₂ / 5% CO₂ (carbogen gas). Horizontal slices of 200 µm thickness containing the amygdala region were prepared with a Leica VT1000S or VT1200S Vibratome (Wetzlar, Germany), and kept in an interface-type holding chamber containing artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) at 36 ºC that gradually cooled down to room temperature. ACSF contained (in mM) 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, and 10 glucose saturated with 95% O₂–5% CO₂.

Electrophysiological recordings and analysis
After incubation, slices were transferred individually into a submerged type of recording chambers superfused with ACSF at 32±2 ºC with a flow rate of 2-3 ml/min. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed under visual guidance using differential interference contrast microscopy (Olympus BX61W). Fluorescent protein in neurons was excited by a mercury lamp or by a monochromator (Polychrome V, Till Photonics GmbH), and the fluorescence was visualized by a CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan). Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries with inner filament (Hilgenberg, Germany) using a DMZ-Universal Puller (Zeitz-Instrumente GmbH, Germany). Pipettes with 0.188 mm wall thickness were used and had a resistance of around 3-5 MΩ. Recordings in interneurons were obtained using K-gluconate-based intrapipette solution with a composition of (in mM): 110 K-gluconate, 4 NaCl, 2 Mg-ATP, 20 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 0.3 GTP (sodium salt), 10 phosphocreatine and 0.2% biocytin, adjusted to pH 7.3 using KOH and with an osmolarity of 290 mOsm/L. Electrical signals were detected with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA, USA), low-pass filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 5 or 10 kHz with a PNI-6024E or a PNI-6040E board (National Instruments), and recorded with in-house data acquisition and stimulus software (Stimulog, courtesy of Prof. Zoltán Nusser, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary). Recordings were not corrected for junction potential.

After obtaining the whole-cell configuration in interneurons, we tested their voltage responses to a series of hyperpolarizing and depolarizing square current pulses of 800 ms duration and amplitudes between -100 and 100 pA at 10 pA step intervals, then up to 300 pA at 50 pA step intervals and finally up to 600 pA at 100 pA step intervals from a holding potential of -65 mV in each cell. Using voltage responses to the hyperpolarizing current injections, we calculated the input resistance, membrane capacitance, membrane time constant, and the relative sag amplitude with a custom-made program written in MATLAB (Zemankovics et al., 2010). The action potential features and the firing characteristics were calculated from the voltage responses to the depolarizing current steps with an in-house analysis software SPIN 1.0.1 (courtesy of Prof. Zoltán Nusser)(Papp et al., 2013). Accommodation index was calculated as the ratio of the values for the last and the first inter-spike intervals.
In paired recordings, the presynaptic cell was an interneuron identified by its fluorescent signal and the postsynaptic cell was a principal neuron (PN). The intrapipette solution used for the postsynaptic PN contained (in mM) 80 CsCl, 60 Cs-glucuronate, 1 MgCl₂, 2 Mg-ATP, 3 NaCl, 10 HEPES, and 5 QX-314 (2(triethylamino)-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl) acetamine), adjusted to pH 7.3 with CsOH, and the osmolarity was 295 mOsm/l. Presynaptic interneurons were held in current clamp mode near a membrane potential of -65 mV, and stimulated by brief current pulses (1.5 ms, 1-2 nA) to evoke action potentials. PNs were clamped at the holding potential of -65 mV. Series resistance (between 5-20 MΩ) was frequently monitored and compensated by 65%. Cells where the series resistance has changed more than 25% during recording were discarded from further analysis. The properties of postsynaptic responses were analyzed with EVAN 1.3 (courtesy of Professor Istvan Mody, Department of Neurology and Physiology, UCLA, CA) and Origin 8.6 (OriginLab Corp. Northampton, MA). The kinetic properties of unitary inhibitory postsynaptic currents (uIPSCs) were calculated with excluding the transmission failures. The latency of synaptic transmission was calculated by subtracting the time of the action potential peaks from the onset of the postsynaptic currents. This latter value was estimated by subtracting the rise time from the peak time of events calculated from the time of the action potential peaks. Calculation of asynchronous release was achieved by the comparison of the average charge (area under the curve) of all currents in a 100-ms-long time window before and after the action potential trains. To investigate the dynamics of the transmitter release, we calculated the average of uIPSC amplitudes in response to ten action potentials at different frequencies evoked in the presynaptic cell. These trains of action potentials were evoked at 0.05 Hz during each recording.

**Post hoc anatomical identification of interneurons.**

After recordings, the slices were fixed in 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB (pH 7.4) overnight, followed by washout with 0.1 M PB several times. Biocytin-filled cells from PV-eGFP mice were visualized with Alexa 488-conjugated streptavidin (1:3000, Invitrogen), while filled interneurons recorded in slices prepared from CCK-DsRed mice were visualized with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich). If the visualization of biocytin in the axon collaterals of filled neurons was successful, then slices were embedded in agar (1%) and resectioned to 40 μm thickness. In each case, when interneurons were recorded in slices prepared from PV-eGFP mice, we separated axo-axonic cells from basket cells using double immunofluorescent staining for biocytin and rabbit anti-ankyrin G to visualize the biocytin-filled axon collaterals together with the axon initial segments (AIS) of neurons. When boutons of a labeled interneuron formed often close appositions with ankyrin G-immunostained profiles, the cell was identified as an axo-axonic cell (see for details, (Gulyas et al., 2010; Veres et al., 2014)). In case of CCK/CB₁BC cells, the CB1 positivity was tested (1:2000, Cayman)(Vereczki et al., 2016). For illustration purposes, one interneuron from each group was used for reconstruction. In this case, biocytin was visualized using avidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex reaction (ABC; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) with nickel-intensified 3,3-diaminobenzidine as a chromogen. After dehydration and embedding in Durcupan (Fluka), neurons with the most completed axonal and dendritic arborizations were selected for reconstruction with the aid of a drawing tube using a 40× or 100x objective.

For electron microscopy some sections from three filled PVBC and four filled AAC was processed where biocytin was visualized as above. The sections were then treated in 1% OsO₄, followed by 1% uranyl acetate, dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, and embedded in epoxy resin (Durcupan; Fluka). Ultrathin sections of 60 nm thickness were cut for electron microscopic analysis, and the postsynaptic targets of 5-10 boutons of each examined cells.
were identified. The remaining sections were processed for fluorescent double immunolabeling. They were treated with 0.2 mg/mL pepsin (Cat. No.: S3002; Dako) in 0.2 m HCl at 37°C for 5 min and were washed in 0.1 m PB similar to the procedure developed by Watanabe et al. (Watanabe et al., 1998). Sections were treated with normal goat serum (NGS; 10%) made up in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, pH = 7.4) followed by incubation in mouse anti-Ankyrin G (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) diluted in TBS containing 2% NGS and 0.3% Triton X-100. Following several washes in TBS, Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:500; Jackson) was used to visualize the immunoreaction, while Alexa488-conjugated streptavidin (1:500; Invitrogen) to visualize the biocytin. Sections were then mounted on slides in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Images were taken using an AxioImager Z1 axioskope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Germany) or Nikon C2 confocal microscope (CFI Plan Apo VC60X Oil objective, N.A. 1.40; z step size: 0.13 μm, xy: 0.08 μm/pixel).

Statistical analysis
For comparison of data with a non-normal distribution according to the Shapiro-Wilk test, the Mann-Whitney U test, Wilcoxon Signed Rank test and Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA (K-W ANOVA) was used. For the comparison of distributions, the two sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used (K-S test). All statistics were performed using Origin 8.6 (Northampton, MA). Data are presented as mean ± SD unless indicated.

Results

Identification of different types of interneurons

All the recorded interneurons were tested for firing characteristics and filled with biocytin to allow post hoc visualization of their morphology (Fig. 1A). To distinguish AACs from other PV-containing interneurons, after recordings double immunofluorescent staining was performed to visualize the biocytin-filled axon collaterals together with the AISs, revealed with immunostaining against Ankyrin G (AnkG). PV cells forming close apposition with the AnkG-labeled segments were identified as AACs (n=29) at light microscopic level (Fig. 1D). Electron microscopic investigation showing symmetrical synaptic contact between boutons of this cell type and AIS confirmed this identification (Fig. 1E), in agreement with our recent study (Vereczki et al., 2016). The PV-GFP cells targeting to postsynaptic segments other than AISs were grouped and named as PVBCs (Fig. 1B and C, n=34). From CCK interneuron population, only those neurons identified as CCK/CB1BCs, which were found to express CB1 (Fig. 1F, n=22).

Passive and active membrane characteristics of the perisomatic region-targeting interneurons in the BA

In the first part of this study, we compared the voltage responses of the three interneuron types upon injection of gradually-increasing depolarizing and hyperpolarizing current steps using whole-cell patch-clamp technique (Fig. 2A). Analysis revealed some marked differences among the cell types. Overall, both the passive and active membrane properties of PVBCs and AACs were more similar than those characterized CCK/CB1BCs. Namely, PVBCs and AACs had narrow action potentials and a fast-spiking character with modest accommodation in firing (Table 1). In contrast, CCK/CB1BCs had wider action potentials and a regular spiking character showing a profound accommodation in spiking (Table 1). Notably,
although the membrane capacitance between PVBCs and AACS differed, their membrane
time constants were similar (Fig. 2D), offset by input resistance (Table 1). The membrane
time constant for CCK/CB1BCs, however, was significantly slower compared to those
obtained for PV-expressing cells (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, the width of the after-
hyperpolarization measured at the half maximum amplitude, but not at other values
differentiated the three cell types (Fig. 2E, Table 1).

In addition, we performed a detailed analysis of interneuron spiking. First, we
obtained the input-output relationship for firing by plotting the spiking frequency as a
function of the intracellularly-injected current step magnitude (Fig. 2B, C). We foun
that at low current magnitudes interneurons had similar response curves, which deviated
significantly upon larger current injections. At a given current input, AACS displayed the
highest firing rate, while CCK/CB1BCs the lowest (Fig. 2C). In accord, the difference in the
maximum firing rate evoked upon the largest depolarization among the three interneuron
types was significant (Table 1). Second, we compared the inter-spike intervals between the
first two and the last two action potentials. Interestingly, the inter-spike intervals for the first
and for the last two spikes were significantly shorter recorded in AACS than those in BCs
(Fig. 2D, Table 1).

These data show that, while the single-cell electrophysiological properties of PV-
containing interneurons are rather similar, several of these features are markedly distinct of
those obtained in CCK/CB1BCs.

Properties of synaptic communication between perisomatic region-targeting interneurons
and PNs in the BA

In addition to the spiking capability of examined interneuron types, these GABAergic cells
might also differ in the features at their output synapses, which could profoundly impact the
function they play in the local microcircuits. To directly address this question we obtained
paired recordings between presynaptic interneurons and postsynaptic PNs. By evoking unitary
inhibitory postsynaptic currents (uIPSCs) in PNs by single action potentials in presynaptic
GABAergic cells, we determined the basic properties of synaptic transmission at these
contacts, including the peak amplitude (including failures), potency (excluding failures), 10-
90% rise time and decay time constant, the synaptic latency of transmission (i.e. the time
between the peak of action potentials and the beginning of the uIPSC) and the probability of
transmission failures. Statistical comparisons of these parameters revealed that the output
synapses of CCK/CB1BCs had slower 10-90% rise time and longer synaptic latency
compared to those observed in PV-containing cell-PN pairs (Fig. 3, Table 2). In addition, the
probability of transmission failures at CCK/CB1BCs output synapses was different in
comparison with the AAC output synapses (Fig. 3, Table 2). These results show that the
overall physiological properties of synaptic contacts tested by single action potentials are
rather similar among interneurons innervating the perisomatic region.

Next, we compared the short-term dynamics at these synapses by evoking 10 action
potentials at different frequencies (Fig. 4). In general, we found that depending on the spiking
frequency of presynaptic neurons the postsynaptic responses in PNs showed depression (Fig.
4B). Larger the spiking frequency is, larger depression in the magnitude of postsynaptic
events could be observed. To quantify these differences we compared the second (IPSC2) and
the tenth (IPSC10) peak amplitude of evoked uIPSCs to the first (IPSC1) at different
frequencies. The output synapses of PVBCs displayed profound depression in IPSC2/IPSC1
ratios above 5 Hz, whereas there was no change in the magnitude at output synapses of other
cell types (Fig. 4C). In contrast, the IPSC10/IPSC1 ratios of unitary events for each cell type
showed marked depression to a similar extent (Fig. 4C). These data indicate that while
synaptic properties of perisomatic contacts evoked by single action potentials are rather similar, these connections might differ in their short-term plasticity. Specifically, when presynaptic PVBCs, but not AACs or CCK/CB1BCs fire doublets of spikes, depression in responses already could be detected. However, higher number of spikes at the output synapses of all perisomatic region-targeting interneuron types evokes a marked depression in uIPSC amplitudes recorded in postsynaptic PNs.

Finally, we asked whether, in addition to the transmitter release synchronized to action potentials, asynchronous release of neurotransmitter molecules followed by a train of action potentials could be detected at the output synapses of these interneuron types. To this end, we measured the charge following the action potential trains evoked at different frequencies (see Methods for details). We found that at synaptic contacts originated from CCK/CB1BCs, prolonged synaptic inhibition followed the action potential train, as a sign for asynchronous GABA release, was substantial, which magnitude was frequency-dependent (Fig. 5). Much smaller charge following the action potentials could be registered at the output synapses of PVBCs, while no asynchronous transmitter release was found at the connections formed by AACs (Fig. 5B, C). These results show that the perisomatic inhibition from CCK/CB1BCs, but not from PV-expressing interneurons could be significantly prolonged after the trains of action potentials at higher frequencies.

Discussion

Our main findings are as follows: The three interneuron types substantially differ in (1) single-cell features, including the input resistance, spike rate, accommodation in discharge rate, or after-hyperpolarization width at the half maximal amplitude separated the three interneuron types; (2) in the properties of their output synapses, such as the rise time, failure rate, latency and (3) in the short-term dynamics of multiple events and (4) the amount of asynchronously released GABA after a train of action potentials.

The use of transgenic mouse lines allowed us to selectively target different interneuron types in slice preparations and perform single-cell and paired recordings. In accord with previous findings obtained in the amygdala (Washburn & Moises, 1992; Rainnie et al., 1993; Rainnie et al., 2006; Woodruff & Sah, 2007), our results indicated that PV-expressing interneurons had a fast spiking character, narrow spike width, fast after-hyperpolarization, fast membrane time constant, while CCK/CB1BCs showed accommodation in firing, wider action potentials, slower after-hyperpolarization and membrane time constant (Jasnow et al., 2009). These data are in full agreement with those found in other cortical areas (Galarreta & Hestrin, 1999; Glickfeld & Scanziani, 2006; Galarreta et al., 2008; Daw et al., 2009; Szabo et al., 2010; Cea-del Rio et al., 2011). By comparing the single-cell features of PVBCs and AACs, we found that their properties were similarly distinct as it has been observed in the hippocampus or neocortex (Papp et al., 2013; Povyshova et al., 2013). Both PV-expressing cell types can discharge at high firing rate in vitro and in vivo (Klausberger et al., 2003; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Massi et al., 2012), and, notably, they often fire doublets of action potentials in vivo (Viney et al., 2013; Varga et al., 2014). Similar to the noxious stimulus-driven responses observed in vivo (Bienvenu et al., 2012), we showed here that AACs cells display higher firing frequency activation to the same current steps. By analyzing the inter-spike intervals between the first two action potentials evoked by the largest depolarizing step, we found that AACs could discharge spikes at 300-350 Hz, while the PVBCs (and CCK/CB1BCs) did not spike with higher frequency than 200 Hz. This particular characteristic in spiking of fast spiking interneurons might be a valuable biomarker for distinguishing these two interneuron types displaying the narrowest spikes in intact animals, if one analyses the inter-spike intervals of double spikes during distinct brain states.
The features of the output synapses of perisomatic region-targeting interneurons, however, was previously only studied for PV-expressing cells without separation of PVBCs and AACs (Woodruff & Sah, 2007). Therefore, our study is the first to provide detailed comparative analysis of the synaptic properties of the three interneuron types innervating the perisomatic region of PNs. As we found in the hippocampus (Szabo et al., 2010), these cell types gave rise to synaptic currents with fast rise time indicating the perisomatic origin of the postsynaptic events recorded in the PNs. Importantly, the short-term dynamics at the output synapses of the three interneurons were different and showed frequency dependence, implying that at a given activity pattern the three interneurons could provide specific GABAergic input onto the soma-near region of PNs (Klausberger & Somogyi, 2008; Varga et al., 2014). These differences in synaptic dynamics might be important in the temporal control of spiking activities of PNs. Finally, the observed asynchronous release at the output synapses of CCK/CB1BCs is likely to be a specific feature of these interneurons in cortical structures, because similar data have been obtained earlier in the hippocampus by several groups (Hefft & Jonas, 2005; Daw et al., 2009; Szabo et al., 2010). Previous studies showed that Ca$^{2+}$ enters the terminals of PVBCs via P/Q-type voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels that are in the active zone of presynaptic terminals which allows the axon endings of PVBCs (and probably of AACs as well) to release GABA upon action potential discharge with high probability and with precise timing (Bucurenciu et al., 2008). In contrast, the axon terminals of RSBCs are equipped with N-type voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels that are probably located at a distance from active zone (Wilson et al., 2001; Hefft & Jonas, 2005), which could cause longer delay in the time of transmitter release and prolongs the transmitter release upon firing at high frequencies.

These results collectively suggest that the three main interneuron types innervating the perisomatic region of PNs may be differentially embedded into the local amygdalar circuits and having distinct physiological characteristics, therefore they can fulfill specific function(s) in circuit operation during various brain states.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Morphological properties and anatomical identification of parvalbumin-containing basket cells (PVBC) and axo-axonic cells (AAC) as well as cholecystokinin/cannabinoid receptor type 1-expressing basket cells (CCK/CB1BC) in the basolateral complex of the mouse amygdala. (A) Camera lucida reconstructions and the localization of representative biocytin-labeled neurons in horizontal slices prepared from the mouse amygdala region. Soma and dendrites are shown in black and axonal arbor in orange (PVBC), green (AAC) or red (CCK/CB1BC). (B) Double immunofluorescent labeling shows that biocytin-filled axon terminals (b) of a PVBC cell (green; arrowheads) avoid Ankyrin G-labeled axon initial segments (AIS) (red; arrows). (C) A bouton (b) of the same PVBC forms a symmetrical synapse (marked by an arrow in the inset) on the soma (s) of a postsynaptic cell. (D) Double immunofluorescent labeling as in C shows tight appositions of boutons belonging to a biocytin-filled PV-GFP neuron with AISs, identifying this cell type as AAC. (E) An electron micrograph shows a biocytin-labeled axon terminal (b) of an AAC forming a symmetrical synapse (arrow; inset) on an AIS. (F) Confocal images indicate that biocytin-filled axon terminals of a CCK/DsRed cell (arrowheads, in red) co-express CB1 receptor (green).

**Figure 2.** Distinct single-cell electrophysiological properties of three interneuron types targeting the perisomatic region of the principal neurons in the BA. (A) Voltage responses to depolarizing (400 pA) and hyperpolarizing current steps (from -10 to -100 pA in increments of 10 pA). (B) Voltage responses to depolarizing current steps (150, 250, and 400 pA) injected around a holding potential of -65 mV. (C) Average frequency-input current relationships of the three interneuron types measured at a membrane potential of -65 mV. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Significant difference was found between the three groups (a), between PVBC and CCK/CB1BC, and between AAC and CCK/CB1BC (b), between AAC and CCK/CB1BC (c), and between PVBC and AAC (d). (at 100 pA: ANOVA p<0.043; at 200 pA: ANOVA p=0.01, AAC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p=0.003; at 250 pA: ANOVA p<0.001, PVBC vs. AAC: p=0.037, PVBC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p=0.046, AAC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p<0.001; at 300 pA: ANOVA p<0.001, PVBC vs. AAC: p=0.049; PVBC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p=0.027; AAC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p<0.001; at 400 pA: ANOVA p<0.001, PVBC vs. AAC: p=0.037; PVBC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p<0.001; AAC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p<0.001; at 500pA: ANOVA p<0.001, PVBC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p=0.001; AAC vs. CCK/CB1BC: p=0.004). (D) Single-cell properties are distinct in the three cell types. Here on the graphs and in Fig. 3 each line represents a value from an individual cell. Bars show the median values in each group and asterisks indicate significant differences. Comparison for membrane time constant (p<0.001, ANOVA), after-hyperpolarization half-width (AHP 50%, p<0.001, ANOVA) and inter-spike interval (ISI) for the first two spike at maximal firing rate (p<0.001, ANOVA) are shown. Significance levels are * p<0.05. For more details, see Table 1.

**Figure 3.** Basic properties of synaptic transmission in perisomatic region-targeting interneuron-PN pairs. (A) Examples obtained in paired recordings, where single action potentials were evoked in the three distinct types of interneurons (bottom traces), and the postsynaptic currents were recorded in the PNs (top traces). Ten consecutive traces are superimposed (gray) with the average of all traces (black). (B) Comparison of the peak amplitude, the synaptic potency, 10-90% rise time, the probability of failures, the decay time constant, and the latency measured at unitary connections between interneurons and PNs. Asterisks indicate significant differences, * p<0.05. For more details, see Table 2.
**Figure 4.** Short-term dynamics of transmitter release at the output synapses of the three perisomatic region-targeting interneurons. (A) Representative average IPSCs (bottom traces) in response to ten action potentials at a frequency of 30 Hz (upper traces). (B) Summary of the release dynamics evaluated at 30 Hz in PVBC- (n=10), AAC- (n=12) and CCK/CB1BC-PN pairs (n=7). Amplitude values in each case were normalized to the first peak. Tau values were obtained by exponential fit to data points in summary plots, showing distinct dynamical properties at 30 Hz. (C) Frequency-dependent changes in short-term plasticity. Ratios of IPSC₂/IPSC₁ and IPSC₁₀/IPSC₁ calculated at distinct frequencies indicate the different properties in release at the unitary connections giving rise by the three interneuron types. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. * p<0.05.

**Figure 5.** Asynchronous transmitter release at the output synapses of the three perisomatic region-targeting interneurons. (A) Representative postsynaptic events in response to ten action potentials (upper traces) evoked at 30 Hz. Averaged traces in black are superimposed on ten consecutive events shown in grey. The magnified 100-ms-long periods before and after the action potential trains used to estimate the amount of asynchronous transmitter release are shown. In CCK/CB1BC-PN pairs, the charge following the action potential train is clearly larger than before. (B) Summary of the frequency-dependent asynchronous release in the three types of interneuron-PN pairs. A robust increase in release was characteristics at the output synapses of CCK/CB1BCs at 20 Hz or higher frequencies. (C) Comparison of the magnitude of asynchronous release in the three interneuron-PN pairs evoked by 30 Hz. * p<0.05, *** p<0.001.
Table 1. Single-cell properties of the three perisomatic region-targeting interneuron types in the BA. Data are presented as the median with the first and third quartiles in parentheses. Significant differences shown in bold were determined by Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA and Mann-Whitney (MW) U test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>AAC  (n=18-19)</th>
<th>PVBC  (n=22-26)</th>
<th>CCK/CB1 BC (n=14)</th>
<th>Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA</th>
<th>AAC-PVBC MW test</th>
<th>AAC-CCK/ CB1BC MW test</th>
<th>PVBC- CCK/ CB1BC MW test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheobase (pA)</td>
<td>150 (100, 150)</td>
<td>300 (150, 400)</td>
<td>90 (70, 150)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP threshold (mV)</td>
<td>-38.1 (-39.7, -36.9)</td>
<td>-37.2 (-40.7, -33.6)</td>
<td>-41 (-43.9, -37.5)</td>
<td>0.06387</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>63.7 (58.1, 75.5)</td>
<td>55.85 (49.9, 64.2)</td>
<td>73.65 (62.3, 78.6)</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP half-width (ms)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.3, 0.4)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.3, 0.4)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6, 0.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike rate (Hz)</td>
<td>177.5 (157.5, 193.8)</td>
<td>110 (92.5, 138.75)</td>
<td>30 (27, 40)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation ratio</td>
<td>2 (1.89, 2.23)</td>
<td>1.445 (1.29, 1.65)</td>
<td>4.755 (4.07, 5.69)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input resistance (MOhm)</td>
<td>113.45 (109.3, 120.8)</td>
<td>77.15 (62.28, 107.9)</td>
<td>160.3 (130.3, 200.6)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>16.9 (16.2, 19.7)</td>
<td>21 (18.9, 23.4)</td>
<td>17.9 (15.4, 19.4)</td>
<td><strong>0.0031</strong></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP 25% decay (ms)</td>
<td>9.1 (4.8, 21.8)</td>
<td>9.9 (6.7, 15.9)</td>
<td>45.6 (33.3, 58.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP 50% decay (ms)</td>
<td>27.3 (18.9, 35)</td>
<td>17.4 (14.6, 28.1)</td>
<td>76.95 (60.2, 119.9)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP 75% decay (ms)</td>
<td>33.9 (26.1, 43.1)</td>
<td>26.9 (21.2, 37.7)</td>
<td>113.85 (87.9, 156.6)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of AP amplitude adaptation</td>
<td>0.7 (0.62, 0.75)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.68, 0.88)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.75, 0.91)</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane time constant (ms)</td>
<td>12.63 (10.56, 13.6)</td>
<td>9.53 (8.26, 12.1)</td>
<td>16.9 (13.48, 18.18)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane capacitance (pF)</td>
<td>100.82 (84.56, 120.7)</td>
<td>137.95 (93.62, 174.7)</td>
<td>104.6 (92.41, 110.2)</td>
<td><strong>0.04</strong></td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative sag amplitude</td>
<td>0.123 (0.096, 0.168)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.068, 0.14)</td>
<td>0.196 (0.112, 0.235)</td>
<td><strong>0.035</strong></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI between the first two spikes</td>
<td>3 (2.8, 3.4)</td>
<td>6.1 (5.9)</td>
<td>6 (5.7, 7.4)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI between the last two spikes</td>
<td>6.2 (5.4, 7.4)</td>
<td>10.7 (8.2, 11.8)</td>
<td>33.6 (23.6, 38.2)</td>
<td><strong>&lt;0.001</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP, action potential; AHP, after hyperpolarization, ISI, inter-spike interval.
Table 2. Summary of uIPSC properties. Data are presented as the median with the first and third quartiles in parentheses. P values represent the results of the statistical comparison of *PVBC vs. AAC, †AAC vs. CCK/CB1BC and ‡CCK/CB1BC vs. PVBC using the Mann-Whitney U test after Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PVBC</th>
<th>Median (pA)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>AAC</th>
<th>Median (pA)</th>
<th>p-value#</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>CCK/CB1BC</th>
<th>Median (pA)</th>
<th>p-value†</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak amplitude (pA)</td>
<td>339.4 (156.5-605.7)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>310.3 (265.0-400.0)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>(97.7-232.5)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potency (pA)</td>
<td>340.0 (160.5-605.7)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>310.3 (265.0-400.0)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>177.6</td>
<td>(109.9-234.7)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time (10-90%, ms)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.47-0.66)</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50 (0.45-0.57)</td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>(0.93-1.27)</td>
<td><strong>0.004</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decay time constant (ms)</td>
<td>6.31 (5.30-7.48)</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.91 (6.52-8.21)</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>(6.77-9.45)</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of failure</td>
<td>0 (0-0.05)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td><strong>0.002</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>(0.02-0.021)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency (ms)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.78-1.01)</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.33 (1.09-1.64)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>(1.06-2.04)</td>
<td><strong>0.018</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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