

1	Hydrologic fluctuations trigger structural changes in wetland-dry grassland ecotones but have no
2	effect on ecotone position
3	
4	Dynamics of wetland-dry grassland ecotones
5	
6	Csaba Tölgyesi <sup>a*</sup> , László Erdős <sup>b</sup> , László Körmöczi <sup>a</sup> , Zoltán Bátori <sup>a</sup>
7	
8	<sup>a</sup> Department of Ecology, University of Szeged, 52 Közép fasor, Szeged, Hungary
9	<sup>b</sup> Institute of Ecology and Botany, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 2-4 Alkotmány út, Vácrátót,
10	Hungary
11	*Corresponding author: festuca7@yahoo.com; Phone: +36 62 546 952; Fax: +36 62 546 949

Ecotones between plant communities have received considerable attention among ecologists in the 13 context of fragmentation, climate change and the management of heterogeneous landscapes. However, 14 15 the predictability of ecotone dynamics is low and the processes taking place within ecotones are still poorly understood. In this study we aimed to characterize the positional and structural dynamics of 16 thirteen ecotones in an ecotone-rich steppe-wetland landscape of Hungary in relation to the inter-17 annual fluctuations of water regime and the gradients of elevation and of soil composition. According 18 19 to our results, the ecotones between steppe and wetland communities were sharp and their positions 20 coincided with those places in the landscape where the rate of change in elevation was the highest, 21 confirming that microtopography is a major determinant of ecotone position. Soil boundaries were 22 also detected, mostly downhill to the ecotones. Interestingly, the fluctuations of the water supply had 23 no effect on the position of the ecotones but significantly influenced a structural ecotone parameter, the compositional contrast bridged by the ecotones. High water supply caused high contrast, while low 24 supply went along with low contrast. We explain these changes by asymmetric sensitivities to edge 25 effects: When the water supply was low, the wetland edges became similar to the steppe edges due to 26 27 the decrease of wetland specialists and to the increase of steppe specialists, but steppe edges did not 28 exhibit an opposite change in wet years, suggesting that steppe communities dominated over wetland 29 communities. The asymmetry in the interaction between the two communities may have pushed the 30 soil boundaries downhill to the ecotones but the currently steppe-like soil of wetland edges could also 31 make wetland edges more sensitive to edge effects; thus, the cause-effect relationship is difficult to 32 disentangle.

- 33
- 34

4 Keywords: ecotone dynamics; edge effect; microtopography; patch pattern; soil gradient; Turjánvidék

35 Introduction

36

Ecotones, the transitional zones between adjacent plant communities, have long been in the spotlight 37 of ecological research (e.g., Risser et al. 1995, Walker et al. 2003; Hufkens et al. 2009). The concept 38 39 of ecotone has been refined multiple times since its introduction by Clements (1907), and currently, 40 ecotones are regarded as three-dimensional structural and functional components of the landscape, 41 which have the potential to vary spatially and temporally (National Research Council 1995; Fagan et 42 al. 2003). In some studies only one of the neighbouring communities is of interest (like a tropical 43 forest patch next to a deforested patch), which is usually divided into a homogeneous patch interior 44 and a more heterogeneous marginal zone, the 'edge' (e.g. Harper et al. 2005), and the patterns and processes typical of this zone are studied. However, by definition, it takes both neighbouring patch 45 edges to form the ecotone (Yarrow and Marín 2007). 46

47 Several species reach the limit of their tolerance in ecotones; therefore, ecotones can represent the hotspots of landscape-level vegetation change as a response to environmental changes (Fortin et al. 48 49 2000). The fact that ecotones can constitute a significant proportion of land cover in fragmented or naturally patchy landscapes further increases the importance of mechanisms taking place in or 50 51 controlled by ecotones (Harper et al. 2005; Yarrow and Marín 2007). Thus, there is a growing need for 52 understanding and predicting multiple aspects of ecotone dynamics. Peters et al. (2006) proposed a 53 conceptual framework for studying the positional dynamics of ecotones and described three main 54 types of dynamics: stationary, directional and shifting. These types are different in the nature of their 55 driving forces and the constraints they face. Directional dynamics, i.e. the unidirectional migration of 56 an ecotone by the expansion of one of the neighbouring communities against the other one, has the 57 most voluminous literature as climate change and land use change are among the typical causes of 58 such dynamics (among others, Chen 2002; Gehrig-Fasel et al. 2007; Bodin et al. 2013). Stationary dynamics prevails along, for example, abrupt elevational or geomorphic gradients where spatially 59 stable changes in the microclimate or soil composition occur, anchoring the corresponding ecotones 60 over long periods (Körner 1998). Shifting dynamics are expected when the direction or intensity of 61 major environmental drivers (like the water level in wetland-upland interfaces) change in time (Fortin 62

et al. 2000; Muñoz-Reinoso 2009), or the fluctuations of different drivers (like fire, grazing or climate)
favour different communities of species, which, therefore, shift back and forth over time (Gosz and
Gosz 1996; Peters et al. 2006). In real life situations, however, there can be a number of different
drivers and determinants influencing the position of ecotones simultaneously; thus, it can be
challenging to predict positional dynamics (Risser 1995).

68 Besides position, ecotones have several other properties that can also change over time and thus have landscape level consequences (Strayer et al. 2003). From among these parameters, ecotone 69 70 contrast, the difference in species composition or physiognomy bridged by an ecotone, has an 71 outstanding importance, as many mechanisms that regulate the exchange of materials, organisms and 72 energy across ecotones depend on this structural property (Johnston 1993, Collinge and Palmer 2002; 73 Cadenasso et al. 2003). Ecotone contrast is also influenced by edge effects, i.e. the effects of a 74 community on the edge of the neighbouring community (Murcia 1995); therefore, ecotone contrast 75 provides information on the interaction of the communities as well. Despite the obvious importance of ecotone contrast, very few studies are available that address the dynamics of this parameter. However, 76 77 there is evidence that ecotone contrast can change during succession (Boughton et al. 2006) and can 78 change in stationary ecotones as a response to directional changes of environmental drivers (Tölgyesi 79 et al. 2016). Thus, to understand fully the dynamics of ecotones and mosaic landscapes as a whole, an 80 integrated examination of ecotone position and structure, especially contrast, is a promising approach.

81 The Turjánvidék of central Hungary is typically such a complex landscape. It is a narrow but 82 long geographic region (approx.  $5 \times 120$  km) of the Great Hungarian Plain. It runs parallel to the 83 Danube River, and lies in the discharge zone of the groundwater seeping from the nearby Danube-Tisza Sandy Ridge (Mádl-Szőnyi and Tóth 2009) (Fig. 1). This excess water supply resulted in the 84 85 development of various wetland types, including fen lakes, reed beds and swampy meadows along 86 slight microtopographic gradients and steppe enclaves on never inundated humps (Tölgyesi et al. 2015). However, the water supply shows extreme fluctuations, which is likely to have a profound 87 effect on the vegetation pattern of this mosaic landscape. In the present study, we aim to characterize 88 89 this special dynamics by monitoring ecotones between wetland and steppe communities. Specifically, 90 we ask the following main questions: (1) Are the ecotones between never inundated and regularly

inundated patches form abrupt vegetation ecotones, or are they blurred by the unstable water regime? 91 (2) Does the position of these ecotones follow the inter-annual fluctuations of the water regime, i.e. are 92 93 they shifting ecotones? (3) How do patterns of other, comparatively stable environmental gradients, 94 like microtopography and soil composition, are related to the position of the ecotones? (4) How do 95 water regime affect the structure, in particular the contrast and composition, of these ecotones? 96 97 Materials and methods 98 Study area 99 100 The study was carried out in steppe-wetland mosaics of the Turjánvidék, central Hungary (Fig. 1; 101 Appendix S1). The climate of the region is continental with a sub-Mediterranean influence; mean 102 annual precipitation is 500-600 mm and mean annual temperature is 10-11°C (Biró et al. 2013). The 103 area is located about 100 m above sea level and its surface is slightly undulating with a difference of 104 only 2–3 m between the highest and lowest elevations. Steppe communities are grasslands that have 105 evolved on humps of calcareous sandy substrate that are elevated above the level of inundation. These 106 steppe communities are exceptionally species-rich communities with plant coverage usually close to 107 100%. Dominant species include Chrysopogon gryllus (Torn.) Trin., Festuca pseudovina Hack. ex 108 Wiesb., F. rupicola Heuff., Poa angustifolia L., etc., and dicots are also present in large numbers. 109 Adjacent wetland zones are dominated by *Carex disticha* Huds, *C. panicea* L., *Deschampsia* 110 caespitosa (L) P. B, Molinia coerulea (L.) Moench, etc. and, similarly to steppes, the resulting 111 communities are tall and dense. Species richness of the wetlands is usually somewhat lower than in 112 steppes, especially if the cover of tall sedges is high (Tölgyesi et al. 2015). The hydroperiod of the wetlands varies in winter and early summer, depending on precipitation, while surface water is usually 113 114 absent between mid-summer and autumn. Owing to the waterlogged conditions, peat deposition is common. The precipitation of the region shows extreme variation; in some years, it can exceed 800 115 mm (like in 2010), but sometimes, it can be lower than 300 mm (like in 2001). For the inter-annual 116 fluctuations of the precipitation of the region in the last two decades, see Tölgyesi et al. (2016). 117 Accordingly, the amount of groundwater seeping from the nearby Danube-Tisza Sandy Ridge (located 118

119 120–130 m above sea level) also shows high fluctuations, increasing the difference between humid120 and droughty years.

121

122 Data collection

123

We selected 20 steppe-wetland transitions for the study within a 15×5 km landscape window in the 124 125 central zone of the Turjánvidék using aerial photographs. These transitions were validated in the field 126 during the spring of 2013, and five had to be discarded, as their steppes showed signs of recent tilling 127 and/or were infested with invasive species like Solidago gigantea Ait. At the 15 remaining sites we 128 established gradient oriented permanent belt transects with size of 40×1 m. Each transect started in a 129 steppe patch and ended in a wetland patch, their midpoint (meter 20) was positioned at the visually 130 assessed point of highest rate of vegetation change and the transect was oriented perpendicular to the ecotone. We divided the transects into contiguous grids of  $0.5 \times 0.5$  m quadrats, resulting in 160 (2×80) 131 quadrats in each transect. The presence/absence data of all vascular plant species was recorded in the 132 133 quadrats between late May and early June in 2013, 2014 and 2015. Data in parallel quadrats were pooled; thus, each species could have an abundance value of zero, one or two in every  $0.5 \times 1$  m section 134 135 of the transects. Due to relocation difficulties two transects were lost during the study period; thus we 136 present data from 13 transects.

137 We also mapped the relief of the transects using a MOM Ni-C4 theodolite with a precision of 0.5 cm and collected soil samples from, at least, every 2 m interval along the transects using a tubular 138 139 soil sampler. We determined the organic matter content of the samples, as this parameter was an easy-140 to-measure parameter of soil composition and was expected to reflect the long-term interactions of vegetation and hydrology (Bot and Benites 2005). Only the upper 15 cm of the soil (making a total of 141 approx. 200 cm<sup>3</sup>) was sampled because deeper layers had an apparently lower root density and 142 frequently contained freshwater limestone fragments, a rather widespread component of deeper soil 143 layers of the region (Pécsi et al. 2014). Organic matter content was determined with the method of loss 144 on ignition (Heiri et al. 2001). 145

We also set up a groundwater measuring station in the area (N46°49'06'' E19°16'37'') in
January 2013, which was suitable for measuring water level both below and above the surface.
Groundwater level was read every other month. Furthermore, we obtained the monthly precipitation
data of the area between June 2012 and May 2015 from the 'Időkép' online meteorological portal
(www.idokep.hu) to characterise the water regime of the study years.

151

152 Data processing

153

Ecotone positions were objectively identified with the split moving window (SMW) technique. As most methods used for identifying ecotone positions, SMW is also unable to properly account for the dimensionality of ecotones but delineates them with a single point along a transect, the point where the rate of vegetation change is the highest (Fortin et al. 1996, Fortin et al. 2000). Accordingly, we also use the phrase 'ecotone position' for such points, although the corresponding real ecotone is a broader zone between the interiors of the wetland and steppe patches as described in the Introduction.

160 In the SMW analysis, multivariate dissimilarities are calculated to describe the difference in species composition between two halves of a window of a set size (window width). These windows 161 are moved along the transect step-by-step (with 0.5 m intervals in the present sampling design) from 162 163 one end of the transect to the other, resulting in a dissimilarity value for every window mid-point. We 164 applied the squared Euclidean distance, as it has been shown to delineate efficiently ecotone positions (Wierenga et al. 1987; Erdős et al. 2014). To distinguish between significant ecotones and 165 166 dissimilarity peaks created by random chance, we performed a Monte-Carlo randomisation procedure. 167 Randomisation that applies certain spatial constraints during the shuffling procedure is more efficient 168 when testing the peaks than are complete randomisations (Fortin et al. 1996). Therefore, we chose the 169 random shift method, in which the abundance pattern of each species is shifted along the transects 170 randomly (Tölgyesi et al. 2016). After the randomisations, the observed dissimilarity values were Ztransformed by subtracting the overall expected mean (the mean of all dissimilarities, including the 171 observed and random ones, gained from 1000 randomisations) from the observed dissimilarities, and 172

dividing the results by the overall expected standard deviation (Boughton et al. 2006). Z-scores above
1.65 were considered significant (Hennenberg et al. 2005; Boughton et al. 2006).

175 Choosing the right window width in the SMW analysis is a critical step (Erdős et al. 2014). We calculated Z-scores for every window size from 1-10 m. Z-score profiles were very noisy with 176 177 window sizes from 1-3 m, while distinct ecotones started to appear at 4 m. The position of the peaks 178 changed slightly when the window size was further increased, which we associated with the 179 decreasing effect of noise (cf. Boughton et al. 2006); however, at window widths of 9 and 10 m, 180 double peaks started to coalesce, making their evaluation difficult. Thus, we chose window size 8 m for all SMW analyses. Double peaks were averaged, so all ecotones positions were delineated with a 181 182 single position along the transects. For expressing ecotone contrast, we used the Z-scores; in double 183 peaks, Z-scores were averaged similarly to the positions.

To test the spatial dynamics of the ecotones, we compared the changes of the ecotone 184 positions between 2014 and 2013 and between 2015 and 2014 using one-sample tests with zero as the 185 hypothetical value. Changes in the Z-scores of the ecotone positions (ecotone contrasts) were also 186 187 tested this way. To confirm that the fluctuations of the water regime and the temporal pattern of the 188 ecotone descriptors are in a causative relationship, we further analysed the composition of four transect sections, which we called steppe edges, wetland edges, steppe interiors and wetland interiors. 189 190 Since there is no universal method for measuring the width of edges and thus it is mostly an arbitrary 191 decision what is considered an edge and what a patch interior (Chen et al. 1992; Hennenberg et al. 192 2005), we simply handled the 4-meter window halves at the ecotone positions as edges and the 193 terminal 4-meter sections of the transects as patch interiors without stating that 4 meter would be the 194 exact width of the edges (or 8 m would be the width if the corresponding ecotone) (Fig. 2). 195 In each of the four transect sections, we calculated frequency weighted average Ellenberg-type 196 indicator values for moisture (Ellenberg et al. 1992) as adapted to the Hungarian flora by Borhidi (1995). This indicator system expresses the moisture demand of each plant species along a 12-grade 197 198 ordinal scale, where low scores are allocated to species with low moisture demand and higher scores 199 to species with higher moisture demand. The changes of the four transect sections were compared to a

200 theoretical value of zero and to one another with linear mixed-effects models with transect section

201 type as the fixed factor (four levels: wetland interior, wetland edge, steppe edge and steppe interior) 202 and location (transect) as the random factor. One model was developed for the changes between 2014 203 and 2013 and another one for the changes between 2015 and 2014. Since the changes were especially 204 large in wetland edges, we tested the absolute changes of wetland specialists and steppe specialists between using one-sample tests to determine if the compositional changes of the wetland edges were 205 caused by the encroachment/retraction of steppe specialists, the retraction/encroachment of wetland 206 207 specialists or both. Wetland and steppe specialist species were defined as the species with the lower 208 and the upper third of the occurring Ellenberg-type indicator values present in a transect; the changes 209 of species with intermediate values (middle third of the spectrum) were ignored.

In order to determine the points along the transects where soil organic matter content and microtopography had the highest rates of change (henceforth, soil and elevation boundaries), we fitted smooth splines to the values, calculated the first derivative of the resulting curves for every 0.5 m and identified the highest absolute values. We then measured the distance between these environmental boundaries and the ecotone positions and tested if they coincide along the transects using one-sample tests.

All data analyses were carried out in an R environment. For one sample tests, we used either 216 one-sample t-test if data did not deviate from the normality assumption (checked visually with Q-Q 217 218 plots), or Wilcoxon, one sample, signed rank test if we detected an apparent deviation. Linear mixed-219 effects models were built using the 'lme' function of the nlme package (Pinheiro et al. 2015). The 220 'relevel' function was used to perform post hoc sequential comparisons among the levels of the fixed 221 factor, and the Holm-Bonferroni method was used to correct the p-values. Spline fittings were carried 222 out with the 'smooth spline' function. SMW analyses were performed using the freely available 223 'Bord-ER' software, specifically designed to identify discontinuities along multivariate transect data 224 (accessed at www.staff.u-szeged.hu/~kormoczi/bordER/index.html).

225

226 Results

227 Water regime

229 Precipitation values of the 12-month periods preceding each vegetation survey indicated that 2013 was more or less an average year (493 mm), while 2014 was much drier (392 mm) and 2015 was wetter 230 231 (673 mm) than the average (500-600 mm, Biró et al. 2013). The amount of snow in February and March 2013 was unusually high and resulted in extreme floods in the Turjánvidék in the spring of 232 233 2013 (personal observation). Thus, 2013 was also considered a humid year. Our groundwater level measurements were in line with this pattern, as the level was very high at the beginning of the growing 234 235 season of 2013; it dropped sharply during the summer months and by November 2013, it had 236 decreased 65 cm below the spring level. Thus, the groundwater level was approximately 35 cm lower 237 in March 2014 than in March 2013. We detected a temporary drop in the level during June and July 238 2014, but it started to rise again in August, and remained constantly high between September 2014 and April 2015, resulting in conditions similar to the spring of 2013 (Fig. 3). In line with the general 239 240 humidity patterns of the region, we encountered large stretches of surface water in the lower sections of all transects during the surveys of 2013 and 2015, but surface water was absent in all but one 241 transect (transect 3) in 2014. 242

243

244 Ecotones

245

246 We detected significant vegetation ecotones in all 13 studied transects. These appeared as narrow,

unimodal peaks on the SMW profiles of transects 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11, and unimodal but ragged

peaks in transects 2, 3 and 12. In transects 10 and 13, the ecotones were bounded by two significant

249 peaks with sub-zero Z-scores between the peaks (Figs. S2 and S3).

The average differences in ecotone positions were -0.08 m and 0.13 m between 2013 and 2014

and between 2014 and 2015, respectively; neither of these differed significantly from 0 m (one sample

t-tests: *t*=-0.43, *P*=0.67 for 2014 vs. 2013 and *t*=0.71, *P*=0.49 for 2015 vs. 2014) (Fig. 4A). The

- average differences in ecotone contrasts were -0.915 between 2013 and 2014 and 0.903 between 2014
- and 2015, and both were significantly different from 0 (one sample t-tests: *t*=-2.39, *P*=0.036 for 2014
- 255 vs. 2013 and *t*=2.75, *P*=0.019 for 2015 vs. 2014) (Fig. 4B), which meant that the contrast decreased
- between 2013 and 2014, but increased between 2014 and 2013.

According to the linear mixed-effects model of the changes of the Ellenberg-type indicator 257 values for moisture, wetland edges and wetland interiors had significantly lower values in 2014 than in 258 259 2013, while steppe edges and steppe interiors did not show any significant change between these two years (Table 1). The pairwise comparisons between the transect sections revealed that the change of 260 the wetland edges was significantly larger than in all other transect sections, that is, the indicator value 261 262 of wetland edges decreased more dramatically than in the other transect sections. The change between 263 the steppe interiors and the wetland interiors was also significantly different, with lower values in the 264 wetland interiors (Fig. 5A; Table 2).

265 The mixed effects-linear model developed for the changes between 2015 and 2014 indicated that it was again the wetland edges and the wetland interior that had a change significantly different 266 267 from zero, and this time, the direction of the change was positive (Table 1). The pairwise comparisons 268 revealed only one significant difference; the changes of wetland edges and steppe edges were 269 different, with the wetland edges having higher values (Fig. 5B; Table 2). It should also be noted that 270 the indicator values of the steppe interiors also tended to change in a positive direction, although we 271 detected only a borderline significance. Steppe interiors also had a significantly lower change 272 according to the uncorrected p-value in the comparison to the wetland edges, but this significance was 273 lost owing to the correction.

Considering the amount of plant records belonging to species with extreme values, the linear mixed-effects models indicated that steppe specialists increased between 2014 and 2013 in the wetland edges (t=4.23, p=0.001) but decreased between 2015 and 2014 (t=-3.62, p=0.004). Wetland specialists showed an opposite trend, although the p-values were a bit higher (t=-3.00, p=0.012 for the change between 2014 and 2013 and t=2.31, p=0.041 between 2015 and 2014).

279

280 Elevation boundaries

281

282 In most transects, the first derivatives of the smooth splines fitted to the measured elevation values had

a single peak near the ecotones (transects 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11 and 12), while double peaks were

detected in transects 7, 9, 10 and 13, which bounded terrace-like sections around the ecotones (Figs.

S4 and S5). However, the SMW profiles of transects 7 and 9 were not structured into double peaks,
but had only solitary significant peaks. Taking all transects into consideration, the average position of
the elevation boundaries (inclusive of the averaged positions of the double peaks), was, on average,
0.49 m uphill to the vegetation ecotones averaged across the three years (Figs. 6, S2 and S3). This
difference did not prove to be significantly different from 0 m (one sample t-test: *t*=1.34, *P*=0.21).

290

291 Soil boundaries

292

293 Soil organic matter contents were typically higher in the wetland side of the transects, while the soil of 294 steppes contained less organic matter (Appendix S6 and S7). The gradient was opposite in transect 5, 295 but we considered this deviation an artefact, as the wetland side of the transect contained an extreme 296 amount of shell fragments of freshwater snails, which increased the non-organic fraction of the soils. 297 Transect 12 also deviated from the general pattern, as, along this boundary, we failed to detect any 298 gradient. The most likely explanation was that this area was regularly ploughed in the past, which 299 homogenised its soil. The low species richness of this transect compared with the other transects also 300 served as evidence for its disturbed history. Thus, only the soil of the remaining 11 transects was considered for the purposes of the study. The first derivatives of the smooth splines of transects 1, 2, 3, 301 302 4, 8, 9, 10 and 13 showed single peaks. In transects 6 and 7, the rate of change in organic matter 303 content was rather smooth and had only a low peak. In transect 11, two peaks were detected, one near 304 the ecotone and the other one at meter 12.5. The latter one had no corresponding peak in the SMW 305 profile of the vegetation, so it may be a relict soil boundary from a historical period with a different 306 water regime in that specific area or caused by some other unknown factor.

The average distance between soil boundaries and corresponding average ecotone positions was 1.89 m, with the soil boundaries downhill from ecotone positions (Fig. 6). The differences followed a bimodal distribution probably due to unknown differences in historical water regimes or land use differences. In transects 1, 2, 6, 7 and 11, the soil boundary was close to the ecotone (0–0.87 m), while it was more downhill in transects 3, 4, 8, 9, 10 and 13 (2.5–4.33 m). These differences were compared to 0 m with a Wilcoxon one-sample, signed-rank test, and the result was significant (*w*=45, P=0.008). In sum, soil boundaries were never uphill from the ecotones and were always downhill from
the elevation boundaries (Figs. S2 and S3).

315

316 Discussion

317

In our study, we monitored steppe-wetland transitions of the Turjánvidék for three consecutive years
to understand some general mechanisms determining the vegetation dynamics of this mosaic
landscape under fluctuating water supply.

321 According to our results, the scrutinised transitions were not blurred by the unstable water supply, but formed sharp ecotones in the interface of steppe and wetland communities. The emergence 322 323 of sharp ecotones in natural landscapes is explained by two non-exclusive mechanisms (Lloyd et al. 324 2000). Several authors emphasize the causative role of abrupt changes in major environmental gradients like microtopography, soil or microclimate (Wierenga et al. 1987; Pärn et al. 2010; 325 Courtwright and Findlay 2011). However, it has been repeatedly shown that sharp ecotones can form 326 327 along smooth environmental gradients as well (Lennon et al. 1997; Danz et al. 2013). This non-linear 328 response of the vegetation to environmental variables can be attributed to self-organising processes within the adjacent communities (Milne 1996). Our findings supported the first theory, since we 329 330 detected peak rates of change along the gradients of both scrutinised environmental variables near the 331 ecotone positions in most transects. The position of the elevation boundaries did not differ 332 significantly from the ecotone positions, so these boundaries seem to be the primary determinants of 333 ecotone position in this landscape.

Our findings also indicated that the position of the detected ecotones was not affected by the water level fluctuations. This spatial resistance of ecotones is similar to our earlier findings, in which we showed that ecotones between dune slack and dune top grassy vegetation in the Danube–Tisza Sandy Ridge did not crawl downslope as a result of long-term groundwater decline, but held their position for over 15 years (Tölgyesi et al. 2016). However, very few other similar findings can be encountered in the literature (but see Bestelmeyer et al. 2006). Perhaps, the reason for this lack of information is not the exceptionality of this dynamics under changing environmental conditions, but

the bias in ecotone-related studies for landscapes where communities with strikingly different 341 physiognomies meet at the ecotone. The overwhelming majority of these studies focus on ecotones 342 343 between woody and grassy communities, where ecotone movements are frequently traced back to the expansion or retraction of the population of one or a limited number of tree or shrub species (among 344 others Báez and Collins 2008; Gastner et al. 2009), which can "drag" the entire corresponding 345 forest/shrub community with themselves (Bruno et al 2003). Conversely, the communities in our 346 347 studies were of similar architecture and did not contain strong ecosystem engineering species capable 348 of overwriting each other's edaphic or microclimatic properties.

Nevertheless, it should be noted that ecotone positions were not completely frozen in our case either; there were slight fluctuations of up to 1–1.5 m in both directions, including uphill movements of some boundaries even in the dry year and downhill movements of some other ones in the wet years. Such subtle random fluctuations of ecotones have also been predicted in simulated ecotones and are mainly explained by stochastic processes (Lennon et al. 1997; Gastner et al. 2009), which the present study supports with empirical data.

355 The fluctuations of the water regime, however, did not leave the ecotones unaffected. Unlike positions, ecotone contrasts sensitively responded to the changing water availability. In the wet years, 356 357 the contrast was high, meaning that the compositional difference between the wetland edges and the 358 steppe edges was large, while, in the dry year, the contrast was significantly lower. Despite the short 359 period of the study, the analysis of the Ellenberg-type indicators confirmed that this dynamics were 360 driven by the water supply. Furthermore, this analysis revealed that the mechanism of contrast changes 361 could be traced back to the different behaviour of wetland edges and steppe edges. Mean indicator 362 values did not change much in the steppe edges but the indicator values were high in the wetland 363 edges in wet years and lower in the dry year, indicating that the vegetation of steppe edges was 364 resistant to the hydrologic fluctuations, while wetland edges sensitively followed the water availability. Wetland interiors showed lower magnitudes of change than wetland edges, and steppe 365 interiors tended to be less static then steppe edges; thus, we conclude that the outlined contrast 366 dynamics was restricted to the dynamics of the vegetation around the ecotone positions and did not 367

involve the entire patches, corroborating the concept that ecotones are indeed hotspots of the responseof the vegetation to the fluctuating water regime.

According to the models developed for the steppe and wetland specialists, wetland edges exhibited a complete compositional transformation between the years, as the encroachment of steppe specialists came along with the retraction of wetland specialists and vice versa. Interestingly though, the changes are restricted to only one side of the ecotones, the wetland edges. This spatial heterogeneity of ecotone dynamics indicates that the interaction of wetland and steppe communities highly depends on the sensitivity of their edges to edge effects (in this case the effect of the humidity conditions of the neighbouring community).

377 The high sensitivity of wetland edges to drier, steppe-like water regime and the low sensitivity of steppe edges to wetter, wetland-like conditions imply the dominance of steppes over wetlands in 378 379 their interaction. The fact that the vegetation of wetland edges can become similar to steppe edges in 380 dry years can serve as a possible explanation why some parts of the soil of the wetland edges are also steppe-like. However, the steppe-like soil conditions, which may have as well been caused by 381 382 processes like erosion, could also make wetland edges more prone to edge effects. Thus, the cause-383 effect relationship between the behaviour of the wetland edges and the observed soil patterns is 384 difficult to disentangle; they probably mutually influence each other. In other words, soil boundaries 385 may have been pushed downslope relative to the ecotones by the edge effect of the steppes and/or 386 other factors like erosion, but the resulting soil conditions may have also reinforced the sensitivity of 387 the wetland edges to edge effects.

388 Processes related to ecotones are rarely explained with dominance relationships between the 389 adjacent communities, although one of the communities is frequently considered dominant over the 390 other one without giving voice to this. In many cases, especially in the already mentioned forest-391 grassland ecotones, the dominance of forests is taken as granted. The movements of tree lines (e.g., Gehrig-Fasel et al. 2007) or the encroachment of woody species across the borders of forest gaps 392 393 (Copenheaver et al. 2004) are frequently studied without mentioning any chance for the propagation of 394 grassland species into forested areas, leading to the consequential displacement of the woody community. This concept implies the dominance of woody communities over grassy ones in their 395

interaction. However, we showed in the present study, that this relationship is not limited to
communities with strikingly different physiognomies and to communities characterized with
ecosystem engineering species, but can also be present between communities with similar
physiognomies, even though the hierarchy is more likely to affect ecotone contrast than ecotone
position.

In summary, we conclude that the steppe and wetland patches of the studied mosaic landscape are delimited by sharp ecotones. The position of these ecotones is determined by an environmental gradient, the microtopography, while a seemingly important factor, the fluctuating water supply, does not influence the position; thus the patch pattern of the landscape is rather rigid. Ecotone contrast is the reactive parameter of the ecotones to the changing water supply, and the mechanism of this dynamic response can be traced back to asymmetric sensitivities of the edges to edge effects, leading to a seemingly dominant behaviour of steppes over wetlands at the ecotones.

Besides the basic ecological importance, the presented mechanisms have some implications 408 for nature conservation and future restoration plans of the Turjánvidék and similar wetland-dry 409 410 grassland mosaics. The high sensitivity of wetland edges to dry conditions call attention to their 411 vulnerability if draughty periods get more severe, which is very likely since the entire Danube-Tisza 412 Interfluve is facing a long-term aridification (Kertész and Mika 1999, Ladányi et al. 2015). Little 413 wetland patches are particularly in danger, because edge/interior ratio increases with decreasing patch 414 size. Nevertheless, our results suggest that short wet periods do not threaten the biodiversity of steppe 415 patches, whatever small their size is.

416 Fortunately, large-scale measures to restore the water supply of the Danube-Tisza Sandy 417 Ridge are on the way in the form of high-budget EU projects. The higher flexibility of wetland edges 418 mean that they are likely to react to these interventions promptly, which is corroborated by the fact 419 that the regeneration potential of wetlands after disturbance is also better than that of steppes (Tölgyesi 420 et al. 2015). However, the expansion of wetland patches cannot be expected in the short run. Thus, for monitoring short-term effects of such actions, the best indicator remains ecotone contrast, whose value 421 will increase if the water supply of wetlands increases. For this monitoring, we recommend 422 establishing permanent plot pairs with one plot in a wetland edge and its pair one next to it in the 423

method can also be useful to assess how far the influence of a wetland restoration measure reaches 425 426 from its immediate target zone. 427 428 Acknowledgements 429 430 We thank Tivadar M. Tóth, Attila Bencsik and Máté Szigeti, who helped us analyse the soil samples. We are also grateful to Balázs Berceli for providing the precipitation data from the database of the 431 'Időkép' online meteorological portal and to Róbert Gallé for his recommendations on statistical 432 issues. 433 434 435 References 436 Báez, S., S.L. Collins. 2008. Shrub Invasion Decreases Diversity and Alters Community Stability in 437 438 Northern Chihuahuan Desert Plant Communities. PLoS ONE 3:e2332. Bestelmeyer, B.T., J.P. Ward, K.M. Havstad. 2006. Soil-geomorphic heterogeneity governs patchy 439 vegetation dynamics at an arid ecotone. *Ecology* 87: 963-973. 440 441 Biró, M., B. Czúcz, A. Horváth, A. Révész, B. Csatári, Z. Molnár. 2013. Drivers of grassland loss in 442 Hungary during the post-socialist transformation (1987–1999). Landscape Ecology 28: 789-803. 443 Bodin, J., V. Badeau, E. Bruno, C. Cluzeau, J.-M. Moisselin, G.-R. Walther, J.-L. Dupouey. 2013. 444 Shifts of forest species along an elevational gradient in Southeast France: climate change or stand 445 maturation? Journal of Vegetation Science 24: 269-283. Borhidi, A. 1995. Social behaviour types, the naturalness and relative ecological indicator values of 446 447 the higher plants in the Hungarian flora. Acta Botanica Hungarica 39: 97-181. Bot, A., J. Benites 2005. The importance of soil organic matter. FAO Soils Bulletin 80. FAO, Rome. 448 Boughton, E.A., A.F. Quintana-Ascencio, E.S. Menges, R.K. Boughton. 2006. Association of 449 ecotones with relative elevation and fire in an upland Florida landscape. Journal of Vegetation Science 450 17:361-368. 451

steppe edge, and using the compositional difference as the indicator of restoration efficiency. This

- 452 Bruno, J.F., J.J Stachowicz, M.D. Bertness. 2003. Inclusion of facilitation into ecological theory.
- 453 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 18: 119-125.
- 454 Cadenasso, M.L., S.T.A. Pickett, K.C. Weathers, S.S. Bell, T.L. Benning, M.M. Carreiro, T.E.
- 455 Dawson. 2003. An interdisciplinary and synthetic approach to ecological boundaries. *BioScience* 53:
- 456 717-722.
- 457 Chen, J.Q., J.F. Franklin, T.A. Spies. 1992. Vegetation responses to edge environments in old-growth
- 458 Douglas-fir forests. *Ecological Applications* 2: 387-396.
- 459 Chen, X. 2002. Modelling the effects of global climatic change at the ecotone of boreal larch forest
- 460 and temperate forest in northeast China. *Climatic Change* 55: 77-97.
- 461 Clements, F.E. 1907. *Plant Physiology and Ecology*. Henry Holt and Company, New York.
- 462 Copenheaver, C.A., N.E. Fuhrman, L.S. Gellerstedt, P.A. Gellerstedt. 2004. Tree encroachment in
- 463 forest openings: a case study from Buffalo Mountain, Virginia. *Castanea* 69: 297-308.
- 464 Collinge, S.K., T.M. Palmer. 2002. The influences of patch shape and boundary contrast on insect
- response to fragmentation in California grasslands. *Landscape Ecology* 17: 647-656.
- 466 Courtwright, J., S.E.G Findlay. 2011. Effects of microtopography on hydrology, physicochemistry,
- and vegetation in a tidal swamp of the Hudson River. *Wetlands* 31: 239-249.
- 468 Danz, N.P., L.E. Frelich, P.B. Reich, G.J. Niemi. 2013. Do vegetation boundaries display smooth or
- 469 abrupt spatial transitions along environmental gradients? Evidence from the prairie-forest biome
- 470 boundary of historic Minnesota, USA. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 24: 1129-1140.
- 471 Ellenberg, H., H.E. Weber, R. Düll, V. Wirth, W. Werner, D. Paulissen. 1992. Zeigerwerte von
- 472 Pflanzen in Mitteleuropa. *Scripta Geobotanica* 18: 1-258.
- 473 Erdős, L., Z. Bátori, C. Tölgyesi, L. Körmöczi. 2014. The moving split window (MSW) analysis in
- 474 vegetation science an overview. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research* 12: 787-805.
- 475 Fagan, W.F., M.-J. Fortin, C. Soykan. 2003. Integrating edge detection and dynamic modelling in
- 476 quantitative analysis of ecological boundaries. *Bioscience* 53: 730-738.
- 477 Fortin, M.-J., P. Drapeau, G.M. Jacquez. 1996. Statistics to assess spatial relationships between
- 478 ecological boundaries. *Oikos* 77: 51-60.

- 479 Fortin, M.-J., R.J. Olson RJ, S. Ferson, L. Iverson, C. Hunsaker, G. Edwards, D. Levine, K. Butera, V.
- 480 Klemas. 2000. Issues related to the detection of boundaries. *Landscape Ecology* 15: 453-466.
- 481 Gastner, M.T., B. Oborny, D.K. Zimmermann, G. Pruessner. 2009. Transition from connected to
- 482 fragmented vegetation across an environmental gradient: scaling laws in ecotone geometry. American
- 483 *Naturalist* 174: 23-39.
- 484 Gehrig-Fasel, J., A. Guisan, N.E. Zimmermann. 2007. Tree line shifts in the Swiss Alps: climate
- 485 change or land abandonment. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 18: 571-582.
- 486 Gosz, R.J., J.R. Gosz. 1996. Species interactions on the biome transition zone in New Mexico:
- 487 response of blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis) and black grama (Bouteloua eripoda) to fire and
- 488 herbivory. Journal of Arid Environments 34: 101-114.
- 489 Harper, K.A., S.E. Macdonald, P.J. Burton, J. Chen, K.D. Brosofske, S.C. Saunders, E.S. Euskirchen,
- 490 D. Roberts, M.S. Jaiteh, P.-A. Esseen. 2005. Edge influence on forest structure and composition in
- 491 fragmented landscapes. *Conservation Biology* 19: 768-782.
- 492 Heiri, O., A.F. Lotter, G. Lemcke. 2001. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and
- 493 carbonate content in sediments: reproducibility and comparability results. *Journal of Paleolimnology*494 25: 101-110.
- 495 Hennenberg, K.J., D. Goetze, L. Kouamè, B. Orthmann, S. Porembski. 2005. Border and ecotone
- 496 detection by vegetation composition along forest-savanna transects in Ivory Coast. Journal of
- 497 *Vegetation Science* 16: 301-310.
- 498 Hufkens, K., P. Scheunders, R. Ceulemans. 2009. Ecotones in vegetation ecology: methodologies and
- definitions revisited. *Ecological Research* 24: 977-986.
- 500 Johnston, C.A. 1993. Material fluxes across wetland ecotones in northern landscapes. *Ecological*
- 501 *Applications* 3: 424-440.
- Kertész, Á., J. Mika. 1999. Aridification Climate change in South-Eastern Europe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 24: 913-920.
- Körner, C. 1998. A reassessment of high-elevation treeline positions and their explanation. *Oecologia*115: 445-459.

- 506 Ladányi, Z., V. Blanka, B. Meyer, G. Mezősi, J. Rakonczai. 2015. Multi-indicator sensitivity analysis
- 507 of climate change effects on landscapes in the Kikunság National Park, Hungary. *Ecological*
- 508 Indicators 58: 8-20.
- Lennon, J.J., J.R.G. Turner, D. Connell. 1997. A metapopulation model of species boundaries. *Oikos*78: 486-502.
- 511 Lloyd, K.M., A.A.M. McQueen, B.J. Lee, R.C.B. Wilson, S. Walker, J.B. Wilson. 2000. Evidence on
- 512 ecotone concepts from switch, environmental and anthropogenic ecotones. Journal of Vegetation
- 513 *Science* 11: 903-910.
- 514 Mádl-Szőnyi, J., J. Tóth. 2009. A hydrogeological type section for the Danube–Tisza Interfluve,
- 515 Hungary. *Hydrogeology Journal* 17: 961-980.
- 516 Milne, B.T., T.H. Keitt, C.A. Hatfield, J. David, P.T. Hraber. 1996. Detection of critical densities
- 517 associated with pinon-juniper woodland ecotones. *Ecology* 77: 805-821.
- 518 Muñoz-Reinoso, J.C. 2009. Boundaries and scales in shrublands of the Donana Biological Reserve,
- 519 southwest Spain. *Landscape Ecology* 24: 509-518.
- Murcia, C. 1995. Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10: 58-62.
- 522 National Research Council. 1995. *Wetlands: Characteristics and Boundaries*. National Academy
  523 Press, Washington.
- Pärn, J., K. Remm, Ü. Mander. 2010. Correspondence of vegetation boundaries to redox barriers in a
  Northern European moraine plain. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 11: 54-64.
- 526 Pécsi, E., O. Katona, K. Barta, G. Sipos, C. Biró 2014. Mapping freshwater carbonate deposits by
- 527 using ground-penetrating radar at Lake Kolon, Hungary. Journal of Environmental Geography 7: 13-
- **528** 19.
- 529 Peters, D.P.C., J.R. Gosz, W.T. Pockman, E.E. Small, R.R. Parmenter, S.L. Collins, E. Muldavin.
- 530 2006. Integrating patch and boundary dynamics to understand and predict biotic transitions at multiple
- scales. *Landscape Ecology* 21: 19-33.
- 532 Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. Debroy, D. Sarkar, R Development Core Team. 2015. nlme: linear and
- 533 nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1–122, http://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=nlme

- Risser, P.G. 1995. The status of the science examining ecotones. *Bioscience* 45: 318-325.
- 535 Strayer, D.L., M.E. Power, W.F. Fagan, S.T.A. Pickett, J. Belnap. 2003. A classification of ecological
- boundaries. *Bioscience* 53: 723-729.
- 537 Tölgyesi, C., Z. Bátori, L. Erdős, R. Gallé, L. Körmöczi. 2015. Plant diversity patterns of a Hungarian
- steppe-wetland mosaic in relation to grazing regime and land use history. *Tuexenia* 35: 399-416.
- 539 Tölgyesi, C., M. Zalatnai, L. Erdős, Z. Bátori, N.R. Hupp, L. Körmöczi. 2016. Unexpected ecotone
- 540 dynamics of a sand dune vegetation complex following water table decline. *Journal of Plant Ecology*541 9: 40-50.
- 542 Walker, S., J.B. Wilson, J.B. Steele, G.L. Rapson, B. Smith, W.McG. King, Y. Cottam. 2003.
- 543 Properties of ecotones: Evidence from five ecotones objectively determined from a coastal vegetation
- 544 gradient. Journal of Vegetation Science 14: 579-590.
- 545 Wierenga, P.J., J.M.H. Hendrickx, M.H. Nash, J. Ludwig, L.A. Daugherty. 1987. Variation of soil and
- vegetation with distance along a transect in the Chihuahuan Desert. *Journal of Arid Environments* 13:
- 547 53-63.
- 548 Yarrow, M.M., V.H. Marín. 2007. Toward conceptual cohesiveness: a historical analysis of the theory
- and utility of ecological boundaries and transition zones. *Ecosystems* 10: 462-476.

- 550 Table 1. Comparisons of the changes of mean Ellenberg-type indicator values of different transect
- sections to a hypothetical value of zero. *P*-values were calculated using linear mixed-effects models.
- 552 Asterisks indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).
- 553

	2014 v	2014 vs. 2013		2015 vs. 2014	
	t	Р	t	Р	
Wetland interior	-2.21	*0.034	2.70	*0.011	
Wetland edge	-6.37	*<0.001	4.93	*<0.001	
Steppe edge	0.380	0.71	1.10	0.28	
Steppe interior	1.46	0.15	1.92	0.064	

- 555 Table 2. Pairwise comparisons of the changes of mean Ellenberg-type indicator values of different
- transect sections between consecutive years. *P*-values were calculated using linear mixed-effects
- 557 models and were corrected with the Holm-Bonferroni method. Asterisks indicate significant
- 558 differences (*P*<0.05).
- 559

	2014 vs. 2013		2015 vs. 2014	
—	t	Р	t	Р
Wetland interior vs. Wetland edge	-3.02	*0.020	1.88	0.29
Wetland interior vs. Steppe edge	1.88	0.14	-1.35	0.56
Wetland interior vs. Steppe interior	2.67	*0.036	-0.66	0.99
Wetland edge vs. Steppe edge	4.91	*<0.001	-3.23	*0.018
Wetland edge vs. Steppe interior	5.69	*<0.001	-2.54	0.080
Steppe edge vs. Steppe interior	0.79	0.44	0.69	0.99

561 Figure captions

562

Fig. 1 Location of the Turjánvidék (dark grey) and the Danube–Tisza Sandy Ridge (light grey) in
central Hungary. Sampling areas were located within the black window.

565

Fig. 2 Visual illustration for the studied parts of the transects. The two adjacent vegetation patches
transit into one another through the ecotone. The position where the rate of change in species
composition is the highest is defined as the ecotone position; the spatial extent of the ecotone is not
defined. Adjacent 4 m wide zones next to the ecotone position are handled as wetland and steppe
edges. Steppe and wetland interiors are 4 meter sections at the terminal zones of the transects. The
black rectangle indicates the 40 m long transect, aligned perpendicular to the elevation gradient.

572

Fig. 3 Water regime of the study area between June 2012 and May 2015. Bars indicate monthly
precipitations; different shadings identify the 12-month periods preceding each field survey (dark grey
before the survey of 2013, empty bars before 2014 and light grey before 2015). The black line
indicates groundwater levels. Groundwater levels were standardized with respect to the lowest value
(November 2014), which was set at zero.

578

Fig. 4 Changes of ecotone positions (A) and ecotone contrasts (B) between consecutive study years.
Black dots indicate outliers.

581

582 **Fig. 5** Changes of mean Ellenberg-type moisture indicator values of different transect sections

between 2014 and 2013 (A) and between 2015 and 2014 (B). WI: wetland interior; WE: wetland edge;

584 SE: steppe edge; SI: steppe interior. Boxes with different lowercase letters are significantly different

585 from each other within each subplot.

- 587 Fig. 6 Distance of elevation boundaries and soil boundaries from ecotone positions averaged across
- the three study years. Positive values indicate uphill locations, negative values downhill locations.
- 589 Black dot indicates an outlier.

















606 Figure 6

